Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.scanner

1999-01

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 1999-01-01 through 1999-01-31

Source text: rec.radio.scanner.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 3,911

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. *** VisualRadio Now Supports the ICOM PCR1000 ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Simon Collings <simon.collings@cableinet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <01bdbbe7$de909a20$LocalHost@sapphire>
Source record: 132848
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

PRESS RELEASE

VisualRadio, the sophisticated RS-232 control package from Liedtke GmbH,
now supports the ICOM PCR1000.

A demonstration version of v2.04 of the VisualRadio package is available
via ftp as

ftp://ftp.demon.co.uk/pub/ham/swl/vradio204.zip

The demo has functional restrictions.

VisualRadio is available in the UK from Simon Collings, Radio
Communications
Consultant. Point your web browser to the following URL for details of this
and other
radio related products:

http://wkweb4.cableinet.co.uk/simon.collings/products.htm

2. got the ICOM PCR-1000 now is there a IRC Chat room for scanning ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <368c105d.8304520@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132849

I got a massave list on EFNET but only seen a #radio channel, anyone run a chat forum for the PCR-1000 ? If not lets start one!

Tim

3. Looking to add AOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Thornton <monster@usit.net>
Message-ID: <368C1911.3BF88292@usit.net>
Source record: 132850

I am looking to add an AOR to my list of scanner equipment in the near future. I am very interested in the AR8000. Can anyone give me a good place to purchase this radio at the best price? Thanks for any replies.

4. Re: AR1000 - make offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe smith <jsmith@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <jOUi2.2075$%J6.4017@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 132851

```
$97.50
David W. Carroll wrote in message <368bf98d.75756724@news.onramp.net>...
>FS:
>I have a great performing AR1000, total coverage, including cellular,
>leather case, manuals.
>
>Make offer.
>
>David Carroll
```

5. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: DavidC <davidc@bit-net.com>
Message-ID: <01be3520$ef0413c0$0f8592d0@Bit-Net.bit-net.com>
Source record: 132852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> It is nice to see that in the cut throat world of ham radio dealers,
> there is a shop still willing to take the time to keep the customer in
> mind when making a sale.
> Hats off to THE HAM STATION....
> Dan

Should be no surprise, he is a Christian to whom that means
something every moment of every day of his life.
A Happy and Blessed New Year to all! 73, DavidC K1YP

6. Re: WANTED PRO-91 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: teal9425@aol.com
Message-ID: <19981231202036.29871.00006432@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 132853

169.00 at radio shack, so watch what prices people are asking

7. Re: Determine pager tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsmtmn@aol.com
Message-ID: <19981231202543.27696.00004716@ng40.aol.com>
Source record: 132854

Ever listen to your local fire department's radio frequency and found that not only is your city fire dept dispatched on it, but so are 20+ other cities. Makes it hard to listen to in the middle of the night with the radio blasting all night long with fire calls (alert tones) for cities other then your own.

Here is a way around it. Find a Used Motorola or Plectron Alert Receiver. Many of these radios can be found at hamfests, on the internet, or even on the ham radio nets. They come in base models with a handle on the side, or a belt type called a Minitor. You might even call your fire department and see if they have these old monitor/recievers sitting in a box in the basement of the station house and are not used anymore..(I found over 20 of them this way).

Next step is to take a tape recorder and wait until your fire department has its weekly tone test, or if you know that a fire call is about to be dispatched. Now, turn your tape recorder on and tape the entire tone sequence, from beginning to end.

Once you have that on tape, take the tape to a local radio shop and have them figure out the tone frequencies. After you have the correct tone frequencies and the alert receiver, the only thing left is the tone reeds.

The tone reeds which are sometimes called Vibrasponders can be found on the internet or calling around to radio shops. Average price is around 3-15 dollars used. If you can't find them, there is a company called Bramco that came make you some new tone reeds but expect to pay around 40 dollars each..I know, OUCH!! But as a last resort, they do come in handy.

I have made up many of these alert receivers for myself, friends and family. Nolonger do I have to listen to other cities fire calls, just the city I live it. Works great. I have 3 base units in the house and also one I wear on my belt.

Have fun. :)
Dan
rsmtmn@aol.com

8. re: Need Freq's for Military planes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: teal9425@aol.com
Message-ID: <19981231203209.29871.00006441@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 132855

brandon fl here also. i saw your post about military acft freqs. i do have the freqs for the Kc's (which i work on) at macdill. do you have any interesting freqs? do you know anything about the poc32 pager decoder software? i'm having problems on guessing how to use it.

9. Re: Deciphering antennae lengths and their frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale R. Parfitt" <parinc@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <368C28CA.E7E0264A@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 132856

dwarren@canada.com wrote:

> I'm having a heck of a time trying to determine our local law
> enforcement's MDT frequencies.
>
> I have looked in their cars and I have seen a lap-top inside them
> running a windows based program with MDT on the title bar.
>
> Now, their cars have two antennae, one is approx 3 - 3.5 feet tall on
> the trunk and the second is approx 27 inches tall with a load about 9
> inches up from the bottom. On this particular car, the antenna was
> mounted on the front windshield right at the roofline.
>
> I already know that they use 142 MHz so that must be the long whip's
> useage. The second shorter one should be the MDT transmissions?
>
> I have parked in the next door parking lot to their HQ and have been
> unable so far to capture any frequency other than the 142 MHz ones
> using a Scout connected to a magenetic 25 - 1300MHz Radio Shack roof
> antenna.
>
> I parked next to a police car at a donut shop and I saw him typing in
> his computer but I didn't capture anything before he drove away. Maybe
>
> he wasn't transmitting/receiving MDT info at that time.
>
> Can anyone give an educated guess as to what freq. the 27 +/- 1 inch
> antenna with the bottom load would be used for?
>
> Any other suggestions as to how to get those freqs, besides actually
> asking an officer to transmit some data while I hold the Scout next to
>
> his antenna? Who knows, maybe he wouldn't care if I asked him to do
> that??
>
> Maybe its just a digital cellular antenna?
>
> If it matters, I'm in BC, Canada. (and its not the RCMP, its the
> Abbotsford City Police)
>
> Thanks

I doubt the coil you speak of is an inductive loading coil; more
likely it is a phasing coil to bring the section above and below into
phase. These sections may be 1/2 wave, 5/8 wave.

Dale W4OP

10. Sudbury, Ontario...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospampls@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76hei5$s5j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132857

Any info about Sudbury , Ont , Can....aside from its an EDAC system...would be nice...kile...is Sudbury all Digital...or can you hear Voice in between the buzzsaw noise ?

EMAIL ME : ontscan@hotmail.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

11. Local Police seem to have dead carrier on freq,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <368c2ade.5177785@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132858

I have tryed to tune in my local police and I get like a dead carrier
and I can hear them talk over it but the scanner locks on it and it
reminds me of the CB days when someone held a mic and said nothing.

could there be something in my area keying a repeter or something ?

the freq, is 151.100 MHZ (Elbert county sheriff) Colorado

Thanks
Tim

12. Re: Triple Conversion [was Triple Conversation]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <IFWi2.7680$tr6.1515@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 132859

Yes, providing the scanner's frequency coverage includes the range that cordless phones operate in. Triple conversion scanners are just less prone to interference, and images. Double conversion scanners have a tendency to pick up off-frequency images. Many scanners that are not designed to receive cellular, will do a respectable job of receiving images of the cellular band, in another area of the spectrum. An example of this is the Uniden BC9000XLT, while actually being a triple conversion scanner, it can still pick up cellular images in the area of 360 - 385 MHz. Again, a double conversion receiver would be more apt to do this. Most scanners will cover the cordless telephone frequencies in the 46 - 50 MHz range, and many of them will also cover the 900 MHz phones. Be aware that many of the newer 900 MHz phones are digital, and although you can still tune the carrier, you will not hear any audio. Others use a cheap form of encryption, audio frequency inversion, translating high frequencies to low ones, and low ones to high one. This almost sounds like listening to Ham radio single-sideband signals while in AM mode. Now there are the newer phones out that are up in the 2.4 GHz band, which is well above the coverage of most scanners on the market today.

Be advised that here in the U.S., our government has now made it illegal to monitor cordless telephone conversations... and my wife's employer prohibits the display of Christmas decorations of any kind, in the office! What next?

Bill Crocker

Winston Smith wrote in message <368B2BD3.663CF23C@NOSPAM.netlabs.net>...
>Is it possible to get cordless phones with triple conversation scanners?
>

13. 1999!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19981231223956.03249.00005219@ng03.aol.com>
Source record: 132860

THE BEST PRICES, LARGE INVENTORY, EXPERTS ON 10 METER RIGS, WILL HANDLE ALL 10/11METER RADIOS AND ACCESORIES. IF WE DONT HAVE IT WE WILL GET IT FOR YOU. YOU AS THE CUSTOMER WILL BE NUMBER ONE!!!! NO MORE RUDE EMAILS, OR NASTY CUSTOMER SERVICE PEOPLE. OUR SITE WILL BE UP WITHIN A MONTH. JUST REMEMBER J.MCGRATH COMMUNICATION.

GRAND OPENING SPECIAL: WHEN U BUY ANY 10 METER RIG, IT WILL COME TO YOU READY TO GO FULLY MODIFIED. (20.00 dollar value)

14. Re: Ohio State Highway Patrol - Turnpike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoe205@aol.com
Message-ID: <19981231231716.01063.00004370@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 132861

I live 3 miles off the Ohio Turnpike. All Ohio Highway Patrol operations on the turnpike take place on:
155.685/154.710 Channel A
155.790/154.710 Channel B
45.020 Channel 3
45.10 Channel 4
42.56 TAC 1
42.66 TAC 2
42.72 TAC 3
42.74 TAC 4
FYI, Turnpike Maintenance is on
158.985/156.135 Channel A
159.165/156.135 Channel B

15. Re: Radio Shack PRO-90 189.95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101005759.21435.00005686@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 132862

>From: JLP001@webtv.net (Jason Leland Plett)

>I refer you to the pro-90 owners manual page 27-28 Attenuator. Nothing
>is more annoying than one who posts what he does not know.
>

You should qualify your statement with a
reminder that the early PRO-90/BC235XLT's
did not come with this feature. I just took
a quick glance at the PRO-90 in my local
Radio Shack: not an ATT button in site.
Perhaps you did not know this, how annoying.

talkyal

16. BC230xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Winston Smith <jwasack@NOSPAM.netlabs.net>
Message-ID: <368C6D12.CF5A01AB@NOSPAM.netlabs.net>
Source record: 132863

Any comments on the BC230xlt?

17. Re: Local Police seem to have dead carrier on freq,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101013930.01062.00004036@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 132864

Just an idea. I had a RS Pro-62 about a year ago and the same thing happened (freq is 154.875). I took my scanner in and turned on other Pro-62 in the store and the same thing happened!! I turned on a Pro-60 and, wella, it was fine. Freak or coincidence. Who knows. Just a thought to share with you. Needless to say, I returned my Pro-62 and got another model.

18. Akron, Ohio Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: tim troglen <ttrogl2@uakron.edu>
Message-ID: <76hqkh$hf2$1@kira.cc.uakron.edu>
Source record: 132865

Is there any way to pick up digital police freqs. on a trunk tracker. If not is there any hope in the near future of buying a scanner that will decode digital freqs?

19. Re: got the ICOM PCR-1000 now is there a IRC Chat room for scanning ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <368C70FA.7A1CDEA6@ibm.net>
Source record: 132866

Mile-Hi wrote:
> I got a massave list on EFNET but only seen a #radio channel, anyone
> run a chat forum for the PCR-1000 ? If not lets start one!

There is a PCR1000 mailing list at QTH.NET

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

20. Re: Radio Shack Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannermancan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3239-368C648F-57@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 132867

i have many rs scanners and like them all, just puchesd a pro 90 today for 189,00 less 10% with the coupon i had that expired 12/31 , it will probly go down to 99.00 now that i bought one just like the pro 64 did after i bought one. havent tried the 90 yet still chging bat, ive heard so much pro and con about it, quess ill have to see for my self, have 30 days to return
if not liked. it sure looks good though. like the conture better then the 235. how many versions are there of the 90.? a wile back they all disapeared from the shelf and now there back, here that they were all returned to have apoxy put on as so not to be able to be moded. just like they did with the 26 and the sc150, noticed that all apoxy models do not have mfg dates on them, just serial no. ,any one else notice this. would appreciate comits on the 90 and any sugestions that you may have. ,,dont have but one trunk service in my area so far so really didnt need this tracker yet but im a scannner addict and fell in love with its looks.

21. Re: Opto explorer unlock code.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@NULL>
Message-ID: <76huvu$qg2$1@dns.ktb.net>
Source record: 132868

MT stated this was in error a few issues later. The unlock code is to activate 'FCC Approved' models only. They say the model sold to the general public cannot be unlocked.

ACiesynski wrote:
>
> I have the unlock code for the opto xplorer and tried it but does not respond
> with the proper reply code. I got the code out of nov 96 monitoring times. Did
> they change the code or is my version truly unlockable.the xplorer is nine
> months old. If someone has done this and it worked please tell how you did it
> as i may be doing something wrong.
> al

22. FS: ICOM IC-R9000 on ebay **last 2days**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: akio fujikawa <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp>
Message-ID: <368C8093.A29053DF@nk.rim.or.jp>
Source record: 132869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

For sale on Ebay: ICOM IC-R9000 VGC

Please look on Ebay!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=52092955

73
akio fujikawa
fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp

23. FCC License Data Sources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill@tiktok.cse.tek.com
Message-ID: <76hvar$rhr@tiktok.cse.tek.com>
Source record: 132870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

[last changed 09-Oct-97]

The latest version of this file can be accessed via my web page at:
http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc

FCC License Data Sources
Bill McFadden
billmc@agora.rdrop.com

Changes preceeded by "|". Please e-mail corrections and additions to me.

INTRODUCTION

This is a list of FCC license data sources available to scanner hobbyists. The FCC master contains a wealth of data about every non-government license in the United States (over three million records). Most of the sources listed contain a subset of this data, although a few contain all or nearly all of it. Government licenses are not administered by the FCC, and the data is not available to the public.

Also available are subscrition services from companies like ISI and CET. Since they charge by the minute, they are not really practical for the hobbyist and hence are not included in this list.

Prices are rounded to the nearest dollar and include shipping, if known.

C.E.T.

CET sells records of all stations inside a 30-mile radius for $80. (Major cities cost extra.) A 70-mile search costs $178. The data is provided on floppy disks and is available in ASCII columnar and comma-delimited formats.

CET does not provide data for licenses that do not contain specific geographic coordinates (i.e., mobile-only systems) or specific operating frequencies (e.g., amateur radio).

To do the search, CET requires the latitude and longitude of the center of the 30-mile radius. I calculated mine from a USGS topographic map I obtained at a local nautical supply store. Alternatively, you can obtain the coordinates for your town from the University of Michigan's geographic name server. Run "telnet 141.212.99.9 3000" and enter your zip code. For my area, the server returned the coordinates of the main post office.

CET can be reached at 800-445-0297.

CSP TECHNOLOGIES

CSP Technologies sells FCC data on CD-ROM. ScannerBase covers the entire US and comes with a database search program.

ScannerBase costs $34. CSP Technologies can be reached at 888-857-4240 (voice) or http://www.csp-tech.com/.

FCC

The database is also available from the FCC for free. It covers most services but not all. Sections can be downloaded, processed with a supplied Visual BASIC program, and viewed with Microsoft Access. The entire database is approximately 3 GB in size. See:

http://www.fcc.gov/oet/info/database/raeddf.html

GROVE

Grove sells FCC data on CD-ROM and floppy. The CD-ROM covers the entire US and is available with or without mapping support. The mapping version brings up a map with the requested station plotted on it. The regular version is $104, and the mapping version is $174.

Floppies are available by state for $44 per state (add $10 for CA, FL, TX). The disk comes with a database search program. Grove Enterprises can be reached at 800-438-8155 (voice) or http://www.grove.net/.

MICROFICHE

The Bearcat Radio Club sells the FCC master by state for $15. Bearcat Radio Club can be reached at P.O. Box 291918, Kettering OH, 45429.

PERCON

PerCon sells FCC data on CD-ROM. The Spectrum CD covers the entire US and comes with a simple database search program. Regional CDs cover subsets of the US but come with a more powerful database manager and provide more detail about each license. Also available is a Ham callsign and repeater database CD.

Spectrum costs $37, and the Ham and Repeater Database is $22. Regional CDs are $137 for the first CD, $102 each additional CD ($560 for the entire 6 CD set). Data is updated twice a year. PerCon can be reached at 716-386-6015 (voice), 716-386-6013 (fax), support@perconcorp.com, or http://www.perconcorp.com. The Spectrum database can be searched free of charge via Percon's WWW page.

POLICE CALL

This is probably the most cost-effective source of public service license information. The detail is limited, but the information that is there is often more useful than the FCC master. For example, an entry in Police Call that reads, "Portland Police, Net 3, North Precinct," reads, "Portland, City of" in the master. Police Call has been merged with its sister publication, Beyond Police Call, and now includes a limited

selection of business listings.

Police call is available in 9 volumes covering different regions of the US. It can be purchased at Radio Shack stores for $13 per volume.

| PRO DATA

| Pro Data is a database manager program for license data. It does not come
| with its own data but can import data from APF, Percon, ScanWare, and
| UFDBF database files.

| Pro Data costs $60. For more information, write to prodata1@gte.net, or
| visit http://home1.gte.net/prodata1/index.htm.

RADIOMAP SERVICE

Developed by long-time Usenet contributor Bob Parnass, this service provides a custom report of frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names for a specified area in any of the 50 states. Coverage areas of 4 to 256 square miles will be chosen by Bob depending on transmitter site density. Standard and deluxe reports are available. Both reports include a color plot with transmitter sites marked. The deluxe report adds a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area.

To create the report, Bob requires the latitude and longitude (or nearest street intersection) of the center location. Standard reports are $29.95, and deluxe reports are $34.95. Write to Bob Parnass at 2350 Douglas Rd, Oswego, IL, 60543, or call him at 630-554-3839 between 6-10 PM central time.

SCANWARE ASSOCIATES

ScanWare Associates has developed a PC-based database program called the FCC Frequency Retrieval System. FCC data for all states is available, covering all services and all frequencies. Current data is for first quarter, 1996.

Scanware has chosen to discontinue developing this software, but the good news is that the software and data are now FREE! It is being hosted by the West Virginia Educational Network. See:
http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html

APPENDIX

Here is table of data fields in the FCC master. Fields provided by various products are indicated by "#" or the field length, if known.

PERCON PERCON POLICE SCAN
DESCRIPTION SIZE CET GROVE SPECTRUM REGION CALL WARE CSP
Frequency (or Lower Limit) 16 11 12 16 16 # 11 #
Upper Frequency Limit 16 16 11
Callsign 10 8 10 10 10 # 10 #

```
Service Code 2 2 2 2 2 # 2 #
Licensee DBA Name 40 32 40 30 40 # 40 #
Licensee State 2 2 2 2 #
Licensee Zip 9 5 9 9 #
Licensee Address 35 30 35
Licensee City 20 15 12 20 20 #
Licensee Name 200 100
Applicant Phone 10 10
Transmitter Latitude 6 6 6 6 6 6 #
Transmitter Longitude 7 7 7 7 7 7 #
Transmitter Elevation 5 5 5 5 #
Transmitter Height 5 5 5 5
Transmitter HAAT 5 5 5
Transmitter Effective Height 5 5 5
TX Antenna Structure Height 5 5 5
Transmitter Address 80 80
Transmitter City 20 10 20 20 # 20 #
Transmitter County 30 10 20 20 30 30 #
Transmitter State 2 2 2 2 2 # 2 #
Class of Station 4 4 4 4 # 4 #
Type of Message Service 1 1
Area of Operation 1 1
Radius of Operation 4 4 4
Antenna Type 10 10
Antenna Elevation Angle 6 6
Antenna Azimuth 6 6
Antenna Polarization 3 3 3
Antenna Gain 4 4
Antenna Path Length 6 6
Antenna Beam Width 8 8
ERP Out 8 4 8 8
ERP Units 2 1 2
ERP Indicator 1 1
Power Out 8 4 8 8
Power Out Units 2 1 2
Power Out Indicator 1 1
Emissions 15 15 15
License Issue Date 6 6
License Expiration Date 6 4 6 #
Operation Begin Time 4 4
Operation End Time 4 4
Number of Vehicle Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4 #
Number of Portable Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Aircraft Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Marine Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Pager Mobiles 5 4 5 5 5
Originating Database ID 1 1
Authorization Type 1 1
CP Authorization Number 2 2
Area of Operation Descript. 100 100
```

Paint & Lighting Specs 33 33
Special Conditions 100 100
TX Latitude Direction 1 1
TX Longitude Direction 1 1
Type of Last Contact 1 1
Date of Last Contact 6 6
Number of Units 4 4
Reverse Azimuth 6
Path Connection Latitude 6
Path Connection Longitude 7

CP = Construction Permit, ERP = Effective Radiated Power,
HAAT = Height Above Average Terrain

--
Bill McFadden Tektronix, Inc. P.O. Box 500 MS 50-350 Beaverton, OR 97077
bill.mcfadden@tek.com http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc
CAUTION: Don't look into laser beam with remaining eye.
Any opinions expressed above are not necessarily those of Tektronix, Inc.

24. Re: Radio Shack Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: wapcutthispart@ica.net
Message-ID: <368c8b1c.25045@news>
Source record: 132871

"Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Yes, the Pro-90 has 5 (count 'em five) bandpass filters, the BC-80
>has maybe 3 or 4. Even the highly regarded Pro-43 only has 4
>bandpass filters.

Pro-43 BPF's:

30-54 MHz
118-174 MHz
220-350 MHz
350-512 MHz
806-1000 MHz

Looks like five to me. Kinda explains that high regard.

...Andy

25. Joyous New Year!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolph <dolph@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <368c9b15.0@news.qnet.com>
Source record: 132872

Happy New Year Everyone!
May you Be Blessed In1999!
Randy

26. Re: Pro 43 price?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolph <dolph@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <368c9be1.0@news.qnet.com>
Source record: 132873

I Paid $200.00
HOSE 92 wrote in message <19981231004203.16508.00004760@ng115.aol.com>...
>ABOUT 200$

27. Re: Local Police seem to have dead carrier on freq,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ad607@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <76i4rc$rr8@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 132874

Mile-Hi (sandman-1nobots@worldnet.att.net.) writes:
> I have tryed to tune in my local police and I get like a dead carrier
> and I can hear them talk over it but the scanner locks on it and it
> reminds me of the CB days when someone held a mic and said nothing.
>
> could there be something in my area keying a repeter or something ?
>
> the freq, is 151.100 MHZ (Elbert county sheriff) Colorado
>
They could have a sub carrier signal for something like a MDT (Mobile
Data Terminal) The Ontario Provincial Police (Ontario, Canada) use such
a system, and locks up the freq like you describe.

Darrell Larose | http://www.newforce.ca/darrell | darrell@.newforce.ca

"Living at risk is jumping off the cliff
and building your wings on the way down" (Ray Bradbury)

28. FS: ICOM IC-R9000 on ebay **at soon ending time**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: akio fujikawa <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp>
Message-ID: <368CB0BE.5FC2F220@nk.rim.or.jp>
Source record: 132875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

For sale on Ebay: ICOM IC-R9000 VGC

Please look on Ebay!

Auction ended time...01/02/99 07:02:10 PST
At soon.....It will end.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=52092955

73
akio fujikawa
fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp

29. Re: A Hutchison Radio Pager...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Woody <woody@tangon.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <TSAb9LA1MLj2EwLC@tangon.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 132876
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular.motorola,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager,uk.radio.amateur,uk.telecom.mobile

In article <914952525.6964.0.nnrp-11.c1ed09f6@news.demon.co.uk>, David A. Hutchinson <David@rochesterk.demon.co.uk> writes
>Hello, thanks for reading this message.
>
>I have a display pager, made by Phillips, for use in England, for the
>Hutchison Telecom network. The pager actually is spare and I was wanting to
>program my own pagers cap-code into this one ?
>
>Can this be done ? The pager is a two-line Phillips (Model : PRG1033) and
>currently has two cap-codes in memory. I cannot see any sort of connection
>points anywhere however, on the PCB, there are four pads in a row ?!?!?
>
>Also, I am actually looking for a pager that can be shipped to me, again
>with my own choice of cap codes and recieve frequency - any help ?

The pager does not need to be removed from it's case for programming, just the batteries taken out.

It needs proprietry software and a special jig to program them. The codes are known as RIC's - not cap-codes - and Simoco (as was Philips) will do it for you if you already have a valid Hutchipage RIC, for a fee of course.

Try contacting Simoco Sheffield 0114-289-5800 - they may be able to help.

Glad to see that you spelled Hutchison correctly!!

--
Andrew W.
Harrogate, UK
woody@tangon.demon.co.uk
g1uxp@gb7cym

30. RT Systems IC-Q7A Clone Software failure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: tross@clara.net
Message-ID: <76iggo$l8b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <36819F32.E0471212@ibm.net>,
birck@ibm.net wrote:
> Sorry It's RT Systems.
>
> Ted Birckhead wrote:
>
> > I note that IT Systems now has their cloning software available for I
> > beleive $39.95. The
> > price includes an adapter cable.
> >
> > If anyone has used this software, I would appeciate comments as to bugs
> > etc.
> >
> > Thanks-
> > Ted--

RT software. failed to down load from the pc to the radio. uplaoded ok. The software from www.hamradio.cz worked perfect both ways using RT's cable. No response to email sent to RT over two weeks ago. My radio is an q7e and no receives from 0- 1900mhz.

>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

31. Re: How to Enjoy Your Scanner on the Airplane this Holiday Season

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: me@here.com
Message-ID: <368ca775.3213405@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 132878

On Wed, 30 Dec 1998 15:47:56 -0700, M Johnson <silversq@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Curt M. Breneman wrote:
>
>> The only thing is -- the airline headphones have dual 1/8" monoaural
>> plugs instead of a single stereo plug. I haven't seen anywhere that you
>> can buy that type, either...
>>
>> Curt Breneman
>> KC2EBP
>
>
>Hi Curt,
>
>The only dual plugs I have seen the airlines use are the acoustical ones use by those
>hose type earphones they hand out. Those headset don't have any electrical components
>in them. They just funnel the sound from a very small speaker in front of them in the
>arm rest. If you turn the volume up without plugging them in you can hear the audio
>coming out of the holes in the arm rest.
>
>Most of the upgraded planes in the last 10 years or so also have a standard 1/8 inch
>phone jack. You can plug the headset from your walkman in and it works fine. I do
>this all the time.
>
>73
>Mark
>AB0HJ

I remember seeing in an electronics hobby magazine an adapter to use
regular headphones on acoustic phones. It consisted of a little
adapter that went into the air holes and had a little amp to drive a
set of regular headphones. I think it even used a 1/4" jack (it WAS a
while ago).
I've seen both lately, the dual mono 1/8" and regular stereo 1/8". I
seems it would be easy to make an adapter for dual mono or just use a
stereo/mono adpater and use regular headphones. They probably aren't
in stereo anyway.

On the thread, I've used a scanner and heard all sorts of interesting
stuff from the ground crews and whatnot.

32. FS: PC Interface Cable for ICOM R2/IC-Q7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: GARR <garr@apexmail.com>
Message-ID: <uxzQrXYN#GA.141@rpc1284.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 132879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have the Icom OPC-478 cable for sale for connecting the R2 Scanner or the IC-Q7A to a PC. Software available as well.

$20.00 Shipped.

33. Re: Listening to cordless phone law loophole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76ilug$p05$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132880

OK you got me, I didn't read the "law" carefully.

I don't think this "law" is going to stop anyone though, is it. just don't let anyone know you can do it.

Isn't it amazing how some people are so cheap that they will still buy the 25 channel phones. some people tell me they don't care if anyone hears them until I ask if they order stuff with credit cards on it or tell someone they are leaving for the night and no one will be home.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

34. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Davis" <artellad@hitter.net>
Message-ID: <368CDE1B.383E@hitter.net>
Source record: 132881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Could someone please provide a web address or email address for them?
Art.

35. Re: Clinton Impeached. Justice is Served.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <368ce093.441955@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 132882

"Rene Artois" <rene@alloallo.com> wrote:

:Fuck you in the States...
:
:Over here in Europe we think the situation auround Clinton is just an
:episode of a soap... get down to earth and face the real problems in our
:beautiful world.... loosers...

Looser and looser you loser.

36. Re: BC9000XLT Discriminator Output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <368ce098.446803@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 132883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Mike Jackson" <mikej@ihug.co.nz> wrote:

:Be wary of TP3. I tested this output and got not a lot of luck. Better tap
:off IC-8 (MC3361B0) Pin 9.
:
:Mike

TP3 works for me.

Barry

37. Re: Scanning Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <368ce09d.451349@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 132884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

imnotalone@"nospam"home.com (Michael Klucher) wrote:

:
:3. On my scanner it jumps around alot

I have a question for you. What is this alot thing that your scanner
jumps around?

:like it skips the whole 200 -
:300 and really low stuff (Below 29) and a few things in the 800-900
:range. What is all this is there simply nothing there or is this the
:cell phone range that people in here are talking about?

It's cheaper to make a scanner with less coverage.

:4. I am confused about something, if it is illegal to listen in on
:Celluar conversations and it blocks it out from you scanner why is it
:when I search like between 900-907 or so I get a ton of phone
:conversations (I am guessing from cordless phones) Is'nt this illegal
:also, I havent dropped on them mainly cause I am not that intrested
:but I was just curious why this is?

Whew! That's heavy going. If you put some punctuation in you will make
it easier to read.

38. Re: Cell Phone Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <368ce0a8.462460@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 132885

LAS <monkey@yayaya.com> wrote:

:If monitoring cellular communications, why is there so much interest in
:doing so?

If not monitoring cellular communications, why is there so little interest
in doing so?

39. FS WELZ 2000 w/ Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: aciesynski@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101171233.01152.00005721@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 132886

FS WELZ 2000, 800 channel microscanner. Have all assys. Only a month old.Have custom welz case.Sell 325 bo

40. San Antonio Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <76jhql$b8$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 132887
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am trying to track down control channels and system IDs for San Antonio and Bexar county's trunk systems? Does anyone have any information? I have the talkgroups, but need sysids and control channels. Trunker data files would be excellent...

Please reply via private e-mail to tvsjr@sprynet.com because my ISP has been dropping news lately.
Thanks!
Terry

41. f.s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: george <george@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <76jio8$hoa@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 132888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have the following scanners f.s. they are like new most are cell moded already.....

bc700a nice like the bc350a but with 800mhz no box 90.00
bc200xlt clean no box.....165.00
bc230xlt never used.....180.00
bc760xlt like new.....190.00
bc8500xlt clean like new this needs a mod virtural downconversion 265.00

all prices do not include shipping add 35.00 for fedex shipping over night this is the only way I ship.....
e-mail me if interested.....thanks

42. Re: aor 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: brian74@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <368D48E4.97D1836@earthlink.net>
Source record: 132889

Is the aor 8000 a desk top scanner or a handheld??

dan baxter wrote:

> I am expecting my new aor 8000 to arrive today and was wondering which
> software is the best for connecting it to my computer.

43. SouthCarolina Upstate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul/Sherrie <pludwig@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <76jkkddij1@camel29.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132890

Could anyone could help me out with a local club name for the Anderson, Seneca, Clemson or Pickens area?. Any frq. help or info would be appreciated.

Are there any trunked systems in this area. If so Motorola type? Ericsson?

44. Re: Determine pager tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <76jm0akcr0@207.18.224.107>
Source record: 132891

On 31 Dec 1998 20:13:54 GMT, "Tony Szablowski"
<aszablowski@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Also, are the Minitor II pagers programmable for 2 different freq's
>w/different tones for both channels? Thanks.

Minitor II pagers are not programmable.

And I have not forgotten you on the batteries, just been swamped.

45. Freqs/BC-220 XLT/BC-230 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deepy@serv.net>
Message-ID: <368D61A4.1A9353DD@serv.net>
Source record: 132892
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I recently got a Bearcat BC-220 XLT for Christmas.
I am curious as to what modifications are available for this radio.
The date code on the bottom is OIHE
Also, any frequencies for the tv station remote units and helicopters in
the Seattle area would be helpful.
The stations I am interested in are KIR0 Channel 7, KOMO Channel 4 and
KING Channel 5.
Thank you for your time...

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
--Central Control STS-11

46. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@communique.net>
Message-ID: <01be35e2$49ecf6a0$a558cccf@default>
Source record: 132893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Arthur R. Davis <artellad@hitter.net> wrote in article
<368CDE1B.383E@hitter.net>...
> Could someone please provide a web address or email address for them?
> Art.
>

www.hamstation.com

47. Re: 265 kHz beacon signal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <368e606f.3665088@news.erols.com>
Source record: 132894

On Tue, 29 Dec 1998 09:49:51 -0600, SCNMN <res40@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I have found that normal range of expected reception from the ground, rarely exceeds
>100 miles. I have however found CW beacons in the 160-500KHz range that are not on
>any of my local maps which cover about a 200 mile radius.

Did you check the instrument approach plates too? Many beacons are
not charted on Aviation VFR sectional charts.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

48. Re: Pro 51 picks up more frequency than Pro 26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <368D65FB.5BC2@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 132895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

How many more times am I going to read this post??????????jerr1919
>
> The Pro-51 is a double conversion scanner. These type of radios receive
> "images " of signals 10.7 or 10.8 MHz higher and lower than the displayed
> frequency. Thus, you are probably hearing cell phones in the 869-894 range,
> not cordless phones. The Pro-26 scanner is triple conversion, meaning that
> it gets less images and at different frequencies than your Pro-51.
>
> In article <368d02f8.93697285@news.mindspring.com>,
> fchen8@hotmail.com (Doc) wrote:
> > Can anyone tell me why my Pro 51 can pick up more frequencies than my
> > Pro 26. I can pick up 900MHZ cordless phone conversation on the Pro 51
> > but not on Pro 26, even if I set at same freq. The only downside is
> > there's lots of static background noises coming from Pro 51
> >
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

49. Can't find AFP or is it APF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Davis <normd@directcon.net>
Message-ID: <368D65C9.4705F603@directcon.net>
Source record: 132896

Lost a program that would extract freqs from text and make db files to up load to radio. It was called eather afp or apf and was on larrys utility home page on grove's home page I think. Can't find it now. Can anyone help?

normd@directcon.net

50. Re: FS: ICOM IC-R9000 on ebay **at soon ending time**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: thomas lyons <tlyons@ixpres.com>
Message-ID: <368D084D.42E8@ixpres.com>
Source record: 132897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

```
akio fujikawa wrote:
>
> For sale on Ebay: ICOM IC-R9000 VGC
>
> Please look on Ebay!
>
> Auction ended time...01/02/99 07:02:10 PST
> At soon.....It will end.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=52092955
>
> 73
> akio fujikawa
> fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp
I bid $5 USD. Did I win???
```

51. Re: FS: ICOM IC-R9000 on ebay **at soon ending time**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: thomas lyons <tlyons@ixpres.com>
Message-ID: <368D089A.67DD@ixpres.com>
Source record: 132898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

BJR wrote:
>
> akio fujikawa <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp> pounded on the keyboard and wrote:
>
> >For sale on Ebay: ICOM IC-R9000 VGC
> >
> >Please look on Ebay!
> >
> >Auction ended time...01/02/99 07:02:10 PST
> >At soon.....It will end.
> >
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=52092955
> >
> >73
> >akio fujikawa
> >fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp
>
> Just curious - what do one of these things go for NEW??
>
> Brian
In Canada they are going for $6450 USD, with ALL 800 MHz.

52. Re: Pro 2005 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: thomas lyons <tlyons@ixpres.com>
Message-ID: <368D09BD.6E82@ixpres.com>
Source record: 132899

tom sweetser wrote:
>
> good deal check it out
>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=52695500
Blow it out your ass "tom".

53. Re: Listening to cordless phone law loophole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: thomas lyons <tlyons@ixpres.com>
Message-ID: <368D09E9.40F4@ixpres.com>
Source record: 132900

orasberries@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> OK you got me, I didn't read the "law" carefully.
>
> I don't think this "law" is going to stop anyone though, is it. just don't
> let anyone know you can do it.
>
> Isn't it amazing how some people are so cheap that they will still buy the
> 25 channel phones. some people tell me they don't care if anyone hears them
> until I ask if they order stuff with credit cards on it or tell someone they
> are leaving for the night and no one will be home.
Actually!

54. Re: Listening to cordless phone law loophole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: thomas lyons <tlyons@ixpres.com>
Message-ID: <368D09F8.1AC@ixpres.com>
Source record: 132901

Pursuit10851 wrote:
>
> On Thu, 31 Dec 1998 13:49:25 -0500, "WW - KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@usa.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Why listen to them at all ? It's just like cell phones it gets boring after
> >the novelty wears off !
> >If you really want to know what the neighbors are talkin' about why not just
> >go ask them?
>
> I guess you never tried listening at night then. Especially on New
> Year's Eve :-).
10-4

55. Re: LIFE LINK III FREQUENCY NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roland Burgan <rburgan@up.net>
Message-ID: <368D66B2.7D1B6492@up.net>
Source record: 132902

LifeLink (& other helicopter life flights) often communicate
with the destination hospitals direct on the
destination hospital ambulance frequencys. They all
also carry cell phones.

EmergScan wrote:

> hello everyone, I am looking for the Life Link III (3) trunked system
> frequencies. For those of you that don't know Life Link is a helicopter/ground
> ambulance based in both St. Paul Minnesota and Milltown Wisconsin. When
> calling Life Link for their frequencies they try and tell me that they have 10,
> 000 frequencies and it changes all of the time. I told him I didn't believe it
> and then he went on and told me another lye. Anyways, let me know
> Thanks,
> EmergScan@aol.com

56. IS BCT2 a good scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Rogers <neatncool@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <368d6a60.0@stnws02.atl.mediaone.net>
Source record: 132903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner

IS BCT2 a good scanner ? AKA Bearcat Tracker.
I heard its not that great. Are the freq codes that are
installed on it current or is that the way it works ? How
else could it work since selecting TX for instance will
give you all the police signals in TX. Or so it was designed.

57. MDT or WHAT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dad#1 <deadeye@javanet.com>
Message-ID: <368D6FF4.370028CF@javanet.com>
Source record: 132904

I'm hearing a police base computer to cruiser computer and vice versa communications but it isn't the MDT that I've heard sound clips from. I have a short .wav recording I could e-mail if someone wants to try and identify it. Thanks for your interest, Lloyd

58. good radio Q&A site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9vjg19-nospam@idt.net
Message-ID: <n9vjg19-nospam-0101991950330001@ppp-1.ts-14.nyc.idt.net>
Source record: 132905

http://www.n-lemma.com/FBOM/tuneload.html

59. Re: Need Input on WinRadio 1000i

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <658_9901011700@warpgate.com>
Source record: 132906

PH> >Looking at either a PCR1000 or WinRadio, Need input from both units!

I woulkd go for the Winradio becasue it is a fine unit and has been written up very well by almost everybody that reviews it. The key of course is the Software that runs the radios. I think Winradio has a head start on that and has better software.

--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

60. Re: RS PRO-26 versus Icom IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <659_9901011700@warpgate.com>
Source record: 132907

LC> I'm mostly interested in reception quality and sound quality. Direct
LC> input of frequencies also isn't real important to me (or should it be?).

Direct Frequenct entry is only important when putting the frequnecies in. If you do not intend to change them quite often it is of little to no value. The ICOM is a better reciever but may not have some features that a REAL scanner has. Scan speed is one short comming. As I recall it is a little slow on the ICOM unit. This might not be oimportant to yuo. Since we all differ a bit is what we percieve as "Best" YOu are the only one that can really say After carefully comparing all hte features and Specifications.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

61. Re: Need Advice On New Scanner - Handhel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <65a_9901011700@warpgate.com>
Source record: 132908

JT> I was thinking the BC235XLT, but let me know if it is cellular modifiable.

The BC235 for the Millionth time is NOT CELL MODIFABLE!!

JT> Actually, the BC235XLT would be nice, but are there any othe radios that do
JT> trunk tracking that have a wider frequency range the the 235XLT for the FM
JT> TV and so on.
****
Basicly NO! All trunk trackers have the same basic range and some even have
Less then the BC235, Like the new RS mobile unit.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

62. Re: Pro 90- ID question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <65b_9901011700@warpgate.com>
Source record: 132909

```
T> I have the BC235XLT, and I never see any
T> mirror ID's. But the trunk group ID's that I am
T> consistently receiving are MUCH different
T> than the ID groups listed in Police Call and
T> many scanner web sites. Could it be that
T> the police radio programmers change
T> the trunk group ID's every once in awhile ???

Not posabibly as a matter of routine. But as Systems expand there are changes
to ID groups. DO NOT take anything in any Scanner book or posted here as far
as Talk ID's as Cast in Stone.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.
```

63. Re: HELP WITH PRO-90 PLEASE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <65c_9901011700@warpgate.com>
Source record: 132910

"J> No sweat - btw, where is the attenuator button located on your keypad?

Speaking about Attneuator buttons how is the one on the BC235 used? I see
printed above the "." button "ATT" but there is Nothing about it in
the book that can with the radio.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

64. Re: WANTED: Bearcat 800XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <368D72E6.3902@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 132911

test
>
> Looking for good condition Bearcat 800XLT scanner. Doesn't have to be mint
> cosmetic condition but perfect working condition. Will pay up to $75.00.
>
> E-mail: Fbals@aol.com
>
> Thanks, Frank

65. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lundgren <NOlundgrejSPAM@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us>
Message-ID: <368D7D93.4434@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us>
Source record: 132912
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

D. Holford wrote:
[snip]

> Edison built the first CHEAP electric light.
> Stadiums and railway stations etc. were already illuminated by electric
> light, it was just to expensive for the general public to afford until
> Edison came up with a cheap solution.

Where didja ever come up with that misinformation?

The illumination was by gas up until the electric light was perfected by
Edison. He wasn't the first to try making a light bulb, but he was the
first to get it to work long enough to be commercially feasible. He use
a carbon filament for the light bulb.

> Dave Holford

66. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lundgren <NOlundgrejSPAM@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us>
Message-ID: <368D8743.3CB8@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us>
Source record: 132913
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

Larry Mintz wrote:

> John Lundgren (NOlundgrejSPAM@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us) wrote:
> : D. Holford wrote:
> : [snip]

> : > Edison built the first CHEAP electric light.
> : > Stadiums and railway stations etc. were already illuminated by electric
> : > light, it was just to expensive for the general public to afford until
> : > Edison came up with a cheap solution.

> : Where didja ever come up with that misinformation?

> : The illumination was by gas up until the electric light was perfected by
> : Edison. He wasn't the first to try making a light bulb, but he was the
> : first to get it to work long enough to be commercially feasible. He used
> : a carbon filament for the light bulb.

> Arc (electric) lighting was already available prior to Edison's perfecting
> an incandescent bulb.

> Larry

Sorry, I assumed that this was referring to incandescent lights.

The reason that any form of electric lighting was not widespread was
that the DC generators that had to be in every neighborhood were not yet
built. Electric arc lighting was around, but in limited use for high
power such as in theaters, large events, etc. But it wasn't in homes
yet. Gas lights were in homes, in street lamps, etc.

So even tho you may have had arc or even incandescent lamps available,
there was no place to 'plug them in'! Only after companies gave up on
Edison's DC and went with Westinghouse (Tesla's) AC system did power
distribution become available. And when AC distribution began to be
installed, the power companies could then generate power at places like
Niagara Falls, and transport it hundreds of miles to the cities for use.

Edison was an invention factory, but he didn't get it, and missed the
boat when it came to the distribution of power. That's why he tried to
gain publicity by electrocuting elephants, etc. But he did make the
incandescent light available to every household.

67. Re: Radio Shack Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: LAS <monkey@yayaya.com>
Message-ID: <368D8AF5.D85DA02F@yayaya.com>
Source record: 132914

Jon S. Van Allen wrote:

> LAS wrote:
>
> > DISAPPOINTED?...What did you expect from RS?..CXommercial quality?ALL of
> > RS's scanners are shit and aren't even worth a review...
>
> Right... try telling that to Pro-2006 & Pro-2042 owners.
> Get your facts straight before making obviously slanted
> statements like "all RS scanners are shit".

2006 & 2042 owners are victims of hype!
These 2 scanners are good?...Compared to what? Previous RS scanners?
They sell because of RS clever marketing and "why buy RS scanners philosophy"

Its like a mountain...Because it's there!

Get my facts straight?...Try reading the specs on these RF sives before making
a statement like that...or maybe you are one of the many who buy a scanner
because you saw a pretty picture somewhere.

68. yupi 7100 fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: tinapuss@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <368DBFFB.B19FFC9A@yahoo.com>
Source record: 132915

hi i have a yupi mvt-7100 its about a month old and in mint condition i paid 300.00 make me a offer and you pay the shipping charge thanks alot

69. Re: Listening to cordless phone law loophole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <76k5kd$5s9$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 132916

Pursuit10851 wrote in message <368ef10a.4162607@news.pacbell.net>...
>I guess you never tried listening at night then. Especially on New
>Year's Eve :-).

Yes I have and it ranks right up there with listening to baby monitors and
"Mr. Microphones" 8^)

70. Re: Optocom or PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <76k6j7$2ru$1@news3.mr.net>
Source record: 132917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I think the Optocom has the following features that the PCR1000 does not have:

1) DCS/DPL decode
2) Trunk-following capabilty
3) Faster scan speed

71. Re: RADIO SHACK PRO-60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: JMW <jmw@wcoil.com>
Message-ID: <ichj2.2867$B46.5167893@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 132918

Hey ! I have one for sale too...

Perfect Condition - original box, manual, scanner, and antenna.

Asking $140

-james

72. Re: aor 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@lor.net>
Message-ID: <368DA027.1E85E401@lor.net>
Source record: 132919

It is a handheld. There are also different origins. Some US models and some European models. The difference is full coverage or gapped. There is also an AR8000dx available. This has some added features. Rich Wells has all the info you'll need to do research. His site is at http://strongsignals.net/
Good luck, Cal

MAlexder wrote:

> >From: brian74@earthlink.net
>
> >Is the aor 8000 a desk top scanner or a handheld
>
>

73. Re: Listening to cordless phone law loophole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <76k62o$64m$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 132920

orasberries@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
<76ilug$p05$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>.......Isn't it amazing how some people are so cheap that they will still
buy the
>25 channel phones. some people tell me they don't care if anyone hears them
>until I ask if they order stuff with credit cards on it or tell someone
they
>are leaving for the night and no one will be home.

The best way is to take your portable scanner over to their house and have
them call the local time and temp and let them listen to it over your
scanner "makes believers of em' real fast" BTW only do it with friends you
trust not a good idea to do it to the next door neighbor ! 8^)

74. Re: Pro 2005 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <368dd3c9.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 132921

...
>good deal check it out

I did, and it's already past the point of what it is actually worth. The original bid was more in line for a 2005.
A good deal for you, maybe, but not the guy that ends up being high bidder. I can buy a 2006 here in the newsgroup for what yours will end up going for on Ebay.

75. Chicago FD Freq? Norridge, IL. PD. FD. Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul and Cherity Machnick <CPMachnick@ync.net>
Message-ID: <368DAFCE.27D93885@ync.net>
Source record: 132922
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all, happy New Year. I've been listening to 154.1300 here in Chicago and it is suppose to be for Chicago FD Northside dispatch. The only problem they've been dispatching Southside units on that freq. Is that the freq for Northside? Has there been a change?

Also, does anyone have the freq for Norwood Township FD and Norridge PD and FD. Thanks.

Paul
CPMachnick@ync.net

76. 100ch handheld wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <76kbo8hfv1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 132923

looking for 100 or 200ch handheld for my 9 year old boy,nothing fancy
vhf/uhf air??something inexpensive.
thanks jack
cmb@owc.net

77. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n7wpd@xxmission.com>
Message-ID: <76inv8$45l$1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 132936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

www.hamstation.com

Arthur R. Davis <artellad@hitter.net> wrote in message
news:368CDE1B.383E@hitter.net...
>Could someone please provide a web address or email address for them?
>Art.

78. CELL MODS CELL MODS CELL MODS !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101100342.01018.00004485@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 132937

Cellular modifications (some with very detailed illustrations) for the
following scanners.
PLUS other useful scanning hobbyist information FREE FREE FREE at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

*** WARNING: Listening to cellular communications is not legal. ***

HAPPY NEW YEAR !!!

Icom Scanners
R10

Radio Shack Scanners
pro23
pro34
pro37
pro39
pro43
pro46
Pro51
pro2004
pro2005
pro2006
pro2020
pro2022
pro2026
pro2027
pro2030
pro2032

VDC for the Pro2036
VDC Pro-2035/2042
VDC for the Pro2030
VDC for the Pro26
VDC for the Pro64/Pro2041

UNIDEN Bearcat Scanners
bc200
bc700a
bc760
bc855
bc890
bc950
bc2500
mr8100

VDC for the SportCat SC150
VDC for BC220/230XLT
VDC for BC895XLT
VDC for BC8500XLT
VDC for BC9000XLT

Another scanner information web page
Including Radio Shack, Uniden, Regency cell mod info & more at:
http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

79. Re: Pro 51 picks up more frequency than Pro 26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <368ce5cb.1283370@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 132938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 31 Dec 1998 23:07:44 GMT, fchen8@hotmail.com (Doc) wrote:

>Can anyone tell me why my Pro 51 can pick up more frequencies than my
>Pro 26. I can pick up 900MHZ cordless phone conversation on the Pro 51
>but not on Pro 26, even if I set at same freq. The only downside is
>there's lots of static background noises coming from Pro 51

I'd be willing to bet that those are cellular phones you're hearing,
since the Pro-51 is double-conversion. The Pro-26 is triple
conversion, so you're less likely to hear those images of cellular
phones 21.6 MHz above their actual frequency like you do with the 51.

80. FS: Portable Freq Carrier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101102243.00848.00005258@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 132939

If you are looking for a handy way to carry around freq info or to record freqs when you find them, this is a nifty way to do it.

Sony PIC-1000 Magic Link for sale. Bought a few years ago, but it has been barely used (perhaps 20 hours, if that).

If you're not familiar with this unit, its features include: 32 bit CPU w/ 1 MB RAM; (there's also another 1 MB SRAM card in this deal); Touch-sensitive LCD screen for data entry with a stylus or finger; Internal fax/modem (2400 bps modem, 9600 bps fax); Built-in speaker and microphone; PCMCIA slot; Serial port

Built-in software includes: AOL, AT&T personal Link, name card file, datebook/notebook, graphics, animation and voice stamp, Quicken, PenCell spreadsheet, spell finder, calculator, remote control for Sony AV equipment, and games.

It is in immaculate-like new-condition without a single scratch or other flaw. Includes all original accessories such as the case, AC adapter rechargeable battery pack and alkaline, battery holder, manual and packing materials. Also includes optional 1 MB SRAM Sony memory card, Magic Exchange software and cable for Macintosh. As a bonus, I will throw in a Konexx 108 and AC adapter to use this Magic Link on digital phone systems (when you wish to use the built-in modem).

$150 plus shipping (over $1000 when new).

81. Re: MDT windows problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Berg <DanBerg@555avenew.com>
Message-ID: <368ce79e.0@news.avenew.com>
Source record: 132940

Thanks for the info.....

82. Re: FS: ICOM IC-R9000 on ebay **at soon ending time**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: skypilot@writeme.com
Message-ID: <368cec07.706240@news.visi.net>
Source record: 132941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

akio fujikawa <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp> pounded on the keyboard and wrote:

>For sale on Ebay: ICOM IC-R9000 VGC
>
>Please look on Ebay!
>
>Auction ended time...01/02/99 07:02:10 PST
>At soon.....It will end.
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=52092955
>
>73
>akio fujikawa
>fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp

Just curious - what do one of these things go for NEW??

Brian

Brian J. Rueger | Hampton Div. of Fire & Rescue | "Who dares wins"
Lieutenant/Paramedic | EMS-3 (Station-10) | Hampton, Va.
B.S. Comm/I/SEL Pilot | MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199 | NREMT-P
Check out my home page: http://members.visi.net/~brueger
"Life is too short to drink LITE beer!!"
---

83. Re: Listening to cordless phone law loophole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <368ef10a.4162607@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 132942

On Thu, 31 Dec 1998 13:49:25 -0500, "WW - KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@usa.net>
wrote:

>Why listen to them at all ? It's just like cell phones it gets boring after
>the novelty wears off !
>If you really want to know what the neighbors are talkin' about why not just
>go ask them?

I guess you never tried listening at night then. Especially on New
Year's Eve :-).

84. Re: Listening to cordless phone law loophole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: PDWK66A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <76irmh$dk2g$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132943

I'm not sure, but I think some people get HUNG in loopholes.

73 de JOHN, KC6NWJ

85. Re: Radio Shack Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <368ff24e.4486592@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 132944

>Winston Smith wrote:
>> I just bought a radioshack pro 90 scanner and have been comparing
>> its performance to the BC-80XLT. All I have to say is that I am
>> extremly disappointed with its performace.

I compared a friend's brand-new Pro-90 to my BC235XLT and my brand-new Pro-91 and I also didn't like the performance on 800 Mhz trunking. Not sure about the other bands, but 800 cuts out more and has more static than it does on the other two scanners. My friend has a Radio Shack cellular phone antenna on his Pro-90 (my recommendation-works great on the BC235XLT), and I have an unknown brand cellular phone antenna on my BC235XLT. His has a TNC-to-BNC adapter for the antenna, mine has an SMA-to-BNC adapter for the antenna. My Pro-91 has the stock rubber duck. I switched the postions of the radios on the table several times and listened for about an hour just to be sure, but I am positive that the Pro-90 just isn't as sensitive as the BC235XLT (its Uniden "equivalent"?), at least on 800 MHz in trunking mode.

86. Re: Pro-2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <368CF494.D7546C5D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132945

Marty,

I have two PRO 2021's and sometime ago I had checked out possible mods. Basically as you found out, you can open up the display to include 800 MHz but it does not recieve those freqs. There is also a mod to increase the scan speed, but I did not think it was worth the effort.

Marty Dodd wrote:
>
> Does anyone know of some mods for the Pro-2021? I cliped diode D44 it will
> allow display entry of the 800 band but does not receive it.

87. Re: Radio Shack Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <368CF79F.A96E537F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132946

Andrew Porrett wrote:

> Pro-43 BPF's:
>
> 30-54 MHz
> 118-174 MHz
> 220-350 MHz
> 350-512 MHz
> 806-1000 MHz
>
> Looks like five to me. Kinda explains that high regard.
>
> ...Andy

Andy,
I stand corrected!

Jon

88. Re: Local Police seem to have dead carrier on freq,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <368cf9a8.566129@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132947

Yes, I thought the same but I have 3 scanners doing it now, a 800xlt,
bc200xlt & a PCR-1000

Tim

On 1 Jan 1999 06:39:30 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Emoney250) wrote:

>Just an idea. I had a RS Pro-62 about a year ago and the same thing happened
>(freq is 154.875). I took my scanner in and turned on other Pro-62 in the
>store and the same thing happened!! I turned on a Pro-60 and, wella, it was
>fine. Freak or coincidence. Who knows. Just a thought to share with you.
>Needless to say, I returned my Pro-62 and got another model.

89. Re: got the ICOM PCR-1000 now is there a IRC Chat room for scanning ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <368dfa04.658784@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132948

I would like to talk real time about some things, the mailing list is
a little slow these days.

Tim
]
On Fri, 01 Jan 1999 01:53:46 -0500, Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net> wrote:

>Mile-Hi wrote:
>> I got a massave list on EFNET but only seen a #radio channel, anyone
>> run a chat forum for the PCR-1000 ? If not lets start one!
>
>There is a PCR1000 mailing list at QTH.NET
>
>Strong Signals,
>Rich Wells N2MCA
>http://www.strongsignals.net/

90. Minitor 1 batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Szablowski <aszablowski@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <76iudf$j2v@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132949

Thanks to all for the replies to my query about locating "N" cells for
my old Motorola Minitor 1. After I wrote that msg I figured I'd drive
down to the ShitShack just for the helluvit and see if they had
anything. The ShitShack guy that answered the phone when I first
inquired about them had no idea what I was talking about, of course,
and told me they didn't have them.
They did. "N"-size Ni-Cad's. Around a measly $4.50 for two. I
should've known better than to call and ask them. ;-) So, with
a dab of solder on the ends to elongate the contacts they now work
just fine and this old beast is fully functional again. Again,
thanks to all for their helpful replies.
--
Regards,
Tony

91. Re: WTB Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01be35a7$cd27c9e0$11dd0ad0@default>
Source record: 132950

Damn Leonard,
You don`t want much do ya? "Most" top end scanners still have a gap in
the 520-760 TV band that you can`t recover. If it wasn`t for that, I would
say that a PRO 2005 or 2006 would fit the bill. I`ve been looking for a
scanner that covers the missing band myself & have yet to have any good
luck.
I think that I`m missing alot of cool stuff that is hidden in that band.
You can find recievers that cover that band, but don`t scan them.
If you find a "scanner" that covers the 520-760 band, please let me know.

--
Happy Scanning,
Charlie

I didn`t do it.
Nobody saw me do it.
You can`t prove anything!

Leonard Slebodnik <lslebodn@masonichome.com> wrote in article
<76g2qu$r41$1@remarQ.com>...
> I want a good base scanner. Requirement are full range (minumum of
> 25-1000Mhz) and either computer control or easily modified to be so. I
would
> also consider a handheld as long as it is computer controlable and full
> range. Let me know what you have. Also, if you are looking for anything
in
> trade I have a Pro-2045 and Pro 64 that both work great with all docs and
> boxes.
>
> -Leonard
>
>
>

92. FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <368CFE66.F3114D33@ibm.net>
Source record: 132951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the radio newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
Picking A Scanner/Receiver
Scanner Glossary
Scanner Model FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
FCC Frequency Databases
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Co-ax Cable
Receiver Range
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargeable Battery Tips
Radio Discussion Lists
Radio Modifications
Radio Shack On-line Manuals
Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
Used Scanner Price Guide
Radio Monitoring Software
*Receivers Covering Military Aero
My Web Page
Courtesy

*=Newly added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

Happy reading!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells
N2MCA

http://www.strongsignals.net/

93. FS Rad Shack 2037 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymouse <chill@en.com>
Message-ID: <xv7j2.1445$u47.43811446@news.en.com>
Source record: 132952

For sale Radio Shack Pro 2037 Scanner. This scanner has 200 channels and tripleconvergence to keep out unwated noise from cell sites and the like. It also has hyperscan to get back to those important freq fast. I am asking $150.00 plus shipping but would listen to any reasonable offer. Contact me at KG8QC@en.com or call at (440) 777-8932 Thank You
Wayne

94. WANTED: Bearcat 800XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101122251.15297.00005144@ng96.aol.com>
Source record: 132953

Looking for good condition Bearcat 800XLT scanner. Doesn't have to be mint cosmetic condition but perfect working condition. Will pay up to $75.00.

E-mail: Fbals@aol.com

Thanks, Frank

95. FA: BCT2 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg <REMOVEn3oyr@home.net>
Message-ID: <368D052A.3B63@home.net>
Source record: 132954

```
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=51668717
--
\||||/
(0-0)
----------------------oOOO--(_)--OOOo--
My Email address is altered due to
the prevalence of Spamers. Remove the
REMOVE from my return .oooO
address to reply! ( ) Oooo.
------------------------\ (----( )----
\_) ) /
(_/
```

96. FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <#5U2zvaN#GA.228@nih2naaa.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 132955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
scanner.faf FAF.TXT
/pub/hamradio/docs/misc Hamnet Lib 2
ftp://oak.oakland.edu CompuServe
[mulligan@acm.org] [70035,140]

December 1998 |

F.A.F. - Frequently Asked Frequencies
---------------------------------------

For an overview of the FCC allocations for the 30 - 1400 MHz
range, see the file {FTP} fcc.allocations {CIS} FCCTBL.TXT

------------------------------------------------------------------------

Cellular Telephone [22.902]

Chs Freqs (base) System Use

991-1023 869.040 - 870.000 A - RCC voice
1-312 870.030 - 879.360 A - RCC voice
313-333 879.390 - 879.990 A - RCC data
334-354 880.020 - 880.620 B - Telco data
355-666 880.650 - 889.980 B - Telco voice
667-716 890.010 - 891.480 A - RCC voice
717-799 891.510 - 893.970 B - Telco voice

Transmissions are FM (some systems are adding digital).
Channels are 30 kHz apart. Mobiles transmit 45 MHz below the
base (824.040-848.970) and are usually repeated by the base.
Channels 991-1023 are also identified as Chs 800-832.

------------------------------------------------------------------------

Cordless Telephone [15.233]

Chan Base Handset Chan Base Handset

1 43.72 48.76 16 46.61 49.67
2 43.74 48.84 17 B 46.63 49.845
3 43.82 48.86 18 C 46.67 49.86
4 43.84 48.92 19 46.71 49.77
5 43.92 49.02 20 D 46.73 49.875
6 43.96 49.08 21 A 46.77 49.83
7 44.12 49.10 22 E 46.83 49.89
8 44.16 49.16 23 46.87 49.93
9 44.18 49.20 24 46.93 49.99
```

10 44.20 49.24 25 46.97 49.97
11 44.32 49.28
12 44.36 49.36 Chs A - E handset frequencies
13 44.40 49.40 are also used for baby room
14 44.46 49.46 monitors and low power
15 44.48 49.50 handie-talkies.

The original 10 channels were renumbered 16-25 in June 95
when the new channels 1-15 were added.

Mobile Telephone [22.501]

VHF - 30 kHz spacing UHF - 25 kHz spacing

Base: 152.030 - 152.210 RCC 454.025 - 454.350 RCC
152.510 - 152.810 telco 454.375 - 454.650 telco
Mobile: 157.770 - 158.070 telco 459.025 - 459.350 RCC
158.490 - 158.670 RCC 459.375 - 459.650 telco

Transmissions are FM. Many of these channels may now be used
for paging, specifically 152.78, which is a nationwide page.

Air to Ground Telephone [22.521]

Ch Ground Airborne

Signaling 454.675 (459.675)
6 454.700 459.700
7 454.725 459.725
5 454.750 459.750
8 454.775 459.775
4 454.800 459.800
9 454.825 459.825
3 454.850 459.850
10 454.875 459.875
2 454.900 459.900
11 454.925 459.925
1 454.950 459.950
12 454.975 459.975

Transmissions are FM. Ground stations repeat the airborne
half of the conversation, and transmit a continuous tone when
idle. From one to four channels are assigned in a particular
area.
Service is used on private aircraft.

---

800 MHz Air to Ground Telephone [22.1107]

- - Airborne - -
Blk Ch 1 - Ch 29

10 894.0055 - 894.1735
9 894.2055 - 894.3735
8 894.4055 - 894.5735 Guard Band -
7 894.6055 - 894.7735 .x765-.x797
6 894.8055 - 894.9735
5 895.0055 - 895.1735 Control Channels -
4 895.2055 - 895.3735 .x813-.x973
3 895.4055 - 895.5735
2 895.6055 - 895.7735
1 895.8055 - 895.9735

Transmissions are AM (or AM/SSB), and converting to digital.
Channels are spaced 6 kHz apart. A specific block of 29 channels
is assigned to each geographical area. Ground frequencies are 45
MHz lower and do not echo the airborne half of the conversation.
Service is used on passenger airlines.

Television [73.603]

Ch Range Video Audio

2 54 - 60 55.250 59.750
3 60 - 66 61.250 65.750
4 66 - 72 67.250 71.750
5 76 - 82 77.250 81.750
6 82 - 88 83.250 87.750
7 174 - 180 175.250 179.750
8 180 - 186 181.250 185.750
9 186 - 192 187.250 191.750
10 192 - 198 193.250 197.750
11 198 - 204 199.250 203.750
12 204 - 210 205.250 209.750
13 210 - 216 211.250 215.750
14 470 - 476 471.250 475.750 }
15 476 - 482 477.250 481.750 } Range for Ch. N
.. ... - ... . } Low = 386 + 6*N
68 794 - 800 795.250 799.750 } High = 392 + 6*N
69 800 - 806 801.250 805.750 }

Note the gaps between chs 4/5, 6/7, and 13/14.
Freqs in the 470-512 MHz range (Ch 14-20) may be assigned to
Public Service mobile use in major metro areas. No transmitters
are allowed on 608-614 MHz (Ch 37).
Each TV channel is 6 MHz wide. The video carrier is 1.25 MHz
above the start of the range. The audio carrier is 0.25 MHz below
the end of the range. Television audio is wideband FM.
Some TV stations may be offset +/- 10 kHz.

Cable TV (IRC) [76.605]
[EIA IS-132]
Ch MHz
2 - 13 54 - 216 (same as broadcast VHF)
14 - 22 120 - 174 Mid band Ch. A-I
23 - 36 216 - 300 Super band J-W
37 - 53 300 - 402 Hyper band AA-QQ
54 - 64 402 - 468 " "
65 - 94 468 - 648 (Ultra band)
95 - 99 90 - 120 Low band A5-A1
100 -125 648 - 804 (Ultra band)

Citizens Band [95.625]

Ch Freq Ch Freq Ch Freq Ch Freq

1 26.965 11 27.085 21 27.215 31 27.315
2 26.975 12 27.105 * 22 27.225 32 27.325
3 26.985 13 27.115 23 27.255 - 33 27.335
4 27.005 * 14 27.125 24 27.235 - 34 27.345
5 27.015 15 27.135 25 27.245 - 35 27.355
6 27.025 16 27.155 * 26 27.265 36 27.365
7 27.035 17 27.165 27 27.275 37 27.375
8 27.055 * 18 27.175 28 27.285 38 27.385
9 27.065 19 27.185 29 27.295 39 27.395
10 27.075 20 27.205 * 30 27.305 40 27.405

* 26.995, 27.045, 27.095, 27.145, 27.195 => Remote Control
- note break in sequence (27.255 shared with R/C)
Modulation is primarily AM, with some SSB in use.

FRS - Family Radio Service [95.627]

Ch Freq Ch Freq

1 462.5625 8 467.5625
2 462.5875 9 467.5875
3 462.6125 10 467.6125
4 462.6375 11 467.6375
5 462.6625 12 467.6625
6 462.6875 13 467.6875
7 462.7125 14 467.7125

The FRS was authorized on 06 June 96. It uses FM with a peak
deviation of +/- 2.5 kHz. Maximum power is 0.5w ERP.
Channels 1 - 7 are shared with GMRS.

GMRS - General Mobile Radio Service [95.29]
[95.621]

Base/mobile repeater I/P

462.5500 467.5500
462.5625
462.5750 467.5750
462.5875
462.6000 467.6000
462.6125
462.6250 467.6250
462.6375
462.6500 467.6500
462.6625
462.6750 467.6750
462.6875
462.7000 467.7000
462.7125
462.7250 467.7250

In addition to the station's licensed channel, 462.675 may
be used for emergency and traveler's aid by all stations.
Interstitial channels (462.xxx5) may be used only in simplex
mode with voice transmissions of no more than 5 watts. They are
shared with the Family Radio Service.

Railroads [90.91]

Ch Freq

2 159.810
3 159.930 The channel designation is that
4 160.050 assigned by the Association of
5 160.185 American Railroads. Chs 2 thru 6
6 160.200 are used only in Canada.
7 160.215 Above channel 6, spacing is 15 kz.
8 160.230
.. .. [freq = 160.110 + .015*ch]
96 161.550
97 161.565

452.325 452.775 Shared with trucking.
452.375 452.825 Mobiles 5 MHz higher.
452.425 452.875
452.475 452.900

452.925 Slave & remote-controlled
452.950 locomotives

457.9375 ETM - End of Train Monitors
161.115 Norfolk-Southern ETMs

Marine VHF [80.871]
[80.373]
Ch Ship Ch Ship

60 156.025
1 156.050 61 156.075
2 156.100 62 156.125
3 156.150 63 156.175
4 156.200 64 156.225
.. ..
25 157.250 85 157.275
26 157.300 86 157.325
27 157.350 87 157.375
28 157.400 88 157.425

Shore stations are 4.600 MHz above the ship frequency. In
U.S. waters the shore frequency may be used on Chs 20, 24-28 &
84-87. Chs 1-5 & 60-64 are shared with other services. Chs 75
& 76 are reserved as guard bands for ch 16.
Specific assignments include:
06 156.300 Intership Safety
09 156.450 General use by recreational vessels
13 156.650 Bridge-to-Bridge
15 156.750 Weather, notices, etc
16 156.800 General Calling / Distress
22 157.100 Coast Guard Liaison

AMTS - Automated Maritime Telecommunications System [80.385]

Transmit frequencies (@ 25 kHz intervals)
Group Chs Coast Ship
D 101-120 216.0125 - 216.4875 - - - - - -
C 121-140 216.5125 - 216.9875 - - - - - -
B 141-160 217.0125 - 217.4875 219.0125 - 219.4875
A 161-180 217.5125 - 217.9875 219.5125 - 219.9875
Ship transmit frequencies in groups C & D are not authorized.
Coastal stations in groups C & D are not currently assignable.

On-board Communications: [80.373]

Ch mobile repeater
1 467.750 457.525
2 467.775 457.550
3 467.800 457.575
4 467.825 457.600

Aeronautical Frequencies [87.173]

108.000 - 117.950 NavAids - VOR & localizer, 50 kHz step
118.000 - 121.400 ATC - towers & ARTCC
121.600 - 121.925 ATC - airport ground control
122.000 - 122.650 Flight Service Stations, 50 kHz step

122.700 - 123.500 * (see below)
123.600 - 128.800 ATC - towers & ARTCC
128.825 - 132.000 Enroute - airline company freqs
132.025 - 135.975 ATC - towers & ARTCC
136.000 - 136.475 Enroute - international
136.500 - 136.975 Enroute - domestic & international

25 kHz default spacing, AM for voice

UNICOM - Aeronautical advisory station at airport [87.217]
122.700 122.725
122.800 123.000
122.950 at airport w/ full-time tower
122.975 above 10,000'
123.050 123.075 at heliports

Multicom - common freq. at uncontrolled facilities [87.241]
122.850
122.900 primary CTAF
122.925 Fed/State natural resources

FSS - FAA Flight Service Stations [87.187]
122.000 122.050 EFAS "Flight Watch"
122.100 receive only (w/VOR)
122.200 standard FSS
123.600 123.650 AAS Airport Advisory Svc

Aviation Support - training, gliders, ballons, etc [87.323]
121.950
123.300 123.500
122.775 122.850 aviation services

Misc.
121.500 standard emergency freq., ELTs
123.100 Search And Rescue - actual
122.900 Search And Rescue - training
123.100 control tower at special events
122.825 122.875 Enroute airline ops - local area
122.750 air-to-air - private arcft
123.025 air-to-air - helicopter
131.550 ACARS - digital (airlines)

Ambulances [90.27]

Hospital Ambulance
Med-9 462.950 467.950 Med-9 and Med-10 may be
Med-10 462.975 467.975 used for dispatch.
Med-1 463.000 468.000
Med-2 463.025 468.025
Med-3 463.050 468.050 Med-1 thru Med-8 are
Med-4 463.075 468.075 assigned as a block and

Med-5 463.100 468.100 any channel may be used.
Med-6 463.125 468.125
Med-7 463.150 468.150
Med-8 463.175 468.175

HEAR 155.340 Intersystem (Hosp. Emerg. Ambul. Radio)

Public Safety Intersystem

Police [90.19]
39.46 intersystem operations only
45.86 intersystem operations only
155.370 (widely used, but not a Federal allocation)
155.475 statewide emergency nets
173.075 stolen vehicle recovery (LoJack)
154.665 .680 .695 } State Police
154.905 .920 .935 } coordinated
155.445 .460 .505 } operations

Fire [90.21]
45.88 intersystem, primarily base-mobile
154.265 .280 .295 mutual aid

Emergency Medical [90.27]
155.340 HEAR - Hospital Emerg. Ambul. Radio
460.525 .550 inter/intrasystem w/ Police & Fire
(also see FAF-Ambulances for MED channels)

Public Safety - all
220.8025-220.8475 Mutual Aid (5 kHz intervals) [90.720]
866.0125 .5125 }
867.0125 .5125 } Mutual Aid [90.617]
868.0125 }

(mobile freqs: 220 +1 MHz; 460 +5 MHz; 860 -45 MHz)

Weather - NOAA broadcasts

Ch Freq.

1 162.550 161.650 } Environment Canada
2 162.400 161.775 } (marine weather)
3 162.475
4 162.425
5 162.450 The weather alert signal is
6 162.500 a 1050 kHz tone for ~5 secs.
7 162.525

Broadcast Remote Pickup [74.401]
25.87 - 26.45 @ 20/40 kHz intervals
152.87 - 153.35 @ 60 kHz intervals
161.64 - 161.76 @ 30 kHz intervals
450.050 - 450.850 } @ 50/100 kHz
455.050 - 455.850 } intervals

Film and Video Production [90.69]
152.87 152.90 152.93 152.96 152.99 153.02
173.225 173.275 173.325 173.375

Wireless mikes [90.265]
169.445 169.505 170.245 170.305
171.045 171.105 171.845 171.905
plus unused FM/TV broadcast channels [74.802]

Dot Frequencies

Dot color Other
Red 151.625 itinerant "J" Dot 467.7625
Purple 151.955 "K" Dot 467.8125
Blue 154.570 Silver Star 467.850
Green 154.600 Gold Star 467.875
White 462.575 GMRS Red Star 467.900
Black 462.625 GMRS Blue Star 467.925
Orange 462.675 GMRS
Brown 464.500 itinerant
Yellow 464.550 itinerant

"Dot" stickers are used by the manufacturer or distributer to
indicate the frequency of otherwise identical transceivers. They
are often found in rental inventories and on construction sites.
Additional itinerant frequencies include 27.49, 35.04, 43.04,
151.505, 158.400, 451.800, 456.800, 469.500 and 469.550 MHz.

Paired Frequencies

Base/Rptr mobile i/p offset

152-152 MHz 157-158 MHz +5.26 MHz (Taxi)
+5.26/+6.46 (Mobile Phone)
160-162 156-157 -4.6 (Marine VHF)
217-218 219-220 +2 (Marine AMTS)
220-221 221-222 +1
422-425 427-430 +5 (Great Lakes border)
450-465 455-470 +5 (10 MHz segments)
470-509 473-512 +3 (6 MHz segments)
851-896 806-851 -45
935-940 896-901 -39

CTCSS (PL) Frequencies

Freq (Hz)	Designator EIA	Ham	Freq (Hz)	Designator EIA	Ham	Freq (Hz)	Designator EIA	Ham
67.0	L1	01	110.9	2Z	15	179.9	6B	29
71.9	L2	02	114.8	2A	16	186.2	7Z	30
74.4	WA	03	118.8	2B	17	192.8	7A	31
77.0	L3	04	123.0	3Z	18	203.5	M1	32
79.7	SP	05	127.3	3A	19	206.5	8Z	
82.5	L4	06	131.8	3B	20	210.7	M2	33
85.4	YA	07	136.5	4Z	21	218.1	M3	34
88.5	L4A	08	141.3	4A	22	225.7	M4	35
91.5	ZZ	09	146.2	4B	23	229.1	9Z	
94.8	L5	10	151.4	5Z	24	233.6	M5	36
97.4	ZB	11	156.7	5A	25	241.8	M6	37
100.0	1Z	12	162.2	5B	26	250.3	M7	38
103.5	1A	13	167.9	6Z	27	254.1	OZ	
107.2	1B	14	173.8	6A	28			

Motorola differs from EIA with: XZ (L1), XA (L2), XB (L3),
YZ (L4), YB (L4A), ZA (L5). ICOMM does not use 97.4 and uses one
less than the amateur numbers above that (i.e. ICOM #25 = 162.2).

DTMF frequencies [Touch-Tone (r)]

	High Tone			
hz	1209	1336	1477	1633
697	1	2	3	A
Low 770	4	5	6	B
Tone 852	7	8	9	C
941	*	0	#	D

---

97. Table of Frequency Allocations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <u5syewaN#GA.315@nih2naaa.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 132956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
fcc.allocations FCCTBL.TXT
/pub/hamradio/docs/misc Hamnet Lib 2
ftp://oak.oakland.edu CompuServe
[mulligan@acm.org] [70035,140]

June 1998

FCC Frequency Allocations
--------------------------
A summary of the FCC Table of Frequency Allocations, based on
the Code of Federal Regulations - 47 CFR 2.106, with additional
information from the NTIA channel plan, et.al.

30 - 50 MHz: FM @ 20 kHz steps
30.000 - 30.560 US Government
30.560 - 31.980 Business / Industry / Forestry
31.990 - 32.000 Public Safety
32.000 - 33.000 US Government
33.000 - 33.100 Public Safety
33.120 - 33.400 Business / Petroleum
33.420 - 34.000 Fire
34.000 - 35.000 US Government
35.020 - 36.000 Business / Paging
36.000 - 37.000 US Government
37.020 - 37.420 Police / Local Govt
37.460 - 37.860 Power, Water, Pipeline
37.900 - 38.000 Highway Maint / Special Emergency
38.000 - 39.000 US Government
39.020 - 40.000 Police / Local Govt
40.000 - 42.000 US Government
42.020 - 42.940 State Police
42.960 - 43.680 Business / Paging
43.700 - 44.600 Transportation - bus, truck / Cordless base
44.620 - 45.060 State Police / Forestry Conservation
45.080 - 45.860 Police / Local Govt / Highway Maint
45.900 - 46.040 Police / Emergency
46.060 - 46.500 Fire
46.520 - 46.580 Local Govt
46.610 - 46.970 Cordless Phones - base (20/40 kHz steps)
47.020 - 47.400 Highway Maint
47.440 - 47.680 Industry / Emergency
47.700 - 49.580 Industry / Cordless handset
49.670 - 49.990 Cordless Phones - handset (irregular steps)
```

50 - 150 MHz
50.000 - 54.000 Amateur (6-meter)
54.000 - 72.000 Broadcast TV chs 2-4 (6 MHz steps - FMw)
72.000 - 76.000 (various)
[75.000] Aero - ILS marker beacon
76.000 - 88.000 Broadcast TV chs 5-6 (6 MHz steps - FMw)
88.100 - 107.900 FM Broadcast (200 kHz steps - FMw)
108.000 - 117.950 Aero - VOR and ILS localizer (50 kHz steps)
118.000 - 136.975 Aero - communications (25 kHz steps - AM)
[121.500] Aero - emergency (international)
137.000 - 138.000 Satellite
138.000 - 144.000 US Government - land mobile (25 kHz steps)
144.000 - 148.000 Amateur (2-meter)
148.000 - 149.900 US Government - fixed/mobile, satellite
149.900 - 150.050 Satellite - radionavigation
150.050 - 150.800 US Government - fixed/mobile

150 - 162 MHz: FM @ 15 kHz steps
150.815 - 150.965 Auto Emergency
150.995 - 151.595 Highway / Forestry / Industry
151.625 - 151.955 Business (30 kHz steps)
152.030 - 152.240 Mobile phone (Base) / Page (30 kHz steps)
152.270 - 152.450 Taxi (Base)
152.510 - 152.840 Mobile phone (Base) / Page (30 kHz steps)
152.870 - 153.725 Industry
153.740 - 154.445 Fire / Govt (mobile)
154.452 - 154.482 Industry (telemetry) (7.5 kHz steps)
154.490 - 154.625 Industry
154.650 - 156.240 Police / Govt / Emrgncy / Hwy
156.025 - 157.425 Maritime (ship) (25 kHz steps)
157.470 - 157.515 Auto Emergency
157.530 - 157.710 Taxi (mobile) / Business
157.770 - 158.100 Mobile phone (mobile) / Page (30 kHz steps)
158.130 - 158.460 Industry
158.490 - 158.700 Mobile phone (mobile) / Page (30 kHz steps)
158.730 - 159.210 Police / Govt / Highway
159.225 - 159.465 Forestry Conservation
159.495 - 160.200 Transportation - bus, truck
160.215 - 161.610 Railroad
160.625 - 160.950 Maritime - Coast (25 kHz steps)
161.640 - 161.760 { Broadcast Pickups
161.500 - 162.025 { Maritime - Coast (25 kHz steps)

162 - 450 MHz
162.025 - 174.000 mainly US Govt - land mobile (12.5/25 kHz steps)
[173.075] LoJack
174.000 - 216.000 Broadcast TV chs 7-13 (6 MHz steps - FMw)
216.000 - 218.000 Maritime - AMTS, coast (25 kHz steps)
218.000 - 219.000 IVDS - Interactive Video & Data
219.000 - 220.000 Maritime - AMTS, ship (25 kHz steps)
220.0025- 220.9975 General (trunked) - base (5 kHz steps)
221.0025- 221.9975 General (trunked) - mobile (" " ")

222.000 - 225.000 Amateur (1.25-meter)
225.000 - 328.600 US Government - Aero (50/100 kHz steps - AM)
[243.000] Aero - military emergency
328.600 - 335.400 Aero - ILS glide path (50 kHz steps)
335.400 - 400.000 US Government - Aero (50/100 kHz steps - AM)
400.000 - 406.000 US Govt - Meteorological / Space
[404.370] Wind Profiler radar
[406.025] Emergency Locator Transmitter (ELT)
406.100 - 420.000 US Govt - land mobile (12.5/25 kHz steps)
420.000 - 450.000 { Amateur (70cm) / military long-range radar
422.200 - 430.000 { land mobile in Detroit, Cleveland, Buffalo

450 - 460 MHz: FM @ 25 kHz steps (450-455 base, 455-460 mobile)
12.5 kHz steps for 2 watt use (451-454 & 456-459)
450.050 - 450.925 Auxiliary Broadcasting
451.025 - 452.025 Industry
452.050 - 452.500 Taxi / Industry / Transport
452.525 - 452.600 Automobile Emergency
452.625 - 452.950 Transportation - Trucks / Railroad
452.975 - 453.000 Relay Press
453.025 - 453.975 Local Govt / Public Safety
454.025 - 454.650 Mobile Telephone
454.675 - 454.975 Mobile Telephone Air (ground)
455.050 - 455.925 Auxiliary Broadcasting
456.025 - 457.025 Industry
457.050 - 457.500 Taxi / Industry / Transport
457.525 - 457.600 {Maritime - shipboard repeater (mobiles +10.225)
{Business - low power
457.625 - 457.950 Transportation - Trucks / Railroad
457.975 - 458.000 Relay Press
458.025 - 458.975 Public Safety / Local Govt
459.025 - 459.650 Mobile Telephone
459.675 - 459.975 Mobile Telephone Air (airborne)

460 - 470 MHz: FM @ 25 kHz steps (460-465 base, 465-470 mobile)
12.5 kHz steps for 2 watt use
460.025 - 460.550 Police / Public Safety
460.575 - 460.625 Fire
460.650 - 460.875 Business - Airport use
460.900 - 461.000 Business - Central Alarms
461.025 - 462.175 Business
462.200 - 462.525 Manufacturers / Industry
462.550 - 462.725 GMRS (12.5 kHz steps)
462.750 - 462.925 Business (paging)
462.950 - 463.175 MED (Ambulance/Hospital)
463.200 - 465.000 Business
465.025 - 465.550 Police / Public Safety
465.575 - 465.625 Fire
465.650 - 465.875 Business - Airport use
465.900 - 466.000 Business - Central Alarms
466.025 - 467.175 Business

467.200 - 467.525 Manufacturers / Industry
467.550 - 467.725 GMRS (25 kHz steps)
467.750 - 467.925 {Business (2w, telemetry)
467.750 - 467.825 {Maritime - shipboard (rptr -10.225)
467.950 - 468.175 MED (Ambulance/Hospital)
468.200 - 469.975 Business

470 - 806 MHz: 6 MHz per channel, wide FM audio
470.0625- 511.8875 { Broadcast TV, chs 14-20
{ Large Metro Public Safety (25 kHz steps - FM)
512.000 - 806.000 Broadcast TV, Chs 21-69

806 - 896 MHz: FM @ 25 kHz steps (mobile 806-851, base 851-896)
806.0125- 809.7375 General - conventional
809.7625- 810.9875 General - single channels
811.0125- 815.9875 General - trunked
816.0125- 820.9875 SMR - trunked
821.0125- 823.9875 Public Safety - trunked (12.5 kHz steps)
824.040 - 834.360 Cellular Telephone (30 kHz steps)
834.390 - 835.620 Cellular Telephone (data) (30 kHz steps)
835.650 - 848.970 Cellular Telephone (30 kHz steps)
849.0055- 850.9735 Aircraft Telephone (6 kHz steps - AM)
851.0125- 854.7375 General - conventional
854.7625- 855.9875 General - single channels
856.0125- 860.9875 General - trunked
861.0125- 865.9875 SMR - trunked
866.0125- 868.9875 Public Safety - trunked (12.5 kHz steps)
869.040 - 879.360 Cellular Telephone (30 kHz steps)
879.390 - 880.620 Cellular Telephone (data) (30 kHz steps)
880.650 - 893.970 Cellular Telephone (30 kHz steps)
894.0055- 895.9735 Aircraft Telephone (6 kHz steps - AM)

896 - 1400 MHz:
896.000 - 901.000 SMR/Business/Industry - mobile (12.5 kHz steps)
901.000 - 902.000 Personal Communications Services
902.000 - 928.000 Amateur (33cm) / USGovt radar / various secondary
[915.000] microwave ovens
928.000 - 929.000 Multiple Address Systems (Muzak, et al)
929.000 - 930.000 paging
930.000 - 931.000 Personal Communications Services - base
931.000 - 932.000 (paging)
932.000 - 935.000 US Govt - low-capacity fixed links (FAA)
935.000 - 940.000 SMR/Business/Industry - base (12.5 kHz steps)
940.000 - 941.000 Personal Communications Services - base
941.000 - 944.000 US Govt - low-capacity fixed links (FAA)
944.000 - 952.000 Broadcast Auxiliary - STL (studio-xmiter link)
952.000 - 960.000 Multiple Address Systems (Muzak, et al)
960.000 -1215.000 { Aero navigation - DME/TACAN (w/ assoc. VOR)
{ US Govt - Joint Tactical Info. Distrib. Sys.
[1030.000] ATC Radar Beacon System (query)
[1090.000] ATC Radar Beacon System (parrot)

1215.000 -1240.000 US Govt - GPS Radionavigation Satellite
1240.000 -1300.000 { Amateur (23cm)
1240.000 -1350.000 { Aero - Air Route Surveillance Radar
1350.000 -1400.000 US Govt - military tactical & air-ground data

98. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Stewart <aim.stew@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <76j1lq$6og$1@camel25.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132957

Test please excuse. Thanks

99. Re: How to Enjoy Your Scanner on the Airplane this Holiday Season

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: voron3@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101125414.25357.00005338@ng30.aol.com>
Source record: 132958

About those headphones. Why not just buy them once and then keep them? when you get them home use some 600 grit wetsand and sand off the airlines logo and they cant say a thing about it.

100. BC3000xlt, is it any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: voron3@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101130134.25357.00005341@ng30.aol.com>
Source record: 132959

I am wanting to break into the scanning hobby and this scanner appeals to me. Is it any good. I want to get all the freqs possible, trunking and ease of modification would be nice too. Is there another scanner less thatn $400.00 better suitd to my needs?

101. Re: MDT Freq's on Long Island?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: DG <dgrah@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <76j3in$d1p@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

DG wrote in message <76ebjl$5ca@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>...
>
>jcncpe80@yahoo.co wrote in message <368AA95F.249C@yahoo.co>...
>>Does anyone have any freq's for mdt on long island, prefably nassau
>>county?
>>Thanks.
>>
>>J.c.
>
>The only one I have got a MDT program to work with here in Nassau is
>854.9625.I use an ICOM PCR-1000 with a discone and TV antenna combined at a
>mast mounted amp with two inputs and one output(RG-6 downlead).The 854.9625
>signal is very weak but useable by me.I believe it is NYC Emergency
Medical.
>
And here is another 855.2155 with a sample:

Terminal: 34759F34A9007087D2A4

#2393 105F 53C2 UNC 2 UNCONSCIOUS PATIENT
130-31

Terminal: 34759F34880409878773

338----RO8692 NTFD---OPR 1206 C76+ (8692)

Terminal: 063024A4A90020872577

AREA

Terminal: 063024A48A003987329F

9/CREW 1400
>C472 97 12:08

Terminal: 31221D6CA00C0987CEDF

ASSIGNED: UNAV ENROUTE : UNAV

Terminal: 34759F34A900108787C1

ulance Call #990012393 NYPD Job #9900108594 NYFD Job
#99001.5780.03.5.0276Ente
red 01/01/99 13:02:25 by CR07/8692
Assigned 13:02:35 by QN3D/0864

Type: UNC (UNCONSCIOUS PATIENT) Segment: 2
.

Terminal: 34759F34AB0021878B57

[0oLocation: 130-31 230 ST NEAR 131 AV Borough: QN
Area: Q2 Atom: 105F ACD: 1206
Name: GLASSCO Phone: 527-9338 Relay: PPD Pct: 105F Loc: 130-31 230 ST
Low: 13

Terminal: 34759F34AB0043876E01

PPLEMENT-PD (T16) ----ANI ALI STS GLASSCO WILLIAM----SPRINGFIELD
GARDENS----OPR
1206 C76+ (8692)
1

Terminal: 34759F34AB005487F7D9

NAME: "" TO "FC GLASSCO" PHONE: " " TO "7185279338" (8692)
13:02:25 ENTRY (8692) CSP F/90,,,UNC,,, FAGN,,NFI MALIGNANT BRAIN TUMOR BASE
OF
FOR 2 YRS,,,,
13:02:25 FINAL ACK SENT TO PD (8692)
13:02:25 FIR

---

102. Kansas Column 01-99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <andyb@qni.com>
Message-ID: <368D110C.864CB90F@qni.com>
Source record: 132961

Kansas Column 01-99

Well, it's been a good year so far. Three hundred sixty four more days
to go.

Before we jump into the column, I got a request from David Stearns to
put a note in the column to see how many of you would be interested in
MilCom (Military Communications) in our area. Kansas is not void of
military bases and installationsï¿½there is a lot to hear around here. I
know of several of you that have been MilCom listeners, so perhaps we
can whip up some more interest with others.
Dr. Sm0ke! Could you put a link to David's e-mail address on your web
page for folks that would enjoy getting into MilCom? I don't know the
mechanics/feasibility of doing thatï¿½I know next to nothing about
maintaining a web pageï¿½but it might generate some interest. His E-mail
is d_stearns@hotmail.com

Last request before the column. Michael B. from the Osage County area
needs frequency information for his location. Anyone have anything
better than what Police Call provides? If so, send it in and we'll get
it to him. (There is a list in the first section of the column that has
a few freqs for the area.) Welcome to the group, Michael.

1. Mostly Topeka/Shawnee County info, a little KHP and a bit of Wichita.
2. Columbia MO trunked system. (The column is spreading out to central
MO!)
3. Tour of KHP in Salina
4. Troop D and E dispatch going, going, darn near gone!
5. KHP/KDOT map projectï¿½want to get involved?
6. Johnson County MDTs
7. Railroad fans, take note!
8. Kansas City, KS radio numbers
9. Pro-Turbo and trunk following
10. News article about Garden City's new trunked system and one about
encryption.

--
1. Jim from Topeka checked in with an apology for not contributing as of
late. He had some excuse about needing to work on his new house.
C'mon, Jim. You gotta remember what your priorities are! Just kidding,
of courseï¿½but it is too bad when things like work, family, or the house
get in the way of scanning.
He is thinking of getting into the trunk tracking rage with many of us
scanner geeks. He has the PRO-2005 and is going to get the
OPTOElectronics board which is almost all he needs. The Topeka/Shawnee

County trunked system is fairly busy, so it ought to be interesting. The signal comes in reasonably well here in Gardner, but Kansas City MO uses some of the same frequencies. That produces some interference that usually isn't too bad, but when it comes booming in on the data channel for Shawnee County, I just turn the rotator back east and forget listening to Topeka.

KHP's GPS/MDT system is in use in the Topeka area. If you listen to 868.8625 (conventional repeater) you will hear some goofy "chirps" every once in a while. Jim has had some close encounters with it, and he notes that it updates the car's position at every radio transmission or every 15 minutes, plus dispatch can query the unit anytime they wish. Accuracy is about 10-15 feet.

Troopers can change status by merely depressing a button for 10-7, 10-8, 10-42, 10-23, 10-44 and 10-32. There might be more. Some of the Troopers complain about backlighting problems with the "Nintendo," as they call it. The backlighting problem consists of no backlighting at all. Another engineering marvel!

Dispatch has a screen with a statewide map that displays all of the on-duty units. More ominous signs of central dispatch! The map has all the Federal, State and County roads displayed, as well as mile markers and user inserted landmarks. I like the idea of custom landmarks. Officers occasionally need to make reference to landmarks to get their bearings. For instance, "mile marker 221" may mean nothing to the officer, but "the stretch of road where old Leonard Fotch's barn used to be until it got blown down in the twister of '88" could be exactly what he needs to help him figure out where something is.

Another feature is the ability to calculate distances between two points. It will calculate the distance "as the crow flies, point A to B, and following a road."

So far there is no messaging capability.

When Jim isn't listening to his trunked radio at work, he monitors the following. The list isn't all encompassing, he says, nor is it in any order. Here it is:

154.680 KTA troopers
151.100 KTA toll booths
155.625 Jackson Co. Sheriff
154.845 Jefferson Co. Sheriff
462.950 AMR
460.150 Osage Co. Sheriff
460.425 Pott Co. Sheriff
460.450 Shawnee Co. hotline (to AMR)
460.025 Shawnee Co. jail
453.800 Topeka fire (Simulcast dispatch on 800)
158.835 Shawnee Co. rural fire pagers
158.805 MTAA (Airport police)
867.675 KUPD
868.8625 KHP Lawrence tower
867.3375 Douglas Co. Sheriff
146.670 Shawnee Co storm spotters (Ham radio)

44.980 KHP dispatch (simulcast of 800)
Jim says, "I also scan the NPSPAC calling/mutual aid freqs of 866.0125 and 867.0125 as there are repeaters in Shawnee co. for them, but as yet have heard no traffic. A dispatcher told me that no one really even listens to them."
More from Jim. "ï¿½The county road and bridge dept has moved to the 800 system. They did not buy mobile radios for all vehicles, only handheld radios. For anyone listening to the system (Shawnee County trunked system) and hearing traffic hard to ID, the KS Expo center is using 800 radios. This includes security and maintenance for all events at the expo. The talk is that the city busses will move also. (They are still on 453.750) It appears that the Co. jail will stay on UHF due to very poor radio transmission inside all the concrete."
For those of you with Trunker that listen to Shawnee County's trunked system, Jim has the following tidbit about the IDs for their radios. All Topeka PD radios have IDs that follow the 702XXX format, and Sheriff's Deputy radios use the 704XXX arrangement. That boils down to a 4 digit ID numbers that begins with a 2 for the PD and a 4 for deputies.
Jim's last installment this month deals with the Wichita area. He has an acquaintance that dispatches for Stafford County in St. John, which is south of Great Bend. His contact there reports that she no longer hears Wichita KHP on 44.980. She assumes they have completely abandoned low band and have moved to 800 MHz.
Great stuff, Jim. Thanks.

--
2. Jason lives in Columbia MO, and recently acquired a Trunking Scanner. I've been through Columbia a few times. Nice town, but I hear their basketball team isn't what it could be. ONLY KIDDING! I don't follow college ball at all, but I had to put some sort of a dig in there.
So far he claims he isn't an expert with the TrunkTracker yet, but he has come up with the following information for the Columbia system.

Frequencies

856.2125, 857.2125, 858.2125, 859.2125, 860.2125

These IDs are not necessarily 100%. He is in the process of confirming them, and will send us more as he gets it.

14400----POLICE (MUPD 1)
11216----POLICE (MUPD 2)
28848----HEARNES CENTER
40000----SECURITY
32048----REC CENTER
41616----MEMORIAL UNION
20816----???
22416----???
33616----???

1616-----???
1632-----???
1648-----???
3201-----???
3216-----???
3376-----???

I have one suggestion for finding new talkgroups that Jason has probably thought of, but here goes. Anytime there is a special event at the college or in town, stay home, listen in and see what new IDs pop up. A true scanner addict will forego attending the special event in order to sit at home and listen to the scanner. Make notes, too. You might see a trend after several sessions like that.
Thanks for the info, Jason.
---
3. Don spent some time training at KHP HQ in Salina last month. I'm sure he learned some stuff, but the important part is the tour he got of the facility. KHP's new combined dispatch center sounds pretty hi-tech so far. (See item #1 in this column, too.) Don's e-mail to me is reproduced here, so read on:
"Anyway, included was a tour of the new Combined Dispatch Center. 14 Motorola Gold Elite consoles and CAD. Currently running 2 all the time, and they pick up Troop D (Norton) at night as of last month. Troop E (Garden City) drops the night shift in 2 weeks. As is, they are set up to transmit on any tower in the State right now (44 or 800 MHz) but don't have the telephone connections except to Norton. Kinda neat setup! I asked about 800 in Central area, was told planned turn up in the spring. All towers are up, except the one at Wichita, which I was told the holdup is its going to have to be too tall to be safely put by the KHP Office (ever seen ice come off a really tall tower?.....does the description "elephants and javelins raining down" clue you in?) It appeared they do not have all the equipment installed at Salina yet, and the tower outside dispatch in still bare except for 1 microwave dish."
Good stuff. I still think that KHP Dispatch in Salina might be a fine place for a major get-together for us all. Once they get up and running in full force we can toss it around. There are a lot of names seen in our little group that don't have faces attached to them yet, and it could be the ideal time to take care of that problem.
---
4. Got a note from Dale that dovetails nicely with Don's information about Central Dispatch. He heard that Troop D (Norton) will be completely taken over by Central Dispatch by June, and Troop E (Garden City) by July.
Dale continues with, "KDOT has some of the probable tower locations spotted for the new 800 system for troop E."

West edge of Scott City at the KDOT shop, Free standing 240'
K-96 at a spot in the road called Whitelaw in Greeley County.
Approx. 3 miles east of Ness City on K-96

Approx. 6-8 miles South of Syracuse on K-27
At the K-27 and K-51 junction North of Elkhart in Morton County

"They are definitely trying to get the system set up here by the 18th to
let Salina take over the midnight shift at troop E. They are going to be
working on the phone system on the 17th and 18th so it will be ready by
the 18th."
Keep us informed, Dale. Once this Centralized Dispatch thing is
completed there ought to be some real good monitoring for us all.

--
5. Steve sent an e-mail to me wanting to know if there was a list or map
that had all the tower/repeater sites for the new KS 800 MHz system.
There are a couple of them floating around for the eastern third of the
state, but I've seen nothing for anything out west. This made Steve and
me kick around the idea of trying to develop our own map/list from what
sources we have available.
With my schedule I doubt I'd be able to do it alone, and Steve is
probably just as busy, so what do you think about everyone finding out
where towers are and putting all the information into one big pot,
cooking it, and seeing if what comes out is edible?
We could get locations from many sources, including Police Call, FCC
files, driving around and finding them, friends at KHP and KDOT, etc.
Ideally the information would have frequencies and use, but even if the
location is all that is known we could use it to piece the puzzle
together. Some of this month's contributors have good examples of the
stuff we'd need. Check out Dale's info in section #4.
I'd be glad to be the clearinghouse for it, so what d'ya think? Once we
get enough info, I'd put it in a list and on a map to be distributed to
everyone. Send what you have to me and we'll get started.
Bob, (Dr. Sm0ke) has agreed to set aside some space on his web site
(http://www.crcltd.com/sm0ke/scanner/) for some maps. Once we have a
finished product we'll get it to him, too.
Steve has been doing some snooping already, and found one 5 miles east
of Mankato, situated on a hill on HW 36. I will check out Police Call
to see if there is a set of freqs associated to any towers there. The
hunt has started!

--
6. A friend of mine that works for Johnson County tells me that the
Sheriff's Office is testing a packet cluster mobile data computer for
running tags and names though the local computer system (ALERT) and
NCIC. He didn't mention any messaging capabilities, so most of the fun
stuff is still good old analog FM voice. I've been hearing some odd
chirping on their VHF frequency 158.730. That is probably it.
Some folks lament the coming of such things as MDTs (Mobile Data
Terminals.) Places like Las Vegas use them extensively for dispatching
calls, but last time I was there I still heard plenty of action on the
airwaves. Yeah, you might miss the original dispatch of the call, but
much of the important stuff must be voice. Imagine trying to chase a

robbery suspect and give your locations via MDT at 100 MPH? How about a
big barfight or field search or foot chase? None of those things would
have much, if any, occasion to be communicated about by MDT.
I think we are still in good shape in spite of MDTs.

--
7. John made me aware of a news-group on the net called KC.Rail. I
poked around in it and found some stuff that would probably be
interesting to you railroad fans. If you are not familiar with
newsgroups, or can't get them directly where you are (for instance, here
at work we can't "subscribe" to newsgroups) you can get them easily if
you go to WWW.Dejanews.com." When you get to the Dejanews page, type
"KC.Rail" in the search window and hit enter. The rest is
self-explanatory.
Railroad scanning has never been tops on my list. I'm sorry, but I
just can't seem to get into it. Perhaps it's because I have no idea
what they are talking about! Regardless, it would be a good idea to
have at least a basic list of rail freqs on hand for the day when the
big derailment takes place and concentrated rat poison from an
overturned tank car gets spread all over the neighborhoodï¿½especially if
it is your neighborhood! This newsgroup may be just the ticket.
Thanks, John.

--
8. Interested in the radio numbering system for Kansas City KS? I dug
up the following, and though it isn't exhaustive, it ought to give a
listener a basic idea to whom he is listening.

KCK units don't change radio numbers with shifts. Unit 116 is the same
on days, eves and mids. With that in mind, here is what I found:

Patrol Units
100 series Center Zone
200 series West Zone
300 series South Zone
Within the zones are districts. The number of districts varies with
the zones. Put a 2-digit district number after the zone and you have
the unit number.

Center zone districts are 11, 12, 13, etc.
West Zone districts are 21, 22, 23, etc.
South Zone districts areï¿½you guessed itï¿½31, 32, 33, etc.
Patrol Sgts.' number end with a 20, 30, or 40. (320 would be a south
zone Sgt.)
Lieutenants' numbers end in 10. 110, 210 and 310 are examples.
The SCORE team (the guys that show up at your front door wearing all
black in the middle of the night and surprise you!) are the 400s.
Detectives are the 500 series.
Traffic units are in the 600s.
The paddy wagons are 149 and 349.
Community Policing folks are the 700 series.

When you hear someone called "cruiser" followed by a number, that is the brass. The chief, majors, and other "Illustrious Potentates" fall into that category.

---

9. I like Pro-Turbo. It has been highlighted in a few of the recent columns. Pro-Turbo is a Windows program that operates with Bill Cheek's CE-232 computer interface. The CE-232 is a whole 'nuther story, so I won't go into that here, but let it suffice to say it is a fine computer/scanner interface. Pro-Turbo has a feature that allows one computer to run Trunker, feed the information to a second computer that runs Pro-Turbo, which then blends them together for a trunked AND conventional scanner all in one.

Some argumentative types have said that it is much too expensive to get all that equipment (2 computers, etc.) together compared to buying a TrunkTracker. Maybe so, but if already have most of the stuff, why not try it? It works very well, and will do some things that no TrunkTracker will do. The next paragraph is an example.

By itself, Pro-Turbo is a slick program. My favorite function of Pro-Turbo is the digital recorder, which records audio to your hard-drive for easy playback. With this new feature I can now easily record traffic on a trunked system. Sure, I used to use a cassette recorder, but it was cumbersome, unreliable, and limited to about 45 minutes of traffic.

There is one limitation with this setup, but it is not a big problem. Currently the Pro-Turbo/Trunker combo can't make note of which talkgroup is being recorded. If you let it cook on all talkgroups you wouldn't have any idea which TG you were listening to upon playback. My normal modus operandi is to record one TG so I can try to identify it, anyway, so this is perfect for me.

The fellows that developed Pro-Turbo are always open to suggestions, so I might drop them a line and see if there is a way to record traffic and be able to ID the talkgroup, too.

If you want to learn more about all this, go to http://www.iaw.on.ca/~jabba/pro-turbo.htm. Go to the bottom of the page and click on the link to Bill Cheek and CommTronics. On that page you will find several links. One is about the CE-232, another is about tracking trunked signals with Pro-Turbo and the CE-232. Check out both of them.

You may think I have some stock in one or both of these companies. I don't. Both of the products are just flat outstanding and I like them. Since I put the column togetherï¿½I'll tell everyone that reads it just what I think about them. So there!

While I'm hawking web sites, I should mention Dr. Sm0ke's site again. http://www.crcltd.com/sm0ke/scanner/ Lots of goodies for us scanner nuts.

---

10. Dale sent some clippings from the Garden City newspaper. Read onï¿½

From the Garden City Telegram 11-30-98
By Scott Rochat staff writer

"Radio system goes online "

They're on the air.
Garden City's new 800-megahertz radio system went online Wednesday, giving all city departments except police access to a more flexible system, said Dave Reynolds of the city engineering department.
The project, Reynolds said, cost less than $130,000 - well under the original estimate of $168,200 given by Leader Telecommunication Consulting Inc. last June.
"It was quite the project," Reynolds said. "It took about two years from the time it started until now."
The system is built around one five-channel repeater, 90 mobile units and 60 hand-held units. The system handles five conversations simultaneously, with a sixth user being given a busy signal until the line clears. The system was strongly preferred by the city to the old 40 MHz system, which the consultant said provided weak coverage in many areas of town and none at all outside a mile radius, suffered frequent interference and often got crowded in emergency situations.
Reynolds said the new system, set up on the former Wheatland tower on West St. John Street, actually outperformed the original specifications, which had called for a 10-mile range. Shortly after setting up, the system was able to pick up a unit near Scott City.
"We haven't found any place in the city where it doesn't work yet," Reynolds said. "Except for the northeast corner of the county, it pretty well covers the whole county. It gets a little spotty outside the city but it looks pretty solid."
The "solid" coverage includes local basements and storm shelters.
A lot of preparation went into adopting the system. Reynolds had heard "horror stories" about Wichita's new $13 million system, with some sources saying the Wichita system was fine, but others saying that coverage vanished in some buildings of the city.
So Reynolds rented some equipment and did a site test of his own last April checking 300 different locations. The system achieved 96.4 percent reliability.
Equipment was improved to make certain of coverage, with larger coaxial cable put into place and a "tower-top pre-amp" installed to boost the signal.
One possible side effect of the new system might make it difficult for casual listeners of the police scanners, however. If the system is ever adopted by the police dispatch center, the channels will become much harder to scan.
"The way it works now," Reynolds said, 'the police have one frequency (159.075 MHz) and they're always on the same frequency. With the new system, they would be on five different frequencies ... so, by mathematics, it decreases by five your chances of hearing anything."
Scanners capable of picking up the 800 MHz range do exist, Reynolds said, but they're usually a couple of hundred dollars more expensive than standard ones.
Garden City Police Chief James' Hawkins said the frequency would not be

a "scrambled" one, just harder to access.
When the Law Enforcement Center is completed, Hawkins said, the police department will be looking at either acquiring an improved version of the system it has now (what Reynolds called "the same thing in a new box") or becoming 800 MHz capable itself.
The police dispatch center also handles communications for the county sheriffs department, fire department and other emergency services.
Hawkins said suspects had tried to elude the police before by listening to the scanners, but the department had ways of dealing with that.
"Most of our frequencies are on a repeater," Hawkins said. "We have repeater towers throughout the community that bounce (the message) from place to place. If we need to do something more covert, we'll go on a channel that's not repeated."
The result is a shorter-range signal, but also one that generally doesn't hit most of the scanners.

From the Garden City Telegram 11-30-98
"Technology may silence scanners"

NASHV=, Tenn. (AP) -Lori Baehl has listened to police scanners since she was a girl, imagining chaotic scenes where officers yell to be heard above the sound of sirens.
Now the crackle on the other end may grow silent.
New technology that allows police to scramble their signals are being adopted by more and more law enforcement departments, ending years of public eavesdropping.
When Nashville switches on its new $35 million communications system in 2000, Baehl fears she'll go into 'scanner withdrawal."
"I used to have it on pretty much all the time," said Baehl, a 34-year-old legal secretary who still listens most nights.
Although police like to have the public's help when looking for a suspect, many departments feel they need more privacy. Suspects sometimes stay a few steps ahead of police simply by listening to police chatter.
"Police scanners in the past have been notorious for leaking sensitive information," said Patrick Bernardo, publisher of Law Enforcement Technology magazine in Fort Atkinson, Wis. "Anyone with a scanner can easily find out where law enforcement is. It's no secret the bad guys are well aware of that."
The police department in Jackson, Tenn., is spending $3 million for a new communications system that will scramble transmissions. It should be in place by the end of the year.
"We make a raid on a crack house, and it's not unusual to see scanners in there," Chief Ricky Staples said.
While Nashville and Jacksons scrambled systems are not operational yet, other departments already have made the switch. Among them: Honolulu; Rogers, Ark.; and Des Moines, Iowa.

Well, well, well. Isn't that nice. In the second article, the author calls monitoring police calls "eavesdropping." The dictionary defines "eavesdropping" as "to listen secretly to what is said in private."

First, I don't listen secretly. You don't either. We listen in our cars, at air shows, on trains, and while we stroll down the street. Hardly a secret activity.
Second, what is broadcast over the airwaves is NOT private! (Except cellular and cordless phonesï¿½thanks a lot Washington.) Since we openly listen to what is said for anyone to hear, we are NOT eavesdroppers. Just try to tell that to the ignorant press and public. I said ignorant, not stupid. If they were educated about the hobby they would think differently. I ain't gonna hold my breath, though.

That's about it for this columnï¿½and for 1998. 1998 was a good year, but then I've always been partial to even number years. Perhaps 1999 will be just as good, even though it isn't divisible by 2.

See you in February,

Andy

103. Need info about Motorola radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <76j44g$asb@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132962

My father-in-law owns a rubbish hauling business and is offering to sell me two Motorola Radius GP350 handheld radios. Each has two channels and includes an antenna and battery, but I do not know much about these. Where can I get a battery charger and how much would that cost? Most importantly, what band are these made for? VHF? UHF? Power output? And what would be a fair price to pay for them (they work but only have one channel programmed)? Thanks!

104. Need Regency HX-1000 battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: garretw@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101133339.06018.00004696@ng139.aol.com>
Source record: 132963

I would like to know if anyone still sells the nicad battery pack for this scanner. The part number is a MA-917.Tthanks in advance.

105. Re: Alantic Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Lyle <blyle@pathcom.com>
Message-ID: <76j46ijua1@newsmaster.pathcom.com>
Source record: 132964

Sure - http://www.interlog.com/~ahr

Regards!

Bob

dave archer wrote in message
<17439-367E8422-6@newsd-164.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
Dose any one have the web page for
Alantic Radio ???

born to be wild (thats my theme)

106. Re: BC3000xlt, is it any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <368D18B5.19B37B6C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132965

Voron3 wrote:

> I am wanting to break into the scanning hobby and this scanner appeals to me.
> Is it any good. I want to get all the freqs possible, trunking and ease of
> modification would be nice too. Is there another scanner less thatn $400.00
> better suitd to my needs?

The BC3000 doesn't do trunking, but is an otherwise decent scanner.
For TrunkTracking in a handheld, get the BC-235XLT or Radio Shack
Pro-90 (nearly identical radios) or Pro-91. But these handheld Trunk-
Trackers don't cover the Mil-Air band from 225-400 MHz which the
3000 does. It's apples vs. oranges, which flavor do you like better?

For a scanner that does all of the above, you'll need to get a BC-895
or Optocom, or one of the other desktop/mobile scanners out there
running Trunktracker software that also cover all the frequencies you
want.

Ease of modification: Forget it, at least in the US, they are no longer
"easily" modified for expanded frequency coverage, but many other
performance and flexibility mods are available for many scanners.

Happy scanning,
Jon

107. Re: What to do with no-display Pro-43?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Szablowski <aszablowski@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <76j5j3$cah@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>How do you get the rubber contact strip to stick to the PC board, or
is
>this not necessary? My display will work if I hold the display
together,
>but when I let go it disappears.

Yeah, that was ticking me off pretty good yesterday so I put it down
to take a breather. I tried crazy gluing it onto the display by
applying the glue to just the outside ends and around the top of the
strip onto the back of the display. You have to keep the inside faces
clean where they meet. That's the pain. <g>
--
Regards,
Tony

108. Re: Local Police seem to have dead carrier on freq,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marvin K. Hoffman" <hoffmanmk@appstate.campus.mci.net>
Message-ID: <368D1A0A.765F@appstate.campus.mci.net>
Source record: 132967

Do you have cable tv? There could be a leak. Also, there have been
periodic comments about light dimmers and other electronic controls
putting out interfering signals.

Try this. Does the interference continue when you are away from home or
is it specific to your immediate neighborhood? That may tell you
something about how localized the interference is.

Mile-Hi wrote:
>
> Yes, I thought the same but I have 3 scanners doing it now, a 800xlt,
> bc200xlt & a PCR-1000
>
> Tim
>
> On 1 Jan 1999 06:39:30 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Emoney250) wrote:
>
> >Just an idea. I had a RS Pro-62 about a year ago and the same thing happened
> >(freq is 154.875). I took my scanner in and turned on other Pro-62 in the
> >store and the same thing happened!! I turned on a Pro-60 and, wella, it was
> >fine. Freak or coincidence. Who knows. Just a thought to share with you.
> >Needless to say, I returned my Pro-62 and got another model.

109. Re: Looking to add AOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dan baxter <dandeb11@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <76j40k$3jq$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132968

javiation at www.javiation.com if you had bought in dec they would throw a computer connection in for free. If you tell him you couldnt get in touch with them they may give you the deall anyway 440.00 dollars
Mark Thornton wrote in message <368C1911.3BF88292@usit.net>...
>I am looking to add an AOR to my list of scanner equipment in the near
>future. I am very interested in the AR8000. Can anyone give me a good
>place to purchase this radio at the best price? Thanks for any replies.
>

110. Re: Local Police seem to have dead carrier on freq,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <368d1f43.10195209@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132969

I will try and put my handheld in the car and drive around with a
rubber duck antena and see if it's around here, I know the County has
to use a repeater system due to the size of county. (over 1800 sq.
miles)
Tim

On Fri, 01 Jan 1999 13:55:06 -0500, "Marvin K. Hoffman"
<hoffmanmk@appstate.campus.mci.net> wrote:

>Do you have cable tv? There could be a leak. Also, there have been
>periodic comments about light dimmers and other electronic controls
>putting out interfering signals.
>
>Try this. Does the interference continue when you are away from home or
>is it specific to your immediate neighborhood? That may tell you
>something about how localized the interference is.
>
>
>
>Mile-Hi wrote:
>>
>> Yes, I thought the same but I have 3 scanners doing it now, a 800xlt,
>> bc200xlt & a PCR-1000
>>
>> Tim
>>
>> On 1 Jan 1999 06:39:30 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Emoney250) wrote:
>>
>> >Just an idea. I had a RS Pro-62 about a year ago and the same thing happened
>> >(freq is 154.875). I took my scanner in and turned on other Pro-62 in the
>> >store and the same thing happened!! I turned on a Pro-60 and, wella, it was
>> >fine. Freak or coincidence. Who knows. Just a thought to share with you.
>> >Needless to say, I returned my Pro-62 and got another model.

111. Re: OS535 in a 2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <368D239E.7543D37D@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 132970

Ted Hay wrote:
> The 535 is not documented very will, there is a slot for a Gwhiz and a > think it was a T-chip (using memory here) and no mention of it in the > paperwork.
>

How would you attach a G-wiz to the OS535? What is a T-chip?

--

Michael Moore
Toronto, Canada
m.moore@utoronto.ca

112. FS:RS Pro 2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Perge <perge@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <368D274C.49EF@ibm.net>
Source record: 132971

```
For sale Radio Shack Pro 2026 scanner with cell coverage-$135
+ shipping. Thanks,Joe WB8HWF
```

113. Richard Wells

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Risley <bryris@iname.com>
Message-ID: <76j90b$7ti$5@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 132972

This question is directed toward Rich Wells.
However if anybody knows an answer for definate, please respond.

Have the insides of the Radio Shack Pro-90 been epoxied to close out certain bands and reception ranges?
I have heard....from a not reputable source....that the epoxied radios haven't any manufacture dates on them. My Pro-90, which I got after exchanging in my Pro-91, does not have an xAx date of manufacture anywhere I can see.

Also to my knowledge, the -90 is a fairly new radio, which means that the circuitry has probably been designed to halt any attempts at modifing this radio to recieve cell bands.
Additionally, epoxy on a circuit could be removed if you were so inclined, and these bands could be recieved.

Is the above information valid or is this radio epoxied.

Bryan

114. UHF and HF bands, STLs, and handheld vs. base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: df700@torfree.net
Message-ID: <F4wBF4.EsM.0.sheppard@torfree.net>
Source record: 132973

I am a "sort-of-new" scanner user. I bought PRO-2023 about 2 years ago. Nice scanner, but time to upgrade. :) I'm mostly interested in off-air communication at radio stations (like remotes and traffic reporters), but I also like listening to the good old emergency services. While researching out what type of scanner is best for me, I came up with a few questions. If any of you have answers for these, a post would be much appreciated.

Since I don't live near a military base, is the military band worth looking around for? NASA is on it (among others); what kind of setup do I need to receive their 259.7, 279, and 296.8 MHz frequencies? Better yet, are those worth listening to? What mode are they? Would a handheld with an outdoor antenna do?

Secondly, how good are the AOR and iCOM receivers below about 30 MHz (in other words, into the shortwave bands)? Can they pick up signals as well as (or better) than conventional communications receivers? In your personal opinion, are they worth looking for when buying a new scanner, or are the units just piles of junk on those bands?

This question applies mostly to larger cities, and specifically Toronto. Are radio stations still using the studio-transmitter links between 950 and 960 MHz? What are actually heard on those: remotes and reporters or just a direct broadcast like off the radio? Can they be heard about 30 miles away from the site or do you have to be right next to it? I think they use WFM as a mode. Is that right?

Lastly, are handhelds as good, better, or worse than base scanners, everything else being equal? How much better or worse? I'm talking in terms of sensitivity and selectivity here.

Thanks for your help in advance.

Neil Oosten neiloosten@hotmail.com
+-----------------------------------+
| Thanks to you, my mom has no hair! |
+---> http://www.hair.ica.net/ <---+

--

115. MDT Decoding Problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: SnD <speelman@gate.net>
Message-ID: <76jac1$eo4$1@news.gate.net>
Source record: 132974

I am able to decode MDT on 855.8375 ok with MDT Monitor w/ Win 95 using my sound card, and MDT1234 using a Hamcom interface under DOS. Unfortunately, this is the only freq I can get to work with either program. There are several other nearby freqs, such as 929.9875,929.5875,931.8125,and 931.9375, all in the Orlando area that sound just like it... but they will not work with any configuration I have tried. Are these possibly RD-LAP? If so, is there any decoding software out there for this protocol? I haven't been able to find any.

Thanks!!!

116. SWAP RCA DSS to scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <368D2EC3.4A1@wwd.net>
Source record: 132975

Looking to swap an RCA DSS system with dish, cable, receiver, memory
card and remote controller to a handheld or mobile scanner.

Looking for Alinco DJ-X10, BC3000 (I'll throw in some bux to even it up)
or BC200, PRO43, or

100 channel mobile scanner, BC870?

Let me know what you have for trade....
--
73

Nick Marsh
WB4SQI

117. Re: So Cal Detailed Police Call Radio Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@cwix.com>
Message-ID: <368D35FA.EE0BC9AA@cwix.com>
Source record: 132976

Try Ham Radio Outlet in Burbank.
2492 West Victory Blvd.
Burbank, 91506

818-842-1786

I have bought miine from them for the last several years.
Hope this helps ...

Mike Derryberry

Pat Pope wrote:
>
> Where can I buy Gene Hughes' Police Call So. California Detailed
> Addition in the San Fernando Valley? I used to get it at Super Sound
> in Sylmar, but I had a bad experience there.
>
> Pat Pope

118. Re: How do I find a frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <76jio9ebe1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132977

There are endless ways. You can try searching the common police bands around 154, 460, and 851-869Mhz. You can find detailed frequency sites all over the internet by reading this group. Try the following sites- the second one is for official FCC data. http://www.li.net/~j4dice/links.html http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

In article <19981230235252.27401.00003974@ng138.aol.com>, nummysmom@aol.com (Nummysmom) wrote:
> Hi..How would I find the frequency for the Baltimore County Police Department?
> I am new at this...can any one help? Thanks
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

119. Re: Pro 51 picks up more frequency than Pro 26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <76jjaaepe1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The Pro-51 is a double conversion scanner. These type of radios receive "images " of signals 10.7 or 10.8 MHz higher and lower than the displayed frequency. Thus, you are probably hearing cell phones in the 869-894 range, not cordless phones. The Pro-26 scanner is triple conversion, meaning that it gets less images and at different frequencies than your Pro-51.

In article <368d02f8.93697285@news.mindspring.com>,
fchen8@hotmail.com (Doc) wrote:
> Can anyone tell me why my Pro 51 can pick up more frequencies than my
> Pro 26. I can pick up 900MHZ cordless phone conversation on the Pro 51
> but not on Pro 26, even if I set at same freq. The only downside is
> there's lots of static background noises coming from Pro 51
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

120. Help with yagi antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Storm <Stormtracker@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <76jfcp$uh@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I found an old yagi antenna that I need some info on. The boom is about 3 ft long. It has 9 elements that are both horizontal and verticle, spaced at 3.5 inches apart (center to center), and each element extends out 3 inches from the boom, except for the first elements, which are about 4 inches long on each side of the boom. I would think that this type of set up would be for monitoring in the 800 mhz. range, which is what I intend to use it for, if possible. Can anyone tell me if this is the correct freq. range for this antenna ? Also due to the dual polarity, I think this may have been some type of TV antenna. Can this work for 800 mhz trunked system monitoring ?
Also, after some continunity with my meter, I think it needs some work. It has a UHF cable type connector on the end. The center conductor is connected to a stiff wire that basicly connects to the first 2 or 3 elements but according to my meter is not making contact with them, possible due to heavy rust on the stiff conductor. All of the elements however are making contact (via metre tests) with the outer conductor (shield side) of the coax connector. I could be wrong, but I think this is not right. I think the shield side of the coax connector should be isolated via plastic inserts, where the connector is attached to the boom, and only the center conductor of the coax should conduct to the elements of the antenna, via the stiff conductor connected to the first few elements, and then through them to the boom to the others. Am I on the right track here? Please advise.
Thanks in advance to all that reply.
KM
Fairfield County Fire Monitoring

121. Re: Nashville Trunking Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Grog <NoSpam@Ever.Com>
Message-ID: <76jf88$mj7$1@camel0.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132980

Where there's money to be made, the product will be supplied. As more PD's go digital, more consumers will want to listen. It is only a matter of time.

Gary Oakes wrote in message <7Kqi2.4550$vI5.963@news.rdc1.tn.home.com>...
>When they do go trunked PS will be digital. Keep looking for that (hehehe)
>"soon to be released" digital scanner that has yet to be developed.

122. Radio Shack Groundplane 20-014

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <76jklkfnt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132981

Does anyone know if the Radio Shack groundplane antenna (20-014) with low band coverage is still available? I can't find any at my local RS. BTW, can someone who has this antenna tell me about its performance? I heard that it does well on most bands, except for 800MHz. Thank You for your information.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

123. Re: Pro-2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: 123robertv@massed.net
Message-ID: <368d445b.30825853@news.javanet.com>
Source record: 132982

On Thu, 31 Dec 1998 14:04:28 -0600, "Marty Dodd" <mdodd@floweb.com>
wrote:

>Does anyone know of some mods for the Pro-2021? I cliped diode D44 it will
>allow display entry of the 800 band but does not receive it.

I remember doing that modification years ago and it never worked.
There's also a modification to speed up the scanning a little bit. I
think you changed a resistor. I'm not sure of the value cause I don't
have the book with me.

124. Re: BC3000xlt, is it any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <368D4570.A5DD02E0@ibm.net>
Source record: 132983

Voron3 wrote:
> I am wanting to break into the scanning hobby and this scanner
> appeals to me. Is it any good.

The BC3000XLT is a popular radio. If you are interested to read
a review I wrote on it, go to my page below, click on the Radios
button then click on the BC300XLT link.

I enjoy its good audio, speed and 400 channels but dislike its
large size and proprietary NiCd battery pack.

> I want to get all the freqs possible

3000 comes close but no HF and part of the UHF TV is blocked.
And, of course, the cell coverage is blocked here in the US.

> trunking

3000 doesn't do Trunk Tracking. Only BC235XLT, PRO-90, PRO-91,
BC895XLT, PRO-2050, PRO-2066 and OptoCom.

> ease of modification would be nice too

Won't happen on a later model unit. You'll have to go back
to a used model made 1994 or earlier; like the PRO-43.

> Is there another scanner less thatn $400.00 better suitd
> to my needs?

You might want to consider the Sony ICF-SC1.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

125. Poc 32 problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge <stef_theberge@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <dBbj2.904$X71.916@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 132984

i have poc32 for a few month, and i try to use it. I try to have acces on the FLEX proporties but the FLEX is in gray mode so i dont have access. So do i have to download a patch for this mode? Anybody can help me?

thank you
Happy New years!

--
St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge
Beauport,Qc
Canada
http://pages.infinit.net/watchman

126. Re: Richard Wells

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <368D4812.E74C1B66@ibm.net>
Source record: 132985

Bryan Risley wrote:
> Have the insides of the Radio Shack Pro-90 been epoxied to close out
> certain bands and reception ranges?

I have a very early unit but the top PCB (which connects to the
antenna) has a large blob of epoxy on the underside.

> I have heard....from a not reputable source....that the epoxied radios
> haven't any manufacture dates on them. My Pro-90, which I got after
> exchanging in my Pro-91, does not have an xAx date of manufacture
> anywhere I can see.

Mine does inside the battery compartment.

> Also to my knowledge, the -90 is a fairly new radio

Very new as far as radios go.

> which means that the circuitry has probably been designed to halt
> any attempts at modifing this radio to recieve cell bands.

Not so much the circuitry as the firmware/microprocessor to
keep the radio from tuning such frequencies.

> Additionally, epoxy on a circuit could be removed if you were so
> inclined, and these bands could be recieved.

This was done on the PRO-26 and seems to be a way to try and
discourage adventuous souls from performing any down-conversion
modifications. But, as they say, there's more than one way to
"skin a cat".

> Is the above information valid or is this radio epoxied.

Probably both! ;-)

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

127. Re: New MDT for windows decoder available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrongurl@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1Puj2.106$jp3.242645@NewsRead.Toronto.iSTAR.net>
Source record: 132686

In article <36908d6a.165147247@news.mia.bellsouth.net>, kryppy@bellsouth.net says...
>
>On Thu, 31 Dec 1998 14:35:45 GMT, orasberries@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
>> If you can't get the MDT for windows to work with your sound card there is
>>a new decoder available that will allow you to run it. It is a newly modified
>>version of the Cylex (http://www.cylexinc.com) RM100 pager decoder that has
>>a faster sample rate for the MDC4800 protocol. This will also still decode
>>pagers using the free updated version of AccuPage version 1.02 software at
>>the Cylex web site.
>>
>> If you currently have an original RM100 you could buy a new rev. 1 PIC micro
>>chip from cylex and it will work.
>>
>>See http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646/history.html for
>>additional information.
>>
>> This decoder could also be used to re-write the Trunker and E-trunk programs
>>to run under windows if any one knows how.
>>
>
>What does this thing do different from a normal data slicer?
>What pin does it put out data on? The common slicer costs only $5...
>the above device is so much more complicated and expensive that it
>seems a bad choice for windows versions of trunker, mdt and edacs....
>
>
The rm100 serves as a pre-processor to reformat the data into a form that the pc's uart can handle, it serves as a kind of constant rate sampler that collects x bits and sends them out to the pc on the rx pin.
depending on what method they are using for timing, data rate out can be 4800,9600 19200 etc...baud,with start and stop bits - all the bit rates acceptable to the pc's uart.
The data slicer you mention relies on the pc's timer to measure bit transitions and figure out the sync. this requires a lot of timing intensive computation therefore it is difficult (although not completely impossible) to run a program that relies on these zero-crossings under a multitasking

environment.
none of the currently available programs that rely on the pc's timer will do a repeatable error free job of decoding data, Therefore one must offload this function to another computer or micro.This is what the cylex decoder does.. and mdtw1234 does to some degree.
You would probably be better off for the time being, to pick up an old 286 (no hard drive required unless you want to log data)a mono monitor and a boot disk with dos and mdt on it. the data you decode will not be much worse from what you get with all the other progs currently available. the most accurate program so far is mdt running under a trunker platform in dos
"nice job guys."

Stay tuned something good is coming your way...

128. AEA Radio Link RL1 RL60 Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: PAW <73457.650@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <umVLZgoN#GA.257@nih2naac.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 132687
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Looking for the Radio Link unit which AEA made around 1995.

E-mail W9JMN@compuserve.com

--
PAW
(W9JMN@Compuserve.com) Check our site for mikes, ham, video, etc
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/w9jmn

129. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Sweeney <rsweeney1@Home.com>
Message-ID: <JQuj2.4232$Uv.554@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 132688
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

prior to the filament electric bulb, arc lights were in common use in large public and commercial structures... too complex and bright for anything else. It was commonly thought that the intensity of the light was somehow a characteristic of electricity and the search for smaller electric lights was referred to as the "subdivision of electric light".

Edison's real contribution was the screw base on the bulb and the engineering of entire electric distribution systems to power the things on a vast scale... Swan's bulb in the UK (virtually the same as Edison would later invent) was working a year earlier but had no decent connections.

John Lundgren wrote in message <368D7D93.4434@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us>...
>D. Holford wrote:
>[snip]
>
>> Edison built the first CHEAP electric light.
>> Stadiums and railway stations etc. were already illuminated by electric
>> light, it was just to expensive for the general public to afford until
>> Edison came up with a cheap solution.
>
>Where didja ever come up with that misinformation?
>
>The illumination was by gas up until the electric light was perfected by
>Edison. He wasn't the first to try making a light bulb, but he was the
>first to get it to work long enough to be commercially feasible. He use
>a carbon filament for the light bulb.
>
>
>
>
>> Dave Holford

130. Re: antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@lor.net>
Message-ID: <368E796D.687C5711@lor.net>
Source record: 132689

Mark, I have a 20-043 on a 20' telephone pole. I am using rg8u coax....100ft. It works well. I can't really compare it to any other antennas as its my first. I can tell you that I hear 800mhz load and clear on freqs where my pro43 handheld with the duckie, just hears static.

MARC A MARTIS wrote:

> looking for a new outdoor base antenna.
> I am thinking about a r.s. 20-043 discone ant.
> is it worth the 60.00$?

131. Re: Optocom or PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: TELCOM-GmbH.HV@t-online.de
Message-ID: <368E7AF4.3519F8B1@t-online.de>
Source record: 132690

Hey,
if Germany is okay for you.... you can expect it very soon......

http://www.telcom-gmbh.com

Richard P. Talley schrieb:

> Does anyone know if we can expect an unblocked Optocom from a Canadian
> or UK vendor?
>
> On January 01 1999, "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net> wrote:
> > I think the Optocom has the following features that the PCR1000 does
> > not have:
> >
> > 1) DCS/DPL decode
> > 2) Trunk-following capabilty
> > 3) Faster scan speed

132. Re: chicago area snow plow freq's???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: unclebob@ripco.com
Message-ID: <76lvgj$lp6$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 132691

cmb (cmb@owc.net) wrote:
: any good emergency and snow plow freq's in northern illinois area????
: thanks jack

453.700 IDOT emergency traffic control
453.300 Chicago x-pway maint.
453.650 Chicago snowplows north (city streets)

--
* *

Uncle Bob.............unclebob@ripco.com

133. Re: ICOM SMA antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <mike@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <o2UIvAAa1kj2Ewe2@g7hid.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 132692

In article <368e3755.0@news.sireco.de>, Andreas During
<hiscore@berlin.sireco.net> writes
>
>CMF schrieb in Nachricht <76erc5$sg6$1@news.iquest.net>...
>>I am trying to get the model number of an ICOM SMA antenna that I had about
>a
>>year ago and unfortunately sold. It is a dual-band 2/70 SMA antenna that
>was
>>only a few inches tall (est. 4-5"). If you can help me with the antenna
>model
>>number or the model number of the radio it came with would be a great help.
>>I want to put one on my R2....
>>
>>
>>Tnx,
>>
>>Mike N9LFN
>>
>Is it the same like on ic-q7e? Itï¿½s called FA-S270C.

The IC-R2 comes supplied with the FA-S270C...
Comet do one about 2" high..

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
Web Page: http://www.g7hid.demon.co.uk : don't fix it !
Email: Replace 'nospam' with 'g7hid' :

134. Re: dumb 900 MHZ question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Risley <bryris@iname.com>
Message-ID: <76m146ssp5@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 132693

My 25 channel cordless phone has been hacked.
I found out all frequencies used. Even when the phone is in use, my scanner will follow it as it switches frequencies.
There is a document that gives the frequencies for Panasonic 900mHz phones around.
Ask for it......I do not remember the link.

Bryan

135. Re: f.s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Risley <bryris@iname.com>
Message-ID: <76m18k$l7v$5@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 132694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What if I wanted a scanner of yours but didn't want to pay the 35.00 for overnight, but rather would pay the 10.00 or 5 day?

136. Re: HELP WITH PRO-90 PLEASE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102160726.06885.00006165@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 132695

>From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Tom Walker)

> Speaking about Attneuator buttons how is the one on the BC235 used? I see
>printed above the "." button "ATT" but there is Nothing about it in
>the book that can with the radio.

You can only use the ATT in Trunking mode:
From BC235XLT Addendum (you'll find it
inside the scanner box; I glued mine to the
last blank page in my owner's manual).
"To turn RF Attenuator on:
1. While in Trunking Mode, press ATT.
2. You hear a beep and the decimal point in
the display begins flashing.
Now all income signal strength is reduced.
To turn RF Attenuation off, just press ATT
again."

talkyal

137. Re: Akron, Ohio Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102161528.06885.00006170@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 132696

>From: zoe205@aol.com (ZOE205)

>doubt that because the FCC says that we may not own any piece of equipment
>that
>can unscramble digital comms.

I don't think changing digital information back
into analog is necessarily "unscrambling digital
comms". The digital information was samples
of analog voice frequencies, and a digital scanner would just be converting
those samples back into analog frequency. Nothing
is really "scrambled" to "unscramble" so how
could that be illegal ?

Descrambling voice encryption is an illegal
activity, and another matter, though.

talkyal

138. FS: BC 590XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ^Fretts <n2rif@kwiknet.net>
Message-ID: <yawj2.1531$IG.12633@news14.ispnews.com>
Source record: 132697
Cross-posted to: nj.forsale,ny.forsale,pa.forsale,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

A "Uniden Bearcat BC 590XLT" scanner. Has all bands
ham, wx, fire, police air & tech opened for more.. Many
features 'i.e. 100 programable memories, scans all band
ranges, instant scan wx, fire, police, air & more. Manual
& antenna included $80/obo+shipping. Like new. Does
not have 800-900mhz
--
Thom j. aka ^Fretts email: n2rif@kwiknet.net Or just
remove "knowspam" when you hit ur reply button..

139. Newport News, VA Trunk update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: vanr86@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102163627.01051.00005611@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 132698
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I have just updated my page with the new Newport News fire trunked radio plan and talk groups. Please let me know if anyone has any corrections or additions. The address is:

http://www.geocities.com/BourbonStreet/Bayou/2208/
Van
KF4CDN

Check out the
Hampton Roads Scanner Page
www.geocities.com/BourbonStreet/Bayou/2208

140. Re: aor 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Fernandes <ef@bc.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <368E98D5.16D8A059@bc.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 132699

The CANADIAN models have NO GAPS.
And if you're in the States, the exchanges is record high (around 1.55 CDN)!!!

Skyler wrote:

> It is a handheld. There are also different origins. Some US models and some
> European models. The difference is full coverage or gapped. There is also an
> AR8000dx available. This has some added features. Rich Wells has all the info
> you'll need to do research. His site is at http://strongsignals.net/
> Good luck, Cal
>
> MAlexder wrote:
>
> > >From: brian74@earthlink.net
> >
> > >Is the aor 8000 a desk top scanner or a handheld
> >
> >

141. Re: How to Enjoy Your Scanner on the Airplane this Holiday Season

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Fernandes <ef@bc.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <368E9B2A.C8D2331E@bc.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 132700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Does anybody know for sure which airlines have a pilot-to-tower monitoring channel as part of the in-flight entertainment?
I read in the newspaper that United Airlines has it.
(Canada and US)

142. Icom R7100 users (Gov't and Federal Agencies)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102174027.00806.00005942@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 132701

Would like to hear from government and federal agencies using the Icom R7100 and R7000 receivers. Thanks.

Frank

143. Re: f.s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: george <george@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <76m8h9$t78@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 132702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

will ship any way u want as long as its payed first
see I can ship fedex c.o.d. and be most asured of getting my money
thanks

Bryan Risley wrote:

> What if I wanted a scanner of yours but didn't want to pay the 35.00 for
> overnight, but rather would pay the 10.00 or 5 day?

144. Re: Chicago FD Freq? Norridge, IL. PD. FD. Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-0201991715090001@56-ip-421.theramp.net>
Source record: 132703

In article <368e236e.634857152@news.altopia.com>, PakRat@anonymous.com
(PakRat) wrote:

> 154.1300 is CFD Main (north of 39th St. (3900 south))
> 153.7700 is CFD Englewood (south of 39th St.)
> 460.6000 is CFD Ambulance Dispatch (city wide)

Actually 460.600 is Ambulance North, 460.625 is Ambulance South.
Ambulances also use 462.950 and 462.975.

>
> On Fri, 01 Jan 1999 23:34:06 -0600, Paul and Cherity Machnick
> <CPMachnick@ync.net> wrote:
>
> >Hi all, happy New Year. I've been listening to 154.1300 here in Chicago
> >and it is suppose to be for Chicago FD Northside dispatch. The only
> >problem they've been dispatching Southside units on that freq. Is that
> >the freq for Northside? Has there been a change?
> >
> >Also, does anyone have the freq for Norwood Township FD and Norridge PD
> >and FD. Thanks.
> >
> >Paul
> >CPMachnick@ync.net

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
*****************************g3mtnw*********************************

145. Re: MDT Decoding Problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: SnD <speelman@gate.net>
Message-ID: <76mam9$10pc$1@news.gate.net>
Source record: 132704

Sorry for any confusion.. the freqs I cannot decode MDT on are 857.1375, 858.7875, and 859.2375. The ones I listed originally are pager freqs.

146. 800Mhz Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose Cuervo <jose512@flash.net>
Message-ID: <368EB049.42DA53E1@flash.net>
Source record: 132705
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know how to build a cheap 800 mhz antenna with household parts...
i need the lengths and stuff like that. thanks

--
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all unsolicited COMMERCIAL E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms

147. BC895XLT software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Barnes <micewi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <368EAF0C.D4A2C451@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 132706

I've tried out a few of the shareware programs designed to control the BC895XLT. However, I'm certainly no expert on which software to purchase to control your BC895XLT. So far I'm debating between buying the PC895 program at http://www.mghusa.com/scanner/pc895/ and the Radio Manager at http://www.interplaza.com/bensware/rm.htm. Both of these programs allow you to try before you buy and have an interface that functions and looks like that of the BC895XLT.
Additionally, they both have database programs allowing you to import and export files. The Radio Manager site has an additional program call Trunk Manager that interfaces with their Radio Manager program. Both of these programs set up and functioned for me without a glitch! My initial impression is that the PC895 program is a little easier to use right away for those of you that don't like to read instructions (like me!). I don't think you can go wrong with either one of these programs for under $40. There are commercially available programs available priced between $100-$400
(the higher priced software/hardware system allows tracking of multiple systems). Some of the commercially available software doesn't even support the trunking mode! The fine scanner web page http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/software.htm has links to many of the available software programs available.

Please send me your comments of the software you've tried for the BC895.

Regards,
Steve

148. Aviation Freq. needed for Roch,NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Johnsons <magnum@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <368EB493.282CCB72@frontiernet.net>
Source record: 132707

Does anyone have the frequencies used locally by the Rochester, NY airport. What does the tower use, what airlines use what frequencies, etc. Any help would be appreciated. Thanks.....Glenn.

149. FS:PRO 2045, N.J.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "d. mcg3" <mcg3@csionline.net>
Message-ID: <368EBFA8.B5DA6D37@csionline.net>
Source record: 132708

```
RADIO SHACK 2045 200 CHANNEL SCANNER
LIKE NEW IN BOX COND.
$175.00
E-MAIL FOR FURTHER DETAILS
```

150. BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Winston Smith <jwasack@NOSPAM.netlabs.net>
Message-ID: <368EC9B2.A64A9600@NOSPAM.netlabs.net>
Source record: 132709

I read somewhere it blocks out phone conversations, is this true?

151. Re: trunking in the big sky country

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <76mhlo$d6$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132710

http://www.trunktracker.com/
this site has a listing by state of frequencies and trunk id's this is your
place you are looking for.
Happy New Year.
BT

NNNN
WB6SUB former submarine radioman, present day com tech.

In article <01be362a$e2242ae0$c3e604d0@vogmudet>, "Compaq"
<crystal@ronan.net> wrote:

>Hi! I have a RS Pro-90 TrunkTracker radio, and I would like to try out the
>trunk tracking ability. I need frequencies for Montana. Any help would be
>greatly appreciated!
>Thank You,
>John
>
>e-mail me at crystal@ronan.net
>
>
>

152. Nevada Freqs Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <76mhlp$d6$2@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132711

Does anyone have frequencies that are up to date for Pioche, Ely, McGill, Lund, and associated counties? Thank-You

153. Re: Local Police seem to have dead carrier on freq,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <76mi03pta1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132712

one of the things I did to ensure it wasn't the scanner, it was
interfearance, connect to external battery, go to house circuit breaker,
swtich off one by one, until all off. Is noise still there,
if it is it is most likely something like one of these other gentlemen said.
73's

In article <368c2ade.5177785@netnews.worldnet.att.net>,
sandman-1nobots@worldnet.att.net. (Mile-Hi) wrote:

>I have tryed to tune in my local police and I get like a dead carrier
>and I can hear them talk over it but the scanner locks on it and it
>reminds me of the CB days when someone held a mic and said nothing.
>
>could there be something in my area keying a repeter or something ?
>
>the freq, is 151.100 MHZ (Elbert county sheriff) Colorado
>
>Thanks
>Tim
>

154. DTMF decoding w/Soundblaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael W. Dunham" <kb9mlh@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <368ED24C.D8032798@amsat.org>
Source record: 132713

Does anyone know of any source code in C or Basic that I can get a hold
of which decodes DTMF tones through a Soundblaster.

Please respond to kb9mlh@amsat.org

155. Re: Fleet map

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <76mjcj$t21$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132714
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

www.trunktracker.com
this is where i get up to date trunk info!

In article <368B23B0.F6CB6D6E@airnet.net>, Ron <rdford@airnet.net> wrote:

>I am looking for some information on find, setting, testing fleet maps
>for bc235xlt.
>I am also looking for the fleet maps for Huntsville, AL. Any information
>is welcome.
>
>Thanks for the help.
>Ron
>

156. Re: dumb 900 MHZ question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <76mjcl$t21$2@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132715

if you are looking for a good secure phone I have a AT&T 9300, 900 Mhz Spread Spectrum, Digital phone. I have been very happy with AT&T Stuff. Just make shure you buy a phone that is digital, and for an extra mesure of security get one with spread spectrum. Some one even if they broke the digital code, wouldn't know it was there. BTW Great range too.

In article <76m146ssp5@news-2.news.gte.net>, "Bryan Risley" <bryris@iname.com> wrote:

>
>My 25 channel cordless phone has been hacked.
>I found out all frequencies used. Even when the phone is in use, my scanner
>will follow it as it switches frequencies.
>There is a document that gives the frequencies for Panasonic 900mHz phones
>around.
>Ask for it......I do not remember the link.
>
>Bryan
>
>

157. Relm MS200 forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny Barker <dbarker@sccs4radios.com>
Message-ID: <368EB28B.F478DBE4@sccs4radios.com>
Source record: 132716

Hi I have 1 at this moment new in box Relm MS200 scanner. $230.00 plus shipping

Danny Barker
dbarker@sccs4radios.com
www.sccs4radios.com

158. digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: sanatos <sanatos@excite.com>
Message-ID: <368EDB51.B7F8AC6@excite.com>
Source record: 132717
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Having read the BBS at Intercept http://www.wolfe.net/~daydream/ Does this mean King County , Wash will be switching to digital and my trunk tracker will be a waste of $ or what?

159. ic-r2 ... do you like it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ibm <maclean02@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <76mlq4nil1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 132718

what do you think of the IC - r2 ?

160. what scanner to get now (2nd)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ibm <maclean02@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <76mllnnfd1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 132719

I own a hand held trunk tracker (uniden) and I am looking into
a 2nd scanner. I do not need a 2nd trunk tracker . I would like a bigger
freq. range
than the 235xlt . so far I like the icoms, (IC-r2) anyone own this unit ?
what do you think of it?
t.I.a. - Ian Maclean

161. Re: 900mhz CORDLESS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Maxell <"scottm[nospam]"@midmaine.com>
Message-ID: <368EE62C.7209218C@midmaine.com>
Source record: 132720

kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote:
>
> On Thu, 31 Dec 1998 10:54:47 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
> <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Another thing that alot of people won't do is search their *scanner* for
> >these freq's.

> >Set your lower search limit to 900.0000 (it IS a 900Mhz phone ya know!)
> >
> >Set your upper search limit to 956.0000 (the end of most scanners' range.
>
> Actually, searching from 902-928 mhz is all you need....good post
> though..
>

I think you missed Phil's point. He was pointing out how
you could search for 900 Mhz phones without knowing the exact
frequencies in use.

I have to agree that the search feature is a very powerful (and
underused) tool. I moved back to Maine from Florida with very
little else but the clothes on my back and my scanner. (And a 2
month old child). I was out of work for a good long time taking
care of my son and had no money to get a book for frequencies. I
fashioned an antenna out of TV cable coax, and sat back while my
son napped and searched the general area of public safety freq's.
When I finally got a book, I had found that I had already located
90 percent of what I wanted to listen to - and some stuff I
didn't find in the book! Police Call lists general frequency
ranges for certain types of radio services. Spend some time once
a week scanning a 1.5 to 2 Mhz range in one of these - you might
be surprised at what you find that you're missing!
Right now I am running two scanners - one is listening to local
traffic (Pro 2026), and the other (Pro-2042 w/ OS-535) is being
run with Probe to monitor for new frequencies. My shortwaves are
doing the same thing.

---Just my $0.02 worth...
---scott
scottm@midmaine.com

162. Re: bill gates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Information <bigbuckweat@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <76mqub$djtm$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132721

you are quite possibly smoking crack....

Dose any body know if bill gates has a security force at his house??

163. Re: Triple Conversation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Maxell <"scottm[nospam]"@midmaine.com>
Message-ID: <368EF537.A1D90B43@midmaine.com>
Source record: 132722

The only way to pick up cordless phones with triple conversation scanners is if the person you want to listen to is on a party line or has someone else on another extension on the same line. Then you have a triple conversation that the scanner can receive. Or you can talk to yourself while you listen to the other two people talking on the phone - unless you have multiple personalities - then it becomes (at minimum) a quadruple conversation. As you can see, this can become very confusing. Your best bet would be to listen to double conversations.

(sorry, I couldn't resist!! ;))

---scott
scottm@midmaine.com

Winston Smith wrote:
>
> Is it possible to get cordless phones with triple conversation scanners?

164. Re: Listening to cordless phone law loophole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Maxell <"scottm[nospam]"@midmaine.com>
Message-ID: <368EF6D6.E2830F57@midmaine.com>
Source record: 132723

That's just the type of thing I would want to know. I would have welcomed them with open arms to dinner and spit on HALF of the roast. (Just make sure you remember what half) Even better, I would have dipped the roast halfway in the toilet. (And had a sandwich before dinner, so I wasn't hungry for much roast). Then, when I heard them complaining on the phone, I could laugh my freakin' ass off!

AJMedia wrote:
>
> I quit listening to cordless phones when I heard our neighbors commenting about
> how they weren't looking forward to dinner at our house the next evening.
> Needless to say, I felt like giving the rib roast a spit bath -- but I held
> back, because we had to eat it too.. The next day, my wife heard the woman
> talking about how bad dinner was.. I wish I'd gone with my first instinct.
>
> AJMedia@nospam.com

165. Re: Pro 2005 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Schwerdtman <Bob9000@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <368F1CCB.2E8D@concentric.net>
Source record: 132724

> >I did, and it's already past the point of what it is actually worth. The
> >original bid was more in line for a 2005.
> >A good deal for you, maybe, but not the guy that ends up being high bidder.
> >I can buy a 2006 here in the newsgroup for what yours will end up going for
> >on Ebay.
> >
> >

So the guy is going to get way more for his radio by selling it on Ebay
then he is by selling it in the newsgroups. Hmmmm...so what is the
problem again?

166. need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2phj@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3390-368EF5CC-28@newsd-221.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 132725

Can a Bc 350a be modifed

167. Pro 2010 Scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marc Feldstein <crazy4glf@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <76n1a3$e2j$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 132726

Hello,
I have a 2010 Realistic Scanner with an indoor antenna and two frequency guides. For info go to:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=53508848

Marc

168. Re: Trunk Tracker Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wmlbradio@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <368dcaad.111322166@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132727

John, I've got the original RS handheld trunktracker and love it.....
It does however, have one unusual problem. Sometimes when you are
regular scanning and go to manual, it quits receiving. The only way
to be sure it's still receiving is to open the squelch. Otherwise,
you all of a sudden notice you haven't heard any traffic for a while.
Turning the unit off and back on resets the problem, RS Repair hasn't
been able to figure it out either.
Jim Dean

kidless@webtv.net (John York) wrote:

> I am finally going to upgrade to a trunk tracking scanner. Which one
>is best, and which ones to avoid? I would appreciate any help or
>experiences with any.
>TIA
>
>John York
>(kidless)
>
>

169. Scanner Repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: RadioMan <na>
Message-ID: <368edba7.0@news.busprod.com>
Source record: 132728

Does any one know a GOOD repair man for Radio Shack, Uniden, and all other base and hand held scanners in the U.S.A. ? I get them every once in awhile that need repair. Thanks....

170. dumb 900 MHZ question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <368d84a0.36147470@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132786

If I pick up a cordless freq. say 902.303 and next time they use the phone will it be on the same freq ?

Tim

171. Re: MDT Decoding Problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: pagerboy@juno.com
Message-ID: <368d8640.129120689@news.pp1.ispnews.com>
Source record: 132787

They are paging freqs that have both POCSAG and FLEX protocol

Pagerboy

172. Opportunity in Tampa area for POCSAG decoding.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolphinride@iname.com
Message-ID: <76k5hi$s2t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132788
Cross-posted to: REC.RADIO.SCANNER,ALT.RADIO.SCANNER,rec.radio.swap

I have found a LEGAL market for pager records. I have been trying to tackle this myself for time now. With SO many different interfaces and software packages available, I don't know which is most efficient/effective. I am looking for someone that know how to decode POCSAG effectively. This person should either have done this in the past, or be doing it now. This person should know how to tap into the discriminator output of a PRO-2006 and a PRO-2035.

I can supply the equipment.I have a PRO-2006, and two interfaces. Both interfaces are made to be plugged into a headphone jack rather than hooked into a discriminator output. I am willing to buy an interface that will tap into a discriminator output, but I want to know I am buying the right one.

After the initial trial runs we will need to use PRO 2035's or some other readily available scanner.

I have a market ready to pay for complete decoders that would include a scanner, the interface, and possibly the computer too, as well as training on any software package. I can do the field work, just need someone to help get it up and running.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

173. Re: Akron, Ohio Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoe205@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101214449.11004.00005087@ng122.aol.com>
Source record: 132789

As far as I know there is not a trunktracker out that can track or even hear a digital system. I've heard rumors that such a scanner is in production, but I doubt that because the FCC says that we may not own any piece of equipment that can unscramble digital comms.

174. Re: dumb 900 MHZ question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoe205@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101214612.11004.00005090@ng122.aol.com>
Source record: 132790

Usually the phone will change frequencies.

175. Re: AR1000 - make offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe smith <jsmith@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <G5gj2.2253$%J6.4257@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 132791

```
125.50
Richard Jensen wrote in message <368c293d.0@blushng.jps.net>...
>125.00
>joe smith <jsmith@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:jOUi2.2075$%J6.4017@nnrp1.ptd.net...
>>$97.50
>>David W. Carroll wrote in message <368bf98d.75756724@news.onramp.net>...
>>>FS:
>>>I have a great performing AR1000, total coverage, including cellular,
>>>leather case, manuals.
>>>
>>>Make offer.
>>>
>>>David Carroll
>>
>>
>
>
```

176. Re: Radio Shack Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ajmedia@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101231521.03245.00005837@ng03.aol.com>
Source record: 132792

Since you guys seem to know a lot about RS scanners, could someone help me figure out how to modify mine so that it can receive certain **blocked** frequencies?

Thanks much.
AJMedia@nospam.com

177. Re: Listening to cordless phone law loophole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ajmedia@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101232114.03245.00005847@ng03.aol.com>
Source record: 132793

>Pursuit10851 wrote in message <368ef10a.4162607@news.pacbell.net>...
>>I guess you never tried listening at night then. Especially on New
>>Year's Eve :-).
>

I quit listening to cordless phones when I heard our neighbors commenting about how they weren't looking forward to dinner at our house the next evening. Needless to say, I felt like giving the rib roast a spit bath -- but I held back, because we had to eat it too.. The next day, my wife heard the woman talking about how bad dinner was.. I wish I'd gone with my first instinct.

AJMedia@nospam.com

178. Friend wants.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Thornton <monster@usit.net>
Message-ID: <368DA34E.8D9553BA@usit.net>
Source record: 132794

I have a friend that is looking to modify a BC9000XLT. He wants continuous coverage at 800-900 MHz. Can anyone give him any ideas or direct him to someone that could help him. Thanks.

179. Re: unlock all - radio shack handheld scanner - more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: netzel@erinet.com
Message-ID: <76k99h$9oi$1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 132795

OK. Going through the Radio Shack site listing of manuals the following is offered.

PRO-66

To unlock all channels in the banks that are turned on, press MAN to stop scanning, then hold down L-OUT/S/S until the scanner beeps twice.

PRO-26

To unlock all channels in selected banks, select the banks while the scanner is scanning. Press manual and then hold S/S/L-OUT until the scanner beeps twice.

PRO-2045

Search Skip

You can skip specified frequencies during a limit or direct search. This lets you avoid frequencies that have data tones or are already stored in a channel. You can program up to 50 frequencies for the scanner to skip.

To clear all the skip frequencies, press and hold S/S until the scanner beeps twice.

To lock out a channel, manually select the channel, then press LOCKOUT so L/O appears on the display.

To review the locked-out channels, manually select the first channel you want to review. Then repeatedly press REVIEW to see the locked-out channels in sequence.

NOTE: If you press REVIEW when there is no locked-out channel, the scanner sounds an error tone.

To remove the lock-out from a channel, manually select the channel, then press LOCKOUT so L/O disappears from the display.

Follow these steps to unlock all channels in the selected bands.

1. Select the banks while the scanner is scanning.

2. Press MANUAL to stop scanning.

3. Press and hold LOCKOUT until the scanner beeps twice.

That's just a few of the scanners covered by RS, here is their index at http://www.radioshack.com/Support/

Index to Scanners

Scanners
PRO-20 Pocket-Scan FM Monitor Receiver 200-0101 26421
PRO-22 Pocket-Scan AM/FM Monitor Receiver 200-0103 26423
PRO-23 Pocket Scanner AM/FM Monitor Receiver 200-0104 26424
PRO-24 Pocket Scanner FM Monitor Receiver 200-0105 28531
PRO-25 Portable Scanner AM/FM Monitor Recevier 200-0106 19321
PRO-26 Pocket Scanner FM Monitor Receiver 200-0107 26425
PRO-27 2 Channel Pocket Scanner 200-0108 28532
PRO-2009 Pocket Scanner 200-0109 16761
COMP-100 5-Band Scanner FM Scanning Monitor Receiver 200-0110 26426
PRO-2020 Scanner 200-0112 26429
PRO-2021 Programmable Scanner AM/FM Monitor Receiver 200-0113 26430
PRO-2002 Scanner 200-0116 18985
PRO-2011 20-Channel Programmable Scanner 200-0118 26433
PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner 200-0119 26434
PRO-57 Scanner 200-0126 19709
PRO-2022 200-Channel Scanner 200-0127 28535
PRO-2023 20-Channel Programmable Scanner 200-0128 18517
PRO-2024 Scanner 200-0129 26439
PRO-31 Portable Scanner 200-0132 17714
PRO-32 Programmable Portable Scanner 200-0133 16622
PRO-34 Portable Scanner/Receiver 200-0135 26441
PRO-35 100-Channel Portable Programmable Scanner 200-0136 17996
PRO-37 200-Channel Programmable Scanner 200-0138 28537
PRO-38 10-Channel Programmable Scanner 200-0139 19817
PRO-2005 200-0144 28538
PRO-2006 Programmable General Class Scanner 200-0145 8246
PRO-2025 16-Channel Mobile Programmable Scanner 200-0146 26447
PRO-2056 Mobile Scanner Pre-programmed 50 Channel Home/Mobile Unit 200-0147
39986
PRO-2026 100-Channel Mobile VHF/UHF Programmable Scanner 200-0148 8438
PRO-2026 100-Channel Mobile VHF/UHF Programmable Scanner 200-0148B 16907
PRO-2046 100-Channel Programmable Mobile Scanner 200-0149 36542
PRO-10 Scanner 200-0170 38491
PRO-2066 150-Channel Mobile Trunking Scanner 200-0195 49679
PRO-43 200-Channel Portable Scanner 200-0300 8564
PRO-41 10-Channel Hand-Held Scanner 200-0301 8555
PRO-42 Programmable Scanner 200-0302 8451
PRO-39 VHF/UHF Hand-Held Scanner 200-0303 8992
PRO-44 VHF/UHF 50-Channel Scanner 200-0304 8489
PRO-46 VHF/UHF 100-Channel Scanner 200-0305 8558
PRO-49 10-Channel Programmable Scanner 200-0306 16429
PRO-50 VHF/UHF 20-Channel Programmable Scanner 200-0307 6905
PRO-51 200-Channel Scanner 200-0308 7508
PRO-51 200-Channel Scanner 200-0308A 32493
PRO-60 200-Channel Programmable Scanner 200-0309 15359
PRO-70 50-Channel Hand-Held Portable Scanner 200-0310 36544
PRO-58 10-Channel Programmable Scanner 200-0400 8570
PRO-59 8-Channel Scanner 200-0401 8567
PRO-2027 100-Channel Scanner 200-0402 18555
PRO-2028 VHF/UHF Scanner 200-0403 8282

PRO-2029 60-Channel Scanner 200-0404 8460
PRO-2030 80-Channel Scanner 200-0407 8457
PRO-508 20-Channel Programmable Scanner 200-0408 6986
PRO-2032 200-Channel Base Scanner 200-0409 8561
PRO-2033 Desktop 10-Channel 8-Digit Programmable Scanner 200-0410 6917
PRO-2034 60-Channel Programmable Base Scanner 200-0411 6466
PRO-2036 200-Channel Scanner 200-0412 15565
PRO-2038 50-Channel Scanner 200-0413 18278
PRO-2040 100-Channel Home Scanner 200-0414 17770
PRO-2043 30-Channel Programmable Scanner 200-0415 36545
PRO-2044 80-Channel Home Scanner 200-0416 36546
PRO-2048 200-Channel Programmable Home Scanner 200-0417 44542
PRO-2045 200-Channel Weather Alert Home Scanner 200-0418 33680
PRO-2014 50-Channel Programmable Home Scanner 200-0420 36547
PRO-2050 TrunkTracker Scanner 200-0430 44544
PRO-2035 1000-Channel Rotary Scanner 200-0460 16587
PRO-2037 200-Channel Programmable Scanner 200-0461 28554
PRO-2039 200-Channel Programmable Scanner 200-0462 15932
PRO-2041 400-Channel 800 MHz Programmable Home Scanner 200-0463 38779
PRO-2042 1000-Channel Programmable Home Scanner 200-0464 28555
PRO-24 16-Channel Direct-Entry Programmable Scanner 200-0503 3369
PRO-23 VHF/UHF Programmable 50-Channel Scanner 200-0504 6486
PRO-25 100-Channel Portable Scanner 200-0505 28556
PRO-26 200-Channel Portable Scanner 200-0506 15613
PRO-27 20-Channel VHF/UHF Portable Scanner 200-0507 26461
PRO-28 30-Channel Hand-Held Direct Entry Programmable Scanner 200-0508 18844
PRO-29 60-Channel Hand-Held Direct Entry Programmable Scanner 200-0509 19700
PRO-66 200-Channel 800 MHz Scanner 200-0512 38778
PRO-74 100-Channel Race Scanner 200-0513 47096
PRO-90 300-Channel Trunk Tracker Scanner 200-0520 42507
PRO-91 Handheld Trunking Scanner 200-0521 46694
PRO-62 200-Channel Handheld Scanner 200-0560 16620
PRO-63 100-Channel Hand-Held Portable Event Scanner 200-0561 26462
PRO-64 Handheld Scanner 200-0564 36549
PRO-72 50-Channel 800 MHz Scanner 200-0566 38978

In article <368a77a9.74225841@news.newsguy.com>, news@helispot.com wrote:
>>He's probably referring to "unlocking" the "locked out channels". On the
>>Uniden scanners you go to MANUAL and press the LOCKOUT button until you hear 2
>
>>beeps (about 3 seconds) and all the locked out channels are unlocked, or all
>>channels are now "unlocked" out.
>
>Fred, that's exactly what I was talking about. On the Unidens, like
>you said, I can restore all the previously locked out channels. How
>do you do this on a handheld Radio Shack scanner? I know a bunch of
>the channels are locked out but I don't want to have to go through all
>200 of them to find out which ones are locked out and which ones
>aren't.
>

>Thoughts, anyone?
>
>Alex
>news@helispot.com
>
>Helicopter Fan? See:
>http://www.helispot.com
>
>

180. Uniden 205xlt basic info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Tom (The other one)" <badger@telalink.net>
Message-ID: <ZPhj2.911$Vk.1370460@news1.atl>
Source record: 132796

Anyone have one of these older handhelds? Got one
used for Christmas, but no instructions. Pretty obvious
how to add channels, but how do I program a search, etc.
Most of the features are fairly intuitive, such as channel
programming, lockout and delay select, etc, but the search
feature procedures have eluded me so far.

Pretty simple questions, with, I am sure pretty simple answers.
Just the search procedure out of the book would be fine, dont
really need the whole manual.

Thanks -- please send me a copy of your reply via email
if possible, my news server never seems to pick up all the
news

Tom Norris
badger@telalink.net

181. Re: SWAP RCA DSS to scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <368dd6c9.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 132797

>Looking to swap an RCA DSS system with dish, cable, receiver, memory
>card and remote controller to a handheld or mobile scanner.

Which model is the DSS, Nick? (1st, 2nd, etc generation) SIngle or Dual LNB?
And would you be willing to give the access card # to the new owner so he
can check for outstanding balances with DirectTV before making a swap? I
know someone who may be interested...

182. Sevier County Tennessee Scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <kd4lyp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <368DB6A0.400C@usa.net>
Source record: 132798
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp/sevco.htm

183. chicago area snow plow freq's???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <76kcuv$ic3$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 132799

any good emergency and snow plow freq's in northern illinois area????
thanks jack

184. Re: Radio Shack Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <368DBFFE.27D68352@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132800

LAS wrote:

> 2006 & 2042 owners are victims of hype!
> These 2 scanners are good?...Compared to what? Previous RS scanners?
> They sell because of RS clever marketing and "why buy RS scanners philosophy"
>
> Its like a mountain...Because it's there!
>
> Get my facts straight?...Try reading the specs on these RF sives before making
> a statement like that...or maybe you are one of the many who buy a scanner
> because you saw a pretty picture somewhere.

You're really getting obnoxious. The Pro-2006 is widely (read: by many experts) regarded as probably the best scanner ever made. So put your money where your mouth is, name a better scanner, and while you're at it, tell me one thing that makes the 2006 a piece of shit, or whatever it was you called it. Save me your high marks for AOR, Yupi, and whoever else, they don't even come close. Bet you don't even know GRE made most of RS best scanners did you?

I've been scanning since the early 70's, Clowns like you who show up with some axe to grind with Radio Shack and make yourself look really stupid. I use communications equipment professionally, I know what is, and is not good equipment. Now go back to your sand box.

Jon

185. Re: Radio Shack Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <368DC06D.83AF9BA5@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132801

AJMedia wrote:

> Since you guys seem to know a lot about RS scanners, could someone help me
> figure out how to modify mine so that it can receive certain **blocked**
> frequencies?
>
> Thanks much.
> AJMedia@nospam.com

If you're using a Pro-90 bought in the US, you're out of luck, those certain
blocked frequencies can't be restored.

Jon

186. Problem with WXSat 2.4e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: trev@aero51.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <368d0524.11222362@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 132802
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi All,

I wonder whether anyone can help.

I am trying to decode the transmissions from weather satellites using WXSat Version 2.4e. Using Recording > Test I have made sure that the loudest signal peaks easily reaches the two gray lines but aren't clipped at the upper or lower limit. When I start Recording > Picture I get a couple of inches of pictures, but there are white lines at 45 degrees, that go from right to left, down the picture. It sort of looks like the horizontal width of the picture is too much. Any ideas?

You can find an example (from NOAA-14 137.62 AM) at
http://www.aero51.demon.co.uk/wxsat.htm

Thanks
Trevor

187. trunking in the big sky country

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Compaq <crystal@ronan.net>
Message-ID: <01be362a$e2242ae0$c3e604d0@vogmudet>
Source record: 132803

Hi! I have a RS Pro-90 TrunkTracker radio, and I would like to try out the trunk tracking ability. I need frequencies for Montana. Any help would be greatly appreciated!
Thank You,
John

e-mail me at crystal@ronan.net

188. RS PRO-25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marc Desjardins <cocktail@bcs.ca>
Message-ID: <368DD064.BDAF61BD@bcs.ca>
Source record: 132804

Yellow !

I wanna be able to listen to FM and TV bands...i have a US/Can model and
the bizzare code when powered up "2,9,DLY" show a 3 bank memory channels
having the 66.450MHz...what
is that frequency anyway??? Thanks !

Please relpy via Email
Mark D.
cocktail@bcs.ca
Quebec

189. SUDBURY , ONT , CAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospampls@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76kr68$cm6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132805

Any good info about the new system in Sudbury!!

We know its an EDAC...anyone got any other info.. ?

Drop a line to me via..

ontscan@hotmail.com

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

190. Re: Problem with WXSat 2.4e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: freeserve <ian@kmedia.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <76kutr$d9n$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132806
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>I am trying to decode the transmissions from weather satellites using
>WXSat Version 2.4e. Using Recording > Test I have made sure that the
>loudest signal peaks easily reaches the two gray lines but aren't
>clipped at the upper or lower limit. When I start Recording > Picture
>I get a couple of inches of pictures, but there are white lines at 45
>degrees, that go from right to left, down the picture. It sort of
>looks like the horizontal width of the picture is too much. Any ideas?

>
after looking at the piccy it seems that the sync is very badly out. when
you say "recording" i presume you are decoding from a recording if this is
the case you will need a DAT tape or other very high quality/very constant
tape speed recording device to do it with otherwise you will not get a
proper sync.

ian koenig

191. Re: one-sided 800MHZ conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <368e0379.1625885@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 132807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

fchen8@hotmail.com (Doc) wrote:

:I only hear one-sided conversation when I scan the 800MHZ range of
:802-809 It's the base frequency so I should be able to hear both
:sides. Any explainations?

What you are hearing is UHF TV. According to my frequency chart,
526 to 820 MHz is Band IV and V television.

192. FS: EICO OSCILLOSCOPE Model 460 on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: richard@nospam.com
Message-ID: <368e07c2.160084851@172.16.1.166>
Source record: 132808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

EICO OSCILLOSCOPE Model 460, DC Wide Band with Intensity, Focus, Horizontal and Vertical Position, Phasing, Vert-Gain, Vert. Attenuator, Horizontal Selector, Horizontal Gain, and Sweep Vernier and Sweep Selector dials. Ext. Cap, Sawtooth, H-input Ext. Sync., V-Input, Z Axis. I plugged it in and got a wave pattern. Appears to work, but no guarantee

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=52988923

Thanks,
Richard

193. pro-39 disciminator output, where is it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: richard@nospam.com
Message-ID: <368e097b.160526436@172.16.1.166>
Source record: 132809

pro-39 disciminator output, where is it?

thanks

richard

194. Re: IS BCT2 a good scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: richard@nospam.com
Message-ID: <368e0a00.160659187@172.16.1.166>
Source record: 132810

I had the BCT-7. I thought it was pretty good, compared to looking up the freqs and punching them in as you go from state to state. Could have been better, but then ...

195. Re: What to do with no-display Pro-43?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wapcutthispart@ica.net
Message-ID: <368e1bf3.160271@news>
Source record: 132811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Tony Szablowski" <aszablowski@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>>How do you get the rubber contact strip to stick to the PC board, or
is
>>this not necessary? My display will work if I hold the display
together,
>>but when I let go it disappears.

>Yeah, that was ticking me off pretty good yesterday so I put it down
>to take a breather. I tried crazy gluing it onto the display by
>applying the glue to just the outside ends and around the top of the
>strip onto the back of the display. You have to keep the inside
>faces clean where they meet. That's the pain. <g>

This is the wrong approach and you are risking ruining the strip.
Just install the LCD, reflector, strip and keypad on the front
case and then bolt the logic board down firmly.

Make sure the speaker wires aren't pinched by the mounting hardware
as this will tend to short the audio to ground and may also lift
the logic board up enough to result in poor contact with the display.

If you've been handling the conductive areas of the logic board,
strip, or LCD, you should clean them. A careful rubbing with a
tissue should do and a toothbrush will take off any pesky lint.

A good way to improve LCD contact is to add a thin (2mm) strip of
masking tape to the lower front of the LCD. The tape will be hidden
behind the black window border and the rubber strip will be pressed
a bit harder against the contacts.

...Andy

196. Re: Chicago FD Freq? Norridge, IL. PD. FD. Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-0201990737260001@k56-ip-48.theramp.net>
Source record: 132812
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <368DAFCE.27D93885@ync.net>, Paul and Cherity Machnick
<CPMachnick@ync.net> wrote:

> Hi all, happy New Year. I've been listening to 154.1300 here in Chicago
> and it is suppose to be for Chicago FD Northside dispatch. The only
> problem they've been dispatching Southside units on that freq. Is that
> the freq for Northside? Has there been a change?
>
> Also, does anyone have the freq for Norwood Township FD and Norridge PD
> and FD. Thanks.
>
> Paul
> CPMachnick@ync.net

154.130 is indeed for Northside dispatch (Called "Main"). 153.770 is
south ("Englewood") and 153.830 is Fireground. If they have radio
problems they could switch south side units to Main.

Norridge PD is on 471.2875 (Dispatch) and 155.490 (Car to Car), Norwood
Park FPD is on 154.370. Norwood Park FPD covers both Norridge and Harwood
Hts.

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**************************g3mtnw*********************************

197. Re: Chicago FD Freq? Norridge, IL. PD. FD. Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: PakRat@anonymous.com
Message-ID: <368e236e.634857152@news.altopia.com>
Source record: 132813

154.1300 is CFD Main (north of 39th St. (3900 south))
153.7700 is CFD Englewood (south of 39th St.)
460.6000 is CFD Ambulance Dispatch (city wide)

On Fri, 01 Jan 1999 23:34:06 -0600, Paul and Cherity Machnick
<CPMachnick@ync.net> wrote:

>Hi all, happy New Year. I've been listening to 154.1300 here in Chicago
>and it is suppose to be for Chicago FD Northside dispatch. The only
>problem they've been dispatching Southside units on that freq. Is that
>the freq for Northside? Has there been a change?
>
>Also, does anyone have the freq for Norwood Township FD and Norridge PD
>and FD. Thanks.
>
>Paul
>CPMachnick@ync.net

198. Freq. Offset, Ericsson Trunking system Hillsborough, Fla.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: adwilson@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <368e2539.676895@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132814

I need the Frequency offsets for Hillsborough County, Fla.
Police/Fire.

The repeater outputs are in the 866 to 868 Mhz band. I have scanned
at -45 Mhz offset (821 to 823 Mhz) but hear nothing.

Are they using a "non-standard" offest ?? The Ericsson system is
being used.

Thanks in advance, Alan

199. Freq. Offset, Ericsson Trunking system Hillsborough, Fla.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: adwilson@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <368e2741.1196420@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132815

I need the Frequency offsets for Hillsborough County, Fla.
Police/Fire.

The repeater outputs are in the 866 to 868 Mhz band. I have scanned
at -45 Mhz offset (821 to 823 Mhz) but hear nothing.

Are they using a "non-standard" offest ?? The Ericsson system is
being used.

Thanks in advance, Alan

200. Adress to Lat/Lon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: plantagenet@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76ldkj$q1q$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132816

Is there a site that will provide lat/lon for a street address?

I am looking for the lat/lon for:

1502 Pech Rd, Houston, TX 77055

Thanks.

--
Daines
plantagenet@my-dejanews.com

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

201. WTB:2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ernie Stedman <n2ojq@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <76lbp0$e18@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132817

Anybody got a clean 2006 scanner for sale?
email w/ details-
Thanks,
Ernie

202. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <368e32ce.134094243@206.184.139.132>
Source record: 132818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL one!)) wrote:

>On 1 Jan 1999 00:53:24 GMT, "DavidC" <davidc@bit-net.com> wrote:
>
>>Should be no surprise, he is a Christian to whom that means
>>something every moment of every day of his life.
>>A Happy and Blessed New Year to all! 73, DavidC K1YP
>
>A) this is NOT a religious newsgroup,

Who said it was Bud?
>
>B) Christians as a whole are JUST the same as most other religions, a few 'true believers' and a
> bunch of opportunists

Read David's ENTIRE comment as you clipped it - he makes the point
that the owner of "The Ham Station" is "A Christian to whom this means
something . . ."
>
>C) Christians do NOT have an exclusive franchise on ethics and morality

Did anyone in this thread say they did?

Bud, get the chip off your shoulder: it is VERY unbecomming.

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA

203. Re: ICOM SMA antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andreas During <hiscore@berlin.sireco.net>
Message-ID: <368e3755.0@news.sireco.de>
Source record: 132819

CMF schrieb in Nachricht <76erc5$sg6$1@news.iquest.net>...
>I am trying to get the model number of an ICOM SMA antenna that I had about
a
>year ago and unfortunately sold. It is a dual-band 2/70 SMA antenna that
was
>only a few inches tall (est. 4-5"). If you can help me with the antenna
model
>number or the model number of the radio it came with would be a great help.
>I want to put one on my R2....
>
>
>Tnx,
>
>Mike N9LFN
>
Is it the same like on ic-q7e? It's called FA-S270C.

Andreas

204. Oberlin, Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@lor.net>
Message-ID: <368E527D.DE3EB7DA@lor.net>
Source record: 132820

Hello, could someone help me find the freqs for air traffic control,
Oberlin, Ohio?
Thanks, Cal

205. PRO-70 Modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Kincaid" <cinix@goth.net>
Message-ID: <76lkj3gos1@mozart.jlc.net>
Source record: 132821
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Are there any modifications i can make to my RS Pro-70 Model Scanner so
i can recieve 108-136, or any modifications at all that would improve it?

206. FS: Pro 2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tury007@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <76lou9$38p$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132822

A nice great looking base/mobile scanner with 20 memorys and with a modification were you can get 80 more memorys and the scanner recieves from 30-50mhz, 108-174mhz, and 410-512mhz. Asking $60 dollars.Thanks! Happy Holidays!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

207. pro 91 keypad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: darocck@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102122303.20419.00006302@ng97.aol.com>
Source record: 132823

What does holding 2,9 and delay and cutting on the scanner do. and also what does 2,9, and 4 do i can't figure these out. the only thing i have figured out is that you can hold 3 and 8 instead of 2 and 9.

208. Re: ICOM SMA antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Graves <alankg@siscom.net>
Message-ID: <cLsj2.20$PI.614@news.siscom.net>
Source record: 132824

I dont have the model number, but the best dual band antenna I have found to use on my Q-7, which is basically the same radio as your R-2, is made by Yaesu an dit is the one shipped on the VX-1R. It is only 3 inches long but performs excellent. Icom makes great radios but unfortunately have never realized antenna's dont need to be huge to perform.

Alan
Andreas During <hiscore@berlin.sireco.net> wrote in message news:368e3755.0@news.sireco.de...
>
>CMF schrieb in Nachricht <76erc5$sg6$1@news.iquest.net>...
>>I am trying to get the model number of an ICOM SMA antenna that I had about
>a
>>year ago and unfortunately sold. It is a dual-band 2/70 SMA antenna that >was
>>only a few inches tall (est. 4-5"). If you can help me with the antenna >model
>>number or the model number of the radio it came with would be a great help.
>>I want to put one on my R2....
>>
>>
>>Tnx,
>>
>>Mike N9LFN
>>
>Is it the same like on ic-q7e? It's called FA-S270C.
>
>Andreas
>
>
>

209. FS: RS Pro-2056 Mobile Scanner $50

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4aus1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102125001.26246.00005269@ng125.aol.com>
Source record: 132825

For Sale:

Radio Shack Pro-2056 Moble/Base Scanner.

29-512MHZ, with service search (police, fire/emg, marine, aircraft, private
bank to store your own local
freq's)

comes new in box w/ wall charger, bnc antenna, manuals, etc,

$50 U ship

210. Re: Pro 2005 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <76lm83bqr1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 132826

Indeed! Ebay is defiantly a sellers market!

Dan wrote in message <368dd3c9.0@news.oaktree.net>...
>
>...
>>good deal check it out
>
>I did, and it's already past the point of what it is actually worth. The
>original bid was more in line for a 2005.
>A good deal for you, maybe, but not the guy that ends up being high bidder.
>I can buy a 2006 here in the newsgroup for what yours will end up going for
>on Ebay.
>
>

211. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: zooo@concentric.net
Message-ID: <368e7169.2336839@news>
Source record: 132827
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

I didn't see the original post, so the question may have already been
answered, but Gugilimo Marconi gets the credit.

On Fri, 01 Jan 1999 17:59:54 -0800, John Lundgren
<NOlundgrejSPAM@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us> wrote:

>D. Holford wrote:
>[snip]
>
>> Edison built the first CHEAP electric light.
>> Stadiums and railway stations etc. were already illuminated by electric
>> light, it was just to expensive for the general public to afford until
>> Edison came up with a cheap solution.
>
>Where didja ever come up with that misinformation?
>
>The illumination was by gas up until the electric light was perfected by
>Edison. He wasn't the first to try making a light bulb, but he was the
>first to get it to work long enough to be commercially feasible. He use
>a carbon filament for the light bulb.
>
>
>
>
>> Dave Holford

212. Re: Dutchess County NY Trunked Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: aa2as@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102131413.28240.00004425@ng154.aol.com>
Source record: 132828

the county government dont use trunk

213. Best 800 MHz antenna? Watson 800 BNC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: fchen8@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3531F2A3954F22CE.7E2A14A9109180A8.5A83FC44E92DC253@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 132829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone have any experience with the Watson 800 BNC Antenna as to how good it is at the 800 MHz range? Also, any opinion as to the best 800 MHz antenna that's out there.

214. FS:UNIDEN BC80XLT NEW IN THE BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffg1949@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102140757.16629.00006189@ng43.aol.com>
Source record: 132830

50 CHANNEL 29-956MHZ(CELL BLOCKED).RECEIVED AS A GIFT, NEVER USED. $115.OO
INCLUDES S+H UPON RECEIPT OF A US POSTAL MONEY ORDER.HAVE A HAPPY AND HEALTHY
NEW YEAR, THANKS JEFF

215. Re: Optocom or PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: talley@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <09990002094033.OUI59.talley@earthlink.net>
Source record: 132831

Does anyone know if we can expect an unblocked Optocom from a Canadian
or UK vendor?

On January 01 1999, "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net> wrote:
> I think the Optocom has the following features that the PCR1000 does
> not have:
>
> 1) DCS/DPL decode
> 2) Trunk-following capabilty
> 3) Faster scan speed

216. All socked in an a QRM'in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: lets have radio fun <dabr549@arrl.sux.lemons>
Message-ID: <01be3683$730cffc0$LocalHost@74d7562e859aa63>
Source record: 132832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeperr Cousin Jethro! Just about everything East of the Misssissippi
River is being >socked in< by a massive snow event as I type this onto
my trusty Mac, and sho-enuf as a black pickaninny launches onto a bowl of
chitlins an collard greens, their hard at work on the 14.300 mHz USB
radio ZOO! The fun actually started last night with our perrenial favorite, Walter
Barstool, gettin the ball rolling with his trademark German marching music
Show on 3.950 kHz LSB. "Uncle Ziggy", as he is known by some, seemed to
peter out a bit early but it was a good showing nonetheless. I still say that
there is NOBODY who can put out true High Fidelity audio on SSB like Mr. Barstool
can. Walter should really write a book about audio engineering before his liver
finally quits and he ends up in the Betty Ford Clinic Geriatric Care Home. (sigh..)
As for 14.300 USB it's business as usual! Cat calls, tape playing, charges, counter-
charges, more charges, more counter-charges, fart noises (not 2 forget the new
14.300 classic "porkbutt passes gas") people who don't know which end of a hand
mic to talk into, piss-weakers, music players, re-broadcasts of Bro. Stair (are done by
someone in Ga) hacking coughs, sounds of sawing of wood and drills, etc etc etc...

In short folks, hope for a real blizzard so that half the US gets SOCKED IN at home
and we could be in for quite a "Biiig Shooww" as the late Ed Sullivan would have said...

Enjoy, but don't take it too seriously since the participants are each certifiably short
2-or-more-cans-to-a-six-pack, or have already drank that much already and are moving
onto the next case of Coors. (can you imagine some ham plunking down a Grand or more
for radio gear to do this s##t? I'll tell ya, between Ham shows and Train shows they really
must clean out the mental asylums
on the weekends)

217. Re: 900mhz CORDLESS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JuTravler <anybody@anyplace.net>
Message-ID: <76lt1u$5u9@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132833

K patterson wrote in message ...
>Thats true Phil, good point. I recently found a police freq that wasn't in
>Police Call or on my CD rom data base. I had been looking for a while
cause
>they would switch to "ch 6", for car to car chit chat, and I would never
>hear them. It took a while due to the short length of their transmitions,
>but I found them.
>
Are you referring to the LPD?

218. antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: MARC A MARTIS <MACHONE69@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <76lt3c$6usi$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132834

looking for a new outdoor base antenna.
I am thinking about a r.s. 20-043 discone ant.
is it worth the 60.00$?

219. pro2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: MARC A MARTIS <MACHONE69@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <76lt40$3dim$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132835

any info on modifications for the rs2045.
I'm not interested in cellular any other mods?

220. Connector loss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <76jqq8$k2q$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132924

I have an RS scanner antenna with a motorola plug and radios that use BNC plugs. If I leave the original plug and use an adaptor, will the motorola connector contribute noticable loss? Can a BNC connector be installed without soldering or using crimping tools? If I install a BNC connector without soldering, will reception be degraded when the copper center conductor on the cable begins to tarnish and corrode and no longer makes a good connectrion with the BNC connector? (I live in a humid climate)

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

221. Re: Re:Let's crack RD/LAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrongurl@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <76jri9koa1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132925

In article <75c95h$di0$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>,
"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Okay, finally a topic we can really sink our teeth into on this NG. All ye
> MDT experts gather 'round, and lets have a pow-wow...

Finally someone is suggesting collaboration on a project.. This is of interest not only to scanner enthusiasts but to programmers,coders and Hams (not to mention the folks who currently manufacture these systems. What about a message board for data projects??.This method seemed to work for the Euro-satellite folks they don't seem to mind sharing tech knowledge what' our problem??.I myself have little interest in television stuff (been there done that),but thier sites do provide lot's of relevent info (pcm,pwm,Delta Modulation.) I have been working on a multi-system for RD-Lap, reflex, Apco-25 etc. What I am doing is using a microcontroller do do the "bit-banging".as it stands right now I am using external A/D and 4level fsk circuitry but may go to a mpu that has A/D functions. I have tried using dsp but that can move the project out of most peoples reach.My goal is it make the hardware side as simple but effective as possible. any thoughts on the project would be appreciated. I think we should also send belated thanks to "DL5 YEC" W.F. Schroeder for getting alot of us started in using PC's for data decoding. his Hamcomm Program is what I believe started this interest that has extended to scannner buffs.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

222. POCSAG Program Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: randy1038@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101191123.29438.00005661@ng12.aol.com>
Source record: 132926

My paging group that I belong to sends out special pages for weather and news that I am not programmed for. Typically these are in POCSAG at 1200 or 2400. The same general pager capcode is used, but the individual address or maildrop code is different. Example {1111243} 0, 1,2, or 3. I am only interested in monitoring what comes over the "0" maildrop and not on the 1, 2, or 3. No matter what I do with the filter.ini file, I always get what ever comes over {1111243} regardless of the maildrop number. The program always sees that extra number as a "label" instead of part of the address.

Is there anyway to fix that or better filter out the maildrop code?

randy1038@aol.com

223. Re: Listening to cordless phone law loophole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <368f6130.3858287@news.erols.com>
Source record: 132927

On Thu, 31 Dec 1998 14:11:48 GMT, orasberries@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> It appears that there is a big hole in the law that covers listening to
>cordless phones since it only states that it illegal to listen to the signal
>from the handset ot the base unit, not the base unit to the handset.

Here's the deal:

You listen in the privacy of your own home. You don't tell anyone.
How could a third party ever figure out that you have been doing this?

This is the basis of the original "Radio Secrecy Act" of 1934. It is
still valid today.

Analyzing defective and ignorant legislation infers a legitimacy it
does not deserve. This act was written on political, not technical
grounds. The day someone brings this little detail to the Supreme
Court is the day it will fail.

I wouldn't hesitate to listen to a cordless phone if I felt like it.
Just don't expect me to admit anything unless required to testify
about it before a court of law.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

224. FREQUENYS WANTED FOR LUTON IN UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: christopher meilak <christopher.meilak@net.ntl.com>
Message-ID: <368D6534.842D2798@net.ntl.com>
Source record: 132928

HAS ANYONE GOT ANY GOOD FREQUENCYS FOR LUTON IN BEDFORDSHIRE(UK)
EMAIL ON CHRISTOPHER.MEILAK@NET.NTL.COM

225. Re: Radio Shack Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990101192730.00191.00006631@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 132929

what exactly is a bandpass filter? I have a Pro-90 and want to know what you
are talikng about!
-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

226. FA: Bearcat 210 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: brandddd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101194403.01067.00005042@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 132930

If interested in this item go to e-bay:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=52970130

227. FA; Icom IC-R7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: brandddd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101194511.01067.00005043@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 132931

This item is up for auction:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=52968792

228. Re: aor 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101195119.00816.00002142@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 132932

>From: brian74@earthlink.net

>Is the aor 8000 a desk top scanner or a handheld

Alright, I'm putting my flame-proof suit on...

If you don't know, then you should go find something else to do because it is obvious that you're too lazy to do even a minimum amount of research before posting such lame- ass questions.

There, I said it. I feel better now. Flame away, I don't care.

229. Re: IS BCT2 a good scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ajmedia@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101203942.05853.00005604@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 132933

>
>IS BCT2 a good scanner ? AKA Bearcat Tracker.
>I heard its not that great. Are the freq codes that are
>installed on it current or is that the way it works ? How
>else could it work since selecting TX for instance will
>give you all the police signals in TX. Or so it was designed.

I have a BCT-12, and after a year, I haven't decided whether I like it. It supposedly came pre-programmed with police/highway patrol, road dept., media and weather frequencies. However, I took a road trip across the country and it didn't pick up a lot. Additionally, in my current hometown, it doesn't pick up a lot -- and I hear a lot of road dept. transmissions, although I have it set up only for the police dept. Go figure.

Anyone else had the same experience? Is there a way to send it back for updating?

AJMedia@nospam.com

230. FS: PRO-34, new in box, B/O gets it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: elva2189@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990101210227.07277.00006029@ng113.aol.com>
Source record: 132934

No mod. Mint. I don't care for scanners and just want rid of it.
Eric

231. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mintz@mnsinc.com
Message-ID: <76jv96$v9$1@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 132935
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

John Lundgren (NOlundgrejSPAM@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us) wrote:
: D. Holford wrote:
: [snip]

: > Edison built the first CHEAP electric light.
: > Stadiums and railway stations etc. were already illuminated by electric
: > light, it was just to expensive for the general public to afford until
: > Edison came up with a cheap solution.

: Where didja ever come up with that misinformation?

: The illumination was by gas up until the electric light was perfected by
: Edison. He wasn't the first to try making a light bulb, but he was the
: first to get it to work long enough to be commercially feasible. He use
: a carbon filament for the light bulb.

Arc (electric) lighting was already available prior to Edison's perfecting
an incandescent bulb.

Larry

232. FS: Sportcat 100 Channel Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mark <imok1@mc.net>
Message-ID: <36903041.353056B4@mc.net>
Source record: 132586

FOR SALE:

Uniden Sportcat 100 Ch. handheld scanner with 800MHz
powercord/charger, manual and antennas
Works and looks good!!

$100
Mark
KB9LIO

233. CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36903116.1E14@usa.net>
Source record: 132587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

With the new year starting & on the eve of the next century, a moment to reflect is appropriate.

I remember when I first landed on this NG is July of 1996. I found the NG was in a full WAR started sometime prior to my arrivial by the actions of a person who had complete disregard for the good of this NG. This person claimed to be an "Engineer" & to be "helping" others on this NG. In reality he was not an engineer by any legit certifying in his current home state or previous home state & did not hold a current license for radio communications technical work. This person also tended to post articles on this
NG regarding homosexuality & perverse behavior along with cancelling posts of others and attacking usually innocent members of this NG.

Several concerned and responsible members of this newsgroup responded to deal with the problem individual. But this proved to be a very stubborn problem that would not go away easily. The actions to rid the
NG of the problem lasted for about 2 years. He attempted to lie or cheat his way out of every single matter, & many ill-advised people who were easily mis-lead attempted to cover for him or enable his behavior.

Sadly, the war or policing action to deal with this person continued for a LONG time, (2 years) until late summer 1998. At that time this person was
kicked off of a mailing list for numerous abuse actions on that scanning mail list AND had his access to his ISP revoked for abusive actions on the internet. BOTH of these happened after he had received
SEVERAL warnings both in private e-mail and on usenet/mail-lists.
(the person's ISP had received MANY complaints of his behavior & actions)

Those ill-advised people who had been believers in the problem person were mostly shocked, as the truth of the problem was now
apparent & un-avoidable. Most found their supportive actions
now personally embarrasing.

The result was immediately seen as the situation on this NG improved, & it once again became a safe place for technical discussions regarding the hobby of radio communications & scanning.

There was an unfortuately windfall of his dis-orientated followers who attempted to attack anyone who they felt was responsible in reporting the
abusive actions or simply sticking up for the right of this NG. After a

few weeks of dealing with these people & addressing THIER behavior, even these individuals went away.

NOW WE CAN CONGRATULATE OURSEVES & know that THIS NEWSGROUP is a better place for ALL. Now, when one downloads this NG, you see a great majority
of useful & decent posts to explore & share with rather than the spam, flaming, & hate of the past. Those who stood up for the betterment of this NG & addressing the problems are able to look themselves in the mirror & know they did what was RIGHT, regardless of sometimes other opinions.

This newsgroup salutes those that stood up in the face of the evil menace
that was attempting to take over this NG, & fought to protect and save this NG to preserve it for the future generations.

But even with the generous work that went to support this NG, we realize that the person who started the problems here in early 1996 was a person who was dis-illusioned & in need of rehabilitation. That person
was off the NG for a few months, but has now returned on a different ISP and has not caused anywhere near the problems as he had before. Those on this NG may feel better in knowing that they also helped better him also.

234. Comments on sony icf-sc1pc scanner??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <76pcbk$f3p$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 132588

any comments from users of the sony icf-sc1pc
thanks jack
cmb@owc.net

235. Re: Adress to Lat/Lon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Maxell <"scottm[nospam]"@midmaine.com>
Message-ID: <36903919.FF6F05E@midmaine.com>
Source record: 132589

My mapping program gives:
95 29' 44"
29 47' 50" for that general address.

---scott
scottm@midmaine.com

plantagenet@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> Is there a site that will provide lat/lon for a street address?
>
> I am looking for the lat/lon for:
>
> 1502 Pech Rd, Houston, TX 77055
>
> Thanks.
>
> --
> Daines
> plantagenet@my-dejanews.com
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

236. Wacky Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <36906535.C6D6954F@INVALID.com>
Source record: 132590

John - KAOSSF wrote:

> With the new year starting & on the eve of the next century, a moment to
> reflect is appropriate.
>
> I remember when I first landed on this NG is July of 1996. I found the
> NG was in a full WAR started sometime prior to my arrivial by the
> actions of a person who had complete disregard for the good of this NG.
> This person claimed to be an "Engineer" & to be "helping" others on this
> NG. In reality he was not an engineer by any legit certifying in his
> current home state or

Did'ja forget the part about him kicking you off his Fidonet conference?
Isn't
that where your vendetta started?

But don't let me get in the way, I'm sure you're expecting applause from
your
virtual "support group". Forge/Cancel any posts lately Mackey?

237. Re: BC895XLT Remote Memory Retention Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Law & Order <xeno@jps.net>
Message-ID: <36903be3.0@blushng.jps.net>
Source record: 132591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes. You can input frequencies into the scanner's own memory banks so that
you can use it unaccompanied by a computer interface.

The freeware program: FreeTracker will do this.
http://www.autowinnet.com/freetrack.html

The pay program: ScanStar Deluxe will also do this.
http://www.scanstar.com/

RW

Bonzo_Steel@usa.net wrote in message <9nzj2.62$H43.347894@news.bctel.net>...
>When you operate a BC895XLT remotely from a PC,
>can you populate the memory presets such that when
>your PC is shut down, the BC895XLT can use the
>updated presets? Can a program like Radio Manager do this?
>
>In other words, does it operate like a Pro2006+OS456
>(in which case the answer would be no) or does it
>operate like a Pro2006+CE232 (in which case the answer
>would be yes)
>
>Inquiring Minds want to know.

238. Re: BC895XLT software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Law & Order <xeno@jps.net>
Message-ID: <36903cb0.0@blushng.jps.net>
Source record: 132592

I have tried both ScanStar Deluxe (which I presently use) and FreeTracker. Both are good, but ScanStar Deluxe is superior.

ScanStar Deluxe is about $160 and FreeTracker is FREE. Both support trunking with the BC895XLT. I tried PC895 and the program did not work with my system, so I certainly would not recommend that one! Radio Manager looks alright, but as far as I know, does not support trunking.

The freeware program: FreeTracker.
http://www.autowinnet.com/freetrack.html

The pay program: ScanStar Deluxe.
http://www.scanstar.com/

RW

239. Re: I NEED FREQUENCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Maxell <"scottm[nospam]"@midmaine.com>
Message-ID: <36903E79.5EA34C2B@midmaine.com>
Source record: 132593

Yes. The frequency is five times a week. More, if the symptoms
don't improve.

---scott
scottm@midmaine.com

Robert Stephens wrote:
>
> ANYONE KNOW THE GEORGIA FREQUENCES. I NEED . THANKS
>
> 73s Benny, Robby, Linda
> NORTH WEST GEORGIA
>
>
>

240. Re: FS: Sportcat 100 Channel Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <3690476B.A595C08D@owc.net>
Source record: 132594

i would like to buy it. what all does it cover?
thanks jack n9iif

mark wrote:

> FOR SALE:
>
> Uniden Sportcat 100 Ch. handheld scanner with 800MHz
> powercord/charger, manual and antennas
> Works and looks good!!
>
> $100
> Mark
> KB9LIO

241. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John L. Forbes" <jlforbes@eskimo.com>
Message-ID: <3690490F.6ABA@eskimo.com>
Source record: 132595
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

Mike O'Dell wrote:
>
> I'd vote for James Clerk Maxwell (as in "Maxwell's Equations") as the
> inventor of radio.
>
> He may not have known it at the time, but that's OK.
>
> -mo
At this rate, let's go back to Michael Faraday... he started it all!

John
--
jlforbes@eskimo.com
http://www.eskimo.com/~jlforbes/
http://www.broadcast.net/~sbe16/

242. Re: Can't find AFP or is it APF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@NULL>
Message-ID: <76pq9hcnk2@dns.ktb.net>
Source record: 132596

The version I have is apf40.exe. Try a search on that.

Norman Davis wrote:
>
> Lost a program that would extract freqs from text and make db files to
> up load to radio. It was called eather afp or apf and was on larrys
> utility home page on grove's home page I think. Can't find it now. Can
> anyone help?
>
> normd@directcon.net

243. Re: Can I take my HF radio on a plane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Home Office <bane@recom.com>
Message-ID: <76p769nmf1@hideout.emanon.net>
Source record: 132597
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

this is a simple answer to your question.
NO NO NO don't do it. 1) it is illegal 2) It can not only jepodise your
safety but the rest of the people on the aircraft.
Zydeco Man wrote in message <367f6871.2012320@news.eatel.net>...
>On Tue, 22 Dec 1998 00:40:16 GMT, kryppy@bellsouth.net (Jack) wrote:
>
>>I'm going on a trip for Christmas and was wondering if I could take my
>>shortwave on the airplane for some listening action. :p
>>
>>
>I was wondering the same about a scanner. I'm going on a trip this
>weekend and would like to try out my new handheld at 10,000 feet.
> Anyone know the policy for Airtran Airlines?
>
>

244. Ericsson Edacs Trunking: Want to some info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paragon@Lightspeed.ca
Message-ID: <369125ac.0@news.westel.com>
Source record: 132598

Our city, Vancouver, BC, Canada is going onto this piece of shit trunking called EDACS! I want to hear some horror stories on this systema and can it also be monitored if they calim it is full digital!

Millin

245. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Cline <doncline@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <368FA7FB.E0DDA460@teleport.com>
Source record: 132636

Unfortunately, the GigaRange uses analog in the 900Mhz range and can
easly be received on a scanner. It sound hard to believe, I know, but I
have done it myself several times.

Don

"Richard W. Clement" wrote:
>
> I am getting terrific results from my Panasonic 2.4 GHz/900 MHz DSS cordless
> phone. Security is good because from my understanding the base uses 2.4 GHz
> and the handset uses 900 MHz, so with the 2 bands plus DSS, it is near
> impossible to pick up. I live on a college campus in Downtown Atlanta, and
> can use the phone almost anywhere on my city block!
>
> Hope this helps,
> Richard W. Clement
> KD4UCB
>
> Hanno, DG8JZ <TELCOM-GmbH.HV@t-online.de> wrote in message
> news:368F4A67.E04E1E73@t-online.de...
> >Hey and greetings from Europe..... we have the DECT Digital European
> >Cordless Tech since 2 years. It is 1800 Spead and till now nobody worked
> >it out to get it on a scanner. Of course the scanner people are not
> >happy with it and the cordless phones are so low priced now (around
> >150$). So nearly everybody buy such DECT.
> >We had several systems in the past:
> >CT 49Mhz as US System
> >
> >CT1 860/930 FM narrow, no scrambling, (was a good time!)
> >CT2 900 MHz digital PCM Modulation.... no chance
> >DECT see above
> >
> >Okay, that`s for now.... all best for 1999
> >
> >Hanno, DG8JZ
> >
> >RB schrieb:
> >
> >> What's the best for privacy in a cordless phone? Need to know soon.
> >> E-mail and response to this group welcome.
> >> Ralph Brown
> >> rb@bridgeport.net
> >
> >
> >

246. Re: Aviation Freq. needed for Roch,NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <sONj2.1467$m7.1941@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 132637

The Johnsons wrote in message <368EB493.282CCB72@frontiernet.net>...
>Does anyone have the frequencies used locally by the Rochester, NY
>airport. What does the tower use, what airlines use what frequencies,
>etc. Any help would be appreciated. Thanks.....Glenn.
>

The NF2G Scannist Pages at NF2G.COM have all the frequencies for the
Rochester area.

Go to the New York Section at http://nf2g.com/scannist/nys_index.html and
click on Monroe County.

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

247. PRO 2027 modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: steig3406@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12935-368F9DB1-11@newsd-211.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 132638

Any modifications are needed for the PRO 2027? can celluar be restored?

248. FS: Watson Super Gainer Scanner Antenna!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcam@home.com
Message-ID: <B1Oj2.10437$Hy5.2413@news.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 132639

Hello,
I am selling a like new Watson SuperGainer Scanner antenna on ebay. Starting bid is $10 no reserve. Come and get it.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=53627587

David Cameron
Please come and visit!!
Homepage HTTP://members.home.net/dcam/index.htm
E-mail dcam@home.com

249. FS: Uniden/Bearcat Scanner BC140 Programmable (10 Channel/10 Band Base Unit)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fyman <tonyg@**no_spam**visi.net>
Message-ID: <AiOj2.314$Qw5.1507849@firenze.visi.net>
Source record: 132640

FOR SALE: $29.00 plus shipping & insurance (should be $4-$5)

One Uniden/Bearcat BC140 Programmable Scanner.

(10 Channel/10 Band Base Unit)

Have original box - unit is in like new working condition.

Would be a great scanner for constant monitoring of you "Favorite 10
Channels", while your more modern scanner does the trunking/searching, etc!
Contact tonyg@visi.net
Check out my feedback at www.ebay.com (user: tonyg@visi.net)

250. Washington DC Federal/Mil Trunking systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <A38505AD3C0B206A.F1970F680A24BCB8.750CC15699237EE5@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 132641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi Folks!

I'm looking for Trunking information for some of the Federal and
Military trunked systems in the Washington Metro area for my Southeast
US Trunked Radio Information Homepage.

I know there are numerous Motorola and EDACS fed/mil systems in the
area. Does anyone have any trunking information for these systems
they can submit to me for posting on my page?

Many thanks for your help!

-Lb

Lindsay C. Blanton
lblant1@airmail.net
See the Southeast US Trunked Radio Information Homepage!
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm
---

251. Northwest Airlines Trunk Codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Ziegler <steveziegler@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eSCpCV0N#GA.56@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 132642

Does anyone have a listing of Northwest Airlines (Minneapolis Hub) trunk groups...There are many and I need most of them! Thanks,

Steve in Minnesota

252. Re: Ace Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <368fb81e.11862460@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 132643

On 3 Jan 1999 02:38:09 -0500, "RB" <rb@bridgeport.net> wrote:

>Three years ago I purchased a Bearcat scanner from Ace Communications in
>Indiana. Is this company still in Indiana? Are they now the
>"Cordless-Guys" on the Web?
>

Yes, I believe they are also known as the Cordless-Guys.
I bought a BCT-7 from them last year.

253. NEW: ARC8200 software for AR8200 demo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Buysen" <arcsoftware_SPAMNO@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <76oe16ijh1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 132644
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,
I just uploaded a preliminary DEMO version of the search bank manager
included in ARC8200 (Advanced Radio Control for AR8200).

Unlike other programs ARC8200 reads ALL data from your search banks
(including Noise Limiter, AFC, Protect) because it is specially designed for
the AR8200 by AR8200 users.

Other options:
- read and edit the scangroup link settings
- read and edit the scangroup search parameters
- read and edit the pass frequencies

Data is presented in a friendly spread-sheet format with useful edit tools
and it supports full clipboard operation. It also includes Copy/Move/Swap
options were the AR8200 only has a Copy option for the search banks.

The demo version has the upload routine for the search banks disabled.
I included a memory file with data that is loaded in my AR8200.

Note that it is a preliminary demo and NOT fully tested. Because so many
people requested info this demo will give you an impression how I think a
remote control program should work.

Any feedback is welcome because only with your help I can improve the
software (good and bad). Please e-mail me direct.

Go to the website below and locate the AR8200 software section.

Final version will be available next month and will include:
- Memory Manager
- Search Manager
- Bandplan Manager
- Spectrum Analyser
- fast logging utility that improves the AR8200 search speed

Other tools are under construction.

Regards,
Gommert http://arc.mypage.org Advanced Radio Control for AR5000/8000/8200.

254. Linux Tcl/Tk AOR 8000 control program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Emarit Ranu <ranu.1@osu.edu>
Message-ID: <368FBBC6.8475A0E@osu.edu>
Source record: 132645

A few years ago I wrote a simple Tcl/Tk program to control the basic functions of the AOR 8000 scanner. It has a nice GUI to control scanning, searching, VFOs, modes, muting, attenuation, etc. It's not as much as it could be, but it is the only one for Linux that I am aware of.

You can see a screen-shot and read the lsm file at:
http://esl.eng.ohio-state.edu/drranu/aor-control.html

You can download it from:
ftp://sunsite.unc.edu/pub/Linux/apps/ham/aor8000-0.12.tar.gz

It's free and so is the source - there are no usage restrictions.

--
-Emarit Ranu ranu.1@osu.edu
ElectroScience Laboratory, Who is John Galt?
The Ohio State University The Theorem Theorem:
_._ __. _____ _._. __._ If If, Then Then.

255. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Richard W. Clement" <rickey@cc.gatech.edu>
Message-ID: <76odv7$23g$1@news-int.gatech.edu>
Source record: 132646

Okay, I admit I fell into the trap of believing what was on the box and their webiste. I take back my compliments on this phone. I picked it up with my own scanner. I just wrote Panasonic about my disgust with them right now, and if anyone wants to know the reply to their message, I'll be more than happy to post it when I receive it.

I would like to thank each of you for bringing this to my attention.

Richard W. Clement

256. Re: AOR - 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dan baxter <dandeb11@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <76obsg$q6s$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132647

whats your point?

ALE wrote in message <76o83q$2qi$1@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>...
>I have a scanner AOR - 8000 .
>I change software for control my scanner with PC.
>(no demo version)
>
>p.i.mancini@omniway.sm
>
>
>
>

257. Re: I NEED FREQUENCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <76ofserhf1@camel18.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132648

benrobb@webtv.net (Robert Stephens) wrote, and I quote:

>ANYONE KNOW THE GEORGIA FREQUENCES. I NEED . THANKS

> 73s Benny, Robby, Linda
> NORTH WEST GEORGIA
>
>
>

www.mindspring.com/~mm505
Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems
(and surrounding areas)

258. Re: AOR - 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Buysen" <arcsoftware_SPAMNO@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <76oggh$l6c$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 132649

shareware at http://arc.mypage.org (25 USD)

ALE wrote in message <76o83q$2qi$1@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>...
>I have a scanner AOR - 8000 .
>I change software for control my scanner with PC.
>(no demo version)
>
>p.i.mancini@omniway.sm
>
>
>
>

259. Radio Web Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Craig N5XNQ <cpclark@pca.net>
Message-ID: <0006625B659FEC45.987DC5CF36DA7CFF.FF4A73F7A14BDF66@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 132650

I have recently updated my webpage and would like to invite everyone to
stop by. At this time I have allot of interesting links and will be posting
some schematics and programs in the next several weeks.

Craig Clark -N5XNQ

http://www.GeoCities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hall/3252

260. Icom R-8500 800 Mhz mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Hicks <chicks@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01be374f$d7da3ae0$b175b8cd@fcents1.fceomaha.org>
Source record: 132651

Can the R-8500 be modified for full 800 Mhz recv? Any pointers?

thanks.

261. 6 Volt Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: aljon@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <76oibh$7j2@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132652

Urgently have need for 6 volt battery, with minimum 4 Amp-Hour capacity, for special application.

Would someone please advise source for such item with source name, address, and telephone number - 800 type, if possible (plus please include any internet URL for same, if possible).

Greatly appreciate help. Thanks.

John

262. freqs needed: Huron Twp, Mich.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walt <kb8poa@gatecom.com>
Message-ID: <368FD140.6D14@gatecom.com>
Source record: 132653

Hello,

Does anyone happen to have the new freqs for the Huron Township,
Michigan PD??
They used to be on 158.745, but in the last month or so, they've
disappeared. I'm not sure if they went UHF or if they're on the Wayne
County trunked system now??

If anyone has some info, let me know!! It would be much appreciated...

Thanks!

Walt, kb8poa...

263. Re:DIGITAL MOBILES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: netzel@erinet.com
Message-ID: <76ojsn$3vj$1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 132654
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <76o7ir$409$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>, "HAMMERHEAD" <chutneyferret@lycos.com>
wrote:
> Why can`t I receive transmissions on
>digital mobile phones. I have a Realistic PRO-26.
>What equipment do I need?????
>
>

.you need the non-existant (as of yet) digital scanner. Until then you may
enjoy the presence of digital mobile phones but you may not listen in. In
fact you may never see the light of day in listening to digital mobile phones
with the new CDMA and TDMA technologies. The number of encryption codes alone
would take several decades to crack and by then the caller would have
completed the transmission. On the other hand you might see
(befor you retire) a scanner capable of receiving APCO25 communications but
keep praying... .....enjoy.....

Fred

264. Re: technical details on uniden/tandy scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: netzel@erinet.com
Message-ID: <76ok94$3vj$3@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 132655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

.Great!...you can start with the Radio Shack site in the customer support
secion. They have all the manuals and parts list on line. Good luck...

http://www.radioshack.com/Support/

Fred

In article <76mo5mcdn1@news1.mpx.com.au>, "Michael Paull"
<mpaull@mpx.com.au> wrote:
>Hi,
>
> Is there a list on the net that shows what cpu's are used in the
>various Tandy / Uniden scanners ? I'm thinking a collection of this info
>might be useful to see if mods posted for one model scanner may work for
>another, if for example a range of scanners by a manufacturer used the same
>cpu/pll combination.
>
>Other info along similar lines is what Uniden scanners by were sold by Radio
>Shack and which Uniden model number matches which Radio Shack model? (For
>instance I believe the Uniden 220XLT was sold by RS but under what PRO-xxx
>model number??)
>
>Owning a Uniden 220XLT i figure that if any mods came out it would be likely
>posted by someone using the Radio Shack version, and i'm trying to work out
>how to enable 25-50MHz mode which is substituted for 66-88MHz on Australian
>models, however I *think* the Australian/European 220's have a different CPU
>to the US 220's, so it would be interesting to see what cpu is on an US
>model.
>
>mike
>
>
>

265. Re: Freq. Offset, Ericsson Trunking system Hillsborough, Fla.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: netzel@erinet.com
Message-ID: <76ok17$3vj$2@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 132656

In article <368e2741.1196420@news.mindspring.com>, adwilson@mindspring.com wrote:
>I need the Frequency offsets for Hillsborough County, Fla.
>Police/Fire.
>
>The repeater outputs are in the 866 to 868 Mhz band. I have scanned
>at -45 Mhz offset (821 to 823 Mhz) but hear nothing.
>
>Are they using a "non-standard" offest ?? The Ericsson system is
>being used.
>
>Thanks in advance, Alan
>

maybe cause it's "digital"....

Hillsborough County Ericsson EDACS Digital Sheriff's Office,
Fire Department, and EMS Frequencies: 866.125, 866.225, 866.25, 866.4125,
866.5625, 866.65, 866.7, 866.725, 866.75, 866.8125, 867.0375, 867.0625,
867.3125, 867.9125, 868.1, 868.25, 868.275, 868.3, 868.35, 868.525, 868.6,
868.6875, 868.7125, 868.7375, 868.825

266. Re: Freq. Offset, Ericsson Trunking system Hillsborough, Fla.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cinvest@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103153751.16141.00005702@ng120.aol.com>
Source record: 132657

Hills is digital....you can not listen

267. Re: Peoria, AZ Police Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <simmont@extremezone.com>
Message-ID: <368FDD90.6C684F02@extremezone.com>
Source record: 132658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Peoria PD

Ch1 Dispatch & Ops 453.650
Ch3 Tatical 460.600
Ch5 Tatical 460.350
Ch6 Car to Car 453.675

Channels 2 & 4 are the same as Channels 1 & 3 but they are the direct input. Most of thier radio traffic is on Ch1.

Dennis Rice wrote:

> Has anyone figured out the frequencies for the different Peoria police
> channels. I have channel one and I think channel five, but I know
> channels 3 and 7 exist. I've tried all the freqs listed in Police
> Call and various sites on the net (none of which list channel five or
> seven, and the freq for ch. 3 seems to be incorrect. Any help would
> be appreciated. Please email a response.
>
> Thanks in advance,
> Dennis

--

Charles Simmont - ICP509 ICQ# 19943355
http://www.extremezone.com/~simmont/
Incident Command Page of Arizona Dispatcher & National Coordinator
http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp.html

*** The views expressed here are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated.***

268. Re: AR1000 - make offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Jensen <rwjensen@jps.net>
Message-ID: <368fdfbd.0@blushng.jps.net>
Source record: 132659

```
$125.00
rich
Jon S. Van Allen <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:368F9FB4.7EA71FE8@worldnet.att.net...
>
>
>tracey12 wrote:
>
>> $100.00 bucks. Ill pay shipping.
>> David W. Carroll wrote in message <368bf98d.75756724@news.onramp.net>...
>> >FS:
>> >I have a great performing AR1000, total coverage, including cellular,
>> >leather case, manuals.
>> >
>> >Make offer.
>> >
>> >David Carroll
>
>Let's run Ebay out of business.
>I offer $101.00, I'll pay shipping.
>
>Jon
>
```

269. Re: 6 Volt Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Conzett <conzett@home.com>
Message-ID: <368FE014.DE7C6898@home.com>
Source record: 132660

You can generally find this type of battery loacally: most fire burglar alarm panels use a similar battery. Try your local alarm supply house or Graybar Electric.

John wrote:
>
> Urgently have need for 6 volt battery, with minimum 4 Amp-Hour capacity, for
> special application.
>
> Would someone please advise source for such item with source name, address,
> and telephone number - 800 type, if possible (plus please include any internet
> URL for same, if possible).
>
> Greatly appreciate help. Thanks.
>
> John

270. SWAP: HP 200LX for DJx10T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <368FDF25.7566@wwd.net>
Source record: 132661

Looking to swap my like new HP200LX 2mb, with connectivity kit, ac
adapter, and leather zipper case for an Alinco DJX10T in good condition.

Email me for details....
--
73

Nick Marsh
WB4SQI

271. Re: What to do with no-display Pro-43?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: wjm2207@kcinter.net
Message-ID: <368fd506.6390608@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 132662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 31 Dec 1998 14:42:55 -0500, "jasval" <jasval333@provide.net> wrote:

>Hello Wiggie,
>
>How do you get the rubber contact strip to stick to the PC board, or is
>this not necessary? My display will work if I hold the display together,
>but when I let go it disappears.
>
>Thank You
>Tom Staley

Tom-
I think that I saw a post saying that you have everything in
working order, but just to make sure, I am going to make this post.
The rubber contact strip doesn't need to "stick" to the LCD's contacts
or to the keypad/CPU PCB. When you first took it apart it may have
been attached some, but that is because of it happens over time...not
a necessity. Anything like crazy glue is going to just cause problems.

Wiggie

>
>Wiggie <wjm2207@kcinter.net> wrote in article
><368ba401.2267548@enews.newsguy.com>...
>> On 29 Dec 1998 22:57:02 GMT, "Tony Szablowski"
>> <aszablowski@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Folks, I've got a mod-able Pro-43 that apparently the display is now
>> >dead on. I had this unit laying around for a few years now because
>> >the speaker blew on it. I finally got around to replacing it, but now
>> >the display doesn't work. Sux because the unit's in excellent
>> >condition otherwise. Anyway, is there anything I can use this for,
>> >like computer-controlled trunk scanning, etc.? Thanks.
>>
>> You might take it back apart to the level of where the LCD makes
>> contact with the rubber contact strip past the space of the LCD
>> reflector. Take a soft cloth and wipe off the rubber contact to make
>> sure that no lint is hindering the contacts. Put the scanner back
>> together enough to be able to supply power and turn it on. Check the
>> LCD once again and see it it comes up. Once in a great while this
>> happens when you put this model of scanner back together.
>>
>> Wiggie
>>

272. Re: Marine radio vs. talk about radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: QESK41A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <76oola$6scc$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132663

>Even though the Motorola Radius is "only"
>2 watts, it is UHF, which is a big advantage.
>Marine radios are illegal to use on land, and
>anyway, a VHF radio would get absorbed by
>your hilly terrain. The Motorola Radius'
>450 Mhz UHF will punch through trees and
>obstructions. I would go with the Motorola Radius 2 watt UHF's. Get
the
>camouflaged models for that extra added touch !

The Motorola Talk-About is not a Radius. The Talk-About is a FMRS portable, and as such is limited to 500mW. Depending on the terrain, it may not work.
Marine is definitely a no-no. You may want to consider either rental radios on a repeater from a local radio shop, or you and everyone that will be using the radios could get your ham licenses (No Code Tech isn't that hard), and then find an unused simplex frequency in the 6 meter (50 Mhz), 2 Meter (144 Mhz) or 70 cm (440 Mhz) range that you could use.

Ed Burke

273. wacars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge <stef_theberge@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <%URj2.252$d75.1007@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 132664

anybody know how to use this program? and is for what?

--
St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge
Beauport,Qc
Canada

274. winorbit?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge <stef_theberge@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <0WRj2.257$d75.954@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 132665
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

anybody know how to use it?

--
St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge
Beauport,Qc
Canada

275. Re: 6 Volt Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <76opst$rq6$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 132666

Batteries Plus (http://www.batteriesplus.com) carries these. They are Hawker Energy Monoblocs and are available in 2 configurations (I think)- 3 "D" SLA Cells, for a nominal 2.5AH Capacity/6VDC and 3 "X" SLA Cells for a nominal 4.5Ah Capacity. Of course, you could always just get a 6VDC gel cell (up to about 200Ah- that's enough, right ;-)

Terry

Larry Conzett wrote in message <368FE014.DE7C6898@home.com>...
>You can generally find this type of battery loacally: most fire burglar
>alarm panels use a similar battery. Try your local alarm supply house or
>Graybar Electric.
>
>John wrote:
>>
>> Urgently have need for 6 volt battery, with minimum 4 Amp-Hour capacity,
for
>> special application.
>>
>> Would someone please advise source for such item with source name,
address,
>> and telephone number - 800 type, if possible (plus please include any
internet
>> URL for same, if possible).
>>
>> Greatly appreciate help. Thanks.
>>
>> John

276. Re: Problem with WXSat 2.4e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: trev@aero51.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <369aedbd.4159313@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 132667
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Ian

A few people have suggested ticking LOCK and SYNCDEM in the Decoding Parameter box, this seems to have done the trick.

http://www.aero51.demon.co.uk/wxsat1.htm

Thanks

Trevor

On Sat, 2 Jan 1999 11:13:57 -0000, "freeserve" <ian@kmedia.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>
>
>>I am trying to decode the transmissions from weather satellites using
>>WXSat Version 2.4e. Using Recording > Test I have made sure that the
>>loudest signal peaks easily reaches the two gray lines but aren't
>>clipped at the upper or lower limit. When I start Recording > Picture
>>I get a couple of inches of pictures, but there are white lines at 45
>>degrees, that go from right to left, down the picture. It sort of
>>looks like the horizontal width of the picture is too much. Any ideas?
>
>>
>after looking at the piccy it seems that the sync is very badly out. when
>you say "recording" i presume you are decoding from a recording if this is
>the case you will need a DAT tape or other very high quality/very constant
>tape speed recording device to do it with otherwise you will not get a
>proper sync.
>
>ian koenig
>
>

277. Re: what scanner to get now (2nd)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: DRWHO10@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3111-368FE73B-33@newsd-254.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 132668

try a ICOM R10 OR ALINCO DJ-X10
both have nfm / wfm / am / usb / lsb / cw
r10 has 1000 ch. all modes capability of .500 khc. to 1300 mhz x10
has the same but 1200 ch. and .1 to 2000. mhz try GROVE ENTERPRIZES
COM for more info.

278. Re: AR1000 - make offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103174548.00847.00007116@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 132669

>From: "Richard Jensen" <rwjensen@jps.net>

>$125.00
>rich

I used to own one: I'll offer $75

279. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Richard D. Reese" <richardr@sssnet.com>
Message-ID: <368FF4DF.CFAD187F@sssnet.com>
Source record: 132670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

DavidC wrote:

> Sadly, I think that this was addressed to my post where I
> agreed with the compliment and observed the source of the
> motivation of the folks at this business to choose to stand
> out as exceptionally honest ... I think this is still permitted
> within the ever-shrinking definition of free speech in America.
>
> Gee, now the social engineers consider the very mention of
> religion verbotten ... speaking of German, notice the eerie
> parallels to pre-Nazi Germany in the anti-religious hatreds?

Very well put David.
I agree with you. Lets bring the Lord's Prayer and the Pledge to
the Flag back to the schools and our government!
America. Not perfect but still the best place on earth. If you do
not think so - You are free to leave. No travel papers required!
73 and Happy New Year
--
Richard D. Reese WA8DBW
http://www.wa8dbw.ifip.com
http://pages.sssnet.com/richardr

280. HELP! Need Trunk Freqs for Fairfax Co. VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrbreeze <mrbreeze@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <368FF81D.87F580D7@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 132671

I just purchased a new scanner and entered all the trunk frequencies for Fairfax County VA but I don't receive anything? I don't know how Fairfax has setup their comms. Any help would be greately appreciated.

Thanks in advance,

John

Please respond via email.

281. Re: Freq. Offset, Ericsson Trunking system Hillsborough, Fla.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Graves <alankg@siscom.net>
Message-ID: <mOSj2.36$PI.2559@news.siscom.net>
Source record: 132672

Since they are using a piece of crap Ericcson system, who knows what the
idiots from Ericcson have done.

remove-me erinet.com <netzel@> wrote in message
news:76ok17$3vj$2@news.erinet.com...
>In article <368e2741.1196420@news.mindspring.com>, adwilson@mindspring.com
wrote:
>>I need the Frequency offsets for Hillsborough County, Fla.
>>Police/Fire.
>>
>>The repeater outputs are in the 866 to 868 Mhz band. I have scanned
>>at -45 Mhz offset (821 to 823 Mhz) but hear nothing.
>>
>>Are they using a "non-standard" offest ?? The Ericsson system is
>>being used.
>>
>>Thanks in advance, Alan
>>
>
>maybe cause it's "digital"....
>
>Hillsborough County Ericsson EDACS Digital Sheriff's Office,
>Fire Department, and EMS Frequencies: 866.125, 866.225, 866.25, 866.4125,
>866.5625, 866.65, 866.7, 866.725, 866.75, 866.8125, 867.0375, 867.0625,
>867.3125, 867.9125, 868.1, 868.25, 868.275, 868.3, 868.35, 868.525, 868.6,
>868.6875, 868.7125, 868.7375, 868.825

282. bc 235 new do no like for sell or trade for ALINCO DJ-X10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: DRWHO10@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3112-368FEA07-17@newsd-254.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 132673

I WILL TRADE MY BC 235 WITH ACC.FOR ALINCO DJ-X10
TWO POWER PACKS CHARGER AND POWER CORD .
EMAIL ME OR POST IT.

283. pocsag problem!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge <stef_theberge@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <idTj2.301$d75.899@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 132674

```
i dont have acces on the FLEX proporties in pocsag program. so why? i have
the registrered version!
```

--
St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge
Beauport,Qc
Canada

284. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <76oturvpd1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 132675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Richard D. Reese wrote in message <368FF4DF.CFAD187F@sssnet.com>...
>
>Very well put David.
>I agree with you. Lets bring the Lord's Prayer and the Pledge to
>the Flag back to the schools and our government!
>America. Not perfect but still the best place on earth. If you do
>not think so - You are free to leave. No travel papers required!
>73 and Happy New Year
>--
>Richard D. Reese WA8DBW
>http://www.wa8dbw.ifip.com
>http://pages.sssnet.com/richardr

Tell it like it is Richard, people have forgotten that freedom comes at a price. And Jesus paid the ultimate price for our's. 73 to all

WW Warren - KB9TMP
http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/

285. Re: Ace Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <36903047.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 132676

>Three years ago I purchased a Bearcat scanner from Ace Communications in
>Indiana. Is this company still in Indiana? Are they now the
>"Cordless-Guys" on the Web?

Don't know about the "Cordless-Guys"....but I live in Indy and bought an AOR-2500 from them a few years ago and my cousin bought a 210XLT from them also. They were notorious locally for being a place to go to get a scanner that would receive cellular, even after the law was passed. My cousin went back up there one day to get an extra battery pack for his 210 and the doors to the place were chained shut with some sort of Federal notice on the window. We're not sure exactly what the reason was..there are several stories floating around. For a long time, they owned the back cover to Pop Comm, and did a huge amount of mail order business. I kinda miss the place...there were some tasty looking women working there!

Dan

286. Re: Where is Pager decoding software for 3.1 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: droch <dc2roch@erols.com>
Message-ID: <369010C9.E10AA26E@erols.com>
Source record: 132677
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I found a site in yahoo with the older version, just type semasoft into
the search engine or try
http://195.32.0.47/crypto/etere/pager.htm

Matty wrote:

> Where is Pager decoding software for 3.1 ? Any sites?
>
> Using soundcard and audio scanner output
>
> I believe there was one called Semasoft. An older version. Version
> 1.15 is for win 95.
>
> Can someone email me the semasoft program NOT 1.15 ?
>
> --
> Matthew Volkmer (Matty)
> revster@ozemail.com.au
> www.newave.net.au/~rev SA Scanning Page

287. Re: Listening to cordless phone law loophole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Hynde <ka8ddz@eaglequest.com>
Message-ID: <76p4re$7h9$1@smithers.eaglequest.com>
Source record: 132678

Why not just learn how to cook? :)

Tim

Scott Maxell <"scottm[nospam]"@midmaine.com> wrote in message
<368EF6D6.E2830F57@midmaine.com>...
> That's just the type of thing I would want to know. I would have
>welcomed them with open arms to dinner and spit on HALF of the
>roast. (Just make sure you remember what half) Even better, I
>would have dipped the roast halfway in the toilet. (And had a
>sandwich before dinner, so I wasn't hungry for much roast). Then,
>when I heard them complaining on the phone, I could laugh my
>freakin' ass off!
>
>AJMedia wrote:
>>
>> I quit listening to cordless phones when I heard our neighbors commenting
about
>> how they weren't looking forward to dinner at our house the next evening.
>> Needless to say, I felt like giving the rib roast a spit bath -- but I
held
>> back, because we had to eat it too.. The next day, my wife heard the
woman
>> talking about how bad dinner was.. I wish I'd gone with my first
instinct.
>>
>> AJMedia@nospam.com

288. Re: Who knows how to fix my PRO-51?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Fethe <rituals@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eDZOqP4N#GA.229@upnetnews05>
Source record: 132679
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

JOn, I had a similar problem to the one Mike mentioned, but in my case I absentmindedly put alkaline batteries in my old Pro51. I saw the acid forming too late, and now I can use the scanner only when it is plugged into a socket. I guess I messed up the battery connection. I was going to take this to Tandy Service Center for repair, but my model is one of the older ones. Are you saying that RS won't repair it if it is the earlier model, or would they refuse it only if I actually made some kind of modification myself?

Jon S. Van Allen wrote in message <368F9DD6.D35360B0@worldnet.att.net>...
>
>>Once again, Radio Shack sales droids prove their incompetence. Early
>Pro-51's were cellular modifiable, later ones weren't. The droid's
assertion
>that it was "outlawed" is ridiculous. Your scanner is not contraband. Tandy
>Service Center will not work on it IF it has been modified for cellular.
>I've had mixed results with the local Tandy Service Center, depending on
>what the item I take to them is. I have better results fixing most things
>myself unless it's under warranty. So, part of the solution lies in whether
>or not your scanner has been modified for cellular reception and/or whether
>or not you are willing to let Tandy Service Center fix it.
>
>Happy New Year,
>Jon
>
>

289. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36901CB3.7105@usa.net>
Source record: 132680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bud (the REAL one!) wrote:

> On Sat, 02 Jan 1999 14:57:41 GMT, bowenb@best.com (William H. Bowen) wrote:
> >Bud, get the chip off your shoulder: it is VERY unbecomming.
>
> Eat my shorts.

Bud-

As the Gentleman said, GET THE CHIP OFF YOUR SHOULDER! No one asked
you,
nor do we need, you trouble making here.

290. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36901E7E.2452@usa.net>
Source record: 132681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

William H. Bowen wrote:

> thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL one!)) wrote:
trouble making comments snipped

> Who said it was Bud?
snip
> Bud, get the chip off your shoulder: it is VERY unbecomming.

Simply ignore Bud, he likes to attack people on here
occasionally as a self appointed bully.

291. Re: AOR - 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <76p7nr$5n5$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 132682

If you'd slow down and look long enough, you would realize that he is Italian. As such, he doesn't speak fluent english. Considering he wants help from this newsgroup, he decided that there probably aren't very many people on here who speak Italian and to try to translate what he wanted to English- which, as everyone should know by now, is not very easy (take, for instance, the AR8000 manual!) However, if you look, I'd bet that he wants a computer control package (not a demo version) for his AR8K.

ALE-
A package like ScanStar, Radio Manager, or Probe might serve your needs. Go to their web pages and download demos and see how you like it- sorry, I don't have the addresses.

Terry

Scanteen wrote in message <01be3779$f23ff1c0$e4796420@default>...
>His point is that he has an AOR8000 .
>
>dan baxter <dandeb11@earthlink.net> wrote in article
><76obsg$q6s$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>> whats your point?
>>
>> ALE wrote in message <76o83q$2qi$1@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>...
>> >I have a scanner AOR - 8000 .
>> >I change software for control my scanner with PC.
>> >(no demo version)
>> >
>> >p.i.mancini@omniway.sm
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>>

292. race scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Hell <smhell@gaffaneys.com>
Message-ID: <76pb8kprt1@east44.supernews.com>
Source record: 132683

I have a Uniden BC235xlt I will be using for scanning at a nascar race.Will this be a good scanner to use at the track? Also somebody told me I will need to have an audio modification done to this scanner to be able to hear properly at the track, is this true? And any hints or tips you can tell me about anything to do with scanning at the race track will be greatly apreciated. Thanks!

293. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Edward L. Dowdy" <eldowdy@net66.com>
Message-ID: <ioWj2.424$uJ4.3399@news13.ispnews.com>
Source record: 132684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

AMEN!

WW - KB9TMP wrote in message <76oturvpd1@dagobah.blueriver.net>...
>
>Richard D. Reese wrote in message <368FF4DF.CFAD187F@sssnet.com>...
>>
>>Very well put David.
>>I agree with you. Lets bring the Lord's Prayer and the Pledge to
>>the Flag back to the schools and our government!
>>America. Not perfect but still the best place on earth. If you do
>>not think so - You are free to leave. No travel papers required!
>>73 and Happy New Year
>>--
>>Richard D. Reese WA8DBW
>>http://www.wa8dbw.ifip.com
>>http://pages.sssnet.com/richardr
>
>
>
>Tell it like it is Richard, people have forgotten that freedom comes at a
>price. And Jesus paid the ultimate price for our's. 73 to all
>
>WW Warren - KB9TMP
>http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
>
>
>

294. Re: Freq. Offset, Ericsson Trunking system Hillsborough, Fla.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <scanrick@citicom.com>
Message-ID: <36903337.4711ECCE@citicom.com>
Source record: 132685

Thanks John,I thought i was hearing them in the clear,on the trunk freqs,i programed into my scanner.Very weak,but readable,And the Gwiz board helps alot,killing those lousy noises coming in with them.I live in Pasco county,using an inside antenna.see ya

JTWard01 wrote:

> >cinvest@aol.com (C Invest) wrote:
> >
> >>Hills is digital....you can not listen
> >
> >
> >Digital Voice transmissions on the inputs ???
>
> Ah, actually they are not using digital modulation, simply analog on a
> GE/Ericsson trunked system. If you listen to the repeater outputs you can hear
> them just fine, although the system is a bit weak, and they are often scratchy
> and broken. The system is so piss poor that they are still simulcasting on
> 155.010 on the east side of the county.
> Of course, there are lots of buzzes, and clicks and dead carriers on the
> trunk system, all there just to foil us scanner users. And it works, too!
> The repeater inputs are 45MHz below the repeater outputs, but you have to be
> very close to a transmitting mobile unit in order to hear anything on the input
> frequency.
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA

295. I found tha cause of the 151.100 dead carier!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <368eb647.32129326@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132729

I was in my house, I took my bc200XLT and put on a little rubber duck ant. and drove around and it disappeared, then I pulled in my driveway and WA-LA it was there, I searched the house for anything that could interfere, i.e. cordless phone, video sender etc. then I remembered I have 2 old Motorola MT500's (by the way there for sale) in the charging units in the garage, I thought they were off but one had been left on and I switched it off an poof there went the carrier!!

TIm

296. Re: antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cukr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102193456.13126.00005394@ng121.aol.com>
Source record: 132730

>> looking for a new outdoor base antenna.
>> I am thinking about a r.s. 20-043 discone ant.
>> is it worth the 60.00$?
>

Hello:
I also have this antenna. Mine is mounted on a 2nd story eve. It seems to work well with my BC 235 and Pro-26. It is my 1st outside antenna and I do not have a signal strength meter to check the differences in signal strength. But it does seem to do the job.
Happy listening

297. Re: Aviation Freq. needed for Roch,NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrg1std@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102195231.20419.00006581@ng97.aol.com>
Source record: 132731

Check WWW.AIRNAV.COM for the freq.

298. BC895XLT Remote Memory Retention Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bonzo_Steel@usa.net
Message-ID: <9nzj2.62$H43.347894@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 132732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

When you operate a BC895XLT remotely from a PC,
can you populate the memory presets such that when
your PC is shut down, the BC895XLT can use the
updated presets? Can a program like Radio Manager do this?

In other words, does it operate like a Pro2006+OS456
(in which case the answer would be no) or does it
operate like a Pro2006+CE232 (in which case the answer
would be yes)

Inquiring Minds want to know.

299. Re: Radio Shack Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtlist@pigsfly.com
Message-ID: <368ec150.4462512@news.gate.net>
Source record: 132733

On 2 Jan 1999 06:43:16 GMT, "Jon S. Van Allen"
<yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>I've been scanning since the early 70's, Clowns like you who show up with some axe
>to grind with Radio Shack and make yourself look really stupid. I use
>communications equipment professionally, I know what is, and is not good
>equipment. Now go back to your sand box.

Radio Shack had a long way to go to change the image that it sold
junk, and to a lot of people like me, it took a very long time to do
that.

I am involved with professional audio, and what RS sold for years was
crap. It still sells a lot of crap. But its product line now includes
a lot of stuff that is really high quality. Of course, they have such
a wide share of the market now, that they've run the local electronics
store folks in all but the largest cities out of business, that they
can sell good stuff and their customers will buy it, even though it
costs more.

--Jerry Trowbridge

300. Re: pro 91 keypad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: teal9425@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102213737.25233.00006245@ng32.aol.com>
Source record: 132734

2 and 9 clear the scanner memory no matter what other keys are pressed at the same time. what is with the 2,9 & delay?and the 2,9 & 4? I am curious as to what this does. I have the pro-91 also.

301. Re: trunking in the big sky country

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: teal9425@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102213900.25233.00006246@ng32.aol.com>
Source record: 132735

try reading this site for any useful info.
www.trunkscanner.com

what part of montana?

302. Re: Freq. Offset, Ericsson Trunking system Hillsborough, Fla.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: teal9425@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102214059.25233.00006247@ng32.aol.com>
Source record: 132736

can u please send any info that you get? I was told that they use the motorola system here? i live in brandon. i have tried the search yet though

303. Re: STATEN Isl. NYC EMS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: khenne3572@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990102215635.08217.00006355@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 132737

hi it is 484.2375

304. technical details on uniden/tandy scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Paull <mpaull@mpx.com.au>
Message-ID: <76mo5mcdn1@news1.mpx.com.au>
Source record: 132738
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Hi,

Is there a list on the net that shows what cpu's are used in the various Tandy / Uniden scanners ? I'm thinking a collection of this info might be useful to see if mods posted for one model scanner may work for another, if for example a range of scanners by a manufacturer used the same cpu/pll combination.

Other info along similar lines is what Uniden scanners by were sold by Radio Shack and which Uniden model number matches which Radio Shack model? (For instance I believe the Uniden 220XLT was sold by RS but under what PRO-xxx model number??)

Owning a Uniden 220XLT i figure that if any mods came out it would be likely posted by someone using the Radio Shack version, and i'm trying to work out how to enable 25-50MHz mode which is substituted for 66-88MHz on Australian models, however I *think* the Australian/European 220's have a different CPU to the US 220's, so it would be interesting to see what cpu is on an US model.

mike

305. Re: Fleet map

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <B02A0C25BC427449.5E906AD81FEF366B.76A420DD308BA2ED@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 132739
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 31 Dec 1998 01:11:44 -0600, Ron <rdford@airnet.net> wrote:

>I am looking for some information on find, setting, testing fleet maps
>for bc235xlt.
>I am also looking for the fleet maps for Huntsville, AL. Any information
>is welcome.
>
>Thanks for the help.
>Ron

Ron,

Check out http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/motorola.htm

There are a few articles and FAQ listed there with detailed
information on how to determine fleetmaps.

Also listed on the parent page will be the fleetmap for Huntsville AL.
Just follow the links to Alabama.

-LB

306. Marine radio vs. talk about radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: chip <avis@penn.com>
Message-ID: <01be36cb$1866bdc0$4c71e5ce@avis.penn.com>
Source record: 132740

Hello
I am looking to buy a couple portable radio's. the talk-about is up to 2
watts
and a marine is up to 5 watts & one is uhf & other is vhf can some one
tell
me the diff between them. which one will talk better in hilly terrain? can
you use a
marine radio on land ? I am not close to any bodies of water . I know the
two uses
different Frequencies also ! Please help

307. DJX10T?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Thornton <monster@usit.net>
Message-ID: <368EF553.FC6E17FC@usit.net>
Source record: 132741

Anyone have any experience with the Alinco DJX10T? I have been looking to add to my collection, but need to know if it is good enough to match the AR8200B.

308. Re: Marine radio vs. talk about radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: unclebob@ripco.com
Message-ID: <76mslc$4cd$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 132742

chip (avis@penn.com) wrote:
: Hello
: I am looking to buy a couple portable radio's. the talk-about is up to 2
: watts
: and a marine is up to 5 watts & one is uhf & other is vhf can some one
: tell
: me the diff between them. which one will talk better in hilly terrain? can
: you use a
: marine radio on land ? I am not close to any bodies of water . I know the
: two uses
: different Frequencies also ! Please help

Marine radios are not supposed to be used on land with the exception of
Coast Guard stations, harbormasters, bridge tender crews etc. Marine
radios require filing for a license. Talkabouts don't.

--
* *

Uncle Bob.............unclebob@ripco.com

309. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: DavidC <davidc@bit-net.com>
Message-ID: <01be36d6$819ffe40$a08492d0@Bit-Net.bit-net.com>
Source record: 132743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> You could JUST as easily said how reputable a dealer he was
> withOUT bringing religion into it.

Sadly, I think that this was addressed to my post where I agreed with the compliment and observed the source of the motivation of the folks at this business to choose to stand out as exceptionally honest ... I think this is still permitted within the ever-shrinking definition of free speech in America.

Gee, now the social engineers consider the very mention of religion verbotten ... speaking of German, notice the eerie parallels to pre-Nazi Germany in the anti-religious hatreds?

A Happy New Year to All ... 73, DavidC K1YP

310. Re: DJX10T?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Young <young@ion.com.au>
Message-ID: <368EFA7A.75F34F50@ion.com.au>
Source record: 132744

Mark,
Having bought the DJX-10E (export) which covers .1KHz to 2GHZ, I have had no problems with it at all. Very sensitive and excellent memories 1,200. The only thing that Alinco could do is reduce the amount of power it draws out of the battery pack. I'm pushing to get 2 - 2.5 hours out of each pack. minor problem, otherwise a great scanner and here in australia they sell for $A525.
I'm unsure what the "T" means perhaps it is cellular blocked.
Here is Oz the AR8200 is available I know of one person close by not on the internet has one, and after viewing my Alinco would like to swap (I don't thing so) He says it is very hard to program and much more complex to operate. If you are a first time user go for the DJX-10, and if you can afford it AR8200 would be the way to go if you have the patients and determination to muck around with it they sell in Aust for $A1,100.

That's my 5 cents worth anyway!

Cheers,
Paul

Mark Thornton wrote:

> Anyone have any experience with the Alinco DJX10T? I have been looking
> to add to my collection, but need to know if it is good enough to match
> the AR8200B.

--

Paul Young

Mailto:young@ion.com.au

Radio Scanner Frequencies - http://young.ion.tm

On the Gold Coast, Queensland, AUSTRALIA.
---

311. Yuba City, Ca. PD frequency help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103004529.27401.00005462@ng138.aol.com>
Source record: 132745

I have exhausted all means I know for getting the frequency for the Yuba City Police Department. Can anyone help me?!

312. Re: Marine radio vs. talk about radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cinvest@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103005819.25128.00005558@ng127.aol.com>
Source record: 132746

As of Last year, marine radios do not require a License unless you use it for a commercial application. It is however, against FCC Law to use marine radio frequencies other then for marine use. Not to mention, that you could be interferring with a search or rescue operation.

313. Re: Yuba City, Ca. PD frequency help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <368F0796.44B3@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132747

Emoney250 wrote:
>
> I have exhausted all means I know for getting the frequency for the Yuba City
> Police Department. Can anyone help me?!

Have you checked Police Call yet?

The 1996 version has them listed on the following frequencies:

460.1000
460.1750
460.2250
460.3500

314. FS: PRO-60 scanner, like new

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: skywarner@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103011759.16243.00006193@ng15.aol.com>
Source record: 132748

I have a Realistic PRO-60 scanner for sale. It includes antenna, manual and original packaging. Excellent condition with about 10 hours of use on it. Frequency range is: 30-512, 760-824, 849-869, 894-999.9875. AM/FM/WFM selectable. No mods have been done to this scanner.

Price is $150 + shipping. If you're interested, please let me know your zip code so I can check on the shipping charges to you via UPS (insured for $150). A couple of digital images of the scanner are available upon request.

Thanks in advance!

315. Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: RB <rb@bridgeport.net>
Message-ID: <01be36eb$3a627ca0$128166ce@rbrown.TAX>
Source record: 132749

What's the best for privacy in a cordless phone? Need to know soon.
E-mail and response to this group welcome.
Ralph Brown
rb@bridgeport.net

316. Ace Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: RB <rb@bridgeport.net>
Message-ID: <01be36eb$f1d16900$128166ce@rbrown.TAX>
Source record: 132750

Three years ago I purchased a Bearcat scanner from Ace Communications in Indiana. Is this company still in Indiana? Are they now the "Cordless-Guys" on the Web?

317. Health Risks with Cordless Phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: RB <rb@bridgeport.net>
Message-ID: <01be36ed$f221c100$128166ce@rbrown.TAX>
Source record: 132751

Are there any health risks with 900mhz or 2.5ghz cordless phones?

318. Who knows how to fix my PRO-51?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Edwards <m2mike@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <368F4225.C5DBDBEF@yahoo.com>
Source record: 132752
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here's what happened. Battery acid started leaking out of my PRO-51 probably because I had batteries in the scanner and at the same time I put the 9 volt power into the battery charger hole instead of the 9 volt input hole. Now the scanner is scanning, but the control pad is not responding. I press "manual" and there is no response. It's not beeping like it should. Does anyone know what could be wrong with my PRO-51? By the way, I went by Radio Shack and asked a sales rep if they still sell the PRO-51 and he said that they have outlawed it. I guess I know own contraband. So how do I fix my contraband?

319. Re: technical details on uniden/tandy scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevcat <kevcat@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <368F3EAC.4ED1D52B@one.net.au>
Source record: 132753
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Michael Paull wrote:
>
> Hi,
>
> Is there a list on the net that shows what cpu's are used in the
> various Tandy / Uniden scanners ? I'm thinking a collection of this info
> might be useful to see if mods posted for one model scanner may work for
> another, if for example a range of scanners by a manufacturer used the same
> cpu/pll combination.
>
> Other info along similar lines is what Uniden scanners by were sold by Radio
> Shack and which Uniden model number matches which Radio Shack model? (For
> instance I believe the Uniden 220XLT was sold by RS but under what PRO-xxx
> model number??)
>
> Owning a Uniden 220XLT i figure that if any mods came out it would be likely
> posted by someone using the Radio Shack version, and i'm trying to work out
> how to enable 25-50MHz mode which is substituted for 66-88MHz on Australian
> models, however I *think* the Australian/European 220's have a different CPU
> to the US 220's, so it would be interesting to see what cpu is on an US
> model.

Do a search for "Bill Cheeks" home page this fellow has all the info for
scanner Mods
there are other sites I've seen that has all you need for getting the
freq changed
the band change is just a diode clip away

Kev

320. Re: AR1000 - make offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracey12 <tracey12@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#vtTVEwN#GA.292@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 132754

$100.00 bucks. Ill pay shipping.
David W. Carroll wrote in message <368bf98d.75756724@news.onramp.net>...
>FS:
>I have a great performing AR1000, total coverage, including cellular,
>leather case, manuals.
>
>Make offer.
>
>David Carroll

321. Re: Clinton Impeached. Justice is Served.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracey12 <tracey12@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#aVRAHwN#GA.220@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 132755

Rene, you idiots in Europe are going no where because your societies
crumbled long ago.
Now, you're just dealing with the left-overs of once great empires.
What happened, Rene? You guys just kept on following socialism, and lose
morals
until you crumbled. How does it feel to live at the bottom?
So, keep your advice over there where its needed. We don't give a rats ass
what you think because you way far beneath US. Whats worse, you keep on
advising people to follow you
into Hell! Wake UP!

Barry O'Grady wrote in message <368ce093.441955@news.acay.com.au>...
>"Rene Artois" <rene@alloallo.com> wrote:
>
>:Fuck you in the States...
>:
>:Over here in Europe we think the situation auround Clinton is just an
>:episode of a soap... get down to earth and face the real problems in our
>:beautiful world.... loosers...
>
>Looser and looser you loser.

322. Re: Easton, PA Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Sykes <msykes@ptdprolog.net>
Message-ID: <1BHj2.2422$%J6.4324@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 132756
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I guess I should have mentioned, I tried Percon first. The department just
went to these radios about a month ago. Percon still has the old listings.

Ron Rieves wrote in message <01be34b0$7a1a8780$476aafce@default>...
>http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm
>
>>
>>
>>

323. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: TELCOM-GmbH.HV@t-online.de
Message-ID: <368F4A67.E04E1E73@t-online.de>
Source record: 132757

Hey and greetings from Europe..... we have the DECT Digital European Cordless Tech since 2 years. It is 1800 Spead and till now nobody worked it out to get it on a scanner. Of course the scanner people are not happy with it and the cordless phones are so low priced now (around 150$). So nearly everybody buy such DECT.
We had several systems in the past:
CT 49Mhz as US System

CT1 860/930 FM narrow, no scrambling, (was a good time!)
CT2 900 MHz digital PCM Modulation.... no chance
DECT see above

Okay, that`s for now.... all best for 1999

Hanno, DG8JZ

RB schrieb:

> What's the best for privacy in a cordless phone? Need to know soon.
> E-mail and response to this group welcome.
> Ralph Brown
> rb@bridgeport.net

324. Trust FM Sterio Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thorleif Grimstvedt <tgrimstv@online.no>
Message-ID: <368F572C.284E0B5D@online.no>
Source record: 132758

Hi !

I have a "Trust FM Radio" in my PC,but the software information
dissapeared when the computer crashed a month ago.I have fixed this now,
but can`t find the disc for the radio software.
Is there somewhere on the Nett where I can download this software ?

Thorleif

325. Frequencies for All New Zealand Airports/Airfields - sig.dat (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: teamwildcards@yahoo.co.nz
Message-ID: <368eec75.4664935@news.igrin.co.nz>
Source record: 132759

I'm looking for every freq for airports and airfields in New Zealand.

I dont want any old frequinces I need the new ones.

Also any military freq;'s would not go a miss as well.

Oh yer I'm just new to this scanning thing.

Please email all replys to the following email address

pwdesigns@excite.com

Regards

Michael Davis

326. Frequencies for All New Zealand Airports/Airfields - sig.dat (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: teamwildcards@yahoo.co.nz
Message-ID: <368eed66.4905187@mail.igrin.co.nz>
Source record: 132760
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: sig.dat

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: sig.dat]

327. Re: Pro 2005 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <368fa442.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 132761

>So the guy is going to get way more for his radio by selling it on Ebay
>then he is by selling it in the newsgroups. Hmmmm...so what is the
>problem again?

No problem for the seller..that was my point to begin with. Good deals on
Ebay are pretty rare.

328. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John L. Forbes" <jlforbes@eskimo.com>
Message-ID: <368F7788.7023@eskimo.com>
Source record: 132762
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

hootowl wrote:
>
> I didn't see the original post, so the question may have already been
> answered, but Gugilimo Marconi gets the credit.
>
>
Marconi is credited with the first practical and commercial use of
wireless, but a U.S. Supreme Court decision in 1943 (Marconi Wireless
Telegraph Co. of America v United States) decided that Nikola Tesla was
the inventor of radio.
--
jlforbes@eskimo.com
http://www.eskimo.com/~jlforbes/
http://www.broadcast.net/~sbe16/

329. Re: technical details on uniden/tandy scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.comscanscan
Message-ID: <19990103093039.01010.00005647@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 132763

>Subject: technical details on uniden/tandy scanners
>From: "Michael Paull" <mpaull@mpx.com.au>
>Date: 1/2/99 4:00 PM Mountain Standard Time
> Is there a list on the net that shows what cpu's are used in the
>various Tandy / Uniden scanners ? I'm thinking a collection of this info
>might be useful to see if mods posted for one model scanner may work for
>another, if for example a range of scanners by a manufacturer used the same
>cpu/pll combination.
>
>Other info along similar lines is what Uniden scanners by were sold by Radio
>Shack and which Uniden model number matches which Radio Shack model? (For
>instance I believe the Uniden 220XLT was sold by RS but under what PRO-xxx
>model number??)
>

Michael,
This would be a good project. Why don't you research the needed information,
put something together and set up a web site. Thats how these things get
formed.

Another scanner information web page
Including Radio Shack, Uniden, Regency cell mod info & more at:
http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

330. Re: Who knows how to fix my PRO-51?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ajmedia@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103094736.05289.00006860@ng11.aol.com>
Source record: 132764

>Does anyone know what could be wrong with my
>PRO-51? By the way, I went by Radio Shack and asked a sales rep if they
>still sell the PRO-51 and he said that they have outlawed it. I guess I
>know own contraband. So how do I fix my contraband?
>
>
>

I have no idea.. But if you figure it out, let me know. I have a similar problem with my Pro 46 (also "contraband").. Mine is dead.. No matter what power source I use, I get absolutely nothing.

Good luck.
AJMedia@nospam.com

331. Re: need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990103095157.01022.00005859@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 132765

>From: n2phj@webtv.net (philip magnotti)
>Date: 1/2/99 9:45 PM Mountain Standard Time
>
>Can a Bc 350a be modifed
>
Have not seen anything yet on that one.
There have been some posts about swapping some components from one of the newer (unmodifiable) BC700A models into the BC350A to make it cell modified, but never saw any confirmations of anyone ever doing it.

Another scanner information web page
Including Radio Shack, Uniden, Regency cell mod info & more at:
http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

332. Re: Airline Co. freqs (Spirit Airlines)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Red Heads <nospam@discovernet.net>
Message-ID: <76o0hi$il5$0@206.165.146.31>
Source record: 132766
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

for spirit airlines in the DTW area please try 130.32(5)mhz

Laura Q wrote in message <0Wsi2.200$6P4.3091@news.connectnet.com>...
>In addition to checking the usual 128.825-132.000 MHz range for airline
>company operations, be sure to check 136-137 MHz as well. This range is
>starting to see some company ops activity.
>
>-- Laura
>
>

333. Re: What is this circuit for? (Was Re: Pissed off trunker)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990103100938.01021.00005616@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 132767

>Subject: What is this circuit for? (Was Re: Pissed off trunker)
>From: S.P.A.M.chakkrit@geocities.com (C. Wanaphan)
>Date: 1/2/99 11:30 PM Mountain Standard Time
>>>>>>

Try the below web site. It seems this thread once mentioned this location
where there are some schematics for data decoding. Hope this helps !

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/interface.htm

Another scanner information web page
Including Radio Shack, Uniden, Regency cell mod info & more at:
http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

334. "THE SCANNER DUDE" to Speak in Kissimmee, Florida!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Kuziv <kuziv@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <368F8909.DE0@magicnet.net>
Source record: 132768

Brian Cathcart "The Scanner Dude" to speak in Kissimmee,Florida on
Saturady, January 16, 1999

Go to the CFLG website for details: at http://www.swl.net/cflg/
--
****
Mark Kuziv
Ask me about anything but be
prepared for a really long answer....

****

335. Re: chicago area snow plow freq's???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: petermaus@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103101249.01160.00006310@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 132769

453.700 Chicago
453.950 Cook Co.
151.070 State South
150.995 State Central
453.850 Lake Co.
151.100 State North (Your area) Snow

In addition to the previously mentioned frequencies of:
453.700 IDOT emergency traffic control
453.300 Chicago x-pway maint.
453.650 Chicago snowplows north (city streets)

>cmb (cmb@owc.net) wrote:
>: any good emergency and snow plow freq's in northern illinois area????
>: thanks jack

336. Re: Local Police seem to have dead carrier on freq,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: DRWHO10@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3111-368F5F8A-12@newsd-254.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 132770

Y2K STRIKES A REPEATER IS LOCKING UP

337. I NEED FREQUENCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: benrobb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4949-368F8439-14@newsd-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 132771

ANYONE KNOW THE GEORGIA FREQUENCES. I NEED . THANKS

73s Benny, Robby, Linda
NORTH WEST GEORGIA

338. MDT monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kegan30317@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103101644.00117.00006657@ng38.aol.com>
Source record: 132772

With my local PD now using MDT in all there cars, what can be done to monitor the traffic on my PC?

339. Re: Marine radio vs. talk about radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103103156.21429.00006913@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 132773

>From: "chip" <avis@penn.com>

> I am looking to buy a couple portable radio's. the talk-about is up to 2
>watts
> and a marine is up to 5 watts & one is uhf & other is vhf

Even though the Motorola Radius is "only"
2 watts, it is UHF, which is a big advantage.
Marine radios are illegal to use on land, and
anyway, a VHF radio would get absorbed by
your hilly terrain. The Motorola Radius'
450 Mhz UHF will punch through trees and
obstructions. I would go with the Motorola Radius 2 watt UHF's. Get the
camouflaged models for that extra added touch !

talkyal

340. Frequencies for Biloxi Mississippi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Chacon <michaelgermanymail@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <76o3o3$6be@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132774

Anybody have the police frequencies for Biloxi.

341. Re: "THE SCANNER DUDE" to Speak in Kissimmee, Florida!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990103105508.12603.00006191@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 132775

>From: Mark Kuziv <kuziv@magicnet.net>
>Date: 01-03-1999 10:10 EST
>
>Brian Cathcart, "The Scanner Dude," to speak in Kissimmee,Florida, on
>Saturday, January 16, 1999.

Hell, I thought he could talk all along. I didn't know the poor boy was dumb. Is he deaf, too? How do you know he's going to speak? Is it a revival meeting, maybe with a faith healer? Do you think he could fix my Aunt Hattie's goiter, too?

342. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <368F9B02.8BABCC5A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132776

RB wrote:

> What's the best for privacy in a cordless phone? Need to know soon.
> E-mail and response to this group welcome.
> Ralph Brown
> rb@bridgeport.net

Ralph,
Definitely spread spectrum, Check out the Sanyo 917 at
http://www.ccrane.com/sanyo917.htm
As far as I'm concerned, this is the best phone made, period.

73, Jon
kf7yn

343. Re: Who knows how to fix my PRO-51?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <368F9DD6.D35360B0@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132777
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Michael Edwards wrote:

> Here's what happened. Battery acid started leaking out of my PRO-51
> probably because I had batteries in the scanner and at the same time I
> put the 9 volt power into the battery charger hole instead of the 9 volt
> input hole. Now the scanner is scanning, but the control pad is not
> responding. I press "manual" and there is no response. It's not
> beeping like it should. Does anyone know what could be wrong with my
> PRO-51? By the way, I went by Radio Shack and asked a sales rep if they
> still sell the PRO-51 and he said that they have outlawed it. I guess I
> know own contraband. So how do I fix my contraband?

Once again, Radio Shack sales droids prove their incompetence. Early Pro-51's were cellular modifiable, later ones weren't. The droid's assertion that it was "outlawed" is ridiculous. Your scanner is not contraband. Tandy Service Center will not work on it IF it has been modified for cellular. I've had mixed results with the local Tandy Service Center, depending on what the item I take to them is. I have better results fixing most things myself unless it's under warranty. So, part of the solution lies in whether or not your scanner has been modified for cellular reception and/or whether or not you are willing to let Tandy Service Center fix it.

Happy New Year,
Jon

344. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mo@uu.net
Message-ID: <76o678$c6q$1@neserve0.uu.net>
Source record: 132778
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

I'd vote for James Clerk Maxwell (as in "Maxwell's Equations") as the inventor of radio.

He may not have known it at the time, but that's OK.

-mo

345. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Richard W. Clement" <rickey@cc.gatech.edu>
Message-ID: <76o6b0$6t$1@news-int.gatech.edu>
Source record: 132779

I am getting terrific results from my Panasonic 2.4 GHz/900 MHz DSS cordless phone. Security is good because from my understanding the base uses 2.4 GHz and the handset uses 900 MHz, so with the 2 bands plus DSS, it is near impossible to pick up. I live on a college campus in Downtown Atlanta, and can use the phone almost anywhere on my city block!

Hope this helps,
Richard W. Clement
KD4UCB

Hanno, DG8JZ <TELCOM-GmbH.HV@t-online.de> wrote in message news:368F4A67.E04E1E73@t-online.de...
>Hey and greetings from Europe..... we have the DECT Digital European
>Cordless Tech since 2 years. It is 1800 Spead and till now nobody worked
>it out to get it on a scanner. Of course the scanner people are not
>happy with it and the cordless phones are so low priced now (around
>150$). So nearly everybody buy such DECT.
>We had several systems in the past:
>CT 49Mhz as US System
>
>CT1 860/930 FM narrow, no scrambling, (was a good time!)
>CT2 900 MHz digital PCM Modulation.... no chance
>DECT see above
>
>Okay, that`s for now.... all best for 1999
>
>Hanno, DG8JZ
>
>RB schrieb:
>
>> What's the best for privacy in a cordless phone? Need to know soon.
>> E-mail and response to this group welcome.
>> Ralph Brown
>> rb@bridgeport.net
>
>
>

346. Re: AR1000 - make offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <368F9FB4.7EA71FE8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132780

tracey12 wrote:

> $100.00 bucks. Ill pay shipping.
> David W. Carroll wrote in message <368bf98d.75756724@news.onramp.net>...
> >FS:
> >I have a great performing AR1000, total coverage, including cellular,
> >leather case, manuals.
> >
> >Make offer.
> >
> >David Carroll

Let's run Ebay out of business.
I offer $101.00, I'll pay shipping.

Jon

347. Re:DIGITAL MOBILES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: HAMMERHEAD <chutneyferret@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <76o7ir$409$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132781
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Why can`t I receive transmissions on
digital mobile phones. I have a Realistic PRO-26.
What equipment do I need?????

348. Re: "THE SCANNER DUDE" to Speak in Kissimmee, Florida!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <76o7i6$2pc$0@207.18.224.73>
Source record: 132782

On 3 Jan 1999 15:55:08 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>>From: Mark Kuziv <kuziv@magicnet.net>
>>Date: 01-03-1999 10:10 EST
>>
>>Brian Cathcart, "The Scanner Dude," to speak in Kissimmee,Florida, on
>>Saturday, January 16, 1999.
>
>Hell, I thought he could talk all along. I didn't know the poor boy was dumb.
>Is he deaf, too? How do you know he's going to speak? Is it a revival meeting,
>maybe with a faith healer? Do you think he could fix my Aunt Hattie's goiter,
>too?

Paraise the Lord! He speaks!

349. FS: Pro-2006 On Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Conzett <conzettNOSPAMDESIRED@home.com>
Message-ID: <368FA217.301FD8E3@home.com>
Source record: 132783

I am offering my Pro-2006 and discone antenna for sale on Ebay.
Please follow this link to bid:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=53537840

Thanks for your consideration!

Larry Conzett

350. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Varine <Witch*Dr@usa.nobulkemail.net>
Message-ID: <368FA27D.56C5@usa.nobulkemail.net>
Source record: 132784

Richard W. Clement wrote:
>
> I am getting terrific results from my Panasonic 2.4 GHz/900 MHz DSS cordless
> phone. Security is good because from my understanding the base uses 2.4 GHz
> and the handset uses 900 MHz, so with the 2 bands plus DSS, it is near
> impossible to pick up. I live on a college campus in Downtown Atlanta, and
> can use the phone almost anywhere on my city block!

Do us a favor, scan the 900MHz band for your GigaRange... It's 900MHz
link is analog and in the clear.. Check DejaNews for more info on
this....And you thought you were getting a secure phone..

351. AOR - 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ALE <p.i.mancini@omniway.sm>
Message-ID: <76o83q$2qi$1@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>
Source record: 132785

I have a scanner AOR - 8000 .
I change software for control my scanner with PC.
(no demo version)

p.i.mancini@omniway.sm

352. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospampls@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76redulgu1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132486
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <76r3va$et8$1@garnet.mint.net>,
"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com> wrote:

We added a VOX REcorder on our site...uses ,your PC , and the freeware
program to LOG in WAV format .

can find the file on

http://members.xoom.com/ontscan/

You can use Voice Activation, but it has its problems. you have to set the
> audio level to the tape recorder properly. You just run a cable from the
> output jack to the recorder.
>
> Zook Music wrote in message <915476856.365692@soam.wwnet.net>...
> >Does anyone know of an adapter or product that will allow a scanner to
> >automatically start and stop a tape recorder. My Bearcat 8500xlt has it
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

353. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Wyatt <kenwNOSPAM@col.hp.com>
Message-ID: <36912DAA.48A8@col.hp.com>
Source record: 132487

RB wrote:
>
> What's the best for privacy in a cordless phone? Need to know soon.
> E-mail and response to this group welcome.
> Ralph Brown
> rb@bridgeport.net

I have been -very- happy with the digital Lucent (AT&T spinoff) digital
900 MHz phone I purchased recently. The voice quality is outstanding.

I was very -unhappy- with the V-Tech digital. There were digital
artifacts present and the voice quality was only so-so.
--

Ken Wyatt >> Remove NOSPAM to reply << WA6TTY
Colorado Springs, CO kenw@col.hp.com
Web: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/KenWyatt/Nature.htm
Member - NANPA (http://www.nanpa.org)
---

354. Re: Frequencies for All New Zealand Airports/Airfields - sig.dat (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Wyatt <kenwNOSPAM@col.hp.com>
Message-ID: <36912EB5.1164@col.hp.com>
Source record: 132488

Michael Davis wrote:
>
> I'm looking for every freq for airports and airfields in New Zealand.
>
> I dont want any old frequinces I need the new ones.
>
> Also any military freq;'s would not go a miss as well.
>
> Oh yer I'm just new to this scanning thing.
>
> Please email all replys to the following email address
>
> pwdesigns@excite.com
>
> Regards
>
> Michael Davis

It seems I ran into a pretty good listing once, but don't have the URL
any more. Try doing a search for Scanning New Zealand and see what comes
up. Also, if you're traveling there (I assume you are), frequency guides
may be purchased from most any Dick Smith's store (similar chain as
Radio Shack).

--

Ken Wyatt >> Remove NOSPAM to reply << WA6TTY
Colorado Springs, CO kenw@col.hp.com
Web: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/KenWyatt/Nature.htm
Member - NANPA (http://www.nanpa.org)
---

355. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjgilbert@wcom.net
Message-ID: <369125b4.23906906@news.compuserve.com>
Source record: 132489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I too have nothing but good things to say about the ham station.

I drove there to try out a used amp, we sat there in the floor, powered it up, and couldn't get it to tune up or peak more than 700watts. Now, Dan had to get out a rig, a PS, the cables and a dummy load to do all this. We dinked with this thing for about an hour, he even called the owner to see if there was something we were missing. Now that's service.

Needless to say, I walked out of there with an amp, not that one but one that worked easy enough for this moron to handle.

The thing I liked the most about it was when I walked in there, it felt like I had just walked into a hamfest (and a very disorganized one at that). The place is just great.

Great store, great service.

Matt
K8KDR

356. Re: Magazine/Publications for scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36912E5B.5027@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132490

Mike Garrity wrote:
>
> Newbe..what do you recommend for magazines/ publications for scanning etc.
> tx mike g.

Monitoring Times

http://www.grove-ent.com/hmpgmt.html

Popular Communications

http://www.popcomm.com/

357. Re: Magazine.to read??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36912E8F.5319@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132491

Mike Garrity wrote:
>
> Was wanting suggestion for magazine, publications that might be out there to
> subscribe to for scanning etc....tx mike g.

Monitoring Times

http://www.grove-ent.com/hmpgmt.html

Popular Communications

http://www.popcomm.com/

358. Re: winorbit?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjgilbert@wcom.net
Message-ID: <369134fc.27818651@news.compuserve.com>
Source record: 132492
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Do you think you could be a little more specific?

On Sun, 3 Jan 1999 17:12:17 -0500, "st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge"
<stef_theberge@videotron.ca> wrote:

>anybody know how to use it?
>
>--
>St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge
>Beauport,Qc
>Canada
>
>

359. Re: DJX10T?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjgilbert@wcom.net
Message-ID: <369133f2.27552067@news.compuserve.com>
Source record: 132493

Yes, the DJ-X10T is the US version with cellular blocked. I have that one. You could order the X10E from canada I suppose, but it doesn't really matter because you can hear cellular images plain as day if your interested in that kind of stuff.

Anyway, I too will complain about the battery life. You could buy the AA battery pack and insert some high-capacity NiMH in there. That should help.

Freq. coverage is superb, even the SW range works pretty well. I have not had much chance to try it above 1GHz.

I like the lighted keypad and the 3 line display. Go to alinco's site and download the DOS software to program it. The registered version will cost you $10 and it is worth every penny.

Matt
K8KDR

On Sun, 03 Jan 1999 15:04:58 +1000, Paul Young <young@ion.com.au> wrote:

>Mark,
>Having bought the DJX-10E (export) which covers .1KHz to 2GHZ, I have had
>no problems with it at all. Very sensitive and excellent memories 1,200.
>The only thing that Alinco could do is reduce the amount of power it draws
>out of the battery pack. I'm pushing to get 2 - 2.5 hours out of each pack.
>minor problem, otherwise a great scanner and here in australia they sell
>for $A525.
>I'm unsure what the "T" means perhaps it is cellular blocked.
>Here is Oz the AR8200 is available I know of one person close by not on the
>internet has one, and after viewing my Alinco would like to swap (I don't
>thing so) He says it is very hard to program and much more complex to
>operate. If you are a first time user go for the DJX-10, and if you can
>afford it AR8200 would be the way to go if you have the patients and
>determination to muck around with it they sell in Aust for $A1,100.
>
>That's my 5 cents worth anyway!
>
>Cheers,
>Paul
>
>Mark Thornton wrote:
>
>> Anyone have any experience with the Alinco DJX10T? I have been looking
>> to add to my collection, but need to know if it is good enough to match

>> the AR8200B.
>
>--
>____
>
>Paul Young
>
>Mailto:young@ion.com.au
>
>Radio Scanner Frequencies - http://young.ion.tm
>
>On the Gold Coast, Queensland, AUSTRALIA.
>____
>
>

360. Re: Health Risks with Cordless Phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <36923a07.2465333@news.erols.com>
Source record: 132494

On 3 Jan 1999 02:52:29 -0500, "RB" <rb@bridgeport.net> wrote:

>Are there any health risks with 900mhz or 2.5ghz cordless phones?

Short Answer: No, none have been identified with any scientific certainty.

Long Answer: The hazard is the same as that of using a PCS or Cell phone. Is there a danger? Nobody's sure. The problem is a multi-dimensional one. It can't be easily solved by epidemiological studies because RF is very pervasive.

Many people refer to studies by Werthiemer and Leper. These studies have not been validated when others tried to replicate their results. In other words, it wasn't a well controlled study.

Most scientists think there may be some risk, but the risk is very low in the scheme of daily life. You probably stand a greater chance of being killed by a bolt of lightning than being harmed by this level of non-ionizing radiation. Naturally, if you see relative risk assessments, you have to realize that twice a very small number is still a very small number.

Personally, I think these scientists are chasing statistical noise. After all, we're talking about Non-Ionizing radiation. At this power level, it can heat up tissue by a few fractions of a degree, but that's it. You'd probably get more exposure of that sort while standing in sunshine for a few minutes than you would from a cordless phone.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

361. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHANEKLUG <SHANEKLUG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <369152BB.5371@prodigy.net>
Source record: 132495
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Zook Music wrote:
>
> Have tried the vox tape recorders with little success (audio signal and
> amplifier noise just to strong). Think I might try the Nightlogger. Thanks
> for all your help!!!!

I have been using one of those Viking Internation 10 hr tape players
with VOX and it works excellent.... i also have a radio shack (standard
play) vox recorder that works good too... just runs out quick...

Shane KC8IRW

362. Re: indiana freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-0401991813460001@k56-ip-169.theramp.net>
Source record: 132496

In article <76qjkg$to5$1@hyperion.nitco.com>, "david meadows"
<dmeadows@netnitco.net> wrote:

> district 13 Lowe state police
> i here base on 42.4200
> a chart said it is a rebroadcast of 800 band
> i cant find it in 800 band
> i have a bc895 trunktracker can some one help
> find isp freq.. thank you

InSP District 13 in Lowell does not yet have 800 MHz. All operations are
still on low band. (Note: Mobiles are on 42.26)

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**************************g3mtnw**********************************

363. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <76rmrvcu1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 132497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

John - KAOSSF wrote in message <36903116.1E14@usa.net>...
>With the new year starting & on the eve of the next century, a moment to
>reflect is appropriate.
Yes, let's reflect. You spent the better part of the year attempting to kick both Bill Cheek and I off the internet - even going so far as to contact my EMPLOYER over such things! All because someone can't take a joke and their feelings got hurt. Boo hoo!

Then, when Bill lost his account and I left Hughes you claimed victory. But the truth be known John, you really had nothing to do with either. I moved on to greener pastures, and to the best of my knowledge, so has Bill!

Take a good look around John. What did you win? Both Bill and I are still here, and most of this NG is glad to see us. You, on the other hand are greeted by a chorus of boos and hisses wherever you go! Even your former allies are sick of you. Tell me, what's it like to not have any friends who will admit to the fact? I can't imagine what that must be like, but it sure does explain why you are the way you are.

>I remember when I first landed on this NG is July of 1996. I found the
>NG was in a full WAR started sometime prior to my arrivial by the
>actions of a person who had complete disregard for the good of this NG.

I remember when you LEFT for a couple of months. For a while there, I actually thought that the men in white coats had finally found you...

>This person claimed to be an "Engineer" & to be "helping" others on this
>NG. In reality he was not an engineer by any legit certifying in his
>current home state or previous home state & did not hold a current
>license for radio communications technical work. This person also
>tended to post articles on this
>NG regarding homosexuality & perverse behavior along with cancelling
>posts of others and attacking usually innocent members of this NG.

So how is that any different from your typical off the wall pointless spew? You have claimed to be things that you are not, there are several posts with your name on them attesting to your homosexuality (granted, they may be forges, but no one really knows for sure), and the cancels to which you refer were done a few miles from your home, not Bill's. Seems to me that you embody the very behavior you claim to hate! Odd, no?

>Several concerned and responsible members of this newsgroup responded to
>deal with the problem individual. But this proved to be a very stubborn
>problem that would not go away easily. The actions to rid the
>NG of the problem lasted for about 2 years. He attempted to lie or

>cheat his way out of every single matter, & many ill-advised people
>who were easily mis-lead attempted to cover for him or enable his
>behavior.

Again, the exact same things can be said of YOU! How is that any different?
Hmmmmmmm??

>Sadly, the war or policing action to deal with this person continued for
>a LONG time, (2 years) until late summer 1998. At that time this person
>was
>kicked off of a mailing list for numerous abuse actions on that scanning
>mail list AND had his access to his ISP revoked for abusive actions on
>the internet. BOTH of these happened after he had received
>SEVERAL warnings both in private e-mail and on usenet/mail-lists.
>(the person's ISP had received MANY complaints of his behavior &
>actions)

Yeah but guess what? HE IS STILL HERE!!! JUST LIKE YOU!!!! I see more and
more simularities all the time! How many ISP's have you been booted from
Johnnie boy? More than "his" one, that's for sure!
>
>Those ill-advised people who had been believers in the problem person
>were mostly shocked, as the truth of the problem was now
>apparent & un-avoidable. Most found their supportive actions
>now personally embarrasing.

Actually most of us simply found you to be even more of an irritant after
BIll's departure than you were before. Don't you have a hobby? Or is being a
total ignoramous a profession in your town?
>
>The result was immediately seen as the situation on this NG improved,
>& it once again became a safe place for technical discussions regarding
>the hobby of radio communications & scanning.

No thanks to you. Do a dejanews search and see how much crap dissappeared
when YOU left. Except for the occasional Emilio trollings, all was well in
a.r.s and r.r.s. Then when Bill returned, guess what? No additional crap
posts except for the ones by you! Even someone with less brains than a rock
can see that for themselves. (The fact that YOU can't, speaks volumes about
the special kind of imbecile that you make of yourself.)
>
>There was an unfortuately windfall of his dis-orientated followers who
>attempted to attack anyone who they felt was responsible in reporting
>the
>abusive actions or simply sticking up for the right of this NG. After a
>few weeks of dealing with these people & addressing THIER behavior, even
>these individuals went away.

Referring to Hughes again John? You really are delusional - I'd hate to be
your psychiatrist! I hate to burst your juvenile little fantasy world bubble
that is your life, but I'm still here. So is allsafe, vetterle, MAlexander
and everyone else who hates your guts. You accomplished absolutely nothing.

Nada. Zippo. Congratulations indeed.
>
>NOW WE CAN CONGRATULATE OURSEVES & know that THIS NEWSGROUP is a better
>place for ALL. Now, when one downloads this NG, you see a great
>majority
>of useful & decent posts to explore & share with rather than the spam,
>flaming, & hate of the past. Those who stood up for the betterment of
>this NG & addressing the problems are able to look themselves in the
>mirror & know they did what was RIGHT, regardless of sometimes
>other opinions.

Then there are people like you who don't have anything to say unless it's
about Bill Cheek. I hate to break it to ya buddy, but no one really cares
what Bill Cheek did to you last year. If it really bugs ya, take it up with
him - we really don't care. Honest.
>
>This newsgroup salutes those that stood up in the face of the evil
>menace
>that was attempting to take over this NG, & fought to protect
>and save this NG to preserve it for the future generations.

ROTFL!! You mean future generations like YOU? HA HA, that's all we need
around here - more trolls, weirdlings and freaks!
>
>But even with the generous work that went to support this NG, we realize
>that the person who started the problems here in early 1996 was
>a person who was dis-illusioned & in need of rehabilitation. That
>person
>was off the NG for a few months, but has now returned on a different ISP
>and has not caused anywhere near the problems as he had before.

Um, John, speaking of oneself in the third person is one of the first signs
of mental illness. You really need to see someone about that...

>Those
>on this NG may feel better in knowing that they also helped better him
>also.

Yeah, OK pal. I see LSD and crack cocktails are still all the rage in
Portland. When you come down off of the ceiling, try taking up needlepoint
instead of recreational paint sniffing, OK big guy? You'll be glad you did.
And how dare you weasel past my filters!

PLONK! (and stay there dammit!)

-Phil
--
Looking for a program to run your BC895? Check out:
http://www.mghusa.com/scanner/pc895/
Simple to use and the price is right - free.
Suggestions, comments, questions and hatemail welcome,
ziggy7445@yahoo.com

364. Optocom EDACS Trunk Track

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cyber357 <cyber357@nwol.net>
Message-ID: <76rnrt$6r$1@hornet.fibr.net>
Source record: 132498

Has anyone used the new Optocom from Optoelectronics
to trunk track EDACS system? They advertise the new
Optocom will trunck track EDACS.

365. Re: Magazine/Publications for scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Varine <Witch*Dr@usa.nobulkemail.net>
Message-ID: <36916C70.1417@usa.nobulkemail.net>
Source record: 132499

Mike Garrity wrote:
>
> Newbe..what do you recommend for magazines/ publications for scanning etc.
> tx mike g.

Try out Scanning USA, it deals ONLY with scanning, no HF, HAM, CB.

Check it out!
http://members.aol.com/scanningus/

366. Re: mdt freqs in rockford area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ramjet5 <ramjet5@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#3S$Y1EO#GA.55@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 132500

Try 857.7375
.
cmb wrote in message <36906507.33C6805D@owc.net>...
>any mdt freqs in rockford illinois area??
>thanks jack
>

367. (((LOOK))) Scanner Ham Radio and more!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Baker <pacman@bcl.net>
Message-ID: <36911D8C.130D96D2@bcl.net>
Source record: 132501

Come visit us at KB9HGI'S Ham Shack Scanner stuff Ham Radio and Computer's and more.

Have items forsale visit my message board at Kb9HGI'S Swap Board post your items forsale swap or wanted for free!

368. Trunk Tracker Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kidless@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13506-36917083-4@newsd-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 132502

I am finally going to upgrade to a trunk tracking scanner. Which one is best, and which ones to avoid? I would appreciate any help or experiences with any.
TIA

John York
(kidless)

369. Re: scanner interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <76rt46$9j3$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 132503

yes, digital data interface.

370. radioshack question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <76rt79$9so$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 132504

what is radioshacks equal to a uniden bc 895xlt trunktracker?

371. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <76ruec$61t$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 132505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

John - KAOSSF wrote in message <36908851.720B@usa.net>...
>George Vetterle wrote:
<snip>
>> you never cease to amaze me. When are you going to stop
>> making off-topic and disruptive posts?
>
>I never STARTED making the posts you mention above!

Well how else would you describe the post that started THIS thread.
Its obvious you wanted to stir up MORE trouble. It did no good. Just
patting your own back for supposedly solving a problem that you created.
Stick to scanners John. You do own one don't you?

372. Re: Re:Let's crack RD/LAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Bob <billbob@mns.com>
Message-ID: <76rv2a$omm@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 132506

Take a look at http://www.onelist.com

You can create your own listserver, public or private. And, it's free.

Creating a news list would be a good idea. I've been playing around with soundcard decoding also.

<wrongurl@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:76jri9koa1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
>In article <75c95h$di0$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>,
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Okay, finally a topic we can really sink our teeth into on this NG. All ye
>> MDT experts gather 'round, and lets have a pow-wow...
>
>Finally someone is suggesting collaboration on a project.. This is of
>interest not only to scanner enthusiasts but to programmers,coders and Hams
>(not to mention the folks who currently manufacture these systems. What about
>a message board for data projects??.This method seemed to work for the
>Euro-satellite folks they don't seem to mind sharing tech knowledge what' our
>problem??.I myself have little interest in television stuff (been there done
>that),but thier sites do provide lot's of relevent info (pcm,pwm,Delta
>Modulation.) I have been working on a multi-system for RD-Lap, reflex,
>Apco-25 etc. What I am doing is using a microcontroller do do the
>"bit-banging".as it stands right now I am using external A/D and 4level fsk
>circuitry but may go to a mpu that has A/D functions. I have tried using dsp
>but that can move the project out of most peoples reach.My goal is it make
>the hardware side as simple but effective as possible. any thoughts on the
>project would be appreciated. I think we should also send belated thanks to
>"DL5 YEC" W.F. Schroeder for getting alot of us started in using PC's for
>data decoding. his Hamcomm Program is what I believe started this interest
>that has extended to scannner buffs.
>
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

373. Help! Antenna Length Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: urbaurba@batnet.com
Message-ID: <urbaurba-0401991919360001@papop1-152.batnet.com>
Source record: 132507

I've got a question regarding antenna length. I'm currently using a telescoping whip antenna optimized for Rail frequencies (160-162). Is there a simple formula that will tell me the length I should be using for other frequencies (like the CB bands)?

Thanks in advance.

Marc

374. Freqs Elyria, Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mwiz <mwiz@bright.net>
Message-ID: <76s0p7gfi1@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 132508

I know allot of you have heard this before but IM a newbie I read as much mail as I could looking for freqs but the ones IM getting don't pick up does anyone have a good list of them for Elyria,N.Ridgeville,and surrounding area please mail me

mwiz@bright.net

375. Re: HOW is posible????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Maxell <"scottm[nospam]"@midmaine.com>
Message-ID: <369189E8.8BDB502F@midmaine.com>
Source record: 132509

When you took learned English, did they tell you about run - on
sentences and punctuation?? Personally, I rely on these things to
help make sense of what I am reading... (AND also has a D. AN is
a totally different word)

---scott

Scape3000 wrote:
>
> Hi I got me a cellular for my birthday an I'm worry about people that get the
> line or the number of cellulars how they do it an how can I detect them, wher
> do they get the things or instruments to get the numbers of cellular
> is there a way to stop that
> please help whit these
>
> one of my friends got a bill of $600.00 Dollars he is not on the phone all the
> time
> Thank you.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> Rage is a gift.
> <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

376. Re: RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Kiehner <fkiehner@solution4u.com>
Message-ID: <76s284$6qh$1@camel25.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn,
Same as the Uniden TT unit. RS has a 150 and a 300 hand held unit as well as a base unit and a mobile unit. The Pro 90 (300 channel unit
is on sale till the end of the month for 189.99. Check it out if you are looking for a good TT unit.
Frank Kiehner
York, Pa/

Glenn J. Torres <radioman@gulfsouth.verio.net> wrote in message news:01be384e$942c7a60$ce58cccf@default...
>Hello,
>How are the RS Trunktracker scanners?Pros or cons?
>Thanks,
>Glenn

377. Re: Freqs Elyria, Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@lor.net>
Message-ID: <36918E1F.403699D7@lor.net>
Source record: 132511

```
These will work, sorry I don't have all the names
Skyler
,, 39.58 ,,,,,, SOUTH AMHERST
,, 44.74 ,,,,,, Ohio StPtrl
,, 44.86 ,,,,,, Ohio StPtrl
,, 45.02 ,,,,,, Ohio StPtrl
,, 153.815 ,,,,,, LORAIN
,, 153.845 ,,,,,,
,, 153.98 ,,,,,, LORAIN
,, 154.13 ,,,,,, Elyria Fire
,, 154.16 ,,,,,, Elyria Twnshp Fire
,, 154.185 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.24 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.245 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.28 ,,,,,, Lor CntyFire
,, 154.31 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.355 ,,,,,, Lorain Fire
,, 154.37 ,,,,,, Lor Cnty911
,, 154.4 ,,,,,, Sheffield Fire
,, 154.4 ,,,,,, NRidgeville Fire
,, 154.41 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.415 ,,,,,, LORAIN
,, 154.445 ,,,,,, Ohio StPtrl
,, 154.46 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.51 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.535 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.54 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.565 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.57 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.6 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.705 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.735 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.74 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.75 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.815 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.87 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 154.875 ,,,,,, SOUTH AMHERST
,, 154.935 ,,,,,, OHIO
,, 154.95 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.07 ,,,,,, LORAIN
,, 155.1 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.125 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.155 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.16 ,,,,,, LifeCare
```

,, 155.19 ,,,,,, ELYRIA
,, 155.22 ,,,,,, Grafton
,, 155.245 ,,,,,, Lorain Police
,, 155.25 ,,,,,, Lorain Police
,, 155.26 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.265 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.34 ,,,,,, Eryria Rescue
,, 155.37 ,,,,,, ELYRIA
,, 155.37 ,,,,,,
,, 155.385 ,,,,,, LifeFlight
,, 155.41 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.415 ,,,,,, SOUTH AMHERST
,, 155.49 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.56 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.605 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.68 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.685 ,,,,,, Ohio StPtrl
,, 155.73 ,,,,,, Elyria Pol
,, 155.73 ,,,,,, ELYRIA
,, 155.785 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.79 ,,,,,, Ohio StPtrl
,, 155.815 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.865 ,,,,,, Unknown
,, 155.955 ,,,,,, Elyria Works
,, 155.97 ,,,,,, ELYRIA
,, 158.91 ,,,,,, ELYRIA
,, 159.03 ,,,,,, ELYRIA
,, 423.025 ,,,,,, Sheffield Police Dispatch
,, 423.225 ,,,,,, Lorain Cnty911
,, 428.025 ,,,,,, Sheffield Police Dispatch
,, 428.65 ,,,,,, N Ridgeville Police
,, 429.375 ,,,,,, NRidgeville Police
,, 460.1125 ,,,,,, Police RS
,, 460.1625 ,,,,,, Police RS
,, 460.1625 ,,,,,, LORAIN
,, 460.2625 ,,,,,, Police RS
,, 460.2625 ,,,,,, LORAIN
,, 460.4875 ,,,,,, Police RS
,, 460.5125 ,,,,,, ELYRIA
,, 465.1125 ,,,,,, Police RS
,, 465.1625 ,,,,,, LORAIN
,, 465.2625 ,,,,,, LORAIN
,, 465.4875 ,,,,,, Police RS
,, 465.5125 ,,,,,, ELYRIA

mwiz wrote:

> I know allot of you have heard this before but IM a newbie I read as much
> mail as I could looking for freqs but the ones IM getting don't pick up does
> anyone have a good list of them for Elyria,N.Ridgeville,and surrounding area
> please mail me

>
> mwiz@bright.net

378. Re: Help! Antenna Length Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@lor.net>
Message-ID: <36918FC8.6F74D09B@lor.net>
Source record: 132512

Marc,
No problem. You use a math formula to calculate the length of the antenna to "resonate" at the frequency you want. This is much more critical for transmitting than it is for receiving, but sometimes you just need (or want) to have an antenna cut to a particular frequency!
Here's the formula and the variables:
"n" divided by your frequency equals the antenna length in feet.
"n" is...
246 for 1/4 wave (the basic antenna length - don't go shorter)
492 for 1/2 wave
615 for 5/8 wave
984 for 1 wavelength
Multiply your result by 12 to convert to inches.
Examples:
1/4 wave for 460.000 MHz: 246 divided by 460 = .5347 ft., x 12 = 6.4"
5/8 wave for 155.475 MHz: 615 divided by 155.475 = 3.95 ft., x 12 = 47.5"
I usually round off to the nearest 1/10" for ease of measuring.

1/2 and 5/8 waves are usually "gain" antennas, which are important for transmitting. The longer lengths will help with receiving, too. And you

want your antenna to match your transmitter to efficiently radiate your signal, otherwise it can just heat up and destroy the transmitter. Gain itself is a
subjective measure that depends on a lot of factors. Suffice to say that

a 5/8 wave antenna has more gain and is a better one than a 1/4 wave.
Hope this helps, and have fun!
Skyler

Marc Abrams wrote:

> I've got a question regarding antenna length. I'm currently using a
> telescoping whip antenna optimized for Rail frequencies (160-162). Is
> there a simple formula that will tell me the length I should be using for
> other frequencies (like the CB bands)?
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Marc

379. Upgrade Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Larsen <larsen@micron.net>
Message-ID: <36919031.68BEC4D0@micron.net>
Source record: 132513

I currently have a three year old Uniden BC890XLT. I am thinking about upgrading to a newer, higher quality scanner. I would be interested in hearing from people who have moved up from the BC890XLT, what they chose for a replacement and why.

Thanks

dick larsen
boise, idaho

380. Re: Health Risks with Cordless Phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: #031 SLuT <tagen@hal-pc.org>
Message-ID: <76s39rord1@news.hal-pc.org>
Source record: 132514

The only noticeable risk that I have encountered is the wife talking on one too long running up the phone bill, should tension, and a swollen ear, unavailability to get an open line, house gets neglected, going to garage to get away from phone but it's cordless so it follows you.

Jake Brodsky wrote in message <36923a07.2465333@news.erols.com>...
>On 3 Jan 1999 02:52:29 -0500, "RB" <rb@bridgeport.net> wrote:
>
>>Are there any health risks with 900mhz or 2.5ghz cordless phones?
>
>Short Answer: No, none have been identified with any scientific
>certainty.
>
>Long Answer: The hazard is the same as that of using a PCS or Cell
>phone. Is there a danger? Nobody's sure. The problem is a
>multi-dimensional one. It can't be easily solved by epidemiological
>studies because RF is very pervasive.
>
>Many people refer to studies by Werthiemer and Leper. These studies
>have not been validated when others tried to replicate their results.
>In other words, it wasn't a well controlled study.
>
>Most scientists think there may be some risk, but the risk is very low
>in the scheme of daily life. You probably stand a greater chance of
>being killed by a bolt of lightning than being harmed by this level of
>non-ionizing radiation. Naturally, if you see relative risk
>assessments, you have to realize that twice a very small number is
>still a very small number.
>
>Personally, I think these scientists are chasing statistical noise.
>After all, we're talking about Non-Ionizing radiation. At this power
>level, it can heat up tissue by a few fractions of a degree, but
>that's it. You'd probably get more exposure of that sort while
>standing in sunshine for a few minutes than you would from a cordless
>phone.
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

381. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Richard W. Clement" <rickey@cc.gatech.edu>
Message-ID: <76s4mo$a9t$1@news-int.gatech.edu>
Source record: 132515

In regards to keith's message, my 2.4 GHz/900 MHZ Panasonic GigaRange Phones come saying they have DSS on the box, in the instruction manual, on the web site, etc...but it's only spread spectrum on 2.4 GHz. And I wouldn't even believe that anymore, since the 900 MHz side is analog, and my scanner will not scan that high. So, I would caution buyers to go home and test the phones for yourselves. I know I will from here on out.

Richard W. Clement

382. O'Hare FD freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul and Cherity Machnick <CPMachnick@ync.net>
Message-ID: <3691945F.23559561@ync.net>
Source record: 132516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi all, just wanted to thank everyone who has emailed me freq. they have all been very helpful. I've been trapped in the house from the storm and finally got my truck out this mormning. Still no school here in Chicago, thank God, because I'm a teacher.

The other night I was listening to Chicago FD and there was a fire alarm at the field. I heard them dispatched but they all went to "J band" when they got there. Does O'Hare FD use special freq? If so, could I please have them.

Thanks,
Paul
CPMachnick@ync.net

383. Scan Sacramento Mailing List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "L. Brooke Sahm" <sahms@osbtown.com>
Message-ID: <76s9qa$8sc@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 132517
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

SCANsacramento@onelist.com

is a mailing list devoted to people who live in Sacramento or Sacramento County and is open for sharing Frequencys, Information or updating everyone else on breaking events.

Join at www.onelist.com

384. WELZ WS2000EX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raf Van Zele <RVZ@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <76q1hk$9f6$1@news3.Belgium.EU.net>
Source record: 132536

Does anyone knows how to handle the hidden functions on this 'device' ?

12.5 / 25 tEL and the different USER levels ?

Thanks a lot ! !
RVZ@BIGFOOT.COMM
remove last M to prevent SPAM

385. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3690895B.4015@usa.net>
Source record: 132537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

tracey12 wrote:

> John, what a bunch of BS. You were a major contributor to the problems

That is YOUR narrow opinion. Regardless, the fact remains that due to
the actions of myself & others, the problem is now mostly gone & this
NG is a much better place.

> HOW ON EARTH can you make these statements?

Because it is truth.

> Most of us here were still able to talk about scanners while the disruptors
> went about their

MANY people left in complete disgust.

386. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369089D6.4C8A@usa.net>
Source record: 132538

JTWard01 wrote:

> True, but John Mackey wasn't one of them. He was as much an instigator of the
> problems as was Bill Cheek.

Not surprising to see you make such a statement as you always were a
BLIND follower of Bill.

> I'll give him the benefit of the doubt, though, and
> assume this latest post was a forgery,

Wrong, I wrote the post you replied to.

> and that he really wasn't trying to get
> the shit all stirred up again.

This is the first part of your post that was correct. CONGRATS!

387. Re: BC3000xlt, is it any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <76q1qg$293@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132539

In <19990101130134.25357.00005341@ng30.aol.com> voron3@aol.com (Voron3)
writes:
>
>I am wanting to break into the scanning hobby and this scanner appeals
to me.
>Is it any good. I want to get all the freqs possible, trunking and
ease of
>modification would be nice too. Is there another scanner less thatn
$400.00
>better suitd to my needs?

what exactly are your NEEDS?

this one does not do trunking...3000xlt

for that you pay 200 to 300 for
the privilege...choice of
hand-held and base.

go to local Radio shack and they
have them...good ones too.

if you must have trunking, go RS
or go to Hamstation.com

and they also sell other scanners
for your proclivity-enjoy.

bill conduit
etc.

388. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: sillyarse@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76qau9mib1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> With the new year starting & on the eve of the next century, a moment to
> reflect is appropriate.

Hmmm. It seems the tiny troll has emerged from his cave once more. Apparently he found an ISP that he can use until he gets booted once again and has to find yet another one. Does anyone have the number for Trolls Anonymous? ("Hi, I'm John, and I'm a newsgroup troll." "Hi, John!")

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

389. Re: Antenna choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison <ka8tok@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <3690A45E.D92711A@four-way.net>
Source record: 132541
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: ka8tok.vcf

the J-pole antenna has 0 gain to it when built.. Just a low angle of radiation then the discones. if you want a better antenna build a beam.

William Burrow wrote:

> I have been evaluating various antennas for use with my scanner and 2m
> ham radio. At first, I was very interested in the RS 20-043 discone or
> other discones as they have broad reception and are usable on the 2m band.
> However, after some probing, it turns out these do not have gain.
>
> A solution might be to build something like a J-pole antenna to get some
> gain on the 2m band, but then I might miss out on some of the other bands
> for scanning.
>
> I also recently discovered that the antenna shipped with the scanner is
> fairly poor and unable to detect signals in the 2m band that the ham radio's
> rubber duckie could pull in easily. So I thought maybe just an inexpensive
> replacement antenna might do for the scanner.
>
> To that end, I noticed the RS 20-006 center loaded telescopic whip antenna
> for cheap. Does anybody have comments on the performance of this antenna?
> Would it be a far cry better than a plain rubber duckie perhaps?
>
> --
> William Burrow, VE9WIL -- New Brunswick, Canada o
> Copyright 1999 William Burrow ~ /\
> ~ ()>()

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

390. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990104071533.05603.00000800@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 132542

>From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>

>With the new year starting & on the eve of the next century, a moment to
>reflect is appropriate.

Yeah, unfortunately you decide to post your brain vomit here, you two-bit
hypocrite.

391. Re: race scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: foresterNOSPAM@usit.net
Message-ID: <3690b2a4.30148396@news.usit.net>
Source record: 132543

On Sun, 3 Jan 1999 21:06:05 -0600, "Steve Hell" <smhell@gaffaneys.com>
wrote:

>I have a Uniden BC235xlt I will be using for scanning at a nascar race.Will
>this be a good scanner to use at the track?

Just about any scanner will do fine at a NASCAR race. You may
purchase a stubby "race" antenna, or just remove your stock antenna.

>Also somebody told me I will
>need to have an audio modification done to this scanner to be able to hear
>properly at the track, is this true?

No! You need good, noise canceling headphones. Check out:

www.racescanners.com
www.racescan.com
www.racingelectronics.com

Stay away from the $30 headphones. They do not reduce the track
noise. You can also make a pair using a pair of hearing protectors
(available at WalMart, Lowes and so on), and a pair of cheap "walkman
type" headphones. Yank the speakers off the headphones, and glue them
inside the hearing protectors.

>And any hints or tips you can tell me
>about anything to do with scanning at the race track will be greatly
>apreciated. Thanks!

Bring extra batteries. Also, program in all of the car's frequencies,
and then "lock out" all but four or five of your favorites. Also, set
one frequency to MRN radio - you can turn it off or on quickly,
whenever you want.

Have fun.

Jack

--
Please remove the "NOSPAM" when replying by e-mail.

392. PAGER INFO NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <76qd48$6m8$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 132544

I have found a new sound on my scanner,i think it is a pager signal.I am looking for a program to help figure out what i am hearing.Soundcard or com port either will do.
eagle@ez-net.com

393. Re: wacars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: SSchreiber <sschreiber@online.de>
Message-ID: <76qdcr$1ci$6@news.online.de>
Source record: 132545

st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge schrieb in Nachricht
>anybody know how to use this program? and is for what?
>

It&#65533;s a ACARS Decoder and Aircraft Tracking Program.
It decode the signal with the soundcard. Use the following
freqs:

```
131.725 European Primary
131.525 European Secondary
131.550 USA, Australia, New Zealand
131.450 Japan
129.125 USA Secondary
130.025 USA Secondary
131.475 Canadian (Company)
```

Stephan

394. Re: pocsag problem!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: SSchreiber <sschreiber@online.de>
Message-ID: <76qdcq$1ci$5@news.online.de>
Source record: 132546

st�phane th�berge schrieb in Nachricht ...
>i dont have acces on the FLEX proporties in pocsag program. so why? i have
>the registrered version!
>

Comes in a future version.

Stephan

395. scanner interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <76qdgb$78f$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 132547

I just received my interface,now i need to try it.I am looking for any freq's.in wisconsin to try it out on,MDT,ACARS,MOBITEX,OR WHATEVER.
EAGLE@EZ-NET.COM

396. Re: scanner interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <3690C2B2.8E971B34@owc.net>
Source record: 132548

what kind of interface did you get??

SHAWN wrote:

> I just received my interface,now i need to try it.I am looking for any
> freq's.in wisconsin to try it out on,MDT,ACARS,MOBITEX,OR WHATEVER.
> EAGLE@EZ-NET.COM

397. Re: Icom R-8500 800 Mhz mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwa <jwa@tellabs.com>
Message-ID: <3690C3E2.3F3358AC@tellabs.com>
Source record: 132549

Charlie Hicks wrote:

> Can the R-8500 be modified for full 800 Mhz recv? Any pointers?
>
> thanks.

Check out this URL

http://www.qrz.com/mods/

--
Jack Albert WA9FVP

398. Re: scanner interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <76qj1kdnk1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 132550

I ordered the one bill cheek has for sale it sounded easier than making one.

399. indiana freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: david meadows <dmeadows@netnitco.net>
Message-ID: <76qjkg$to5$1@hyperion.nitco.com>
Source record: 132551

```
district 13 Lowe state police
i here base on 42.4200
a chart said it is a rebroadcast of 800 band
i cant find it in 800 band
i have a bc895 trunktracker can some one help
find isp freq.. thank you
```

400. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ajmedia@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990104103412.04589.00007519@ng101.aol.com>
Source record: 132552

Now I'm concerned about my Casio CP-850.. I thought I was buying DSS, but I never thought about the base.. Anyone have any knowledge about this unit? (I threw out all the instructions, box, etc.).

AJMedia@nospam.com

401. FS RS Pro 90 Trunktracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: rbutler322@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990104110811.29524.00006249@ng117.aol.com>
Source record: 132553

New in the Box!!! Never unwrapped!!! This 300 channel unit does the job! I have one that I use every day and have no complaints.
$ 135. plus $ 8.00 s/h

Thanks...Bob

402. BC 235xlt Trunktracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: rbutler322@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990104111141.29524.00006250@ng117.aol.com>
Source record: 132554

Great unit!! Almost new! Complete with original box. charger, spare battery, antenna, and manuals. 10 on a scale of 10!!
$160. plus $ 8. s/h

Thanks...Bob

403. Re: Adress to Lat/Lon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: saxapahaw@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76qv36$7jq$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132555

Try the following:
http://www.juggling.org/bin/un.cgi/map-find
axa

```
In article <76ldkj$q1q$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
plantagenet@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> Is there a site that will provide lat/lon for a street address?
>
> I am looking for the lat/lon for:
>
> 1502 Pech Rd, Houston, TX 77055
>
> Thanks.
>
> --
> Daines
> plantagenet@my-dejanews.com
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
```

404. Re: Radio Shack Groundplane 20-014

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <828_9901040900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 132556

H> Does anyone know if the Radio Shack groundplane antenna (20-014) with low
H> band coverage is still available? I can't find any at my local RS. BTW, ca
H> someone who has this antenna tell me about its performance? I heard that it
H> does well on most bands, except for 800MHz. Thank You for your information.

Have yuo asked him if it can be Ordered. I have never had a problem with
having my Favorate RS store order anythoing not in stock if it was still
avaialble i nthe warehouse.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

405. Re: dumb 900 MHZ question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <829_9901040900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 132557

M> If I pick up a cordless freq. say 902.303 and next time they use the
M> phone will it be on the same freq ?

Maybe YES and Mabe NO depends on if it is the Scanning type of phone.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

406. Re: antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <82a_9901040900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 132558

"A> looking for a new outdoor base antenna.
"A> I am thinking about a r.s. 20-043 discone ant.
"A> is it worth the 60.00$?

If yuo are After the Widest Bandwidth antenna YES. There is Nothing that will recieve that wide a band of frequencies as good. But it is a ZERO gain antenna .and the bigest advantage is in it's Outside mounting. Perferabibly as High as Possable, Using of Course TOP quality Coax. NONE of this RG-58 or even RG-8 stuff.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

407. Re: AOR - 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: talley@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <09990004075623.OUI52.talley@earthlink.net>
Source record: 132559

On January 03 1999, "tvsjr" <tvsjr@sprynet.com> wrote:
> ALE-
> A package like ScanStar, Radio Manager, or Probe might serve your
> needs. Go to their web pages and download demos and see how you like
> it- sorry, I don't have the addresses.

You can download a ScanStar demo at:

http://www.scanstar.com/

Scanstar will not only control the AR8000, but will download and upload
banks, and modify the band plan.

You can search a band, logging the hits, and then convert the log to a
scan list which you can then upload intot he AR8000.

408. Re: AOR - 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990104123003.03824.00000877@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 132560

From: talley@earthlink.net (Richard P. Talley)

>On January 03 1999, "tvsjr" <tvsjr@sprynet.com> wrote:
>> A package like ScanStar, Radio Manager, or Probe might serve your
>> needs. Go to their web pages and download demos and see how you like
>> it- sorry, I don't have the addresses.
>
>You can download a ScanStar demo at:
>http://www.scanstar.com/

Probe's developer has neither web site nor demo. The URL for Radio Manager is:
www.interplaza.com/bensware

409. PRO-36 Mods wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve & Julia Hulse <hulse@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <76qt9ikht1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132561

Hi everyone,
Does anyone know of any mods that can be done on a PRO36? I'm specifically looking for channel memory increasing. Any other mods would be cool to know too.

Thank you
Steve Hulse
Denver, CO.

410. Re: Pro-2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve & Julia Hulse <hulse@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <76qt4f$k7n$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132562

I've done the speed mod and it really doesn't make much of a difference. Now I have been tracking down a rumor of possibly increasing the memory channels from 200 to 2000. I haven't found anything to confirm this yet but I'll drop a line when I do.
As for the 800mhz mod, why do it since you can't receive it? the PRO-2021 is a nice scanner for for listening to fire and ambulance services not trunked or if you live in a smaller town that hasn't gone to trunking but other than that the only thing I use it for is monitoring WX and local HAM freqs.

C-ya!
Steve

411. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mke65@home.net
Message-ID: <3690fc5c.7513822@news>
Source record: 132563

On 4 Jan 1999 15:34:12 GMT, ajmedia@aol.com (AJMedia) wrote:

>Now I'm concerned about my Casio CP-850.. I thought I was buying DSS, but I
>never thought about the base.. Anyone have any knowledge about this unit? (I
>threw out all the instructions, box, etc.).
>
>
>AJMedia@nospam.com
I will make it easy on everyone. If the phone has the dss (digital
spread spectrum) either on the phone or on the box then it is secure
from being heard by any scanner that I know of. If it says secure
phone then it can be heard by any scanner which receives the frequency
range of the phone. The phone manufacturers have a very loose version
of what they call secure. Again, if the phone does not say dss on it
then it aint. Keith

412. Magazine/Publications for scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Garrity <the65gto@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <76quou$jn3@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132564

Newbe..what do you recommend for magazines/ publications for scanning etc.
tx mike g.

413. Freq for NC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny <jeep@grits.net>
Message-ID: <76qvm7$jh9$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 132565

Does anyone know any interesting freq.'s for North Carolina?

Thanks,
jeep@grits.net
if you want reply to e-mail addy

414. Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zook Music <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
Message-ID: <915476856.365692@soam.wwnet.net>
Source record: 132566
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know of an adapter or product that will allow a scanner to automatically start and stop a tape recorder. My Bearcat 8500xlt has it built in but my 800xlt, Kenwood R-5000, and Icom R-100 do not. I know Grove has an amplified speaker (SP-200B) that will accomplish this, but I really dont need a speaker nor the price tag of $200.00.

Your help is greatly appreciated!!!!!

Paul Zook
www.zookmusic.com
lazpaz@teleweb.net

415. Re: software for AR5000/8000/8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Buysen" <arcsoftware_SPAMNO@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <76r0nm$jr9$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 132567
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

G.Buysen wrote in message ...
>Check out this site http://arc.mypage.org
>
>GB
>
>

416. HOW is posible????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: scape3000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990104131551.25121.00007458@ng104.aol.com>
Source record: 132568

Hi I got me a cellular for my birthday an I'm worry about people that get the
line or the number of cellulars how they do it an how can I detect them, wher
do they get the things or instruments to get the numbers of cellular
is there a way to stop that
please help whit these

one of my friends got a bill of $600.00 Dollars he is not on the phone all the
time
Thank you.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
Rage is a gift.
<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

417. Re: AOR - 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Buysen" <arcsoftware_SPAMNO@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <76r0ja$io1$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 132569

Forget SCANCAT... Try this site http://arc.mypage.org

Richard P. Talley wrote in message
<09990004075623.OUI52.talley@earthlink.net>...
>On January 03 1999, "tvsjr" <tvsjr@sprynet.com> wrote:
>> ALE-
>> A package like ScanStar, Radio Manager, or Probe might serve your
>> needs. Go to their web pages and download demos and see how you like
>> it- sorry, I don't have the addresses.
>
>You can download a ScanStar demo at:
>
>http://www.scanstar.com/
>
>Scanstar will not only control the AR8000, but will download and upload
>banks, and modify the band plan.
>
>You can search a band, logging the hits, and then convert the log to a
>scan list which you can then upload intot he AR8000.
>
>

418. Re: Can I take my HF radio on a plane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: scape3000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990104131843.25121.00007462@ng104.aol.com>
Source record: 132570

>
>
>this is a simple answer to your question.
>NO NO NO don't do it. 1) it is illegal 2) It can not only jepodise your
>safety but the rest of the people on the aircraft.

WHY??
WHY??
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
Rage is a gift.
<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

419. FS: AOR5000+3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990104132318.03821.00000977@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 132571

For details, go to: http://members.aol.com/mjalex007/page/aorsale.htm

420. Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Waits <swaits@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36910775.BA01A279@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132572

Add a FLASH ROM to your next TrunkTracker scanner. Include a serial cable as well so updating ROM's is easier. You'll be amazed at how many happy customers you end up with!

I wouldn't mind paying extra money for this!

--Steve

421. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36a14044.34946495@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 132573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>With the new year starting & on the eve of the next century, a moment to
>reflect is appropriate.
>
>I remember when I first landed on this NG is July of 1996. I found the
>NG was in a full WAR started sometime prior to my arrivial by the

Give it a rest, John. The war is over. If you want to start it up
again, do it in alt.flame or somewhere appropriate. You're doing more
harm than good at this point by continuing to obsess about this.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

422. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <76r3va$et8$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 132574
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You can use Voice Activation, but it has its problems. you have to set the audio level to the tape recorder properly. You just run a cable from the output jack to the recorder.

Zook Music wrote in message <915476856.365692@soam.wwnet.net>...
>Does anyone know of an adapter or product that will allow a scanner to
>automatically start and stop a tape recorder. My Bearcat 8500xlt has it

423. Marine radio vs. talk about radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: QESK41A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <76r411$66so$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132575

talkyal@aol.com wrote:
>I made a mistake. I wrote "Radius", but I meant "Distance".
>The Motorola TalkAbout Distance and TalkAbout Distance DPS are
>both TalkAbout radios with 2 watts UHF.

I stand corrected.......Motorola does have too many names to memorize, and this is a prime example. The TalkAbout Distance is a GMRS radio, and as such, requires the owner to obtain an FCC license. Not to mention all of the people that are currently utilizing GMRS, including grandfathered businesses. The TalkAbout on the other hand is a FMRS radio, and under FCC rules is limited to 500Mw output power, but does not require a license. I wonder how many people out there have seen the marketing associated with the TalkAbout, and now see the Talkabout Distance, and just think it's a beefier radio.....I also wonder how many people have purchased TalkAbout Distance Radios and are scratching their heads wondering why channel 1 doesn't "talk" to channel 1 on their older TalkAbout Radio. Just big business confusing the consumer again.

Ed

424. Re: scanner interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <76r40setf1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 132576

You mean the data decoder interface...

SHAWN wrote in message <76qj1kdnk1@news.inc.net>...
>I ordered the one bill cheek has for sale it sounded easier than making
one.
>
>

425. Re: Can't find AFP or is it APF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <76r43eetn1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 132577

You can get that from Cheek`s ftp site

Glenn Mitchell <"gmitch"@NULL ktb.net> > wrote in message
<76pq9hcnk2@dns.ktb.net>...
>The version I have is apf40.exe. Try a search on that.
>
>Norman Davis wrote:
>>
>> Lost a program that would extract freqs from text and make db files to
>> up load to radio. It was called eather afp or apf and was on larrys
>> utility home page on grove's home page I think. Can't find it now. Can
>> anyone help?
>>
>> normd@directcon.net

426. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <76r409$3pn@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 132578
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If your scanner lacks a carrier activated relay and
your favorite tape recorder isn't sound activated,
check out the NiteLogger II, made by Benjamin Michael Industries
in Wisconsin.

It plugs into your scanner's external speaker jack
and a taper recorder. (The recorder must have a REMOTE
control jack.) The relay in the NiteLogger II activates
the recorder when your scanner hears something.

It works well and costs about $70 - $80.
I tested one, then reverse engineered it and published
a schematic in Monitoring Times magazine.

Grove Enterprises and other dealers sell 'em:

http://www.grove-ent.com

In article <915476856.365692@soam.wwnet.net>,
Zook Music <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote:

>Does anyone know of an adapter or product that will allow a scanner to
>automatically start and stop a tape recorder....
--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

427. Re: Opto explorer unlock code.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF51vCL.9Kt@netcom.com>
Source record: 132579

In article <19981231160846.13848.00004765@ng-fb2.aol.com>,
ACiesynski <aciesynski@aol.com> wrote:

>I have the unlock code for the opto xplorer and tried it but does not respond
>with the proper reply code. I got the code out of nov 96 monitoring times. Did
>they change the code or is my version truly unlockable.the xplorer is nine
>months old. If someone has done this and it worked please tell how you did it
>as i may be doing something wrong.

It did work on "all" models of the older batch. Opto has since patched the
firmware.

Been there, done that. I think MT said that it was on "FCC" approved models
only, but it actually worked on all the older ones.

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

428. Re: Deciphering antennae lengths and their frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF51vKn.9xq@netcom.com>
Source record: 132580

In article <368c23cd.375948691@news.sprint.ca>, <dwarren@canada.com> wrote:

>I'm having a heck of a time trying to determine our local law
>enforcement's MDT frequencies.

Another possibility would be that he is using a pure digital signal and not
on FM, so the Scout will not pick it up.

The Nextel phone I had would not set off a Scout even when touch it.

Most of the MDT stuff I've seen has been in the 800mhz range, ie: 831mhz.

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

429. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zook Music <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
Message-ID: <915482306.538702@soam.wwnet.net>
Source record: 132581
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Have tried the vox tape recorders with little success (audio signal and amplifier noise just to strong). Think I might try the Nightlogger. Thanks for all your help!!!!

430. Re: Magazine/Publications for scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: saxapahaw@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76raei$hs7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132582

Check out Bob Groves "Monitoring Times."


```
In article <76quou$jn3@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>,
"Mike Garrity" <the65gto@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> Newbe..what do you recommend for magazines/ publications for scanning etc.
> tx mike g.
>
>

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
```

431. Re: BC895XLT software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <76r6piold1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 132583

Law & Order wrote in message <36903cb0.0@blushng.jps.net>...
>I have tried both ScanStar Deluxe (which I presently use) and FreeTracker.
>Both are good, but ScanStar Deluxe is superior.
>
>ScanStar Deluxe is about $160 and FreeTracker is FREE. Both support
>trunking with the BC895XLT. I tried PC895 and the program did not work
with
>my system, so I certainly would not recommend that one! Radio Manager
looks
>alright, but as far as I know, does not support trunking.
>
>The freeware program: FreeTracker.
>http://www.autowinnet.com/freetrack.html
>
>The pay program: ScanStar Deluxe.
>http://www.scanstar.com/
>
>
Just some quick notes on PC895

Incompatibility: There is some kind of glitch in VB4.0 setup program that
makes it fail on some systems. Working to resolve this, see Updates to Come.

Errors: If you run it on a really fast PC, like a PII, the program is
useless. It won't wait long enough for the scanner to respond before
attempting to do the next command. There are also bugs in d/l'ng talkgroup &
frquency info from the scanner because of this problem. For DOS users, the
next release of this program will permanently fix this problem, for windows
users, it may still be an issue. Bet bet: Find a nice slow 486 and it runs
like a champ. 486DX75 max.

Also, the installable ISAMs that allow a search from Percon's Spectrum
didn't come over in the install, so if you have VB Professional or better
installed, it runs, if you don't, it won't. Poop.

Updates to Come: Version 3 will be in 2 flavors, DOS and Windows. The DOS
version is recommended for all users who are having problems running the
windows version. I am looking at having a beta available of this in 1-2
weeks. It will control all functions of the scanner, and has some *basic*
database functionality. I'll be looking for input from users as to what
you'd find useful, so now's your chance to tell me what you want.

The Windows version will take a little longer unfortunately. I'm not real
happy with the way VB handles communications routines, and I plan to just
scrap the VB com routines altogether and build a DLL in C ala MDT Monitor to
handle this better. I had prevously posted that I had fixed the darned delay

bug, but further testing has proven that I have not. (ARGH!!!) I may even scrap the windows version altogether and just concentrate on a DOS version, like the author of Probe did. Looking for input on this.

Version 3 will also come in a freeware and a shareware variety. The free version will do all the basic functions that I will incorporate into the Beta, and the registered version will do those and more, including scanning from disk rather than scanner memory, enhanced searching capability and the ability to scan multiple trunked systems and conventional systems simultaneously. I may even be able to add G.E tracking, and on the fly DTMF decode, but I'm not sure yet. Let me know if there's anything else you might want to have as an "add-on".

In summary, I concur with the previous poster's evaluation of PC895 in it's current form - I had no idea just how darn buggy it was. However, the next release will be a drastic improvement over the current version.

-Phil
--
Looking for a program to run your BC895? Check out:
http://www.mghusa.com/scanner/pc895/
Simple to use and the price is right - free.
Suggestions, comments, questions and hatemail welcome,
ziggy7445@yahoo.com

--
Looking for a program to run your BC895? Check out:
http://www.mghusa.com/scanner/pc895/
Simple to use and the price is right - free.
Suggestions, comments, questions and hatemail welcome,
ziggy7445@yahoo.com

432. looking for the right scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfld@usa.net
Message-ID: <76rd9ikhu1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132584

I really don't know much about scanners but i would like to buy one that would do the following:

pickup police, fire, army/military stuff, aircrafts, etc.

also cell phones, cordless phones (900MHz and regular cordlesses).

I've heard that you may have to modify scanners sold in the us in order to do this. I've also heard people talking about modifying scanners to transmit. Is this possible? On which models? Which models will accomplish what i'm looking for and how much should i expect to pay?

Also i was looking at a uniden scanner that said it can track and scan trunks, said it was a 'trunk tracker' what does this mean/do and how important is this feature?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

433. Re: Who knows how to fix my PRO-51?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfld@usa.net
Message-ID: <76redclgs1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132585
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just sand the contacts for the batteries. You shouldn't need to send it in
just becuase you have a little battery corosion on it.

In article <36901FA2.586A3F14@worldnet.att.net>,
"Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>
> Charles Fethe wrote:
>
> > Jon, I had a similar problem to the one Mike mentioned, but in my case I
> > absentmindedly put alkaline batteries in my old Pro51. I saw the acid
> > forming too late, and now I can use the scanner only when it is plugged into
> > a socket. I guess I messed up the battery connection. I was going to take
> > this to Tandy Service Center for repair, but my model is one of the older
> > ones. Are you saying that RS won't repair it if it is the earlier model, or
> > would they refuse it only if I actually made some kind of modification
> > myself?
>
> Tandy says they will return, unrepaired, any scanner which has been modified
> to receive cellular freqs. Other mods are not of concern to them, unless the
> scanner is under warranty. In reality, I don't know if the techs at Tandy
would
> notice the mod(s) or not. What the heck, it's worth a try if you can't get
> anyone
> else to work on it.
>
> Jon
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

434. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: .@pacifier.com
Message-ID: <36910586.9E047D86@pacifier.com>
Source record: 132599

This is incorrect according to what I've recently read on this NG.
This model CAN BE heard on a police scanner. There were a lot
a people pissed off about this.

Richard W. Clement wrote:

> I am getting terrific results from my Panasonic 2.4 GHz/900 MHz DSS cordless
> phone. Security is good because from my understanding the base uses 2.4 GHz
> and the handset uses 900 MHz, so with the 2 bands plus DSS, it is near
> impossible to pick up. I live on a college campus in Downtown Atlanta, and
> can use the phone almost anywhere on my city block!
>
> Hope this helps,
> Richard W. Clement
> KD4UCB
>
> Hanno, DG8JZ <TELCOM-GmbH.HV@t-online.de> wrote in message
> news:368F4A67.E04E1E73@t-online.de...
> >Hey and greetings from Europe..... we have the DECT Digital European
> >Cordless Tech since 2 years. It is 1800 Spead and till now nobody worked
> >it out to get it on a scanner. Of course the scanner people are not
> >happy with it and the cordless phones are so low priced now (around
> >150$). So nearly everybody buy such DECT.
> >We had several systems in the past:
> >CT 49Mhz as US System
> >
> >CT1 860/930 FM narrow, no scrambling, (was a good time!)
> >CT2 900 MHz digital PCM Modulation.... no chance
> >DECT see above
> >
> >Okay, that`s for now.... all best for 1999
> >
> >Hanno, DG8JZ
> >
> >RB schrieb:
> >
> >> What's the best for privacy in a cordless phone? Need to know soon.
> >> E-mail and response to this group welcome.
> >> Ralph Brown
> >> rb@bridgeport.net
> >
> >
> >

435. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: .@pacifier.com
Message-ID: <36910588.BBA90B3B@pacifier.com>
Source record: 132600

This is incorrect according to what I've recently read on this NG.
This model CAN BE heard on a police scanner. There were a lot
a people pissed off about this.

Richard W. Clement wrote:

> I am getting terrific results from my Panasonic 2.4 GHz/900 MHz DSS cordless
> phone. Security is good because from my understanding the base uses 2.4 GHz
> and the handset uses 900 MHz, so with the 2 bands plus DSS, it is near
> impossible to pick up. I live on a college campus in Downtown Atlanta, and
> can use the phone almost anywhere on my city block!
>
> Hope this helps,
> Richard W. Clement
> KD4UCB
>
> Hanno, DG8JZ <TELCOM-GmbH.HV@t-online.de> wrote in message
> news:368F4A67.E04E1E73@t-online.de...
> >Hey and greetings from Europe..... we have the DECT Digital European
> >Cordless Tech since 2 years. It is 1800 Spead and till now nobody worked
> >it out to get it on a scanner. Of course the scanner people are not
> >happy with it and the cordless phones are so low priced now (around
> >150$). So nearly everybody buy such DECT.
> >We had several systems in the past:
> >CT 49Mhz as US System
> >
> >CT1 860/930 FM narrow, no scrambling, (was a good time!)
> >CT2 900 MHz digital PCM Modulation.... no chance
> >DECT see above
> >
> >Okay, that`s for now.... all best for 1999
> >
> >Hanno, DG8JZ
> >
> >RB schrieb:
> >
> >> What's the best for privacy in a cordless phone? Need to know soon.
> >> E-mail and response to this group welcome.
> >> Ralph Brown
> >> rb@bridgeport.net
> >
> >
> >

436. San Antonio Texas fire and ems frequs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: donhark@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76p3tu$ni1$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132601

I have a bc80xlt scanner. I am looking for the fire and ems frequs
for san antonio Texas. I know they use a trunnk system.
I have the frequs, but I don't want the police.
can anyone help me with the order of frequs?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

437. MDT Monitor for Windows, Version 1.0.0 Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdtmon@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <76p5blokd1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital

MDT Monitor is a Windows program that allows you to monitor MDT traffic on systems that use Motorola's MDC-4800 protocol. To use this program you'll need a scanner with a discriminator output, a Windows PC (running Win95, Win98, or WinNT 4.0), and either a sound card or an RM100 decoder.

The program (and source code) are available at
http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646/index.html

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

438. Georgia Scanner Monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <76p0pcpfu1@camel25.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132603

I am looking to add the state of Georgia to the Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems home page. If you have any frequencies, codes, pictures, whatever (that I don't already have), drop me a line. I'll add your info and a link to you.

Thanks

/\/\|k.e
www.mindspring.com/~mm505
mm505@mindspring.com

439. Re: AOR - 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scanteen <Jerryharker@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01be3779$f23ff1c0$e4796420@default>
Source record: 132604

His point is that he has an AOR8000 .

dan baxter <dandeb11@earthlink.net> wrote in article
<76obsg$q6s$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> whats your point?
>
> ALE wrote in message <76o83q$2qi$1@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>...
> >I have a scanner AOR - 8000 .
> >I change software for control my scanner with PC.
> >(no demo version)
> >
> >p.i.mancini@omniway.sm
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

440. Re: MDT monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdtmon@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <76p7pp$qi1$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132605

In article <19990103101644.00117.00006657@ng38.aol.com>,
kegan30317@aol.com (Kegan30317) wrote:
> With my local PD now using MDT in all there cars, what can be done to monitor
> the traffic on my PC?
>

Check out http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646/

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

441. Re: Airline Co. freqs (Spirit Airlines)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrg1std@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103195406.01054.00005941@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 132606

For Spirit Airlines in Atlantic City they use 130.550.

Bill

442. Re: Freq. Offset, Ericsson Trunking system Hillsborough, Fla.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: adwilson@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <369016f2.670466@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132607

cinvest@aol.com (C Invest) wrote:

>Hills is digital....you can not listen

Digital Voice transmissions on the inputs ???

Wow, they sure make it hard on us listeners

Thanks, Alan

443. Re: Who knows how to fix my PRO-51?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36901FA2.586A3F14@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132608
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Charles Fethe wrote:

> Jon, I had a similar problem to the one Mike mentioned, but in my case I
> absentmindedly put alkaline batteries in my old Pro51. I saw the acid
> forming too late, and now I can use the scanner only when it is plugged into
> a socket. I guess I messed up the battery connection. I was going to take
> this to Tandy Service Center for repair, but my model is one of the older
> ones. Are you saying that RS won't repair it if it is the earlier model, or
> would they refuse it only if I actually made some kind of modification
> myself?

Tandy says they will return, unrepaired, any scanner which has been modified
to receive cellular freqs. Other mods are not of concern to them, unless the
scanner is under warranty. In reality, I don't know if the techs at Tandy would
notice the mod(s) or not. What the heck, it's worth a try if you can't get
anyone
else to work on it.

Jon

444. Re: "THE SCANNER DUDE" to Speak in Kissimmee, Florida!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01be3788$13b8a320$5ff3eecd@godzirra>
Source record: 132609

Who???? Wha????????
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

445. Re: Freq. Offset, Ericsson Trunking system Hillsborough, Fla.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990103212615.01156.00007083@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 132610

>cinvest@aol.com (C Invest) wrote:
>
>>Hills is digital....you can not listen
>
>
>Digital Voice transmissions on the inputs ???

Ah, actually they are not using digital modulation, simply analog on a GE/Ericsson trunked system. If you listen to the repeater outputs you can hear them just fine, although the system is a bit weak, and they are often scratchy and broken. The system is so piss poor that they are still simulcasting on 155.010 on the east side of the county.
Of course, there are lots of buzzes, and clicks and dead carriers on the trunk system, all there just to foil us scanner users. And it works, too!
The repeater inputs are 45MHz below the repeater outputs, but you have to be very close to a transmitting mobile unit in order to hear anything on the input frequency.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

446. Re: ICOM SMA antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <19990103221355.26070.00000193@ng43.aol.com>
Source record: 132611

>Comet do one about 2" high..

I use a Comet SMA501 on my Q7A. Expensive thou, $25-30.
Greg

447. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: unclebob@ripco.com
Message-ID: <76pcjr$6kp$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 132612

What's the verdict on the AT&T 9400? It's also 900mz and spread spectrum.

--
* *

Uncle Bob.............unclebob@ripco.com

448. Minitor batteries @ Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Szablowski <aszablowski@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <76pdk4$hsd@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132613

Don't know if I posted this already, but you _can_ purchase "N" size batteries that will work in the Motorola Minitor 1. Only $5.00 for (2) 1.2V, 150mAh Ni-Cd's.
Here's the big secret: take a piece of sandpaper, nothing too course, and lightly sand the flat end(the other has the slightly raised center) to give the solder a better grab. Then, put a dab of solder on and pull away with the soldering iron to create the point. If you put too much solder on, either remove it with a desolderer or simply sand it down a bit until it's at the desired height. Works 100% fine.
--
Regards,
Tony

449. Re: I NEED FREQUENCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36903CE4.1087@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132614

Robert Stephens wrote:
>
> ANYONE KNOW THE GEORGIA FREQUENCES. I NEED . THANKS
>
> 73s Benny, Robby, Linda
> NORTH WEST GEORGIA
>
>
>

Check out the following URLs:

http://www.angelfire.com/ga/pdscanner/index.html
http://www.mindspring.com/~mm505/
http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/ga.html
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/ga.htm
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/ga.html

450. Re: Marine radio vs. talk about radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103231919.01147.00006984@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 132615

>From: QESK41A@prodigy.com (Edmund Burke jr)

>The Motorola Talk-About is not a Radius. The Talk-About is a FMRS
>portable, and as such is limited to 500mW. Depending on the terrain, it
>may not work.

I made a mistake. I wrote "Radius", but I
meant "Distance". The Motorola TalkAbout
Distance and TalkAbout Distance DPS are
both TalkAbout radios with 2 watts UHF.
See them at this URL:
http://www.mot.com/LMPS/RPG/NA/portables/talkabout/talkabout_distance.html
These TalkAbout Distance radios should
fulfill his radio needs: 2 watts, UHF, 5 mile
range.

talkyal
(Motorola has too many names to memorize.)

451. Re: race scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: peglegdxer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103232514.01058.00006002@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 132616

I've never heard of doing an audio mod. on a scanner for races. Maybe I am wrong, but all race freqs. I have heard are on normal uhf fm freqs ?
>need to have an audio modification done to this scanner to be able to hear >properly at the track, is this true?

also you can ffind race freqs. at http://www.angelfire.com/ok/ozzhead/WackyMain.html

PegLegDXer (Emerald Pirate)
I came,
I saw,
I Smoked Sea Weed !!!

452. Re: San Antonio Texas fire and ems frequs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: peglegdxer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103232653.01058.00006003@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 132617

>
>I have a bc80xlt scanner. I am looking for the fire and ems frequs
>for san antonio Texas. I know they use a trunnk system.
>I have the frequs, but I don't want the police.
>can anyone help me with the order of frequs?

Check out http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/

He has an extensive list of freqs. for 49 states and feds.
PegLegDXer (Emerald Pirate)
I came,
I saw,
I Smoked Sea Weed !!!

453. Re: technical details on uniden/tandy scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevcat <kevcat@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <369044FD.BC06E01A@one.net.au>
Source record: 132618
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

remove-me wrote:
>
> .Great!...you can start with the Radio Shack site in the customer support
> secion. They have all the manuals and parts list on line. Good luck...
>
> http://www.radioshack.com/Support/
>

try this site it has links to scanner mod sites even Bill Cheeks
http://www.tbsa.com.au/scanner.html

Kev

454. Re: race scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103233921.01147.00006995@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 132619

>From: "Steve Hell" <smhell@gaffaneys.com>

>I have a Uniden BC235xlt I will be using for scanning at a nascar race.Will
>this be a good scanner to use at the track? Also somebody told me I will
>need to have an audio modification done to this scanner to be able to hear
>properly at the track, is this true? And any hints or tips you can tell me
>about anything to do with scanning at the race track will be greatly
>apreciated. Thanks!

Never been to Michigan International Speedway with my BC235XLT, yet, but I bet
it's just as loud as the Selfridge Michigan Air
National Guard Air Show, with the roar of
full afterburners in vertical climbs.
The BC235XLT has enough audio output to
hear with a pair of good full-sized headphones. I would recommend you wear
them to the track. Also, all the car frequencies
are 460 Mhz, which the BC235XLT can hear.

What you really need, though, is a good NASCAR frequency web page, like:

http://www.wbap.com/freq.html

This will tell you the freq's of all the racers
and their pit crews, then you can tune in to
the car of your choice. Radio Shack has a
new RACE SCANNER, the PRO-74, specially
made for auto racing. You program the car
number and frequency, then when you want
to switch cars quickly, you just punch the car
number into the scanner, and it scans the freq.

talkyal

455. Re: Where is Pager decoding software for 3.1 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: peglegdxer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990103234238.01058.00006009@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 132620

```
>
>I found a site in yahoo with the older version, just type semasoft into
>the search engine or try
>http://195.32.0.47/crypto/etere/pager.htm
>

I could not connect to that site,got a connection refused status
PegLegDXer (Emerald Pirate)
I came,
I saw,
I Smoked Sea Weed !!!
```

456. FS: DX390 w/Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tekbir <wbeachy@erols.com>
Message-ID: <369040FC.1A4C@erols.com>
Source record: 132621
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.shortwave

For Sale: Radio Shack DX-390 AM/FM World-Band Portable Radio with
Owners Manual

Condition: Like New
Price: Best Offer

Reason for Selling: Need to pay Radio Shack Credit Card Bill

Email me with your best offer! I need to pay this bill soon!!!

Regards,
Tekbir
--
'Work, for the night is coming;
work thro' the sunny noon.
Fill ev'ry hour with labour,
rest comes sure and soon.'
Hymn Number 323
Words: Walker, A.Lï¿½ï¿½ Melody: Mason, L

457. Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <76pl9c$576$0@207.18.224.93>
Source record: 132622

As we start into the new year, I just wanted to comment on how nice the newsgroup is lately.

Seems our resident trouble maker has been fairly quiet lately, although he pops in every week or so to make trouble, most people ignore his posts and let him ramble.

I notice in the posts he makes, he still refers to the newsgroup as if it is his, keeps refering people to the newsgroup charter, that he cannot even produce. He even says the newsgroup "salutes" people.

Alas, he is a sick, sick person, and hopefully in 1999 will get the help he so needs.

He still refers to how he "cleaned up the newsgroup" by running off knowledgable people. Another shame.

Maybe it will take complaints to his ISP to get him kicked off another ISP, but he always pops back up on another one. Oh well, maybe he will either grow up, or just finally find out about girls, and leave the adults to discuss scanning.

Now, to get on-topic, is anyone familiar with TRUNK88 software?

458. Re: Can an Antenna be tested for performance?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwwlaw@iname.com
Message-ID: <JrZj2.784$SJ4.1846@news.flash.net>
Source record: 132623

Thanks, unfortunatelym it worked... Great excude for a new...
unit. :)

dick bell <rrb@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Which is it? Do you want a new bear, a new cat or a new duck!

>Sorry, I just couldn't pass that up.

>Stick a piece of #24 AWG wire in your BNC connector. If the
>performance is the same your antenna is just fine and your imagination
>is weak.

>dick

>> Hi All,
>>
>> I am beginning to think that my 9-year old rubber duckie is losing
>> performance. Can a duck be tested, and if so, how?
>>
>> It is on a BC200XLT, used daily.
>>
>> Then, where in Dallas can I buy a new duck?
>>
>> Thanks
>> JW Wilson
>>

459. Re: AR1000 - make offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracey12 <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <eZHMn46N#GA.261@upnetnews03>
Source record: 132624

Ill offer 129.00

MAlexder wrote in message <19990103174548.00847.00007116@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
>>From: "Richard Jensen" <rwjensen@jps.net>
>
>>$125.00
>>rich
>
>I used to own one: I'll offer $75

460. mdt freqs in rockford area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <36906507.33C6805D@owc.net>
Source record: 132625

any mdt freqs in rockford illinois area??
thanks jack

461. NIB Bearcat 860xlt----$100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: GARY B <gary.breunerNS@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01be37af$a6036f40$dca2490c@default>
Source record: 132626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
Bearcat BC 860 XLT
100 channel / Air / 800 mHz / Twin Turbo
X-mas gift-taken out of box to test before selling
5 minutes total op time
BRAND NEW condition
$100 + $5 shipping CONUS

Gary
KB3CGQ
Spokane, WA
--
Please remove the "NS" (no spam) from my e-mail address to respond
```

462. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracey12 <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <OAWNK86N#GA.256@upnetnews03>
Source record: 132627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

John, what a bunch of BS. You were a major contributor to the problems
here.
HOW ON EARTH can you make these statements?
Most of us here were still able to talk about scanners while the disruptors
went about their
business. Probably, the only thing that has slowed down the number of wars
is that
those involved just became tired of the process. But, one day it will
reignite once again.
The participants maybe different, but the effect will be the same.....if you
pay attention to them.
I don't.

John - KAOSSF wrote in message <36903116.1E14@usa.net>...
>With the new year starting & on the eve of the next century, a moment to
>reflect is appropriate.
>
>I remember when I first landed on this NG is July of 1996. I found the
>NG was in a full WAR started sometime prior to my arrivial by the
>actions of a person who had complete disregard for the good of this NG.
>This person claimed to be an "Engineer" & to be "helping" others on this
>NG. In reality he was not an engineer by any legit certifying in his
>current home state or previous home state & did not hold a current
>license for radio communications technical work. This person also
>tended to post articles on this
>NG regarding homosexuality & perverse behavior along with cancelling
>posts of others and attacking usually innocent members of this NG.
>
>Several concerned and responsible members of this newsgroup responded to
>deal with the problem individual. But this proved to be a very stubborn
>problem that would not go away easily. The actions to rid the
>NG of the problem lasted for about 2 years. He attempted to lie or
>cheat his way out of every single matter, & many ill-advised people
>who were easily mis-lead attempted to cover for him or enable his
>behavior.
>
>Sadly, the war or policing action to deal with this person continued for
>a LONG time, (2 years) until late summer 1998. At that time this person
>was
>kicked off of a mailing list for numerous abuse actions on that scanning
>mail list AND had his access to his ISP revoked for abusive actions on
>the internet. BOTH of these happened after he had received
>SEVERAL warnings both in private e-mail and on usenet/mail-lists.

>(the person's ISP had received MANY complaints of his behavior &
>actions)
>
>Those ill-advised people who had been believers in the problem person
>were mostly shocked, as the truth of the problem was now
>apparent & un-avoidable. Most found their supportive actions
>now personally embarrasing.
>
>The result was immediately seen as the situation on this NG improved,
>& it once again became a safe place for technical discussions regarding
>the hobby of radio communications & scanning.
>
>There was an unfortuately windfall of his dis-orientated followers who
>attempted to attack anyone who they felt was responsible in reporting
>the
>abusive actions or simply sticking up for the right of this NG. After a
>few weeks of dealing with these people & addressing THIER behavior, even
>these individuals went away.
>
>NOW WE CAN CONGRATULATE OURSEVES & know that THIS NEWSGROUP is a better
>place for ALL. Now, when one downloads this NG, you see a great
>majority
>of useful & decent posts to explore & share with rather than the spam,
>flaming, & hate of the past. Those who stood up for the betterment of
>this NG & addressing the problems are able to look themselves in the
>mirror & know they did what was RIGHT, regardless of sometimes
>other opinions.
>
>This newsgroup salutes those that stood up in the face of the evil
>menace
>that was attempting to take over this NG, & fought to protect
>and save this NG to preserve it for the future generations.
>
>But even with the generous work that went to support this NG, we realize
>that the person who started the problems here in early 1996 was
>a person who was dis-illusioned & in need of rehabilitation. That
>person
>was off the NG for a few months, but has now returned on a different ISP
>and has not caused anywhere near the problems as he had before. Those
>on this NG may feel better in knowing that they also helped better him
>also.
>

463. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990104024111.03151.00006543@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 132628

>From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>Date: 01-03-1999 22:10 EST
>
>Several concerned and responsible members of this newsgroup responded to
>deal with the problem individual.

True, but John Mackey wasn't one of them. He was as much an instigator of the problems as was Bill Cheek. I'll give him the benefit of the doubt, though, and assume this latest post was a forgery, and that he really wasn't trying to get the shit all stirred up again.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

464. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <36906aa3.3064265@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 132629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Sun, 03 Jan 1999 19:10:14 -0800, John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
wrote while drinking:

>With the new year starting & on the eve of the next century, a moment to
>reflect is appropriate.
>
>I remember when I first landed on this NG is July of 1996.

<massive snip>

Landed is right! After being given the proverbial boot in the ass
from the scanradio echo on Fidonet. Heh, heh, heh! John,
you never cease to amaze me. When are you going to stop
making off-topic and disruptive posts?

465. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <36906e78.4045610@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 132630

On 4 Jan 1999 05:58:04 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:

>As we start into the new year, I just wanted to comment on
>how nice the newsgroup is lately.
>
>Seems our resident trouble maker has been fairly quiet lately,
>although he pops in every week or so to make trouble, most
>people ignore his posts and let him ramble.

<snip>

Blake,

It certainly is regrettable that rec.radio.scanner's resident
miscreant and ne'er-do-well is still causing trouble. Perhaps
we'll get lucky in 1999 and he will overdose on Prozac or
have a dumpster lid fall on his head while feeding.

466. Re: Listening to cordless phone law loophole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Clifton T. Sharp Jr." <clifto@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <36907F6F.518E@megsinet.net>
Source record: 132631

AJMedia wrote:
> I quit listening to cordless phones when I heard our neighbors commenting about
> how they weren't looking forward to dinner at our house the next evening.
> Needless to say, I felt like giving the rib roast a spit bath -- but I held
> back, because we had to eat it too.. The next day, my wife heard the woman
> talking about how bad dinner was.. I wish I'd gone with my first instinct.

Maybe your wife did... :-)

--
Cliff Sharp	All relevant people are pertinent.
WA9PDM	All rude people are impertinent.
	Therefore, no rude people are relevant.
	--Solomon W. Golomb

467. RE: N5XNQ radio decoder interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrongurl@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <of%j2.123$h4.728183@NewsRead.Toronto.iSTAR.net>
Source record: 132632

I thought you might like some input on your new interface.
I threw one to-gether and ran it up. It works well and gives people another option to experiment with.It's nice to see people offer up new ideas.
The max232 is a great chip for generating rs232 levels and I have used it quite alot for the supply voltages it produces as a bonus, to power other devices, you may want to look into Analog Devices version (ADM232) it is pin for pin but only requires 0.1uF charge caps . I'm currently using an ADM242 that also provides shutdown and enable functions that reduce the supply current to 0.1mA I'm running two op-amps off of the ADM242 and the +5 Regulator that powers it runs two microcontrollers with little effort.

Back to your interface... .600 to 2400 baud it performed quite nicely however once I started going above 3200 errors were noticeable. I'm not quite sure why alot of people are looking at the comparator lately unless they are experimenting with 4 level fsk.but unlike youself they don't post any info so we don't get a chance to experiment and give them feedback. There are other options to work 4 level.
The output of a comparator can be erratic especially when the input is close to the trip point, whereas the schmitt trigger is a comparator that seperates the trip point into upper and lower trip points,If you move the trip points farther away from each other using positive feedback the output chatter is reduced.
I use an inverting schmitt trigger that produces one of two outputs depending on Vin. If Vin is above the upper trip point then Vout is +Vsat
if Vin is below the lower trip point then Vout is -Vsat these trip points are spaced equally above and below 0V so the output doesn't change, rejecting noise on Vin. I also us an adjustable buffer/amp on the input so that I can better control the the schmitt depending on which radio I am using.You probably know that discr. levels vary from one radio to another so a fixed interface is only as good as whats on the input.A filter in your circuit would also help some people as IF components vary also.
As soon as I get the time to transfer from paper to computer I will post some circuitry for those who are interested to try.By the way I never mind contributing to those people who want help, but it drives me nuts when some people out there expect all the work and the testing to be handed to them. for example it took your valuable time to post your circuit so you know how much effort is required to come up with an idea.
Even the most basic of questions I don't mind answering if at least I get a hint that the person is sincere.

If you have any thoughts on this please email me
<wrongurl@hotmail.com>.
regards Jerry

468. Re: Wacky Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369086A7.75FD@usa.net>
Source record: 132633

allsafe wrote:

> Did'ja forget the part about him kicking you off his Fidonet conference?

What does that have to do with anything here?

> Isn't
> that where your vendetta started?

I have no vendetta. We are talking about the actions of the person
who caused the problems here starting 3 years ago.

> Forge/Cancel any posts lately Mackey?

No I never have. Have YOU?

469. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36908659.5D72@usa.net>
Source record: 132634

Blake Bowers wrote:

> As we start into the new year, I just wanted to comment on
> how nice the newsgroup is lately.

I totally agree with you on that Blake!

> Seems our resident trouble maker has been fairly quiet lately,
> although he pops in every week or so to make trouble, most

Not sure exactly who you are talking about Blake. But, no problem,
you & Bill have both been pretty quiet lately.

> I notice in the posts he makes, he still refers to the newsgroup
> as if it is his, keeps refering people to the newsgroup charter,

Who referred people to the "newsgroup charter"?

> He still refers to how he "cleaned up the newsgroup" by

Who made reference to "cleaned up the newsgroup"?

> Maybe it will take complaints to his ISP to get him
> kicked off another ISP, but he always pops back

Were the previous complaints to yout ISP successful in the
past, Blake?

470. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36908851.720B@usa.net>
Source record: 132635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

George Vetterle wrote:
> Landed is right! After being given the proverbial boot in the ass
> from the scanradio echo on Fidonet.

Wrong George. There was about a 6 month lapse from when I left
the scanradio echo to when I arrived on this of rec.radio.scanner.

> you never cease to amaze me. When are you going to stop
> making off-topic and disruptive posts?

I never STARTED making the posts you mention above!

471. Usa Scanner DataBase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Widikowski <lpw@cnusa.com>
Message-ID: <_Nuk2.12050$Hy5.2656@news.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 132386

One Stop Shop for all you US Fire/EMS/Police scanner freq's.
If we don't have yours you can add them.

USA SCANNER DATABASE at: www.cnusa.com/scanner

472. Re: race scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <8a9_9901051300@warpgate.com>
Source record: 132387

"H> I have a Uniden BC235xlt I will be using for scanning at a nascar race.Will
"H> this be a good scanner to use at the track? Also somebody told me I will
"H> need to have an audio modification done to this scanner to be able to hear
"H> properly at the track, is this true? And any hints or tips you can tell me
"H> about anything to do with scanning at the race track will be greatly
"H> apreciated. Thanks!

I found the BC235 to have lower sensitivity and Lower Audio out put than many other handhelds. So YEs I think you would need a Booster or need to use a Fill "Professional Style" headset. They even make one specialized for Listeninbg at Automobile Races. It is produced by Racing Electronics, Ph 1-800-272-7111. I have their Model RT-40 and it even comes with the proper sized plug for most scanners. The Real Professional headsets of course come with a standard 1/4" Phone plug.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

473. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with inte

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <8aa_9901051300@warpgate.com>
Source record: 132388

B> From: thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL one!))
B>
B> On Sun, 03 Jan 1999 17:43:15 -0800, John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
B>
B> >As the Gentleman said, GET THE CHIP OFF YOUR SHOULDER! No one asked
B> >you, nor do we need, you trouble making here.
B>
B> Same to you, baiter-boy.

Boy did I have a comeback to that one. But thought better and it best be left
Unsaid! :-)
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

474. Re: Help! Antenna Length Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Ames <ames@jps.net>
Message-ID: <369280C0.96644554@jps.net>
Source record: 132389

Multiply the length by the reciprocal of the frequency ratio. In other words, if the new frequency is half the original one for which the antenna was designed, then double the length for the new frequency.

That is the simple answer. In reality, several other factors enter. For one, the antenna may not really be cut to a length to match the frequency. It may have been tuned by a matching circuit. For another, the lengths you get this way may be impractical. For example, the citizens band is about 30 MHz, which corresponds to an antenna five times as long as one for 150 MHz. Finally, the antennas on hand held radios are a complex combination of the whip and the case. For receiving, it is not absolutely essential to tune the antenna. Just try making it as long as you find convenient, and see how it works.

Good luck, John Ames

475. Re: antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Ames <ames@jps.net>
Message-ID: <369281B1.3400EF59@jps.net>
Source record: 132390

That is a big subject. It depends on whether you are talking about a hand-held radio, or a fixed station. In the later case, it depends on whether you mean ground the circuit so it works properly, or ground it for safety in lightning storms. Pick up a copy of the ARRL antenna handbook. They may also have a web page version.

John Ames

476. Re: Reason for Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: arthur8539@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105163226.26466.00007618@ng136.aol.com>
Source record: 132391

About your 12/29/98 message

I agree with all you say, but there is one problem. Trunking is a great (and maybe less expensive) for LARGE systems. This was NOT a large system. PD had only two frequencies, and they were almost never very active. The old system seemed to work just fine.

477. Re: WTB Relm HS-200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: droch <dc2roch@erols.com>
Message-ID: <36928455.FC53FBF4@erols.com>
Source record: 132392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Go to scannerworld.com they have the relm base and hand held scanner, the base is computer programable.

I am amazed that more people dont want these scanners, it is one of only a few that has dpl and pl in a handheld model

Richard W Cowgill wrote:

> I need another of these nice hand held units. If anyone has one available
> please gimme an e-mail...
>
> thnx!
>
> Rich

478. Radio Shack Pro-62, Any mods available?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John S." <John_R_Schafer@groton.pfizer.com>
Message-ID: <36928568.50AD@groton.pfizer.com>
Source record: 132393

I'm fairly new to scanning and wonder if anyone has modification plans for the Pro-62 so I can pick up the full spectrum (30-960). Any help or advice would be appreciated.

John S.

479. AR8200&IC-R2 Computer Interface Schematics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: fg10@dial.pipex.com
Message-ID: <36928461.494281@news.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 132394
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi All,

Just in case anyone is interested, I have updated my webpage to include the AR8200 interfaces. As always if anybody notices any errors, has any constructive comments or any questions then E-Mail me.

http://dspace.dial.pipex.com/stephen.t/

Regards,

Steve Thibeault.

480. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: philip <dohertyp@tinet.ie>
Message-ID: <76u21dcod1@spock.tinet.ie>
Source record: 132395
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Paul,
The cheapest way is to get a VOX [voice-act-tape-unit]memo type mini recorder,about ?40,using normal tapes,linked by a simple patch lead.

Zook Music wrote in message <915476856.365692@soam.wwnet.net>...
>Does anyone know of an adapter or product that will allow a scanner to
>automatically start and stop a tape recorder. >
>Your help is greatly appreciated!!!!!
>
>Paul Zook
>www.zookmusic.com
>lazpaz@teleweb.net
>
>

481. Re: Bearcat 245- "smarttracker.....yada, yada, yada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105164758.19877.00007817@ng143.aol.com>
Source record: 132396

>From: Eveready@hotmail.com

> The hard part of decoding digital trunked
>systems would appear to be sorting out the IMBE vocoder scheme
>imbedded within the APCO25 protocol. But not necessarily a near
>impossible task.

Is the IMBE vocoder a standard within the
APCO-25 protocol ? That is, once you've
cracked the IMBE vocoder, and produced an
APCO-25 digital scanner, it would work on all
APCO-25 compliant digital systems, if there was no encryption involved, that
is. ???

talkyal

482. Re: Frequencies for Biloxi Mississippi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cisar <eecisar@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <36929101.53A5C33@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 132397

Michael Chacon wrote:

> Anybody have the police frequencies for Biloxi.

155.640 Biloxi PD F-1
155.730 Biloxi PD F-3(car-car chat)
There are others listed for Narcotics but I have never heard anything
but skip on them in 10 years.
Welcome to Biloxi and happy scanning. If you ever need anything in the
way of freqs just ask. I can be fouund on 146.490 and my call is KC5WCP.

Eric

483. Re: AR8200&IC-R2 Computer Interface Schematics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: iain@morfis.u-net.com
Message-ID: <369296f8.681962@news.u-net.com>
Source record: 132398
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 05 Jan 1999 21:48:35 GMT, fg10@dial.pipex.com (S Thibeault)
wrote:

>Just in case anyone is interested, I have updated my webpage to
>include the AR8200 interfaces. As always if anybody notices any
>errors, has any constructive comments or any questions then

For those interested in such interfaces there are several alternatives
for the AR8200 at:

http://www.acars.net/ar8200/start.htm

484. Pro-39 Handheld 200 Channel Programmable Scanner (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: wannarace@usa.net
Message-ID: <3691f4ef.5013173@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132399

Like new, very little usage and easy to modify to get full cellular. I
peronally won't take the risk. You wont find another this clean. In
box with manual. Pictures of scanner, frequencies and features are
attached! Asking $200.00 or best offer
you can reach me immediately if you mail me at
wannarace@usa.net

485. Re: Uniden BC700A, antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jokerswild@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <36929bd9.73282090@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132400

get an outside antennae ...you will hear a huge difference....

On Tue, 05 Jan 1999 01:01:45 GMT, spanky_130@hotmail.com wrote:

>I need to replace the antenna of my Uniden BC700A. Any ideas on types or
>choices? Best place to buy such an antenna? Any ideas on improving
>reception (without building a tower in my back yard)? Should I simply buy the
>replacement from Uniden?
>
>Thanks.
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

NOTICE TO BULK EMAILER(S): Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5,
Subchapter II, 227, any and all unsolicited commercial e-mail sent to
this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount
of $500 US.

486. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mke65@home.net
Message-ID: <36929be9.48061421@news>
Source record: 132401

On Tue, 05 Jan 1999 19:45:23 GMT, ebden@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>In article <3690fc5c.7513822@news>,
>
>> >AJMedia@nospam.com
>> I will make it easy on everyone. If the phone has the dss (digital
>> spread spectrum) either on the phone or on the box then it is secure
>> from being heard by any scanner that I know of. If it says secure
>> phone then it can be heard by any scanner which receives the frequency
>> range of the phone. The phone manufacturers have a very loose version
>> of what they call secure. Again, if the phone does not say dss on it
>> then it aint. Keith
>>
>
>You mean that these dss models will see to it that no scanner anywhere is
>going to pick up my conversations, either from the base or the handset? ebden
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
Yes. I have a sanyo 920 mhz phone and it is dss. I have used my yupi
9000, aor 8200, opto scout and every other means to hear it but to no
avail. If the phone you have does allow analog transmissions then by
all means take it back and get your money refunded. Keith

487. test do not reply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: sceptre9@idt.net
Message-ID: <3692a21e.168438704@news.idt.net>
Source record: 132402

```
testing 1 2 3 testing
```

488. Found Pro-2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@somehost.somedomain
Message-ID: <ytxk2.534$A76.807405@news4.mia>
Source record: 132403

Having tried unsuccessfully to get a OS-456 board for my 2006 and after finding out all the mods that it would require (obvious now why no one wants to sell a used one) I'm not sure that I want to modify my 2006 so I started looking for a 2042 to hook a OS-535 to and tap the discriminator (this is as good as any to tap the discriminator I suppose).

Anyway, the 2042 is getting hard to find but there are still some bargins out there. Grove does not have them, even used (though they had 2 2006's). Unversal has a used one for $270 or so.

I lucked out and got mine new through Radio Shack. Call the 1-800 number and get the number for the regional manager - he can search all the stores in your region (Catalog number for the 2042 is 20-464). They told me that if that didn't they would then give me the district number and do a national search.

Price was right at $230 or so. Just a heads up if anyone else is looking. Probably should have waited and got an Optocom but I kind of wanted to play with building my own discriminator etc.

Jim

489. test do not reply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ros1@ortho.com
Message-ID: <3692a2b1.168585478@news.idt.net>
Source record: 132404

```
testing 1 1 22 33
testing
```

490. Sporty's JD-100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: CLAYTON M DODDS <CMDODDS@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <76u89m$2ir4$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132405

I'm looking for a Sporty's JD-100 Air Band Receiver. It covers both civilian vhf and military uhf only. I would like to know where I could find one and a price. Or any info. on a similar receiver.

Thanks

491. Building Digital Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@somehost.somedomain
Message-ID: <szxk2.538$A76.807405@news4.mia>
Source record: 132406

Just a quick question about those that tap their own discriminator and built the digital interface: Did you put the capacitor in series off the dicriminator like Bill Cheek recommends? If so did you still put the capacitor on the input of the digital interface? My question is that these seem redundent - I can see the need for a decoupling capacitor (would that be the right term?) but it seems adding two in series might cause problems especially since the range for that capacitor is anywhere from 0.1 to 10uF depending upon which diagram your looking at.

Thanks,

Jim

492. My Bad Experience with Left Coast Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ozzy <zwmaupin@olywa.net>
Message-ID: <X3yk2.6203$hf.1989@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 132407

This is my bad experience with Left Coast Radio. Add all the disclaimers here.... Anyway you want.... This is my experience.

I was e-shopping online for an amplifier. After visiting many sites I decided to buy from Left Coast Radio. I thought they had more of a selection than other sites. I decided to buy their biggest and best mobile amplifier...the Messenger M4V Turbo. I considered the flag ship model on their web site...also the most expensive. I also purchased the PC-75. A 75-amp power supply weighing in at 10lbs! And also the most expensive power supply they offered. The Coaxkid also recommended this in their web site. They got one for their bench. I was excited to get my new hardware. After about 2 weeks I received the merchandise. When the box arrived via UPS it was in pristine condition. I was glad because I knew what was inside. I thanked UPS. Inside the box was packing peanuts and 2 other boxes, both in excellent shape. When opening the first box I noticed it was the power supply. I saw non-of the original packaging for this power supply in the box. This wasn't even the right box for the power supply. Paper was used to secure the power supply in the box. There also was no documentation for the power supply. The power supply was used. It had deep scratches along the side and bent cooling fins. Also the power leads were caved in. Like some one had dropped it severely.

I called Left Coast Radio about this condition. Their first response was that it must have been damaged in shipping and that a damaged goods shipping claim with UPS was to be filed. I explained that the box shipped from them via UPS was in pristine condition and asked them if they were sure they wanted to handle it like this. They said yes, but they would get back to me. About 20 minutes passes. Left Coast Radio calls me. They are now explaining to me that the power supplies has a "rugged look" to them and that s the way they come from Cascade Audio. Nothing they can control. A rugged look? I asked. I had never heard this term used in the electronic industry. (this was on speaker phone my friend was blown away) They also gave me a name and number to contact Cascade Audio. I did. Yes indeed...they used the same "rugged look" terminology, but assured me that packaging and documentation should have been included. Cascade Audio also explained the supplies might have some minor inclusions or scratches but not exhibit the damage I saw with the one I got. They also include the full warranty. I never saw one.

The Messenger M4V Turbo also had problems. The receive on the amp didn't work. Also when the amplifier was used it would leak RF. It had a square piece of sheet metal for a cover. The sheet metal had gaps around the front and back. When the gaps were sealed the amp worked fine. With the gaps in the cover the amplifier worked with about 50% output.

I sent the order back to Left Coast Radio and emailed them with my complaints. I received response saying they would look into it. I waited about 3 more weeks for response before emails back and forth about what kind of power supply do I want? By now I think this is getting really stupid. Finally 5 weeks pass...I get a power supply and an amplifier.... It was an Astron RS-70M. Not quite the power supply I ordered. Also cheaper. I didn't get reimbursed for the money between the difference. It's unknown what happened to the difference. The amp had black tape to seal the gaps between the sheet metal and chassis. Also the receive amp still doesn't work. I have sent the amp back again. I received confirmation the amp arrived at their location and they would look at it via email. This was about 4 weeks ago. I called via telephone to Left Coast Radio to ask status of my order. No one at Left Coast Radio appears to know about my order. I assured them I had all email communications with them with confirmation they received my order back. As of yet I'm still dealing with them over my order. I don't have my amp or my money back. This has actually cost me additional money in shipping charges sending stuff back. Charges which Left Coast Radio also places on orders from them.

When I call their telephone, the phone is busy all the time. Is business this good? Now it is the busy signal on the phone. It used to be message screening. If you are spending money with them...they'll pickup during the message. And are they friendly!

This is my bad experience with Left Coast Radio. Add all the disclaimers here....anyway you want....this is my experience.

493. Findlay, Oh fleetmap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Straley <kb8gnf@orwell.net>
Message-ID: <3692AF08.63FC067B@orwell.net>
Source record: 132408

Anyone have the fleetmap, talkgroup info for the Findlay, Ohio trunk system?

Thanks,

Bob

--
Pursuant to 47USC227, any unsolicited commercial e-mail sent after 72 hours from the time of this reply will be subject to the following fees: 1. Each message will be subject to a $500 (five hundred dollar) downloading and archiving fee. Terms are NET 10 days from date of downloading, 18% APR after 10 days. 2. Each message older than 30 days will be charge $1 (one dollar) per day for each kilobyte of disk space used until the downloading and archiving fee is paid. 3. Each message will be erased when all fees are paid.

494. freware ICR2 clone software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <76ub3c$l4r$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 132409

The freeware icr2 cloning software at:

http://home.t-online.de/home/Ingra-Beton/

appears to have died 1/1/99. Anyone have any info on this? [I have sent mail to the author -- Goran Vlaski -- but there has been no activity by him since 11/22 when he announced the availability of this software.

Anyone know anything, please post.

Thanks! Clay

495. Re: Optocom or PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ADSL_256K <God@theuniverse.org>
Message-ID: <3692b1b4.0@news2.uswest.net>
Source record: 132410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

you can get the PCR1000 with DCS

James A. Schnoor <schnoor@wavetech.net> wrote in message
news:76k6j7$2ru$1@news3.mr.net...
I think the Optocom has the following features that the PCR1000 does not
have:

1) DCS/DPL decode
2) Trunk-following capabilty
3) Faster scan speed

496. Re: Where get info on DECT/WDCT for USA? (was Re: Not Secure: Panasonic 2.4GHz GigaRange cordless phones_

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Klein <klein@chromatic.com>
Message-ID: <ML-3.4.915586078.5736.klein@napali>
Source record: 132411
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 22-Dec-98 at 03:35, Gary Gapinski (glg@apk.net) wrote:
> Hello, Mike:
>
> Check out http://www.siemenscordless.com/cordless/cshop.html as well as
> the press releases at that site.
>
> Regards,
>
> Gary
>
> klein@chromatic.com wrote:
> <snip>
> > Where would I find availability and pricing info?

It just so turns out that the local Fry's Electronics carries the Siemens Gigaset 2420! No discount, but there was no significant discount at any mail order or online places either.

I bought the 2420 and an extra handset (total of 2) and they are excellent quality. Sound quality is way superior to the Costco specials we've been buying, and materials and build quality seems much better as well. Small handset unit, very light.

-Mike

497. Re: RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: sttrooper@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <76uetabmq1@camel19.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I received one for Christmas and just love it. No problems what so ever.

Davis

Glenn J. Torres <radioman@gulfsouth.verio.net> wrote in message
news:01be384e$942c7a60$ce58cccf@default...
>Hello,
>How are the RS Trunktracker scanners?Pros or cons?
>Thanks,
>Glenn

498. HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: MARC A MARTIS <MACHONE69@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <76uflm$d6kg$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132413

looking to change on line service from prodigy to ??????
any help would be appreciated.
thanks marc

499. Help with Fairfax VA and VA State Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrbreeze <mrbreeze@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <3692C219.E61FB243@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 132414

Any help on these frequencies would be appreciated. I just purchased a Pro 90 and the Police Call manual. I endtered the frequencies listed as Trunked but receive transmissions only in scan, non-trunked mode? Any ideas?

Please email a response.

Thanks,

John

500. Park Ridge, IL PD and FD Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul and Cherity Machnick <CPMachnick@ync.net>
Message-ID: <3692C397.5E8572C@ync.net>
Source record: 132415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Would you please send me Park Ridge, IL. PD and FD Freqs.
Thanks,
Paul
CPMachnick@ync.net

501. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe K7JOE <k7joe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3692D42E.AB491202@yahoo.com>
Source record: 132416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Only time dealing with THE HAM STATION was as a college student and president of the Indiana University - Bloomington ham radio club. We wanted to get a new HF rig, and after 4 years of fund raising and dues collecting, we had enough to buy an Icom IC-735. He met me at a local hamfest and gave me the rig -- no money changed hands, no invoice, no bill. Just handed it to me and we "shook" on the deal. I promised the university would send him a check from our student organization account.

The university later -- much later -- sent him payment for the rig (from our student account). He never complained once about the red tape in getting his money 6 months late!

That's the only place I buy gear now. Been a loyal customer since 1987 or so.

Joe K7JOE

Matt Gilbert wrote:
>
> I too have nothing but good things to say about the ham station.
>
> I drove there to try out a used amp, we sat there in the floor,
> powered it up, and couldn't get it to tune up or peak more than
> 700watts. Now, Dan had to get out a rig, a PS, the cables and
> a dummy load to do all this. We dinked with this thing for about
> an hour, he even called the owner to see if there was something
> we were missing. Now that's service.
>
> Needless to say, I walked out of there with an amp, not that one
> but one that worked easy enough for this moron to handle.
>
> The thing I liked the most about it was when I walked in there,
> it felt like I had just walked into a hamfest (and a very disorganized
> one at that). The place is just great.
>
> Great store, great service.
>
> Matt
> K8KDR

502. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n7wpd@xxmission.com>
Message-ID: <76un80$7j7$1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 132417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That's nice to know, but I have sent them several emails inquiring about
equipment, and I have yet to hear back from them. It's been over a week
now.
I guess they don't like to return email.

Dan / N7WPD

Joe K7JOE <k7joe@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3692D42E.AB491202@yahoo.com...
>Only time dealing with THE HAM STATION was as a college student and
>president of the Indiana University - Bloomington ham radio club. We
>wanted to get a new HF rig, and after 4 years of fund raising and
>dues collecting, we had enough to buy an Icom IC-735. He met me at
>a local hamfest and gave me the rig -- no money changed hands,
>no invoice, no bill. Just handed it to me and we "shook" on the deal.
>I promised the university would send him a check from our student
>organization account.
>
>The university later -- much later -- sent him payment for the rig (from
>our student account). He never complained once about the red tape in
>getting his money 6 months late!
>
>That's the only place I buy gear now. Been a loyal customer
>since 1987 or so.
>
>Joe K7JOE
>
>
>Matt Gilbert wrote:
>>
>> I too have nothing but good things to say about the ham station.
>>
>> I drove there to try out a used amp, we sat there in the floor,
>> powered it up, and couldn't get it to tune up or peak more than
>> 700watts. Now, Dan had to get out a rig, a PS, the cables and
>> a dummy load to do all this. We dinked with this thing for about
>> an hour, he even called the owner to see if there was something
>> we were missing. Now that's service.
>>
>> Needless to say, I walked out of there with an amp, not that one
>> but one that worked easy enough for this moron to handle.
>>
>> The thing I liked the most about it was when I walked in there,
>> it felt like I had just walked into a hamfest (and a very disorganized

>> one at that). The place is just great.
>>
>> Great store, great service.
>>
>> Matt
>> K8KDR

503. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Maxell <"scottm[nospam]"@midmaine.com>
Message-ID: <3692E345.3759BC82@midmaine.com>
Source record: 132418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What a surprise. New Year, and two equally feeble posts -
deliberately designed to antagonize the other person involved.
Why do either of you feel the need to continue this juvenile
vendetta? You both know that your posts were not benevolent in
nature. Is this all you have to do is continue this flame war on
the newsgroup? Why don't we please stay on topic and keep away
from the personal attacks in this new year??? This post is not
specifically directed at either person. It was posted as a reply
to Blake arbitrarily....

---just my $0.02 worth
---scott
scottm@midmaine.com

Blake Bowers wrote:
>
> As we start into the new year, I just wanted to comment on
> how nice the newsgroup is lately.
>
> Seems our resident trouble maker has been fairly quiet lately,
> although he pops in every week or so to make trouble, most
> people ignore his posts and let him ramble.

504. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cathy De Viney <cdeviney@ipa.net>
Message-ID: <76uols$l0u$1@news.ipa.net>
Source record: 132419
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

RecAll is very good. It is software that runs on you PC (save a WAV file on you HD); using a cable from your scanner to the line-in on your sound card....voice activated, time/date stamp...I think you can try it for 14 days free, then you need to register for $14.95, if I correctly RecAll (pun intended :-)

http://www.sagebrush.com/~sells/recall.htm

CATHY

Zook Music wrote in message <915476856.365692@soam.wwnet.net>...
>Does anyone know of an adapter or product that will allow a scanner to
>automatically start and stop a tape recorder. My Bearcat 8500xlt has it
>built in but my 800xlt, Kenwood R-5000, and Icom R-100 do not. I know Grove
>has an amplified speaker (SP-200B) that will accomplish this, but I really
>dont need a speaker nor the price tag of $200.00.
>
>Your help is greatly appreciated!!!!!
>
>Paul Zook
>www.zookmusic.com
>lazpaz@teleweb.net
>
>

505. FS: AOR AR5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobhome@postoffice.pacbell.net
Message-ID: <3692E970.9FCBAC03@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Source record: 132420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

For sale: AOR AR5000 in mint condition - no blocks
$1600(firm price, no trades) + shipping

AR5000 (not +3 model) including AOR 100-120V AC supply,
manual and original box. This receiver is in truly mint condition.

Payment by postal money order, or by personal check with a delay
for the check to clear. Buyer pays shipping from Northern California
by the method of their choice.

Take a look at the following sites for more details on the AR5000.

UK AR5000 page. Good overview of AR5000 features, also numerous
exterior and interior photos and a listing of the additional
capabilities you
would get with the AR5000+3. (Note that both the AR5000 and
AR5000+3 have switched EPROM banks for 2000 memory channels.):
http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/ar5000.htm

For many details you won't find in the manuals!:
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/9163/arc.html

Please - serious inquiries only. Many thanks,
Bob

506. fs : icom r2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ibm <maclean02@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <76ulnl$2vt$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 132421
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

$175

507. VHFSKIP 29-50MHz+ E-mail reflector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: pacmonitor@geocities.com
Message-ID: <E7Ck2.13532$n4.102924247@WReNphoon2>
Source record: 132422

Hi All,

For those of you who are interested, there's now a
E-mail reflector for VHF DXing. VHFSKIP 29-50MHz+
covers VHF DXing Utility (non-broadcast) stations
primarily in the 29-88MHz range Worldwide.

To Subscribe:
http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/vhfskip

View archives
http://www.onelist.com/archives.cgi/vhfskip

Pacmonitor

*** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ***

508. Re: Antenna choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: clarkm@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <clarkm-0501992332210001@eagle.martin.home>
Source record: 132423

In article <slrn790jaq.nk1.aa126@fan1.fan.nb.ca>, aa126@fan.nb.ca wrote:

>I have been evaluating various antennas for use with my scanner and 2m
>ham radio. At first, I was very interested in the RS 20-043 discone or
>other discones as they have broad reception and are usable on the 2m band.
>However, after some probing, it turns out these do not have gain.
>
>...
>
>To that end, I noticed the RS 20-006 center loaded telescopic whip antenna
>for cheap. Does anybody have comments on the performance of this antenna?
>Would it be a far cry better than a plain rubber duckie perhaps?

I have one of these. It definetly outperfoms the ruber duckie that came
with my Uniden. I can pick up railroad signals (160MHz) from across San
Francisco Bay (say 20 miles). The rubber duckie hears nothing. I still
use the rubber duckie when handheld.

--
Clark Martin
Redwood City, CA, USA
Macintosh / Internet Consulting
cmartin@pacbell.net

"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

509. Re: Help! Antenna Length Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: clarkm@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <clarkm-0501992336560001@eagle.martin.home>
Source record: 132424

In article <36918FC8.6F74D09B@lor.net>, Skyler <Skyler@lor.net> wrote:

>Marc,
>No problem. You use a math formula to calculate the length of the
>antenna to "resonate" at the frequency you want. This is much more
>critical for transmitting than it is for receiving, but sometimes you
>just need (or want) to have an antenna cut to a particular frequency!
>Here's the formula and the variables:
>"n" divided by your frequency equals the antenna length in feet.
...
>
>
>
>Marc Abrams wrote:
>
>> I've got a question regarding antenna length. I'm currently using a
>> telescoping whip antenna optimized for Rail frequencies (160-162). Is
>> there a simple formula that will tell me the length I should be using for
>> other frequencies (like the CB bands)?

If your antenna is like my Radio Shack telescoping whip antenna, it has a
loading coil in the middle. This will increase the effective length of
the antenna fo the above calculations probably won't work. My antenna
included a simplistic table to select sections to use for various
frequencies. I've had good results with the antenna at maximum for
listening to the railroads.

--
Clark Martin
Redwood City, CA, USA
Macintosh / Internet Consulting
cmartin@pacbell.net

"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

510. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: D & K <not@thisaddress.com>
Message-ID: <csEk2.492$Bo4.5695@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 132425

I've had the 9400 for about a month now and I like the range and the voice quality, though there is a slight echo in the handset. I tried to pick it up with my AR8200 and got nothing, seems secure to me.....D

Uncle Bob wrote in message <76pcjr$6kp$1@gail.ripco.com>...
>What's the verdict on the AT&T 9400? It's also 900mz and spread spectrum.

511. Re: STATEN Isl. NYC EMS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: lifeguard12@erols.com
Message-ID: <3691CB6A.D9D344B7@erols.com>
Source record: 132436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mike...Sorry, I don't know the EMS frequencies for SI. I just recently moved to SI a/k/a the rock and have been listening to my scanner alot because I'm bored out of my mind!!!!!

However, I was wondering if you could help me out. Do you know of any active frequencies for the SI Teleport????

Just wondering. Any help would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks, Steve

X wrote:

> Hey Everybody.
> I am looking for Staten Island's , NY EMS freqs.
> What happend to the old 800 freq, that was shared with South Brooklyn ?
> Have they gone Trunked ?
>
> Any information is greatly apreciated .
> Thank You in advance
>
> Mike
>
> fillip@banet.net

512. John gives himself a "high-five"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: wgewald@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <76sd34$f1h$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In article <36903116.1E14@usa.net>,
jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> With the new year starting & on the eve of the next century, a moment to
> reflect is appropriate.
>
> I remember when I first landed on this NG is July of 1996. I found the
> NG was in a full WAR started sometime prior to my arrivial by the
> actions of a person who had complete disregard for the good of this NG.
> This person claimed to be an "Engineer" & to be "helping" others on this
> NG. In reality he was not an engineer by any legit certifying in his
> current home state or previous home state & did not hold a current
> license for radio communications technical work...

And so on...

That's not reflection, it's a little victory dance by a banty rooster,
better posted to your friends rather than the scanner groups..

JH

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

513. Bearcat BC 230 XLT Owners Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: RB <rb@bridgeport.net>
Message-ID: <01be386e$acf2bda0$1f8166ce@rbrown.TAX>
Source record: 132438
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have any ideas where I can obtain an owners manual for the Uniden Bearcat BC 230 XLT scanner? Any help is appreciated.
Ralph Brown

514. pro-2032 800mhz modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Savage <savage@magma.ca>
Message-ID: <3691B61D.441F0960@magma.ca>
Source record: 132439

Does anyone have mods for this scanner? And or the schematics for it?

515. Re: HOW is posible????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: HBK
Message-ID: <3691af88.5109398@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 132440

On 4 Jan 1999 18:15:51 GMT, scape3000@aol.com (Scape3000) wrote:

>Hi I got me a cellular for my birthday an I'm worry about people that get the
>line or the number of cellulars how they do it an how can I detect them, wher
>do they get the things or instruments to get the numbers of cellular
>is there a way to stop that
>please help whit these
>
>one of my friends got a bill of $600.00 Dollars he is not on the phone all the
>time
>Thank you.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>Rage is a gift.
><<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

that you, Emilio?

516. Re: Ace Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny <Danny@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <3691C433.5844@webdeals.net>
Source record: 132441

Aaron wrote:
>
> Whatever happened to them to put them out of business is good news. I had a
> very bad experience with them trying to get them to service a scanner still
> under warranty.
> Dan wrote in message <36903047.0@news.oaktree.net>...
> |
> |
> |>Three years ago I purchased a Bearcat scanner from Ace Communications in
> |>Indiana. Is this company still in Indiana? Are they now the
> |>"Cordless-Guys" on the Web?
> |
> |Don't know about the "Cordless-Guys"....but I live in Indy and bought an
> |AOR-2500 from them a few years ago and my cousin bought a 210XLT from them
> |also. They were notorious locally for being a place to go to get a scanner
> |that would receive cellular, even after the law was passed. My cousin went
> |back up there one day to get an extra battery pack for his 210 and the
> doors
> |to the place were chained shut with some sort of Federal notice on the
> |window. We're not sure exactly what the reason was..there are several
> |stories floating around. For a long time, they owned the back cover to Pop
> |Comm, and did a huge amount of mail order business. I kinda miss the
> |place...there were some tasty looking women working there!

DITTO:

I bought a Bran new AOR-2500 about 5 years ago and had it shipped
overnight.....It got here a day late and it wouldn't even come on! They
would not refund me the overnight and I had to pay to ship it
back.....the second one came a week later I had problems with it too.
I'm glad they are out of business and I hope the crooks are in jail!

--
http://www.webdeals.net
Web page design & hosting for $10 a month!
Made for small businesses in the USA.
Advertise your business on the web for 33 cents a day.

517. Re: HOW is posible????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "anon." <anonymous@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <76sge0$7lb@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132442

Quote-----
"When you took learned English"

Say what?? Perhaps you should not ridicule his english before checking your own.

John
Scott Maxell <"scottm[nospam]"@midmaine.com> wrote in message news:369189E8.8BDB502F@midmaine.com...
> When you took learned English, did they tell you about run - on
>sentences and punctuation?? Personally, I rely on these things to
>help make sense of what I am reading... (AND also has a D. AN is
>a totally different word)
>
>---scott
>
>Scape3000 wrote:
>>
>> Hi I got me a cellular for my birthday an I'm worry about people that get the
>> line or the number of cellulars how they do it an how can I detect them, wher
>> do they get the things or instruments to get the numbers of cellular
>> is there a way to stop that
>> please help whit these
>>
>> one of my friends got a bill of $600.00 Dollars he is not on the phone all the
>> time
>> Thank you.
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> Rage is a gift.
>> <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

518. Re: Adress to Lat/Lon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Les Nagy <lnagy@nas.net>
Message-ID: <3691CCC1.96D9DF45@nas.net>
Source record: 132443

Goto http://www.mapblast.com and try it. Worked well for me.
--
++
Les Nagy: President | mailto:lnagy@netaccess.on.ca
|
Aldebaran Technological | Phone: (905) 388-1011
Services Inc. | Fax: (905) 388-9028
http://www.nas.net/~lnagy

519. WTB Relm HS-200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard W Cowgill <CHEEZTOAST@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01be3817$37c1bec0$16609cd1@default>
Source record: 132444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I need another of these nice hand held units. If anyone has one available please gimme an e-mail...

thnx!

Rich

520. Re: City to pass ordinance to fight CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bryan.Foust" <Bryan.Foust@gte.com>
Message-ID: <3691DA41.A26565B9@gte.com>
Source record: 132445
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

kc4wwu@eagnet.com wrote:
>
> On Sat, 28 Nov 1998 14:11:12 -0600, Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net> wrote:
>
> >Larry wrote:
> >>
> >> Why are we soo concerned about this new law?
> >> If it will help clean up cb, isn't a good thing?
> >> Larry
> >
> >NO!!!!!!!And who ever said cb needed cleaning in the first place? MK
>
> Yes !!!!!!!!!! C.B. Sucks !
CB dies need to be cleaned up. This is why mine resides quietly away in
the closet.

Bryan

521. Using Radio Shack cell phone antennas for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3691c9d1.38902472@news.erols.com>
Source record: 132446

I've seen a lot said about using cell phone antennas to pick up 800mhz frequencies better on your scanner, there are a couple in Radio Shack's catalog but I don't know which one to get. I was wondering if anyone could tell me which was the best of the antennas listed in it for 800mhz listening. The mag mount antenna, the glass mount antenna, the adjustable antenna which pivots 45 to 90 degrees, or the encapsulated glass mount antenna? I'm not gonna use any of these on a car but I think you can still use them either way. All of these antennas have a 3db gain except for the encapsulated one which has a 5db gain, although I don't know what difference that would make.

522. Re: Using Radio Shack cell phone antennas for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: fivex5ive@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105044124.02219.00007480@ng28.aol.com>
Source record: 132447

I am looking for the same antenna I to posted a message in the other newsgroup.If I find it before you I will post it here or you do the same.If I remember right the cell phone antenna has a BNC connector for it ,which one is the question,and which antenna.

523. Re: antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: fivex5ive@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105044826.02219.00007484@ng28.aol.com>
Source record: 132448

Could you tell me how you ground your antenna. Fivex5ive@aol.com

524. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: leftcoast@thegrid.net
Message-ID: <3691f027.47768451@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 132449
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 05 Jan 1999 04:05:10 GMT, "Giff" <kmgifford@ucdavis.edu>
wrote:

>Finally someone with a clue!
>
> Co. of America v United States) decided that Nikola Tesla was
>> the inventor of radio.
>> --
>> jlforbes@eskimo.com
>
Tesla is God!
CoaxKid

525. Re: Antenna 800mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3693f136.48988517@news.erols.com>
Source record: 132450
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 4 Jan 1999 07:40:20 GMT, fivex5ive@aol.com (Fivex5ive) wrote:

> I read somewhere that RS had an cell phone antenna that you could put on your
>scanner by using a BNC connector for that particular cell antenna.Dose anyone
>know the part numbers for them (antenna and the BNC) Thank you COUNT

I think most cell phone antennas(or at least the ones at Radio Shack)
come with TNC connectors, so you need a TNC to BNC adapter so you can
use those antennas on your scanner. If you have the 1999 Radio Shack
catalog, then the adapter is on page 162, catalog no. 278-144 , it
only costs $3.99 . You have your choice of several cellular antennas
on page 49.

526. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <3691efde.7453377@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 132451

On Mon, 04 Jan 1999 10:24:53 -0800, Stephen Waits
<swaits@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>
>Add a FLASH ROM to your next TrunkTracker scanner. Include a serial
>cable as well so updating ROM's is easier. You'll be amazed at how many
>happy customers you end up with!
>
>I wouldn't mind paying extra money for this!
>
>--Steve

And PLEASE get rid of that stupid, overpriced, proprietary piece of
junk battery pack (that is already starting to show signs of going bad
after only 1-1/2 years of use AND proper care!). I can go to Target
and buy 4 rechargeable AA's for $8.99 plus tax. No shipping, no
waiting, and if one cell goes bad, I just replace it, not all 4
batteries. I will never buy another Uniden or Radio Shack handheld
that uses proprietary battery packs. When the BC245XLT comes out, I
will wait until an equivalent unit is available with 4 AA capability.
Meanwhile, I will continue to buy Uniden bases since I have had no
problems with my BC895XLT or Pro-2036, which is really a BC890XLT.
(I'm getting my second BC895XLT in a couple days).

527. Icom R-10 & 800 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4vj <k4vj@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <76su2bafk1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 132452

Does anyone know if there is a way to restore full 800 Mhz to the Icom R10?
Thanks, Mike K4VJ
k4vj@mpinet.net

528. state agencies added to Pinellas County system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Callanan <kd4yoq@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3692A8DF.92CA0BFB@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 132453

The Florida highway patrol, game and fish commission, and marine patrol are now using the Pinellas County trunked system for regular operations in Pinellas county. Also, the system is being upgraded to full type 2.

529. New Orleans frequency info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Callanan <kd4yoq@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3692AA5A.7941C61A@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 132454

for anyone visiting the New orleans area, fire dispatch can be heard on 866.0375 simplex. This simplex channel is used rather than placing EDACS radios in all 42 fire stations. The 8th and 1st district police channel (Bourbon st) can be heard on UHF similcast on 460.025. The entire city public safety is on 800 Mhz EDACS but these 2 freq's can help out alot.

530. Re: Adress to Lat/Lon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: saxapahaw@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76t5i6$2lb$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132455

Appreciate that URL, Les. I'm always looking for sites like that!
�axa

```
In article <3691CCC1.96D9DF45@nas.net>,
Les Nagy <lnagy@nas.net> wrote:
> Goto http://www.mapblast.com and try it. Worked well for me.
> --
> ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
> Les Nagy: President | mailto:lnagy@netaccess.on.ca
> |
> Aldebaran Technological | Phone: (905) 388-1011
> Services Inc. | Fax: (905) 388-9028
> http://www.nas.net/~lnagy
>

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
```

531. Re: RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <36920AC8.D1831615@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 132456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn J. Torres wrote:
> How are the RS Trunktracker scanners?Pros or cons?

Stop by my website for reviews of both Uniden models
and all four Radio Shack models!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

532. Re: radioshack question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <36920DDB.F2F3633E@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 132457

SHAWN wrote:
> what is radioshacks equal to a uniden bc 895xlt trunktracker?

Radio Shack only has one base Trunker radio...the PRO-2050.
It is a base version of the BC235XLT/PRO-90 and NOTHING like
the BC895XLT.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

533. Re: Upgrade Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <36920EE3.CF241C4B@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 132458

Dick Larsen wrote:
> I currently have a three year old Uniden BC890XLT. I am thinking about
> upgrading to a newer, higher quality scanner. I would be interested in
> hearing from people who have moved up from the BC890XLT, what they
> chose for a replacement and why.

Your best choice would probably be to go with the BC895XLT. Even
if you aren't into the TrunkTracking. GREAT value for the money
as it has 300 channels, rotary control, S-meter, CTCSS decode
and squelch control (stock), weather alert and can be computer
controlled. All this for just over $200!

It won't be as sensitive as your 895 but it will also only
have about 1/100 the amount of interference.

Stop by my website to read more about it.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

534. Re: New Orleans frequency info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <C7D330A3DB6C1E29.B261BCB0D1262CCC.E0FDC5398E54EB49@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 132459

On Tue, 05 Jan 1999 12:09:47 GMT, Michael Callanan
<kd4yoq@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>for anyone visiting the New orleans area, fire dispatch can be heard on
>866.0375 simplex. This simplex channel is used rather than placing EDACS
>radios in all 42 fire stations. The 8th and 1st district police channel
>(Bourbon st) can be heard on UHF similcast on 460.025. The entire city
>public safety is on 800 Mhz EDACS but these 2 freq's can help out alot.

Also see my Louisiana Trunking page for detailed EDACS system
information for the New Orleans system:

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/la.htm

Any additions or corrections are welcome!

-Lb

Lindsay C. Blanton
lblant1@airmail.net
See the Southeast US Trunked Radio Information Homepage!
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm
---

535. any uk scanners about

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: lozsing@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105091005.06562.00007511@ng08.aol.com>
Source record: 132460

intrested in hearing fron any uk people intrested in scanning

536. PRO-24 Mods.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Follmar <ronfol@hilconet.com>
Message-ID: <3692165C.FFCA2DA4@hilconet.com>
Source record: 132461

Anyone have mods for the PRO-24 radio shack?
Thanks, Ron

537. Find any US frequency!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105101259.19530.00006360@ng106.aol.com>
Source record: 132462

with just minimal information on any licensee with the FCC, you can find their frequency. http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

538. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Lovell <electra@tcon.net>
Message-ID: <36924fbf.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 132463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello test check 1 2 3 4 5

please ignore this post

verifying comm send/receive email/news

Season's Greetings and have a Prosperous 1999!

ELECTRA CORPORATION - David A. Lovell electra@tcon.net

11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229 (317) 894-3229

539. Re: RT Systems IC-Q7A Clone Software failure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tross@clara.net
Message-ID: <76tflh$c3r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
In article <76iggo$l8b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
tross@clara.net wrote:
> In article <36819F32.E0471212@ibm.net>,
> birck@ibm.net wrote:
> > Sorry It's RT Systems.
> >
> > Ted Birckhead wrote:
> >
> > > I note that IT Systems now has their cloning software available for I
> > > beleive $39.95. The
> > > price includes an adapter cable.
> > >
> > > If anyone has used this software, I would appeciate comments as to bugs
> > > etc.
> > >
> > > Thanks-
> > > Ted--
>
> RT software. failed to down load from the pc to the radio. uplaoded ok. The
> software from www.hamradio.cz worked perfect both ways using RT's cable. No
> response to email sent to RT over two weeks ago. My radio is an q7e and no
> receives from 0- 1900mhz.
>

works when slowed bits per sec slowed down in the port setting. Still no
response from RT.
> >
> >
>
> ------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
```

540. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ice cream man <pinhead_16@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <lkqk2.1389$Mp1.612@news3.ispnews.com>
Source record: 132465
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

tesla was a man that was way ahead of his time. I wish I had privy to all of
his notes. I don't know if I would understand them, but it would prove to be
interesting reading. There has been a bit of subtrafuge involved with his
notes. The United States government refuses to release some of his notes.
Even their own scientist are baffled about some of his ideas. A most
interesting man.....
Giff wrote in message <01be3860$bd03a0a0$1d218ed1@windowsu>...
>Finally someone with a clue!
>
> Co. of America v United States) decided that Nikola Tesla was
>> the inventor of radio.
>> --
>> jlforbes@eskimo.com
>

541. Re: HOW is posible????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Risley <bryris@iname.com>
Message-ID: <76tbfeqbg5@news-1.news.gte.net>
Source record: 132466

I think your keyboard is missing some keys.........

542. BC950XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: N <trout-brookie@sunlink.net>
Message-ID: <369234A5.27674F2D@sunlink.net>
Source record: 132467

I recently bought this scanner that is 7 years old. When there is a fire in our area and I have the scanner on it will lock up on the fire channels. I have the squelch turned down so I don't think that is it. It will lock up on certain other channels from time to time. I don't know a lot about scanners, but I sure enjoy listening. Thank you. N.

543. Re: Fairfield County, Ohio Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@lor.net>
Message-ID: <369233FE.ABBB6C3B@lor.net>
Source record: 132468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi, these freqs are out of the RS 1999 Police Call.
Happy Scanning, Cal

Fairfield County
Lancaster 33.86 Fire
Baltimore 453.225 Fire
Lithopolis 453.225 Fire
Rushville 453.225 Fire
Lancaster 458.225 Fire
Pickerington 453.225 Fire
Baltimore 460.625 Fire
Lancaster 460.625 Fire
Lithopolis 460.625 Fire
Rushville 460.625 Fire
Lancaster 465.625 Fire
Pickerington 465.625 Fire
Stoutsville 465.625 Fire
Rushville 465.6 Fire
Lancaster 45.16 Local Government
Lancaster 453.45 Local Government
Lancaster 458..4500 Local Government
Lancaster 39.44 Police
Lancaster 39.58 Police
Lancaster 39.74 Police
Lancaster 39.96 Police
Lancaster 158.97 Police
Lancaster 453.825 Police
Lancaster 151.055 Highway Maintenance
Lancaster 156.075 Highway Maintenance
Lancaster 33.4 Fire
Fairfield Township 33.4 Fire
Somerdale 33.4 Fire
Leesburg 33.94 Fire
Somerdale 33.94 Fire
Hamilton 154.28 Fire
Hamilton 154.37 Fire
Hamilton 45.16 Local Government
Columbiana Vlg 159.3 Local Government
Columbiana Vlg 155.31 Police
Columbiana Vlg 155.37 Police
Fairfield Union Schools
Lancaster 155.205 Special Emergency
Fairfield City of 153.74 Fire

Fairfield 154.28 Fire
Fairfield 154.415
Fairfield 153.845 Local Government
Fairfield 153.995 Local Government
Fairfield 155.085 Local Government
Fairfield 155.31 Police
Fairfield 156.15 Police
Fairfield city Schools
Fairfield 155.16 Special Emergency
Leesburg 155.22 Special Emergency

E. M. wrote:

> I know that Fairfield Ct. dispatches both County Sheriffs and local (e.g.,
> Baltimore, etc.) PDs. I know that the Sheriff's units are designated in
> the 2300 series (2315, etc.). Does anyone know a way to tell the various
> municipalities' units apart?
>
> Anyone know the patrol zone layout of the Sheriff's Dept.?
>
> Also, does anyone have a rundown of assigned frequencies actually used?
> Specifically, what is "Channel 7" (apparently car-to-car)?
>
> Thanks.
> --
> Evan Morris
> words1@well.com

544. Re: Health Risks with Cordless Phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: irv7095@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76tlvr$i33$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132469

In article <01be36ed$f221c100$128166ce@rbrown.TAX>,
"RB" <rb@bridgeport.net> wrote:
> Are there any health risks with 900mhz or 2.5ghz cordless phones?
>

YES!
You could easily trip over the furniture while walking around the house
and talking on the phone.....

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

545. Re: I NEED FREQUENCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoe205@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105122213.20054.00006665@ng118.aol.com>
Source record: 132470

It would help if next time you at least put the county that you are mainly interested in. It would help us out a whole lot. Email me the county and i'll do some research.

546. Re: radioshack question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoe205@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105122428.20054.00006666@ng118.aol.com>
Source record: 132471

Radio Shack doesn't have an equal to the BC895. They have a few though that fall short of it. Like the PRO2045 and the PRO2066.

547. Re: RT Systems IC-Q7A Clone Software failure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF53KAs.DD3@netcom.com>
Source record: 132472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <76tflh$c3r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, <tross@clara.net> wrote:

>> software from www.hamradio.cz worked perfect both ways using RT's cable. No
>> response to email sent to RT over two weeks ago. My radio is an q7e and no
>> receives from 0- 1900mhz.

Has anyone been able to get this software (from www.hamradio.cz) to work
since 1/1/1999? It seems to have "expired" and will no longer run on
several different machines that ran it previously.

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

548. Re: Freqs Elyria, Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoe205@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105122712.20054.00006668@ng118.aol.com>
Source record: 132473

try these two ohio scanner sites, if you can't find it here, it ain't out there.

http://eng.utoledo.edu/~jpryor/scanner/main.html

http://w3.gwis.com/~scanman

Good luck!

549. MDT Freq in Sacramento

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kgowen@jps.net
Message-ID: <36918b51.1067746338@news.jps.net>
Source record: 132474

Hey all,

I am seeking MDT freqs for Sacramento Ca. If anyone knows of these or live in the Sac area and are scanner enthuiasts, please let me know.

Kevin

550. Re: Upgrade Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoe205@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105122809.20054.00006669@ng118.aol.com>
Source record: 132475

BC895XLT. Probably the best base scanner out now.

551. FS: 800MHz antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990105122833.12600.00007298@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 132476

I have a Shakespeare fiberglass marine cellular 800MHz cellular antenna for sale. The antenna has about 15 feet of coax, with a PL-259 connector. This antenna was designed to mount on a boat to get better range with a cellular phone, but it works well across the full 800MHz band. VHF reception is also good, although UHF reception is very poor. The antenna is about 36 inches long.

$20.00 + $10 shipping.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

552. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Waits <swaits@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36925050.A3AE8295@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132477

Pursuit10851 wrote:
>
> On Mon, 04 Jan 1999 10:24:53 -0800, Stephen Waits
> <swaits@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Add a FLASH ROM to your next TrunkTracker scanner. Include a serial
> >cable as well so updating ROM's is easier. You'll be amazed at how many
> >happy customers you end up with!
> >
> >I wouldn't mind paying extra money for this!
> >
> >--Steve
>
> And PLEASE get rid of that stupid, overpriced, proprietary piece of
> junk battery pack (that is already starting to show signs of going bad
> after only 1-1/2 years of use AND proper care!). I can go to Target
> and buy 4 rechargeable AA's for $8.99 plus tax. No shipping, no
> waiting, and if one cell goes bad, I just replace it, not all 4
> batteries. I will never buy another Uniden or Radio Shack handheld
> that uses proprietary battery packs. When the BC245XLT comes out, I
> will wait until an equivalent unit is available with 4 AA capability.
> Meanwhile, I will continue to buy Uniden bases since I have had no
> problems with my BC895XLT or Pro-2036, which is really a BC890XLT.
> (I'm getting my second BC895XLT in a couple days).

I'm willing to compile a list of of desired features -- a "wish list"
for the next Uniden TT scanners, regardless of how much Uniden will
ignore it (which I'm sure they will!!!)!!!

So, if you have any features you'd REALLY like to see in the next Uniden
Handheld TrunkTracker radio, please email them to me!

--Steve

553. Re: BC895XLT Trunk Software Question??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Law & Order <xeno@jps.net>
Message-ID: <3692aaee.0@news1.jps.net>
Source record: 132478

I doubt it. I don't think the BC895XLT is built for that type of multitasking. Try one of the Optoelectronics scanners or decoders (Optocom or Optotrakker).

RW

Mark S Conway wrote in message <76rtj4$s4e$0@208.28.237.16>...
>Is there any software available that will do multiple systems and
>conventional at the same time for the 895?

554. Re: Trunk Tracker Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Law & Order <xeno@jps.net>
Message-ID: <3692ab12.0@news1.jps.net>
Source record: 132479

The BC895XLT is an excellent scanner for trunking.
RW

John York wrote in message
<13506-36917083-4@newsd-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
I am finally going to upgrade to a trunk tracking scanner. Which one
is best, and which ones to avoid? I would appreciate any help or
experiences with any.
TIA

John York
(kidless)

555. Re: Using Radio Shack cell phone antennas for scanner or a freq counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny <Danny@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <36925421.1353@webdeals.net>
Source record: 132480

Al wrote:
>
> I've seen a lot said about using cell phone antennas to pick up 800mhz
> frequencies better on your scanner, there are a couple in Radio
> Shack's catalog but I don't know which one to get. I was wondering if
> anyone could tell me which was the best of the antennas listed in it
> for 800mhz listening. The mag mount antenna, the glass mount antenna,
> the adjustable antenna which pivots 45 to 90 degrees, or the
> encapsulated glass mount antenna? I'm not gonna use any of these on a
> car but I think you can still use them either way. All of these
> antennas have a 3db gain except for the encapsulated one which has a
> 5db gain, although I don't know what difference that would make.

Just go to a flea markets or yard sales.....you can find good old mag
mounts there....try to stay away from the window mount ones....the mag
mounts are better IMHO.
It will make a big difference using the right antenna for the right job.
Try one with a frequency counter! You will get a lot better range.

http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp/opto.htm

--
http://www.webdeals.net
Web page design & hosting for $10 a month!
Made for small businesses in the USA.
Advertise your business on the web for 33 cents a day.

556. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ebden@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76tq4jluq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132481

In article <3690fc5c.7513822@news>,

> >AJMedia@nospam.com
> I will make it easy on everyone. If the phone has the dss (digital
> spread spectrum) either on the phone or on the box then it is secure
> from being heard by any scanner that I know of. If it says secure
> phone then it can be heard by any scanner which receives the frequency
> range of the phone. The phone manufacturers have a very loose version
> of what they call secure. Again, if the phone does not say dss on it
> then it aint. Keith
>

You mean that these dss models will see to it that no scanner anywhere is
going to pick up my conversations, either from the base or the handset? ebden

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

557. Re: Find any US frequency!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: saxapahaw@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76tta6$oo7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132482

Great site, Mr. Cash! Have already used it to confirm ant. specs on a nearby cellxmitter. Thanx! &#65533;axa

```
emoney250@aol.com (Emoney250) wrote:
> with just minimal information on any licensee with the FCC, you can find their
> frequency. http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts
>

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
```

558. Re: Bearcat 245- "smarttracker.....yada, yada, yada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eveready@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36926388.11667058@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 132483

On Thu, 24 Dec 1998 20:07:31 GMT, lblant1@airmail.net (Lindsay C.
Blanton) wrote:

>On Thu, 24 Dec 1998 07:10:58 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
><ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Then you need to look at the site again. In order to view the protocol
>>specification (a requirement for building a digital scanner capable of
>>decoding APCO 25 compliant signals) they must first sign the agreement with
>>Motorola. Doing so would allow them to legally sell any product that makes
>>use of Motorola's intellectual property i.e. the APCO25 compliant protocol.
>
>Mmmm, since when has APCO-25 been *Motorola's* intellectual property?
>
>>Uniden produces transmitters too, so that's not it. The point is, without
>>viewing the protocol information, the only way to decode the APCO 25
>>standard is by trial and error - a near impossible task. Signing the
>>licensing agreement with Motorola removes that obstacle. Uniden would be
>>foolish not to sign up, IMHO.
>
>APCO-25 is an open standard. You might have to pay money to APCO to
>get access to the technical docs....but you surely won't be paying
>Motorola. And, you might have to license software from the creator's
>of the IMBE vocoder....but Motorola won't ever be collecting royalties
>on APCO-25. APCO-25 is not Motorola's, it's APCO's.
>
>-Lb
>Regards,
>
>_____________________________________
>Lindsay C. Blanton
>lblant1@airmail.net
>The Trunked Radio Information Homepage:
>http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm

yes...check out the thread 'APCO25 Digital Scanner Project' from
12/14/95 on this NG. The hard part of decoding digital trunked
systems would appear to be sorting out the IMBE vocoder scheme
imbedded within the APCO25 protocol. But not necessarily a near
impossible task.

559. HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd4cga@compumise.com
Message-ID: <76u11psdt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132484

I am in need of a owners/operators manual
for a Regency Tubro Scan 800 scanner.
I will pay for coping and mailing cost.
Please reply to
kd4cga@mindspring.com
de Larry,KD4CGA

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

560. Re: FS: RADIO SHACK PRO-60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: droch <dc2roch@erols.com>
Message-ID: <36927621.B30C7B3A@erols.com>
Source record: 132485

This scanner can be bout in selected radio shacks for 130 dollars. And
when they discontinued it i bougth 2 for 90 dollars

Mike Marano wrote:

> Selling my Radio Shack Pro-60 scanner. It's nothing special,
> but it's a decent workhorse unit in a metro area. Not easily
> modified. Covers civil and military air, and some TV freqs.
> 30.0000MHz to 999.9995MHz. In excellent condition. 200
> channels, triple-conversion. Decent feature list, easy on
> Rayovac Renewal rechargable alkalines. I enjoy the radio,
> but Baltimore is converting its police, fire, and civil
> service radios to Motorola Astro digital trunked, rendering
> my scanner useless. Includes original rubber duck and owners
> manual.
>
> I'm also throwing in a passle of accessories: lapel speaker,
> mono headphones, AC Adapter (which RS makes you purchase),
> jack caps, scanner freq book for MD, PA, DE and NJ, spare
> telescoping antenna, custom leather case, extra battery
> holster.
>
> Scanner lists for $380, and all the accessories total out to
> about $80. Asking $200 for the lot.
>
> Email me at mrmarano@toad.net with questions or offers.
>
> Happy New Year
>
> --
>
> Mike.

561. FA: Uniden Bearcat BC70 XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: wmeara@erols.com
Message-ID: <36918ded.40685528@news.erols.com>
Source record: 132518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Check it out. Handheld scanner for auction. Bidding starts at only
30 bucks.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=53901628

562. Uniden BC700A, antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: spanky_130@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <76ro9o$u0t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132519

I need to replace the antenna of my Uniden BC700A. Any ideas on types or choices? Best place to buy such an antenna? Any ideas on improving reception (without building a tower in my back yard)? Should I simply buy the replacement from Uniden?

Thanks.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

563. Re: pro 91 keypad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: r_daneel@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76rokhuck1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132520

If anyone has any other little tricks for the Pro-91, I'd love to hear what they are. I've got the Pro-91 also, and it's nice to have the shortcuts. Thanks. R_Daneel

In article <19990102213737.25233.00006245@ng32.aol.com>, teal9425@aol.com (Teal9425) wrote:
> 2 and 9 clear the scanner memory no matter what other keys are pressed at the
> same time. what is with the 2,9 & delay?and the 2,9 & 4? I am curious as to
> what this does. I have the pro-91 also.
>
>

--
"Nothing's the same anymore." -Commander Jeffery Sinclair, Babylon 5

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

564. FS: SLO-START current limiter/spike protector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa3hsc@juno.com
Message-ID: <76rp57usq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132521

For Sale: SLO-START current limiter -- Completely PREVENTS vacuum tube and CRT filiment burn-out due to turn-on current and voltage spikes. Also reduces voltage to vintage equipment back to where it belongs. For 120 volt and 220 volt AC/DC use. UL certified.

Costs only $34.95 plus $6.00 shipping. Guaranteed for 5 Full Years!

Send check or Money order to:

David Risik
8101 Triple Crown Road
Bowie, Maryland 20715

For more information please e-mail: wa3hsc@juno.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

565. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <76rmjb$d65$0@207.18.224.98>
Source record: 132522

On Mon, 04 Jan 1999 01:15:24 -0800, John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
wrote:

>> Seems our resident trouble maker has been fairly quiet lately,
>> although he pops in every week or so to make trouble, most
>
>Not sure exactly who you are talking about Blake. But, no problem,
>you & Bill have both been pretty quiet lately.
>

Yep, we have. However, as just about all of us know, Myself nor
Bill has been the troublemaker on the newsgroup.

>
>> I notice in the posts he makes, he still refers to the newsgroup
>> as if it is his, keeps refering people to the newsgroup charter,
>
>Who referred people to the "newsgroup charter"?
>
Why Gosh John, I believe you have.

>> Maybe it will take complaints to his ISP to get him
>> kicked off another ISP, but he always pops back
>
>Were the previous complaints to yout ISP successful in the
>past, Blake?

Nope John, my ISP will only take action for valid complaints, none of
which you have been responsible for were considered valid.

566. Re: Problem with WXSat 2.4e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Deejay <deejivor@dialin.net>
Message-ID: <369156E4.75D5@dialin.net>
Source record: 132523
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Trevor wrote:
>
> Hi All,
>
> I wonder whether anyone can help.
>
> I am trying to decode the transmissions from weather satellites using
> WXSat Version 2.4e. Using Recording > Test I have made sure that the
> loudest signal peaks easily reaches the two gray lines but aren't
> clipped at the upper or lower limit. When I start Recording > Picture
> I get a couple of inches of pictures, but there are white lines at 45
> degrees, that go from right to left, down the picture. It sort of
> looks like the horizontal width of the picture is too much. Any ideas?
>
> You can find an example (from NOAA-14 137.62 AM) at
> http://www.aero51.demon.co.uk/wxsat.htm
>
> Thanks
> Trevor
it seems to me that in the parameters section the box marked 16bit audio
is proberbly still ticked as apt is a carrier of 11.038hrz its more
responsive as a mono single not stereo set parameters as- tick noaa tick
gencalibval tick lock thats all try noaa 14 on 137.620 remember leave 16
bit audio unchecked good luck any replys feel free to ask

567. WTB: Cable for Icom ICR2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990104195616.00852.00007581@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 132524

Subject says it all, thanks.

568. Frequencies for MTA/Long Island Bus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Michno <jhnwy@spec.net>
Message-ID: <01be381c$a98ecb60$d35ca7cd@jhnwy.spec.net>
Source record: 132525

I need the frequencies that MTA/Long Island Bus uses. If you can find them, please email me.

John

569. Re: Optocom EDACS Trunk Track

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Szablowski <aszablowski@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <76roov$qfm@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132526

Cyber357 wrote in message <76rnrt$6r$1@hornet.fibr.net>...
>Has anyone used the new Optocom from Optoelectronics
>to trunk track EDACS system? They advertise the new
>Optocom will trunck track EDACS.

ONLY when used in conjunction with 3rd party s/w.

Regards,
Tony

570. RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@gulfsouth.verio.net>
Message-ID: <01be384e$942c7a60$ce58cccf@default>
Source record: 132527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
Hello,
How are the RS Trunktracker scanners?Pros or cons?
Thanks,
Glenn
```

571. Icom R7100 vs. AOR AR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990104211653.18732.00000292@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 132528

I just bought a used Icom R7100 and am very happy with the unit. I've heard a lot about the AR3000A as a high-performance VHF/UHF scanner. I would appreciate any comments on how these two units compare. Thanks.

Frank

572. BC895XLT Trunk Software Question??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark S Conway <mconway@nantucket.net>
Message-ID: <76rtj4$s4e$0@208.28.237.16>
Source record: 132529

Is there any software available that will do multiple systems and
conventional at the same time for the 895?

573. Re: Let's crack RD/LAP - UPDATE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bitbanger@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76s56k$8ub$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132530

In article <7673md$1kd@chronicle.concentric.net>,
"Bill Bob" <billbob@mns.com> wrote:

.....>This just leaves one thing missing - what input levels constitute the
> binary
> >0,1,2 or 3 coming into the soundcard? Perhaps Gordon Edwards knows??
> >
> >We're getting closer....
> >
> >-Phil

.....
Try
MSB LSB
+3v 1 1
+1v 1 0
-1v 0 0
-3v 0 1

Jerry <bitbanger@my-dejanews.com>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

574. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Giff <kmgifford@ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <01be3860$bd03a0a0$1d218ed1@windowsu>
Source record: 132531
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

Finally someone with a clue!

Co. of America v United States) decided that Nikola Tesla was
> the inventor of radio.
> --
> jlforbes@eskimo.com

575. Re: RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990104232032.01062.00007090@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 132532

Hi! I don't know about the RS trunktracker-I recently took delivery of the Uniden 235XLT and am very happy with the unit. They are essentially twin radios with one exception-I understand to program the RS unit, there is one additional step or button that must be pushed. The Uniden enters directly. For more info., an excellent web site is www.strongsignals.net. (Not my site!) E-mail me with any specific questions.

>Subject: RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?
>From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@gulfsouth.verio.net>
>Date: 1/4/99 5:57 PM Pacific Standard Time
>Message-id: <01be384e$942c7a60$ce58cccf@default>
>
>Hello,
>How are the RS Trunktracker scanners?Pros or cons?
>Thanks,
>Glenn
>

Radio911 - Laine S. Herman

576. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <36919353.4080762@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 132533

On Mon, 04 Jan 1999 01:22:25 -0800, John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
wrote:

>George Vetterle wrote:
>> Landed is right! After being given the proverbial boot in the ass
>> from the scanradio echo on Fidonet.
>
>Wrong George. There was about a 6 month lapse from when I left
>the scanradio echo to when I arrived on this of rec.radio.scanner.

Maybe hot air kept you aloft for that long in the manner of a self-
propelled hot air buffoon. Heh, Heh, Heh!

577. Re: City to pass ordinance to fight CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc4wwu@eagnet.com
Message-ID: <369197ef.169075408@news.eagnet.com>
Source record: 132534
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 28 Nov 1998 14:11:12 -0600, Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net> wrote:

>Larry wrote:
>>
>> Why are we soo concerned about this new law?
>> If it will help clean up cb, isn't a good thing?
>> Larry
>
>NO!!!!!!!And who ever said cb needed cleaning in the first place? MK

Yes !!!!!!!!!!! C.B. Sucks !

578. Icom R2/Q7 software not working?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF52MHn.KCr@netcom.com>
Source record: 132535

```
--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r
```

579. Re: radioshack 2042 1000channel commments/antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <fXSk2.101$CI.1734@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 132236

I love my PRO-2042. Too bad that more people can't get them, as RS stopped selling them. I use a discone on a RS 30' mast, appropriately guyed. Works well for me.

Paul
NJ

Lozsing wrote in message <19990106133805.21253.00002949@ngol07.aol.com>...
>
>anyone got any comments on the performance of this scanner,and any general info
>on best antenna type for all round general use.
>
>Thank You
>

580. Re: Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <770upvppt1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 132237

Paul wrote in message ...
>Is there any way to follow a trunked service on a regular scanner? I
>usually just have my scanner on known locak freqs, but I have the NJ State
>Police frequencies programmed in, and it seems like I can't get a complete
>picture of what's going on. I have a Radio Shack PRO-2042 scanner - no
Opto
>card.
>
I had the same problem before I got my BC895. I had all the frequencies for Glendale AZ's TRS programmed in, but there were so many agencies using it that I couldn't keep up - especially during the day. Nighttime listening was easier because then the only ones on the system were police units, but it was hard to follow them when they switched channels, or if one activated the phone patch, etc.

What I did notice was that the logical channels (A,B,C,D,E,F) stuck to a particular set of frequencies, and the phone patches and other noise *usually* used different ones. A thru D would wander around a bit, but when the detectives were using channel "F" you could just lock the scanner on that frequency and follow the whole thing. It was convenient, albeit a bit strange.

You may want to listen to NJSPD for a while and see if you notice a similar pattern. If so then you can filter out some of the "noise" that you don't want.

-Phil

581. Re: Adress to Lat/Lon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Ulrich <ulrich@null.net>
Message-ID: <77101v$mt5$1@camel21.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132238

Try

http://www.geocode.com/cgi-win/ef.exe

plantagenet@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
<76ldkj$q1q$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Is there a site that will provide lat/lon for a street address?
>
>I am looking for the lat/lon for:
>
>1502 Pech Rd, Houston, TX 77055
>
>
>Thanks.
>
>
>--
>Daines
>plantagenet@my-dejanews.com
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

582. Re: Reason for Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: SCNMN <res40@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3694064A.4813D08E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 132239

I doubt if they are trunked with only two frequencies?

Almost all trunking today uses some form of control channel, so it would be pretty dumb to have a two frequency trunking system since you would be giving up one freq. for control, leaving one talk frequency.

It is more likely, that the local agency has donated their two frequencies into some kind of community cooperative type effort with other agencies. If you are hearing what sounds like trunked voice, odds are you might want to scan around the band for the rest of the channels in the system.

Other than a remote site on something like a Motorola SmartZone or Ericsson Edacs will you find a two channel "trunked" site. Even then, that site is usually part of a much larger system.

2 freq., stand alone trunking...very doubtful.

Arthur8539 wrote:

> About your 12/29/98 message
>
> I agree with all you say, but there is one problem. Trunking is a great (and
> maybe less expensive) for LARGE systems. This was NOT a large system. PD had
> only two frequencies, and they were almost never very active. The old system
> seemed to work just fine.

583. Re: Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Graves <alankg@siscom.net>
Message-ID: <wETk2.123$PI.8604@news.siscom.net>
Source record: 132240

No. On a standard scanner you would have know way to know when the trunk
changed channels or which channel the trunk changed to.
Paul <pwolsko@nac.net> wrote in message
news:xUSk2.100$CI.1776@nntp1.nac.net...
>Is there any way to follow a trunked service on a regular scanner? I
>usually just have my scanner on known locak freqs, but I have the NJ State
>Police frequencies programmed in, and it seems like I can't get a complete
>picture of what's going on. I have a Radio Shack PRO-2042 scanner - no
Opto
>card.
>
>Thanks,
>
> Paul
>
>

584. Re: BC200XLT Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOT <nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7710j0$mr7$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 132241

that sounds about right. i have tap into mine it is also on a uprise
circuit. look from the back of the unit it is mid - low on the left side.
when you do this make your cord short as possible. the longer it is the more
death the scanner becomes. i also used a wire like in headphone with a
shiled ground and ground that to keep ineterference out.
http://www.inetone.net/Fsmith

William Karpuk wrote in message <770j71$j87$1@denws02.mw.mediaone.net>...
>Can someone help me to locate the IC-401 chip so I can hook up a
>discriminator output? The only chip that close (-10421) is in the lower
left
>corner of the main board with the metal cage.
>
>

585. Motorola Digital Astro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Elie <melie@cybertours.com>
Message-ID: <3694060b.0@newsfeed1.cybertours.com>
Source record: 132242

Does anyone have any personal experience with digital police transmissions? Our local dept. recently changed over to Motorola Astro 3000. My scanners are useless. Is any manufacturer planning to address this issue? Can an analog
scanner be altered to decode digital transmissions? Any help or advice would be appreciated.

Mark
melie@cybertours.com

586. Re: Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: sttrooper@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <77116aegr1@camel29.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132243

I never had a problem with Glendale, AZ PD. All you have to do is program the correct fleet map which is E1P4. I am using the RS Pro 2050 to do it. The only system that has too much on it is the Suprise PD system. Then if you still have problems following just PD traffic, lock out the unwanted ID's.

Davis

587. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <7711tkbep1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 132244

I think he was refering to the post you made 1998/12/06 to
Forums: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner
Under the subject of --
Re: Computer Interface for Scanners

John said :

>Just as you are an entirely different idiot than Phil Smalley.
>But WHY is it that you guys chose to take a problem with complaints of
>Bill starting to cause problems here & turn it into talking about me.
>I have nothing to do with Bill's violations of the NG charter & decent
conduct.

End Quote This was from Deja News.

You mentioned Bills violation of the NG Charter. Were can we find a copy of
this? I never knew one existed.

John - KAOSSF wrote in message <369318E1.3A3A@usa.net>...
>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>> >Who referred people to the "newsgroup charter"?
>
>> Why Gosh John, I believe you have.
>
>I don't remember saying that & a search I did on Dejanews doesn't show
>where I said such a statement. If you still insist I made such a
>statement
>please qoute the message with the date & subject or have your statement
>considered null & void for lack of validity.
>
>
>> Nope John, my ISP will only take action for valid complaints, none of
>
>When did they start this policy?
>
>

588. San Angelo, Tx Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roberto <rbrunet@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <369410F8.FBB750B2@ibm.net>
Source record: 132245
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'll be visiting the San Angelo Tx, area, I would like to have some
local frequencies,
also for Runnels County, if possible.
Thanks
Roberto

589. Re: Park Ridge, IL PD and FD Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-0601991953150001@k56-ip-95.theramp.net>
Source record: 132246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3692C397.5E8572C@ync.net>, Paul and Cherity Machnick
<CPMachnick@ync.net> wrote:

> Would you please send me Park Ridge, IL. PD and FD Freqs.
> Thanks,
> Paul
> CPMachnick@ync.net

470.4875 Police Dispatch
154.785 Police alternate ("Post 1" is the station)
154.340 Fire Dispatch
155.775 Public Works

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**********g3mtnw**********

590. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <7716g8$kv5$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 132247

Not sure on the 800 mHz aspect, but I think you will be quite dissapointed
with low band monitoring. I had one for a while, I ditched it for a splitter
that would allow be to use my car antenna. Seems to work quite well. I
think I paid $17 at Grove.
Jim Finney wrote in message <36940A23.8BF9DE1@worldnet.att.net>...
>Hi,
>
>I am considering trying the Radio Shack thru-glass scanner antenna,
>#20-001, page 75 of the 1999 catalog and am looking for opinions on this
>antenna. I know it will not perform as well as a permanently mounted
>antenna but is the performance adequate? I live in Atlanta and am
>interested in the 800mHz and low bands.
>
>Any opinions?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Jim...
>
>--
>
>Jim Finney
>==============================
>jpfin@worldnet.att.net
>
>

591. pocflex win95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge <stef_theberge@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <AYVk2.1981$d75.5162@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 132248
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anybody know if exist a prog for windows95 for pocflex?

--
St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge
Beauport,Qc
Canada

592. Re: STATEN Isl. NYC EMS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Grambs III <fgrambs@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7719j5$6cm$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mike,
Check out http://members.aol.com/emshighway/scanner.htm
That is a current list of all of the NYPD, FDNY, and FDNY EMS frequencies, including the new 2nd Queens frequency that just went into effect 2 weeks ago!
For anybody who is interested, Queens 1 (the old frequency) seems to be West of the Van Wyck and Queens 2 is East of the Van Wyck.

Fred

>X wrote:
>
>> Hey Everybody.
>> I am looking for Staten Island's , NY EMS freqs.
>> What happend to the old 800 freq, that was shared with South Brooklyn ?
>> Have they gone Trunked ?
>>
>> Any information is greatly apreciated .
>> Thank You in advance
>>
>> Mike
>>
>> fillip@banet.net
>

593. WACARS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge <stef_theberge@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <G%Vk2.1982$d75.5165@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 132250
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

i found this program and i downlaod it for trying to use it. First of all i download it because i want to do some more thing with my pro-2006 and soundcard. So my main language is french and i understand a bite of english. I want to use this program and i have some question. So this program is to know where the plane is in the air. In witch frequency do i have to monitorinbg? do i have to put my frequency airport?

--
St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge
Beauport,Qc
Canada

594. Re: race scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <771bf0$jo6$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 132251

>The Real Professional headsets of course come with a standard 1/4"
>Phone plug.

Koss makes a set of headphones called the "SpeedZone" that are sold at RadioShack (probably other places too) that are very good. The serve as hearing protection. They have a coiled cord and a 1/8" phone plug connector. They also have a volume control on the side of the earpiece. I took a set to the drag races here in Houston and they worked very well. They are on sale at RadioShack for $40. I think they normally sell for $50. I don't imagine they will be on sale during racing season.

John

595. Sony Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <771dh6rjq1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 132252

What is the URL for the Sony website scanner section. I started with
www.sony.com but I was unable to find any link to scanning radios. I did
find worldband radios and just about everything else!
Thanks in advance!
Brian Denley

596. Sony Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <771dl0$b1$1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 132253

What is the URL for the Sony website scanner section. I started with
www.sony.com but I was unable to find any link to scanning radios. I did
find worldband radios and just about everything else!
Thanks in advance!
Brian Denley

597. WANTED: Schematic for Tennelec Memoryscan MS-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Stowell <kstowell@bentonrea.com>
Message-ID: <_HXk2.462$C6.2276@news14.ispnews.com>
Source record: 132254

Greetings,

I am looking for a schematic diagram for a Tennelec Memoryscan MS-2 programmable scanner. I have been looking for one for quite some time with no luck. I will gladly pay for all costs copying, postage etc. Also I would be interested in other units working or not.

If anyone could help I would Greatly Appreciate it.
Thank you!

Ken Stowell
P.O. Box 70
Mabton, WA. 98935
kstowell@bentonrea.com

598. Re: freware ICR2 clone software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <76vu86cgb1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 132286

Doug --

I brought up an isolated machine whose clock was set to '98 and cloned its only hard drive from a backup I 'created' from a full system backup made on 12/26/98, and put up a copy of icr2beta. I then ran quarterdeck cleansweep install monitor in the 'manual' mode, ran it in '98' [which worked] n '99' [where it didn't work], and quarterdeck didn't see any files being changed. Strange. Hope it isn't flippin bits in the boot block or somewhere else nasty -- I don't think cleansweep would see that....

Clay

Doug Mason wrote in message ...
>In article <76ub3c$l4r$1@news1.fast.net>,
>Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net> wrote:
>
>>The freeware icr2 cloning software at:
>
>>
>> http://home.t-online.de/home/Ingra-Beton/
>>
>>appears to have died 1/1/99. Anyone have any info on this? [I have sent
>>mail to the author -- Goran Vlaski -- but there has been no activity by
him
>>since 11/22 when he announced the availability of this software.
>
>I can tell you this much, it expires in a clever way. With the help of
>another reader I tried some tests. Keep in mind that I had this software
>running on two different machines, a Win98 and a Win95 box, all was well.
>
>After 1/1/99 the software stopped working. Even turning the clock back
>didn't seem to allow it to re-activate.
>
>On the other machine I turned the clock back FIRST and then ran the
software,
>what do you know, it works. I then set the clock back to 1999 and the
>software didn't run. Turned it back to 1998 and now it doesn't work, so
>there is a file somewhere that is being written to to say that the software
>has expired so even if you turn back the clock, it knows and still won't
run.
>
>I went through all the executables looking for references to hidden files,
>did text searches and search all over my hard drive for hidden or system
>files that might have been created -- can't find anything. I'm sure that
>this is probably how it is being done (create a tiny hidden file somewhere

>and look for it every time the software runs). I haven't spent a ton of
>time on it but it isn't anything obvious.
>
>I too sent a message to the author and haven't heard anything. Too bad,
>it was really nice software.
>
>
>--Doug
>
>
>--
>Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
>1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r
>

599. Re: freware ICR2 clone software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF55Atx.5Ax@netcom.com>
Source record: 132287

In article <76vu86cgb1@news1.fast.net>,
Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net> wrote:

Clay,

Ack! You did what I was thinkin' I _should_ have done, I figured for
sure it would have caught it. ARgh, I'll try some more stuff today.

--Doug

>I brought up an isolated machine whose clock was set to '98 and cloned its
>only hard drive from a backup I 'created' from a full system backup made on
>12/26/98, and put up a copy of icr2beta. I then ran quarterdeck cleansweep
>install monitor in the 'manual' mode, ran it in '98' [which worked] n '99'
>[where it didn't work], and quarterdeck didn't see any files being changed.
>Strange. Hope it isn't flippin bits in the boot block or somewhere else
>nasty -- I don't think cleansweep would see that....

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

600. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Miller <richard.d.miller@lmco.com>
Message-ID: <36938673.5075@lmco.com>
Source record: 132288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dan,

I'm surprised to hear that.

I wrote a message to them on December 31st to inquire about an FT-847.
I didn't expect to hear back until the following week.

Much to my surprise, I received a message from them the very next day
(1/1/99).

Dan wrote:
>
> That's nice to know, but I have sent them several emails inquiring about
> equipment, and I have yet to hear back from them. It's been over a week
> now.
> I guess they don't like to return email.
>
> Dan / N7WPD

601. Re: Can I take my HF radio on a plane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tad Gulley <tadg@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <770233$8ge@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The policies vary between airlines. Delta for example doesn't prohibit VHF scanners while the aircraft in in cruise flight. They limit operation to laptop computers in the same fashion. interestingly, they prohibit operation of FM/AM radios during any part of any flight. (Most VHF scanners receive some type, if not both, of the FM and AM bands.)
In the airlines procedures handbook usually carried by either pilots as well as flight attendants,there is a definitive answer as to that particular airlines policy

---
In article <76p769nmf1@hideout.emanon.net>, "Home Office" <bane@recom.com> wrote:

>this is a simple answer to your question.
>NO NO NO don't do it. 1) it is illegal 2) It can not only jepodise your
>safety but the rest of the people on the aircraft.
>Zydeco Man wrote in message <367f6871.2012320@news.eatel.net>...
>>On Tue, 22 Dec 1998 00:40:16 GMT, kryppy@bellsouth.net (Jack) wrote:
>>
>>>I'm going on a trip for Christmas and was wondering if I could take my
>>>shortwave on the airplane for some listening action. :p
>>>
>>>
>>I was wondering the same about a scanner. I'm going on a trip this
>>weekend and would like to try out my new handheld at 10,000 feet.
>> Anyone know the policy for Airtran Airlines?
>>
>>
>
>

602. Uniden BC8500XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: lcarte@weir.net
Message-ID: <36937a09.2930633@news.weir.net>
Source record: 132290

does anyone know a quick way to erase all channel info on a Bearcat BC8500XLT? I bought a used one and don't want all the frequencies that are in it. Would rather start from scratch and enter my own.

603. Re: RT Systems IC-Q7A Clone Software failure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tross@clara.net
Message-ID: <770ace$u4o$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <76vto7$c2u$1@news1.fast.net>,
"Clay Schneider" <clayschn@fast.net> wrote:
> I have been unable to get 'icr2beta' [same core code as he wrote for the q7]
> to work by just setting the clock back either.
>
> Clay
>
> Doug Mason wrote in message ...
> >In article <76uo78$hu6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, <ke4id@my-dejanews.com>
> wrote:
> >
> >>Doug, try changing the year in your computer's bios to 1998, save it, boot
> up
> >>your machine, and then try to load the software. I tried this and the
> >>software loaded. This is the first "Y99K" bug I have seen! Jack
> >
> >I tried just changing it in the system clock and rebooting, does it really
> >need to be changed in the BIOS? I don't even know how to get into it on
> >this Thinkpad? Just changing it at the DOS prompt and rebooting doesn't
> >seem to do it!
> >
> >--Doug
> >
> >
> >--
> >Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
> >1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r
> >

same here, changing bios clock didnot work. will take cable, programe and
radio to work tomorrow to try on other pc's.

By the way the programme did run on this pc Pent 11/266 but now does't. RT
programme works, but u dont get the extended RX. Just a guess but it seems to
me that the problem lies with the programme not being compatible with certain
PC's or other software. Hope to find this out tomorrow..

Regards

Tom.................
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

604. FS:PRO 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamp@flinet.com>
Message-ID: <369393AA.5D1A7487@flinet.com>
Source record: 132292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have for sale a Realistic PRO 2004 in excellent condition.The
frequency coverage is from 25 MHz to 1300 MHz in WFM,NFM and AM.All
known mods have been done.I will ship from 33406 to lower 48 for $240.00
please respond via e-mail.
rstamp@flinet.com
Regards
Bob Stamper

605. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7705fvhmk1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 132293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

John - KAOSSF wrote in message <36932515.121@usa.net>...

>While you are correct about Bill losing his account, you are wrong on
>2 other points. First, you were terminated from Hughes & second, I
>NEVER
>claimed any type of "victory".

Oh, now it's getting deep.... you thought you had gotten me fired from Hughes, and you know it. And, once again, for the record, I state that I was not fired. I quit. Voluntarily. My NG activities were never even mentioned, as they did not care, despite whatever pacification they sent to you.
>
>I never claimed responsibility for either, so what is your point?
Another lie. You gloated and brayed on and on about how you had "improved the group", and Bil was looking for a buyer for his cable modem, and on and on. I was afraid you might never shut up....

>Nothing, I never claimed to win anything. Again, what is your
>point?
>
>(However, this NG did win a great victory!)
Oh, I see. In your own befuddled little mind you think that because it's good for John Mackey it's good for the group. What a crock!
>
>
>> You, on the other hand are
>> greeted by a chorus of boos and hisses wherever you go!
>
>WRONG!
>
>The supporting posts & even greater e-mail I receive prove your
>statement
>to be false.

Then the world truly is full of idiots and fools.....
>
>
>Sure you can, look in the mirror & ask yourself!

I tried that, but since I do have friends in quite high places, it just didn't work.
>
>
>> I remember when you LEFT for a couple of months. For a while there, I
>

>WRONG again! I have been here consistantly since july 1996 as a
>dedicated member of this NG of r.r.s!

Yeah, but you mercifully didn't POST anything. More on this later.
>
>
>> You have claimed to be things that you are not, there are
>
>Please produce these posts with your supporting proof or be
>proved wrong by default.

Oh shut the hell up you ignorant bozo. Prove you DIDN'T post those posts.
Anyone with access to dejanews can do a search on you and see what you spew
on a daily basis. Posting it here would just be redundant.
>
>> and the cancels to which you
>> refer were done a few miles from your home
>
>So then you are stating that the cancels were done some distance from
>my home and NOT at my home. Thank you!
>
All that means is even you aren't stupid enough to post rogue cancels from
your house. Don't you see what you are doing? You practically admitted
canceling posts here! DUH......
>
>
>> He attempted to lie or
>> >cheat his way out of every single matter, & many ill-advised people
>
>> Again, the exact same things can be said of YOU! How is that any
different?
>
>I have never lied on this NG. If you want to say I did then post
>your supporting proof of be found to be wrong by default.

ROTFL!!! OK, heres your "proof" - EVERY WORD THAT COMES OUTTA YOUR FACE IS A
FRIGGIN' LIE AND EVERYONE HERE KNOWS IT!!!!!!!!!! There's your proof - deny
THAT.
>
>His behavior has change GREATLY for the better. The desire was never to
>make anyone leave, only to change the inappropriate behavior.
>Therefore,
>the action was a success.
OK, so now Bill has turned over a new leaf and everyone's happy. So, what
then was the point of this post?
>> How many ISP's have you been booted from
>> Johnnie
> SNIP
>> More than "his" one, that's for sure!
>
>I have never been booted from any ISP, unlike the person you are

>referring
>to!

More lies and horsecrap.. You really are incorrigble John. You really,
really are. (I'll give you time to go look up the big words)
>
>
>> No thanks to you. Do a dejanews search and see how much crap dissappeared
>> when YOU left.
>
>I never left! "much crap disappeared" (as YOU described it) when your
>hero
>lost his ISP access!
OK, I'll give you that one. Let me rephrase that: Do a dejanews search and
see how much crap disappeared when you SHUT UP.

>> Then there are people like you who don't have anything to say unless it's
>> about Bill Cheek.
>
>I have made MANY posts that have nothing to do with him & I can't
>remember the
>last time I have typed his name. (Sometimes I even forget what that
>guy's
>name is!!!!!!)
OH JESUS, this is too much... let me remind you. He's the guy who you obsess
over every day because he got you booted from Fidonet back when Moses was a
baby.

>> I hate to break it to ya buddy, but no one really cares
>> what Bill Cheek did to you last year.
>
>Neither do I! Did I ever say that I thought anyone did care?
No you didn't. But the mere fact that you posted this dreck proves that you
are still obsessed with the matter and can't let it go.
>
>> And how dare you weasel past my filters!
>
>Perhaps you should learn how to use your filters or else obtain
>quality filter software.

Perhaps you should stop changing your identification options in your
newsreader so that the filter software can do it's job.

-Phil

606. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7705jd$hq7$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 132294

John - KAOSSF wrote in message <36931D34.77B2@usa.net>...
>Perhaps you should consider the following advice:
>
>It is far better to be silent & thought a fool than
>speak & remove all doubt.

So why did you even bother to open your trap, John? Probably because we all know you're a fool already.

-Phil

607. Re: 900mhz Cordless Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ANDREW P WOLF <AWOLF@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <770642$d8qi$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132295

902-928mhz
Bert Burland wrote in message <3697f480.10968298@news.redriverok.com>...
>Anyone have the 900mhz cordless frequencies handy?
>
>Bert from S.E.Oklahoma U.S.A.

608. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <77079c$pq3@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In <7705fvhmk1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com> "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>
>>
>>(However, this NG did win a great victory!)
>Oh, I see. In your own befuddled little mind you think that because
it's
>good for John Mackey it's good for the group. What a crock!

To Punkatoni Phil

here you have given yourself the answer and
yet you went on your drivelling sojourn
of boring content to tell about your stupidity
posting it.

all this 6K of bullshitting is just that.

so you add to the pile and become one with
he in the middle of the pile of bs.

you then stink as he does.

best you just allow him to drown in his
own vocal/mind-stuporific enunciations
and so he can play with himself alone on
the NG.

your 6K of drivel accomplished absolutely
nada. nyet. zilch. you just gave him
four more years of "gee, see how much he
loves me" e-mails to gloat over at our
expense.

Go back in your hole and come out when
the sun shines on what is really great.

silence is his enemy. Become his enemy.
Become silent and he will be quieted.
he needs input in order to think what
it is he is going to say. If you keep
it silent he has nothing to say.

is not that what you want? Silence.

His greatest fear.

Use it.

bill conduit
etc.

hint: bill cheeks has quit this NG.
notice how few times the Stink
had anything to say. Do as BIll
Cheeks has done. he has let you
know the answer. He has been the
prophet for this group: be one with
the silence and you defeat the
blabber of the Le Stinke.

Learn what Bill Cheek has done. then
follow his example. Ignore Le Stinke.

609. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Waits <swaits@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3693A351.41EB57F5@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132297

Talkyal wrote:
>
> >From: kegan30317@aol.com (Kegan30317)
>
> >>I'm willing to compile a list of of desired features -- a "wish list"
> >>for the next Uniden TT scanners
>
> APCO - 25 digital compliant !
>
> talkyal

I'm compiling all of these into a list and plan to have a web page up in the near future. Just need to know how to get the information to Uniden...

--Steve

610. FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: torpor@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <770f67$2qg$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132298

I have a PRO-2006 for sale. The scanner has many
modifications to enhance performance and ability.
The scanner has no base antenna, box or power cord.
The scanner was used in a SUV. The scanner is open
from 25-520 and 760-1.3, has improved scan rate and
expanded banks eta.

I want $250.00 for the scanner.

If interested, please contact me at the following:

pager: 626-445-8568
e-mail: torpor@hotmail.com
ICQ: 23012242

TIA,

Jeffrey

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

611. FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: torpor@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <770f6p$2qm$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132299

I have a PRO-2006 for sale. The scanner has many
modifications to enhance performance and ability.
The scanner has no base antenna, box or power cord.
The scanner was used in a SUV. The scanner is open
from 25-520 and 760-1.3, has improved scan rate and
expanded banks eta. I am in Los Angeles.

I want $250.00 for the scanner.

If interested, please contact me at the following:

pager: 626-445-8568
e-mail: torpor@hotmail.com
ICQ: 23012242

TIA,

Jeffrey

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

612. WTB: MFJ 462 Multi-Reader

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: keating.1@nd.edu
Message-ID: <77098k$1vf@news.nd.edu>
Source record: 132300

Willing to buy

MFJ 462 Multi-Reader (RTTY, ASCII, CW and AMTOR decoding)

contact:

keating.1@nd.edu

613. FS Sportcat SC-150 100ch Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: mark <imok1@mc.net>
Message-ID: <3693A555.B30DC04A@mc.net>
Source record: 132301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

FOR SALE:

Previous deals have fallen through, so unit available again!

Sportcat SC-150 100Ch, Handheld Scanner with 800MHz
No cell
Have manual, cords, manual.

$100

Mark
KB9LIO

614. radioshack 2042 1000channel commments/antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: lozsing@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106133805.21253.00002949@ngol07.aol.com>
Source record: 132302

anyone got any comments on the performance of this scanner,and any general info on best antenna type for all round general use.

Thank You

615. Re: Antenna choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: BILL METZGER <B97692569@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <770b5glfu1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132303

I have the RS telescoping antena and it definitely offers very good performance over the stock RS or Yupi rubber duckies. The only drawback is it tends to pick up lots of interference in congested areas or vehicles, probably due to better sensitivity. Also when tuning a weak signal adjusting the antena to the appropiate length makes a noticeable difference.
Bill

616. FS:Realistic DX 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamp@flinet.com>
Message-ID: <3693B242.377E510@flinet.com>
Source record: 132304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I have an excellent DX-440/Sangean ATS 803a for sale.The radio is in perfect working condition and has one scratch on the front bottom.I am asking $120.00 shipped to lower 48.Please reply via e-mail.
Regards
Bob Stamper

617. HAM STATION: Try It, You Will Like IT...references to it....oh jes....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <770c7p$9d7@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132305

1-800-729-4373

fax: 1-812-422-4253

Address of Ham Station:

Ham Station
220 North Fulton
Evansville Ind 42710

Ask for Jeff.

or go to http://www.altavista.com

type in the search function:

Ham Station

and you get their WWW references.

What a concept!

bill conduit
etc.

remember: Silence will defeat Le Stinke.
YOu have to get in Zen with the
non-noise.

618. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjrems@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990106141725.06740.00008820@ng25.aol.com>
Source record: 132306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>, so I went and bought me a SABER ASTRO and a
>Spectra ASTRO for the truck :P~~~
>
>Jimmy
>
>: Rob Stevens wrote in message <3671B3A6.B61FE449@nospam.com>...
>: >How many agencies in the United States, and Canada are
>: >currently using, or plan on using in the
>: >near future a Motorola ASTRO Trunked Radio System? Also, is
>: >there a scanner available that
>: >will decode this type of system?
>: >Rob
>
>Jimmy
>---
> SotMESC International, http://www.sotmesc.org
> Listserv: csp-list@sotmesc.org type subscribe
> Spur of the Moment Elite Social Club / GCMS
>
>
>
>
>
>

Will you buy me one to, i'll except a late Christmas gift.

Ron in Michigan

619. An Antennae Query: Mon-Tenna or Mon-Tennae

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <770ck4$4te@sjx-ixn3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132307

any user here who has one....

it's called the MONTENNA

mounts outside and purports
to be one good signal puller.

but I'm up against a hillside
below a larger hillside and
want to bring in those signals
on other side of hillside that
overshadows the smaller hillside
i'm on.....

so, anyone have one and how does
it work up against hillsides?

In front of me is just vastness
and that is fine...but I need to
know if it works around hillsides
that extend in a long column all
the way up into three counties
away....that's about 50 miles
north and about 50 miles south
along the hillsides

So, how does the MONTENNA rate?

bill conduit
etc.

620. uniden bc235xlt trunktracker 300 channel scanning radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfld@usa.net
Message-ID: <770jla$75k$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132308

I'd like to iquire to some more knowledgable folks about the uniden bc235xlt trunktracker 300 channel scanning radio. I think this is the radio i will purchase. It seems to have the most of the features i want while still at a price i can afford (or atleast stretch to afford). Unfortunatly it looks like what i might have preferred (a completely ungapped scanner from 3mhz-1.3ghz) would cost $800 or more (or am i wrong?). This scanner goes up to 956MHz (is that high enough?) and has this trunk feature which I think i understand and am pretty sure i want to have (i definitly don't want to go out and spend another $200 on a new scanner with that feature if some of the police departments in my area go to trunked - it's already more than i wanted to spend now). My questions are - are there any other better models for a comparable price (If uniden gets this back in stock in their factory serviced store i can get it for $165.00 otherwise it's going to be about $200-220 i think)? Does this scanner have the proper type of 'scanning/searching' feature as mentioned in teh scanner faq (i.e. it's not one specific range you can't change)? Does it have a discriminator output circuit that i can hook up to my pc or other decoder for getting those CTSCC and DCS codes (does it even have the ability to use those codes)? Is this scanner gapped? What will I miss? I want to listen to EVERYTHING i possibly can but i DON'T want to miss out on police, fire, fbi, etc. which I understand might happen if i don't get a scanner with trunking capability. I think that about covers it if anyone else has any suggestions on other features i should look for/other models to consider please let me know. Thanks.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

621. FS:Marine radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamp@flinet.com>
Message-ID: <3693B75C.88F70780@flinet.com>
Source record: 132309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have for sale the following radios.
1-SBE Sea Command model SCV 7808 25 watt VHF FM mobil $50.00
1-Ray Jefferson model 1225 25 watt VHF FM $35.00
1-Standard Horizion Titan+ 25 watt VHF FM $65.00
1-Shakespeare model SE 2000 25 watt VHF FM $65.00
1-Sea Ranger hand held 5 watt with charger manual box VHF FM $55.00
1-Apelco hand held model VXL 501 5 watt with charger
All are in good to excellent condition.Price includes shipping to lower
48.Please reply via e-mail.
Regards
Bob Stamper

622. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106150123.16245.00008112@ng15.aol.com>
Source record: 132310
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>From: nexus@ametro.net (The NeXus)

> so I went and bought me a SABER ASTRO and a
>Spectra ASTRO for the truck

But, even if you did that, didn't you have to
have someone program the ASTRO radios
for your specific Sheriff Office's setup ???
BTW, was it easy to just go out and buy an
ASTRO radio, or did they want background
information on you?

talkyal

623. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <770eq7$kl9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 132311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote in message <77079c$pq3@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>...
> hint: bill cheeks has quit this NG.
> notice how few times the Stink
> had anything to say. Do as BIll
> Cheeks has done. he has let you
> know the answer. He has been the
> prophet for this group: be one with
> the silence and you defeat the
> blabber of the Le Stinke.
>
> Learn what Bill Cheek has done. then
> follow his example. Ignore Le Stinke.

The only problem with that is if we don't say something, then all the newbies who come in here will get pulled into the void of dead space that is Mackey's Little World.

There's just no winning with this guy. So, I say "do what thou wilt" with him. If you wanna ignore it and move on, do so. If you don't then don't. In the grand scheme of things it really doesn't matter one way or another. Just don't rag on someone for hosing him once in a while - after all, he DOES deserve it.

Besides, I really do get a good chuckle from the outrageous B.S. I read from him - it provides a good break from the monotony of "what's the frequency for my microwave" posts.

-Phil

624. Re: Trunked or Not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106145639.16245.00008109@ng15.aol.com>
Source record: 132312

>From: "Daddy0" <fsargent@frognet.net>

>I loaded them in a trunk band, and started the search
>function.
>Nothing happened, it never did pickup a transmission...

That says it all right there: you are not picking
up the data channel. If your 5 frequencies
include 1 data channel, then you should be
able to pick up that 1 data channel. Tell me,
when you conventionally scan those 5 freqs,
do you hear one of those frequencies as pure
data noise ? If you DO hear the data channel
noise, then something else is not set right. But,
if you DO NOT hear the data channel noise,
then that is definitely your problem.

talkyal

625. FS:AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4tjk@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106150356.01922.00005960@ng152.aol.com>
Source record: 132313

AOR AR8200 scanner with full coverage (no blocks) in mint condition with box and manual. $560 shipped.

Thanks K4TJK

626. Re: trunk tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106154252.29088.00008429@ng102.aol.com>
Source record: 132314

>From: khenne3572@aol.com (KHenne3572)

>the pro 90 claims u will be able to id the user of the trunking system. does
>any have an idea if this possible besides knowing who your listening to.
>

I think this is referring to the trunk group
ID's that appear on the screen tells you who
is talking. For example 400-0 in my town is
the Police Department Headquarters. But,
it is up to you to correlate the trunk group ID
with the actual entity. Heck, that's the fun of
Trunk Tracking, isn't it?

talkyal

627. trunk tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: khenne3572@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106153459.16243.00008309@ng15.aol.com>
Source record: 132315

the pro 90 claims u will be able to id the user of the trunking system. does any have an idea if this possible besides knowing who your listening to.

628. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106153654.04271.00001478@ng41.aol.com>
Source record: 132316

>From: Stephen Waits <swaits@ix.netcom.com>

>I'm compiling all of these into a list and plan to have a web page up in
>the near future. Just need to know how to get the information to
>Uniden...

David Lewis is the Webmaster for the Uniden
Online Store and he occasionally frequents this newsgroup. Perhaps he knows to
whom at Uniden you could send your "Next Generation of Uniden Scanners WISH
LIST"
His address is:
David Lewis, WebmasterUniden America Corporation
dlewis@uniden.com

p.s. Don't forget to wish for APCO-25 Digital
Scanner, Uniden could call it the "BC25DTT"

talkyal

629. WTB: Uniden MR-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghopper@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ghopper-0601991222250001@ghopper.ndip.eskimo.net>
Source record: 132317

I am looking for a Uniden MR-8100 (and software) that is in good condition. Please e-mail me with asking price and what is included in the package.

Grant Hopper
ghopper@eskimo.com

--
G.F. Hopper
ghopper@eskimo.com
KB7WSD

630. waking up IC-Q7A and ICR2 clone software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <770ins$r4c$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 132318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It sure doesn't seem to be a y2k-1 bug:

Here's the deal. If you roll the clock back to '98 [a full year is ok, and doing it from the windows time set is ok too], and ALSO roll back the date stamp on BOTH win.ini and system.ini [I use a version of the Unix 'touch' utility], then ICR2BETA [and, I suppose, the Q7A software] will at least start up. I have not tried actual clone operations to see if there are any additional date-stamp dependencies, as my R2 is on loan for the week.

I am surprised to see this kind of checking in a program offered as freeware....

Clay

[P.S. -- I cannot be responsible for possible side effects of modifying the date stamps on system files, or the possibility of triggering other potential software 'traps'... You're on your own there on BOTH regards!]

631. BC200XLT Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Karpuk <karpuk@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <770j71$j87$1@denws02.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 132319

Can someone help me to locate the IC-401 chip so I can hook up a discriminator output? The only chip that close (-10421) is in the lower left corner of the main board with the metal cage.

632. Re: BC200XLT Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <770l5smoc1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 132320

William Karpuk wrote in message <770j71$j87$1@denws02.mw.mediaone.net>...
>Can someone help me to locate the IC-401 chip so I can hook up a
>discriminator output? The only chip that close (-10421) is in the lower
left
>corner of the main board with the metal cage.
>

Actually, a better point to tap the discriminator is not the chip itself, but a location along the path from the discriminator to the filtering circuitry. I have tapped both my BC895 and my PRO-2026 in this manner by simply attaching some test leads to a speaker, grounding one, and then touching the other to each *logical* (in other words, best guess) solder point along the way. (You'll want to check each point with a meter first to make sure it's safe, don't do what I did and just bounce along the circuit hoping to get lucky<g>. You might get real lucky and fry your scanner to a crisp!) Once you find the point, it's very simple to solder a lead to it, MUCH easier than a pin on a chip (which you might fry if you use a cheapo soldering iron that gets it too hot, or if you didn't ground yourself, etc.) You don't even need a capacitor inline with the tap, the raw signal works just dandy.

I do have to admit though, that this is MUCH easier to do on a base unit than a handheld. I'm a little gunshy to do this to my BC230 just yet...

See this link for an idea of what I'm talking about:
http://www.geocities.com/Area51/Hollow/4346/2003_bc895_tap.html

-Phil
Looking for a program to run your BC895? Check out:
http://www.mghusa.com/scanner/pc895/
Simple to use and the price is right - free.
Suggestions, comments, questions and hatemail welcome,
ziggy7445@yahoo.com

633. fs : bc235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ibm <maclean02@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <770l1nig1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 132321
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
$ 185
e- mail if interested
```

634. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3693ba1a.3894762@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 132322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
>> Give it a rest, John. The war is over. If you want to start it up
>
>I was/am not trying to start anything. I only congratulated people
>who did good on this NG.

I see what you're doing. Knock it off, already. It's tiresome and
divisive, just like what Bill was doing.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

635. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3693D855.232C@usa.net>
Source record: 132323

MAlexder wrote:
> If there was ever any doubt who the trouble maker REALLY is, then

Since he lost his ISP account he has been fairly quiet so PLEASE stop
picking on him.

636. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3693D889.1A63@usa.net>
Source record: 132324

Phil Smalley wrote:

> John - KAOSSF wrote in message <36931D34.77B2@usa.net>...
> >Perhaps you should consider the following advice:
> >
> >It is far better to be silent & thought a fool than
> >speak & remove all doubt.

> So why did you even bother to open your trap,

Because that bit of advice I gave above is something you
should listen to & follow!

637. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3693DC75.1930@usa.net>
Source record: 132325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Phil Smalley wrote:
> The only problem with that is if we don't say something, then all the
> newbies who come in here will get pulled into

Apparently you believe that all people new to this NG are unable to
think
for themselves.

638. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3693DDE2.5382@usa.net>
Source record: 132326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> all this 6K of bullshitting is just that.

So are YOUR posts!

SNIP

> hint: bill cheeks has quit this NG.
> notice how few times the Stink
> had anything to say. Do as BIll
> Cheeks has done. he has let you
SNIP

This is true! Since Bill quit the NG he has had very little to say!
(like it would take a genious to figure that out!)

639. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3693DD8B.DF1@usa.net>
Source record: 132327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Oh, now it's getting deep.... you thought you had gotten me fired from
> Hughes, and you know it. And, once again, for the record, I state that I was
> not fired. I quit. Voluntarily.

Yes, you SUDDENLY quit your TEMPORY JOB at HUGHES AIR after being
addressed
on your abuse of their online services to cause problems here on this
NG.

SNIP
> you think that because it's
> good for John Mackey it's good for the group. What a crock!

I never said any of it was good for me. Are you argueing that
the quiet tranquil style as late of the newsgroup is NOT good for the
group?

> Then the world truly is full of idiots and fools.....

You, Phil, are a shinning example of that!

> I tried that, but since I do have friends in quite high places, it just

Where???? Chief Sanitation Engineer??? heheheheehhehehehe

> >> I remember when you LEFT for a couple of months. For a while there, I
> >
> >WRONG again! I have been here consistantly since july 1996 as a
> >dedicated member of this NG of r.r.s!
>
> Yeah, but you mercifully didn't POST anything.

So you now admit your statement was a lie or incorrect?

> >Please produce these posts with your supporting proof or be
> >proved wrong by default.
>
> Oh shut the hell up you ignorant bozo.

When asked to prove your statements you resort to grammar school type
insults, like above!

> your house. Don't you see what you are doing? You practically admitted
> canceling posts here! DUH......

Oh really!?!?!?!?! How did I do that?

> >I have never lied on this NG. If you want to say I did then post
> >your supporting proof of be found to be wrong by default.
>
> ROTFL!!! OK, heres your "proof" - EVERY WORD THAT COMES OUTTA YOUR FACE IS A
> FRIGGIN' LIE AND EVERYONE HERE KNOWS IT!!!!!!!!!! There's your proof - deny

Either present your proof or be found a liar by default!

> So, what
> then was the point of this post?

You're the one who has been continueing this on, so you can answer your
OWN question!

> >I never left! "much crap disappeared" (as YOU described it) when your
> >hero lost his ISP access!
> OK, I'll give you that one.

THANK YOU, we finally agree on one matter!

> OH JESUS, this is too much... let me remind you. He's the guy who you obsess
> over every day because he got you booted from Fidonet back when Moses was a

WRONG! I was never booted from Fidonet & am still welcome there if I
desire to access the Fidonet system.

> Perhaps you should stop changing your identification options in your
> newsreader so that the filter software can do it's job.

I have been using this same identity for over 2 years, Phil. WAKE UP,
STUPID!!!
Would you like to see proof of this ID for over 2 years? See below!

****
Re: Motorola page boy II
Author: John Mackey--KA0SSF
Email: jmackey@usa.net
Date: 1996/11/29
Forums: rec.radio.swap, rec.radio.scanner

SNIP

640. Re: uniden bc235xlt trunktracker 300 channel scanning radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <770nr6nin1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 132328

rfld@usa.net wrote in message <770jla$75k$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>I'd like to iquire to some more knowledgable folks about the uniden
bc235xlt
>trunktracker 300 channel scanning radio. I think this is the radio i will
>purchase. It seems to have the most of the features i want while still at
a
>price i can afford (or atleast stretch to afford).
OK. I'm sure you looked at Rich Wells site (http://www.strongsignals.net I
think...) and you mentioned that you read the FAQ's. Aside from these I'll
try to answer your questions as I purchased and evaluated one of these for a
friend.

> Unfortunatly it looks
>like what i might have preferred (a completely ungapped scanner from
>3mhz-1.3ghz) would cost $800 or more (or am i wrong?).
You can get an unblocked unit from Canada or Japan for about $500 or more
depending on the model.

This scanner goes up
>to 956MHz (is that high enough?) and has this trunk feature which I think i
>understand and am pretty sure i want to have (i definitly don't want to go
>out and spend another $200 on a new scanner with that feature if some of
the
>police departments in my area go to trunked - it's already more than i
wanted
>to spend now).
It takes more than just having a trunked scanner to be able to listen to a
trunked system. You also need to know the TYPE of trunked systems you are
going to monitor. If your local PD goes to an APCO-25 digital trunked
system, the most expensive scanner on earth won't let you monitor it. Take
the time to visit your local agencies' web sites and ask around - most of
them will have some verbage about their radio systems someplace, which can
be a valuable source of information about freq's and types of systems in
use. Most will have pic's of their cars and comm facilities also. These are
literally worth a thousand words. You will oftentimes be able to discern the
types of radios they use just from these pic's.

> My questions are - are there any other better models for a
>comparable price (If uniden gets this back in stock in their factory
serviced
>store i can get it for $165.00 otherwise it's going to be about $200-220 i
>think)?
Other than the soon-to-come BC245 SmartTracker handheld, I'd say no.
However, if you don't mind having a base scanner instead of a handheld, then
the BC895 would be my top choice, with the Pro 2066 running a close second.

>Does this scanner have the proper type of 'scanning/searching'
>feature as mentioned in teh scanner faq (i.e. it's not one specific range
you
>can't change)?
Yes, it can search between 29 and 956MHz with the exceptions of course being the gaps in coverage for cellular, Mil Air, etc.

>Does it have a discriminator output circuit that i can hook
>up to my pc or other decoder for getting those CTSCC and DCS codes (does it
>even have the ability to use those codes)?
Nope and nope. The scanner does not have a discriminator tap built in (I don't off-hand know of any handhelds that DO - anyone know of any?) and it wouldn't have the first clue as to what to do with a CTCSS Tone. This is where the BC895 really shines - it is very easily modified for a discriminator tap and the ability to decode CTCSS is built right in.

> Is this scanner gapped? What
>will I miss?
Yup. You will miss cellular (naturally), Military Air, Broadcast TV and radio, among other things. But, for the average monitor, the frequency coverage on this scanner is sufficient.

> I want to listen to EVERYTHING i possibly can but i DON'T want
>to miss out on police, fire, fbi, etc. which I understand might happen if i
>don't get a scanner with trunking capability.

Well, first off, take any old scanner, open the squelch all the way up and listen - that's what the FBI sounds like these days. I rarely hear ANY comm's in the clear from them...

As close as the trunktrackers come, we're still a long way off from having one radio that does it all - I do manage to cover a good portion of the spectrum with the 4 radios that I have, but to hear EVERYTHING would mean gathering a pretty hefty collection.

> I think that about covers it
>if anyone else has any suggestions on other features i should look
for/other
>models to consider please let me know. Thanks.

Well, you might also consider (again, only if a base scanner isn't out of the question) a couple of good ol' PRO 2006's. Slap on some additional circuit boards and IC's, snip a diode here and there and plug the whole mess into your PC and you'll have a setup that rivals the best money can buy. You'll spend about $500 for it all but its worth every penny. You can trunktrack Motorola, EDACS, monitor pager and MDT traffic, cellular, aircraft, CB, damn near everything, and you'll have about 50 thousand channels between the two to stuff it all in. Something to think on...

-Phil

>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

641. Re: waking up IC-Q7A and ICR2 clone software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF55sw1.7v0@netcom.com>
Source record: 132329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <770ins$r4c$1@news1.fast.net>,
Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net> wrote:

>It sure doesn't seem to be a y2k-1 bug:
>
>Here's the deal. If you roll the clock back to '98 [a full year is ok, and
>doing it from the windows time set is ok too], and ALSO roll back the date
>stamp on BOTH win.ini and system.ini [I use a version of the Unix 'touch'
>utility], then ICR2BETA [and, I suppose, the Q7A software] will at least
>start up. I have not tried actual clone operations to see if there are any
>additional date-stamp dependencies, as my R2 is on loan for the week.
>
>I am surprised to see this kind of checking in a program offered as
>freeware....

Thanks Clay, I don't know fow you figured that one out!

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

642. Re: CTCSS codes for ICOM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <etQ3XKcO#GA.296@ntawwabp.compuserve.com>
Source record: 132330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mhartmann@csi.com (Mike Hartmann) asked:
> Can anyone post the ICOM (I have a U-16) equivalents to CTCSS codes?

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on ftp://oak.oakland.edu
as /pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scanner.faf

CTCSS (PL) Frequencies

Freq Designator Freq Designator Freq Designator
(Hz) EIA Ham (Hz) EIA Ham (Hz) EIA Ham

67.0 L1 01 110.9 2Z 15 179.9 6B 29
71.9 L2 02 114.8 2A 16 186.2 7Z 30
74.4 WA 03 118.8 2B 17 192.8 7A 31
77.0 L3 04 123.0 3Z 18 203.5 M1 32
79.7 SP 05 127.3 3A 19 206.5 8Z
82.5 L4 06 131.8 3B 20 210.7 M2 33
85.4 YA 07 136.5 4Z 21 218.1 M3 34
88.5 L4A 08 141.3 4A 22 225.7 M4 35
91.5 ZZ 09 146.2 4B 23 229.1 9Z
94.8 L5 10 151.4 5Z 24 233.6 M5 36
97.4 ZB 11 156.7 5A 25 241.8 M6 37
100.0 1Z 12 162.2 5B 26 250.3 M7 38
103.5 1A 13 167.9 6Z 27 254.1 OZ
107.2 1B 14 173.8 6A 28

Motorola differs from EIA with: XZ (L1), XA (L2), XB (L3),
YZ (L4), YB (L4A), ZA (L5). ICOMM does not use 97.4 and uses one
less than the amateur numbers above that (i.e. ICOM #25 = 162.2).

643. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <770ptd$o8d$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 132331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

John - KAOSSF wrote in message <3693DC75.1930@usa.net>...
>Phil Smalley wrote:
>> The only problem with that is if we don't say something, then all the
>> newbies who come in here will get pulled into
>
>Apparently you believe that all people new to this NG are unable to
>think
>for themselves.

Blah blah blah. Squawk Squawk Squawk. Don't you ever shut up?

I've fallen for the flamebait long enough. You will of course reply to this post because John Mackey is too childish, petty and small to let anyone else have the last word, but I refuse to participate in your stupid insignifigant pissing contest any further. Despite what you claim , you, I and everyone else knows full well that your intention was to disrupt this group once again - maybe even get Bill Cheek to reply to you so you could have something to do besides pick your nose, and we all fell for it. Well no more. Consider yourself dismissed. I'd rather talk about scanners and scanning than the life and times of our infamous village idiot, the ridiculous John Mackey - KAOSSF.

Can I get an "amen?"
-Phil

644. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr RAT <rat@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <5YTDixAU2+k2EwmD@rsm6.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 132332

>range of the phone. The phone manufacturers have a very loose version
>of what they call secure. Again, if the phone does not say dss on it
>then it aint. Keith

As far as the manufacturers are concerned, "secure" means that it uses a digital signalling 'squawk' code with a large number of possible combinations to contact the base unit from the handset, and thus is [relatively] secure from someone else handset seizing u*your* phone line and thus making calls on your phone bill, *NOT* that the radio comms circuits are secure! if you buy such a phone you may be lucky[?] enough to find a warning in the instruction manual that 'conversations may be overheard on some radio receivers'

In Britain [and elsewhere] many cordless phones are sold as 'digital security coded' as they have this anti-fraud feature, but the voice comms are still good ol' analogue.

As others have said only the DECT phones are actually digital *voice* transmission - though with the increasing computing power being available it would not surprise me if even this protocol is eventually cracked by the early part of the 21st century or sooner

--
Mr R@T - " ï¿½ bon rat, bon rat..." - Extreme Paranoia Party, UK
Attention:To reply by e-mail replace the domain name "nospam" with "rsm6"
Warning to spammers: nospam.demon.co.uk is a *valid* domain!
You *will* be traced, and your ISP notified!

645. An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <770qp6ogn1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 132333

I think I should apologize to the group for my behavior regarding John Mackey, who we all know to be the biggest liar of all time. I, despite knowing better, attempted to show this person the error of his ways, only to have him flapping his beak all the more. He is apparently oblivious to the fact that 90% of this NG thinks he is pond scum. Now, he is back to his old tricks, flaming people, then denying the very fact, lying then asking that we prove he is lying, dragging up the past and distorting it to serve his purpose, talking about ANYTHING except scanning, and so on and so on. He only wants to disrupt this group and I have had enough. I'm sick of playing his little game, and I apologize for inadvertantly contributing to HIS disruption of the group. I will do so no more. I can only hope that others will do the same.

-Phil
--
Looking for a program to run your BC895? Check out:
http://www.mghusa.com/scanner/pc895/
Simple to use and the price is right - free.
Suggestions, comments, questions and hatemail welcome,
ziggy7445@yahoo.com

646. ERICSSON UNENCRYPTED AEGIS DIGITAL MODE?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: qcsra@info-internet.net
Message-ID: <4NSk2.523$mn1.76585303@news1.mtl.metronet.ca>
Source record: 132334

IF YOU HAVE ANY INFORMATIONS ABOUT
UNENCRYPTED AEGIS DIGITAL MODE ON
EDACSSYSTEM

PLEASE SEND ME AN E-MAIL ABOUT IT

THANK

MAX

qcsra@info-internet.net

647. Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <xUSk2.100$CI.1776@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 132335

Is there any way to follow a trunked service on a regular scanner? I usually just have my scanner on known locak freqs, but I have the NJ State Police frequencies programmed in, and it seems like I can't get a complete picture of what's going on. I have a Radio Shack PRO-2042 scanner - no Opto card.

Thanks,

Paul

648. Re: RT Systems IC-Q7A Clone Software failure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4id@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76uo78$hu6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Doug, try changing the year in your computer's bios to 1998, save it, boot up
your machine, and then try to load the software. I tried this and the
software loaded. This is the first "Y99K" bug I have seen! Jack

In article <douglasF53KAs.DD3@netcom.com>,
douglas@netcom.com (Doug Mason) wrote:
> In article <76tflh$c3r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, <tross@clara.net> wrote:
>
> >> software from www.hamradio.cz worked perfect both ways using RT's cable. No
> >> response to email sent to RT over two weeks ago. My radio is an q7e and no
> >> receives from 0- 1900mhz.
>
> Has anyone been able to get this software (from www.hamradio.cz) to work
> since 1/1/1999? It seems to have "expired" and will no longer run on
> several different machines that ran it previously.
>
> --Doug
>
> --
> Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
> 1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

649. Re: Help with Fairfax VA and VA State Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: vanr86@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105210615.22117.00004035@ng60.aol.com>
Source record: 132337
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>Help with Fairfax VA and VA State Freqs.

I can help with the state police(they are not trunked) check my page at:
http://www.geocities.com/BourbonStreet/Bayou/2208/
Van
KF4CDN

Check out the
Hampton Roads Scanner Page
www.geocities.com/BourbonStreet/Bayou/2208

650. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3692CA33.430385BF@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132338

Talkyal wrote:

> >Zook Music wrote in message <915476856.365692@soam.wwnet.net>...
>
> >>Does anyone know of an adapter or product that will allow a scanner to
> >>automatically start and stop a tape recorder. >
> >>Your help is greatly appreciated!!!!!
>
> It would be really cool if you could get
> computer software to voice-activated record
> your scanner onto an MPEG file in your
> computer's hard drive. Then, you would
> have MPEG files of "hot" scanner action to
> immediately send via email. Now, that would
> be cool. Imagine if you captured an entire
> "world news story" on MPEG and could
> immediately send it via email to the local news.
> Wouldn't that be cool ???
>
> talkyal

I use and recommend RecAll, a voice activated recording program at:
http://www.sagebrush.com/~sells/

No, it isn't MPEG, but it does record .wav files, which can
be emailed, which I do with my brother.

Happy scanning,
Jon

651. Re: Best Antenna for a BC235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990105213502.25229.00008050@ng32.aol.com>
Source record: 132339

If you want I will ship you a terrific antenna I use that is discontinued from radio shack so they are selling them for $3.00. If you want, i would try to ship if you pay all charges, i will not take a profit. They were designed for tv but with coax and a bnc connector it works on my Pro-90 (Rs EXACT of BC-235) e-mail me for more info
-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

652. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kegan30317@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105214122.14858.00007878@ng16.aol.com>
Source record: 132340

>I'm willing to compile a list of of desired features -- a "wish list"
>for the next Uniden TT scanners

Alpha numeric display capability. Cross the ID with its alpha equivilent

653. Re: freware ICR2 clone software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <MEAk2.8097$tr6.1838@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 132341

Clay:

It appears to be working now.

Bill Crocker

Clay Schneider wrote in message <76ub3c$l4r$1@news1.fast.net>...
>The freeware icr2 cloning software at:
>
> http://home.t-online.de/home/Ingra-Beton/
>
>appears to have died 1/1/99. Anyone have any info on this? [I have sent
>mail to the author -- Goran Vlaski -- but there has been no activity by him
>since 11/22 when he announced the availability of this software.
>
>Anyone know anything, please post.
>
>Thanks! Clay
>
>
>
>
>

654. FS: Alinco DJ-X10K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: paulegan@interlog.com
Message-ID: <3692df76.6716800@news.interlog.com>
Source record: 132342

```
100Khz to 2Ghz
Medium wave, Short wave, VHF, UHF.
All in one Handheld
WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW
1200 Memories
Not cellular blocked
Battery and Charger
Manual and strap

Mint Condition

$300 US firm

email: paulegan@interlog.com
```

655. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <76upa5$ga8@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In <76un80$7j7$1@news.xmission.com> "Dan" <n7wpd@xxmission.com> writes:

>
>That's nice to know, but I have sent them several emails inquiring
about
>equipment, and I have yet to hear back from them. It's been over a
week
>now.
>I guess they don't like to return email.
>
>Dan / N7WPD
>
>
>
OH...you are one of those, no.

exclamation mark intertwined in a question mark

u sound so unsmart.

they did not return your e-mail. How sorry for you.

they ignored your need to be heard in the
chasm of your loneliness at it's deepest
depth beyond even your estimation of where
it really is: try pity poor little you.

you sent an e-mail. How original. How static.

You reveal so much about your "persona" that I'm
sure they really don't need your business...why?

you may get what you want and then bitch that
it was not wrapped in "a plain wrapper" so no
one would know you ordered via the mail.

you guess they don't like to return mail...how
petulent. How drastic. How stupid.

Why did you write this drivel? did you think
of calling them on their 800 number and "talk"
to a real live man.

What did you do to deserve us? How were we to
have given you the solace you so undeservedly
cannot have?

do you converse with the stink? u must. so
much stupidity shared with each other to come
up with this muddled bullshit plea for "instant
attention" for which there is no "echo"....

who cares that you cannot think your way out of
your problem? they have more important things
to do than "rub your un-joy shank".....

now, on with scanners.....they do sell them.

bill conduit
etc.

656. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105233441.04270.00001360@ng41.aol.com>
Source record: 132344

>From: kegan30317@aol.com (Kegan30317)

>>I'm willing to compile a list of of desired features -- a "wish list"
>>for the next Uniden TT scanners

APCO - 25 digital compliant !

talkyal

657. Super Scanner Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: TKD <rt12@montrose.net>
Message-ID: <01be392e$edf52f20$45c385cc@default>
Source record: 132345

The Promax antenna outperforms most outdoor antennas plus it is an indoor
coil type!
Ive tried most type including Discone mast type
The company is offering a 10% discount! works on all
scanners and bands!
see
http://flashdistributor.com

658. Needed: FDNY EMS (NYCEMS) Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106005156.18739.00000919@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 132346

Hello all!

I am looking for an updated list of all NYC EMS freqs. I know queens now has 2
and SI has a UHF one too.
Any info is greatly appreciated!!

Thanks!

Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue

"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

659. Re: freware ICR2 clone software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF54JJw.FAM@netcom.com>
Source record: 132347

In article <76ub3c$l4r$1@news1.fast.net>,
Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net> wrote:

>The freeware icr2 cloning software at:

>
> http://home.t-online.de/home/Ingra-Beton/
>
>appears to have died 1/1/99. Anyone have any info on this? [I have sent
>mail to the author -- Goran Vlaski -- but there has been no activity by him
>since 11/22 when he announced the availability of this software.

I can tell you this much, it expires in a clever way. With the help of
another reader I tried some tests. Keep in mind that I had this software
running on two different machines, a Win98 and a Win95 box, all was well.

After 1/1/99 the software stopped working. Even turning the clock back
didn't seem to allow it to re-activate.

On the other machine I turned the clock back FIRST and then ran the software,
what do you know, it works. I then set the clock back to 1999 and the
software didn't run. Turned it back to 1998 and now it doesn't work, so
there is a file somewhere that is being written to to say that the software
has expired so even if you turn back the clock, it knows and still won't run.

I went through all the executables looking for references to hidden files,
did text searches and search all over my hard drive for hidden or system
files that might have been created -- can't find anything. I'm sure that
this is probably how it is being done (create a tiny hidden file somewhere
and look for it every time the software runs). I haven't spent a ton of
time on it but it isn't anything obvious.

I too sent a message to the author and haven't heard anything. Too bad,
it was really nice software.

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

660. Re: RT Systems IC-Q7A Clone Software failure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF54Js9.FqM@netcom.com>
Source record: 132348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <76uo78$hu6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, <ke4id@my-dejanews.com> wrote:

>Doug, try changing the year in your computer's bios to 1998, save it, boot up
>your machine, and then try to load the software. I tried this and the
>software loaded. This is the first "Y99K" bug I have seen! Jack

I tried just changing it in the system clock and rebooting, does it really
need to be changed in the BIOS? I don't even know how to get into it on
this Thinkpad? Just changing it at the DOS prompt and rebooting doesn't
seem to do it!

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

661. Re: freware ICR2 clone software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF54JM0.FIH@netcom.com>
Source record: 132349

In article <MEAk2.8097$tr6.1838@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>,
Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote:
>Clay:
>
>It appears to be working now.

Bill -- are you sure? The link works but the software doesn't seem to work.
The stuff on the FTP site is still from Nov 16 so I don't think it has been
updated.

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

662. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Augelli <someplace@anywhere.com>
Message-ID: <76v25feid1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 132350
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Zook Music wrote in message <915476856.365692@soam.wwnet.net>...
>Does anyone know of an adapter or product that will allow a scanner to
>automatically start and stop a tape recorder

Try Scanner Recorder 1.4.1 Beta at: http://www.davee.com/scanrec.html

It has a user-defined threshold so there is no need for a vox. It saves
files in WAV format.

663. Re: ACARS Freq's for SCC wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rckrzy1@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990106030101.16504.00008352@ng115.aol.com>
Source record: 132351

Acars is AM. base freq 131.55, 2nd freq is 130.025, 3rd is 129.125, and 4th is 130.45 (only
large airports have this freq). I am Arinc's
acars depot tech for all acars which btw is
like global now

Adam
Anymore ?'s email me, I don't freq this group,
I'm a nascar fan looking for scope on scanners..

Adam Tucker
AMA 520901
Hurst Tx
ICQ#27191334
Remove "NOSPAM" to Reply by Email

664. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369318E1.3A3A@usa.net>
Source record: 132352

Blake Bowers wrote:

> John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
> >Who referred people to the "newsgroup charter"?

> Why Gosh John, I believe you have.

I don't remember saying that & a search I did on Dejanews doesn't show where I said such a statement. If you still insist I made such a statement
please qoute the message with the date & subject or have your statement considered null & void for lack of validity.

> Nope John, my ISP will only take action for valid complaints, none of

When did they start this policy?

665. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3693198A.F9B@usa.net>
Source record: 132353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Scott-

Thank you for your advice & support. Such articles as you point out
seem to be typical of Blake's behavior, how sad.

Scott Maxell wrote:

> What a surprise. New Year, and two equally feeble posts -
> deliberately designed to antagonize the other person involved.
SNIP
> specifically directed at either person. It was posted as a reply
> to Blake arbitrarily....

666. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with inte

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369319C2.6C8B@usa.net>
Source record: 132354

Tom Walker wrote:

> B> From: thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL one!))
SNIP

> Boy did I have a comeback to that one. But thought better and it best be left
> Unsaid! :-)

hehehehe, sometimes that is very true!

Thanks for your support Tom!

667. Re: bill gates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabrizio <AvStrien@usa.net>
Message-ID: <76v5pd$4qkc$1@ns0.klm.nl>
Source record: 132355

Information wrote in message
<76mqub$djtm$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>...
> you are quite possibly smoking crack....
>
> Dose any body know if bill gates has a security force at his house??
>
>

Wodduyumean? Of course he has, and they use handhelds
to communicate the following way. You open the mike by
pressing 'Ctl-Alt-Delete', which causes the display to prompt

"What do you want: Speak, Listen, or Divorce from you wife?"

If you select "Speak", the screen will blink with the text

"GPF code 003F 2C44 A37B 1882 C4FD D552"

causing you to shout loudly, which effectively causes you to
speak (remember, you selected "Speak"?)

If, however, you would have chosen "Listen", the device just
shuts down, going into absolute silence, not responding to
any power-on sequence unless you press "Power Off", in
which case the built-in hard disk (never knew that was part
of a handheld) starts to run, rumble, spin and shatter without
noticeable result. With astonishment you take the handheld
to your ear and listen to what happens inside. Yes, indeed,
you selected "Listen".

And now, (you're eager, aren't you?), here is what happens
when you select "Divorce from your wife":

668. Re: ic-r2 ... do you like it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabrizio <AvStrien@usa.net>
Message-ID: <76v63k$39r6$1@ns0.klm.nl>
Source record: 132356

ibm wrote in message <76mlq4nil1@juliana.sprynet.com>...
> what do you think of the IC - r2 ?

Take a look at http://www.dejanews.com, it has been discussed dozens of times. Also make sure you read Rich Wells' review at http://www.strongsignals.net , the (ultimate?) scanner site. Brgds, Fabrizio

669. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36931BBD.62DE@usa.net>
Source record: 132357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote:

> On Sun, 03 Jan 1999 19:10:14 -0800, John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
> wrote:
SNIP

> Amen brother!

Thanks for your support, kryppy!

happy new year!

670. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36931D34.77B2@usa.net>
Source record: 132358

Perhaps you should consider the following advice:

It is far better to be silent & thought a fool than
speak & remove all doubt.

MAlexder wrote:
> Yeah, unfortunately you decide to post your

671. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36931D77.17D@usa.net>
Source record: 132359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
> Give it a rest, John. The war is over. If you want to start it up

I was/am not trying to start anything. I only congratulated people
who did good on this NG.

672. Re: icom r2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabrizio <AvStrien@usa.net>
Message-ID: <76v6hl$3itk$1@ns0.klm.nl>
Source record: 132360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You must be kidding ... why do you place 2 posts within short time span, one to get inquiries on IC-R2, and then trying to sell one in your next post? Are you selling something you didn't experience with, or whatever?
Fabrizio (proud owner of an IC-R2)

ibm wrote in message <76ulnl$2vt$1@juliana.sprynet.com>...

> fs: icom r2
>
> $175

673. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36931E81.BBA@usa.net>
Source record: 132361

George Vetterle wrote:
> Maybe hot air kept you aloft for that long in the manner of a self-
> propelled hot air buffoon. Heh, Heh, Heh!

heheheheehheehhehehheheheehe

I've always appreciated you humor, George!

674. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36931F05.34D4@usa.net>
Source record: 132362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

K patterson wrote:

> Well how else would you describe the post that started THIS thread.
> Its obvious you wanted to stir up MORE trouble.

Please describe how you can interpret a post of "congratulations"
which mentioned NO specifics names or individuals into a post of
stirring up trouble.

675. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36931F3C.6606@usa.net>
Source record: 132363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

sillyarse@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> Hmmm. It seems the tiny troll has emerged from his cave once more. Apparently
> he found an ISP that he can use until he gets booted once again and has to
> find yet another one. Does anyone have the number for Trolls Anonymous? ("Hi,

I don't think I would go far enough to say that. Blake & Bill have been
fairly quiet lately.

676. Trunked or Not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daddy0 <fsargent@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <RBFk2.5$Qb6.318@news7.ispnews.com>
Source record: 132364

2 weeks ago could no longer hear PD on their regular frequencies. An article came out in the paper about them moving to a new trunking system. I purchased a Pro 91
and got the 5 frequencies from the National Public Safety Trunked System Frequency Guide. I loaded them in a trunk band, and started the search function.
Nothing happened, it never did pickup a transmission... I also loaded the 5 freqs in my Pro 46 and pick them up by conventional scanning. Is it possible that the PD is using the new freqs but they are not trunked? The article did state they were using the old system until they get additional antennas and equipment installed. Any opinions on this situation?

677. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36932515.121@usa.net>
Source record: 132365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Then, when Bill lost his account and I left Hughes you claimed victory.

While you are correct about Bill losing his account, you are wrong on
2 other points. First, you were terminated from Hughes & second, I
NEVER
claimed any type of "victory".

> the truth be known John, you really had nothing to do with either. I moved
> on to greener pastures, and to the best of my knowledge, so has Bill!

I never claimed responsibility for either, so what is your point?

> Take a good look around John. What did you win?

Nothing, I never claimed to win anything. Again, what is your
point?

(However, this NG did win a great victory!)

> You, on the other hand are
> greeted by a chorus of boos and hisses wherever you go!

WRONG!

The supporting posts & even greater e-mail I receive prove your
statement
to be false.

> what's it like to not have any friends who
> will admit to the fact? I can't imagine what that must be like,

Sure you can, look in the mirror & ask yourself!

> I remember when you LEFT for a couple of months. For a while there, I

WRONG again! I have been here consistantly since july 1996 as a
dedicated member of this NG of r.r.s!

> You have claimed to be things that you are not, there are

Please produce these posts with your supporting proof or be
proved wrong by default.

> and the cancels to which you
> refer were done a few miles from your home

So then you are stating that the cancels were done some distance from
my home and NOT at my home. Thank you!

> He attempted to lie or
> >cheat his way out of every single matter, & many ill-advised people

> Again, the exact same things can be said of YOU! How is that any different?

I have never lied on this NG. If you want to say I did then post
your supporting proof of be found to be wrong by default.

> Yeah but guess what? HE IS STILL HERE!!!

His behavior has change GREATLY for the better. The desire was never to
make anyone leave, only to change the inappropriate behavior.
Therefore,
the action was a success.

> How many ISP's have you been booted from
> Johnnie
SNIP
> More than "his" one, that's for sure!

I have never been booted from any ISP, unlike the person you are
referring
to!

> No thanks to you. Do a dejanews search and see how much crap dissappeared
> when YOU left.

I never left! "much crap disappeared" (as YOU described it) when your
hero
lost his ISP access!

> Referring to Hughes again John? You really are delusional - I'd hate to be

I never mentioned "Hughes" so YOU must be the "delusional" one!

> Then there are people like you who don't have anything to say unless it's
> about Bill Cheek.

I have made MANY posts that have nothing to do with him & I can't
remember the
last time I have typed his name. (Sometimes I even forget what that
guy's
name is!!!!!!)

> I hate to break it to ya buddy, but no one really cares
> what Bill Cheek did to you last year.

Neither do I! Did I ever say that I thought anyone did care?

> And how dare you weasel past my filters!

Perhaps you should learn how to use your filters or else obtain
quality filter software.

678. Re: antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: fivex5ive@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106040842.06160.00005209@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 132366

I was asking you how you groung your antenna that you had talk about in your post in this NG. I don,t need to ground my handhelds just the outdoor antenna from lighting

679. AOR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ALE <p.i.mancini@omniway.sm>
Message-ID: <76v80v$p73$1@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>
Source record: 132367

My enghlish is not good.
I have a scanner AOR 8000 and i want sostware for control my scanner.
If it is possibile, freeware , because im italian (Republic of San Marino)
and i have not a credit card .

680. GE-EDACS / MPT ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "That old guy again." <telecomdealmaker@yahooXXX.com>
Message-ID: <3693212E.38E0@yahooXXX.com>
Source record: 132368

Hello,

Where can i find GE-EDACS and MPT trunk software ?
Many thanks.

best regards
--
==========
NOSPAM PLEASE REMOVE XXX TO SEND REMAIL NOSPAM

Windows 95: A 32-bit graphical shell for a 16-bit patch to an 8-bit
operating system originally encoded for a 4-bit microprocessor
by a 2-bit company who can't stand 1 bit of competition.

681. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nexus@ametro.net
Message-ID: <76vgu1$t11$1@osh1.datasync.com>
Source record: 132369
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just FYI, Jackson County Sheriffs Office, in Mississippi
has been using Motorola ASTRO now for 2 years, they migrated
to it from a standard Type IIi system, the Type IIi is still
there and other departments are using it now, but the S.O.
is the only one in my area to be using ASTRO, we're on the
Gulf Coast of Mississippi, it borders on the alabama state
line on the coast.

BTW, I don't know when they are going to come out with a scanner
that will do ASTRO, so I went and bought me a SABER ASTRO and a
Spectra ASTRO for the truck :P~~~

Jimmy

: Rob Stevens wrote in message <3671B3A6.B61FE449@nospam.com>...
: >How many agencies in the United States, and Canada are
: >currently using, or plan on using in the
: >near future a Motorola ASTRO Trunked Radio System? Also, is
: >there a scanner available that
: >will decode this type of system?
: >Rob

Jimmy

SotMESC International, http://www.sotmesc.org
Listserv: csp-list@sotmesc.org type subscribe
Spur of the Moment Elite Social Club / GCMS

682. Re: any uk scanners about

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dlIGU9And0k2Ewg3@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 132370

In article <19990105091005.06562.00007511@ng08.aol.com>, Lozsing
<lozsing@aol.com> writes

Hi,

>intrested in hearing fron any uk people intrested in scanning

Try the UK scanning newsgroup if you have anything "UK specific".

alt.radio.scanner.uk

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

683. Re: Trunking woes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nexus@ametro.net
Message-ID: <76vgfhsuf1@osh1.datasync.com>
Source record: 132371

Bryan Risley (bryris@iname.com) hath said:
: Scanner fans,
: PS......When I scan the frequencies conventionally, I hear transmissions
: followed by a high pitched "beep---beep-----beep"

Thats a GE system, the trunk trackers will only work with the
Motorola II and IIi (hybrid) trunking systems. GE is the one that
has the *beep* *beep* *beep* at the end of a transmission.

Jimmy
--
John 3:16
For God so loved the world that he gave his
only begotten Son that whoever believes in
him shall not perish but have eternal life.
I believe, do you? Jimmy, nexus@ametro.net

684. Re: AOR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7IEPEBBjk0k2EwFt@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 132372
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <76v80v$p73$1@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>, ALE
writes

Hi,

>My enghlish is not good.

Much better than my Italian !

>I have a scanner AOR 8000 and i want sostware for control my scanner.
>If it is possibile, freeware , because im italian (Republic of San Marino)
>and i have not a credit card .

There is a considerable number of packages that support the AR8000
although most are Shareware (small cost) through to quite expensive
Commercial Packages.

I hope this may be of some help.

JAV-Scan 8000
http://www.javiation.co.uk
Supports the optional DX-8000 Narrow AM Board and DS-8000 Speech
Inversion Board.

ARC for Windows
http://arc.mypage.org

AOR PC-Manager For Windows
http://www.demon.co.uk/aor

ScanStar Delux by Signal Intelligence
http://www.scanstar.com

ScanCat Gold for Windows by Computer Aided Technologies
http://www.scancat.com

Radio Manager for Windows by Ben Saladino
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/Ben_Saladino/

8K - A simple AR8000 utility
http://www.mnsinc.com/phares01/aor.html

AR8000 Channel Commander
http://www.odcombe.demon.co.uk/8kcc_readme.htm

MacScan8000 by Howard Bornstein at Design EQ (Macintosh)
http://www.designeq.com

AR8000 Toolkit (Macintosh)
http://www.mich.com/~eddy/works/

ScannerWear by Radio Control Systems Inc
http://www.radioscan.com

Have fun.
Cheers,

/---\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K.	AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Commtel 205/216/510, Icom IC-R2/R10/PCR1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627 \ Realistic PRO-26/2042, Standard AX400B
Clough@javiation.co.uk \ UBC-3000XLT/9000XLT, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000
http://www.javiation.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000
\---/

685. Re: AOR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: richardrosa@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <zOIk2.13695$n4.104290434@WReNphoon2>
Source record: 132373

For AOR-8000 software, check out RadioCtl at

www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/1552

Enjoy

Richard Rosa

*** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ***

686. Re: 900mhz Cordless Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison <ka8tok@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <36935BE6.684B8B25@four-way.net>
Source record: 132374
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: ka8tok.vcf

go to this site.
http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/cordless.htm

Bert Burland wrote:

> Anyone have the 900mhz cordless frequencies handy?
>
> Bert from S.E.Oklahoma U.S.A.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

687. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffl@dynasty.net
Message-ID: <36935c3a.1807948@news.dynasty.net>
Source record: 132375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 5 Jan 1999 21:08:13 -0700, "Dan" <n7wpd@xxmission.com> wrote:

>That's nice to know, but I have sent them several emails inquiring about
>equipment, and I have yet to hear back from them. It's been over a week
>now.
>I guess they don't like to return email.
>
>Dan / N7WPD
>
>
>
>Joe K7JOE <k7joe@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:3692D42E.AB491202@yahoo.com...
>>Only time dealing with THE HAM STATION was as a college student and
>>president of the Indiana University - Bloomington ham radio club. We
>>wanted to get a new HF rig, and after 4 years of fund raising and
>>dues collecting, we had enough to buy an Icom IC-735. He met me at
>>a local hamfest and gave me the rig -- no money changed hands,
>>no invoice, no bill. Just handed it to me and we "shook" on the deal.
>>I promised the university would send him a check from our student
>>organization account.
>>
>>The university later -- much later -- sent him payment for the rig (from
>>our student account). He never complained once about the red tape in
>>getting his money 6 months late!
>>
>>That's the only place I buy gear now. Been a loyal customer
>>since 1987 or so.
>>
>>Joe K7JOE
>>
>>
>>Matt Gilbert wrote:
>>>
>>> I too have nothing but good things to say about the ham station.
>>>
>>> I drove there to try out a used amp, we sat there in the floor,
>>> powered it up, and couldn't get it to tune up or peak more than
>>> 700watts. Now, Dan had to get out a rig, a PS, the cables and
>>> a dummy load to do all this. We dinked with this thing for about
>>> an hour, he even called the owner to see if there was something
>>> we were missing. Now that's service.
>>>

>>> Needless to say, I walked out of there with an amp, not that one
>>> but one that worked easy enough for this moron to handle.
>>>
>>> The thing I liked the most about it was when I walked in there,
>>> it felt like I had just walked into a hamfest (and a very disorganized
>>> one at that). The place is just great.
>>>
>>> Great store, great service.
>>>
>>> Matt
>>> K8KDR
>
>
Dan send ONE more we try very hard to stay right on top of ALL emails
we receive.
My self or Dan will respond

Thanks
Jeff
THE HAM STATION

688. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: pmb1010@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <76vmvdbei1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Returned mine send a couple of days ago, next day. Two emails
since, 2 replies right away. Gets my vote of confidence.

In article <76un80$7j7$1@news.xmission.com>,
"Dan" <n7wpd@xxmission.com> wrote:
> That's nice to know, but I have sent them several emails inquiring about
> equipment, and I have yet to hear back from them. It's been over a week
> now.
> I guess they don't like to return email.
>
> Dan / N7WPD
>
> Joe K7JOE <k7joe@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3692D42E.AB491202@yahoo.com...
> >Only time dealing with THE HAM STATION was as a college student and
> >president of the Indiana University - Bloomington ham radio club. We
> >wanted to get a new HF rig, and after 4 years of fund raising and
> >dues collecting, we had enough to buy an Icom IC-735. He met me at
> >a local hamfest and gave me the rig -- no money changed hands,
> >no invoice, no bill. Just handed it to me and we "shook" on the deal.
> >I promised the university would send him a check from our student
> >organization account.
> >
> >The university later -- much later -- sent him payment for the rig (from
> >our student account). He never complained once about the red tape in
> >getting his money 6 months late!
> >
> >That's the only place I buy gear now. Been a loyal customer
> >since 1987 or so.
> >
> >Joe K7JOE
> >
> >
> >Matt Gilbert wrote:
> >>
> >> I too have nothing but good things to say about the ham station.
> >>
> >> I drove there to try out a used amp, we sat there in the floor,
> >> powered it up, and couldn't get it to tune up or peak more than
> >> 700watts. Now, Dan had to get out a rig, a PS, the cables and
> >> a dummy load to do all this. We dinked with this thing for about
> >> an hour, he even called the owner to see if there was something
> >> we were missing. Now that's service.

> >>
> >> Needless to say, I walked out of there with an amp, not that one
> >> but one that worked easy enough for this moron to handle.
> >>
> >> The thing I liked the most about it was when I walked in there,
> >> it felt like I had just walked into a hamfest (and a very disorganized
> >> one at that). The place is just great.
> >>
> >> Great store, great service.
> >>
> >> Matt
> >> K8KDR
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

689. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n7wpd@xxmission.com>
Message-ID: <76vn8dguj1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 132377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Excuse me but who the hell are you? Do you know me?
NO you don't!

I am a Motorola radio tech for a local mining company and my hours do not permit me to call them on the phone. They're not open when I go to work, and they're not open when I get home. Would it be better for me to MAIL them a letter?!?! Maybe I better send them a message via packet?

BTW: I don't give damn what it's wrapped in as long as it's reasonably priced and arrives on my doorstep in the condition promised.

So who pissed in your breakfast?

GO back under your rock, you weenie.

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:76upa5$ga8@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com...
>In <76un80$7j7$1@news.xmission.com> "Dan" <n7wpd@xxmission.com> writes:
>
>>
>>That's nice to know, but I have sent them several emails inquiring
>about
>>equipment, and I have yet to hear back from them. It's been over a
>week
>>now.
>>I guess they don't like to return email.
>>
>>Dan / N7WPD
>>
>>
>>
> OH...you are one of those, no.
>
> exclamation mark intertwined in a question mark
>
> u sound so unsmart.
>
> they did not return your e-mail. How sorry for you.
>
> they ignored your need to be heard in the
> chasm of your loneliness at it's deepest
> depth beyond even your estimation of where
> it really is: try pity poor little you.
>
> you sent an e-mail. How original. How static.

>
> You reveal so much about your "persona" that I'm
> sure they really don't need your business...why?
>
> you may get what you want and then bitch that
> it was not wrapped in "a plain wrapper" so no
> one would know you ordered via the mail.
>
> you guess they don't like to return mail...how
> petulent. How drastic. How stupid.
>
> Why did you write this drivel? did you think
> of calling them on their 800 number and "talk"
> to a real live man.
>
> What did you do to deserve us? How were we to
> have given you the solace you so undeservedly
> cannot have?
>
> do you converse with the stink? u must. so
> much stupidity shared with each other to come
> up with this muddled bullshit plea for "instant
> attention" for which there is no "echo"....
>
> who cares that you cannot think your way out of
> your problem? they have more important things
> to do than "rub your un-joy shank".....
>
> now, on with scanners.....they do sell them.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

690. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106082220.05626.00002762@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 132378

>victorious 1998 & good start on 1999
>From: John - KAOSSF

If there was ever any doubt who the trouble maker REALLY is, then your
off-topic thread with its unprovoked, obsessive, lunatic ranting, should
certainly make it clear. Go back to the loony bin you sad wacko.

691. Re: icom r2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106082353.05626.00002763@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 132379

>From: "Fabrizio" <AvStrien@usa.net>

>You must be kidding ... why do you place 2 posts within short time span,
>one to get inquiries on IC-R2, and then trying to sell one in your next
>post? Are you selling something you didn't experience with, or whatever?
>Fabrizio (proud owner of an IC-R2)

What business is it of yours?

692. CTCSS codes for ICOM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: mhartmann@csi.com
Message-ID: <36936fd2.5899309@news.interserv.com>
Source record: 132380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can anyone post the ICOM (I have a U-16) equivalents to CTCSS codes?
-Mike Hartmann

693. Re: Health Risks with Cordless Phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bminish@_NOSPAM_anu.ie
Message-ID: <3693628d.1717903@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 132381

On Mon, 04 Jan 1999 22:12:31 GMT, frussle@erols.com (Jake Brodsky)
wrote:

>On 3 Jan 1999 02:52:29 -0500, "RB" <rb@bridgeport.net> wrote:
>
>>Are there any health risks with 900mhz or 2.5ghz cordless phones?
>
>Short Answer: No, none have been identified with any scientific
>certainty.
>
>Long Answer: The hazard is the same as that of using a PCS or Cell
>phone. Is there a danger? Nobody's sure. The problem is a
>multi-dimensional one. It can't be easily solved by epidemiological
>studies because RF is very pervasive.

Please rember we are talking about power levels around the 10mW level
(mW=Milliwat 1mW = 1/1000 Watt) as opposed to power levels of 0.8
(800 mW) to 2 W (2000 mW) So it is worth bearing in mind that
statisical Data (probably invalid anyway, see end of last posting)
really doesn't apply at these vastly reduced power levels.

<SNIP>

>
>Personally, I think these scientists are chasing statistical noise.
>After all, we're talking about Non-Ionizing radiation. At this power
>level, it can heat up tissue by a few fractions of a degree, but
>that's it. You'd probably get more exposure of that sort while
>standing in sunshine for a few minutes than you would from a cordless
>phone.
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

Brendan Minish EI4DPB

694. Re: chicago area snow plow freq's???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106095507.01155.00008650@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 132382

reposted from the carma e-mail list

I was kind of surprised nobody has posted any snow reports. Here's a quick listing, a dump from a scanstar file. Might be a few errors here. DPL 356 has been showing up on one tollway maint channel. Chicago is now using DPL codes of 411 and 412 on their water dept VHF repeater outputs (158.880 & 158.250). Please excuse any mistakes, this was a quickie listing. It works for me but you'll probably want to include your local towns public works and highway freqs. Check those PH allocations and let us know what you are hearing. Happy scanning and be safe / dont overdue it. I just spent 3 hours digging out my car from 4 foot high plowed drifts and it was an ordeal. Now I get to go to work OT and see how the rest of the world is dealing with this. I'm a veteran of both 67 and 79, this seems worse than 79 and a little easier than 67, which was REALLY something. Chicago Public Schools are closed Monday they just announced. In 67 I was off for about a week and a half. I have activated the logging function on my Scanstar bank and will have something more accurate to post at a later date I hope. Freqs:

(Excuse the extra zeros - scanstar generated format)

Freq / PL Tone / User

37.940000 000.0 PALATINE TWP HWY
37.960000 107.2 DUPAGE CNTY HWY
37.980000 000.0 MCHENRY CNTY HWY
42.500000 000.0 ISP/IDOT CO-ORD
45.720000 131.8 GRUNDY CNTY HWY
47.020000 110.9 IDOT FRVW BASE
47.020000 123.0 IDOT OTTAWA BASE
47.060000 110.9 IDOT EFNGM BASE
47.060000 123.0 IDOT PEORIA BASE
47.100000 110.9 IDOT FRVW MOB
47.100000 123.0 IDOT OTTAWA MOB
47.140000 110.9 IDOT PARIS MOB
47.140000 123.0 IDOT DIXON MOB
47.180000 110.9 IDOT EFNGM MOB
47.180000 123.0 IDOT PEORIA MOB
47.300000 110.9 IDOT SCHAUMBURG
47.300000 110.9 IDOT SFLD MOB
47.300000 123.0 IDOR CRBNDL MOB
47.340000 110.9 IDOT PARIS BASE
47.340000 123.0 IDOT DIXON BASE
47.380000 110.9 IDOT SCHAUMBURG
47.380000 110.9 IDOT SFLD BASE
47.380000 123.0 IDOT CRBNDL BASE

150.995000 131.8 IDOT CENT MAINT
151.025000 094.7 MAINE TWP HWY
151.025000 097.4 NRFLD TWP HWY
151.070000 131.8 IDOT SOUTH MAINT
151.100000 000.0 IDOT NORTH MAINT
154.040000 082.5 GLENCOE PW
154.040000 186.2 KENILWORTH PW
154.040000 192.8 WINNETKA PW
154.100000 127.3 SKOKIE PW
154.220000 000.0 IDOT/CFD/ISP HWY
154.650000 000.0 D15 CAR TO CAR
154.665000 000.0 IDOT PROJECTS
154.980000 000.0 WILMT/NRFLD PW
155.115000 107.2 PROSPECT HTS PW
155.415000 000.0 IN TOLLWAY MAINT
155.715000 136.5 PARK RIDGE PW
155.760000 071.9 WHEELING PW
155.760000 077.0 EVANSTON PW
155.760000 123.0 EVANSTON PW
155.760000 146.2 NORTHBROOK PW
155.760000 173.8 WILL COUNTY HWY
155.775000 179.9 ROSEMONT PW
155.820000 151.4 ARLNGTN HTS PW
155.880000 110.9 MORTON GROVE PW
155.880000 162.2 GLENVIEW PW
155.925000 118.8 DES PLAINES PW
155.925000 131.8 NILES PW
156.225000 000.0 KANE COUNTY HWY
158.250000 DPL CHGO WATER DEPT
158.880000 DPL CHGO WATER DEPT
450.112500 000.0 METRO TRAFFIC
450.287500 000.0 SHADOW TRAFFIC
453.100000 107.2 CHGO SUPERVISORS
453.150000 151.4 IDOT SIGNS/MISC
453.200000 151.4 IDOT BACKUP
453.250000 000.0 IDOT DATA
453.300000 151.4 IDOT COOK MAINT
453.400000 151.4 IDOT KANE MAINT
453.550000 107.2 CHGO SNOW SOUTH
453.650000 107.2 CHGO SNOW NORTH
453.700000 151.4 IDOT MINUTEMEN
453.750000 127.3 COOK COUNTY HWY
453.850000 127.3 LAKE COUNTY HWY
453.950000 127.3 COOK COUNTY HWY
855.987500 DPL TOLLWAY MAINT
856.237500 DPL TOLLWAY MAINT
856.487500 DPL TOLLWAY MAINT
856.737500 DPL TOLLWAY MAINT
856.987500 DPL TOLLWAY MAINT

695. Re: RT Systems IC-Q7A Clone Software failure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <76vto7$c2u$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 132383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have been unable to get 'icr2beta' [same core code as he wrote for the q7]
to work by just setting the clock back either.

Clay

Doug Mason wrote in message ...
>In article <76uo78$hu6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, <ke4id@my-dejanews.com>
wrote:
>
>>Doug, try changing the year in your computer's bios to 1998, save it, boot
up
>>your machine, and then try to load the software. I tried this and the
>>software loaded. This is the first "Y99K" bug I have seen! Jack
>
>I tried just changing it in the system clock and rebooting, does it really
>need to be changed in the BIOS? I don't even know how to get into it on
>this Thinkpad? Just changing it at the DOS prompt and rebooting doesn't
>seem to do it!
>
>--Doug
>
>
>--
>Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
>1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r
>

696. Re: Not Secure: Panasonic 2.4GHz GigaRange cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stéphane Lacroix <Stephane.Lacroix@umontreal.ca>
Message-ID: <36937A9D.6342A66B@umontreal.ca>
Source record: 132384
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers

Dave Hicks a ï¿½crit :
>
> In article <3676D070.D8C26454@mail.blinx.de>, odo@mail.blinx.de says...
>
> > Why dont you import a german DECT-phone it transmitts fully encrypted
> > digitaly signals in both directions. No chance with any scanner. For
> > receiving you need REAL FBI-stuff.
> >
> > odo
>
> Or look at the Siemens Gigaset 2420 which is an Americanized DECT phone.
>
> --
> Dave Hicks (daveh@hpmuseum.org)
> The Museum of HP Calculators
> http://www.hpmuseum.org

If you import one from Europe will it work in Canada (or USA)?
I know that the regular european cord phone work (this is one of the few
technology with FM radio that is compatible with Europe), but with a
cordless maybe the frequency will be different, like the cell phones.
Also the voltage of the base station will be 220V or maybe you can buy
an adapter?

Stephane

697. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <d3@netcom.ca>
Message-ID: <V_Kk2.5604$7l6.101401@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 132385

Is the Motorola model 2025 with "Secure Clear" a secure <g> phone...I can
hear garbled analog voice tranmission.

Tks, David

Uncle Bob wrote in message <76pcjr$6kp$1@gail.ripco.com>...
>What's the verdict on the AT&T 9400? It's also 900mz and spread spectrum.
>
>--
>* *
>
> Uncle Bob.............unclebob@ripco.com
>

698. Re: STATEN Isl. NYC EMS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <01be3908$25041a20$82685ed1@oemcomputer>
Source record: 132426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I read in PopComm or MonTimes a year or so ago that NYC added 482-485 Mhz band to their frequencies - many are allocated to PD, but there's FD and other un-ID'd use on there as well. Try searching through there (12.5khz steps) for things that have disappeared from other freq's.

Dennis
djmr26@bestweb.net
Putnam Co NY

lifeguard12@erols.com wrote in article <3691CB6A.D9D344B7@erols.com>...
> Mike...Sorry, I don't know the EMS frequencies for SI. I just recently
> moved to SI a/k/a the rock and have been listening to my scanner alot
> because I'm bored out of my mind!!!!!
>
> However, I was wondering if you could help me out. Do you know of any
> active frequencies for the SI Teleport????
>
> Just wondering. Any help would be greatly appreciated.
>
> Thanks, Steve
>
> X wrote:
>
> > Hey Everybody.
> > I am looking for Staten Island's , NY EMS freqs.
> > What happend to the old 800 freq, that was shared with South Brooklyn ?
> > Have they gone Trunked ?
> >
> > Any information is greatly apreciated .
> > Thank You in advance
> >
> > Mike
> >
> > fillip@banet.net
>
>

699. FS RS Pro-51 Can be mod for cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: gizmow@home.com
Message-ID: <3692ab58.7512197@news>
Source record: 132427

I have a couple of these units for sale. Can be cell modified via some key strokes on the key board. Both are in average shape and work well. I recieved them as a package deal on some other stuff and I already have one. Drop me a line if interested.

Michael

700. Re: Sporty's JD-100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3692B154.A1FF95F0@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132428

CLAYTON M DODDS wrote:

> I'm looking for a Sporty's JD-100 Air Band Receiver. It covers both
> civilian vhf and military uhf only. I would like to know where I could find
> one and a price. Or any info. on a similar receiver.
>
> Thanks

1-800-liftoff or
http://www.sportys.com

701. Re: pro-2032 800mhz modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990105195044.19492.00006983@ng106.aol.com>
Source record: 132429

>From: Savage <savage@magma.ca>
>Date: 1/4/99 10:48 PM Mountain Standard Time
>Message-id: <3691B61D.441F0960@magma.ca>
>
>Does anyone have mods for this scanner? And or the schematics for it?
>

Yep, go to my site listed below. 800 MHz mod with illustration too.

Another scanner information web page
Including Radio Shack, Uniden, Regency cell mod info & more at:
http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

702. Re: Icom R-10 & 800 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990105194914.19492.00006981@ng106.aol.com>
Source record: 132430

>From: "k4vj" <k4vj@mpinet.net>
>Date: 1/5/99 4:45 AM Mountain Standard Time
>Message-id: <76su2bafk1@remarQ.com>
>
>Does anyone know if there is a way to restore full 800 Mhz to the Icom R10?
>Thanks, Mike K4VJ
>k4vj@mpinet.net
>
>
Yep, check out my site listed below. I have the mod listed with an
illustration. I performed it with success too.

Another scanner information web page
Including Radio Shack, Uniden, Regency cell mod info & more at:
http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

703. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105194948.01730.00001109@ng42.aol.com>
Source record: 132431

>Zook Music wrote in message <915476856.365692@soam.wwnet.net>...

>>Does anyone know of an adapter or product that will allow a scanner to
>>automatically start and stop a tape recorder. >
>>Your help is greatly appreciated!!!!!

It would be really cool if you could get
computer software to voice-activated record
your scanner onto an MPEG file in your
computer's hard drive. Then, you would
have MPEG files of "hot" scanner action to
immediately send via email. Now, that would
be cool. Imagine if you captured an entire
"world news story" on MPEG and could
immediately send it via email to the local news.
Wouldn't that be cool ???

talkyal

704. Re: Magazine/Publications for scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: semtex50@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105202337.03821.00002166@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 132432

Scanning USA is also only 15 or 20 pages long. It has promise though.

705. Want vox unit for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: will jones <wjones@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3692C1AD.20DF7C45@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 132433

Does anyone know if a vox unit sold for tape recorders is available? I want to leave scanner on
one particular freq. and have tape recorder come on when transmissions are made.
Will

--
The Law of Attraction:
Whatever you pay attention to expands.

706. Re: RT Systems IC-Q7A Clone Software failure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4id@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <76unnfhgl1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Doug, my "freeware" quit working, too. I downloaded it again, but could not
get it to work. Jack

In article <douglasF53KAs.DD3@netcom.com>,
douglas@netcom.com (Doug Mason) wrote:
> In article <76tflh$c3r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, <tross@clara.net> wrote:
>
> >> software from www.hamradio.cz worked perfect both ways using RT's cable. No
> >> response to email sent to RT over two weeks ago. My radio is an q7e and no
> >> receives from 0- 1900mhz.
>
> Has anyone been able to get this software (from www.hamradio.cz) to work
> since 1/1/1999? It seems to have "expired" and will no longer run on
> several different machines that ran it previously.
>
> --Doug
>
> --
> Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
> 1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

707. Best Antenna for a BC235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: gwjamessj@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990105210253.05605.00002218@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 132435

I am looking for an antenna to use with my BC235. I would like one I could perhaps mount in my atic. Would I lose much reception ability versus mounting it on my roof. I need a wide band antenna but would like good performance in the 108-135mhz range. Would a Discone antenna be alright, I have a chance to get one of these used at a resonable price. I already have an TV antenna on my roof, could I mount the scanner antenna on the pole below the TV antenna?. Any advice would be appreciated.

Greg

708. Re: AM/FM selection mod for BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <7736b1rlm1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 132086

IF YOU FIND THAT MOD I WOULD LIKE IT TO PLEASE?
EAGLE@EZ-NET.COM

709. Re: Did I get Trunked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <3695243B.6CEEFA28@fnal.gov>
Source record: 132087

Daddy0 wrote:

> Is it possible they have just
> added another frequency? I can't find them between 153.000 & 156.000; they
> normally are at 156.150 & 155.490. How can I tell if they have changed to a
> trunked system?

All trunking that I've see use 800MHz freqs, maybe that's just a coincidence, I don't know. Also, when a local city went trunked, I called the PD and they told me the name of the outfit that provided the service. I call to them and I had got the freqs and was listening within the hour.

--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov

710. FA: ICOM IC-R7000 last 3hrs.to bid)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: brandddd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107163346.11004.00008106@ng122.aol.com>
Source record: 132088

ICOM IC-R7000 for auction. Very little listenening time less than 40 hrs.

Excellent condition, original box and manuals included. Added speech and delay. 117VAC & 12VDC. Don't miss this one. My father-in-law took great care of this unit. Thanks for your time.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=52968792

e-mail brandddd@aol.com

711. FA: Bearcat 210 scanner (last 3hrs. to bid)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: brandddd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107163618.11004.00008107@ng122.aol.com>
Source record: 132089

Here is a nice scanner at the right price. $19.99 is the reserve price.

Bid now.. Don't miss this bargain.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=52970130

712. Re: FS:PRO 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamp@flinet.com>
Message-ID: <36952831.2380E7DF@flinet.com>
Source record: 132090

The Modification opened the cell band.

Robert Stamper wrote:

> To whoom are you addresing,there are more than one 2004 listed for sale.
> Bob Stamper
>
> MOSESW34 wrote:
>
> > Hi, I was just wondering if you sold the scanner and if you could please tell
> > me the new freqs thats you have now since you modified it. Thanks

713. Re: RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark E. French" <ekkelley@flash.net>
Message-ID: <i9al2.572$rM1.1011@news.flash.net>
Source record: 132091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have the 2066. Works great. Try trunktracker.com.
Glenn J. Torres wrote in message <01be384e$942c7a60$ce58cccf@default>...
>Hello,
>How are the RS Trunktracker scanners?Pros or cons?
>Thanks,
>Glenn

714. Re: Las Vegas Fire Department

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <DanR@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <36952EB1.64E882E@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 132092

They've moved to Clark County's 800 MHz TRS. Type II Motorola, so you can track it fine on a TrunkTracker scanner.

Frequencies: 855.7125, 856.2625, 856.4375, 856.7125, 856.7625, 857.7625, 858.7625, 859.2625, 859.4375, 859.4625, 859.9375, 860.2625, 860.9375.
Las Vegas FD: 40976- East (Ch. 1), 41072 - West/North Las Vegas FD (Ch. 4)
Clark County FD: 41008 - West (Ch. 2), 41040 - East (Ch. 3), 41004 - South (Ch. 5)
Misc: 41264 - Zone 1 Tac 1

Dan

Jack wrote:

> I'm having trouble finding the LV fire department. They don't seem to
> be where I've been listening to them for the past few years. Any help
> is appreciated.
>
> Jack

715. atc freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: david phillips <pmcdn@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#8FLlpoO#GA.245@upnetnews05>
Source record: 132093

I am looking for freqs for L.A. area air traffic control. Is there a website that has a wide range of freqs?
Dave

716. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: philip <dohertyp@tinet.ie>
Message-ID: <773fvjcfb1@spock.tinet.ie>
Source record: 132094

Yes i have came across a prog for this,this week,freeware,will post i f i
can find it again.
>It would be really cool if you could get
>computer software to voice-activated record
>your scanner onto an MPEG file in your
>computer's hard drive

717. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <369549B8.7375E2D6@lucent.com>
Source record: 132095
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

ice cream man wrote:
>
> tesla was a man that was way ahead of his time. I wish I had privy to all of
> his notes. I don't know if I would understand them, but it would prove to be
> interesting reading. There has been a bit of subtrafuge involved with his
> notes. The United States government refuses to release some of his notes.

Take a closer look at a government project called 'HARP' and see if you can't
find those missing notes!

Dennis

#12

718. Re: Reason for Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: SCNMN <res40@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <36955DE7.EAE37C1E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 132096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ivan,

I suggest you enter a good substance abuse program. Your thoughts are seriously delusional and paranoid, and definitely not based in any facts.

The alternative is that you are just a jerk, trolling for flames.

and in the extreme long shot that your thoughts were accurate (NOT), who cares?

Ivan Ivanovich wrote:

> Tim Hynde wrote in message <76c2ft$3aj$1@smithers.eaglequest.com>...
> << SNIP SNIP SNIP SNIP SNIP SNIP SNIP SNIP SNIP SNIP SNIP> >
>
> >When has Motorola ever sold technology to scanner manufactures? Trunking
> >provides a shared frequency plan with several services so that in busy
> areas
> >frequencys that are used very little get utilized, along with several other
> >features. The amount of money Motorola might stand to make selling the
> >rights to manufacture equipment that can be programmed to monitor trunked
> >transmissions a joke compared to what the company makes mfg and servicing
> >equipment. Im curious as to what data you have to support this conspiracy
> >theory?
> >
> >
> >Tim
> >
> Question: Who owns the patent rights to Motorola's trunking software code?
>
> If Motorola then evidence you seek is self explanatory. If not Motorola
> someone else is making money off licensing the software. The odds favor
> Motorola owning it, and profiting from it's licensing to scanner mfgs.
>
> Just my thoughts on this topic.
>
> Ivan Ivanovich

719. Re: FS:Realistic DX 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hemlar Hoffleschilltick <fovo@noxplit.net>
Message-ID: <773m0bsfs1@news3.mr.net>
Source record: 132097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

This is very good of you to offer negotiation in the matter. I therefore offer the original price you suggested of $120 less the more reasonable price of $75 minus about $10 shipping which you agreed to pay and will send you $35 for the radio. Thank you for the opportunity to purchase such a fine radio for $35.

Robert Stamper wrote
>You have to start somewhere,I thought all prices on the net were negotiable!!
>
>Hemlar Hoffleschilltick wrote:
>
>> ooooooowwweeeeeeee, we need the price cops here. Last two of these went
>> for $75. I think Universal Radio is cheaper than $120. Heavy overpricing
>> here.

720. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: SCNMN <res40@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <36956024.C4D8349@hotmail.com>
Source record: 132098

Umm...

Since the Michgan Scanner law has been applied to certain Amateur radios capable of rxing Public Safety Freqs, what makes you think having a radio specifically designed for public safety radio would not consititute a violation of this (abeit riduculous) law?

RJR EMS wrote:

> >Subject: Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems
> >From: talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal)
> >Date: 1/7/99 1:18 PM !!!First Boot!!!
> >Message-id: <19990107081811.11224.00009285@ng37.aol.com>
> >
> >>From: rjrems@aol.comnospam (RJR EMS)
> >
> >>Talkyal,
> >>I live in Michigan and from what I've heard and found out the MSP is very
> >>open
> >>about there ASTRO system and will provide the information to program a radio
> >>for receive only.
> >
> >They should be open about it, because since
> >they're digital, they can go fully encrypted
> >whenever they want to. But, they should
> >stay non-encrypted for auxiliary units and
> >citizens to assist them by monitoring non-secret activities like car
> >accidents,
> >all-
> >point-bulletins, drunk driving reports, etc.....
> >
> >>The only real killer is the $3000 price tag on an HT.
> >
> >That may not be so bad, considering......
> >Just wait ! What do you think Uniden is
> >going to charge for a fully digital compliant
> >scanner ???? I'm thinking at least over $1000,
> >and who knows how long it's going to take
> >Uniden to market it ? Besides, I'd rather have a REAL ASTRO radio sitting
> >in
> >my truck
> >if I'm going to use it to listen to the Michigan
> >State Police and every other Michigan local yocals that join onto their ASTRO
> >system.
> >

> >talkyal <in "Secret Police" State of Michigan>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> I couldn't agree more
>
> RJR EMS

721. Can the Pro-64 be "opened-up"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: JimG <gunner@inetone.net>
Message-ID: <773o6r$g1m$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 132099

Anyone know how to open up the frequencies on a the Pro-64?

722. Re: Philly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tekkie*@enter.net
Message-ID: <MPG.10ff311d32238c429896ad@news.enter.net>
Source record: 132100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <36942558.26950146@proxye1.san.rr.com>, thekit@san.rr.com
says...
>
> Need freqs used in and around Philadelphia, PA., especially PD stuff.
>
> Is a trunked system used there, and if so, does anyone have talkgroup info?
>
> Info needed ASAP, will offer any help I can to anyone providing info or links to it.
Joes web page http://www.erols.com/jcardani/sepascan.htm

also subscribe to phl.scanner newsgroup

Tekkie

723. Re: Nextel scanning? never give it up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3695650D.C7D8EB15@earthlink.net>
Source record: 132101

Just curious, how do you?
Thank-You

JER1538A wrote:

> yes u can !!!!!!

724. Re: FS:Realistic DX 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: JLP001@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4877-36956122-26@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 132102

Go for Gold!!!!

725. Re: LIFE LINK III FREQUENCY NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36956D4F.10761468@earthlink.net>
Source record: 132103

Look for it at http://www.trunktracker.com/states/data8.html
if it is not here. Don't know what to tell you, hope this helps.

EmergScan wrote:

> hello everyone, I am looking for the Life Link III (3) trunked system
> frequencies. For those of you that don't know Life Link is a helicopter/ground
> ambulance based in both St. Paul Minnesota and Milltown Wisconsin. When
> calling Life Link for their frequencies they try and tell me that they have 10,
> 000 frequencies and it changes all of the time. I told him I didn't believe it
> and then he went on and told me another lye. Anyways, let me know
> Thanks,
> EmergScan@aol.com

726. Re: Reason for Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36956B65.3671F23F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 132104

You are right, just the radio section of a cellular type system costs in the millions. Also the Radio set-up for trunking.
If it works.....it must not be broke.
But reading one of the following messages from a guy from "4" Land in Atlanta, he hits it right on the head.
But they do the job for those who need it, interoperability, freeing up the band of so many users and letting the few do the job of many.
Very effective.

Arthur8539 wrote:

> You may be correct. In my area Utica/Rome NY they went trunk. yet both cities
> have very serious money problems. Utica is looking at a $2Million shortfall
> this year, and just layed off 2 dozen people. I bet thes trunk systems cost a
> LOT of taxpayer money. In Utica for example the fire and police each used only
> two frequencys (and maybe one for handhelds) so they were NOT overloaded with
> traffic. So why change except to spend money and hide traffice from scanner
> listners

727. Re: Reason for Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36956A38.89E213F5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 132105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You are correct about the cost and the coverage around mountainous areas,
and also partially about the nature of the beast.
Remember that people go looking for this stuff for improvement for various
reasons. And only a handfull of people can offer communications
services that are required by such a new technical hungry sociaty. Trunking
is an outstanding idea, but like you say in mountainous terrain,
it has something to be desired. But companies like Motorola make it work.
It does take a great deal of money (IE. Multi-Millions).
But places like where I live in San Diego County are doing this change for
a few reasons; 1) Interopability between agencies.....Just by the flick
of a switch the police chase going down the freeway can be in instant
contact with the CHP, and any other agency they deem necessary to talk to.
If a chase goes to the next stat, Big Deal...they just go to conventional
mutual aid, in one of the many national bands.
2) relieve the burdon on the current bands....a set of 15-20 frequencies
can handle a very large city, and around 50 thousnd users. One radio
issued to all agencies. They only have to send thier Techs to school for
one radio system, not 20 or so, so they are good at that one not guessing
at 20. 3) Plus it is great for the economy..It does bring in jobs....Like
mine.

It is my experience that the cheaper one can do the job of the more
expensive ones. But the city might be going for interoperability; fire to
talk to utilities,
fire to talk to police and so on. And trunking does offer it.
Hope this does explain, it is only for your information, not a slam by any
means. 73's
Trevor Harris (WB6SUB) Former Sub Radioman, Present Day Communications
Tech.

Billy@star.net wrote:

> A personnel observation ONLY:
>
> The reason I see trunking by Motorola, etal. is it brings in tons of
> revenue for them.
>
> They promote it to various public safety departments across the nation
> as the public can't hear what you are doing. After enough cities across
> the US have installed trunking Motorola systems, they will sell there
> system to scanner manfacturers. Then the scanner companies will reap a
> profit by selling we listeners new equipment. And the program goes on
> and on. It's just a big game instituted by companies like Motorola,
> Johnson, Midland and the numerous other commercial radio manfacturers.

>
> Opinions will vary..... Trunking does not work in all areas of
> the country due to the terrain. To make it work in the more mountainess
> areas it will cost a bundle with towers and equipment being the major
> costs.

728. Re: CONGRA..whack-a-whack, whackity-WHACK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <369599A6.6A2D97BB@INVALID.com>
Source record: 132106

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

>
> Fine and perfect for you.
>
> What I am saying and what Bill is doing is
> ignoring Le Stinke.
>
> Le Stinke fears being ignored. That is his
> weakness of major import. he cannot handle
> silence. Notice he has absolutely nothing to
> say. Nothing. There is no rational reason to
> answer NOTHINGNESS. you get nothing in return,.
>
> Bill Cheek defeated the Le Stinke when Bill no
> longer posted. That eliminated 99 percent of
> why LE Stinke posts to the NG. Bill did the
> moral imperative and just said "no more" and
> it worked.
>
> You must assume that what is written by Le Stinke
> is "response" to his needs. If you no longer post
> with his Stinke in mind or in sight, then you take
> away what he lives for: Hate.
>
> he hates to be forgotten. He hates to be seen as
> less. he hates anything and everything which any
> poster has to say about him. He lives for the
> hate. That sets him off.
>
> We, 99 percent of us, hate his stupidity and
> redundancy and his mother for allowing him to
> survive the ride down the back of her leg on
> the toilet-seat....plop plop out came Le Stinke.
>
> Silence is the winning for eliminating Le Stinke.
>
> He cannot win if you don't recognize his Stinke.
>
> People goad him on because that's how he is.
>
> he enjoys being in the center of the SHIT PILE
> he creates...allow that to continue by not being
> the voice he needs to find his way around in this

> pile of shit.
>
> Silence is the answer. Bill Cheek proved that.
> The winner here is Bill Cheek. He's no longer
> on the shit pile with Le Stinke.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

Well now,....That's quite a convincing arguement. Pretty nifty way to string those words together there mr. conduit. It's got quite a flow to it.

But...in your advocacy of silence,.... you certainly have a *lot* to say.

..Not that I'm anyone to throw stones...Noooooooo. Not me.

Nuh-uh.

(My scanner was *on* during this reply; partial credit for knicking the topic)

729. EBAY- 12 hour cass. recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: pv <verrando@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <2061210D3CD9600F.0A94D1A5F5B52C4F.66FF9C89105ED978@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 132107

AMC slow cassette recorder. great for scanner logging.
Check it out:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=54693465

730. So Cal Survaillance Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <369578AF.8C187C23@earthlink.net>
Source record: 132108

I like to listen to survaillance, it is a little more interesting than
the standard police fire stuff.
Does anyone have survaillance frequencies for Southern California?
Especially ones in odd bands, and unlisted ones.

731. Re: I NEED FREQUENCES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <369578FE.7752267A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 132109

check http://www.trunktracker.com/states/data8html

Robert Stephens wrote:

> ANYONE KNOW THE GEORGIA FREQUENCES. I NEED . THANKS
>
> 73s Benny, Robby, Linda
> NORTH WEST GEORGIA
>
>
>

732. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Ascher WB8LIT <cei@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <36958126.39F3AD0E@mediaone.net>
Source record: 132110

If you prefer, you can e-mail your scanner wish list to cei@usascan.com.
As we are in constant contact with the manufacturer, it's easy for us to
pass along your comments and suggestions.

As today is the opening day of the 1999 Winter Consumer
Electronics Show in Las Vegas, you will find information about new
Uniden products by visiting our web site at http://www.usascan.com.
On the left side of the screen, click on "CEI News".

We appreciate the thousands of comments we receive from
scanner users every month about our products and services. Your
commentary about the features you want in your scanner products
helps CEI and our manufacturers in developing the products you want...
quicker. If it is more convenient, you can share you comments by fax at
+1-734-663-8888.

Ken Ascher WB8LIT
Chairman & CEO
Communications Electronics Inc.
Emergency Operations Center
http://www.usascan.com

Talkyal wrote:

> >From: Stephen Waits <swaits@ix.netcom.com>
>
> >I'm compiling all of these into a list and plan to have a web page up in
> >the near future. Just need to know how to get the information to
> >Uniden...
>
> David Lewis is the Webmaster for the Uniden
> Online Store and he occasionally frequents this newsgroup. Perhaps he knows to
> whom at Uniden you could send your "Next Generation of Uniden Scanners WISH
> LIST"
> His address is:
> David Lewis, WebmasterUniden America Corporation
> dlewis@uniden.com
>
> p.s. Don't forget to wish for APCO-25 Digital
> Scanner, Uniden could call it the "BC25DTT"
>
> talkyal

733. Re: atc freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@NULL>
Message-ID: <77483f$728$1@dns.ktb.net>
Source record: 132111

Here is a few to start with:

Burbank 118.7
John Wayne(1R/19L) 119.9
John Wayne(1L/19R) 126.8
Ontario 120.6
Los Angeles(RW7-25) 120.95
Los Angeles(RW6-24) 133.9

Altavista should find more for you.

david phillips wrote:
>
> I am looking for freqs for L.A. area air traffic control. Is there a website
> that has a wide range of freqs?
> Dave

734. Re: Two-Way Radios, Lights, Sirens.....NEW Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@NULL>
Message-ID: <7748e4$728$2@dns.ktb.net>
Source record: 132112

I was thinking about buying emergency lights and siren for a new alarm
clock. :)

hydepark2way@usa.net wrote:
>
> Hyde Park 2-Way of Hyde Park Massachusetts announces the "Grand Opening" of
> it's Electronic Merchandising Division.
>
> This will become your one stop supplier for Two-Way Radios, Emergency Warning
> Lights, Sirens, Power Supplies, Antenna Products and Batteries. Stop by
> today and check us out!
>
> http://pages.prodigy.com/hydepark2way
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

735. I wont buy on e-bay, (Was: WTB: Uniden MR-8100)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghopper@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ghopper-0701992236410001@ghopper.ndip.eskimo.net>
Source record: 132113

In article <19990107214252.28525.00009212@ng39.aol.com>, xes3609@aol.com (Xes3609) wrote:

> look on www.ebay.com

E-bay results in overpriced old hardware. Scanners being sold for more than they are worth, let alone, more than they sold for new.

GH

--
G.F. Hopper
ghopper@eskimo.com
KB7WSD

736. Re: So Cal Survaillance Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikek@deltanet.com
Message-ID: <3695b264.84939882@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 132114

Orange County PD unit use
457.550
457.600
460.525
465.150 (yes, since White Channel is simplex on 460.150)
These are all simplex, and UC work is usually done on portables.. gotta be close.

DOJ runs around on 154.680

Many departments will use the city public works channels at night when PW is not on duty.

Mike Krueger
Orange County CA.

On Thu, 07 Jan 1999 19:17:07 -0800, Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net> wrote:

>I like to listen to survaillance, it is a little more interesting than
>the standard police fire stuff.
>Does anyone have survaillance frequencies for Southern California?
>Especially ones in odd bands, and unlisted ones.
>

737. Opto Scan 456 Interface for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36946c95.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 132186
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opto Scan 456 interface for Radio Shack pro-2005,2006 scanners for sale. This is not a "lite" version, it has the decoding feature. Have all documantation, cables, hardware, and software. $175 includes shipping. Email or call my voice mail 1-800-518-1858 and leave your name and number and I will call you back.

Mike Dockery

738. Re: Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <771qu4$j73$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 132187

Because thats where some are located.
Here is a list
Base on left and handset on right
Listening is illegal in the US
(I just said that so I can sleep well at night)
1 43.720 48.760
2 43.740 48.840
3 43.820 48.860
4 43.840 48.920
5 43.920 49.020
6 43.960 49.080
7 44.120 49.100
8 44.160 49.160
9 44.180 49.200
10 44.200 49.240
11 44.320 49.280
12 44.360 49.360
13 44.400 49.400
14 44.460 49.460
15 44.480 49.500
16 46.610 49.670
17 46.630 49.845
18 46.670 49.860
19 46.710 49.770
20 46.730 49.857
21 46.770 49.830
22 46.830 49.890
23 46.870 49.930
24 46.930 49.990
25 46.970 49.970

Giff wrote in message <01be39f9$8f874740$3d0c8ed1@windowsu>...
>Why am I picking up cordless phones on 44.00mhz?

739. Re: Ace Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerald Taylor <getaylor@telepath.com>
Message-ID: <36947099.4D4BDD26@telepath.com>
Source record: 132188

Do you by chance have a good schematic or service manual for the AOR2500? Would be willing to pay reasonable price for a good copy.

Danny wrote:

> Aaron wrote:
> >
> > Whatever happened to them to put them out of business is good news. I had a
> > very bad experience with them trying to get them to service a scanner still
> > under warranty.
> > Dan wrote in message <36903047.0@news.oaktree.net>...
> > |
> > |
> > |>Three years ago I purchased a Bearcat scanner from Ace Communications in
> > |>Indiana. Is this company still in Indiana? Are they now the
> > |>"Cordless-Guys" on the Web?
> > |
> > |Don't know about the "Cordless-Guys"....but I live in Indy and bought an
> > |AOR-2500 from them a few years ago and my cousin bought a 210XLT from them
> > |also. They were notorious locally for being a place to go to get a scanner
> > |that would receive cellular, even after the law was passed. My cousin went
> > |back up there one day to get an extra battery pack for his 210 and the
> > doors
> > |to the place were chained shut with some sort of Federal notice on the
> > |window. We're not sure exactly what the reason was..there are several
> > |stories floating around. For a long time, they owned the back cover to Pop
> > |Comm, and did a huge amount of mail order business. I kinda miss the
> > |place...there were some tasty looking women working there!
>
> DITTO:
>
> I bought a Bran new AOR-2500 about 5 years ago and had it shipped
> overnight.....It got here a day late and it wouldn't even come on! They
> would not refund me the overnight and I had to pay to ship it
> back.....the second one came a week later I had problems with it too.
> I'm glad they are out of business and I hope the crooks are in jail!
>
> --
> http://www.webdeals.net
> Web page design & hosting for $10 a month!
> Made for small businesses in the USA.
> Advertise your business on the web for 33 cents a day.

--
0□á 60!0 +

740. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <369496EA.34B4@compuserve.com>
Source record: 132189

Radio Shack's PRO-91 is a nice improvement, if you can do with 150
channels instead of the 300; it uses 4 "AA" cells which can be either
carbon-zinc, alkaline, or ni-cad rechargeable. The ni-cads recharge
through the AC adaptor. I have one and it works well for me.

Pursuit10851 wrote:
>
> On Mon, 04 Jan 1999 10:24:53 -0800, Stephen Waits
> <swaits@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Add a FLASH ROM to your next TrunkTracker scanner. Include a serial
> >cable as well so updating ROM's is easier. You'll be amazed at how many
> >happy customers you end up with!
> >
> >I wouldn't mind paying extra money for this!
> >
> >--Steve
>
> And PLEASE get rid of that stupid, overpriced, proprietary piece of
> junk battery pack (that is already starting to show signs of going bad
> after only 1-1/2 years of use AND proper care!). I can go to Target
> and buy 4 rechargeable AA's for $8.99 plus tax. No shipping, no
> waiting, and if one cell goes bad, I just replace it, not all 4
> batteries. I will never buy another Uniden or Radio Shack handheld
> that uses proprietary battery packs. When the BC245XLT comes out, I
> will wait until an equivalent unit is available with 4 AA capability.
> Meanwhile, I will continue to buy Uniden bases since I have had no
> problems with my BC895XLT or Pro-2036, which is really a BC890XLT.
> (I'm getting my second BC895XLT in a couple days).

741. Fast Food frequencies in New York City?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <36a1725f.28063087@news.erols.com>
Source record: 132190

Can anyone tell me what are the frequencies used by fast food places
in New York City? Would appreciate it.

742. range of kenwood TH-28 handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: gameye@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107035723.04583.00009190@ng101.aol.com>
Source record: 132191

could anyone give me a clue?

gameye

743. UBC9000XLT - Functions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Jackson <mikej@ihug.co.nz>
Message-ID: <771u2j$3gq$1@newsource.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 132192
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Gidday from Down Under (Godzone that is!)

What is the function of the HI-CUT On/Off button on the UBC9000XLT. The manual just tells you how to switch it on and off. Thanks for your advice in advance.

Mike

744. Re: SMA to BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison <ka8tok@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <3694899B.2DF3F40A@four-way.net>
Source record: 132193
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: ka8tok.vcf

http://www.arsupply.com
http://randl.com
http://www.universal-radio.com

there are 3 if you need more let me know.. Also check out hamfests there usually alot of them.. and should be around $3-5 dollars.

Giff wrote:

> Anybody know of a source for an SMA to BNC adapter?

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

745. PRO-71???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <77249jlai1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 132194

Looking for mods for a PRO-71.
EAGLE@EZ-NET.COM

746. Re: AM/FM selection mod for BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: marino5911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107065239.18678.00008139@ng107.aol.com>
Source record: 132195

SCOTT , HAVE YOU SEEN ANY MODS PERIOD FOR THE 895??????????????????????????

IF I SEE THE AM MOD SOME WHERE I WILL EMAIL........

MARINO5911@AOL.COM

747. Re: range of kenwood TH-28 handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Richard W. Clement" <rickey@cc.gatech.edu>
Message-ID: <7729t7$4gi$1@news-int.gatech.edu>
Source record: 132196

As with anything, it depends on conditions and the selectivity of the receiver. I have been able to transmit through a repeater 40 miles away in hilly terrain, and using simplex I have communicated up to 7-8 miles. This both was done with an output power of 5 watts.

Richard W. Clement
KD4UCB

GAM EYE <gameye@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990107035723.04583.00009190@ng101.aol.com...
>could anyone give me a clue?
>
>gameye

748. Re: Not Secure: Panasonic 2.4GHz GigaRange cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Richard W. Clement" <rickey@cc.gatech.edu>
Message-ID: <772a8u$4l9$1@news-int.gatech.edu>
Source record: 132197
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers

Considering that everything you need to worry about is contained in the cordless (eg, you do not have to reach a cell site), the unit will work here. What you must consider though is if the frequencies are leagal for you to use. Most generally, the rat shack carries adapters from most anything. I just wonder if they use the same connector we do to hook the phone to the wall.

Richard Clement
KD4UCB

749. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <772avn$f3f$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 132198

Hey Phil, don`t sweat it. I don`t see you causing the kind of grief on the group that Mackey does. At least you talk about radio related matters once in a while. The fact that Mackalooney pisses you off just proves you`re human.

Phil Smalley wrote in message <770qp6ogn1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>I think I should apologize to the group for my behavior regarding John
>Mackey,

I don`t

750. Trunked Radio Information Web Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <4D29D4449D45571F.3A3252AB2C1F163C.38746D77B95E4E0B@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 132199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi Folks!,

I have recently updated my web pages with lots of additional information. Although I have changed the focus of my Trunked Radio Information homepage to only include the Southeastern United States, I still have a wealth of trunking information available. I have also added quite a bit of information regarding the new APCO-25 Digital modulation systems that are currently being deployed throughout the US.

Also, the System ID index has grown to over 1900 ids, thanks in part to some folks in the trunking industry sending me the entire Nextel system ID list for the world. This resource can really help individuals that use trunker.exe to id trunking systems in their area. It also help for DX'ing trunked systems as well. If you have any system ids for your area and they aren't listed, send'm along!

Also, On the Digital Signals page, a new Beta version of trunker has been released (RC372). It fixed a few known issues. Make sure you read the updates before using, as some features were taken away from the previous version.

If anyone has any updates, feel free to contact me.

Thanks everyone!!!

-Lb

Lindsay C. Blanton
lblant1@airmail.net
See the Southeast US Trunked Radio Information Homepage!
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm
---

751. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107081811.11224.00009285@ng37.aol.com>
Source record: 132200

>From: rjrems@aol.comnospam (RJR EMS)

>Talkyal,
>I live in Michigan and from what I've heard and found out the MSP is very
>open
>about there ASTRO system and will provide the information to program a radio
>for receive only.

They should be open about it, because since
they're digital, they can go fully encrypted
whenever they want to. But, they should
stay non-encrypted for auxiliary units and
citizens to assist them by monitoring non-secret activities like car accidents,
all-
point-bulletins, drunk driving reports, etc.....

>The only real killer is the $3000 price tag on an HT.

That may not be so bad, considering......
Just wait ! What do you think Uniden is
going to charge for a fully digital compliant
scanner ???? I'm thinking at least over $1000,
and who knows how long it's going to take
Uniden to market it ? Besides, I'd rather have a REAL ASTRO radio sitting in
my truck
if I'm going to use it to listen to the Michigan
State Police and every other Michigan local yocals that join onto their ASTRO
system.

talkyal <in "Secret Police" State of Michigan>

752. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <3694c342.2534191@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 132201

On Thu, 07 Jan 1999 03:13:46 -0800, Wayne Farmer
<WayneOHere@compuserve.com> wrote:

>Radio Shack's PRO-91 is a nice improvement, if you can do with 150
>channels instead of the 300; it uses 4 "AA" cells which can be either
>carbon-zinc, alkaline, or ni-cad rechargeable. The ni-cads recharge
>through the AC adaptor. I have one and it works well for me.
>
> Pursuit10851 wrote:
>>
>> On Mon, 04 Jan 1999 10:24:53 -0800, Stephen Waits
>> <swaits@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Add a FLASH ROM to your next TrunkTracker scanner. Include a serial
>> >cable as well so updating ROM's is easier. You'll be amazed at how many
>> >happy customers you end up with!
>> >
>> >I wouldn't mind paying extra money for this!
>> >
>> >--Steve
>>
>> And PLEASE get rid of that stupid, overpriced, proprietary piece of
>> junk battery pack (that is already starting to show signs of going bad
>> after only 1-1/2 years of use AND proper care!).
(snip)

As a matter of fact I did get a Pro-91 after Christmas and I'm very
pleased with it. Today I got another BC895XLT, but on this 895 I had
to do a hard reset because it was scanning empty channels. Works great
now. That's what I get for saying I haven't had any problems with
Unidens *yet* :-).

753. Re: Fast Food frequencies in New York City?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3694C4E1.2712@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132202

George wrote:
>
> Can anyone tell me what are the frequencies used by fast food places
> in New York City? Would appreciate it.

Fast Food frequencies are the same across the entire country.

Have a look at the following URL:

http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/fastfood.txt

754. Looking for speech inversion descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nascarfant@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107094007.09666.00007615@ng-fd2.aol.com>
Source record: 132203

Does anyone know where to find a good speech inversion descrambler?

755. [FS] Portable mast/VHF-UHF antennna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: sehrlich@shore.net
Message-ID: <uM3l2.944$K12.129516@news.shore.net>
Source record: 132204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

I have for sale:

- New Wave Antenna collapsible, portable mast (40 or 50' extended)

- Mating park-on base

- Cushcraft AR-270 vertical 2m/70cm antenna

All are in excellent condition.

Any/all offers welcome.

I'm in the Boston, MA area.

Thanks.

--

Scott Ehrlich sehrlich@shore.net
Scott Ehrlich Consulting http://www.shore.net/~sehrlich

756. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjrems@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990107094246.09078.00008623@ng05.aol.com>
Source record: 132205

>Subject: Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems
>From: talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal)
>Date: 1/7/99 1:18 PM !!!First Boot!!!
>Message-id: <19990107081811.11224.00009285@ng37.aol.com>
>
>>From: rjrems@aol.comnospam (RJR EMS)
>
>>Talkyal,
>>I live in Michigan and from what I've heard and found out the MSP is very
>>open
>>about there ASTRO system and will provide the information to program a radio
>>for receive only.
>
>They should be open about it, because since
>they're digital, they can go fully encrypted
>whenever they want to. But, they should
>stay non-encrypted for auxiliary units and
>citizens to assist them by monitoring non-secret activities like car
>accidents,
>all-
>point-bulletins, drunk driving reports, etc.....
>
>>The only real killer is the $3000 price tag on an HT.
>
>That may not be so bad, considering......
>Just wait ! What do you think Uniden is
>going to charge for a fully digital compliant
>scanner ???? I'm thinking at least over $1000,
>and who knows how long it's going to take
>Uniden to market it ? Besides, I'd rather have a REAL ASTRO radio sitting
>in
>my truck
>if I'm going to use it to listen to the Michigan
>State Police and every other Michigan local yocals that join onto their ASTRO
>system.
>
>talkyal <in "Secret Police" State of Michigan>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

I couldn't agree more

RJR EMS

757. Re: Looking for speech inversion descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3694CAC9.7535@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132206

NascarFant wrote:
>
> Does anyone know where to find a good speech inversion descrambler?

Check out the following URL:

http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

758. Re: Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <3694cb19.2880565@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 132207

"Giff" <kmgifford@ucdavis.edu> wrote:

:Why am I picking up cordless phones on 44.00mhz?

You should be aware that not every signal you hear is on the frequency
the dial shows. Cordless telephones are on 30 MHz.

759. Re: UBC9000XLT - Functions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <3694cc33.3162936@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 132208
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Jackson" <mikej@ihug.co.nz> wrote:

:Gidday from Down Under (Godzone that is!)
:
:What is the function of the HI-CUT On/Off button on the UBC9000XLT. The
:manual just tells you how to switch it on and off. Thanks for your advice
:in advance.

Try it. You may like it.

760. Re: Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3694CDF4.848@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132209

Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> "Giff" <kmgifford@ucdavis.edu> wrote:
>
> :Why am I picking up cordless phones on 44.00mhz?
>
> You should be aware that not every signal you hear is on the frequency
> the dial shows. Cordless telephones are on 30 MHz.

That may be true for Australia and New Zealand, but not in the US.

761. Re: range of kenwood TH-28 handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <3694cbda.3073723@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 132210

"Richard W. Clement" <rickey@cc.gatech.edu> wrote:

:As with anything, it depends on conditions and the selectivity of the
:receiver. I have been able to transmit through a repeater 40 miles away in
:hilly terrain, and using simplex I have communicated up to 7-8 miles. This
:both was done with an output power of 5 watts.

Are we talking 2 metres here? I have communicated over several hundred
kilometres using a handheld and coastal ducting.

762. XMIT_ID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marcelino J. Garcia" <garciamj@ar.ibm.com>
Message-ID: <3694CFAE.487DD864@ar.ibm.com>
Source record: 132211

Hi, I was trying to download the subject software, but kb8rln page at axom.com is no longer available.
Does somebody know the new location of the XMIT_ID download page, if any?
Regards, Marcelini J. Garcia LU7DSU lu7dsu@amsat.org

763. Re: indiana freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <nospam-shoop@tctc.com>
Message-ID: <772jdvosj1@news.wintek.com>
Source record: 132212

Go to Indy scan....It will help you out a great deal....

http://members.iquest.net/~aeverhart/

Rich Carlson wrote in message ...
>In article <76qjkg$to5$1@hyperion.nitco.com>, "david meadows"
><dmeadows@netnitco.net> wrote:
>
>> district 13 Lowe state police
>> i here base on 42.4200
>> a chart said it is a rebroadcast of 800 band
>> i cant find it in 800 band
>> i have a bc895 trunktracker can some one help
>> find isp freq.. thank you
>
>InSP District 13 in Lowell does not yet have 800 MHz. All operations are
>still on low band. (Note: Mobiles are on 42.26)
>
>--
>**
>* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
>* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
>*****************************g3mtnw*********************************

764. Re: Not Secure: Panasonic 2.4GHz GigaRange cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr RAT <rat@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <H7y86BBVUNI2EwaN@rsm6.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 132213
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers

In article <772a8u$4l9$1@news-int.gatech.edu>, Richard W. Clement
<rickey@cc.gatech.edu> writes
>Considering that everything you need to worry about is contained in the
>cordless (eg, you do not have to reach a cell site), the unit will work
>here. What you must consider though is if the frequencies are leagal for
>you to use.

I suppose you would check the FCC database [unfortunately I do not know
myself the DECT frequencies, otherwise I would check for you..]

>Most generally, the rat shack carries adapters from most
>anything.

You may have to get the phones original adapter though, some use odd
connectors/current ratings. Or a 230v/115v transformer..

> I just wonder if they use the same connector we do to hook the
>phone to the wall.
>
No, there are about 15 [possibly more] different types of phone
connector currently in use in europe, possibly more! England uses a
unique one, so does France, Germany, Denmark [you get the picture :)]

adaptors [expensive] available at www.teleadapt.co.uk - or you could
hack the cable [but they tend to use that nasty 'tinsel' cable for cord]

--
Mr R@T - " ï¿½ bon rat, bon rat..." - Extreme Paranoia Party, UK
Attention:To reply by e-mail replace the domain name "nospam" with "rsm6"
Warning to spammers: nospam.demon.co.uk is a *valid* domain!
You *will* be traced, and your ISP notified!

765. Re: SMA to BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@popd.Accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <3694D7CA.20FDBC1B@popd.Accesscom.com>
Source record: 132214

Giff;

Pasternack Enterprises has it.

Their phone number is 714-261-1920.

Minimum order however is $100.00.

What are the sexes of the connector ends?
I can get you a Catalog No. for it.

Regards

Ed

Giff wrote:
>
> Anybody know of a source for an SMA to BNC adapter?

766. Re: Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <3695c66d.3345029@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 132215

On Wed, 6 Jan 1999 19:56:13 -0500, "Alan Graves" <alankg@siscom.net>
wrote:

>No. On a standard scanner you would have know way to know when the trunk
>changed channels or which channel the trunk changed to.
>Paul <pwolsko@nac.net> wrote in message
>news:xUSk2.100$CI.1776@nntp1.nac.net...
>>Is there any way to follow a trunked service on a regular scanner? I
>>usually just have my scanner on known locak freqs, but I have the NJ State
>>Police frequencies programmed in, and it seems like I can't get a complete
>>picture of what's going on. I have a Radio Shack PRO-2042 scanner - no
>Opto
>>card.
>>
>>Thanks,
>>
>> Paul
>>
>>
>

Before TrunkTrackers came out I was using a Pro-51 to "follow" our two large public safety trunking systems, and I did a pretty good job of it at night. I even saw a few good pursuits this way :-). The thing to remember about Motorola Type II systems is that whenever somebody unkeys and then keys up again after the repeater drops, the transmission is usually on the next lower frequency. This is really obvious at night when there are often so few users on the system that you sometimes can follow a conversation without having to press "scan" all the time. So if you have a scanner that scans at less than 50 channels a second it is better to program the frequencies in descending order, from highest to lowest. This works well on my Pro-2004, 2006, 2035, 37, 43, 46, 60, and 64. The Pro-51 scans so fast that it is better to program the freqs in ascending order. I tried programming them in descending order once, but it ended up doing a worse job of following conversations. On your Pro-2042 you can program freqs in ascending or descending order because you can change scanning direction with the tuning knob. Experiment to see what works best for you.

767. Re: SMA to BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <772p0rpvp1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 132216

SMA to BNC available at HRO.

http://www.hamradio.com/

No minimum. Clay.

Ed Tobiasz wrote in message <3694D7CA.20FDBC1B@popd.Accesscom.com>...
>Giff;
>
>Pasternack Enterprises has it.
>
>Their phone number is 714-261-1920.
>
>Minimum order however is $100.00.
>
>What are the sexes of the connector ends?
>I can get you a Catalog No. for it.
>
>Regards
>
>Ed
>
>Giff wrote:
>>
>> Anybody know of a source for an SMA to BNC adapter?

768. Re: SMA to BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: rdavis@nyx.nyx.net
Message-ID: <915729099.25693@iris.nyx.net>
Source record: 132217

I have purchased a couple of SMA (male) to BNC (female) adapters so my FT-50 will connect to my BNC cable on my mobile antenna. WB0W sells them at hamfests, such as the upcoming St.Joseph Missouri hamfest for about $4 each.

Although I've not purchased one from them, Associated Radio here in the Kansas City area has similar connectors on display in their store.

--
rdavis@nyx.net Robert (Bob) Davis Amateur Radio K0FPC

769. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <a5b_9901070900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 132218

"O> Probably do okay on 800 MHz but is a really poor performer below 450 MHz.

Hams have real good luck with their 2 Meter(144Mhz) through glass antennas.
DEpends on the quality/design of what you buy.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

770. Re: SMA to BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <a5c_9901070900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 132219

"> Anybody know of a source for an SMA to BNC adapter?

Did you check Radio Shacks "Professional Catalog", and I am not talking
about the Mickey Mouse thing they give away.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

771. Re: FS:Realistic DX 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hemlar Hoffleschilltick <fovo@noxplit.net>
Message-ID: <772rd4$gb4$1@news3.mr.net>
Source record: 132220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

ooooooooowwweeeeeeee, we need the price cops here. Last two of these went for $75. I think Universal Radio is cheaper than $120. Heavy overpricing here.

Robert Stamper wrote
>I have an excellent DX-440/Sangean ATS 803a for sale.The radio is in
>perfect working condition and has one scratch on the front bottom.I am
>asking $120.00 shipped to lower 48.

772. Re: Ace Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Waits <swaits@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3694F5E9.38BEE973@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132221

RB wrote:
>
> Three years ago I purchased a Bearcat scanner from Ace Communications in
> Indiana. Is this company still in Indiana? Are they now the
> "Cordless-Guys" on the Web?

They are at http://www.ace-communications.com/

I've heard WAY too many bad things about these people to ever consider
doing business with them.

--Steve

773. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Waits <swaits@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3694F622.3A0CE764@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132222

Pursuit10851 wrote:
>
> On Thu, 07 Jan 1999 03:13:46 -0800, Wayne Farmer
> <WayneOHere@compuserve.com> wrote:
>
> >Radio Shack's PRO-91 is a nice improvement, if you can do with 150
> >channels instead of the 300; it uses 4 "AA" cells which can be either
> >carbon-zinc, alkaline, or ni-cad rechargeable. The ni-cads recharge
> >through the AC adaptor. I have one and it works well for me.
> >
> > Pursuit10851 wrote:
> >>
> >> On Mon, 04 Jan 1999 10:24:53 -0800, Stephen Waits
> >> <swaits@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Add a FLASH ROM to your next TrunkTracker scanner. Include a serial
> >> >cable as well so updating ROM's is easier. You'll be amazed at how many
> >> >happy customers you end up with!
> >> >
> >> >I wouldn't mind paying extra money for this!
> >> >
> >> >--Steve
> >>
> >> And PLEASE get rid of that stupid, overpriced, proprietary piece of
> >> junk battery pack (that is already starting to show signs of going bad
> >> after only 1-1/2 years of use AND proper care!).
> (snip)
>
> As a matter of fact I did get a Pro-91 after Christmas and I'm very
> pleased with it. Today I got another BC895XLT, but on this 895 I had
> to do a hard reset because it was scanning empty channels. Works great
> now. That's what I get for saying I haven't had any problems with
> Unidens *yet* :-).

How do you do the hard reset? I think mine may be scaning empty
channels as well..

--Steve

774. Re: Not Secure: Panasonic 2.4GHz GigaRange cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stéphane Lacroix <Stephane.Lacroix@umontreal.ca>
Message-ID: <3694FA6F.B72ACF7C@umontreal.ca>
Source record: 132223
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers

Mr RAT a ï¿½crit :
>
> In article <772a8u$4l9$1@news-int.gatech.edu>, Richard W. Clement
> <rickey@cc.gatech.edu> writes
> >Considering that everything you need to worry about is contained in the
> >cordless (eg, you do not have to reach a cell site), the unit will work
> >here. What you must consider though is if the frequencies are leagal for
> >you to use.
>
> I suppose you would check the FCC database [unfortunately I do not know
> myself the DECT frequencies, otherwise I would check for you..]
>
> >Most generally, the rat shack carries adapters from most
> >anything.
>
> You may have to get the phones original adapter though, some use odd
> connectors/current ratings. Or a 230v/115v transformer..
>
> > I just wonder if they use the same connector we do to hook the
> >phone to the wall.
> >
> No, there are about 15 [possibly more] different types of phone
> connector currently in use in europe, possibly more! England uses a
> unique one, so does France, Germany, Denmark [you get the picture :)]
>
> adaptors [expensive] available at www.teleadapt.co.uk - or you could
> hack the cable [but they tend to use that nasty 'tinsel' cable for cord]
>

Generally the side of the plug that go to the wall will vary from
country to country but the side that go to the phone is a regular rj
jack so you just have to replace the cable with one that get rj plug on
each side.

Stephane

775. Motorola Spectra 800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pete@AirTraffic.com
Message-ID: <7735gt$ej8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132224

Looking for used Motorola 800mz Spectras mobles

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

776. Las Vegas Fire Department

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bogroll@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <36950c1f.1462502@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132225

I'm having trouble finding the LV fire department. They don't seem to be where I've been listening to them for the past few years. Any help is appreciated.

Jack

777. Regency schenatic needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reed Park <reedpark@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <36950ECB.BCCCAF49@nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 132226

Hi

I am need of a schematic for a Regency Flight Scan
model ACT-R-92AP. Anyone out there able to help ?

It's an old one, but so am I.

Thanks
Reed

--

ARROW Research (Avro CF-105)
Reed Park
252 Gauvin Road
Dieppe, New Brunswick
Canada E1A1M1

Amateur Radio VE1NU
WW II, # 19 SET user
I.C.Q. # 4138282

778. Re: FS:PRO 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamp@flinet.com>
Message-ID: <36950DF9.EECFE3F9@flinet.com>
Source record: 132227

To whoom are you addresing,there are more than one 2004 listed for sale.
Bob Stamper

MOSESW34 wrote:

> Hi, I was just wondering if you sold the scanner and if you could please tell
> me the new freqs thats you have now since you modified it. Thanks

779. Re: FS:Realistic DX 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamp@flinet.com>
Message-ID: <36950D72.683116CC@flinet.com>
Source record: 132228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

You have to start somewhere,I thought all prices on the net were negotiable!!

Hemlar Hoffleschilltick wrote:

> ooooooooowwweeeeeeee, we need the price cops here. Last two of these went
> for $75. I think Universal Radio is cheaper than $120. Heavy overpricing
> here.
>
> Robert Stamper wrote
> >I have an excellent DX-440/Sangean ATS 803a for sale.The radio is in
> >perfect working condition and has one scratch on the front bottom.I am
> >asking $120.00 shipped to lower 48.

780. U-2 varient ER-2 NASA 806 landed at Patrick AFB today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107144741.12100.00008078@ng124.aol.com>
Source record: 132229

Moments ago, at exactly 1:57pm, under robin's-egg blue skies in 70-degree Florida weather, NASA 806, that graceful, sleek, soaring high-altitude hero, a U-2 variant ER-2, went floating by my house to a smooth landing on Patrick AFB's Rnwy 02.
Since the wonderful bird left here in September after completing its Texas-Florida Underflight Program, which included flying into the devastating hurricanes that struck this area, I had removed the 378.8 discrete NASA 806 uses in Patrick AFB landings, and the 290.8 freq it uses in comms with its ground "chase" vehicle, so I did not hear comms from the pilot. Tower comms were heard on 133.75/348.4. It may be time for us in this area to reinstitute the NASA 806 freqs.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

781. Re: Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7735os$js5$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 132230

sttrooper@mindspring.com wrote in message
<77116aegr1@camel29.mindspring.com>...
>I never had a problem with Glendale, AZ PD. All you have to do is program
>the correct fleet map which is E1P4. I am using the RS Pro 2050 to do it.
>The only system that has too much on it is the Suprise PD system. Then if
>you still have problems following just PD traffic, lock out the unwanted
>ID's.

Yes, that is correct. However, the post I had written detailed how I listen
to them before I got my trunktracker, as the person I was replying to does
not have one.

Sorry for the confusion,
-Phil

782. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7735sv$js8$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 132231

JWiley wrote in message ...
>x-no-archive: yes
>In article <770qp6ogn1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>Well, that would be fine and good if I felt you would be as good as your
word. You have said this before, like the time you stated unequivically that
you were moving to alt.radio.scanner, never to return to the rec. group.
>You don't have much credibility, I'm afraid.

Well, all I can say to that is watch and see. I said this before to simply
get John's goat, but this time I really mean it. I'm truly sick of allowing
myself to stoop to his level of sheer stupidity. If he wants to trash the
group then fine, but I will take no part in helping him do it this time.

-Phil

783. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <773602$js9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 132232

Zombie Wolf wrote in message <772avn$f3f$1@garnet.mint.net>...
>Hey Phil, don`t sweat it. I don`t see you causing the kind of grief on the
>group that Mackey does. At least you talk about radio related matters once
>in a while. The fact that Mackalooney pisses you off just proves you`re
>human.
>

Yes, but the fact that I choose to no longer participate in the Flame-O-Rama
around here proves I am a *better* man than Mackey.

'nuff said.
-Phil

784. rochelle illinois railroad freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <772000$13b$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 132233

looking for rochelle illinois railroad freqs.
thanks jack
cmb@owc.net

785. Re: Antenna choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107154146.11004.00008063@ng122.aol.com>
Source record: 132234

>I noticed the RS 20-006 center loaded telescopic whip antenna
>for cheap. Does anybody have comments on the performance of this antenna?
>Would it be a far cry better than a plain rubber duckie perhaps?

It is so far a cry better than the rubber duckie to be a full blown bawling.
Even works as a good outdoor antenna for a base scanner.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

 Patrick AFB NASA-KSC Cape Canaveral Air Station

786. MDT FREQ'S. WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <7736eqrmo1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 132235

I AM LOOKING FOR MDT FREQ'S. FOR ANYWARE IN WISCONSIN.
EAGLE@EZ-NET.COM

787. Questions about Houston Tx Fire Dispatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: m_wommack@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <CAE5CB5FC98B4BB0.E8D301D7EDDAAE6E.0604CEB385A29371@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 132255

2 questions. First when monitoring dispatch, besides tone-outs I hear short chirps like bursts of data. What are these?

Also, what exactly are they dispatching when the dispatch a "District"?

Ok, I guess Ill sneak in a 3rd question. Back in Dallas, we have a mailing list dedicated to scanning in the DFW area. Any such animal for the Houston area?

thx!

788. Re: uniden bc235xlt trunktracker 300 channel scanning radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106200110.06070.00008510@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 132256

>From: rfld@usa.net

>I'd like to iquire to some more knowledgable folks about the uniden bc235xlt
>trunktracker 300 channel scanning radio. I think this is the radio i will
>purchase.

Let me just write that I use mine everyday
for Motorola Type II-i trunk system scanning.
In my opinion, the BC235XLT is the perfect
scanner to place in your dashboard cup holder
and drive around listening to Motorola
Type I, II, and hybrid trunk systems. My
advice to you is to wait for your local police
department to go to this type of trunk system
before you buy the BC235XLT. The reason
is because if you don't have trunking in your
neighborhood, you really won't need a trunking
scanner. That is, once your police goes to trunking,
you probably will not listen to anything else but
the trunk groups, but if they never go trunked,
you need a good, wide-range conventional scanner,
not the BC235XLT. I have one question for you:
have you checked out the BC3000XLT ???
The BC3000XLT seems like the scanner you
need right now, since your police is not trunked yet.

talkyal

789. Re: FS:PRO 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mosesw34@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106200331.08528.00006147@ng34.aol.com>
Source record: 132257

Hi, I was just wondering if you sold the scanner and if you could please tell me the new freqs thats you have now since you modified it. Thanks

790. $19 Weather Alert Receiver!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mervins@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106200550.25117.00007456@ng127.aol.com>
Source record: 132258

I was surprised to find an interesting weather alert receiver at a local Target for $19.98. It is the Jensen AM/FM Weather Alert with Storm Alert MR600. Big name for a little radio. It has 7 switch-selectable pretuned NOAA weather channels, as well as AM/FM. In case of a storm alert, a "beeping tone" will be heard from the speaker.

We have not had a storm alert since I bought the radio, so I can not comment on the "beeping tone." When tested on the second floor of an aluminium-clad building, the AM, FM, and the nearest NOAA station reception was excellent. The next-nearest NOAA station was readable. Of interest, and worthy of future investigation, a local 2-meter repeater comes in very well on one of the unused weather channels.

It is AC and battery-operated, and comes with a wall-wart adapter. The AC adapter automatically disconnects the batteries. Scotty

791. Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Finney <jpfin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36940A23.8BF9DE1@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132259

Hi,

I am considering trying the Radio Shack thru-glass scanner antenna,
#20-001, page 75 of the 1999 catalog and am looking for opinions on this
antenna. I know it will not perform as well as a permanently mounted
antenna but is the performance adequate? I live in Atlanta and am
interested in the 800mHz and low bands.

Any opinions?

Thanks,

Jim...

--

Jim Finney
==========
jpfin@worldnet.att.net

792. Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106202528.06070.00008529@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 132260
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I've got one more question about those
Michigan ASTRO jockeys. Seeing how
they sit in the medians under bridge abutments
in the middle of the night, lights off, shrouded
in ASTRO digital secrecy, it seems the only
way to detect them is hoping some alert truck
driver gives a warning on CB channel 19, or if
you are lucky enough to have your radar/laser
detector pick them up hitting a couple cars ahead
of you. But, I forgot about their car repeaters and
the Uniden BCT-12 Repeater-Picker-Upper. So,
my question is: Even though MSP are using ASTRO,
can the BCT-12 still pick up their car repeater signal
and produce a proximity alert ??? Anybody in
Michigan using a BCT-7 or BCT-12 and have
experience with this?

talkyal

793. Re: RT Systems IC-Q7A Clone Software failure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <19990106211432.14858.00008652@ng16.aol.com>
Source record: 132261

>Has anyone been able to get this software (from www.hamradio.cz) to work
>since 1/1/1999? It seems to have "expired" and will no longer run on
>several different machines that ran it previously.

Well, I see I'm not the only one with this problem.
Greg

794. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Oakes <goakes@home.com>
Message-ID: <n3Vk2.7821$vl5.1923@news.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 132262

Probably do okay on 800 MHz but is a really poor performer below 450 MHz.

795. Re: San Angelo, Tx Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3694271C.2510@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132263
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Roberto wrote:
>
> I'll be visiting the San Angelo Tx, area, I would like to have some
> local frequencies,
> also for Runnels County, if possible.
> Thanks
> Roberto

Check out the following URLs:

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/tx/sa.htm
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/tx.html#San Antonio
http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/tx.html

796. Re: Philly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369428A6.5897@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bud (the REAL one!) wrote:
>
> Need freqs used in and around Philadelphia, PA., especially PD stuff.
>
> Is a trunked system used there, and if so, does anyone have talkgroup info?
>
> Info needed ASAP, will offer any help I can to anyone providing info or links to it.
>
> To foil spammers, the addrress in this post is disquised.
> TO reply via e-mail, change the I in the address to an A.
> CA antispam laws went into effect Jan 1, 1999.
> Violators may be liable for both fines AND jail time for spamming this account.

Check out the following URLs:

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Pennsylvania/phila_fd.html
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/1273/scanner.html

797. New Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: run5431943@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990106222851.29451.00008362@ng12.aol.com>
Source record: 132265

I found this in a mall. they sell some bearcats and other cb radio junk...

http://www/usaplaza.com/JMAC/index.htm

798. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990106224218.22518.00001219@ng24.aol.com>
Source record: 132266

Jim,

Just to echo what the others have said, in a metro area such as Atlanta you'll probably do all right on VHF-HI and above, but the antenna barely works on VHF-LO. You'll do better with one of the RS trunk lip mount antennas.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

799. Re: Antenna choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ripcord@hurontel.on.ca
Message-ID: <369a2cce.149233981@news.hurontel.on.ca>
Source record: 132267

Funny you should ask, I just went out and laid down my money on the
counter today and bought one. I have noticed a big difference, as I
also use my handheld scanner in the basement. I could never pick up
anything except the odd transmission, but it has been going all night.
I am happy with it's performance over the rubber duckie antenna. I am
just waiting for nice weather to go up on the roof and mount a decent
antenna..... When the blizzard lets up someday.. My suggestion,
spend your coin and get the telescopic antenna, you will be impressed
with the difference.

Rich

On Tue, 05 Jan 1999 23:32:20 -0800, clarkm@pacbell.net (Clark Martin)
wrote:

>In article <slrn790jaq.nk1.aa126@fan1.fan.nb.ca>, aa126@fan.nb.ca wrote:
>
>>I have been evaluating various antennas for use with my scanner and 2m
>>ham radio. At first, I was very interested in the RS 20-043 discone or
>>other discones as they have broad reception and are usable on the 2m band.
>>However, after some probing, it turns out these do not have gain.
>>
>>...
>>
>>To that end, I noticed the RS 20-006 center loaded telescopic whip antenna
>>for cheap. Does anybody have comments on the performance of this antenna?
>>Would it be a far cry better than a plain rubber duckie perhaps?
>
>I have one of these. It definetly outperfoms the ruber duckie that came
>with my Uniden. I can pick up railroad signals (160MHz) from across San
>Francisco Bay (say 20 miles). The rubber duckie hears nothing. I still
>use the rubber duckie when handheld.

800. Re: DisneyWorld Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ccartee@cisco.com
Message-ID: <771kp4$3t1$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132268
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3675D3A6.6A5F@worldnet.att.net>,
rheisner@worldnet.att.net wrote:
> Mr. Wilson wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone have the same info for Disneyland here on the west coast???

Ack... those URL's are terribly incomplete. May I suggest:

http://members.aol.com/alweho/docs/scan.htm

Much better data. I should know, I helped write it... ;)

> Check out the following URLs:
>
> http://www.oitc.com:80/DIsney/Disneyland/DL_Freqs.html
> http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/ca.html
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

801. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <JLWk2.8196$tr6.1989@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 132269

Jim:

Thru-the-glass scanner antennas do not work very well. Radio Shack also sells what would best be described as an antenna extension cord, that works pretty good. You remove your stock antenna, connect this BNC cable to the radio, then attach your stock antenna to the other end, which has two suction cups to mount it to the glass... on the inside of the window! This keeps the antenna out of site, so you don't draw attention to the fact that your car has communications equipment in it.

Bill Crocker

Jim Finney wrote in message <36940A23.8BF9DE1@worldnet.att.net>...
>Hi,
>
>I am considering trying the Radio Shack thru-glass scanner antenna,
>#20-001, page 75 of the 1999 catalog and am looking for opinions on this
>antenna. I know it will not perform as well as a permanently mounted
>antenna but is the performance adequate? I live in Atlanta and am
>interested in the 800mHz and low bands.
>
>Any opinions?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Jim...
>
>--
>
>Jim Finney
>==============================
>jpfin@worldnet.att.net
>
>

802. Expanded 800 & 900 mhz Trunking & MDT Info for Atlanta

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ccreeper@inetnow.net
Message-ID: <369425e8.10765623@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 132270

I've recently posted new information on 900mhz Motorola SMR & EDACS
Trunks in the Atlanta area, updated 800mhz Motorola & Public Safety
Trunks and a new MDT section with a screen shot! I've recently
ordered a data slicer to provide expanded info on these systems, and
talkgroups for the 900 mhz systems, stay tuned to my Trunk News page!
Thx...

Creeper
www.inetnow.net/~creeper/scanner.htm

--
Take off one of the "C's" in ccreeper@inetnow.net when sending personal replies via email.

803. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ccreeper@inetnow.net
Message-ID: <36942c0e.12339258@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 132271
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal) wrote:

>I've got one more question about those
>Michigan ASTRO jockeys. Seeing how
>they sit in the medians under bridge abutments
>in the middle of the night, lights off, shrouded
>in ASTRO digital secrecy, it seems the only
>way to detect them is hoping some alert truck
>driver gives a warning on CB channel 19, or if
>you are lucky enough to have your radar/laser
>detector pick them up hitting a couple cars ahead
>of you. But, I forgot about their car repeaters and
>the Uniden BCT-12 Repeater-Picker-Upper. So,
>my question is: Even though MSP are using ASTRO,
>can the BCT-12 still pick up their car repeater signal
>and produce a proximity alert ??? Anybody in
>Michigan using a BCT-7 or BCT-12 and have
>experience with this?
>
>talkyal

From my experience with Georgia State Patrol going to IDEN radios, I
can tell you that the old vehicluar repeaters are are
deactivated/turned off. In fact, when they were used, most troopers
manually turned them on when they left their cars for traffic stops
and such. I doubt they will still be utilized. But, like Michicgan
possibly, GSP outside of the city in the boonies don't have fancy
Digital radios, mobile repeaters are still well used and I use that to
my advantage because they are left on all the time, occasionally, in
those areas.

Creeper

www.inetnow.net/~creeper

--
Take off one of the "C's" in ccreeper@inetnow.net when sending personal replies via email.

804. Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Giff <kmgifford@ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <01be39f9$8f874740$3d0c8ed1@windowsu>
Source record: 132272

Why am I picking up cordless phones on 44.00mhz?

805. SMA to BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Giff <kmgifford@ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <01be39fa$b3743fe0$3d0c8ed1@windowsu>
Source record: 132273

Anybody know of a source for an SMA to BNC adapter?

806. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjrems@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990107000224.01873.00008385@ng144.aol.com>
Source record: 132274

>Subject: Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems
>From: talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal)
>Date: 1/6/99 8:01 PM !!!First Boot!!!
>Message-id: <19990106150123.16245.00008112@ng15.aol.com>
>
>>From: nexus@ametro.net (The NeXus)
>
>> so I went and bought me a SABER ASTRO and a
>>Spectra ASTRO for the truck
>
>But, even if you did that, didn't you have to
>have someone program the ASTRO radios
>for your specific Sheriff Office's setup ???
>BTW, was it easy to just go out and buy an
>ASTRO radio, or did they want background
>information on you?
>
>talkyal
>

Talkyal,
I live in Michigan and from what I've heard and found out the MSP is very open about there ASTRO system and will provide the information to program a radio for receive only.

The only real killer is the $3000 price tag on an HT.

RJR EMS
Detroit
Go Wings

807. Re: Motorola Digital Astro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjrems@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990106235738.01873.00008384@ng144.aol.com>
Source record: 132275

>Subject: Motorola Digital Astro
>From: "Mark Elie" <melie@cybertours.com>
>Date: 1/7/99 12:56 AM !!!First Boot!!!
>Message-id: <3694060b.0@newsfeed1.cybertours.com>
>
>
>Does anyone have any personal experience with digital police transmissions?
>Our local dept. recently changed over to Motorola Astro 3000. My scanners
>are useless. Is any manufacturer planning to address this issue? Can an
>analog
>scanner be altered to decode digital transmissions? Any help or advice would
>be appreciated.
>
>Mark
>melie@cybertours.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Your screwed for now

RJR in digital MI

808. Re: $19 Weather Alert Receiver!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke6zgp@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107002434.00802.00008865@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 132276

I bought a radio shack wx alert receiver a few years back. When switched to I believe channel 3 162.4750 I would receive as an image our local 145.2000 ham repeater, I thought it was a neat advantage

Bryan Herbert
BLH Communications-owner
Magic Mountain-Parking Lot Attendant / Tram Operator
Amateur Radio-KE6ZGP
LA Co. Disaster Communications Service-F-150
Civil Air Patrol-YOSEMITE 845

809. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke6zgp@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107001943.00802.00008864@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 132277

I bought one a couple years back and it worked good on VHF-Lo, VHF-Hi, did poorly on 400MHz and great on 800MHz.

Before buying make sure your windows are not tinted or else you will not make contact between the two pieces.

Bryan Herbert
BLH Communications-owner
Magic Mountain-Parking Lot Attendant / Tram Operator
Amateur Radio-KE6ZGP
LA Co. Disaster Communications Service-F-150
Civil Air Patrol-YOSEMITE 845

810. Re: $19 Weather Alert Receiver!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mervins@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107003842.01060.00007192@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 132278

Right, I do get a slight 2-meter signal on Channel 3, but the strong, clear one is on Channel 4. As you say, an advantage! Scotty

> bought a radio shack wx alert receiver a few years back. When switched to I >believe channel 3 162.4750 I would receive as an image our local 145.2000 ham >repeater, I thought it was a neat advantage

811. Re: Trunk Tracker Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <771i9e$jrs@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132279

In <13506-36917083-4@newsd-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net> kidless@webtv.net (John York) writes:
>
> I am finally going to upgrade to a trunk tracking scanner. Which one
>is best, and which ones to avoid? I would appreciate any help or
>experiences with any.
>TIA
>
>John York
>(kidless)
>
>
u got to be kidding...right!

upgrading to ....

what is best....

what to avoid?

ad nauseum.

i'm sure you know that the question you
ask is redundant.

first: does your locality have Motorolla
based systems in use?

if not, then upgrading is folly and foolishness
to the ultimate

what is best: gee, only one manufacturer makes
them. you get a portable and you get a base.

you get a mobile on one and not on the other

avoid: well, avoid questions that go in circles.

they all work just fine. Same manufacturer.

just different labels....different faces on
front of the units.

it's either RS or Uniden.

same manufacturer....UNIDEN's third party
company....

bill conduit
etc.

812. Re: Ericsson Edacs Trunking: Want to some info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <771hvo$f31@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132280

In <369125ac.0@news.westel.com> Paragon@Lightspeed.ca writes:
>
>Our city, Vancouver, BC, Canada is going onto this piece of shit
>trunking called EDACS! I want to hear some horror stories on this
>systema and can it also be monitored if they calim it is full digital!
>
>Millin

surprise...
surprise.

why not just wait til
they install it and then
you can be a CUB REPORTER
and tell us all about it.

succint action gets much
do it yourself.
respect yourself in the morning

if the "calim" it is digital....
well, if you wait you will
find out if they are right.
the true epistomological-wait.

bill conduit
with attitude

813. Re: Alinco DJ-X10K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andre Beaulieu <boldinf@cam.org>
Message-ID: <771hm8$1c8@tandem.CAM.ORG>
Source record: 132281

I know there's a DJ-X10 T,K,J and E. Do you know the diff between all thoses model (other than cell blocking) ?

Where a you situated? US?

Thnx

Andre

Paul Egan wrote in message <3692df76.6716800@news.interlog.com>...
>100Khz to 2Ghz
>Medium wave, Short wave, VHF, UHF.
>All in one Handheld
>WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW
>1200 Memories
>Not cellular blocked
>Battery and Charger
>Manual and strap
>
>Mint Condition
>
>$300 US firm
>
>email: paulegan@interlog.com
>

814. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: semtex50@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107023513.05604.00003356@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 132282

That rs antenna is a piece of crap. I bought 2 and niether one stayed on the glass for more than 2 or 3 months. Reception wasn't all that great either.

815. Pro-2004,5,6 Computer Interface for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <369465cd.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 132283
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

CE-232 Computer interface for the Radio Shack Pro-2004,2005,2006 series of scanners and others. Designed and still marketed by Bill Cheek and Commtronics Engineering. Unit was never installed but is fully assembled and ready to install either externally or internally. Includes all documantation and software.$85.00 including shipping. If interested email or call my voice mail 1-800-518-1858 and leave your name and number and I will call you back.

Mike Dockery
Denver, CO

816. Pro-2004 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36946a6d.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 132284
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack Pro-2004 scanner for sale, unit is in excellent cosmetic and operational condition. Full 800 Mhz and 100 extra channel mod have been done. Have original box, antenna, owners and service manuals. Backlight on display is bright. $225 including shipping. Email or call my voice mail 1-800-518-1858 and leave name and number and I will call you back.

Mike Dockery
Denver, CO

817. Pro-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36946b35.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 132285
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack Pro-2006 in perfect cosmetic and operational condition with cellular mod done. Includes original box, antenna, owners and service manuals. $315 including shipping. Email or call my voice mail 1-800-518-1858 and leave your name and number and I will call you back.

Mike Dockery
Denver, CO

818. Re: (?) Is Bill Cheeks Back?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.on.ca
Message-ID: <36967bde.17159377@news.iaw.on.ca>
Source record: 132036

I jest not! I have never seen a post on here by anyone named Bill Cheeks! I have however seen many informative post made by a bill Cheek!

On Fri, 08 Jan 1999 01:43:54 GMT, ke4yqz-nospam@mindspring.com (Roger D. Cravens, Jr.) wrote:

>jabba@iaw.on.ca (Len G. Carpenter) wrote:
>
>>Who the hell is Bill Cheeks?
>>
>>
>>
>>On Sun, 13 Dec 1998 05:59:03 GMT, KA0SSF - John Mac key
>><jmackey@parg.org> wrote:
>>
>>>Jim Wayda wrote:
>>>> I went away for a while and wondered if Bill Cheeks has come back yet?
>>>
>>>Do a search of Dejanews & see for yourself!
>
>Surely you jest?
>
>Roger Cravens
>Atlanta, GA
>
>
>
>**
>* Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
>* John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
>**
>* Roger Cravens *
>* South East Signals Intelligence Group *
>* Atlanta, GA *
>**
>* Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
>**

819. Re: Questions about Houston Tx Fire Dispatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: peglegdxer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107230218.01795.00000456@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 132037

>Subject: Questions about Houston Tx Fire Dispatch
>From: m_wommack@hotmail.com (Mike)
>Date: 1/6/99 7:23 PM CDT
>Message-id:
><CAE5CB5FC98B4BB0.E8D301D7EDDAAE6E.0604CEB385A29371@library-proxy.airnews.net>
>
>2 questions. First when monitoring dispatch, besides tone-outs I hear
>short chirps like bursts of data. What are these?
>
>Also, what exactly are they dispatching when the dispatch a "District"?
>
>Ok, I guess Ill sneak in a 3rd question. Back in Dallas, we have
>a mailing list dedicated to scanning in the DFW area. Any such
>animal for the Houston area?
>
>thx!
>
>
>
>

first the "burst" is prbably a squelch burst, To "open" receivers.

Second, Yes usually there are many districs to metro areas.

Third , I am not sure about the Houston area
PegLegDXer (Emerald Pirate)
I came,
I saw,
I Smoked Sea Weed !!!

820. Re: Looking for speech inversion descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <369a7ec0.12093616@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132038

nascarfant@aol.com (NascarFant) wrote:
There is a software program that will descramble. It's called
invert.exe. Works great. Takes audio from scanner to line input of
soundcard.
Bob
>Does anyone know where to find a good speech inversion descrambler?

821. Harrisburg, Pa MDT Freq's ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <369b7f3f.12220726@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132039

What freq's is Harrisburg, Pa using for there MDT's. They used to be
in the 460 MHZ band, now they are in the cell bands. Approximately
what freq range would they be in that cell area ?
Bob

822. Re: Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: peglegdxer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107225747.01795.00000453@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 132040

>
>You should be aware that not every signal you hear is on the frequency
>the dial shows. Cordless telephones are on 30 MHz.
>

where did you hear this ? cordless 43-49 mHz
PegLegDXer (Emerald Pirate)
I came,
I saw,
I Smoked Sea Weed !!!

823. Re: Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36959317.4800@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132041

PegLegDXer wrote:
>
> >
> >You should be aware that not every signal you hear is on the frequency
> >the dial shows. Cordless telephones are on 30 MHz.
> >
>
> where did you hear this ? cordless 43-49 mHz
> PegLegDXer (Emerald Pirate)
> I came,
> I saw,
> I Smoked Sea Weed !!!

Barry's located in Australia. The Corless phones down under operate
in the 30 Mhz range for base unit and 39 Mhz range for the remote.

Please note that it's Illegal to monitor Cordless Phones in the USA.

The following List is for Informational purposes only!

==========

In the US and Canada they use the following:

PRE-1984 CORDLESS FREQUENCIES POST-1995 CORDLESS FREQUENCIES

Channel ## Rmte TX Base TX Channel ## Base TX Rmte TX
========== ======= ======= ========== ======= =======
Channel 01A 49.9300 01.6950 Channel 01 43.7200 48.7600
Channel 06A 49.8300 01.7050 Channel 02 43.7400 48.8400
Channel 13A 49.8600 01.7650 Channel 03 43.8200 48.8600
Channel 19A 49.8750 01.7950 Channel 04 43.8400 48.9200
Channel 25A 49.8900 01.8250 Channel 05 43.9200 49.0200
Channel 27A 49.8450 01.7350 Channel 06 43.9600 49.0800
Channel 07 44.1200 49.1000
POST-1984 CORDLESS FREQUENCIES Channel 08 44.1600 49.1600
Channel 09 44.1800 49.2000
Channel ## Base TX Rmte TX Channel 10 44.2000 49.2400
========== ======= ======= Channel 11 44.3200 49.2800
Channel 01 46.6100 49.6700 Channel 12 44.3600 49.3600
Channel 02 46.6300 49.8450 Channel 13 44.4000 49.4000
Channel 03 46.6700 49.8600 Channel 14 44.4600 49.4600
Channel 04 46.7100 49.7700 Channel 15 44.4800 49.5000
Channel 05 46.7300 49.8750 Channel 16 46.6100 49.6700
Channel 06 46.7700 49.8300 Channel 17 46.6300 49.8450
Channel 07 46.8300 49.8900 Channel 18 46.6700 49.8600

Channel 08 46.8700 49.9300 Channel 19 46.7100 49.7700
Channel 09 46.9300 49.9900 Channel 20 46.7300 49.8750
Channel 10 46.9700 49.9700 Channel 21 46.7700 49.8300
Channel 22 46.8300 49.8900
Note: Post-1995 cordless channels Channel 23 46.8700 49.9300
16-25 are congruent with Channel 24 46.9300 49.9900
Post-1984 channels 1-10. Channel 25 46.9700 49.9700

==========

900 MHZ BAND FREQUENCIES

Many of today's 900 MHz cordless telephones offer digital encryption
or spread spectrum technology. Either of these systems will render
the reception of the conversation by an ordinary scanner impossible.

Taken From Panasonic KX-T9000 60 Channel Model

Base: 902.100 - 903.870 Base frequencies
Handset: 926.100 - 927.870 Handset frequencies

(30 kHz Spacing)

CH	BASE TX	HAND TX	CH	BASE TX	HAND TX	CH	BASE TX	HAND TX
01	902.100	926.100	21	902.700	926.700	41	903.300	927.300
02	902.130	926.130	22	902.730	926.730	42	903.330	927.330
03	902.160	926.160	23	902.760	926.760	43	903.360	927.360
04	902.190	926.190	24	902.790	926.790	44	903.390	927.390
05	902.220	926.220	25	902.820	926.820	45	903.420	927.420
06	902.250	926.250	26	902.850	926.850	46	903.450	927.450
07	902.280	926.280	27	902.880	926.880	47	903.480	927.480
08	902.310	926.310	28	902.910	926.910	48	903.510	927.510
09	902.340	926.340	29	902.940	926.940	49	903.540	927.540
10	902.370	926.370	30	902.970	926.970	50	903.570	927.570
11	902.400	926.400	31	903.000	927.000	51	903.600	927.600
12	902.430	926.430	32	903.030	927.030	52	903.630	927.630
13	902.460	926.460	33	903.060	927.060	53	903.660	927.660
14	902.490	926.490	34	903.090	927.090	54	903.690	927.690
15	902.520	926.520	35	903.120	927.120	55	903.720	927.720
16	902.550	926.550	36	903.150	927.150	56	903.750	927.750
17	902.580	926.580	37	903.180	927.180	57	903.780	927.780
18	902.610	926.610	38	903.210	927.210	58	903.810	927.810
19	902.640	926.640	39	903.240	927.240	59	903.840	927.840
20	902.670	926.670	40	903.270	927.270	60	903.870	927.870

For the single frequency model Panasonic phones, the color of the unit
often determines which of the above channels is used by the phone. The
following chart lists these allocations.

Unit Color	CH	Unit Color	CH	Unit Color	CH	Unit Color	CH
Banana	03	Cerise	04	Gray	07	Off White	06

Black 59 Chartreuse 10 Hot Pink 02 Shocking Pink 09
Blue 01 Fuschia 08 Neon Purple 11 White 05

(Taken From V-Tech Tropez DX900 20 Channel Model)

Base: 905.600 - 907.500 Base frequencies
Handset: 925.500 - 927.400 Handset frequencies

(100 kHz Spacing)

CH	BASE TX	HAND TX	CH	BASE TX	HAND TX	CH	BASE TX	HAND TX
01	905.600	925.500	08	906.300	926.200	15	907.000	926.900
02	905.700	925.600	09	906.400	926.300	16	907.100	927.000
03	905.800	925.700	10	906.500	926.400	17	907.200	927.100
04	905.900	925.800	11	906.600	926.500	18	907.300	927.200
05	906.000	925.900	12	906.700	926.600	19	907.400	927.300
06	906.100	926.000	13	906.800	926.700	20	907.500	927.400
07	906.200	926.100	14	906.900	926.800			

OTHER 900 MHZ CORDLESS PHONES

AT&T Model 9120 - Base: 902.000-905.000 Handset:
925.000-928.000
Otron Corp. Model CP-1000 - Base: 902.100-903.900 Handset:
926.100-927.900
Samsung Model SP-R912 - Base: 903.000 Handset: 927.000

==========

In the UK they use the following:

Channel	Pairs	Base Unit TX Mhz	Handset Tx Mhz
1	A	1.642	47.45625
4	B	1.702	47.49375
2	A	1.662	47.46875
5	B	1.772	47.50625
3	A	1.682	47.48125
6	B	1.742	47.51875
4	A	1.702	47.49375
7	B	1.762	47.53125
5	A	1.722	47.50625
8	B	1.782	47.54375

==========

In Australia they use the following:

10 Channel Cordless models in Australia

Base Handset

30.075 39.775
30.100 39.800
30.125 39.825
30.150 39.850
30.175 39.875
30.200 39.900
30.225 39.925
30.250 39.950
30.275 39.975
30.300 40.000

5 Channel Cordless Phone models Australia

Base Handset

1.725 40.025
1.740 40.075
1.755 40.125
1.770 40.175
1.785 40.225

==========

In New Zealand they use the following:

Channel Base Unit Transmit (MHz) Portable Unit Transmit (MHz)

CT1-1 30.075 39.775
CT1-2 30.100 39.800
CT1-3 30.125 39.825
CT1-4 30.150 39.850
CT1-5 30.175 39.875
CT1-6 30.200 39.900
CT1-7 30.225 39.925
CT1-8 30.250 39.950
CT1-9 30.275 39.975
CT1-10 30.300 40.000
CT1-11A 30.325 or 1.725 40.025
CT1-12 30.350 40.050
CT1-13B 30.375 or 1.740 40.075
CT1-14 30.400 40.100
CT1-15C 30.425 or 1.755 40.125
CT1-16 30.450 40.150
CT1-17D 30.475 or 1.770 40.175
CT1-18 30.500 40.200
CT1-19E 30.525 or 1.785 40.225
CT1-20 30.550 40.250
CT1-21 30.575 40.275
CT1-22 30.600 40.300 or 72.800
CT1-23 30.625 40.325 or 72.825
CT1-24 30.650 40.350 or 72.850
CT1-25 30.675 40.375 or 72.875
CT1-26 30.700 40.400 or 72.900

CT1-27 30.725 40.425 or 72.925
CT1-28 30.750 40.450 or 72.950
CT1-29 30.775 40.475 or 72.975

The 800 Mhz cordless phones in New Zealand operate on:

864.15 865.15 866.15 867.15
864.25 865.25 866.25 867.25
864.35 865.35 866.35 867.35
864.45 865.45 866.45 867.45
864.55 865.55 866.55 867.55
864.65 865.65 866.65 867.65
864.75 865.75 866.75 867.75
864.85 865.85 866.85 867.85
864.95 865.95 866.95 867.95
865.05 866.05 867.05 868.05

==========

824. Re: atc freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369593FC.6E6C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132042

david phillips wrote:
>
> I am looking for freqs for L.A. area air traffic control. Is there a website
> that has a wide range of freqs?
> Dave

You can look up any airport in the the US at http://www.airnav.com

825. Re: Las Vegas Fire Department

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369594AB.2AD2@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132043

Jack wrote:
>
> I'm having trouble finding the LV fire department. They don't seem to
> be where I've been listening to them for the past few years. Any help
> is appreciated.
>
> Jack

Check out the following URL:

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/nv.html

826. Wanted: Converter for 800 mhz blocked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdizzy@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <77445toij1@earth.superlink.net>
Source record: 132044

I have a handheld with the 800 mhz blocked from receiving cell phones.
Anyone have a converter to listen in? Will pay a very reasonable offer.
Thanks.

827. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: pinhead2@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <774a29cdk1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner

In article <369549B8.7375E2D6@lucent.com>,
"dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote:
> ice cream man wrote:
> >
> > tesla was a man that was way ahead of his time. I wish I had privy to all of
> > his notes. I don't know if I would understand them, but it would prove to be
> > interesting reading. There has been a bit of subtrafuge involved with his
> > notes. The United States government refuses to release some of his notes.
>
> Take a closer look at a government project called 'HARP' and see if you can't
> find those missing notes!
>
> Dennis
>
> #12
>

was tesla ever capable of producing lightning when and where he wanted
it?????? until I read a book on him, I always thought lightning went from the
clouds to ground but now I believe at least in some instances that lightning
goes from the ground to the sky.............

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

828. Re: Looking for speech inversion descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: racencart@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108002540.27632.00009409@ng29.aol.com>
Source record: 132046

>nascarfant@aol.com (NascarFant) wrote:
>There is a software program that will descramble. It's called
>invert.exe. Works great. Takes audio from scanner to line input of
>soundcard.
>Bob
>>Does anyone know where to find a good speech inversion descrambler?

Where is it?

829. Re: Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36959E33.F67CE5E7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132047

PegLegDXer wrote:

> >
> >You should be aware that not every signal you hear is on the frequency
> >the dial shows. Cordless telephones are on 30 MHz.
> >
>
> where did you hear this ? cordless 43-49 mHz
> PegLegDXer (Emerald Pirate)

Maybe cordless phones are on 30 MHz in Australia, but not in
North America.

Please look at the address suffix of the person making the post,
it will tell a lot about differences in spectrum assignments, which
vary considerably in different parts of the world.

Jon

830. Mods for Pro-23

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: m2iceman@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108010237.05488.00008962@ng-fa2.aol.com>
Source record: 132048

Looking for any mods that can be done to this unit.

Thanks
Ice

831. Pro-2042's still available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3695A282.4E2A252D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132049

I had the local Radio Shack district manager find me a Pro-2042 closeout special,
there were none in this district, but she found several in the Seattle/Tacoma area.

I figure for $199, this is too good to pass up for such a good performing scanner.
There are still a few left around the country, get one before they're gone forever.
(well, the Optocom is basically an integrated Pro-2042 and OS-535, but that's
another story)

Happy scanning,
Jon

832. Re: FS:Realistic DX 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamp@flinet.com>
Message-ID: <36959FA7.29A1307D@flinet.com>
Source record: 132050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry,your too late.

Hemlar Hoffleschilltick wrote:

> This is very good of you to offer negotiation in the matter. I therefore
> offer the original price you suggested of $120 less the more reasonable
> price of $75 minus about $10 shipping which you agreed to pay and will send
> you $35 for the radio. Thank you for the opportunity to purchase such a
> fine radio for $35.
>
> Robert Stamper wrote
> >You have to start somewhere,I thought all prices on the net were
> negotiable!!
> >
> >Hemlar Hoffleschilltick wrote:
> >
> >> ooooooooowwweeeeeeee, we need the price cops here. Last two of these went
> >> for $75. I think Universal Radio is cheaper than $120. Heavy
> overpricing
> >> here.

833. Re: FS:Realistic DX 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamp@flinet.com>
Message-ID: <36959C1B.F92E87B3@flinet.com>
Source record: 132051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry,your too late.

Hemlar Hoffleschilltick wrote:

> This is very good of you to offer negotiation in the matter. I therefore
> offer the original price you suggested of $120 less the more reasonable
> price of $75 minus about $10 shipping which you agreed to pay and will send
> you $35 for the radio. Thank you for the opportunity to purchase such a
> fine radio for $35.
>
> Robert Stamper wrote
> >You have to start somewhere,I thought all prices on the net were
> negotiable!!
> >
> >Hemlar Hoffleschilltick wrote:
> >
> >> ooooooooowwweeeeeeee, we need the price cops here. Last two of these went
> >> for $75. I think Universal Radio is cheaper than $120. Heavy
> overpricing
> >> here.

834. Re: FS:Realistic DX 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamp@flinet.com>
Message-ID: <36959BC0.282446D1@flinet.com>
Source record: 132052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry,your too late.

Hemlar Hoffleschilltick wrote:

> This is very good of you to offer negotiation in the matter. I therefore
> offer the original price you suggested of $120 less the more reasonable
> price of $75 minus about $10 shipping which you agreed to pay and will send
> you $35 for the radio. Thank you for the opportunity to purchase such a
> fine radio for $35.
>
> Robert Stamper wrote
> >You have to start somewhere,I thought all prices on the net were
> negotiable!!
> >
> >Hemlar Hoffleschilltick wrote:
> >
> >> ooooooooowwweeeeeeee, we need the price cops here. Last two of these went
> >> for $75. I think Universal Radio is cheaper than $120. Heavy
> overpricing
> >> here.

835. Re: Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: nunkhead@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108013928.05289.00009584@ng11.aol.com>
Source record: 132053

I was pretty upset because it picks up less than my 60 channel PRO2024!!!!! I have had that scanner for over 5 years or so! That is pretty sad! It is really DeTuned around the 40 mghz area? Humm wonder if this was done to make friends w/ the FCC?
Nunk

836. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracey12 <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <#Zzu7ntO#GA.321@upnetnews03>
Source record: 132054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

WHAT A TURD!

John - KAOSSF wrote in message <36931BBD.62DE@usa.net>...
>kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 03 Jan 1999 19:10:14 -0800, John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
>> wrote:
> SNIP
>
>> Amen brother!
>
>Thanks for your support, kryppy!
>
>happy new year!

837. Re: Las Vegas Fire Department

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@cwix.com>
Message-ID: <3695C11B.BA19FEF5@cwix.com>
Source record: 132055

They just went to a Motorola 800mhz trunked system. I don't know the new frequencies yet... Hope this helps ...

Mike Derryberry

Jack wrote:
>
> I'm having trouble finding the LV fire department. They don't seem to
> be where I've been listening to them for the past few years. Any help
> is appreciated.
>
> Jack

838. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@cwix.com>
Message-ID: <3695C34A.20510B1C@cwix.com>
Source record: 132056

Phil .... You were a better man even before you swore off Mackey. You know what a scanner is and how to use one. I still don't think he would know a scanner if it jumped up and bit him in the backside.

I have just read his posts and ignored them for quite awhile. I just sit back and read and laugh. He not worth the energy needed to type a reply to his posts.

Take Care ...

Mike Derryberry

Phil Smalley wrote:
>
...snip...
>
> Yes, but the fact that I choose to no longer participate in the Flame-O-Rama
> around here proves I am a *better* man than Mackey.
>
> 'nuff said.
> -Phil

839. Re: Looking for speech inversion descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@nightowl.net>
Message-ID: <3695C49E.68BC2796@nightowl.net>
Source record: 132057

You can download it from my webpage if you like, look near the bottom
under software.

http://www2.nightowl.net/tyler

Todd

RACEnCART wrote:
>
> >nascarfant@aol.com (NascarFant) wrote:
> >There is a software program that will descramble. It's called
> >invert.exe. Works great. Takes audio from scanner to line input of
> >soundcard.
> >Bob
> >>Does anyone know where to find a good speech inversion descrambler?
>
> Where is it?

840. Re: wacars?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@nightowl.net>
Message-ID: <3695C862.49E33543@nightowl.net>
Source record: 132058

Read the readme.html file, it gives you all the juicy details.

Todd

"sti¿½phane thi¿½berge" wrote:
>
> anybody know how to use this program? and is for what?
>
> --
> Sti¿½phane Thi¿½berge
> Beauport,Qc
> Canada

841. Re: WELZ WS2000EX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raf Van Zele <RVZ@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <774hrpcma1@news3.Belgium.EU.net>
Source record: 132059

Does anyone knows how to handle the hidden functions on this 'device' ?

12.5 / 25 tEL and the different USER levels ?

Thanks a lot ! !
RVZ@BIGFOOT.COMM
remove last M to prevent SPAM

842. Re: icom r2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabrizio <AvStrien@usa.net>
Message-ID: <774i3s$2vbk$1@ns0.klm.nl>
Source record: 132060

MAlexder wrote in message
<19990106082353.05626.00002763@ng-ch1.aol.com>...
>>From: "Fabrizio" <AvStrien@usa.net>
>
>>You must be kidding ... why do you place 2 posts within short time
span,
>>one to get inquiries on IC-R2, and then trying to sell one in your
next
>>post? Are you selling something you didn't experience with, or
whatever?
>>Fabrizio (proud owner of an IC-R2)
>
>What business is it of yours?

Just wondering ...

843. Q:IC-10 cloning, dataformats, checksums

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Metzger <mzg@de.ibm.com>
Message-ID: <36963356.AF737895@de.ibm.com>
Source record: 132061

Hi!

I'm just trying to decipher the dataformat that is being used for cloning a ICOM R-10 to a PC. I've found quite a few frequencies and other settings in that data stream already, and the basic layout rules are easy to find.

The data is transferred as some sort of hex dump.

The bad thing is that each 32 byte record of this dump has a checksum appended which doesn't seem to be the easiest thing to crack.

And without knowing how to calculate this checksum I cannot just modify the data myself and send the whole stuff back to the R-10. It refuses to take it (bad data - since the checksums don't match).

Does anyone know where I can get more information on that?

Richard.

844. Re: I wont buy on e-bay, (Was: WTB: Uniden MR-8100)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <774nj5$gtq@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132062

In <ghopper-0701992236410001@ghopper.ndip.eskimo.net>
ghopper@eskimo.com (Grant Hopper) writes:
>
>In article <19990107214252.28525.00009212@ng39.aol.com>,
xes3609@aol.com
>(Xes3609) wrote:
>
>> look on www.ebay.com
>
>E-bay results in overpriced old hardware. Scanners being sold for more
>than they are worth, let alone, more than they sold for new.
>
>GH
>
>--
>G.F. Hopper
>ghopper@eskimo.com
>KB7WSD

a newspaper did a story about e-bay
just a few days ago...not to complimentary
about their way of doing business and all
the problems people have with the format

plus people who buy are noted paying more
then what they could find elsewhere.

do a search and see what you can find
on "e-bay" or "e-bay auctions"

it could be in the SanFran Chronicle
or on the SFGATE pages...which is the
Chron and Examinar and KRON...
....this is probably where i saw it.

a lot of people are happy and some
are not with e-bay. many reasons why
not.

if you give it some thought, the idea
of auction pumps prices up until the
seller figures he's got somone paying
more than what he figured getting for
it. so why not go that route. for
most. But then you have to pay the
auction fee as the seller is obligated

to.

that could be why prices have a tendancy to escalate...sort of a buffer price to pay off the provider of.

thimk about it.

I know how scarce the 8100's are. I've got one. Don't use it much. but I've got it. One day when I decide what to do with it, I may or I may not.

that would cause prices to "escalate" due to demand. but how much over.

i've got a box of old scanners and they don't cause this fervor that the 8100 does when put up for sale...what people want they will pay more for.

that's the way it is. you pay for what you value its worth at to you?

bill conduit
etc.

845. POCFLEX PROGRAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ham <racd@racd.net>
Message-ID: <3695C154.719C@racd.net>
Source record: 132063

Found the new PC/FLEX/GOLAY prog but,

Why not,
put in the ERMES protocol also ?
the prog decodes all known pager formats at that time.

Why not,
put in a reject file ?

--
==========
NOSPAM PLEASE REMOVE XXX TO SEND REMAIL NOSPAM
----

846. Re: Motorola Spectra 800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: news1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <B77A315BE49FF872.B057F91C5BF520C2.D0B3CC9DCBC8CAA6@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 132064

On Thu, 07 Jan 1999 20:30:21 GMT, Pete@AirTraffic.com wrote:

>Looking for used Motorola 800mz Spectras mobles
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

Check Rec.radio.swap

847. Re: Pro-2042's still available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: alf sauve <alf.sauve@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <bAml2.3$9M5.206@news.cwix.com>
Source record: 132065

For those who have a Tech America store handy you might also check there.
(TA is a branch of Tandy)

I found one (1) in the Atlanta store sitting on the shelf. It had been
their for over 3 months, unlabeled and unpriced. I kept asking the clerks
for the price every time I went to the store. I waited until the price
dropped below $200 before purchasing it.

So you might root around in their scanner section and you might also ask
them to check their computer inventory system.

Jon S. Van Allen wrote in message <3695A282.4E2A252D@worldnet.att.net>...
>I had the local Radio Shack district manager find me a Pro-2042 closeout
>special,
>there were none in this district, but she found several in the
>Seattle/Tacoma area.
>
>I figure for $199, this is too good to pass up for such a good
>performing scanner.
>There are still a few left around the country, get one before they're
>gone forever.
>(well, the Optocom is basically an integrated Pro-2042 and OS-535, but
>that's
>another story)
>
>Happy scanning,
>Jon
>
>

848. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: nexus@ametro.net
Message-ID: <774t1u$ga7$1@osh1.datasync.com>
Source record: 132066
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Talkyal (talkyal@aol.com) hath said:
: >From: nexus@ametro.net (The NeXus)
:
: > so I went and bought me a SABER ASTRO and a
: >Spectra ASTRO for the truck
:
: But, even if you did that, didn't you have to
: have someone program the ASTRO radios
: for your specific Sheriff Office's setup ???
: BTW, was it easy to just go out and buy an
: ASTRO radio, or did they want background
: information on you?
: talkyal

Yes they do have to the programmed, for someone like
you it would be a problem, for someone like me it isn't
seeing as how I am a public safety person and my department
is using the system. No background information, I already
have a commission, all I needed to do was sign a hand receipt
and the radios were mine....

Jimmy
--
::::::::::::::::==|Posted by. The NeXus|==::::::::::::::::
::::::::::::==|http://www.ametro.net/nexus|==::::::::::::

849. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108073804.01731.00002561@ng42.aol.com>
Source record: 132067

>From: Ken Ascher WB8LIT <cei@mediaone.net>

>As today is the opening day of the 1999 Winter Consumer
>Electronics Show in Las Vegas, you will find information about new
>Uniden products by visiting our web site at http://www.usascan.com.

Nice to see that the new BC245XLT will
trunk track Motorola AND GE/Ericsson trunk
systems. That should be great if I take a road
trip through various states using both systems.
I'm not sure if I'll need that modem connection
function to find frequencies, though. Can
we trust the database is complete ?
At your CEI price of $269.95, you once again
show that you are the price leader, probably
Radio Shack will have a similar model for
$500. I'll be stopping in at CEI soon to reserve my BC245XLT.

talkyal

850. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108081003.09078.00009425@ng05.aol.com>
Source record: 132068
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>From: nexus@ametro.net (The NeXus)

>Yes they do have to the programmed, for someone like
>you it would be a problem, for someone like me it isn't
>seeing as how I am a public safety person and my department
>is using the system. No background information, I already
>have a commission, all I needed to do was sign a hand receipt
>and the radios were mine....

Well, hopefully, bona fide amateur radio license
holders could do the same thing.

talkyal

851. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <774vm5$rpi@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 132069
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I see that there's now a web page devoted to the BMI Nitelogger II
which I mentioned in my earlier response:

http://bmiclocks.com/nitelogg.htm

In article <915476856.365692@soam.wwnet.net>,
Zook Music <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote:

>Does anyone know of an adapter or product that will allow a scanner to
>automatically start and stop a tape recorder...
--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

852. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <369605da.2000927@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 132070

On Thu, 07 Jan 1999 10:00:02 -0800, Stephen Waits
<swaits@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>>
>> As a matter of fact I did get a Pro-91 after Christmas and I'm very
>> pleased with it. Today I got another BC895XLT, but on this 895 I had
>> to do a hard reset because it was scanning empty channels. Works great
>> now. That's what I get for saying I haven't had any problems with
>> Unidens *yet* :-).
>
>
>How do you do the hard reset? I think mine may be scaning empty
>channels as well..
>
>--Steve

Hold down MANUAL, 2 and 9 while turning on the radio. The display will
say "Uniden" for a few seconds and then the normal display will
appear. This will wipe out all memory contents, of course.

853. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <MSP@800mhz.net>
Message-ID: <01be3b0b$0db07620$9b52d6d8@default>
Source record: 132071
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Sorry, Charlie.

No in-car repeaters. We now use 800 Mhz preps.

I sure hope you're a ham if you have a scanner in your car. Misdemeanor in this state. Getting caught means arrest, seizure of the scanner, and impoundment of your car. If you're not, hopefully one of the troops will help you see the error in your ways one day soon.

Dan H
MSP Post 22

Talkyal wrote in article
<19990106202528.06070.00008529@ng04.aol.com>...
> I've got one more question about those
> Michigan ASTRO jockeys. Seeing how
> they sit in the medians under bridge abutments
> in the middle of the night, lights off, shrouded
> in ASTRO digital secrecy, it seems the only
> way to detect them is hoping some alert truck
> driver gives a warning on CB channel 19, or if
> you are lucky enough to have your radar/laser
> detector pick them up hitting a couple cars ahead
> of you. But, I forgot about their car repeaters and
> the Uniden BCT-12 Repeater-Picker-Upper. So,
> my question is: Even though MSP are using ASTRO,
> can the BCT-12 still pick up their car repeater signal
> and produce a proximity alert ??? Anybody in
> Michigan using a BCT-7 or BCT-12 and have
> experience with this?
>
> talkyal
>

854. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Hal Van Pootley III Esq." <Hal@Van>
Message-ID: <7752tk$183@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132072
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

Waldo Marconi.

Hal

855. Re: STATEN Isl. NYC EMS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: schuster_@at_panix_.dot_com
Message-ID: <7755v4$o5c@news1.panix.com>
Source record: 132073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <7719j5$6cm$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
Fred Grambs III <fgrambs@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>
>>> Hey Everybody.
>>> I am looking for Staten Island's , NY EMS freqs.
>>> What happend to the old 800 freq, that was shared with South Brooklyn ?
>>> Have they gone Trunked ?
>>>
>>> Any information is greatly apreciated .
>>> Thank You in advance
>
>
>Mike,
> Check out http://members.aol.com/emshighway/scanner.htm
>That is a current list of all of the NYPD, FDNY, and FDNY EMS frequencies,
>including the new 2nd Queens frequency that just went into effect 2 weeks
>ago!
>For anybody who is interested, Queens 1 (the old frequency) seems to be West
>of the Van Wyck and Queens 2 is East of the Van Wyck.

This list is a mixture of things current, things out of date, and things
that perhaps have not yet been implemented.

For instance, it lists the SI EMS frequency as 487.2375, on which there is
no activity! The frequencies actually being used are 484.2375 (Bklyn
South) and 484.4875 .. with the abandoned 800 MHz frequency still
simulcasing at reduced strength.

Months ago it was posted here that the SOD frequency listed (470.8375) is
abandoned and that SOD and Highway are really using Citywide 3 (470.8625).
This is not reflected on the list either.

--
Mike Schuster | 70346.1745 at CompuServe dot COM
schuster at panix dot com | schuster at pol dot net

856. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <3696253E.609BFEEF@home.com>
Source record: 132074
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

Radio Shack invented radio.

857. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Szablowski <aszablowski@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7759gf$8gp@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132075
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The NeXus wrote in message <774t1u$ga7$1@osh1.datasync.com>...
>Yes they do have to the programmed, for someone like
>you it would be a problem, for someone like me it isn't
>seeing as how I am a public safety person and my department
>is using the system. No background information, I already
>have a commission, all I needed to do was sign a hand receipt
>and the radios were mine....

Anyone should be able to rightfully purchase any # of these radios.
It's when they're used to Tx by someone without the proper authority
to do so on those freqs that there's a problem.
--
Regards,
Tony

858. BC235XLT For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@koral.com>
Message-ID: <36962cc0.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 132076
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Uniden BC235XLT trunktracker in like new condition in original box with all factory accessories. Unit was used less than 1 hour and was purchased as a spare. $145.00 including shipping. Email or call my voice mail 1-800-518-1858 and leave your name and number and I will call you back.

Mike Dockery
Denver, CO

859. Re: fs : bc235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfld@usa.net
Message-ID: <775f07$d32$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132077
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

They're selling the bc235xlt at www.usascan.com for $170 new!

In article <770l1nig1@juliana.sprynet.com>,
"ibm" <maclean02@sprynet.com> wrote:
> $ 185
> e- mail if interested
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

860. Pinout for IC-R2 serial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "A.V.I." <avi.panoramic@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <775c3b$ia9$1@camel21.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132078
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know how to make a serial comm cable for the IC-R2?

Is it the same as the RC-10?

861. icom relm HS200 any info on this model?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfld@usa.net
Message-ID: <775ielges1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132079

Can anyone give me any feedback on the icom relm hs200? I'm trying to make a determination about wether it's more important to me to have a trunked scanner or one with ctcss/dcs decoding capability. I'm also looking at the uniden bc235xlt which a couple people already have said is a good model and given me info on it - thank you. I'm pretty sure i can't afford a scanner with everything i want nor one with both those features (I haven't even seen one as of yet). Also can anyone tell by looking at the frequency ranges of the two if I'll miss out on hearing anything neat with one or the other?

This is the icom:

CB (AM)
26.000-28.995
10 M Amateur
29.000-29.700
Low VHF
29.700-50.000
6 M Amateur
50.000-54.000
Aircraft Communications
118.000-136.000
Government (Military)
136.000-144.000
2 M Amateur
144.000-148.000
High VHF
148.00-174.000
UHF Government
406.000-420.000
70 cm Amateur
420.000-450.000
UHF (Standard)
450.000-470.000
UHF (Extended)
470.000-520.000
800 Mhz
806.000-824.0375,
848.975-869.0375,
893.975-960.000

and this is for the uniden bc235xlt

Frequency Range 29 - 29.7 MHz (10 Meter Amateur Band) 29.7 -50 MHz (VHF Low Band) 50 - 54 MHz (6 Meter Amateur Band) 108 - 136.9875 MHz (Aircraft Band) 137 - 144 MHz (Military Land Mobile) 144 - 148 MHz (2 Meter Amateur

Band) 148 - 174 MHz (VHF High Band) 406 - 420 MHz (Federal Government Land Mobile) 420 - 450 MHz (70 cm Amateur Band) 450 - 470 MHz (UHF Standard Band) 470 - 512 MHz (UHF T Band) 806 - 956 MHz (Public Service Except Cellular Band) 162.400 - 162.550 MHz (.025 increments) (WX Channels)

Is there anything else I should take into consideration? Any other models I might consider? I found these two scanners for $160 for the icom and $170 for the bc235xlt at www.usascan.com (thanks to whoever pointed this one out to me!) - seems to be the best deal around - uniden sells the remanufactured bc235xlt's for $165! I'm looking to spend less than $200 and definitly no more than $220 or so. I'm a newbie to scanning and I want to get a decent scanner which will let me listen in to as many things as possible so if anyone could reccomend any additional models I might take into consideration i'd appreciate it.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

862. Re: Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <aea_9901080900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 132080

N> I was pretty upset because it picks up less than my 60 channel PRO2024!!!!!
N> have had that scanner for over 5 years or so! That is pretty sad! It is
N> really DeTuned around the 40 mghz area? Humm wonder if this was done to mak
N> friends w/ the FCC?

You canot have yuor cake and eat it too. The "Trunk Trackers" by their very
name imply Optomization for the 800 Mhz band and TrunkTracking. I see nothing
unusual with reduced sensitivity around the 40Mhz area. I suspect that the
"Rubber Duck" also is also designed the favor the Trunk Tracking Band. Plus
one can never really make a direct comparision between a Base Unit and a
Handheld.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

863. Re: I wont buy on e-bay, (Was: WTB: Uniden MR-8100)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <ncrl2.16461$m7.3776@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 132081

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote in message <774nj5$gtq@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>...
> a newspaper did a story about e-bay
> just a few days ago...not to complimentary
> about their way of doing business and all
> the problems people have with the format

People should actually check things out for themselves instead of relying on the news media to do their thinking for them. If they knew what an auction was supposed to do, and the details of eBay's operations (like the thousands of people do who actually use it every day), then they might not be so quick to criticize or dismiss it.

> plus people who buy are noted paying more
> then what they could find elsewhere.

Yeah, just like retail. You pay more at Radio Shack than by mail order. So?

> if you give it some thought, the idea
> of auction pumps prices up until the
> seller figures he's got somone paying
> more than what he figured getting for
> it. so why not go that route. for
> most. But then you have to pay the
> auction fee as the seller is obligated
> to.

The last part is true (about the auction fee). The rest indicates little or no experience with actual auctions. eBay is not that different from a live auction in a barn.

> that would cause prices to "escalate"
> due to demand. but how much over.

This is more to the point of pricing. Supply and demand. Most of the stuff sold at eBay is antiques and collectibles, which are normally sold via auctions and which command fairly high prices because they are rare. You can't compare the antiques market with the electronics market.

> that's the way it is. you pay for what
> you value its worth at to you?

Correct. If you don't like the prices at one store, go to another. If you can't find the item you want at any store, then you have to try alternatives, like auctions. Bidding is voluntary, so you end up paying whatever the item is worth to you. If it's worth more to someone else, they take it home.

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

864. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris@pooh.cc.ucf.edu
Message-ID: <775ili$8p6$1@newnews.cc.ucf.edu>
Source record: 132082
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

Wolf Man Jack of course ;-)

"It's all acording to how your boogalo situation stands,
you understand." - WMJ

--
==========
Chris Maukonen "Change is not merely necessary
Sr. Systems Programmer to life - IT IS LIFE"
Univeristy of Central Florida Alvin Toffler
chris@.ucf.edu (407) 823 5460

865. FS: AR1000 and Opto M1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioears@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108134359.21436.00009989@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 132083

AOR AR1000 handheld scanner (continuous coverage) in mint condition with manual and Howard Bornstein's book further explaining (much better than AOR manual) the receiver, hard leather case, adaptor and batteries. Also Opto M1 counter (with antenna, manual). M1 works but needs new internal Nicad battery. Both for $300 firm, sent priority, first-class mail, insured. Postal money order only. Contact me at personal email address, radioears@aol.com.
Thanks,
J.

866. Re: XMIT_ID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marcelino J. Garcia" <lu7dsu@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <36965525.1F113E20@amsat.org>
Source record: 132084

Found it in:

ftp://ftp.simtel.net/pub/simtelnet/msdos/hamradio/xmit260.zip

73 de LU7DSU Marcelino J. Garcia lu7dsu@amsat.org

867. icom ic-r2 where to get a good deal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfld@usa.net
Message-ID: <775qcg$nr4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anybody kno where to get a good deal on an icom ic-r2? Best i can do so far is $220 at http://www.lentinicomm.com/. Thanks.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

868. Re: UBC9000XLT - Functions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lindsay Vincent <lvincent@hornet.net.au>
Message-ID: <36953F79.81A952F9@hornet.net.au>
Source record: 132115
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> "Mike Jackson" <mikej@ihug.co.nz> wrote:
>
> :Gidday from Down Under (Godzone that is!)
> :
> :What is the function of the HI-CUT On/Off button on the UBC9000XLT. The
> :manual just tells you how to switch it on and off. Thanks for your advice
> :in advance.

To Mike: it just seems to attenuate the higher pitches (treble ?) of
the audio out.

> Try it. You may like it.

To Barry: Yet another fact-filled message from you. So predictable...

Lindsay

869. Two-Way Radios, Lights, Sirens.....NEW Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: hydepark2way@usa.net
Message-ID: <773mnq$t0j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132116
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.med.ems,rec.radio.scanner

Hyde Park 2-Way of Hyde Park Massachusetts announces the "Grand Opening" of it's Electronic Merchandising Division.

This will become your one stop supplier for Two-Way Radios, Emergency Warning Lights, Sirens, Power Supplies, Antenna Products and Batteries. Stop by today and check us out!

http://pages.prodigy.com/hydepark2way

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

870. Icom R10 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bj&#65533;rn Danielsson <bjorndan@telia.com>
Message-ID: <36954fe9.0@d2o21.telia.com>
Source record: 132117

Does someone know if there is any windows software for Icom R10?

871. TV Card as Scanner??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mervins@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107200101.22203.00008585@ng140.aol.com>
Source record: 132118

I now have three different TV cards in my computers, two of which also handle cable channels. Since TV tuners can be used to make excellent VHF/UHF utility receivers, the question came to mind as to whether anyone has converted a TV card to a utility receiver. Does anyone have ideas as to how this might be accomplished via software?? Scotty

872. Looking for A Standard 5900 mobile.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107200656.05236.00009363@ng27.aol.com>
Source record: 132119

If anyone has a 5900 for sale please let me know. Thanks

Mike

873. IF Bandwith MOdification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: kp3a Alfonso <kp3a@prtc.net>
Message-ID: <773lar$jbo2@news.prtc.net>
Source record: 132120

CAn anyone tell me how to open the with of reception of either the PRO-2022
or the regency R1600. Need it to receive APT pictures from NOAA satellites.
Thanks
kp3a@prtc.net

874. Re: MDT FREQ'S. WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdtmon@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <773tpn$2so$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132121

In article <7736eqrmo1@news.inc.net>,
"SHAWN" <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote:
> I AM LOOKING FOR MDT FREQ'S. FOR ANYWARE IN WISCONSIN.
> EAGLE@EZ-NET.COM

There's a bunch at
http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646/frequencies.html for
Wisconsin and other states.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

875. (?) Is Bill Cheeks Back?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4yqz-nospam@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <369562bb.12404983@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132122

jabba@iaw.on.ca (Len G. Carpenter) wrote:

>Who the hell is Bill Cheeks?
>
>
>
>On Sun, 13 Dec 1998 05:59:03 GMT, KA0SSF - John Mac key
><jmackey@parg.org> wrote:
>
>>Jim Wayda wrote:
>>> I went away for a while and wondered if Bill Cheeks has come back yet?
>>
>>Do a search of Dejanews & see for yourself!

Surely you jest?

Roger Cravens
Atlanta, GA

****
* Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
* John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
****
* Roger Cravens *
* South East Signals Intelligence Group *
* Atlanta, GA *
****
* Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
****

876. [Response] Databases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4yqz-nospam@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36956121.11995008@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132123

bcheek@cts.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>comstock@ionet.net (John Comstock) wrote:
>
>> I've tried MS: Access but can't seem to get the program to
>> align the frequencies correctly. (it's dropping the trailing
>> zeros) Any suggestions about a database program to get me
>> up to date would be appreciated. Thanks!
>
>MS Access is among the best of the best, and it will
>do what you want by either of at least two methods:

Hey Bill! What's up? I'll have to disagree here on using Access
as a database manager. It is limited to only those that use
Access, and is not compatable with any other database
manager. You can export the data in dBase format, but why
bother.

I will have to agree with the gentleman when he recommended
using Foxpro. In my view, for large, PC-type, databases Fox-pro
is the only way to go.

Now! For those extremely large databases, on a PC as well,
it's time to get out your programmer's hat and check out
Micro Focus COBOL. For all those C/C++ men and women,
there are much, much easier ways to maintain a database,
and C/C++ isn't it in my view. It a good language for maintaining
operating systems, but extremely poor for about anything else.

Anyway, that's my view, and before I retired, I WAS a professional
senior programmer/analyst.

Roger Cravens
Atlanta, GA

****
* Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
* John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
****
* Roger Cravens *
* South East Signals Intelligence Group *
* Atlanta, GA *
****
* Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
****

877. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: croakerNOSPAM@barkingmad.org
Message-ID: <369821df.23770239@news.nycap.rr.com>
Source record: 132124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 30 Dec 1998 17:58:47 GMT, an orbiting mind control laser caused
popitz1@tandy.com to write:

>> The sales droids there are so clueless one of them was trying to tell me
>> that the digital squelch their new model of FRS HT had was compatible with
>> CTCSS/PL.
>
>Um...the quiet codes on all RadioShack FRS radios are standard CTCSS/PL tones
>(none of them use digital).

That wasn't what the sales droid was telling me, and he refused to let me
look at a spec sheet. It was a new model FRS HT that had just been
released, but that's still no excuse.

Frank Ney N4ZHG WV/EMT-B LPWV NRA(L) GOA CCRKBA JPFO
Fan Guest of Honor, Technicon 16 http://www.technicon.org
--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government has
is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't enough
criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime
that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."
--Ayn Rand, "Atlas Shrugged"

878. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107212228.21435.00009905@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 132125

>From: SCNMN <res40@hotmail.com>

>Umm...
>
>Since the Michgan Scanner law has been applied to certain Amateur radios
>capable
>of rxing Public Safety Freqs, what makes you think having a radio
>specifically
>designed for public safety radio would not consititute a violation of this
>(abeit
>riduculous) law?

The Michigan Scanner Law states:

Michigan Penal Code Section 750.508
750.508. Vehicles equipped with short wave length radio receiving sets
(1) Any person who shall equip a vehicle with a radio receiving set that
will receive signals sent on frequencies assigned by the Federal
Communications Commission of the United States of America for police
purposes, or use the same in this state unless such vehicle is used or
owned by a peace officer, or a bona fide amateur radio operator holding a
technician class, general, advanced, or extra class amateur license issued
by the Federal Communications Commission, without first securing a permit
to do so from the Director of the Department of State Police upon
application as he or she may prescribe, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor,
punishable by imprisionment in the county jail for not more than 1 year,
or by a fine of not more than $500,00, or by both fine and imprisionment
in the discretion of the court.
(2) This section shall not be construed as restricting the use of radar
detectors.

If you have a bona fide technician class,
general class, advanced, or extra class
amateur radio license, you MAY have an
ASTRO radio in your vehicle. You may
also have an ASTRO radio in your vehicle
if you get a permit to have one from the
Michigan State Police (which they may issue
you if you are a legitimate emergency volunteer).

talkyal

879. Location of Discriminator on Regency TurboScan2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: emergscan@aol.comMNScan
Message-ID: <19990107212259.29088.00009300@ng102.aol.com>
Source record: 132126

Does anyone know where the discriminator is located in the TurboScan2 by regency? I believe its labeled U201

Thanks
Geoff Olson

880. Can I use the output from the OS456 for pocsag?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolphinride@iname.com
Message-ID: <774143$5h8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I want to decode pocsag using the output from my OS456 card.
Any idaes appreciated.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

881. Re: WTB: Uniden MR-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: xes3609@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990107214252.28525.00009212@ng39.aol.com>
Source record: 132128

look on www.ebay.com

882. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36967163.903256@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 132129
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 6 Jan 1999 02:09:02 -0500, "Doug Augelli"
<someplace@anywhere.com> wrote:

>
>Zook Music wrote in message <915476856.365692@soam.wwnet.net>...
>>Does anyone know of an adapter or product that will allow a scanner to
>>automatically start and stop a tape recorder
>
>Try Scanner Recorder 1.4.1 Beta at: http://www.davee.com/scanrec.html
>
>It has a user-defined threshold so there is no need for a vox. It saves
>files in WAV format.
>

I'll second that! This is an awesome program!
http://broward.home.ml.org

883. Re: CONGRA..whack-a-whack, whackity-WHACK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@some.com
Message-ID: <773s94fao1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 132130

you are both full of shit.

you both are unable to admit that mackey is the only one who
can keep cheeks in check and make cheeks shut up. for that mackey
should be thanked as that is the best service anyone ever did for
this news group.

In article <369599A6.6A2D97BB@INVALID.com>, Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com says...
>
>
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
>>
>> Fine and perfect for you.
>>
>> What I am saying and what Bill is doing is
>> ignoring Le Stinke.
>>
>> Le Stinke fears being ignored. That is his
>> weakness of major import. he cannot handle
>> silence. Notice he has absolutely nothing to
>> say. Nothing. There is no rational reason to
>> answer NOTHINGNESS. you get nothing in return,.
>>
>> Bill Cheek defeated the Le Stinke when Bill no
>> longer posted. That eliminated 99 percent of
>> why LE Stinke posts to the NG. Bill did the
>> moral imperative and just said "no more" and
>> it worked.
>>
>> You must assume that what is written by Le Stinke
>> is "response" to his needs. If you no longer post
>> with his Stinke in mind or in sight, then you take
>> away what he lives for: Hate.
>>
>> he hates to be forgotten. He hates to be seen as
>> less. he hates anything and everything which any
>> poster has to say about him. He lives for the
>> hate. That sets him off.
>>
>> We, 99 percent of us, hate his stupidity and
>> redundancy and his mother for allowing him to
>> survive the ride down the back of her leg on
>> the toilet-seat....plop plop out came Le Stinke.

>>
>> Silence is the winning for eliminating Le Stinke.
>>
>> He cannot win if you don't recognize his Stinke.
>>
>> People goad him on because that's how he is.
>>
>> he enjoys being in the center of the SHIT PILE
>> he creates...allow that to continue by not being
>> the voice he needs to find his way around in this
>> pile of shit.
>>
>> Silence is the answer. Bill Cheek proved that.
>> The winner here is Bill Cheek. He's no longer
>> on the shit pile with Le Stinke.
>>
>> bill conduit
>> etc.
>
>Well now,....That's quite a convincing arguement. Pretty nifty way to
>string those words together there mr. conduit. It's got quite a flow
to
>it.
>
>But...in your advocacy of silence,.... you certainly have a *lot* to
say.
>
>..Not that I'm anyone to throw stones...Noooooooo. Not me.
>
>Nuh-uh.
>
>(My scanner was *on* during this reply; partial credit for knicking the
>topic)
>

884. J.McGrath Communicatioins Now up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990107215456.05236.00009444@ng27.aol.com>
Source record: 132131

we have a full line of bearcat scanners, 10/11 meter rigs and all the acc to go with... check us out and let us know how u like our site....

1990 ZX10
WEB PHONE
www.usaplaza.com/JMAC/index.htm
J.McGrath Communications all your 10/11meter needs

To Email Remove NOSPAM

885. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@some.com
Message-ID: <773setfao2@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 132132

you are full of shit.

mackey is the only person on this news group who has been able to
keep cheeks in check and make cheeks shut up. for that mackey should
be thanked and you should be sorry.

In article <770qp6ogn1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>,
ziggy7445@yahoo.com says...
>
>I think I should apologize to the group for my behavior regarding John
>Mackey, who we all know to be the biggest liar of all time. I, despite
>knowing better, attempted to show this person the error of his ways,
only to
>have him flapping his beak all the more. He is apparently oblivious to
the
>fact that 90% of this NG thinks he is pond scum. Now, he is back to his
old
>tricks, flaming people, then denying the very fact, lying then asking
that
>we prove he is lying, dragging up the past and distorting it to serve
his
>purpose, talking about ANYTHING except scanning, and so on and so on.
He
>only wants to disrupt this group and I have had enough. I'm sick of
playing
>his little game, and I apologize for inadvertantly contributing to HIS
>disruption of the group. I will do so no more. I can only hope that
others
>will do the same.
>
>-Phil
>--
>Looking for a program to run your BC895? Check out:
>http://www.mghusa.com/scanner/pc895/
>Simple to use and the price is right - free.
>Suggestions, comments, questions and hatemail welcome,
>ziggy7445@yahoo.com
>
>

886. Re: pocflex win95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a17db4.4057026@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 132133
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 6 Jan 1999 22:37:22 -0500, "sti¿½phane thi¿½berge"
<stef_theberge@videotron.ca> wrote:

>Does anybody know if exist a prog for windows95 for pocflex?

Actually, if you have a 233MMX or greater Pocflex will run under
Windows95! Unless you have a REAL fast computer you will certainly
notice a loss in performance but it does work...and now does golay!!

http://broward.home.ml.org

887. Re: Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Giff <kmgifford@ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <01be3ab7$6eacd800$a5038ed1@windowsu>
Source record: 132134

Whoops,duh I didn't realize the 25 channel phones had expanded outside the original 46/49 Mhz band plan..

888. Re: WACARS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a27eeb.4368238@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 132135
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 6 Jan 1999 22:40:40 -0500, "sti¿½phane thi¿½berge"
<stef_theberge@videotron.ca> wrote:

>i found this program and i downlaod it for trying to use it. First of all i
>download it because i want to do some more thing with my pro-2006 and
>soundcard. So my main language is french and i understand a bite of
>english. I want to use this program and i have some question. So this
>program is to know where the plane is in the air. In witch frequency do i
>have to monitorinbg? do i have to put my frequency airport?

Put your scanner on 131.550AM and just let it run for a while. Make
sure your soundcard mixer level is all the way up and plug soundcard
into the tapeout socket of the 2006 from the back. You will get lots
of messages from the airplanes high in the sky if you have an outdoor
antenna.

http://broward.home.ml.org

889. icom ic-r2 where to get a good deal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfld@usa.net
Message-ID: <775qfuntl1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132136

Anybody kno where to get a good deal on an icom ic-r2? Best i can do so far is $220 at http://www.lentinicomm.com/. Thanks.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

890. Diamond D707 Active Wideband Aerial; advise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: asquires@mailzone.com
Message-ID: <zUsl2.311$171.431@wards>
Source record: 132137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My chimney top discone UHF/VHF aerial got severely damaged in recent gales.
Am considering replacing it with an Active wide-band D707 aerial covering
frequency range 0.5 to 1500Mhz in order to provide for both my shortwave and
uhf/vhf requirements. I do not have the space to put up two separate aerials,
one for each need.
Can anybody advise, based on their experiences, as to the effectiveness of this
type of aerial (before I spend the money)?
Any report, advise or suggestion, preferably by e-mail, would be appreciated.
Thank you.
Arthur
asquires@mailzone.com

891. BC100xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Homer <mslovjoy@inlink.com>
Message-ID: <36965B21.469@inlink.com>
Source record: 132138

Hi, I have a BC100XL that seems to work OK except that it drains the nicads as if it had been left on overnight. (No it hadn't). New batteries. Any ideas anyone? Thanks, Howard.

892. Re: Help! Antenna Length Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bandit <bandit.one@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <775mfuenn1@spock.tinet.ie>
Source record: 132139

DIVIDE 234 by the frequency you want to TX/RX on and the answer will be the length of each leg in feet & inches . 234 divided by 27.200 gives 8.60294******** so the length of the leg connected to the center core of the coax would be 8feet 6inches and same for the leg connected to the shield) this is for a half wave antenna.

Hope this helps

Steven.

893. BC9000 Descriminator Output...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <taspicer@danville.net>
Message-ID: <3696625a.0@news.advancenet.net>
Source record: 132140

HI
Been gone awhile. Did anyone ever figure out the proper place to tap the discriminator output for the bc9000? I lost track of the thread
Any success yet????
Thanks

894. my Panasonic Gigarange

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ldaniel@blkbox.com
Message-ID: <36966057.377175@news.blkbox.com>
Source record: 132141

are people just badmouthing the Gigarange here? mine is DSS
and I tuned in the 900Mhz freq on scanner and tried to pick up my
cordless and it stopped on the freq and heard nothing but unintellible
noise from the scanner, so mine is secure.

895. Cellular mod for PRO-23

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Be kind to animals <friend@animals.com>
Message-ID: <3695C2B6.F70A71EA@animals.com>
Source record: 132142
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know the difference with the keyboard mods? One of them
requires you to hold down 2-9 and Lockout keys as you power on
the scanner. It does work (sort of) work, by replacing all the channels

with a new set of saved channels.

Problem is, the new channels are sort of random, and don't include any
cellular frequencies.

Many have claimed that the keyboard sequence above gets you:
Channel-Frequency
1-138.150
2-162.400
3-173.225
4-406.875
5-453.250
6-511.9125
7-108.500
8-118.800
9-127.1750
10-135.500
11-157.800
12-482.3625
13-806.000
14-857.200
15-888.960
16-911.500
17-954.9125

But this is not my expreience. I get other 'in band' frequencies,
that add nothing to the scanner's capability.

Any help or light anyone can shed on this will make my
wife very happy. Thanks..

896. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: BILL METZGER <B97692569@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <775qrf$r4m$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132143

Talkyal wrote in message <19990106202528.06070.00008529@ng04.aol.com>...
>I've got one more question about those
>Michigan ASTRO jockeys. Seeing how
>they sit in the medians under bridge abutments
>in the middle of the night, lights off, shrouded
>in ASTRO digital secrecy, it seems the only
>way to detect them is hoping some alert truck
>driver gives a warning on CB channel 19, or if
>you are lucky enough to have your radar/laser
>detector pick them up hitting a couple cars ahead
>of you

How about a radar/laser detector/jammer like the Rocky Mtn Radar unit.
Combined with a laser absorbing plate, scanner, monitering ch 19, watching
for brake lights of cars ahead of you, and, as a last resort, slowing down
for overpasses, you should cut your odds of getting a speeding ticket
substantially.
Why not throw in the BCT-12 for good measure too. Just don't expect
much sympathy if they do catch you.
Bill

897. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108161346.13454.00007354@ng112.aol.com>
Source record: 132144

>From: "Tony Szablowski" <aszablowski@worldnet.att.net>

>Anyone should be able to rightfully purchase any # of these radios.
>It's when they're used to Tx by someone without the proper authority
>to do so on those freqs that there's a problem.

EXCEPT, in "scanner-friendly Michigan", it
is illegal to have any radio capable of receiving law enforcement frequencies
in
your vehicle, without the posession of a bona
fide amateur radio license, or the permission
from the Michigan State Police. Therefore,
you may buy an ASTRO, but you can't put it
in your car unless you have the credentials.

talkyal

898. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108160938.13454.00007353@ng112.aol.com>
Source record: 132145

>From: "Dan " <MSP@800mhz.net>

Great fake ID and fake ISP address !!!
OK, I'll play :P

>Sorry, Charlie.
>No in-car repeaters. We now use 800 Mhz preps.

Great! Now, I'm happy I didn't buy that BCT-12, after all. Thanks.

>I sure hope you're a ham if you have a scanner in your car. Misdemeanor in
>this state. Getting caught means arrest, seizure of the scanner, and
>impoundment of your car. If you're not, hopefully one of the troops will
>help you see the error in your ways one day soon.

Thanks, but I'm well aware of Michigan Penal Code
Section 750.508.
You guys don't have a VG-2 like scanner
detector in your cars, do you ??? heh, heh...thought I'd
ask.

Oh, come on now. Scanner people are the
least priority on your state patrols. Think about it:
when you guys are in hot pursuit down
I-96 at 100mph, wouldn't it be great to know
that the guys with the scanners know you're
coming and gets out of your way? Or how
about that bank robber in the "white Ford Taurus last seen westbound.....", and
the guys
with the scanners see him and call in on our
cell phones? How about a hit-and-run of a
2-yr-old girl, and you guys give out a description of the vehicle, and the
scanner people are looking for that vehicle ? etc. etc...
Think positive!

By the way, I was listening to the local police
the other day on Motorola Type-IIi Trunk,
and all of sudden they call one of your MSP troopers. The trooper was outside
of her car
and responds on her portable on the Trunk System. Obviously, that means
although MSP are digital ASTRO, you guys have the ability to both monitor and
respond in analog on Motorola Trunk, right ??? Great system !

talkyal@ASTRO_SCANNER.com <Motorola Engineer>

899. Re: Uniden BC700A, antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: spanky_130@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7762vmvvp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132146

In article <36929bd9.73282090@netnews.worldnet.att.net>,
jokerswild@worldnet.att.net (HarHar) wrote:
> get an outside antennae ...you will hear a huge difference....
>
Ok, thanks. Now where would I find this? What kind should I look for? What
type should I avoid? Any advice??

Thanks again.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

900. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108163110.13454.00007356@ng112.aol.com>
Source record: 132147

>From: "BILL METZGER" <B97692569@prodigy.net>

>How about a radar/laser detector/jammer like the Rocky Mtn Radar unit.
>Combined with a laser absorbing plate, scanner, monitering ch 19, watching
>for brake lights of cars ahead of you, and, as a last resort, slowing down
>for overpasses, you should cut your odds of getting a speeding ticket
>substantially.
> Why not throw in the BCT-12 for good measure too. Just don't expect
>much sympathy if they do catch you.
>Bill

Heh, heh.....
You forgot about cooperation from the opposite direction motorists "flashing
their lights" to warn you of impending speed trap !
Anyway, as most of Michigan is 70 MPH
speed limit, I guess you really deserve a ticket
if you're doing 80+. But, I still find it amusing
that MSP likes to sit under bridge abutments
with their lights off in the middle of the night.
Reminds me of those Texas Public Safety Mustang 5.0's that actually pull
completely inside of the sage trees and turn off their lights. Really
exciting.

talkyal

901. Motorola crystal, for a pager.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David A. Hutchinson" <David@rochesterk.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <915830811.12892.0.nnrp-06.c1ed09f6@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 132148
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular.motorola,alt.cellular.nokia,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager,uk.radio.amateur,uk.telecom.mobile

Hello, Thanks for reading this message.

I have a pager, for Mercury in England, tuned to 153.350 MHz (VHF) I think its a Motorola Bravo, (three buttons under the single line dot matrix display).

Anyway, The crystalls on board are marked up as :

A) The one near the tuning / rx end : 45150
X6300
[Motorola logo]
T9723

B The other :
17.9
20J20
[Motorola logo]
9713

I dont know if T0723 and 9713 are part numbers, I cannot find the parts any way.

What I am wanting to do, is re-tune an Advisor to 153.350 and so, want a suitable crystall for this. Can the above crystalls be simply swapped ?????

Any help please !!! However, please write to me at : andyj81@hotmail.com or post via this news group.

Many thanks, Andy.

902. Re: Needed: FDNY EMS (NYCEMS) Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmu4527297@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108162718.14212.00007555@ng111.aol.com>
Source record: 132149

Hi,
I have any frequency that you want all you have to do is e-mail me with want you want and I will fax or e-mail them to you.

David M. Mulzet
DMu4527297@aol.com

903. Re: my Panasonic Gigarange

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36967523.1FD3@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132150

Larry wrote:
>
> are people just badmouthing the Gigarange here? mine is DSS
> and I tuned in the 900Mhz freq on scanner and tried to pick up my
> cordless and it stopped on the freq and heard nothing but unintellible
> noise from the scanner, so mine is secure.

Hi Larry,

You may want ot switch to Wide FM. I think you'll be very suprised
at what you can hear. The Panasonic Gigarange is not very secure.

Bob

904. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <36967927.FC2B454A@fnal.gov>
Source record: 132151

Semtex50 wrote:

> That rs antenna is a piece of crap. I bought 2 and niether one stayed on the
> glass for more than 2 or 3 months. Reception wasn't all that great either.

It works better than relying on your rubber ducky antenna, less static. Of course you could achieve the same results by holding your scanner out the window ;-) It does have to be installed on clean glass (they give you an alcohol wipe) with the temps above 50F, 70F is ideal. I put my truck in the garage and cranked the heat up over night, then put it on. It's been below zero for a few days since and it's still on there. You have to be careful with the snow brush/scrapper too. Anyway, I like it.

--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov - Home Page: http://www-oss.fnal.gov/~colombo/
'87 Bronco Grey Phoenix 302EFI, AOD, Ford 9"/4.11/LSD, General/AP-33"
Registry: http://www.thewowfactor.com/bigbroncos/detail.htm?detailid=175

905. RM100 data slicer decoder for MDT monitor and pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7765qu$2ct$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132152

There is a new version of the Cylex inc. RM100 data slicer decoder that was recently upgraded to allow it to sample at 19.2K for 2 level FSK decoding. This allows four error correcting samples per actual byte when used with the MDT for windows program that decodes MDC 4800 MDT's.

If you cannot use your sound card like me due to excess loading down of the discriminator output which causes excessive errors, Then this decoder will work.

I also comes with nice windows based pager decoding software that allows selective logging of selected pager cap codes or all pagers with text or all pagers on the channel tuned in on your scanner. see http://www.cylexinc.com for information.

This decoder could also be used for to write a windows based Trunker program or any other program with up to 6400 baud data rates which would give three data samples per byte. this is required since the data will ratchet every so offen on one byte and you need three bytes to determine the correct logic level.

See http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646/ for additional information on MDT for windows.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

906. looking for mdt freqs las vegas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: oneidman@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108172638.08423.00008916@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 132153

looking for mdt freqs for lv/henderson .nv please e-mail oneidman@aol thank you in advance. bill.

907. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <36968214.A19EEBBB@fnal.gov>
Source record: 132154

Rick Colombo wrote:

> Semtex50 wrote:
>
> > That rs antenna is a piece of crap. I bought 2 and niether one stayed on the
> > glass for more than 2 or 3 months. Reception wasn't all that great either.
>
> It works better than relying on your rubber ducky antenna, less static. Of
> course you could achieve the same results by holding your scanner out the window
> ;-) It does have to be installed on clean glass (they give you an alcohol
> wipe) with the temps above 50F, 70F is ideal. I put my truck in the garage and
> cranked the heat up over night, then put it on. It's been below zero for a few
> days since and it's still on there. You have to be careful with the snow
> brush/scrapper too. Anyway, I like it.
>

I should have mentioned that I had one on my old truck for three years w/o losing
it.

--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov - Home Page: http://www-oss.fnal.gov/~colombo/
'87 Bronco Grey Phoenix 302EFI, AOD, Ford 9"/4.11/LSD, General/AP-33"
Registry: http://www.thewowfactor.com/bigbroncos/detail.htm?detailid=175

908. AOR 8000 SCANNER FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill brittain <banditman@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <CNvl2.9447$yu5.543@news-reader.bt.net>
Source record: 132155

This scanner is in mint condition and boxed. It is in auction for only two
more days at http://.www.utrade.com
Item number is 102827.
It will go for a very low price for this most desirable scanner.
DO NOT MISS THIS BARGAIN

909. Policescanner site in Sweden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: FAM Gr&#65533;ndevik <ac.grandevik@svenljunga.mail.telia.com>
Message-ID: <36968992.0@d2o35.telia.com>
Source record: 132156

Christer
http:/w1.332.telia.com/~u33206204/hemsida.htm

910. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Szablowski <aszablowski@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7761mj$nu0@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132157

Talkyal wrote in message
<19990108161346.13454.00007354@ng112.aol.com>...
>EXCEPT, in "scanner-friendly Michigan", it
>is illegal to have any radio capable of receiving law enforcement
>frequencies in your vehicle, without the posession of a bona
>fide amateur radio license, or the permission
>from the Michigan State Police. Therefore,
>you may buy an ASTRO, but you can't put it
>in your car unless you have the credentials.

True, and I admit that was original thread topic, but a few posts
sounded like they questioned the legality of actually _buying_ an
Astro. Just wanted to add some info.

Regards,
Tony

911. Re: AOR 8000 SCANNER FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36968A7C.22359BDA@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132158

bill brittain wrote:

> This scanner is in mint condition and boxed. It is in auction for only two
> more days at http://.www.utrade.com
> Item number is 102827.
> It will go for a very low price for this most desirable scanner.
> DO NOT MISS THIS BARGAIN

The URL is no good, how about reposting the correct one?

Jon

912. Re: AOR 8000 SCANNER FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36968ACC.DC1D15D9@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132159

bill brittain wrote:

> This scanner is in mint condition and boxed. It is in auction for only two
> more days at http://.www.utrade.com
> Item number is 102827.
> It will go for a very low price for this most desirable scanner.
> DO NOT MISS THIS BARGAIN

Oops, just noticed the "." after http://
URL is good.

Thanks

913. Discriminator audio has hiss.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36978cb0.9929215@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132160

My discriminator audio output has a very noticeable hiss when I monitor the audio. I used a 2.2 tantalum in the RS 2006. Any suggestions?
Bob

914. BC895XLT VDC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <7762dkket1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 132161

I am looking for somebody that has done a VDC on a bc895 xlt and can send instructions or pics
EAGLE@EZ-NET.COM

915. Re: Discriminator audio has hiss.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: emergscan@aol.comMNScan
Message-ID: <19990108180522.28275.00009606@ng103.aol.com>
Source record: 132162

My pro2039 has this hiss and I haven't even touched the discriminator yet

916. Re: RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: LAS <monkey@yayaya.com>
Message-ID: <36968F85.FEEB5E4E@yayaya.com>
Source record: 132163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn J. Torres wrote:

> Hello,
> How are the RS Trunktracker scanners?Pros or cons?
> Thanks,
> Glenn

Well Glenn;
Like most things they sell, the RS scanners are CRAP!
Plastic boxes full of IMD, front-end OL and spurious harmonics...

CRAP-CRAP-and more CRAP.

917. Re: radioshack question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: LAS <monkey@yayaya.com>
Message-ID: <36968FB5.28DCE92F@yayaya.com>
Source record: 132164

SHAWN wrote:

> what is radioshacks equal to a uniden bc 895xlt trunktracker?

Areou shittin' me?.....No such animal..NOT even close!

918. Re: Uniden BC700A, antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jokerswild@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <36969439.6572954@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132165

Try GROVE !! They have some great stuff....

On Fri, 08 Jan 1999 23:05:26 GMT, spanky_130@hotmail.com wrote:

>In article <36929bd9.73282090@netnews.worldnet.att.net>,
> jokerswild@worldnet.att.net (HarHar) wrote:
>> get an outside antennae ...you will hear a huge difference....
>>
>Ok, thanks. Now where would I find this? What kind should I look for? What
>type should I avoid? Any advice??
>
>Thanks again.
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

NOTICE TO BULK EMAILER(S): Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5,
Subchapter II, 227, any and all unsolicited commercial e-mail sent to
this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount
of $500 US.

919. F.S.; IC-R7100 not blocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard Rudy <hrudy@lgcy.com>
Message-ID: <3696943C.B49E747A@lgcy.com>
Source record: 132166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.fm

```
For Sale Icom IC-R7100 not cell-blocked. In new condition w/box
and all manuals. Want $1000.00 or best offer.
Amateur Electronic Supply in Orlando, Fl has a used R7100 for $1200.00
Email me or call 801-532-8511

KA7YWO
Howard Rudy
Salt Lake City, Ut

.
```

920. Re: radioshack question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369696B9.BBAAA2D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132167

LAS wrote:

> SHAWN wrote:
>
> > what is radioshacks equal to a uniden bc 895xlt trunktracker?
>
> Areou shittin' me?.....No such animal..NOT even close!

Oh bruddah, here we go again, the coward who won't post his real name bad mouthing RS scanners (not even aware that Uniden makes most of RS scanners these days) Must have a big axe to grind with RS but is too low to have a dialog with anyone using a real name.

Sheesh, some people's kids...

921. Re: LIFE LINK III FREQUENCY NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: notme@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <7765d4nvm1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 132168

In article <36956D4F.10761468@earthlink.net>, Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net> wrote:
>Look for it at http://www.trunktracker.com/states/data8.html
>if it is not here. Don't know what to tell you, hope this helps.
>
>EmergScan wrote:
>
>> hello everyone, I am looking for the Life Link III (3) trunked system
>> frequencies. For those of you that don't know Life Link is a
> helicopter/ground
>> ambulance based in both St. Paul Minnesota and Milltown Wisconsin. When
>> calling Life Link for their frequencies they try and tell me that they have
> 10,
>> 000 frequencies and it changes all of the time. I told him I didn't believe
> it
>> and then he went on and told me another lye. Anyways, let me know
>> Thanks,
>> EmergScan@aol.com
>
>

Trevor, your going to have to start looking harder....

The FCC has the following info on your question...

LIFE LINK III
CRITICAL CARE SERVICES INC
336 CHESTER ST
SAINT PAUL
LIFE LINK III HELIPAD
123.0750 MHZ

Curiously enough there are no other frequencies assigned to CRITICAL CARE
SERVICES INC. This is interesting since commercial transmitters must be
registered with the FCC.

The only other dispatch freqs I could find that came close are:

Non-Nationwide 5 channel trunked (QT) system

220.00250
220.15250
220.30250
220.45250
220.60250

Registered to WPCV OF MINNESOTA DATA DISPATCH PARTNERS

the decimal places are not in error. This is the 220 mhz trunked systems in use since the 220-240mhz section of the band was carved up a few years back.

Even RAMSEY COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES is still registered to use:
155.2950
155.1600

and there are 5 units licensed for AIR

...then there is NEXTEL...nothing unusual here except they have a mobile unit registered with a 35 watt output for 806-824mhz.

The base freqs are
865.2125
864.2125
863.2125
862.2125
861.2125
860.1875
859.8625
859.1875
853.0125
852.5625
851.4375
851.0625

500 FT N OF HWY 694 ARDEN HILLS RAMSEY
State Hgt Elev Hgt Structure Radius Op
MN 274 213 113

What's interesting about this cellphone tower is the radius of operation is 113 miles with an ERP of 1000watts.....

That's all for now.....see ya!

922. 895 XLT - IMAGE Freq Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith@Knip.co
Message-ID: <7765uolkk1@news2.i-2000.com>
Source record: 132169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If anyone can answer this question, I would greatly appreciate it..

I own the Uniden BC-895-XLT and Im hearing a Business on
823.375 Mhz , I hear the Base & Moblie units. I know this is
not the Actual Tranmitting Freq, since its an INPUT Freq to
my Local PD 800 mhz Truck System, its has to be an IMAGE..

I learned that the Uniden TT's have the following IF's:

254.400 mhz or 280.800 mhz
10.850 mhz
450 mhz

How can I figure out the ACTUAL Tranmitting Freq of this Business ?

Thanks,
Keith - N2NJS

Enjoy,
Keith

|===>
| KEITH@KNIP.COM == Internet Email Address
| HTTP://WWW.KNIP.COM == WWW Page URL Address
| N2NJS@AMSAT.ORG == HAM Radio AMSAT Email
| N2NJS@KC2FD.NY.USA.NA == Ham Radio AX25 Packet Address
|===>

923. Re: RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dvibbert@pop.service.ohio-state.edu
Message-ID: <dvibbert-0801992024320001@ts28-10.homenet.ohio-state.edu>
Source record: 132170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi Glenn,
I have a RS Pro 90. Love it! No problems. Must have gotten one without
the "crap"!
Would buy another of this model anytime.
Dane

In article <36968F85.FEEB5E4E@yayaya.com>, LAS <monkey@yayaya.com> wrote:

> Glenn J. Torres wrote:
>
> > Hello,
> > How are the RS Trunktracker scanners?Pros or cons?
> > Thanks,
> > Glenn
>
> Well Glenn;
> Like most things they sell, the RS scanners are CRAP!
> Plastic boxes full of IMD, front-end OL and spurious harmonics...
>
> CRAP-CRAP-and more CRAP.

--
Don't Trend On Me!

924. Re: icom ic-r2 where to get a good deal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: WL <wonil@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <776a6e$lk9$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Check out the Ross Distributing
R2 is $195 at http://www.rossdist.com.

rfld@usa.net wrote in message <775qcg$nr4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Anybody kno where to get a good deal on an icom ic-r2? Best i can do so far
>is $220 at http://www.lentinicomm.com/. Thanks.
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

925. TrunkTracker squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <Cedar@ix.Netcom.com>
Message-ID: <776c0m$kor@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 132172

how important is squelch setting during tracking. Have read that initial setting should be one direction or another as unit finds data channel. Manual is very sketchy in both pro-90 and bc895xlt models

926. "Digital" Scanners Legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg <greg@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <3696b9ba.0@news.cvc.net>
Source record: 132173

From what I see in this excerpt from an FCC document, I wonder if digital scanners would even be legal? I really hope I am just not interpreting this incorrectly.

excerpt from;
INTERCEPTION AND DIVULGENCE OF RADIO COMMUNICATIONS
http://www.fcc.gov/investigation.html

The Act also contains provisions that affect the manufacture of equipment used for listening to or receiving radio transmissions, such as "scanners." Section 302(d) of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. Section 302(d), prohibits the FCC from authorizing scanning equipment that is capable of receiving transmissions in the frequencies allocated to domestic cellular services, that is capable of readily being altered by the user to intercept cellular communications, OR THAT MAY BE EQUIPPED WITH DECODERS THAT CONVERT DIGITAL TRANSMISSIONS TO ANALOG VOICE AUDIO. In addition, such receivers may not be manufactured in the United States or imported for use in the United States after April 26, 1994. 47 CFR 15.121. FCC regulations also prohibit the sale or lease of scanning equipment not authorized by the FCC. 47CFR 2.803.

927. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3696C077.2071@usa.net>
Source record: 132174

Phil Smalley wrote:

> I think I should apologize to the group for my behavior regarding John
> Mackey,

Thank you Phil, apology accepted!

> disruption of the group. I will do so no more. I can only hope that others
> will do the same.

This is a good plan, I only hope (both for the sake of this group &
your own integrity) that this time you are able to stick to it
as you have made similar statements in the past.

cordially!

928. FS: WiNRADiO WR-1500e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas R. Sundstrom" <trsundstrom@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <776g18$a5k@world1.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 132175

For sale: New WR-1500e, with Digital Suite software, direct from Australia.
I took this as barter for a Australian magazine column I write, but I find
I'm just not interested in scanning. Warrenty cards, the whole nine yards.
Contact me for details.
Tom Sundstrom
http://www.trsc.com/

929. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3696C1A9.F17@usa.net>
Source record: 132176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

PLEASE watch your language here. Also, please consider how other
view you by your words here as it may cripple your reputation.

tracey12 wrote:
>
> WHAT A TURD!

> >kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote:

930. Updated Motorola HT-220 Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Wright <nospam@me.bums>
Message-ID: <369667A6.727@me.bums>
Source record: 132177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The Motorola HT-220 Page has been updated.

See http://www.batnet.com/mfwright/HT220.html

This website features the Motorola HT220 handheld with information including model tables, frequency conversions, parts and accessories, manual numbers, tech tips and stories.

Comments about the HT220 by visitors to this webpage:

"THOUSANDS STILL IN USE."
"I use both VHF and UHF models everyday."
"I've taken VHF units up to 220 MHz (a real 220 220)."
"HT220 is truly an object deserving of such a shrine!"
"It was and still is a engineering marvel."
"They are very easy to re-condition."
"I still think it is the nicest looking, most purposeful radio ever produced."
"The HT-220 still lives!"
"I cut my teeth on the HT-200 and went on to the HT-220."
"I can remember in the mid-70s the envy we all felt for the BMOC that had an HT220."
"What is that?!?" (from a generation X-er first seeing a HT220)

931. Re: "Digital" Scanners Legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <#cK6OY4O#GA.317@nih2naac.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 132178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Greg" <greg@nospam.org> posted:
> From what I see in this excerpt from an FCC document, I wonder if digital
> scanners would even be legal? I really hope I am just not interpreting this
> incorrectly.
[....]
> ... OR THAT MAY BE EQUIPPED WITH DECODERS THAT CONVERT DIGITAL TRANSMISSIONS
> TO ANALOG VOICE AUDIO.

You're not interpreting incorrectly, the author of that excerpt was. The
law being referred to (TDDRA) and the FCC Regulation (47 USC 15.121) actually
read "converting digital cellular transmissions". There are other laws
governing modulation techniques not made public (i.e. encryption), but the
regulation in question only concerns cellular.

932. Re: Ace Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Schwerdtman <Bob9000@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <369702DA.36E@concentric.net>
Source record: 132179

> Avoid these guys! I had nothing but trouble after ordering a cordless
> phone from them. Their service is either nasty, or unresponsive. To
> make a long story short, a fairy straight forward transaction turned
> into a real pain. Luckily, I paid with a credit card.

I agree!!
Out of the 1/2 dozen or so bad experiences with them, the best was when I bought my AR1000 from them. After waiting forever, the first one came and it was signal dead. Would not pick up anything. I sent it back and the replacement was someone elses return. It was all programmed real nice. They made no attempt at adjustment, no sorry, so that one went back also.

933. Re: Wanted: Converter for 800 mhz blocked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "P.Mohazzabi" <pmohazzabi@surfree.com>
Message-ID: <3697f75b@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 131886

John Dizzette wrote in message <77445toij1@earth.superlink.net>...
>I have a handheld with the 800 mhz blocked from receiving cell phones.
>Anyone have a converter to listen in? Will pay a very reasonable offer.
>Thanks.
Try Ramsy Electronics at 800-446-2295 avilable in Kit and Assimbled forms

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com/ The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
----------== Over 66,000 Groups, Plus a Dedicated Binaries Server ==----------

934. Re: Wanted: Converter for 800 mhz blocked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "P.Mohazzabi" <pmohazzabi@surfree.com>
Message-ID: <3697f8c9@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 131887

John Dizzette wrote in message <77445toij1@earth.superlink.net>...
>I have a handheld with the 800 mhz blocked from receiving cell phones.
>Anyone have a converter to listen in? Will pay a very reasonable offer.
>Thanks.
Try at Ramsy Electronics 800-446-2295 avilable in Kit and Assimled forms

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com/ The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
----------== Over 66,000 Groups, Plus a Dedicated Binaries Server ==----------

935. Re: did posting make it to news group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <778sdt$3om$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 131888

It got through OK time in Southern IN USA is 7:22PM Eastern time.

Howard Rudy wrote in message <36978A53.71D680D9@lgcy.com>...
>Hi;
>I would like to know if my posts are makeing it to the news group.
>I come back to my post the next day and it has expired.
>Could someone on the this news group post a reply just to
>the news group if you read this. Thank you.
>Howard
>

936. Re: sportcat 150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <778t8i$46e$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 131889

I have one of the SC150Y's and there is no mod for them for cell phones. The closest is to trick it into scanning the 800 MHz band using the 400 MHz band (requires adding wires & external switch to scanner) plus it doesn't work very well. Just scan the 800 MHz band starting around 896 MHz through 920 MHz you will hear most of the "image" frequencies just as well as if you had the real one.

2NFI528 wrote in message <778pfgqkd1@news1.fast.net>...
>I just recieved a uniden sportcat 150 for christmas and are looking for a
>mod to activate the cell phone freq...Please help...Also have a pro-34 that
>i did mods on it works great.....Thanx & 73's
>
>

937. Antenna lengths question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolph <dolph@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3697fca2.0@news.qnet.com>
Source record: 131890

Hello
Can anyone lead me in the right direction for a faq on different
antenna lengths for monitoring different frequency ranges?
Any response appreciated!
Randy

938. scanner antena?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <778u9m$1t9$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 131891

Will grounding my external antena for my scanner make any differance in reception?
eagle@ez-net.com

939. Re: BC100xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Homer <mslovjoy@inlink.com>
Message-ID: <369803E8.3B11@inlink.com>
Source record: 131892

NOT wrote:
>
> sure just open the bottom and take a look at your battery pack then go down
> to wal-mart by 2 set of 3 cell battery for a cordless phone then solder them
> together and put them in
> http://www.inetone.net/Fsmith/
>
> Homer wrote in message <36965B21.469@inlink.com>...
> >Hi, I have a BC100XL that seems to work OK except that it drains the
> >nicads as if it had been left on overnight. (No it hadn't). New
> >batteries. Any ideas anyone? Thanks, Howard.
> >
Thanks NOT, but, as I said, new batteries. BTW, no portable phone I
ever saw had a stick of 3 aa's which I could use two of. This is a
circuit problem, maybe characteristic, and I don't have a schematic. H.

940. Re: did posting make it to news group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: LAS <monkey@yayaya.com>
Message-ID: <369805AB.3B9F637B@yayaya.com>
Source record: 131893

Howard Rudy wrote:

> Hi;
> I would like to know if my posts are makeing it to the news group.
>

No..There not making it.

941. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: LAS <monkey@yayaya.com>
Message-ID: <36980623.CA60E284@yayaya.com>
Source record: 131894

MAlexder wrote:

> Well, if you mean my regularly pointing out the many distasteful activities
> that you engage in such as impesonations, forgeries, lies, rogue cancellations,
> computer trespassing, and so on, yeah, I guess you will find me to be a
> problem.

Like anyone really gives a SHIT about this tiring thread!

942. Re: Busted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: LAS <monkey@yayaya.com>
Message-ID: <36980694.3C457DC9@yayaya.com>
Source record: 131895

wrongurl@hotmail.com wrote:

> Up in the frozen North We hear about the U.S. Communications laws etc,etc.Has
> anyone been charged for violating the E.C.P.A act, And if so where can I find
> the details of any court action.It seems whenever some inane act is passed
> eventually the Canadian Government follows suit.
>

Strange..I thought the canadian Gov IS the father and author of all inane acts!

943. Re: digital scanners? Why are they illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gil Stamper <gstamper@us.hsanet.net>
Message-ID: <369808BB.4AF6@us.hsanet.net>
Source record: 131896

rfld@usa.net wrote:
>
> Why are they illegal in the us? When will they be available to the rest of
> the world?

Despite what two other replies have said, digital scanners are not illegal in the US. I'm not familiare with the rest of the world so I can't comment on their legality.

The two other posted replies have confused digital scanners with scanners that are capable of picking up cellular telephone conversations. Those scanners are illeagal in the US. As for the rest of the world, I don't know if they are illegal or not.

Currently, no one manufacturers a scanner capable of translating the digitized audio signal of some radio systems back into analog audio. The future is either a short or long time away depending on your point of view. I will guess that when some manufacturer thinks that they can make a profit selling scanners capable of translating the digital signal back into an analog signal (digital scanner) they will make and sell one. From the conversations on this and other scanning forams I'd guess that digital radio systems are increasing in numbers. When the scanner manufacturers see a market, I'm sure they will produce the product.

Under current US law, and FCC regulation (not necessarily the same thing) it is perfectly legal to attempt to listen to digital transmissions from any source, including public safety. The only thing stoppong someone from making a scanner capable of doing so, is profitability. Under current US law, and FCC regulation the only thing that scanners cannot legally pick up is conversations from cellular telephones. It is currently illegal to manufacturer or sell within the boarders of the US scanners capable of picking up cellular telephone transmissions. Other countries do not have such a law and companies manufacturing scanners in those countries still cover the cellular frequencies. You can attempt to import one of those scanners either via mail, shipping, or personal carry, but if you are stopped or the package is intecepted, by US Customs you may pay a fine, or might even do jail time.

Don't confuse digital with cellular, they're not the same thing, even though the cellular networks are moving toward digital transmission. I will guess that even when a manufacturer decides to produce a scanner capable of translatting the digital signal back to analog it still witll not translate cellular transmissions, since it is the frequency coverage that is banned, not the modulation method.

>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

Gil Stamper

944. Pro 91 Mod Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "T. Scott Anderson" <lobo@wsunix.it.wsu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.3.95.990109190636.22152A-100000@unicorn.it.wsu.edu>
Source record: 131897

I have checked most of my sources and have yet to find information about any type of Pro-91 Mods. Can anyone point me in the right direction?

Thanks,
TSA

ICQ 7487812 HP http://travis.scs.wsu.edu

945. ICOM IC-PCR100?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Wayne S. Sikorski" <wsikorski@snip.net>
Message-ID: <77969v$24j$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 131898

Anyone have any input on the ICOM IC-PCR100. I am looking to expand beyond my RS Pro-25 and thought that this may be a way to go.

Thanks.....Wayne

946. Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <aUVl2.314$CI.5479@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 131899

Anyone know where to get the best price on the BC895XLT? Best I've seen is CEI's $213.

947. FS: Pro-39 Handheld w/full 800mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Monfeli <rmonfeli@dconn.com>
Message-ID: <779afr$8mf$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 131900
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Excellent to Mint condition. Has full 800mhz, comes with 6 ni-cads and AC adapter. Asking $175.00 I'll pay shipping in US only.
Ron

948. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36982CD3.2115@usa.net>
Source record: 131901

MAlexder wrote:
> Well, if you mean my regularly pointing out the many distasteful activities

I was talking about YOUR OWN distasteful activities.

> that you engage in such as impesonations, forgeries, lies, rogue cancellations,
> computer trespassing, and so on, yeah, I guess you will find me to be a

I have NEVER participated in the above activities you mention. If you
still
want to say I have, then please present your specific accusation with
supporting evidence of be found to be lying by default do to lack of
supporting evicence.

949. Re: Antenna lengths question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@lor.net>
Message-ID: <36983179.D35E7DFF@lor.net>
Source record: 131902

Hi Randy,
No problem. You use a math formula to calculate the length of the antenna to "resonate" at the frequency you want. This is much more critical for transmitting than it is for receiving, but sometimes you just need (or want) to have an antenna cut to a particular frequency!
Here's the formula and the variables:
"n" divided by your frequency equals the antenna length in feet.
"n" is...
246 for 1/4 wave (the basic antenna length - don't go shorter)
492 for 1/2 wave
615 for 5/8 wave
984 for 1 wavelength
Multiply your result by 12 to convert to inches.
Examples:
1/4 wave for 460.000 MHz: 246 divided by 460 = .5347 ft., x 12 = 6.4"
5/8 wave for 155.475 MHz: 615 divided by 155.475 = 3.95 ft., x 12 = 47.5"
I usually round off to the nearest 1/10" for ease of measuring.

1/2 and 5/8 waves are usually "gain" antennas, which are important for transmitting. The longer lengths will help with receiving, too. And you

want your antenna to match your transmitter to efficiently radiate your signal, otherwise it can just heat up and destroy the transmitter. Gain

itself is a
subjective measure that depends on a lot of factors. Suffice to say that

a 5/8 wave antenna has more gain and is a better one than a 1/4 wave.
Hope this helps, and have fun!
Cal

dolph wrote:

> Hello
> Can anyone lead me in the right direction for a faq on different
> antenna lengths for monitoring different frequency ranges?
> Any response appreciated!
> Randy

950. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369830B1.7233@usa.net>
Source record: 131903

Obviously you don't know what you are talking about. If you look at
that post again in dejanews, try clicking on "View thread". If you do
that you will see that I AM NOT the one who started the issue about the
Cheek violating the newsgroup charter. I was only responding to thread
of that complaint from "PJB" & "allsafe". If you want to argue about
Cheek violating the charter of rec.radio.scanner then I suggest you
contact
"pjb", not me as I was only a responder to that thread.

Doesn't it hurt to always make a fool of yourself by being wrong?

K patterson wrote:
>
> I think he was refering to the post you made 1998/12/06 to
> Forums: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner
> Under the subject of --
> Re: Computer Interface for Scanners
>
> John said :
>
> >Just as you are an entirely different idiot than Phil Smalley.
> >But WHY is it that you guys chose to take a problem with complaints of
> >Bill starting to cause problems here & turn it into talking about me.
> >I have nothing to do with Bill's violations of the NG charter & decent

****
Author:ï¿½allsafe
Email:Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com
Date:1998/12/01
Forums:rec.radio.scanner

PjB wrote:
>
> In article <3663a11e.199006087@news2.cts.com>, bcheek@cts.com wrote:
> > AN OVERVIEW OF THE CE-232 SCANNER/COMPUTER INTERFACE
> > ==========
>
> The above post is an advertisment by Bill Cheeks in an effort to generate income through
this Newsgroup. This is expressly forbidden in the group charter, as Cheeks is well aware.
> WARNING: NO COMMERCIAL POSTS WILL BE TOLERATED ON THIS NEWSGROUP!

You won't tolerate it, Huh?

951. FA on eBay: PRO-39 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: RICHARD <RickJohnson@ij.net>
Message-ID: <1zWLmHFP#GA.167@rejz.ij.net>
Source record: 131904
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Real nice PRO-39 hand-held scanner for auction on eBay:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=55410893

952. Re: UBC9000XLT - Functions and more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <369844FE.A468FEFC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131905
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You can put the freq in the search
memory.

Don
--
-
Location: N33d42m05s W117d59m25s
Grid Sq: DM13AQ UTC -8hrs.
Scanners: Air-8,AR2001,AR900,BC50,
BC101,BC142,BC20/20,BC2500,
BC9000,FRG9600,HP100,HX1000,
HX1500,Pro26,Pro2004/5/6,
Pro2045,Pro2046,R10FM,R532,
R7000,Scout 40,SX200N.
Software: Deltacomm v3.9, Probe v3.0,
ScanCat Gold-SE, ScanStar
Shortwave: DC777,DX160,DX394,ICF2010,
R70, R71A, R1000.
Antennas: 7 Random wires, 6 Discones,
5 Ground planes, 2 Mag-mts,
3 Coax loops, LA-1, Scanner
Beam,X-dipole,2 LVHF pipes.
CAKE001 - CASF024

953. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <369842B3.D52945B9@pacbell.net>
Source record: 131906

don't forget stalking ....

MAlexder wrote:

> >From: John - KAOSSF
>
> >And based on YOUR PAST behavior, we can be assured that you will
> >continue to be a problem.
>
> Well, if you mean my regularly pointing out the many distasteful activities
> that you engage in such as impesonations, forgeries, lies, rogue cancellations,
> computer trespassing, and so on, yeah, I guess you will find me to be a
> problem.

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

954. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <369843C2.B8C26975@pacbell.net>
Source record: 131907

John - KAOSSF wrote:

> I have NEVER participated in the above activities you mention. If you
> still
> want to say I have, then please present your specific accusation with
> supporting evidence of be found to be lying by default do to lack of
> supporting evicence.

R E A L I T Y C H E C K

Definitive data on the posting history of Toilet Boy (aka Mackey).
Edited for the more salient point(s). Compelling nonetheless.

SNIP --- Data From Smally ----

I have nothing else to say except that, out of curiousity, I searched the archives at Dejanews to see how much John "contributes" to the group. I found some 13000 posts in all in the scanner newsgroups since he arrived. THIRTEEN THOUSAND!!!!!!!!!!! Yet the on topic posts he has made can be counted on one hand, with room left over. Case closed, folks. John Mackey is the problem. Guilty as charged.

I have done it folks. I have accomplished what I set out to do. I have proven what the Clown Prince of Scanning is really all about: A personal, neverending vendetta against Bill Cheek.

SNIP ------ Data From Kindricks ------

Date	Mackey	Cheek	Kendrick	Bowers	Vetterle	allsafe	K4CC
JUL 98	413	386*	6	32	28	75	234
JUN 98	413	502*	0	64	45	97	95
MAY 98	22	208	0	11	8	12	12
APR 98	194	128	27	41	25	12	0
MAR 98	254	240	49	24	50	18	0
FEB 98	200	274	51	27	46	18	0
JAN 98	173	178	35	1	33	33	0
DEC 97	149	227	32	0	16	1	0
NOV 97	63	65	1	0	10	0	0
OCT 97	48	60	0	0	8	0	0
SEP 97	181	78	0	2	4	0	0
AUG 97	29	2	0	1	2	0	0
TOTALS	2139	2348	201	203	275	266	341

Consider this point. Less than two dozen of the total posts by Mackey pertained to scanners or scanning. Approximately half of the posts

made by Bill Cheek were devoted to scanners and scanning. Now go back
and look at the numbers again. You do the math.

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

955. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <JZXI2.1198$Yy.35885@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 131908

John ... you should be careful what you say ... you don't know me and don't know if I have a license (FCC or other) or not. You should really be sure of what you are spouting off about ...

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com
John - KAOSSF wrote in message <3697D46D.1DD9@usa.net>...
>

... snip worthless drivel ...

>
>Since I hold both the highest of amateur and commercial FCC licenses,
>and you & your hero don't hold ANY type of FCC technical license, your
>statement above makes you look like a fool AGAIN.

956. Re: AOR AR-3000A Needs repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcam <dcam@ricochet.net>
Message-ID: <369852E9.890D54B2@ricochet.net>
Source record: 131909

Joey,
Reset the cpu,the reset button behinh the front panel,is may back to mormal.
Doug

"Joey D. Wilcoxon" wrote:

> Who can repair the above mentioned scanner? It quit working properly after
> I replaced the battery. Please contact me at jdwilcoxondc@eurekanet.com

957. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3698588A.487D@usa.net>
Source record: 131910

Mr. WireHead wrote:
>
> don't forget stalking ....
>
> MAlexder wrote:
>
> > >From: John - KAOSSF
> >
> > >And based on YOUR PAST behavior, we can be assured that you will
> > >continue to be a problem.

I'm sure he will continue his stalking also. But let's hope he proves
us
wrong!

958. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36985916.1071@usa.net>
Source record: 131911

Thank you for helping to prove that Cheek posted hundreds of more messages more than me!

Mr. WireHead wrote:

> I have nothing else to say except that, out of curiousity, I searched
> the archives at Dejanews to see how much John "contributes" to the
SNIP
> Date | Mackey | Cheek |
SNIP
> TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 |

959. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36985B0A.144@usa.net>
Source record: 131912

Michael Derryberry wrote:
> John ... you should be careful what you say ... you don't know me and don't
> know if I have a license (FCC or other) or not. You should really be sure of
> what you are spouting off about ...

Well, well, according to the FCC database you don't have any type of
amateur radio license & you don't act as though you could have the
ability
to hold a commercial (general radiotelephone) license.

If you are attempting to say you have a FCC license then why don't
you state the type of license it is?

960. Re: Ace Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: spooner@sf.znet.com
Message-ID: <3698ab42.25871899@news.znet.com>
Source record: 131936

On 3 Jan 1999 02:38:09 -0500, "RB" <rb@bridgeport.net> wrote:

>Three years ago I purchased a Bearcat scanner from Ace Communications in
>Indiana. Is this company still in Indiana? Are they now the
>"Cordless-Guys" on the Web?

Avoid these guys! I had nothing but trouble after ordering a cordless
phone from them. Their service is either nasty, or unresponsive. To
make a long story short, a fairy straight forward transaction turned
into a real pain. Luckily, I paid with a credit card.

961. regency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfpgp40125@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108195829.25223.00009028@ng32.aol.com>
Source record: 131937

Does any one out there have Regency hx1000 scanners is good condition for sale
if so plese e-mail me

RFPGP40125@AOL.COM

Scott Nelson

962. Re: Best Antenna for a BC235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <776hrscpa1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131938

A Discone could work, however discones are designed to receive everything in their wide frequency range, instead of being optimized for the ranges covered in your scanner. A multi-band groundplane or a dipole design using elements for each of the scanner bands would probably have slightly more gain. Either arrangement should work far better than an antenna on top of the scanner, though. BTW, if your TV antenna covers both VHF and UHF bands, then you can turn the TV antenna so the elements point vertically and use it as a high-gain directional scanner antenna if you desire.

In article <19990105210253.05605.00002218@ng-ch1.aol.com>,
gwjamessj@aol.com (GWJamesSJ) wrote:
> I am looking for an antenna to use with my BC235. I would like one I could
> perhaps mount in my atic. Would I lose much reception ability versus mounting
> it on my roof. I need a wide band antenna but would like good performance in
> the 108-135mhz range. Would a Discone antenna be alright, I have a chance to
> get one of these used at a resonable price. I already have an TV antenna on my
> roof, could I mount the scanner antenna on the pole below the TV antenna?.
> Any advice would be appreciated.
>
> Greg
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

963. FS RCA handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: pstringy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108201730.29630.00008128@ng108.aol.com>
Source record: 131939

20 channel scanner model RP6100. 29-512 Mhz like new in box used 1 time. Comes with ac adapter/charger. $25 plus shipping.

964. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <776ik4dca1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131940

You might want to try the RS magnet mount scanner antenna. It's supposed to work better since it can use your car's metal shell as a groundplane.

In article <19990106224218.22518.00001219@ng24.aol.com>, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
> Jim,
>
> Just to echo what the others have said, in a metro area such as Atlanta
> you'll probably do all right on VHF-HI and above, but the antenna barely works
> on VHF-LO. You'll do better with one of the RS trunk lip mount antennas.
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

965. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108204642.20411.00009391@ng13.aol.com>
Source record: 131941

>From: notme@nowhere.com

>did you notice the USASCAN.COM page has the labels for the BC-895 functions
>incorrect?...

I suppose only BC895XLT owners would know about this.
I really don't think misplacing the labels would
cause such an issue to not buy from CEI, though.
Do you have a complaint about CEI's service?
(which is excellent, in my opinion)

talkyal

966. Re: TrunkTracker squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108214051.05232.00009935@ng27.aol.com>
Source record: 131942

>From: "Ed" <Cedar@ix.Netcom.com>

>how important is squelch setting during tracking. Have read that initial
>setting should be one direction or another as unit finds data channel.
>Manual is very sketchy in both pro-90 and bc895xlt models

This is from the BC235XLT owner's manual:
"Since Squelch is automatically adjusted in the
trunking mode, it isn't necessary to manually
adjust the squelch control while trunk tracking. However, the squelch setting
you select before you begin trunk tracking can affect how fast your scanner
acquires the data channel, and, in some instances, the squelch can prevent your
scanner from acquiring the data channel at all."
"Set SQUELCH in [halfway] position before
selecting a trunking bank. NOTE: You can change this recommended setting, if
necessary, to provide better performance in
your area."

In other words, if you still can't acquire the data channel at the halfway
position (because it is probably a weak signal in your area), start slowly
cranking the squelch clockwise until you acquire it.

talkyal

967. Re: 895 XLT - IMAGE Freq Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospampls@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <776of3$i6k$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try adding or subtracting 21.7 ......21.6 for Realistic scanners!

In article <7765uolkk1@news2.i-2000.com>,
Keith@Knip.co (Keith Knipschild -add the M in com -) wrote:
> If anyone can answer this question, I would greatly appreciate it..
>
> I own the Uniden BC-895-XLT and Im hearing a Business on
> 823.375 Mhz , I hear the Base & Moblie units. I know this is
> not the Actual Tranmitting Freq, since its an INPUT Freq to
> my Local PD 800 mhz Truck System, its has to be an IMAGE..
>
> I learned that the Uniden TT's have the following IF's:
>
> 254.400 mhz or 280.800 mhz
> 10.850 mhz
> 450 mhz
>
> How can I figure out the ACTUAL Tranmitting Freq of this Business ?
>
> Thanks,
> Keith - N2NJS
>
> Enjoy,
> Keith
>
> |==>
> | KEITH@KNIP.COM == Internet Email Address
> | HTTP://WWW.KNIP.COM == WWW Page URL Address
> | N2NJS@AMSAT.ORG == HAM Radio AMSAT Email
> | N2NJS@KC2FD.NY.USA.NA == Ham Radio AX25 Packet Address
> |==>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

968. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: r_daneel@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <776su6lii1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131944

Does anyone have any info on the "passive repeater" antennae out there? They are meant for cell phones, and would probably boost 800 Mhz scanning some. But this thing doesn't connect to anything else, it just mounts on the window. Does anyone know if this would even help? Thanks R_Daneel

"Nothing's the same anymore." -Commander Jeffery Sinclair, Babylon 5

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

969. Re: pocflex win95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <776ld6$kua@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131945
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I run it with a 200MMX with no problems.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge wrote in message ...
>Does anybody know if exist a prog for windows95 for pocflex?
>
>--
>St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge
>Beauport,Qc
>Canada
>
>
>

970. New Ericsson TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990108231053.24256.00009361@ng-fc2.aol.com>
Source record: 131946

All,

The following was taken from the CEI web site. This is offered for information only, and is not an endorsement of CEI.

John Ward

Communications Electronics North American Dealer News:

UNIDEN INTRODUCES NEW SCANNER CAPABLE OF AUTOMATICALLY DOWNLOADING CLOSEST 100 CHANNELS AND FREQUENCIES IN USERSï¿½ ZIP CODE AND TRACKING MULTIPLE TRUNKED RADIO SYSTEMS.

Uniden America Corporation introduced at the 1999 Consumer Electronics Show in Las Vegas, a new radio scanner that simplifies locating local channels and frequencies and tracking trunked radio systems.

The SmartScanner feature, available in the new handheld model, BC245XLT, enables users to easily download the closest 100 channels and frequencies available in a usersï¿½ zip code. The user simply connects the scanner to a modem with a special cable provided with the scanner. The scanner will then dial into a preprogrammed, toll-free number that identifies and automatically downloads the closest channels and frequencies.

ï¿½The SmartScanner feature eliminates the hassle of finding local channels and frequencies in a directory and loading them one by one,ï¿½ said John Harris, Uniden America Corporationï¿½s Senior Vice President. Ken Ascher, Chairman & CEOï¿½ of Communications Electronics said ï¿½Itï¿½s a terrific convenience for scanner users.ï¿½

ï¿½The TrunkTracker(tm) II feature makes the BC245XLT able to track both GE/Ericsson and Motorola trunked radio systems. Previously Uniden introduced the TrunkTracker(tm) radio scanner--the first scanner capable of tracking a conversation as it jumped frequencies across Motorola trunked radio systems. Now TrunkTracker II enables scanner users to track conversations on trunked radio systems made by both major system manufacturers.

ï¿½When a conversation changes frequencies on a Motorola or GE/Ericsson trunked radio system, TrunkTracker II automatically follows the conversation so users donï¿½t have to manually change frequencies to find the rest of the transmission,ï¿½ Ascher said. ï¿½Again, itï¿½s a revolutionary convenience for scanner users.ï¿½

ï¿½The BC245XLT handheld radio scanner with both SmartScanner and TrunkTracker II technology will be available in July, 1999 for a

suggested retail price of $499.95. In addition, Uniden plans to introduce the SC200 handheld radio scanner also featuring SmartScanner technology and an alphanumeric display in October, 1999. Ascher recommends to customers who want the earliest delivery of these new Bearcat scanners, to reserve their scanners now at the Communications Electronics (CEI) award winning secure web site at http://www.usascan.com. The CEI price for the BC245XLT is $269.95 plus shipping/handling. This was the same price as the popular BC235XLT when it was introduced by CEI in 1997. CEI welcomes all major credit cards which are not billed until the order is processed. For over thirty years, Uniden Master Distributor CEI has been marketing Bearcat scanners and other Uniden wireless products to government agencies, dealers and consumers throughout North America.

*** In addition to the information above, there was also a reference made to CEI's dealers that they should place their orders now for the following new models: BC244CLT, BC248CLT, BC278CLT, BC245XLT, BC780XLT, BC9500XLT, SC180B, SC200. No other information on these models was given, however more than a year ago I was told the 780XLT would be Uniden's version of a mobile TrunkTracker, and that the 9500XLT would be an upgrade of the 9000XLT to include an RS-232 port.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

971. Re: Discriminator audio has hiss.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <776l0j$ifb@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131947

The hiss is due to the fact that the audio is unfiltered. This is what
allows the decoded of none voice signals.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Bob Horvath wrote in message <36978cb0.9929215@news.mindspring.com>...
>My discriminator audio output has a very noticeable hiss when I
>monitor the audio. I used a 2.2 tantalum in the RS 2006. Any
>suggestions?
>Bob

972. Re: "Digital" Scanners Legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990108232156.24256.00009382@ng-fc2.aol.com>
Source record: 131948

>Subject: "Digital" Scanners Legal?
>From: "Greg" <greg@nospam.org>
>Date: 01-08-1999 21:08 EST

>From what I see in this excerpt from an FCC document, I wonder if digital
>scanners would even be legal? I really hope I am just not interpreting this
>incorrectly.

>excerpt from;
>INTERCEPTION AND DIVULGENCE OF RADIO COMMUNICATIONS
>http://www.fcc.gov/investigation.html
>
>The Act also contains provisions that affect the manufacture of equipment
>used for listening to or receiving radio transmissions, such as "scanners."
>Section 302(d) of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. Section 302(d),
>prohibits the FCC from authorizing scanning equipment that is capable of
>receiving transmissions in the frequencies allocated to domestic cellular
>services, that is capable of readily being altered by the user to intercept
>cellular communications, OR THAT MAY BE EQUIPPED WITH DECODERS THAT CONVERT
>DIGITAL TRANSMISSIONS TO ANALOG VOICE AUDIO. In addition, such receivers may
>not be manufactured in the United States or imported for use in the United
>States after April 26, 1994. 47 CFR 15.121. FCC regulations also prohibit
>the sale or lease of scanning equipment not authorized by the FCC. 47CFR
>2.803.
>

The FCC "document" referred to in the above post is simply a "fact sheet" and
does not have the authority of law. The pertinent section of the statute is
listed below. Please note that paragraph C reads " being equipped with decoders
that convert digital CELLULAR transmissions to analog voice
audio." It seems that whoever wrote the fact sheet left out the little word
"cellular." Sort of changes the whole meaning, doesn't it. Another fine example
of our tax dollars at work.

(d) Cellular telecommunications receivers
(1) Within 180 days after October 28, 1992, the Commission shall prescribe and
make effective
regulations denying equipment authorization (under part 15 of title 47, Code of
Federal
Regulations, or any other part of that title) for any scanning receiver that is
capable of -
(A) receiving transmissions in the frequencies allocated to the domestic
cellular radio
telecommunications service,
(B) readily being altered by the user to receive transmissions in such

frequencies, or
(C) being equipped with decoders that convert digital cellular transmissions to analog voice
audio.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

973. Bearcat Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jw <4intexas@ticnet.com>
Message-ID: <022F70858037AD05.2EE98CA2BBCC6097.98B8FD88B454752A@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 131949

Looking at purchasing either the BC-7 or BC-12 mobile scanner for the car. Both come with presets and can alert you to highway patrol antenna boosters.

Any comments good or bad would be appreciated. Are these legal in all states? Specifically, TX?

Thanks

974. Chester County, PA 800 MHz Trunking Problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: gmr@usa.net
Message-ID: <3697e2a1.125167581@news.fast.net>
Source record: 131950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I ran across this site about a nearby county having trouble with thier EF Johnson Trunking system. I've used EFJ in the past and it has always been good, but it doesn't look so hot here. It has frequencies and site maps, too for those in that area who are interested. The site is http://www.pettyinc.com/ if you are interested.

975. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Ritter <pritter@netcarrier.com>
Message-ID: <36970F67.9A5910F3@netcarrier.com>
Source record: 131951

I've also had one of those antenna's on my truck for over 2 years and still secure. Works real well on the 800 mhz freq's...

976. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3697f398.2378110@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131952
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 8 Jan 1999 13:10:03 GMT, talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal) wrote:

>>From: nexus@ametro.net (The NeXus)
>
>>Yes they do have to the programmed, for someone like
>>you it would be a problem, for someone like me it isn't
>>seeing as how I am a public safety person and my department
>>is using the system. No background information, I already
>>have a commission, all I needed to do was sign a hand receipt
>>and the radios were mine....
>
>Well, hopefully, bona fide amateur radio license
>holders could do the same thing.

Anyone willing to part with enough cash can listen to all
the unencrypted astro digital they want.
http://broward.home.ml.org

977. Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3698f4f3.2725154@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131953
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey check this thing out:
http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
Anyone have one?

http://broward.home.ml.org

978. DFW American Airlines Dispatch Freq.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bjpatt <bjpatt@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <776tb4$h9@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131954

Does anyone have the freq's. that AAL Dispatch uses for the DFW area?
Brian-Longhorn DX.

979. New data slicer circuit drawing upload !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Craig-N5XNQ <cpclark@pca.net>
Message-ID: <D1430D656440863C.3255C50B1FBDF47C.67F7B53576F68B18@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 131955

I have just upload a cleaner version of my data slicer circuit diagram. You can download a copy from my web page at:

http://www.GeoCities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hall/3252

980. Re: Philly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmu4527297@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109030219.26069.00003162@ng43.aol.com>
Source record: 131956

I have any Phil freq that you need please e-mail me with the ones you want and I will e-mail them to you. I have tons and tons of freqs, because I monitor my scanner, and I can get any freq that you need.
Also, I have a question which maybe you can help me out with? My question is I have a Pro-90 trunktracker, but when I bought it it trunked fine, but the one day I wanted to trunk and all I keep getting is error. I have the codes, and data channels, but I just can''t get it to trunk like when I first bought it. If you could help me out or give me some ideas I will greatly appreciate it.

Dave
DMu4527297@aol.com
1-888-441-3817 Work Pager
610 797-9764 Fax
PS. Please feel free to contact me at any of the above numbers if you need any additional help or frequencies, and I will be more than happy to help you out.

981. Re: UBC9000XLT - Functions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <36971636.919717@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131957
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Lindsay Vincent <lvincent@hornet.net.au> wrote:

:Barry O'Grady wrote:
:>
:> "Mike Jackson" <mikej@ihug.co.nz> wrote:
:>
:> :Gidday from Down Under (Godzone that is!)
:> :
:> :What is the function of the HI-CUT On/Off button on the UBC9000XLT. The
:> :manual just tells you how to switch it on and off. Thanks for your advice
:> :in advance.
:
:To Mike: it just seems to attenuate the higher pitches (treble ?) of
:the audio out.
:
:> Try it. You may like it.
:
:To Barry: Yet another fact-filled message from you. So predictable...

If he tried it he would know what it does. He may even find it useful.

982. Re: scanner only works when I move it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <36971644.933656@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131958
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Randy Rogers" <neatncool@yahoo.com> wrote:

:I have a Realistic scanner but it doesn't do anything
:unless I am moving it. It stays dead and then oft I will
:move it and there is activity.

Is it when you move it, or when you hold it? If when you hold it
then your hand is providing a form of ground connection which will
improve the reception. Check the aerial connection. If it looks OK
it may be broken inside the radio.

983. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <3697167a.987176@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131959
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Zook Music wrote:

: Have tried the vox tape recorders with little success (audio signal and
: amplifier noise just too strong). Think I might try the Nightlogger.
: Thanks for all your help!!!!

Radio Shack have (had?) the very thing to solve your problem.
The output from your scanner is at line level while the input
to your recorder is at mic level. The device is a RCA to mini
plug adapter with a built in40 db attenuater. It effectivly
converts a mic input to a line input. It works for me.

984. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <36971656.952022@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Edward L. Dowdy" <eldowdy@net66.com> wrote:

:>Tell it like it is Richard, people have forgotten that freedom comes at a
:>price. And Jesus paid the ultimate price for our's. 73 to all

Christians pay the ultimate price by donating their brain to the bit
bucket.

985. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <3697165f.961066@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

bowenb@best.com (William H. Bowen) wrote:

:thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL one!)) wrote:
:
:>On 1 Jan 1999 00:53:24 GMT, "DavidC" <davidc@bit-net.com> wrote:
:>
:>>Should be no surprise, he is a Christian to whom that means
:>>something every moment of every day of his life.
:>>A Happy and Blessed New Year to all! 73, DavidC K1YP
:>
:>A) this is NOT a religious newsgroup,

To rabid Christians every group is a religious group and every street
corner is a place to pass on their delusions.

:Who said it was Bud?
:>
:>B) Christians as a whole are JUST the same as most other religions, a
:> few 'true believers' and a
:> bunch of opportunists

True believers are opportunists.

:Read David's ENTIRE comment as you clipped it - he makes the point
:that the owner of "The Ham Station" is "A Christian to whom this means
:something . . ."
:>
:>C) Christians do NOT have an exclusive franchise on ethics and morality
:
:Did anyone in this thread say they did?

He is right. Christians are the very worst type of people. They crash
into non religious newsgroups with their fairy tales, and they are
the most likely to do you a bad deal.

:Bud, get the chip off your shoulder: it is VERY unbecomming.
:
:Regards,
: Bill Bowen
: bowenb@best.com
: Daly City, CA

986. Re: Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <3697162c.909336@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131962

peglegdxer@aol.com (PegLegDXer) wrote:

:>
:>You should be aware that not every signal you hear is on the frequency
:>the dial shows. Cordless telephones are on 30 MHz.
:>
:
:where did you hear this ? cordless 43-49 mHz

I heard it on my scanner while the scanner was tuned to one of ten
30 MHz cordless phone channels.

987. Re: unlock all - radio shack handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <3697164d.942967@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131963

netzel@(remove-me)erinet.com wrote:

:He's probably referring to "unlocking" the "locked out channels". On the
:Uniden scanners you go to MANUAL and press the LOCKOUT button until you
:hear 2 beeps (about 3 seconds) and all the locked out channels are
:unlocked, or all channels are now "unlocked" out.

Just a small correction. The above action only unlocks channels in
banks that are enabled.

:Fred

Barry

988. Re: Listening to cordless phone law loophole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <3697166b.972918@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131964

Listening to cordless phones can be interesting if you live near
enough to a 1-900 sex line operator who uses one.

989. Re: Health Risks with Cordless Phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <36971674.981460@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131965

On 3 Jan 1999 02:52:29 -0500, "RB" <rb@bridgeport.net> wrote:

:Are there any health risks with 900mhz or 2.5ghz cordless phones?

There are no health risks with cordless phones because the power
level is very low.

990. Re: SMA to BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <3697167e.991788@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131966

Dick Smith Electronics have them available to order as a Yaesu part.

991. Re: Triple Conversation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <36971685.998096@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131967

Winston Smith <jwasack@NOSPAM.netlabs.net> wrote:

:Is it possible to get cordless phones with triple conversation scanners?

You may be lucky enough to find a store that is giving away a cordless phone with a three way conversation scanner, but you shouldn't hold your breath.

992. Calgary frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dr_NOSPAMdrood@geocities.com
Message-ID: <36971a38.33039699@news.3web.net>
Source record: 131968
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just got a scanner, and while i`ve found quite a lot of freqs.,
Calgary Police and Calgary Airport ATC are still MIA.

Can anyone help me out? I have a set of frequencies for the police,
but it appears to be wrong.

Thanks

--
Something catchy should go here

993. Anyone have ideas for juryrigged antennae

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bonedwarf@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36981b7d.33365114@news.3web.net>
Source record: 131969
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

People use coathangers for car radios, so I was wondering if anyone knows of anything that can be used as substitute antennae. I read here a while ago about someone actually using a paperclip, so that`s along the line of what I mean.
--
Something catchy should go here

994. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109035447.05617.00004649@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 131970

>
>This is a good plan, I only hope (both for the sake of this group &
>your own integrity) that this time you are able to stick to it
>as you have made similar statements in the past.

Let's hope that you do the same Mackey, although based on your past behavior, I sincerely doubt it.

995. Re: I wont buy on e-bay, (Was: WTB: Uniden MR-8100)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bonedwarf@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36991f31.34313194@news.3web.net>
Source record: 131971

At some point on Fri, 8 Jan 1999 12:26:44 -0500, "D Stark"
<dave@nf2g.com> put forth the following:

>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote in message <774nj5$gtq@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>...
>> a newspaper did a story about e-bay
>> just a few days ago...not to complimentary
>> about their way of doing business and all
>> the problems people have with the format
>
>People should actually check things out for themselves instead of relying on
>the news media to do their thinking for them. If they knew what an auction
>was supposed to do, and the details of eBay's operations (like the thousands
>of people do who actually use it every day), then they might not be so quick
>to criticize or dismiss it.

I was checking out ebay with a view to buying a scanner, and I was
looking at the BC860XLT. Didn`t end up buying there, but generally
they were going for half the price of a new one.

Ended up buying a Pro-67 and it`s great.

--
Something catchy should go here

996. ic-q7a software rt systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dixoncfj@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <777cp1$29l$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131972

Do not buy ic-q7a software from RT systems. I did, and the product did not work. They did not send me the "patch" they promised, and were at a loss when I ask for a return authorization to send it back. I guess I have an expensive cable. It seems to me that they didn't even try this software before they sold it, because many of you seem to be having the same problem I did.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

997. Re: UBC9000XLT - Functions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Jackson <mikej@ihug.co.nz>
Message-ID: <7779nl$37c$1@newsource.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 131973
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OK Barry (Mr No Help) heres the guts of why I asked for HELP. Each time I selected the Hi-Cut On/Off function I heard no perceptible difference because I was SCANNING (duh). It's what one does with a UBC9000XLT. The manual said nothing about the function except how to turn it on and off. Thank heavens for mortals such as Lindsay Vincent...thankyou Lindsay.
Barry O'Grady wrote in message <36971636.919717@news.acay.com.au>...
>Lindsay Vincent <lvincent@hornet.net.au> wrote:
>
>:Barry O'Grady wrote:
>:>
>:> "Mike Jackson" <mikej@ihug.co.nz> wrote:
>:>
>:> :Gidday from Down Under (Godzone that is!)
>:> :
>:> :What is the function of the HI-CUT On/Off button on the UBC9000XLT. The
>:> :manual just tells you how to switch it on and off. Thanks for your advice
>:> :in advance.
>:
>:To Mike: it just seems to attenuate the higher pitches (treble ?) of
>:the audio out.
>:
>:> Try it. You may like it.
>:
>:To Barry: Yet another fact-filled message from you. So predictable...
>
>If he tried it he would know what it does. He may even find it useful.
>

998. Re: BC9000 Descriminator Output...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Jackson <mikej@ihug.co.nz>
Message-ID: <777a1o$3eo$1@newsource.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 131974

Todd wrote in message <3696625a.0@news.advancenet.net>...
>HI
>Been gone awhile. Did anyone ever figure out the proper place to tap the
>discriminator output for the bc9000? I lost track of the thread
>Any success yet????
>Thanks

Be wary of TP3. I tested this output and got not a lot of luck. Better tap
off IC-8 (MC3361B0) Pin 9.

>
>

999. Antenna formula needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: thuynh6969@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109051349.06160.00007059@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 131975

I trying to make a 800 Mhz antenna, but don't know the length
for the whip. I think it's about 3 to 5 incches, I can't be sure though.
Doesn't it also depends on the the metal I use for the whip ? I would greatly
appreciate if I could get the formula for the figuring out the length, for all
metals . Thanks a lot !

1000. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <36974cde.2851750@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 131976
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 09 Jan 1999 06:20:53 GMT, kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote:

>Hey check this thing out:
>http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
>Anyone have one?
>
>
>http://broward.home.ml.org

"404 Not Found" on the main frame of the Fair-radio website. That
about sums up the availability of digital scanners, if that's what
this is supposed to be about.

1001. fs: AOR AR5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobhome@postoffice.pacbell.net
Message-ID: <36975134.BA9CA219@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Source record: 131977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

For sale: AOR AR5000 in mint condition - no blocks
$1500 + shipping

AR5000 (not +3 model) including AC supply, manual and original box.
This receiver is in truly mint condition.

Payment by postal money order, or by personal check with a delay
for the check to clear. Buyer pays shipping from Northern California
by the method of their choice.

Take a look at the following sites for more details on the AR5000.

UK AR5000 page. Good overview of AR5000 features, also numerous
exterior and interior photos and a listing of the additional
capabilities you would get with the AR5000+3. (Note that both the
AR5000 and AR5000+3 have switched EPROM banks for 2000
memory channels.):
http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/ar5000.htm

For many details you won't find in the manuals!:
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/9163/arc.html

Many thanks,
Bob

1002. Re: my Panasonic Gigarange

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <369950e3.3880069@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 131978

On Fri, 08 Jan 1999 23:54:53 GMT, brad_r@canada.com wrote:

>How does one switch to Wide FM? I have a 2032 and a Pro-43 but can't
>see a Wide FM switch/button on either.
>
>Is this an OS456 feature? Or does only the legendary 2006 have this
>option.
>
>I have to get myself one of those some day.
>
>
>Thanks
>

My Pro-60, Pro-2004, 2006, and 2035 all have this function. On the
2004, 2006, and 2035 the "MODE" button switches between AM, NFM, and
WFM. The Pro-60 has an "AM/FM/WFM" button. The Pro-2005, 2042, and
Pro-26 also have WFM capability. Unfortunately these seem to be the
only Radio Shack scanners made with WFM capability.

1003. Re: Antenna formula needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ernie Stedman <n2ojq@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <777mir$s6e@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131979

The formula is L=234/f
where:
L is Length in feet
f is frequency in MHz
This is the standard formula for a 1/4 wave vertical, probably made of copper.

234/800=.295 ft
.295 * 12 = 3.5 inches for 800 MHz
234/900=.26 ft or 3.12 inches for 900 MHz

Ernie
N2OJQ

THuynh6969 <thuynh6969@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990109051349.06160.00007059@ng35.aol.com...
> I trying to make a 800 Mhz antenna, but don't know the length
>for the whip. I think it's about 3 to 5 incches, I can't be sure though.
>Doesn't it also depends on the the metal I use for the whip ? I would
greatly
>appreciate if I could get the formula for the figuring out the length, for
all
>metals . Thanks a lot !

1004. AOR info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dutch <arcsoftware_SPAM@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <777n0d$3il$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 131980
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

http://arc.mypage.org AR5000/8000/(8200) software and info

1005. Re: I wont buy on e-bay, (Was: WTB: Uniden MR-8100)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <777ncf$sbf@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131981

In <36991f31.34313194@news.3web.net> bonedwarf@nospam.hotmail.com (Eric
The Half A Bee) writes:
>
>At some point on Fri, 8 Jan 1999 12:26:44 -0500, "D Stark"
><dave@nf2g.com> put forth the following:
>
>>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote in message <774nj5$gtq@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>...
>>> a newspaper did a story about e-bay
>>> just a few days ago...not to complimentary
>>> about their way of doing business and all
>>> the problems people have with the format
>>
>>People should actually check things out for themselves instead of
relying on
>>the news media to do their thinking for them.

hmmmm...if the smart-ass who snipped
the rest of the info put forth in the
post then it also said that others
find no problems with e-bay. Just that
lately there have been complaints coming
up and the press reporting on it. which
is a service to people who want to know
what's being said about this company on
the net.

bill conduit
etc.

1006. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: cukr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109093508.01012.00008028@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 131982

>>Hey check this thing out:
>>http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
>>Anyone have one?
>>
>>
>>http://broward.home.ml.org
>
>"404 Not Found" on the main frame of the Fair-radio website. That
>about sums up the availability of digital scanners, if that's what
>this is supposed to be about.
>
>

The site worked for me. Took me to Fairhaven Limited.

Happy listening

1007. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <777nsl$li5$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 131983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Barry I don't know what Christian hurt you when you were little but I'm sorry and want you to know that Jesus loves you anyhow and died for you just the same!

Barry O'Grady wrote in message <3697165f.961066@news.acay.com.au>...
>bowenb@best.com (William H. Bowen) wrote:
>
>:thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL one!)) wrote:
>:
>:>On 1 Jan 1999 00:53:24 GMT, "DavidC" <davidc@bit-net.com> wrote:
>:>
>:>>Should be no surprise, he is a Christian to whom that means
>:>>something every moment of every day of his life.
>:>>A Happy and Blessed New Year to all! 73, DavidC K1YP
>:>
>:>A) this is NOT a religious newsgroup,
>
>To rabid Christians every group is a religious group and every street
>corner is a place to pass on their delusions.
>
>:Who said it was Bud?
>:>
>:>B) Christians as a whole are JUST the same as most other religions, a
>:> few 'true believers' and a
>:> bunch of opportunists
>
>True believers are opportunists.
>
>:Read David's ENTIRE comment as you clipped it - he makes the point
>:that the owner of "The Ham Station" is "A Christian to whom this means
>:something . . ."
>:>
>:>C) Christians do NOT have an exclusive franchise on ethics and morality
>:
>:Did anyone in this thread say they did?
>
>He is right. Christians are the very worst type of people. They crash
>into non religious newsgroups with their fairy tales, and they are
>the most likely to do you a bad deal.
>
>:Bud, get the chip off your shoulder: it is VERY unbecomming.
>:
>:Regards,

>: Bill Bowen
>: bowenb@best.com
>: Daly City, CA
>

1008. Re: Antenna formula needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rnav1@aol.comQnospamQ
Message-ID: <19990109100641.01163.00009204@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 131984

I used the formula that was given in the newsgroup to build an Excel spreadsheet that will give you the antenna length needed.

All you do is insert the frequency in MHz, and it will return antenna length values (in inches) for a 1/4, 1/2, 5/8, or full wave antenna.

If anyone wants it, I will be happy to post it. (You will need Excel 5.0 or newer to run it).

Regards
rnav1@QnospamQaol.com (RNAV1)

1009. Re: Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: PDWK66A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <777sle$c4gm$2@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131985

What are the ten 30 MHz cordless phone channels?

Thanks,

JOHN, KC6NWJ

>I heard it on my scanner while the scanner was tuned to one of ten
>30 MHz cordless phone channels.

barryo@XacayX.com.au (Barry O'Grady)

peglegdxer@aol.com (PegLegDXer)

1010. Re: Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: PDWK66A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <777skf$c4gm$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131986

What are the ten 30 MHz cordless phone channels?

Thanks,

JOHN, KC6NWJ

>I heard it on my scanner while the scanner was tuned to one of ten
>30 MHz cordless phone channels.

1011. Icom R7100 vs. R7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109103055.08779.00010429@ng93.aol.com>
Source record: 131987

I have an R7100 and would like to hear from other users. Also interested in how the R7100 compares to the R7000 as far as digging out weak signals. What kind of antennas are you using? I'm using a low-band modified discone and Grove Scanner Beam.

Frank

1012. Uniden XLT 70 **NEW*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dbbadge@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109104313.01012.00000907@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 131988

For sale pocket scanner, 70 xlt, 20 channels, 10 band coverage, priority function, one button weather. Receives 29.000 to 512 mhx- will sell for 75.00 or swap for similar value item. Email me for picture

1013. Re: Pinout for IC-R2 serial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hartmut Jessen <HartmutJessen@csi.com>
Message-ID: <#SvVRW#O#GA.198@ntawwabp.compuserve.com>
Source record: 131989
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A.V.I. schrieb in Nachricht <775c3b$ia9$1@camel21.mindspring.com>...
>Does anyone know how to make a serial comm cable for the IC-R2?
>
>Is it the same as the RC-10?
>

It is the same "cloning cable" as the one for IC-Q7.

Look at : http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/hartmutjessen/

regards......Hartmut

1014. Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjrems@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990109105117.26068.00003116@ng43.aol.com>
Source record: 131990

>Subject: Re: Motorola ASTRO Trunking Systems
>From: "Tony Szablowski" <aszablowski@worldnet.att.net>
>Date: 1/8/99 10:43 PM !!!First Boot!!!
>Message-id: <7761mj$nu0@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
>
>Talkyal wrote in message
><19990108161346.13454.00007354@ng112.aol.com>...
>>EXCEPT, in "scanner-friendly Michigan", it
>>is illegal to have any radio capable of receiving law enforcement
>>frequencies in your vehicle, without the posession of a bona
>>fide amateur radio license, or the permission
>>from the Michigan State Police. Therefore,
>>you may buy an ASTRO, but you can't put it
>>in your car unless you have the credentials.
>
>
>True, and I admit that was original thread topic, but a few posts
>sounded like they questioned the legality of actually _buying_ an
>Astro. Just wanted to add some info.
>---
>Regards,
>Tony
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Sounds to me if you have the $3000 to part with any dealer will gladly sell you the radio.

RJREMS@MI.ASTRO.COM

1015. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjrems@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990109105504.26068.00003119@ng43.aol.com>
Source record: 131991

>Subject: Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!
>From: talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal)
>Date: 1/8/99 12:38 PM !!!First Boot!!!
>Message-id: <19990108073804.01731.00002561@ng42.aol.com>
>
>>From: Ken Ascher WB8LIT <cei@mediaone.net>
>
>>As today is the opening day of the 1999 Winter Consumer
>>Electronics Show in Las Vegas, you will find information about new
>>Uniden products by visiting our web site at http://www.usascan.com.
>
>Nice to see that the new BC245XLT will
>trunk track Motorola AND GE/Ericsson trunk
>systems. That should be great if I take a road
>trip through various states using both systems.
>I'm not sure if I'll need that modem connection
>function to find frequencies, though. Can
>we trust the database is complete ?
>At your CEI price of $269.95, you once again
>show that you are the price leader, probably
>Radio Shack will have a similar model for
>$500. I'll be stopping in at CEI soon to reserve my BC245XLT.
>
>talkyal
>
>
>
>
>
>

I live in the Detroit metro area, where is CEI located, so I can just go in and pick one up when they arrive.

RJR EMS

1016. Re: freware ICR2 clone software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hartmut Jessen <HartmutJessen@csi.com>
Message-ID: <emBp2a#O#GA.49@ntawwabp.compuserve.com>
Source record: 131992

It seems that the file mscomm.vbx is the "problem".

The program creates a error message that this file is
out of date when you try to run it without some dlli¿½s.

I doni¿½t think that Goran has protected his program in
this way, but hei¿½s the only one to correct this.

We have to wait until he comes back.

regards.....Hartmut

1017. Re: Discriminator audio has hiss.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeffrey Broome <jlbroomeBUTIDONTLIKESPAM@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36977E0C.B9C743A0@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131993

Bob Horvath wrote:

> My discriminator audio output has a very noticeable hiss when I
> monitor the audio. I used a 2.2 tantalum in the RS 2006. Any
> suggestions?
> Bob

When I modified my BC80XLT to tap the discriminator and looked at the output on a scope it was a pretty noisy square wave. Inserting a 2.2K resistor between the discriminator pin and the tip connector on the jack cleaned things up very nicely.

1018. scanner antenna info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: benrobb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8304-36977CC7-7@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 131994

```
the best scanner antenna 29-13000mhz

73s Benny, Robby, Linda
NORTH WEST GEORGIA
NIGHT OWL
```

1019. Re: Bearcat Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: ajmedia@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109114041.01730.00003094@ng42.aol.com>
Source record: 131995

>Looking at purchasing either the BC-7 or BC-12 mobile scanner for the car.
>Both come with presets and can alert you to highway patrol antenna
>boosters.
>
>Any comments good or bad would be appreciated. Are these legal in all
>states? Specifically, TX?
>

I have the BC-12, and also live in Texas.. It's legal, but not necessarily the best scanner, in my opinion.. I recieved it as a gift a year ago, when we lived on the East Coast.. Seems it didn't pick up a lot at either place (or during the move from there to here)..

If I could've returned it, I would've opted for a handheld scanner, which could've been mounted in a cellular phone holder. I would have to program it, but at least I would be recieving the frequencies.

I would love to hear someone else's experience with the BC-12.

AJMedia@nospam.com

1020. Re: Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369787F9.2CCE@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131996

John Porter wrote:
>
> What are the ten 30 MHz cordless phone channels?
>
> Thanks,
>
> JOHN, KC6NWJ
>
> >I heard it on my scanner while the scanner was tuned to one of ten
> >30 MHz cordless phone channels.
>
> barryo@XacayX.com.au (Barry O'Grady)
>
> peglegdxer@aol.com (PegLegDXer)

The 30 Mhz cordless phones are for Australia and New Zealand only.

==========

In Australia they use the following:

10 Channel Cordless models in Australia

Base Handset

30.075 39.775
30.100 39.800
30.125 39.825
30.150 39.850
30.175 39.875
30.200 39.900
30.225 39.925
30.250 39.950
30.275 39.975
30.300 40.000

5 Channel Cordless Phone models Australia

Base Handset

1.725 40.025
1.740 40.075
1.755 40.125
1.770 40.175
1.785 40.225

==========

In New Zealand they use the following:

Channel Base Unit Transmit (MHz) Portable Unit Transmit (MHz)

CT1-1 30.075 39.775
CT1-2 30.100 39.800
CT1-3 30.125 39.825
CT1-4 30.150 39.850
CT1-5 30.175 39.875
CT1-6 30.200 39.900
CT1-7 30.225 39.925
CT1-8 30.250 39.950
CT1-9 30.275 39.975
CT1-10 30.300 40.000
CT1-11A 30.325 or 1.725 40.025
CT1-12 30.350 40.050
CT1-13B 30.375 or 1.740 40.075
CT1-14 30.400 40.100
CT1-15C 30.425 or 1.755 40.125
CT1-16 30.450 40.150
CT1-17D 30.475 or 1.770 40.175
CT1-18 30.500 40.200
CT1-19E 30.525 or 1.785 40.225
CT1-20 30.550 40.250
CT1-21 30.575 40.275
CT1-22 30.600 40.300 or 72.800
CT1-23 30.625 40.325 or 72.825
CT1-24 30.650 40.350 or 72.850
CT1-25 30.675 40.375 or 72.875
CT1-26 30.700 40.400 or 72.900
CT1-27 30.725 40.425 or 72.925
CT1-28 30.750 40.450 or 72.950
CT1-29 30.775 40.475 or 72.975

The 800 Mhz cordless phones in New Zealand operate on:

864.15 865.15 866.15 867.15
864.25 865.25 866.25 867.25
864.35 865.35 866.35 867.35
864.45 865.45 866.45 867.45
864.55 865.55 866.55 867.55
864.65 865.65 866.65 867.65
864.75 865.75 866.75 867.75
864.85 865.85 866.85 867.85
864.95 865.95 866.95 867.95
865.05 866.05 867.05 868.05

==========

1021. Re: "Digital" Scanners Legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Seymour Dupa <grumpy@shell.en.com>
Message-ID: <JVLI2.1645$u47.48791367@news.en.com>
Source record: 131997

If you don't want me listning to your signal,
keep your signal off of my property!

Greg <greg@nospam.org> wrote:
> From what I see in this excerpt from an FCC document, I wonder if digital
> scanners would even be legal? I really hope I am just not interpreting this
> incorrectly.

1022. did posting make it to news group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard Rudy <hrudy@lgcy.com>
Message-ID: <36978A53.71D680D9@lgcy.com>
Source record: 131998

Hi;
I would like to know if my posts are makeing it to the news group.
I come back to my post the next day and it has expired.
Could someone on the this news group post a reply just to
the news group if you read this. Thank you.
Howard

1023. MINNESOTA MDT FREQUENCIES NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: emergscan@aol.comMNScan
Message-ID: <19990109120733.21438.00010007@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 131999

Hello all, I need ANY mdt frequencies for the State of Minnesota.

Please send them to me @
EmergScan@aol.com

Thanks

1024. Re: BC100xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOT <nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7782ascmr1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 132000

sure just open the bottom and take a look at your battery pack then go down to wal-mart by 2 set of 3 cell battery for a cordless phone then solder them together and put them in
http://www.inetone.net/Fsmith/

Homer wrote in message <36965B21.469@inlink.com>...
>Hi, I have a BC100XL that seems to work OK except that it drains the
>nicads as if it had been left on overnight. (No it hadn't). New
>batteries. Any ideas anyone? Thanks, Howard.
>

1025. Re: Bearcat Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990109120948.21258.00008070@ng109.aol.com>
Source record: 132001

I would go for the handheld scanner myself...
1990 ZX10
J.McGrath Communications all your 10/11meter needs
www.usaplaza.com/JMAC/index.htm
To Email Remove NOSPAM

1026. Re: Building Digital Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dad#1 <deadeye@javanet.com>
Message-ID: <369792EE.2505CF68@javanet.com>
Source record: 132002

Did anyone respond? I'm wondering about that too.

Jim wrote:
>
> Just a quick question about those that tap their own discriminator and
> built the digital interface: Did you put the capacitor in series off the
> dicriminator like Bill Cheek recommends? If so did you still put the
> capacitor on the input of the digital interface? My question is that these
> seem redundent - I can see the need for a decoupling capacitor (would that
> be the right term?) but it seems adding two in series might cause problems
> especially since the range for that capacitor is anywhere from 0.1 to 10uF
> depending upon which diagram your looking at.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Jim

1027. aor 8200 - what software & cable set up to get

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: ibm <maclean02@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <778421mps1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 132003

I just got a 8200 and IM learning the ropes of scanning with it .
I was wondering what software is the best to use when using computer
control.
IM not an expert at scanning but I feel I know enough about the basic
operations
of the unit alone that I would enjoy the interface. so any suggestions on
this would be
appreciated.
-Ian

1028. Re: freware ICR2 clone software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <778589$58t$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 132004

Hartmut -

You are reporting a different problem.

That is the message you will get [even back in 1998] if you used the version of mscomm.vbx dated 5/12/93 [internal MS version 2.1.0.1].

That is not the version of the vbx that Goran made available on his web site. The version he provided is dated 4/28/93 [internal MS version 2.0.9000.7].

The 4/28 version worked in 1998 but not in 1999.

I find it surprising that the checking of both clock time, datestamp of "win.ini" and datestamp of "system.ini" specifically for '1999' would be part of an MS visual basic comm access routine.

Clay

Hartmut Jessen wrote in message ...
>
>It seems that the file mscomm.vbx is the "problem".
>
>The program creates a error message that this file is
>out of date when you try to run it without some dll�s.
>
>I don�t think that Goran has protected his program in
>this way, but he�s the only one to correct this.
>
>We have to wait until he comes back.
>
>regards.....Hartmut
>
>

1029. Re: Calgary frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospampls@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <778bij$qi6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132005
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I dont have the frqs for Calgary , however look in the 800 MHz range , I know they have a new Trunk system , using LTR.

Maybe 100 % encrypted as well...I know as of last week..they added in 5 more channels to the system.

Your local Radio Shack , should give you frqs!...they do in Ontatio

In article <36971a38.33039699@news.3web.net>,
dr_NOSPAMdrood@geocities.com (Egon Fruitbat) wrote:
> Just got a scanner, and while i`ve found quite a lot of freqs.,
> Calgary Police and Calgary Airport ATC are still MIA.
>
> Can anyone help me out? I have a set of frequencies for the police,
> but it appears to be wrong.
>
> Thanks
>
> --
> Something catchy should go here
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1030. FS: Icom R-100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: BDS <skynite@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eDMhCs$O#GA.257@upnetnews03>
Source record: 132006

Complete with original box and all accessories (do not have wire HF antenna or extendible antenna), radio is in very good condition 8.5 or 9. works great complete coverage no blocks. asking $425
Thanks
Bruce

1031. Re: "Digital" Scanners Legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospampls@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <778br5$qt7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132007

In article <JVLl2.1645$u47.48791367@news.en.com>,
Seymour Dupa <grumpy@shell.en.com> wrote:
> If you don't want me listning to your signal,
> keep your signal off of my property!

I'd take a shot at the above...too easy tho...in the text below...

Well if your an American...living in the USA...YES...your SOL..while the rest of the world will get test / beta units...or even add ons which will decode everything...the usa , sadly will not.

Best advice , get to know a Canadian...they can get 800 MHz scanners now and full digitals (once avail) ... ;) < Just a hint >

> Greg <greg@nospam.org> wrote:
> > From what I see in this excerpt from an FCC document, I wonder if digital
> > scanners would even be legal? I really hope I am just not interpreting this
> > incorrectly.
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1032. Re: Building Digital Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom McCuen <tjmc@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3697A779.3110F7FD@erols.com>
Source record: 132008

> Just a quick question about those that tap their own discriminator and
> > built the digital interface: Did you put the capacitor in series off the
> > dicriminator like Bill Cheek recommends? If so did you still put the
> > capacitor on the input of the digital interface? My question is that these
> > seem redundent - I can see the need for a decoupling capacitor (would that
> > be the right term?) but it seems adding two in series might cause problems
> > especially since the range for that capacitor is anywhere from 0.1 to 10uF
> > depending upon which diagram your looking at.

The cap assures that no DC voltage exists form the tap....

Caps in series are like resistors in parallel;
total value =
1

1/c1 + 1/c2

so the value will always be less than the smallest cap used.

Anyway, to answer yr question... use just one! It's usu easier to put
the cap in the device being built
than stuffing the cap in some radios.

1033. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3697AE55.4A6C@usa.net>
Source record: 132009
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pursuit10851 wrote:
>
> On Sat, 09 Jan 1999 06:20:53 GMT, kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote:
>
> >Hey check this thing out:
> >http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
> >Anyone have one?
> >
> >
> >http://broward.home.ml.org
>
> "404 Not Found" on the main frame of the Fair-radio website. That
> about sums up the availability of digital scanners, if that's what
> this is supposed to be about.

It was there when I went...he is right, one bad ass piece of equipment

--
http://www.webdeals.net
Made for small businesses in the USA.
Advertise your business on the web for 33 cents a day.

1034. cordless sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: me <rgold@pb.net>
Message-ID: <778a8m$6j6$1@ns2.pb.net>
Source record: 132010

can someon please give me the 900 megz for longisland ny and any other tricks I should know. thanx

1035. Re: Aviation Freq. needed for Roch,NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: airgoob@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109142526.05094.00009994@ng94.aol.com>
Source record: 132011

MONROE CO CFR TO TOWER 118.8000
MONROE CO TOWER 118.3000
AIRPORT 130.5000
129.3000
130.4250
129.2000
131.7250
129.3250
130.0000
130.0500

HOPE THESE WORK FOR YOU

1036. Re: Calgary frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Bearchief <abearchi@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <778agf$5c18@ds2.acs.ucalgary.ca>
Source record: 132012
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

From what I understand CPS has gone digital, so your scanner won't be able
to decode those transmissions. Air traffic freq's should be available in
the Frequency Manual.

On Sat, 9 Jan 1999, Egon Fruitbat wrote:

> Just got a scanner, and while i`ve found quite a lot of freqs.,
> Calgary Police and Calgary Airport ATC are still MIA.
>
> Can anyone help me out? I have a set of frequencies for the police,
> but it appears to be wrong.
>
> Thanks
>
>
> --
> Something catchy should go here
>
>

1037. Re: Bearcat Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison <ka8tok@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <3697B351.9D751406@four-way.net>
Source record: 132013

I have the BCT-7 and love it.. already pre-programmed with all 50 states and allows for 100 other channels to be programmed.. it comes with mobile and base hookups for antenna and power.. runs off of 13.8v

Jw wrote:

> Looking at purchasing either the BC-7 or BC-12 mobile scanner for the car.
> Both come with presets and can alert you to highway patrol antenna
> boosters.
>
> Any comments good or bad would be appreciated. Are these legal in all
> states? Specifically, TX?
>
> Thanks

1038. pro 91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: darocck@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109155318.25229.00010083@ng32.aol.com>
Source record: 132014

What is the "delay 4" feature everyone keeps talking about. Also i want everyone with a pro 91 to try these two things. They will not erase your channels. hold 2,9, and 4 and turn on the scanner. Also hold 2,9, and delay and turn on the scanner. can someone tell me what these do?

1039. NEED... for Regency M100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: palladinme@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109155526.02633.00007568@ng151.aol.com>
Source record: 132015

I am looking for a Service Manual / Schematic for my Regency M100..
I would be happy to pay for copy..and Postage.
Thanx,
fred

1040. NEED...for Bearcat 210 XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: palladinme@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109155854.02633.00007574@ng151.aol.com>
Source record: 132016

I need a Service Manual and Schematic for a Bearcat 210 XL..
I would be happy to pay for copy and Postage expenses..
Thanx,
Fred

1041. help with pro 90 scanner and local frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfld@usa.net
Message-ID: <778lij$2su$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132017

I just bought a radio shack pro 90 scanner and I'm having trouble hearing anything on it all. Does anyone know any frequencies for chester county? I live near the king of prussia mall. I think my local police would be either berwyn or tredifferyn township. Anybody have frequencies for police, fire, ems, anything else interesting ? I searched on the web but what i found seems to be terribly outdated (like 1995).

I'm also having a couple of problems with the scanner:

I can't seem to get it to scan right, It won't continually scan it stops on channels full of static and does not continue (I have adjusted the squelch properly), i have to hit scan again. i was under the impression that it should only do that for 2 seconds and then continue. It does this regardless of if i have the delay feature turned on. It does the same thing while searching through a range of frequencies and while just scanning the police band, etc. The other problem i'm having is i can't get it to go to trunk mode. When i hit the trunk button to switch to trunk the screen just starts blinking and it doesn't stop until i go back to regular scan mode. I can't get it to do trunks at all. Thanks.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1042. digital scanners? Why are they illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfld@usa.net
Message-ID: <778ltq$3bb$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132018

Why are they illegal in the us? When will they be available to the rest of the world?

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1043. Busted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrongurl@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <778n69$4cd$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132019

Up in the frozen North We hear about the U.S. Communications laws etc,etc.Has anyone been charged for violating the E.C.P.A act, And if so where can I find the details of any court action.It seems whenever some inane act is passed eventually the Canadian Government follows suit.

Jerry

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1044. F.S. Sony 2010

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4vj <k4vj@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <778h7l$79m$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 132020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a Sony 2010 for sale. It is an easy 9 out of 10, Has one tiny ding in the lower left corner. (1/16 mark)
Works perfectly and comes with, AC power adapter, external antenna, owners manual and, wave handbook. I do not have the box.
$250 shipped in conus
If you have any questions please feel free to contact me at k4vj@mpinet.net or 352-394-1122
Thanks, Mike

1045. FS: Icom IC-R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: BDS <skynite@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eq#RyaBP#GA.308@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 132021

Complete with all original accessories and box, complete coverage no blocks,
unit has 2 nicks on front cover otherwise unit is in excellent condition.
Asking $300.
Thanks
Bruce

1046. Re: scanner antenna info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <XiQl2.802$Er.407@news.flash.net>
Source record: 132022

In article <8304-36977CC7-7@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>, benrobb@webtv.net says...
>
>the best scanner antenna 29-13000mhz
>
> 73s Benny, Robby, Linda
> NORTH WEST GEORGIA
> NIGHT OWL
I love my Radio Shack Discone. Its great over the entire range of freqs and is very omni directional.. My major listening is done in the Air Band. I can pickup the tower of an airport over 25 miles away without problems. I've tried short dipoles and could not get the same results.
George
PS: Shameless plug for my company below!
--
==========
UFO Memorabilia Area 51 Memorabilia UFO Drivers License
NEW!! Need a Valentines Gift?? Cupdis Drivers License
Get Your Novelty Needs Filled HERE!!!
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/infosource
==========

1047. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3697D46D.1DD9@usa.net>
Source record: 132023

Mike Derryberry wrote:

> Phil .... You were a better man even before you swore off Mackey. You
> know what a scanner is and how to use one. I still don't think he would
> know a scanner if it jumped up and bit him in the backside.

Better than what, a drunken bum?

I am sure that if we sat down for a few moments I could not only tell
you what a scanner is, but give you a good tutorial on how they work
along with more quality receivers.

Since I hold both the highest of amateur and commercial FCC licenses,
and you & your hero don't hold ANY type of FCC technical license, your
statement above makes you look like a fool AGAIN.

1048. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3697D73E.29F1@usa.net>
Source record: 132024

MAlexder wrote:
> Let's hope that you do the same Mackey, although based on your past behavior, I
> sincerely doubt it.

And based on YOUR PAST behavior, we can be assured that you will
continue to be a problem.

1049. Re: Building Digital Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrongurl@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <w3RI2.59$VD2.385837@NewsRead.Toronto.iSTAR.net>
Source record: 132025

In article <3697A779.3110F7FD@erols.com>, tjmc@erols.com says...
>
>> Just a quick question about those that tap their own discriminator
and
>> > built the digital interface: Did you put the capacitor in series
off the
>> > dicriminator like Bill Cheek recommends? If so did you still put
the
>> > capacitor on the input of the digital interface? My question is
that these
>> > seem redundent - I can see the need for a decoupling capacitor
(would that
>> > be the right term?) but it seems adding two in series might cause
problems
>> > especially since the range for that capacitor is anywhere from 0.1
to 10uF
>> > depending upon which diagram your looking at.
>
>The cap assures that no DC voltage exists form the tap....
>
>Caps in series are like resistors in parallel;
>total value =
> 1
> ---------
> 1/c1 + 1/c2
>
>so the value will always be less than the smallest cap used.
>
>Anyway, to answer yr question... use just one! It's usu easier to put
>the cap in the device being built
>than stuffing the cap in some radios.

.....I would strongly recommend putting the cap inside the radio
1)It cuts down on the nasty R.F. exiting the radio.
2)If you should accidently short the cable or worse fire a voltage back
through the cable it offers some degree of protection for the I.F. Amp.

Jerry

1050. Alinco DJ-X10 - Comments from owners??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: screwhead@rocketmail.co
Message-ID: <NESI2.247$Ep.2162723@speed.city-net.com>
Source record: 132026

I'm in need of comments/opinions about the Alinco DJ-X10 compared to the Icom R-10...not necessarily a feature comparison,but a "real world" performance comparison. After gathering a lot of info from the web,I'm leaning more twards the Alinco because it appears to have better HF sensitivity than the Icom, but it lacks the selectivity...any comments??

Also, I've read Alinco has made a number production "tweaks" to the DJ-X10 since it's introduction to improve it's performance,is there anyway to tell which cira model I'm purchasing??

Any help would be appreciated. I'm a former owner of the "original" Icom R1 and don't want to dish out $400.00+ for another signal overloaded piece of plastic that fits in my hand.

Thanks, Eric

Take the brackets off the "m" in the reply-to address
if you want to e-mail me direct.

1051. Re: digital scanners? Why are they illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990109181322.01056.00008557@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 132027

they are not illegal, they just have blocks on certain bands. These are the bands that pick up cell phones and they will not for a long, long time be legal in the US. Get a full range impoted
-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

1052. Re: digital scanners? Why are they illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Graves <alankg@siscom.net>
Message-ID: <5tRl2.60$Dn.6154@news.siscom.net>
Source record: 132028

Becuase the hardened criminals, also known as congressmen, accepted favors from the special interest lobbiests and in repayment of those favors voted into law the communications privacy laws.

<rfld@usa.net> wrote in message news:778ltq$3bb$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
>Why are they illegal in the us? When will they be available to the rest of
>the world?
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1053. Re: help with pro 90 scanner and local frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990109181752.01056.00008562@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 132029

This scanner is by no means broken...you just have to learn how to read the directions. The channels of static are called birdies (usually). To get rid of them push the L/O button. It will never scan those freqs untill you push it again on that channel. If you want freqs, go back to Radio Shack and pick up your areas version of Police Call. It will have your state and all surrounding. To put in trunking freqs, (not the same AT ALL as regular) follow the directions in the book. You have to assign them a certain bank that has only trunking feqs in it, no normall one. Then when you press turnk, you would press the bank number the trunked freqs are in. Please read manuals before you post. (p.s. you need to follow the way in the manual when putting in trunked freqs or they will not work)
-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

1054. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109180923.00859.00010864@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 132030

>From: John - KAOSSF

>And based on YOUR PAST behavior, we can be assured that you will
>continue to be a problem.

Well, if you mean my regularly pointing out the many distasteful activities that you engage in such as impesonations, forgeries, lies, rogue cancellations, computer trespassing, and so on, yeah, I guess you will find me to be a problem.

1055. sportcat 150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2NFI528 <mugzy@snip.net>
Message-ID: <778pfgqkd1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 132031

I just recieved a uniden sportcat 150 for christmas and are looking for a mod to activate the cell phone freq...Please help...Also have a pro-34 that i did mods on it works great.....Thanx & 73's

1056. Re: Discriminator audio has hiss.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3698ec72.3683722@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 132032

bhorvath@mindspring.com (Bob Horvath) wrote:

Thanks to all who replied......
Bob
>My discriminator audio output has a very noticeable hiss when I
>monitor the audio. I used a 2.2 tantalum in the RS 2006. Any
>suggestions?
>Bob

1057. help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2NFI528 <mugzy@snip.net>
Message-ID: <778qm3$3qg$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 132033

need mod for a sportcat 150 for cell phones

1058. IC-Q7A diode mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <3697F242.9954A963@bv.net>
Source record: 132034
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Does anyone know which diodes(of the 7) to remove for full UHF TX. I realize cellular is unlockable, but need the UHF.

1059. RadioShack Pro-2014, any way to get blocked frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHWProductions@juno.com
Message-ID: <MjSl2.2343$h5.30394916@WReNphoon1>
Source record: 132035

If anyone knows how email me. Also, if anyone knows some good frequencies
for
the MetroAtlanta area please email them.
SiL0

*** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ***

1060. help with frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfld@usa.net
Message-ID: <77681t$4co$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132180

Can anyone help me out with finding frequencies in the philadelphia area? Police, fire, etc? I think the police department closest (all of them in chester county) use trunked systems. I just got a radio shack pro 90 and i'm having trouble finding anything at all to listen to!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1061. scanner chat?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfld@usa.net
Message-ID: <77695i$5cb$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132181

Is there any scanner chat anywhere or scanner irc channels? I just got this radioshack pro 90 and i can't do anything with it. I think it may be broken but perhaps i'm doing something wrong. Anyway i'll never ge anywhere with this thing till i can talk to someone that knows a thing or two about this!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1062. Re: TV Card as Scanner??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: notme@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <77699snvm2@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 132182

In article <19990107200101.22203.00008585@ng140.aol.com>, mervins@aol.com (MervinS) wrote:
>I now have three different TV cards in my computers, two of which also handle
>cable channels. Since TV tuners can be used to make excellent VHF/UHF utility
>receivers, the question came to mind as to whether anyone has converted a TV
>card to a utility receiver. Does anyone have ideas as to how this might be
>accomplished via software?? Scotty

TV audio is FM with a 200khz modulation swing. this is fixed in the IF tuning section and since the card is dedicated to TV/FM reception it probably is fixed in the physical circuits. Yes TV tuners do cover a large VHF/UHF band but they do not do the actual demodulation of the signal, While the tuner is a separate module the IF Amplifier is also a separate module and this is where you must do the modification. Software will not do it for you unless the components (resistors, coils, capacitors, diodes, varicaps, etc) are physically installed and have the capability to be switched or tuned by the software. In your case you need to switch the 200khz IF bandwidth to a much narrower 25khz and in the future down to 12.5khz.....you need to decide whether to experiment on your existing cards or purchase a PCR-1000 or a WinRadio card......good luck....

Fred

1063. Re: help with frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990108194836.20411.00009348@ng13.aol.com>
Source record: 132183

>From: rfld@usa.net

>Can anyone help me out with finding frequencies in the philadelphia area?
>Police, fire, etc? I think the police department closest (all of them in
>chester county) use trunked systems. I just got a radio shack pro 90 and i'm
>having trouble finding anything at all to listen to!

Did you try www.trunktracker.com ? Also,
wasn't there a national frequency guide in your pro-90 box ?

Actually, you are not alone. This newsgroup
has so many people asking for trunk tracker
frequencies in their areas, I now understand
why UNIDEN will market the BC245XLT
with the built-in modem that will download your local frequencies into the
scanner. It
was a good move by the UNIDEN marketting
department. But, it will be interesting how
the BC245XLT interfaces with the user? Do
you think it will have a Graphic User Interface where the user can choose
options
in its frequency display window ???

talkyal

1064. cordless phone frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfld@usa.net
Message-ID: <776gmh$bq3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 132184

Anyone know anything about htis? I found a couple web sites with 25 channels listed for base and handset but are tehy all the same for every 25 channel cordless phone manufacturer? Isn't there atlesat a few different sets or are they literally ALL the same? What about 10 channel cordless phones? Do they just use 10 of the given frequencies for 25 channel cordless phones? Anybody know anything about 900 MHz cordless phones?

Here's what i got
Channel
Base
Handset
1
43.720
48.760
2
43.740
48.840
3
43.820
48.860
4
43.840
48.920
5
43.920
49.020
6
43.960
49.080
7
44.120
49.100
8
44.160
49.160
9
44.180
49.200
10
44.200
49.240
11
44.320
49.280

12
44.360
49.360
13
44.400
49.400
14
44.460
49.460
15
44.480
49.500
16
46.610
49.670
17
46.630
49.845
18
46.670
49.860
19
46.710
49.770
20
46.730
49.875
21
46.770
49.830
22
46.830
49.890
23
46.870
49.930
24
46.930
49.990
25
46.970
49.970

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1065. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: notme@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <776a41nvm3@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 132185

In article <19990108073804.01731.00002561@ng42.aol.com>, talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal) wrote:
>>From: Ken Ascher WB8LIT <cei@mediaone.net>
>
>>As today is the opening day of the 1999 Winter Consumer
>>Electronics Show in Las Vegas, you will find information about new
>>Uniden products by visiting our web site at http://www.usascan.com.
>
>Nice to see that the new BC245XLT will
>trunk track Motorola AND GE/Ericsson trunk
>systems. That should be great if I take a road
>trip through various states using both systems.
>I'm not sure if I'll need that modem connection
>function to find frequencies, though. Can
>we trust the database is complete ?
>At your CEI price of $269.95, you once again
>show that you are the price leader, probably
>Radio Shack will have a similar model for
>$500. I'll be stopping in at CEI soon to reserve my BC245XLT.
>
>talkyal

did you notice the USASCAN.COM page has the labels for the BC-895 functions
incorrect?...

1066. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36995D29.44B1@usa.net>
Source record: 131736

allsafe wrote:

> John - KAOSSF wrote:
> > Doesn't it hurt to always make a fool of yourself by being wrong?
>
> Must hurt you all day long Mackey;

Not NEARLY as bad is it would hurt YOU!

1067. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36995DF6.34B0@usa.net>
Source record: 131737

K patterson wrote:
> NO you did not start the thread nor the issue. But you did make the comment,
> more than once that he did violate this "charter" Thats all I was saying...

OK, so I have made comments. What is your point? I've made comments
about
a lot of things!

1068. Uniden Handheld Scanner Wishlist WebPage UP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Waits <swaits@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <369962B6.A9AB37AC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131738

Get your ideas into me ASAP! I'm compiling a wishlist for the next HH TrunkTracker from Uniden -- although, we have NO IDEA if Uniden will even consider the list!

I need your wishes as well as your priorities for the feature list!

Visit http://www.netcom.com/~swaits/bc245xlt.html for the list and more information!

--Steve

1069. Re: Bearcat 200XLT Battery Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <36999888.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 131739

Howard <nospam@vision.org> wrote in message
news:36994fd3.12911206@news.mindspring.com...
>Where can I get a new battery for my Bearcat 200XLT? After 5+ years
>mine is dead.
>

Try Amateur Electronic Supply at 1-800-558-0411. A buddy of mine just bought
a couple of packs, and a mobile adapter for his 200XLT from them.
www.aesham.com

Also Scanner World carries them as well.
www.scannerworld.com

Seems like AES sells the complete packs for 22 bucks a shot.
You can also buy new ni-cads and rebuild your dead pack. You can get those
at Radio Shack. With a little soldering, you'll be back in business in no
time.

1070. Re: icom ic-r2 where to get a good deal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <36999a98.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 131740

<rfld@usa.net> wrote in message news:775qfuntl1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
>Anybody kno where to get a good deal on an icom ic-r2? Best i can do so far
>is $220 at http://www.lentinicomm.com/. Thanks.
>
I check the Ham Station's price, and they are a whopping nickel cheaper.
Sounds like that's a pretty good price.

1071. Re: Radio Question???? Please Help!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <36997182.6BC1@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 131741

If you can get your hands on a 2006 you will then find out what a
GREAT scanner it is......Jerr1919
>
> Hello All,
> I'm kind of (thank God) Blossoming out of the newbie stage and I have a
> couple questions!!!! I have (2) BC200XLT's (1)PRO-43 and (1) BC2500XLT(my
> favorite!!!)Which one is best to have a discriminator output? and it seems
> like a 2006 is the best next investment I could make.....Am I Wrong or is
> the 2006 the one to have???????
> Any Responses Appreciated!!!!!!!!
> Randy Roberts

1072. Re: Antenna Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <369972F0.71A1@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 131742

Just use your little telescoping and you won't here them. OK
>
> I have a BC895xlt and when I put up my rooftop antenna I get
> interference on certain freqs that sound like pager tones. I never here
> them on the little telescoping antenna or any other little antennas.
> This is really anoying, any suggestions????

1073. Re: Antenna Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip <muddskip@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <36997336.EB5C1987@megsinet.net>
Source record: 131743

wow! Thanks for the help smart ass.

jer wrote:

> Just use your little telescoping and you won't here them. OK
> >
> > I have a BC895xlt and when I put up my rooftop antenna I get
> > interference on certain freqs that sound like pager tones. I never here
> > them on the little telescoping antenna or any other little antennas.
> > This is really anoying, any suggestions????

1074. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Paletta <peach@pulsenet.com>
Message-ID: <77buamiqh1@newsreader.jvnc.net>
Source record: 131744
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What a nice scanner, however most people can't afford it. This is the stuff dreams are made of.

Joe

kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote in message
<3698f4f3.2725154@news.mia.bellsouth.net>...
>Hey check this thing out:
>http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
>Anyone have one?
>
>
>http://broward.home.ml.org

1075. Re: Rat Shack Discone scanner antena or HRO scanner antena for +30$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3699864A.AF2C6087@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131745

NO it is not the same the Disconse sold at HRO Has a 6Meter whip on top
of is and is of better contruction in my opinion. The RS One works but
not as
good, unless you don't care about 6m or Low Band monitoring.

Mile-Hi wrote:

> I am going to buy a discone antena but I would like to know if the
> Radio Shack antena is the same antena that HRO sells, It says its
> stainless but I have a cheap RS antena now and it fell apart so the
> discone is $59 at RS and $89 at HRO..
> Thanks
> Tim

1076. scanners f.s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: george <george@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <77c1bo$j3@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 131746
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

selling all scanners going to move and retire this is what I have
bc200xlt
bc8500xlt
bc210
bc760xlt
bc700a
bc2500xlt
bc235xlt
bc230xlt
some will receive the complete cell phone band.....if interested
leave me e-mail
mackbolan@execpc.com

1077. Re: Antenna Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <369988A4.ABB07F3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131747

Your BC-895 has a PL Decode feature. If what you are wanting to listen to transmits a pl, I suggest use it. It works great. I run nothing but PL Decode on
mine and am rarely dissappointed.
Hope this helps

muddskip wrote:

> I have a BC895xlt and when I put up my rooftop antenna I get
> interference on certain freqs that sound like pager tones. I never here
> them on the little telescoping antenna or any other little antennas.
> This is really anoying, any suggestions????

1078. Re: scanner antena?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <369988FD.2A21E566@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131748

Most likely yes.

SHAWN wrote:

> Will grounding my external antena for my scanner make any differance in
> reception?
> eagle@ez-net.com

1079. Re: Ham Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <369986C9.76D4FC5D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131749

They work ok. But if you really want a great on there are ones out there that are much better. E-Mail me if you want further info.

THuynh6969 wrote:

> I visited my local Ham Radio Outlet and the guy there said that I
> could use one of the ham mobile antennas for my mobile scanner, just that I had
> to cut it down to the right length for the band I wanted to listen to. Which
> is 800 Mhz.
> Would this work ? Would using a ham base and 800 Mhz. tuned antenna have the
> same effect as using a CB base and 800 Mhz. ? Pointed out to me from some very
> wise responses last time, that the base of a mobile CB antenna had a coil
> inside that help reduced the needed length of the antenna.
>
> I saw that RS carried some scanner antennas that covered 25 Mhz. to 1300 Mhz.
> or something like that, but I don't know how well they work. I primarily
> listen to 800 Mhz. and am afraid of getting too much interference from all the
> other bands. Also I saw some cell phone antennas. I need a magnet mount,
> since I've tinted windows and I need it to be removable.
> So does anybody have any suggestions ?
>
> Once again, thanks a million !

1080. Re: NEED... for Regency M100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36998965.52E53D78@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131750

Try a place called falcon communications in Downey, California. A guy there named rich has a few of those scanners there. Maybe he can help.

PalladinMe wrote:

> I am looking for a Service Manual / Schematic for my Regency M100..
> I would be happy to pay for copy..and Postage.
> Thanx,
> fred

1081. Re: did posting make it to news group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <369989C8.EEF23888@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131751

Yes they are making it.
Check your preferences, they might be set to only download your current
days messages or just a few messages at a time.

Howard Rudy wrote:

> Hi;
> I would like to know if my posts are makeing it to the news group.
> I come back to my post the next day and it has expired.
> Could someone on the this news group post a reply just to
> the news group if you read this. Thank you.
> Howard

1082. Re: TrunkTracker squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36998A37.88146FC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131752

IT is not important, according to the man. it is completely disabled when
in trunk-tracking mode.

Ed wrote:

> how important is squelch setting during tracking. Have read that initial
> setting should be one direction or another as unit finds data channel.
> Manual is very sketchy in both pro-90 and bc895xlt models

1083. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jbseale@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <36996FCD.B895E5AB@iglou.com>
Source record: 131753

Bill's 2-Way - $199
http://www.bills2way.com

Rob Lang wrote:
>
> Anyone know where to get the best price on the BC895XLT? Best I've seen is
> CEI's $213.

1084. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jbseale@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <36997036.36B3CDBC@iglou.com>
Source record: 131754

But that's a rebate price. Bill's 2-Way @ http://www.bills2way.com has
it for $199 - no rebate implied here.

"Jon S. Van Allen" wrote:
>
> Matt Elmore wrote:
>
> > Rob Lang wrote:
> >
> > > Anyone know where to get the best price on the BC895XLT? Best I've seen is
> > > CEI's $213.
> >
> > $213 is a good price all right. The lowest I've seen is $229 through Grove.
> > Well done!
> >
> > Matt
>
> http://www.usascan.com had them for $189 or so just a couple days ago.
>
> Jon

1085. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd4ykv2@fuse.net
Message-ID: <369ace1d.360840992@nntp.fuse.net>
Source record: 131755

On Mon, 11 Jan 1999 06:09:24 -0500 (EST), rock56@webtv.net (Rocky Sloan) wrote:

>Whats going on when the local police say go privite and the frequency
>sounds like an empty channel with the squelch turned all the way down?

Digital encryption.

>Can this be beat? Thanks for any comments. Rocky

Not likely. Not legally.

Randy True
kd4ykv2@fuse.net
KOI Tri-State Scanner Page
http://home.fuse.net/rwtrue00
Amateur Call KD4YKV

1086. Re: Help! Problem With My 895xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tag <tagger@indy.net>
Message-ID: <77bfirrld1@news.indy.net>
Source record: 131756
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

dont know if your using your PC in conjunction with the 895, but i do with
mine and i've had to reprogram a couple of trunking banks because of it.
as for the ant problem, i dont know what to tell you, i too have used a
Diamond discone and at present a Radio Shack and have had no antenna
problems.
hopefully someone else can help !

tom gray
KB9OCX
Indianapolis, IN.

Chris wrote in message <01be3cdf$aacba940$bbb651d1@hp-customer>...
>Thanks for taking the time to read this. I am currently having two major
>problems with my Trunktracking Bearcat 895xlt. First, I seem to have lost
>the ability to trunktrack perfectly legitimate systems in my "F" and "J"
>banks! When I give it the comand to track, it gives an "ERROR" reading that
>flashes on the display. I have tried everything and I can no longer track
>in those banks.
>
>Secondly, I cannot hear any 800 mhz traffic on my 895, when its hooked up
>to my external antenna (Diamond Discone and 30 feet of 9913 coax). This is
>baffling to me, because is hears perfectly with the supplied indoor
>antenna.
>
>Anyone else experience any or both of these problems??? If so, I would
>appreciate your input.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Chris M.
>n8cop@qsl.net

1087. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990110125042.21601.00009825@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 131786

As for the 10 meters you can pickup some good stuff on FM at
29.600--29.6200--29.6400--29.6800 remember that this is a day time only band..
so if the skip is going good u will hear these repeaters. some are even linked
up too...
1990 ZX10
J.McGrath Communications all your 10/11meter needs
www.usaplaza.com/JMAC/index.htm
To Email Remove NOSPAM

1088. Re: Help help i need Alinco dj-x10 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paulo <nop02208@mail.telepac.pt>
Message-ID: <77apjb$9oc$1@duke.telepac.pt>
Source record: 131787

I have one DJX10, but what do you mean with MODS?

bye

Buffa wrote in message <779t4o$805$1@hermes.iol.it>...
>Does anyone send me any mods for Alinco
>DJ-X 10 ?
>
>Thanks from Mister Buffa.
>
>E-mail me nospammrgif@iol.it
>
>remove nospam for the replay.
>
>
>
>

1089. Scanner Service?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: fisherdoc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110130746.27428.00010405@ng02.aol.com>
Source record: 131788

if anyone servicess canners or knows of someone pls let me know> I have a Radio Shack and a Regency 1500 that need help.

Regards,
AL

1090. Re: Las Vegas Fire Department

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: moverlaw@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <369bed17.2973162@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131789

Clark County/Las Vegas FD has moved to a new Type II Motorola TRS
system.

You'll need a TrunkTracker to listen to them.

Their Talkgroups and Frequencies can be found at

http://www.bearcat1.com/fleetnv.htm

MM

On 7 Jan 1999 19:36:20 GMT, bogroll@worldnet.att.net (Jack) wrote:

>I'm having trouble finding the LV fire department. They don't seem to
>be where I've been listening to them for the past few years. Any help
>is appreciated.
>
>Jack

1091. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: donhark@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77avba$t6o$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131790

I own a Uniden BC80XLT. Do I have to open the squelch to hear these two bands? I live between Austin an San Antonio Texas.

Don

In article <3698BF9D.7B373C19@mc.net>,
kg9il@mc.net wrote:
> Don,
>
> You did not mention what kind of scanner you have so I do not know what
> modes it receives.
>
> On 10 meters, listen for CW around 28.0 and 28.1. Listen for SSB between
> 28.3 and 28.6. AM will be around 29.0 and FM sigs can be heard above 29.6
> This band is very sensitive to the solar cycle, but is currently coming
> back strong.
>
> 6 meters....listen around 50.1-50.2 for SSB 50.4 for AM and between 51.5
> and 54 for FM sigs. there should be some FM repeaters in your area....hope
> this helps
>
> 73 es gud listening de Kevin KG9IL
>
> donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> > My scanner can pickup 10 meters and 6 meters. Has anyone ever
> > herd anything on these bands?
> >
> > ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1092. Re: Advice Needed About the Austin Condor 12" Antenna for the BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gypsy <echanis@msn.com>
Message-ID: <#kQKnUMP#GA.286@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 131791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try the Radio Shack telescoping antenna. I too have the BC235XLT and the Radio Shack antenna is a huge improvement over the stock rubber duckie antenna. Besides, the Radio Shack antenna is priced at under $10.

1093. Broaadcast radio Equipmant needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: mannoeloi@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110133340.01018.00008954@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 131792

I need a list of all equpment needed for a small amateur radio station, Please send list of prices and options

manno

1094. Scanning Web Site & Live Scanner Feeds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny Hamilton <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <nr6m2.2671$cR3.2159@news.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 131793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Check out Southern California 911 (http://www.socal911.com) for frequencies, radio system information, and live scanner feeds covering 10 counties. The latest and most accruate information for Southern California anywhere!

1095. Looking for software...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: alanmcferrin@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77b1ob$v85$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131794

I'm looking for software that decodes Flex pages and also decodes Motorola/EDACS control channel through the soundcard w/discriminator tap.

Anyone know where to find either of these??

Thx

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1096. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tartan54@hotspam.com
Message-ID: <3698f74a.2139671@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 131795
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 08 Jan 1999 15:32:49 GMT, amidst the hideous cacophonous blare
of the usenet, the voice of Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> rang out loud
and clear :

>Radio Shack invented radio.

Yeah, and Radio Snack invented the world's first edible radio.

Dave

"Whenever I hear the word 'culture', I reach for
my revolver." - Herman Goering.

Spambots: junkemail@atg.wa.gov

1097. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lundgren <NOlundgrejSPAM@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us>
Message-ID: <3698F82A.3C51@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us>
Source record: 131796
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

Arfur Minit wrote:

> On Fri, 08 Jan 1999 15:32:49 GMT, amidst the hideous cacophonous blare
> of the usenet, the voice of Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> rang out loud
> and clear :

> >Radio Shack invented radio.

> Yeah, and Radio Snack invented the world's first edible radio.

> Dave

And they invented answers too. "You've got questions - we've got
answers."
Too bad their answers are clueless or wrong.

--
Please remove NO and SPAM from my email addr to reply.
--
@@@@@@@@@@T@h@e@@I@n@t@e@r@n@e@t@@w@a@s@@c@o@o@l@@u@n@t@i@l@@@@@@@@@
@ John Lundgren Elec. Tech, Info. Tech. Svcs.| lundgrej <AT> mail @
@ Rancho Santiago Community College District | .rsccd.org @
@ 17th St. at Bristol \ Santa Ana, CA 92706 | www.rsccd.org @
@ My opinions are mine, NOT my employer's. |PGP key avail on req@
@ "Everything is InterTwingled" - Ted Nelson in ComputerLib @
@@@@@@@@@@e@v@e@r@y@o@n@e@@f@o@u@n@d@@o@u@t@@a@b@o@u@t@@i@t@@@@@@@@@@
I am a California Resident and ALL California Junk Email laws apply.
You must meet _all_ Calif Anti-SPAM laws. See www.leginfo.ca.gov
and search for 17538.4 in the business and professions code.

1098. Help! Two Data Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: wxman1999@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77b420$154$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131797

I'm new to trunktracking and one of the trunked systems in my area has two data channels. One for police/fire/ems and the other for public works. They share the same frequencies however. Is there a way to lock out a data channel in the trunk bank so that I can listen to one or the other? I'm using a RS-Pro- 2050.

Thanks,
Len
lennyd4@hotmail.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1099. Help! Two Data Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: wxman1999@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77b421$155$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131798

I'm new to trunktracking and one of the trunked systems in my area has two data channels. One for police/fire/ems and the other for public works. They share the same frequencies however. Is there a way to lock out a data channel in the trunk bank so that I can listen to one or the other? I'm using a RS-Pro- 2050.

Thanks,
Len
lennyd4@hotmail.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1100. FS: Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: alanmcferrin@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77b453$186$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131799

I have the following for sale:

1 Bearcat BC200xlt - Needs a new battery pack (this one's taped onto the scanner but is otherwise OK) and it needs a new display microprocessor (caused by a drop of solder from an experiment...). Otherwise it works fine.

1 Bearcat BC2500xlt - Needs a new battery pack (or buy new cells for it, I've tried and it's a pain) and it needs a replacement component on the PCb inside (tuning cap?? I can open it up if you wish). Right now the sound is extremely loud in the 88-108 band but extremely quiet in the other bands (related to that component). It's almost continuous in coverage from 28Mhz-1300Mhz.

1 Radio Shack PRO-2046 - In excellent condition. It does the normal 12 bands, has 100 channels, plus service band search (4 bands PS, DOT, Hwy Patrol, Local Govt). Nothing wrong with it!

Make an offer! My OLD LADY (she'll never read this!) is making me part with them as I have no space in her bedroom...and it will knock my scanner inventory down to 2 (until the BC245.......)

Reply to ALANMCFERRIN@WORLDNET.ATT.NET

Alan

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1101. Re: NYC Frequencies Needed: Help Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Malachai <malachai@ntplx.net>
Message-ID: <369901A2.768770DC@ntplx.net>
Source record: 131800
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Randal wrote:

> I live in NYC, am new to scanning and just bought the BC235XLT. I've
> programmed the Police, Fire/EMS, and Trunking frequencies from the
> booklets came with the scanner.
>
> I've been having a great time, but would like to know if anyone has
> additional frequencies (conventional and trunking) that to share.
>
> I'd also appreciate any good scanning tips for the NYC area.
>

just check out www.perconcorp.com, they have a database that has what
your looking for

1102. Scanner comparison

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry Unger <teunger@iaw.on.ca>
Message-ID: <77avgc$6r8$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 131801

I am on the verge of buying a new scanner and I need some help deciding on which I should get.
Is there a big difference in performance between the AR8200 and the DJ-X10, and which is the superior one? (especialy in the HF/shortwave reception range)

1103. Utah - Wasatch Front area freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3699044F.A76B425E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a good list of HF/VHF/UHF freqs in the Wasatch Front area, which
I recently updated, including Hill AFB. If interested, please email me.

Happy scanning,

Jon in West Jordan, UT

1104. FS: Mint Regency ACT-R-106

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36990662.67F447ED@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131803
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a totally mint Regency ACT-R-106 10 channel crystal mobile scanner for sale. It's been out of the box once, I set the band jumpers and put some crystals in it to make sure it works okay, then it went back in the box. Total time less than one hour.

You've heard the term "mint" used loosely, well this one is truly mint, as in - hasn't been used. You might as well put it to use, it's just sitting
here looking like a collector's item.

How about $40 and I ship? Any reasonable offer accepted.

Thanks,
Jon

1105. FS: WiNRADiO WR-1500e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas R. Sundstrom" <trsundstrom@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <77b1h4$641@world1.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 131804

I'm just not into scanning. New WR-1500e external with Digital Suite
software, no 800 block. $650 obo + s/h.
Tom Sundstrom
trs@trsc.com
www.trsc.com

1106. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: brian74@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3699048E.D2F07BC4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131805

i bought mine for 194.00 In ohio it was a before xmas sale at Hobby radio stop in kettering ohio.

Rob Lang wrote:

> Anyone know where to get the best price on the BC895XLT? Best I've seen is
> CEI's $213.

1107. COMPRO Scanner portatil

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: mlopezlarra@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77b7ht$45j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131806
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is for the spanish audience:

Compro scanner port&#65533;til.

Enviar oferta, modelo y descripci&#65533;n por e-mail a:

mlopezlarra@my-dejanews.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1108. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Thomas <kg9il@mc.net>
Message-ID: <369909DC.91D145CB@mc.net>
Source record: 131807

Don,

Try the following freqs in your area. Set the squelch so that it just cuts off the noise.

53.670
53.030
53.130
52.525

Scan between 29.6 and 29.7 in the FM mode...when 10M is open, you should hear stuff from all over the world....I worked Algeria yesterday on 10M ssb.....FM a little more difficult to do, but possible.....try these freqs and see what happens

Kevin KG9IL

donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> I own a Uniden BC80XLT. Do I have to open the squelch to hear these two
> bands? I live between Austin an San Antonio Texas.
>
> Don
>
> In article <3698BF9D.7B373C19@mc.net>,
> kg9il@mc.net wrote:
> > Don,
> >
> > You did not mention what kind of scanner you have so I do not know what
> > modes it receives.
> >
> > On 10 meters, listen for CW around 28.0 and 28.1. Listen for SSB between
> > 28.3 and 28.6. AM will be around 29.0 and FM sigs can be heard above 29.6
> > This band is very sensitive to the solar cycle, but is currently coming
> > back strong.
> >
> > 6 meters....listen around 50.1-50.2 for SSB 50.4 for AM and between 51.5
> > and 54 for FM sigs. there should be some FM repeaters in your area....hope
> > this helps
> >
> > 73 es gud listening de Kevin KG9IL
> >
> > donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> >
> > > My scanner can pickup 10 meters and 6 meters. Has anyone ever
> > > herd anything on these bands?

> > >
> > > -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> > > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
> >
> >
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1109. any mods for radioshack Pro-2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Arsenic <arseny@microdsi.net>
Message-ID: <36990BBB.4B59A29B@microdsi.net>
Source record: 131808

Does anyone have any mods for pro 2050 from Radioshack? like to unlock cell frequencies?

1110. Darien Lake Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: luc lecuyer <lucll@netcom.ca>
Message-ID: <36991068.82CB6F9B@netcom.ca>
Source record: 131809

Good afternoon

I will be going to Darien Lake for holiday`s. I`m looking for
frequencies at the park and State patrol and sheriff for that part of
New York State.

Thank you

lucll@netcom.ca

1111. WTB:Digital scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Olive <olive@2.sbbs.se>
Message-ID: <36991522.D9443A01@2.sbbs.se>
Source record: 131810

I would like to buy a digital scanner or a decoder to connect
to an analog scanner,that convert digital transmissions from
cellular phones and similar digital transmissions to analog
voice audio.Or maybee a computer software that can handle
the decoding.Or maybee someone know where I can buy
such equipment.

1112. Help! Problem With My 895xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <01be3cdf$aacba940$bbb651d1@hp-customer>
Source record: 131811
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for taking the time to read this. I am currently having two major problems with my Trunktracking Bearcat 895xlt. First, I seem to have lost the ability to trunktrack perfectly legitimate systems in my "F" and "J" banks! When I give it the comand to track, it gives an "ERROR" reading that flashes on the display. I have tried everything and I can no longer track in those banks.

Secondly, I cannot hear any 800 mhz traffic on my 895, when its hooked up to my external antenna (Diamond Discone and 30 feet of 9913 coax). This is baffling to me, because is hears perfectly with the supplied indoor antenna.

Anyone else experience any or both of these problems??? If so, I would appreciate your input.

Thanks,

Chris M.
n8cop@qsl.net

1113. Re: WTB:Digital scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjrems@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990110162203.24536.00011100@ng142.aol.com>
Source record: 131812

>Subject: WTB:Digital scanner
>From: Olive <olive@2.sbbs.se>
>Date: 1/10/99 9:01 PM !!!First Boot!!!
>Message-id: <36991522.D9443A01@2.sbbs.se>
>
>I would like to buy a digital scanner or a decoder to connect
>to an analog scanner,that convert digital transmissions from
>cellular phones and similar digital transmissions to analog
>voice audio.Or maybee a computer software that can handle
>the decoding.Or maybee someone know where I can buy
>such equipment.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HOHOOHOHOOHOHOHOH
HEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEH

Thats a good one

There aren't any.

RJREMS

1114. Re: frequencies for pa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcardani@erols.com
Message-ID: <3699122a.452013@news.erols.com>
Source record: 131813

On Sun, 10 Jan 1999 18:28:28 GMT, rfld@usa.net wrote:

>Anybody have the correct frequency for the nether providence police department
>(that's in delaware county pa)?
>
>This page http://www.li.net/~j4dice/scanli.html has tons of frequencies for
>almost everything in all the north eastern states but the frequencies they
>give for areas local to me seem to be inaccurate. I live two doors down from
>the nether providence police department and i can't hear a thing on the
>frequency they give for it. Thanks.
>
Hi,

Check out my SE PA Scanner page at http://www.erols.com/sepascan.htm

Joe

1115. (?) Is Bill Cheeks Back?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4yqz-nospam@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36991a2a.1240251@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131814

Thanks for the reply, but Bill Cheek has been a close friend
for years.

Roger Cravens
Atlanta, GA

jabba@iaw.on.ca (Len G. Carpenter) wrote:

> I jest not! I have never seen a post on here by anyone named Bill
>Cheeks! I have however seen many informative post made by a bill
>Cheek!
>
>
>
>On Fri, 08 Jan 1999 01:43:54 GMT, ke4yqz-nospam@mindspring.com (Roger
>D. Cravens, Jr.) wrote:
>
>>jabba@iaw.on.ca (Len G. Carpenter) wrote:
>>
>>>Who the hell is Bill Cheeks?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>On Sun, 13 Dec 1998 05:59:03 GMT, KA0SSF - John Mac key
>>><jmackey@parg.org> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Jim Wayda wrote:
>>>>> I went away for a while and wondered if Bill Cheeks has come back yet?
>>>>
>>>>Do a search of Dejanews & see for yourself!
>>
>>Surely you jest?
>>
>>Roger Cravens
>>Atlanta, GA
>>
>>
>>
>>**
>>* Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
>>* John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
>>**
>>* Roger Cravens *
>>* South East Signals Intelligence Group *
>>* Atlanta, GA *

>>**
>>* Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
>>**
>

****
* Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
* John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
****
* Roger Cravens *
* South East Signals Intelligence Group *
* Atlanta, GA *
****
* Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
****

1116. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: donhark@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77bbaj$76q$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131815

Thank you all very mmuch, you have been a big help.

Don

In article <369909DC.91D145CB@mc.net>,
kg9il@mc.net wrote:
> Don,
>
> Try the following freqs in your area. Set the squelch so that it just cuts off
> the noise.
>
> 53.670
> 53.030
> 53.130
> 52.525
>
> Scan between 29.6 and 29.7 in the FM mode...when 10M is open, you should hear
> stuff from all over the world....I worked Algeria yesterday on 10M ssb.....FM
a
> little more difficult to do, but possible.....try these freqs and see what
> happens
>
> Kevin KG9IL
>
> donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> > I own a Uniden BC80XLT. Do I have to open the squelch to hear these two
> > bands? I live between Austin an San Antonio Texas.
> >
> > Don
> >
> > In article <3698BF9D.7B373C19@mc.net>,
> > kg9il@mc.net wrote:
> > > Don,
> > >
> > > You did not mention what kind of scanner you have so I do not know what
> > > modes it receives.
> > >
> > > On 10 meters, listen for CW around 28.0 and 28.1. Listen for SSB between
> > > 28.3 and 28.6. AM will be around 29.0 and FM sigs can be heard above 29.6
> > > This band is very sensitive to the solar cycle, but is currently coming
> > > back strong.
> > >
> > > 6 meters....listen around 50.1-50.2 for SSB 50.4 for AM and between
51.5

> > > and 54 for FM sigs. there should be some FM repeaters in your area....hope
> > > this helps
> > >
> > > 73 es gud listening de Kevin KG9IL
> > >
> > > donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > My scanner can pickup 10 meters and 6 meters. Has anyone ever
> > > > herd anything on these bands?
> > > >
> > > > -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> > > > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
> > >
> > >
> >
> > -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1117. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikedn@conan.ids.net
Message-ID: <JO8m2.605$AY2.1231@news15.ispnews.com>
Source record: 131816
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

Dave Heil wrote:

> >Radio Shack invented radio.

> Yeah, and Radio Snack invented the world's first edible radio.

How many starch substitutes does it count for?

MD
--
-- Never argue with an idiot -- they'll just bring you down to their level,
-- and then beat you back with experience.
--
-- If you don't like my opinions, that's just too damn bad.

1118. Wanted to Buy: New/Used Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4yqz-nospam@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36991bcf.1662069@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131817

I don't believe my last message made it through, so let's try
this again.

A good friend of mine, markb@inetnow.net, needs to purchase
a scanner. He wants it to be portable, something akin to
Uniden's 200XLT or one of Radio Shack portable models.
Modified or unmodified to get a certain 800MHz frequency
area.

Worse case would be the purchase of RS PRO-2006.

I have no idea what Mark wants this for, and it was none
of my business. Once he informed me of what he wanted,
I said I'd write a short note and send it out there. So,
if you are getting ready to sell a scanner, please send
Mark a message at the above address and you two can
make arrangements for the transfer.

Please don't send me the message, I'm not in the market
to purchase a scanner.

Thanks to everyone -

Roger Cravens
ke4yqz@mindspring.com

****
* Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
* John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
****
* Roger Cravens *
* South East Signals Intelligence Group *
* Atlanta, GA *
****
* Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
****

1119. Yaesu Vx5 and Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: james <mixer@usa.net>
Message-ID: <77b772$l9e$1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 131818
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,att.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Visit :
http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/icomr2
To subscribe to the ICOM R2 mail list
so far 44 users and growing!
Or:
http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/YAESUVX5
To join the Yaesu Vx5r Mailing list
so far 33 users and growing!

Don't forget to visit one of the Best scanning LINKS pages in the world at:
http://www.primenet.com/~confused/scan.html
73 de W6JHC James

1120. Needed: Portable Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4yqz-nospam@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3699140e.57792002@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131819

A good friend of mine, mark@inetnow.net, in need of a portable scanner
that can be modified in various ways. Probably something akin to
the PRO-2006 or a more portable unit such as Uniden's 200XLT.

Please don't post to me, but coordinate with Mark directly at the
above address.

Roger Cravens
Atlanta, GA

```
**********************************************************************
* Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
* John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
**********************************************************************
* Roger Cravens *
* South East Signals Intelligence Group *
* Atlanta, GA *
**********************************************************************
* Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
**********************************************************************
```

1121. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3699240C.3F8@usa.net>
Source record: 131820

donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> My scanner can pickup 10 meters and 6 meters. Has anyone ever
> herd anything on these bands?

YES!!!

The most common freqs to listen to would be 29.60 MHz & 52.525 MHz.
During band openings those freqs are quite active, with 29 MHz opening
more frequently.

29.50, 29.48, 29.46, 29.300 are other simplex freqs for 10 meters.

51 MHz to 54 MHz has a variety of repeater inputs & outputs depending
on your area coordination.

You need to use a good outdoor antenna, not the indoor antenna on the
back
of the scanner to get decent performance. The antenna should be
designed
for 30 - 50 Mhz, *NOT* UHF, 150 Mhz, or 800 Mhz!

1122. PRO-90 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <369924EE.F0ABAFAC@globe-net.net>
Source record: 131821

I have put my PRO-90 up for sale. Anyone interested can
get the details at this link:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=55620412

Thanks,

Robert

1123. SPCH INVERSION DESCRAMBLER WANTED...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: john <hrothgar@lightspeed.net>
Message-ID: <36992B87.9F15FF09@lightspeed.net>
Source record: 131822
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I want to decode the scrambling of cordless phones, particularly the one owned by my teenage daughter.
Anybody know where I can find such a device?

hrothgar@lightspeed.net

1124. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <77bbj8$q0s$0@208.207.68.40>
Source record: 131823

On Sat, 09 Jan 1999 23:47:22 -0800, John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
wrote:

>amateur radio license & you don't act as though you could have the
>ability
>to hold a commercial (general radiotelephone) license.
>
?THE ABILITY? Heck, simple memorization?

The General Radiotelephone license is a joke, and you know it.
Sheesh.

1125. Re: "Digital" Scanners Legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110181956.06070.00010726@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 131824

>From: notme@nowhere.com

>.it just has to be what's stated below in
>para ii....

>(ii) if the communication is the radio portion of a cellular
>telephone communication, a cordless telephone communication that
>is transmitted between the cordless telephone handset and the
>base unit, a public land mobile radio service communication or a
>paging service communication, the offender shall be fined under
>this title.

Is a PUBLIC LAND MOBILE RADIO
SERVICE COMMUNICATION interpretted
to include Law Enforcement Communication
by law enforcement agencies ? Is that considered public land mobile radio ?

talkyal

1126. Re: WTB:Digital scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110181254.06070.00010725@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 131825

>From: Olive <olive@2.sbbs.se>

>I would like to buy a digital scanner

Didn't you see the "Take A Number" sign
at the front of the line ?
You're about #1000 I think. It's gonna be
a long wait.

talkyal

1127. Re: Akron, Ohio Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Joel M. Rose" <joelr@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <36993804.DC0CEB86@gwis.com>
Source record: 131826

no and no....the digital sigs cannot be decoded without the algorithm which changes constanly. It is highly unlikely anyone will market a scanner to do this. Take comfort in the fact that a lot of the time, the akron system doesnt allow its own units to hear each other.

tim troglen wrote:

> Is there any way to pick up digital police freqs. on a trunk tracker. If
> not is there any hope in the near future of buying a scanner that will
> decode digital freqs?

--

thoughts to live by......

"all of our representatives are currently busy helping other customers"
-everyplace you call-

joelr/n8jr brecksville,OH
mailto:joelr@gwis.com
The Briarhop Corners Page:http://w3.gwis.com/~joelr/gear.htm
... -.-

1128. Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt <ones@softhome.net>
Message-ID: <77bdu6$2b1$1@nclient1-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 131827
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

>> Yeah, and Radio Snack invented the world's first edible radio.

>And they invented answers too. "You've got questions - we've got
>answers."
>Too bad their answers are clueless or wrong.

Or just complete outright lies :)
I bet if you went in and asked if you could buy a scanner they'd come back
with some shit about DPI and parallel ports ;-)

1129. Re: Help! Problem With My 895xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110183530.06070.00010735@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 131828

>From: "Chris" <n8cop@qsl.net>

>First, I seem to have lost
>the ability to trunktrack perfectly legitimate systems in my "F" and "J"
>banks! When I give it the comand to track, it gives an "ERROR"

Honestly, I'm not sure why it is doing this, but
I would like to offer one suggestion as to why
it may be giving you an error message:
you may have some frequencies in the "F" and
"J" banks that are non-800 MHz trunked
repeater output frequencies. I know that you
said "perfectly legitimate", but I would clear those
banks and put the 800 MHz frequencies back
into "F" and "J" and then try trunk tracking them
again. Just a suggestion, hope it works, thanks.

talkyal

1130. Cleveland area CTCSS tones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip <muddskip@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <36994254.EBC46B85@megsinet.net>
Source record: 131829

Is there somewhere I can get a listing of the CTCSS tones in my area??

1131. Scanner Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip <muddskip@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3699445A.47C95542@megsinet.net>
Source record: 131830

Cleveland area freqs and lots of other links
http://www.megsinet.net/~muddskip/Muddy's_Scanner_Page.htm

1132. Re: Radio Question???? Please Help!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <369974DF.AF524397@INVALID.com>
Source record: 131831

dolph wrote:

> Hello All,
> I'm kind of (thank God) Blossoming out of the newbie stage and I have a
> couple questions!!!! I have (2) BC200XLT's (1)PRO-43 and (1) BC2500XLT(my
> favorite!!!)Which one is best to have a discriminator output? and it seems
> like a 2006 is the best next investment I could make.....Am I Wrong or is
> the 2006 the one to have???????
> Any Responses Appreciated!!!!!!!!
> Randy Roberts

Phill Smalley is the one to ask about the bearcats. I'm sure he's tapped the discriminator output on that brand.

That Pro-43 is an excellent scanner, but I'm not sure you'd want to go through the modifications to get the discriminator output on the Pro-43, I'd guess you'd rather operate this on a desktop scanner (such as the Pro-2006).

Somebody should be able to tell you whether the bearcats in your possession can be tapped, but if not, and you do decide to invest in the 2006, there's plenty of source of help for that scanner.

I think Bill Cheek knows that Pro-2006 series better than anybody.

He fixed me up with a cherry 2006. Been extremely happy with his stuff.

1133. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <369976AF.FBDC144E@INVALID.com>
Source record: 131832

John - KAOSSF wrote:

> Obviously you don't know what you are talking about. If you look at
> that post again in dejanews, try clicking on "View thread". If you do
> that you will see that I AM NOT the one who started the issue about the
> Cheek violating the newsgroup charter. I was only responding to thread
> of that complaint from "PJB" & "allsafe".

allsafe here....I wasn't complaining about Bill Cheek's post. I liked reading Bill's posts. He mostly talks about radio and scanners. I dunno what Mack-a-loon is spewing about.

> Doesn't it hurt to always make a fool of yourself by being wrong?

Must hurt you all day long Mackey;

You friggin' loon.

1134. Re: Indiana Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marty <martyd@surfnetinc.com>
Message-ID: <36995496.471@surfnetinc.com>
Source record: 131833

Try Indy Scan at:

http://members.iquest.net/~aeverhart/index.html

Rod Kilgore wrote:
>
> Need Indiana Frequencies
>
> [Image]

1135. Re: Utah - Wasatch Front area freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Clark <jetguy1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3698FAA4.A08D4A58@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jon, I would be interested in what you have. I too have some lists, would be glad to send you anything that I have that you may not have. Thanks
Brent

"Jon S. Van Allen" wrote:

> I have a good list of HF/VHF/UHF freqs in the Wasatch Front area, which
> I recently updated, including Hill AFB. If interested, please email me.
>
> Happy scanning,
>
> Jon in West Jordan, UT

1136. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36995C26.1CA4@usa.net>
Source record: 131835

Blake Bowers wrote:
> The General Radiotelephone license is a joke, and you know it.

Today there are those who can simply pass the GROL test by memorization
as you indicate. In the past the test was more difficult & the
question pool was NOT available to the general public.

Before, we had to earn it. Now, well......

1137. Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bumologist@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11020db5a7e61d5f9896b2@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 131836

In article <19990109105504.26068.00003119@ng43.aol.com>,
rjrems@aol.comnospam says...
> >Subject: Re: Uniden, do yourselves a favor!
> >From: talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal)
> >Date: 1/8/99 12:38 PM !!!First Boot!!!
> >Message-id: <19990108073804.01731.00002561@ng42.aol.com>
> >
> >>From: Ken Ascher WB8LIT <cei@mediaone.net>
> >
> >>As today is the opening day of the 1999 Winter Consumer
> >>Electronics Show in Las Vegas, you will find information about new
> >>Uniden products by visiting our web site at http://www.usascan.com.
> >
> >Nice to see that the new BC245XLT will
> >trunk track Motorola AND GE/Ericsson trunk
> >systems. That should be great if I take a road
> >trip through various states using both systems.
> >I'm not sure if I'll need that modem connection
> >function to find frequencies, though. Can
> >we trust the database is complete ?
> >At your CEI price of $269.95, you once again
> >show that you are the price leader, probably
> >Radio Shack will have a similar model for
> >$500. I'll be stopping in at CEI soon to reserve my BC245XLT.
> >
> >talkyal
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> I live in the Detroit metro area, where is CEI located, so I can just go in and
> pick one up when they arrive.
>
> RJR EMS
>
>>>>Ann Arbor!

1138. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <779fn1$q16$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 131837

NO you did not start the thread nor the issue. But you did make the comment, more than once that he did violate this "charter" Thats all I was saying...

New Years resolution! I will not respond to the Troll.

John - KAOSSF wrote in message <369830B1.7233@usa.net>...
>Obviously you don't know what you are talking about. If you look at
>that post again in dejanews, try clicking on "View thread". If you do
>that you will see that I AM NOT the one who started the issue about the
>Cheek violating the newsgroup charter. I was only responding to thread
>of that complaint from "PJB" & "allsafe". If you want to argue about
>Cheek violating the charter of rec.radio.scanner then I suggest you
>contact
>"pjb", not me as I was only a responder to that thread.
>
>Doesn't it hurt to always make a fool of yourself by being wrong?
>
>
>K patterson wrote:
>>
>> I think he was refering to the post you made 1998/12/06 to
>> Forums: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner
>> Under the subject of --
>> Re: Computer Interface for Scanners
>>
>> John said :
>>
>> >Just as you are an entirely different idiot than Phil Smalley.
>> >But WHY is it that you guys chose to take a problem with complaints of
>> >Bill starting to cause problems here & turn it into talking about me.
>> >I have nothing to do with Bill's violations of the NG charter & decent

1139. Re: Advice Needed About the Austin Condor 12" Antenna for the BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Kenny <tedkenny@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OIUoKEGP#GA.284@upnetnews03>
Source record: 131838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

randal you can have some fun listening to cordless phones type in 46.61
46.63 46.71 46.73 46.77 46.83 46.87 46.93 and 46.97 and
do search from 900-915mhz if you can have fun!
Randal wrote in message <369823dd.2119077@netnews.worldnet.att.net>...
>I just bought my BC235XLT from Grove. They offered, as an 29.95
>accessory, the Auston Condor 12" antenna as a way to boost the wekaer
>signals versus the included antenna.
>
>- Has anyone had experience with this antenna?
>- Does it successfully pull in weaker signals as claimed?
>- Is it worth it?
>- Can better alternatives be recommended?
>
>As you can probably tell, I am a scanning newbie. I live in NYC and
>am having fun.
>
>Any scanning tips and frequencies (conventional and trunking) for the
>NYC area will also be appreciated.
>
>Thanks!!
>
>- Randal

1140. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a0511f.50478048@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131839
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Jan 1999 05:47:08 GMT, ki5sl@hotmail.com (Rick Blank)
wrote:

>Whatever the company used to setup their website only lets Internet
>Explore access it,....Netscape won'd do it....

I have Netscape4 and it works...?

>>>http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
>>>Anyone have one?

26.218640/-080.237551
http://broward.home.ml.org

1141. Re: NYC Frequencies Needed: Help Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: 444@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <36985616.38B3@yahoo.com>
Source record: 131840
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Randal wrote:
>
> I live in NYC, am new to scanning and just bought the BC235XLT. I've
> programmed the Police, Fire/EMS, and Trunking frequencies from the
> booklets came with the scanner.
>
> I've been having a great time, but would like to know if anyone has
> additional frequencies (conventional and trunking) that to share.
>
> I'd also appreciate any good scanning tips for the NYC area.
>
> Thanks in advance!!
>
> - Randal
you got cordless phones right? 46.610 to 47.00 Mhz, then 43.500 Mhz to
45.000 Mhz...

1142. Re: BC100xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracey12 <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <#zSsn0GP#GA.320@upnetnews03>
Source record: 131841

Its not the radio, but the batteries are internally shorting.
Buy a new set of Nicads and it wont happen for a year or so.

Homer wrote in message <36965B21.469@inlink.com>...
>Hi, I have a BC100XL that seems to work OK except that it drains the
>nicads as if it had been left on overnight. (No it hadn't). New
>batteries. Any ideas anyone? Thanks, Howard.
>

1143. Re: AOR AR-3000A Needs repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracey12 <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <OBdt94GP#GA.282@upnetnews03>
Source record: 131842

You probably connected the battery backwards which blew the internal
diode for protection from this very thing.
Open up the radio and trace the hot wire to the first diode. Replace it
with the exact version there.
Also check internal fuse.

Joey D. Wilcoxon wrote in message ...
>Who can repair the above mentioned scanner? It quit working properly after
>I replaced the battery. Please contact me at jdwilcoxondc@eurekanet.com
>
>

1144. Re: Not Secure: Panasonic 2.4GHz GigaRange cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnc@diana.law.yale.edu
Message-ID: <779od6$9fk$1@news.ycc.yale.edu>
Source record: 131843
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers

Mr RAT <rat@nospam.demon.co.uk> wrote:
>> I just wonder if they use the same connector we do to hook the
>> phone to the wall.
>
> No, there are about 15 [possibly more] different types of phone
> connector currently in use in europe, possibly more! England uses a
> unique one, so does France, Germany, Denmark [you get the picture :)]

That said, almost all high-end telephone equipment sold in Europe has US-style connectors. They just ship them with adapter cables. The more absurdly large the phone plugs in a given country (i.e., as you get away from Britain with its only slightly absurd connectors), the more likely that cordless phones and fax machines will have RJ-11s.

miguel

1145. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36986D94.4318@usa.net>
Source record: 131844

donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> My scanner can pickup 10 meters and 6 meters. Has anyone ever
> herd anything on these bands?

Uhhhhh Yea! all the time.....especially when I'm talking on 6.

--
http://www.webdeals.net
Web page design & hosting for $10 a month!
Made for small businesses in the USA.
Advertise your business on the web for 33 cents a day.

1146. Re: RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <369899EE.50B1@compuserve.com>
Source record: 131845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

LAS wrote:
>
> Glenn J. Torres wrote:
>
> > Hello,
> > How are the RS Trunktracker scanners?Pros or cons?
> > Thanks,
> > Glenn
>
> Well Glenn;
> Like most things they sell, the RS scanners are CRAP!
> Plastic boxes full of IMD, front-end OL and spurious harmonics...
>
> CRAP-CRAP-and more CRAP.

IMD? OL? Glad I don't know what those are. Don't seem to matter anyway. I bought a Radio Shack PRO-91 as my first scanner and like it fine. Clear, readable display, lightweight unit, good button feel and response, internal AA nicad batteries easily rechargeable in unit. I've used it for trunktracking, marine monitoring, aircraft monitoring, etc. I don't know what LAS needs in a scanner, but the PRO-91 is a good start for a beginner. If you outgrow it, there are plenty other more expensive units to evaluate.

1147. Radio Question???? Please Help!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolph <dolph@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <36987545.0@news.qnet.com>
Source record: 131846

Hello All,
I'm kind of (thank God) Blossoming out of the newbie stage and I have a couple questions!!!! I have (2) BC200XLT's (1)PRO-43 and (1) BC2500XLT(my favorite!!!)Which one is best to have a discriminator output? and it seems like a 2006 is the best next investment I could make.....Am I Wrong or is the 2006 the one to have???????
Any Responses Appreciated!!!!!!!!
Randy Roberts

1148. Antenna's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolph <dolph@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3698757b.0@news.qnet.com>
Source record: 131847

Thank Everyone for your kind help!!!!!!

1149. CB magnet mount for Scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: thuynh6969@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110052605.28323.00011148@ng103.aol.com>
Source record: 131848

Thanks to all for the formulas. I have a CB antenna. The Wilson 500, I took the whip out and tuned it for 800 Mhz. So I'm using a CB magnet mount and a 800 Mhz tuned antenna on my car roof. It seems to work okay, but I was wondering if this is okay and does it cause any loss or cause more static than if I were to use an antenna specifically made for scanners ?
I've tried 1/4, 5/8/,1/2, and even 1, but still have the same result.
Static really badly sometimes too ! Am I doing something wrong ? If you have any suggestions please tell me or any antennas you recommend. Appreciate it, everyone ! Thanks a million !

1150. Help help i need Alinco dj-x10 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buffa <picch@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <779t4o$805$1@hermes.iol.it>
Source record: 131849

Does anyone send me any mods for Alinco
DJ-X 10 ?

Thanks from Mister Buffa.

E-mail me nospammrgif@iol.it

remove nospam for the replay.

1151. National Guard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bwolford@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <36979e8a.3129077@news.magicnet.net>
Source record: 131850

Does anyone have a list of the Florida National Guards freq's?

1152. Re: RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John L. Wilkerson Jr." <jwilkers@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3698BFBC.4B64@netcom.com>
Source record: 131851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn J. Torres wrote:
>
> Hello,
> How are the RS Trunktracker scanners?Pros or cons?
> Thanks,
> Glenn

I just got one yesterday so I can give you a "newbie's" thoughts on it.
I have the 150 channel model for $250 or somesuch.

The radio has a nice book with it that has the frequencies of a lot of
major cities. It was actually current to the frequencies used in my
area.

Programming is not too terribly difficult, considering the complexity of
a trunking scanner.

LIKES: Well, for one it now allows me to listen to my local public
safety people correctly! :)

The radio has 5 scanning banks that are seperate from the 150 channel
capacity... This allows you to enter talkgroup IDs and scan them as you
would on a nontrunked scanner.

4-AA cell operation. no odd numbers of cells, Rechargeables seem to
work okay... haven't used mine to determine cell life yet.

DISLIKES:

Sometimes get hung up on the fact there are a few more steps involved in
programming nontrunked freqs... like having to hit the "prog" button
before entering a frequency once you have selected the channel you want
a frequency to go in.

Trunked system frequencies and nontrunked freqs are saved in the same
channel banks. When in conventional mode you need to lock out all the
banks you have trunked systems in.

not being able to listen to a conventional system and trunked system at
the same time. Either or, but not both.

The cons seem to outweigh the pros ... BUT for a scanner of this type, I
can understand all that. These scanners need a bit of getting used to
for someone who has never used one. I can pretty much operate it
without errors with only about 3 hours of use now.
Honestly.. I love my PRO-91 It has opened a whole new world to me.
Living in an area that has extensiver Motorola-based trunking systems,

thisa radio is a godsend... you gotta get one.
--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com

http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
Supporting Family Two Way Radio Communications.. See website above.
Family safety and security is a MUST in the modern world!

1153. Re: WiNRADiO 1000i

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: arbiter@altavista.net
Message-ID: <369894d2.4257505@news-server>
Source record: 131852

On Sun, 03 Jan 1999 10:02:24 -0800, yurik1@usa.net (Yuri) wrote:

>I wonder, if it possible to change the IF filter in WR-1000i ?

Yes. I changed the 6 kHz AM filter to a Murata 4kHz and the reception
on HF is much better.

Warren

>
>*** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ***

1154. Pro 90 for Pro 2066...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <mstr-jl@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <DI1m2.1025$nJ.5018@typhoon01.swbell.net>
Source record: 131853
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've got an excellent condition Pro 90 that I would like to trade for the
Pro 2066 mobile scanner.

Email please.

Thanks,

John

--

John Lewis
(South) St. Louis, Mo. 63116
mstr-jl@swbell.net

1155. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kut7bh@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110075904.03822.00005575@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 131854

>Subject: Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna
>From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
>Date: 1/8/99 8:32 PM Mountain Standard Time
>Message-id: <776ik4dca1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
>
>You might want to try the RS magnet mount scanner antenna. It's supposed to
>work better since it can use your car's metal shell as a groundplane.

The Rad Shack mag mount scanner antenna (cat. #20-032) is definitely the better antenna for the money. I've used both the mag mount and the cell look-alike scanner antennas, and for best overall frequency response, the mag mount wins, especially on the VHF low band.
Also, my experience is that the cell look-alike antenna is more directional than the mag mount, so if your primary mobile scanning interests are to hear signals over a wide range of frequencies and to hear signals from all directions, buy the mag mount. I'm presently using a Rad Shack mag mount with my PRO-2056 mobile scanner, and I have no trouble receiving local signals from 35-50 miles away. When the DX conditions are good, the mag mount receives signals in the VHF low band 1500-2000 miles distant, which my cell look-alike scanner antenna never did.
73.

1156. FS: BC 590XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ^Fretts <n2rif@kwiknet.net>
Message-ID: <lW1m2.8$lb4.411@news14.ispnews.com>
Source record: 131855
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

A "Uniden Bearcat BC 590XLT" scanner. Has all bands
ham, wx, fire, police air & tech opened for more.. Many
features 'i.e. 100 programable memories, scans all band
ranges, instant scan wx, fire, police, air & more. Manual
& antenna included $80/obo+shipping. Like new. Does
not have 800-900mhz
--
Thom j. aka ^Fretts email: n2rif@kwiknet.net Or just
remove "knowspam" when you hit ur reply button..

1157. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <369bb126.2367112@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 131856
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Jan 1999 01:53:37 GMT, kryppy@bellsouth.net (
ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Jack) wrote:

>>"404 Not Found" on the main frame of the Fair-radio website. That
>>about sums up the availability of digital scanners, if that's what
>>this is supposed to be about.
>
>Your webbrowser is broken or something, it works fine for me.
>It is a scanner anyway, not a apco25 fairy tale.
>
>
>26.218640/-080.237551
>http://broward.home.ml.org

How can my browser be "broken or something" when it will access
everything BUT this page?? I can get the left frame just fine, but
everything I click on on that frame gives me the 404 error message on
the right frame.

1158. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <369cb31c.2869057@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 131857
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 09 Jan 1999 14:30:29 -0500, Dan <kd4lyp@usa.net> wrote:

>
>> "404 Not Found" on the main frame of the Fair-radio website. That
>> about sums up the availability of digital scanners, if that's what
>> this is supposed to be about.
>
>
>It was there when I went...he is right, one bad ass piece of equipment

Tried it with Internet Explorer and it worked (404 Not Found was on
Netscape). You're right, it is bad-ass, but I don't even want to know
how much it would cost here.

1159. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <77ad8u$95k$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 131858

In article <7793h1eek1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>My scanner can pickup 10 meters and 6 meters. Has anyone ever
>herd anything on these bands?

10 is open quite a bit now a days. 6 not as much but HAS opened up more as well. I use 10m regularly. Can your scanner pick up SSB? Try between 28.300 and 28.500, usually a LOT of activity there and higher up as well.

Tom

Remove the "P" from the below address (spam blocker)

Tom Randall Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10
tprandall@idsi.net Member: AAVSO Solar Division

My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html

Opinions herein are mine and may not be that of Idsi.Net!

1160. Computer control of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: richardrosa@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <uW2m2.16301$n4.125075044@WReNphoon2>
Source record: 131859

For those looking to control your scanner from your computer, check out

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/1552/radioctl.html

This program will interface with a variety of radios.

ENJOY

Richard Rosa

*** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ***

1161. WTB: Probe Scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Carter <jcarter64@home.com>
Message-ID: <3698BB6E.5A6CA020@home.com>
Source record: 131860

Was wondering if anybody had an earlier version of Probe 3.0 that they would be willing to part with. I would like to try a version of probe with out having to shell out allot of money to see if I would like the program. I have a earlier version of Scanstar and it's ok, but doesn't have the DTMF option that I would like to have. Thanks james

1162. Re: Must not be to many WinRadios Sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd6mrf@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110094821.01060.00009027@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 131861

Hello Tim. Don't give up yet. I got my wr1500e last month and love it. Just put a Radioshack antenna on it today, it seems to have helped the respance.I think ti's such a new radio that people don't know about it yet.

Here's my email address:
kd6mrf@aol.com

Hope to hear from you. regards...Jack

1163. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110095753.04537.00011126@ng09.aol.com>
Source record: 131862

>kryppy@bellsouth.net (ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Jack) wrote:

>It is a scanner anyway, not a apco25 fairy tale.

Hey, how do you know UNIDEN doesn't
have its own "UNIDEN Area 51" somewhere
underground Fort Worth, where digital
scanners already crackle with test messages ?
<don't wake me from my sleep>

talkyal

1164. Antenna Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip <muddskip@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3698C0C0.E4B19BF1@megsinet.net>
Source record: 131863

I have a BC895xlt and when I put up my rooftop antenna I get
interference on certain freqs that sound like pager tones. I never here
them on the little telescoping antenna or any other little antennas.
This is really anoying, any suggestions????

1165. Looking for a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lars.Andrees@stud.uni-hannover.de
Message-ID: <77ak8s$k25$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131864

Hi folks,

I'm looking for a cheap (used) radio-scanner (must work) to receive the
frequencies of CIVIL-FLIGHT-RADIO.

Special advice is welcome as well.

Lars.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1166. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Thomas <kg9il@mc.net>
Message-ID: <3698BF9D.7B373C19@mc.net>
Source record: 131865

Don,

You did not mention what kind of scanner you have so I do not know what modes it receives.

On 10 meters, listen for CW around 28.0 and 28.1. Listen for SSB between 28.3 and 28.6. AM will be around 29.0 and FM sigs can be heard above 29.6 This band is very sensitive to the solar cycle, but is currently coming back strong.

6 meters....listen around 50.1-50.2 for SSB 50.4 for AM and between 51.5 and 54 for FM sigs. there should be some FM repeaters in your area....hope this helps

73 es gud listening de Kevin KG9IL

donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> My scanner can pickup 10 meters and 6 meters. Has anyone ever
> herd anything on these bands?
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1167. re:Nat'l Guard SD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bryce A. Broyhill" <fribab@charles-mix.com>
Message-ID: <3698C4E9.FAC390BA@charles-mix.com>
Source record: 131866

Does anyone have any freq's for the South Dakota Air National Guard.
Your help is appreciated!
Bryce

1168. D-707E Wide Range Receive Antenna (.5 - 1300MHz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil@ourcapebreton.com
Message-ID: <3698c567.2364790@news.auracom.com>
Source record: 131867

Hello:

Does anybody use the "DIAMOND D-707E Wide Range Receive Antenna (.5 - 1300MHz)"? I am considering an antenna that I can run everything - from an AM radio to the highest band on the scanner. It must stand up to extremely high wind. This seems to be one vertical pole with the electronics encased within it. My current all-band antenna has three vertical and three horizontal rods. Two of them have blow away so far.

Thanks,

1169. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Black <jmblack@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <01be3cac$c89c98e0$afd3aec7@johnblac>
Source record: 131868
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It's gotten good reviews in Short Wave Magazine. It's more of an HF
receiver with wideband capability, and a zillion memories. I haven't seen
them for sale in the US.
It's not a "digital scanner" as one completely uninformed reply indicated.
Geez.

kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote in article
<3698f4f3.2725154@news.mia.bellsouth.net>...
> Hey check this thing out:
> http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
> Anyone have one?
>
>
> http://broward.home.ml.org
>

1170. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: donhark@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77an0emem1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131869

Do I have to open the squelch to hear 6 meters an 10 meters?

In article <77ad8u$95k$1@remarQ.com>,
Tom@astro.net wrote:
> In article <7793h1eek1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> >My scanner can pickup 10 meters and 6 meters. Has anyone ever
> >herd anything on these bands?
>
> 10 is open quite a bit now a days. 6 not as much but HAS opened up more as
> well. I use 10m regularly. Can your scanner pick up SSB? Try between 28.300
> and 28.500, usually a LOT of activity there and higher up as well.
>
> Tom
>
> Remove the "P" from the below address (spam blocker)
>
> Tom Randall Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
> Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10
> tprandall@idsi.net Member: AAVSO Solar Division
>
> My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html
>
> Opinions herein are mine and may not be that of Idsi.Net!
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1171. Re: Advice Needed About the Austin Condor 12" Antenna for the BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rand.knx@world.spam.att.net
Message-ID: <3698ce3f.561070@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Also: 43.72 43.74 43.84 43.92 43.96 44.12 44.16 4.18 44.20 44.32 44.36
44.40 44.46 44.48

Thanks

"Ted Kenny" <tedkenny@email.msn.com> wrote:

>randal you can have some fun listening to cordless phones type in 46.61
>46.63 46.71 46.73 46.77 46.83 46.87 46.93 and 46.97 and
>do search from 900-915mhz if you can have fun!
>Randal wrote in message <369823dd.2119077@netnews.worldnet.att.net>...
>>I just bought my BC235XLT from Grove. They offered, as an 29.95
>>accessory, the Auston Condor 12" antenna as a way to boost the wekaer
>>signals versus the included antenna.
>>
>>- Has anyone had experience with this antenna?
>>- Does it successfully pull in weaker signals as claimed?
>>- Is it worth it?
>>- Can better alternatives be recommended?
>>
>>As you can probably tell, I am a scanning newbie. I live in NYC and
>>am having fun.
>>
>>Any scanning tips and frequencies (conventional and trunking) for the
>>NYC area will also be appreciated.
>>
>>Thanks!!
>>
>>- Randal
>
>

1172. Re: "Digital" Scanners Legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: notme@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <77ajpo$f37$1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 131871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <#cK6OY4O#GA.317@nih2naac.prod2.compuserve.com>, F Barry Mulligan
<70035.140@CompuServe.COM> wrote:
>"Greg" <greg@nospam.org> posted:
>> From what I see in this excerpt from an FCC document, I wonder if digital
>> scanners would even be legal? I really hope I am just not interpreting this
>> incorrectly.
> [....]
>> ... OR THAT MAY BE EQUIPPED WITH DECODERS THAT CONVERT DIGITAL TRANSMISSIONS
>> TO ANALOG VOICE AUDIO.
>
> You're not interpreting incorrectly, the author of that excerpt was. The
>law being referred to (TDDRA) and the FCC Regulation (47 USC 15.121) actually
>read "converting digital cellular transmissions". There are other laws
>governing modulation techniques not made public (i.e. encryption), but the
>regulation in question only concerns cellular.

..and the story continues.It doesn't matter if it's digital or analog,
clear text or encrypted....it just has to be what's stated below in
para ii....(you are free to interpret this as you wish)...Title 18
USC.....chapter 119...section 2511..:

(a)Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this subsection or in subsection
(5), whoever violates subsection (1) of this section shall be fined under this
title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.
(b) If the offense is a first offense under paragraph (a) of this subsection
and is not for a tortious or illegal purpose or for purposes of direct or
indirect commercial advantage or private commercial gain, and the wire or
electronic communication with respect to which the offense under paragraph (a)
is a radio communication that is not scrambled, encrypted, or transmitted
using modulation techniques the essential parameters of which have been
withheld from the public with the intention of preserving the privacy of such
communication, then -
(i) if the communication is not the radio portion of a cellular
telephone communication, a cordless telephone communication that
is transmitted between the cordless telephone handset and the
base unit, a public land mobile radio service communication or a
paging service communication, and the conduct is not that
described in subsection (5), the offender shall be fined under
this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and
(ii) if the communication is the radio portion of a cellular
telephone communication, a cordless telephone communication that
is transmitted between the cordless telephone handset and the
base unit, a public land mobile radio service communication or a
paging service communication, the offender shall be fined under

this title.

...enjoy.....

1173. Re: unlock all - radio shack handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: notme@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <77akjn$f37$2@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 131872

In article <3697164d.942967@news.acay.com.au>, barryo@XacayX.com.au wrote:
>netzel@(remove-me)erinet.com wrote:
>
>:He's probably referring to "unlocking" the "locked out channels". On the
>:Uniden scanners you go to MANUAL and press the LOCKOUT button until you
>:hear 2 beeps (about 3 seconds) and all the locked out channels are
>:unlocked, or all channels are now "unlocked" out.
>
>Just a small correction. The above action only unlocks channels in
>banks that are enabled.
>
>:Fred
>
>Barry
>

.maybe on the RS units but on both my BC2500 and BC890 the unlock the "locked out channels" affects ALL 400 and 200 channels respectively. ....like Gates of Windows fame says, it's not a bug but an "undocumented enhancement"......

enjoy

Fred

1174. Re: BC100xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOT <nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <77aknnnnh1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 131873

i see you havent look at the batteries in your scanner. you can buy cordless phone batteries that are like AA that has 3 cells in them. You would have to buy to set of them and solder + to - from battery to battery then - to - and + to +. This is just 1 way to replace battery in your scanner. Your other is to find a web site that you can buy excat replacement or go to your local RS. Then you would just solder - to - and + to + in your unit. Not likley your ciruit is bad or it would not chager it at all. Nicads just like any battery like your car will sooner or later go bad.

Homer wrote in message <369803E8.3B11@inlink.com>...
>NOT wrote:
>>
>> sure just open the bottom and take a look at your battery pack then go down
>> to wal-mart by 2 set of 3 cell battery for a cordless phone then solder them
>> together and put them in
>> http://www.inetone.net/Fsmith/
>>
>> Homer wrote in message <36965B21.469@inlink.com>...
>> >Hi, I have a BC100XL that seems to work OK except that it drains the
>> >nicads as if it had been left on overnight. (No it hadn't). New
>> >batteries. Any ideas anyone? Thanks, Howard.
>> >
>Thanks NOT, but, as I said, new batteries. BTW, no portable phone I
>ever saw had a stick of 3 aa's which I could use two of. This is a
>circuit problem, maybe characteristic, and I don't have a schematic. H.
>

1175. inst for bc200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: the shoe <shoemaker@attcanada.net>
Message-ID: <KN4m2.3424$id.208@cabot.ops.attcanada.net>
Source record: 131874

i have purchased a bc 200xlt with no inst and it`s too compl. for me

anyone help

theshoe99@hotmail.com

1176. inst.for bc200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: the shoe <shoemaker@attcanada.net>
Message-ID: <ZP4m2.7178$fz5.270@tundra.ops.attcanada.net>
Source record: 131875

i have just purchased a bc 200 xlt with no instr. can anyone help

thanx

theshoe99@hotmail.com

1177. hamilton freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: the shoe <shoemaker@attcanada.net>
Message-ID: <OS4m2.7179$fz5.275@tundra.ops.attcanada.net>
Source record: 131876

can anyone help me i am looking for frequency`s for the hamilton area

thanx

theshoe99@hotmail.com

1178. SCANNER AOR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ALE <p.i.mancini@omniway.sm>
Message-ID: <77aljm$8nm$1@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>
Source record: 131877

My enghlish is not good.
I have a scanner AOR 8000 and i want sostware for control my scanner.
If it is possibile, freeware , because im italian (Republic of San Marino)
and i have not a credit card .

1179. frequencies for pa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfld@usa.net
Message-ID: <77argb$q5k$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131878

Anybody have the correct frequency for the nether providence police department (that's in delaware county pa)?

This page http://www.li.net/~j4dice/scanli.html has tons of frequencies for almost everything in all the north eastern states but the frequencies they give for areas local to me seem to be inaccurate. I live two doors down from the nether providence police department and i can't hear a thing on the frequency they give for it. Thanks.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1180. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Barnes <micewi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3698DDBB.A4556116@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131879
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I had the same problem accessing the site as some of the other people.
I couldn't access with netscape however, I can with explorer???

I attached the html for those who don't have explorer.

"ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Jack" wrote:

> On Sun, 10 Jan 1999 05:47:08 GMT, ki5sl@hotmail.com (Rick Blank)
> wrote:
>
> >Whatever the company used to setup their website only lets Internet
> >Explore access it,....Netscape won'd do it....
>
> I have Netscape4 and it works...?
>
> >>>http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
> >>>Anyone have one?
>
> 26.218640/-080.237551
> http://broward.home.ml.org

1181. Re: Diamond D707 Active Wideband Aerial; advise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Langfield <johnlangfield@muchhoole.u-net.com>
Message-ID: <kp5m2.242$W3.1288@newsr2.u-net.net>
Source record: 131880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Arthur of N.Ireland wrote in message ...
>My chimney top discone UHF/VHF aerial got severely damaged in recent gales.
>Am considering replacing it with an Active wide-band D707 aerial covering
>frequency range 0.5 to 1500Mhz in order to provide for both my shortwave
and
>uhf/vhf requirements. I do not have the space to put up two separate
aerials,
>one for each need.
>Can anybody advise, based on their experiences, as to the effectiveness of
this
>type of aerial (before I spend the money)?
>Any report, advise or suggestion, preferably by e-mail, would be
appreciated.
>Thank you.
>Arthur

I have a single 4 foot pole called Scan King.

It servived the gales we had.

John.

mailto:johnlangfield@muchhoole.u-net.com

1182. Re: hamilton freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <77an96$8cj$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 131881

Hamilton Ontario?
the shoe wrote in message ...
>can anyone help me i am looking for frequency`s for the hamilton area
>
>
>
>thanx
>
>theshoe99@hotmail.com
>
>
>

1183. Re: "Digital" Scanners Legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: trspig <rlkillins@easynet.on.ca>
Message-ID: <77anpj$l2j$1@bigdog.easynet.ca>
Source record: 131882

As a Canadian, the permission to monitor digital signals with future scanners is indeed something to look forward to. However, to own such a receiver, one must be licensed to do so ... and who knows yet exactly what will be involved with obtaining this licence?

nospampls@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <778br5$qt7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>In article <JVLl2.1645$u47.48791367@news.en.com>,
> Seymour Dupa <grumpy@shell.en.com> wrote:
>> If you don't want me listning to your signal,
>> keep your signal off of my property!
>
>I'd take a shot at the above...too easy tho...in the text below...
>
>Well if your an American...living in the USA...YES...your SOL..while the rest
>of the world will get test / beta units...or even add ons which will decode
>everything...the usa , sadly will not.
>
>Best advice , get to know a Canadian...they can get 800 MHz scanners now and
>full digitals (once avail) ... ;) < Just a hint >
>
>
>
>
>
>> Greg <greg@nospam.org> wrote:
>> > From what I see in this excerpt from an FCC document, I wonder if digital
>> > scanners would even be legal? I really hope I am just not interpreting this
>> > incorrectly.
>>
>>
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1184. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <77antu$28b@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131883

I never heard anything on 6 meters so I had a friend who operates on 6 meters
to help me do a test. First of all, most of his operations are on upper side band
(USB). Also my general scanning antenna is a discone with a vertical whip.
With him about 30 miles away using 100 watts I was barely able to pick up his
signal. What we decided was that his antenna was horizontally polarized while
mine was vertically polarized. It could also be that the discone is not very good
at that frequency.

I am currently listening to Germany on 10 meters USB. My scanner uses a
different antenna for below 30 Mhz so I have not had any problems hearing
this band.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
<7793h1eek1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>My scanner can pickup 10 meters and 6 meters. Has anyone ever
>herd anything on these bands?
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1185. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison <ka8tok@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <3698E562.DC7D0A80@four-way.net>
Source record: 131884
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I sent off mail to Fairhaven telling them to fix it so people with the
newer version of netscape(4.5) could see it. hope this helps guys.. Dan
upgrade you netscape and you'll find out what everyone is talking about

Dan wrote:

> Pursuit10851 wrote:
> >
> > On Sat, 09 Jan 1999 06:20:53 GMT, kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote:
> >
> > >Hey check this thing out:
> > >http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
> > >Anyone have one?
> > >
> > >
> > >http://broward.home.ml.org
> >
> > "404 Not Found" on the main frame of the Fair-radio website. That
> > about sums up the availability of digital scanners, if that's what
> > this is supposed to be about.
>
> It was there when I went...he is right, one bad ass piece of equipment
>
> --
> http://www.webdeals.net
> Made for small businesses in the USA.
> Advertise your business on the web for 33 cents a day.

1186. Re: RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <369eb880.4249718@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 131885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Jan 1999 14:57:01 GMT, "John L. Wilkerson Jr."
<jwilkers@netcom.com> wrote:

>Glenn J. Torres wrote:
>>
>> Hello,
>> How are the RS Trunktracker scanners?Pros or cons?
>> Thanks,
>> Glenn
>
>I just got one yesterday so I can give you a "newbie's" thoughts on it.
>I have the 150 channel model for $250 or somesuch.
(snip)
Another Pro-91 owner's suggestion: wait until a sale. I got mine last
month for $169. Uniden BC235XLT's are $239 here in Sacramento at
Chickenlip's CB's and Stuff. BC895XLT's are $249. These are even
cheaper at mail-order outfits like CEI.

>4-AA cell operation. no odd numbers of cells, Rechargeables seem to
>work okay... haven't used mine to determine cell life yet.
>
(snip)
A great feature! Proprietary battery packs suck, IMHO.

1187. Re: UBC9000XLT - Functions and more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Chalmers <Stephc@es.co.nz>
Message-ID: <915910175.723616@Chaos.es.co.nz>
Source record: 131913
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

1: Mike I also came up with the same problem and as far as I can tell the only thing that changes is the pitch of the audio.

2: Question: Is there a way to enter a freq. to monitor without commiting it to a memory bank????

3: Another question.. are there any mods around for the 9000 other than the CTCSS.

Regards
SteveC

Mike Jackson wrote in message <7779nl$37c$1@newsource.ihug.co.nz>...
>OK Barry (Mr No Help) heres the guts of why I asked for HELP. Each time I
>selected the Hi-Cut On/Off function I heard no perceptible difference
>because I was SCANNING (duh). It's what one does with a UBC9000XLT. The
>manual said nothing about the function except how to turn it on and off.
>Thank heavens for mortals such as Lindsay Vincent...thankyou Lindsay.
>Barry O'Grady wrote in message <36971636.919717@news.acay.com.au>...
>>Lindsay Vincent <lvincent@hornet.net.au> wrote:
>>
>>:Barry O'Grady wrote:
>>:>
>>:> "Mike Jackson" <mikej@ihug.co.nz> wrote:
>>:>
>>:> :Gidday from Down Under (Godzone that is!)
>>:> :
>>:> :What is the function of the HI-CUT On/Off button on the UBC9000XLT.
>The
>>:> :manual just tells you how to switch it on and off. Thanks for your
>advice
>>:> :in advance.
>>:
>>:To Mike: it just seems to attenuate the higher pitches (treble ?) of
>>:the audio out.
>>:
>>:> Try it. You may like it.
>>:
>>:To Barry: Yet another fact-filled message from you. So predictable...
>>
>>If he tried it he would know what it does. He may even find it useful.
>>
>

1188. Re: digital scanners? Why are they illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospampls@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <778sq3$8rs$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131914

In article <778ltq$3bb$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
rfld@usa.net wrote:
> Why are they illegal in the us? When will they be available to the rest of
> the world?

Late 99...the rest of the world may enjoy the digital scanner...and the USA (
FCC) will get dorked over..cuz many will smuggle em over to the usa...just
like now with us in Canada , enjoying no cell laws..or cell blocked
scanners...we can buy em off the shelf...the USA cant...so , the fcc can
expect mega smuggles , from all....the more you tell ppl in a free world '
You Cant ' the more they will....The fcc will never combat the cell...digital
problem...however they wont be able to buy em off the shelf...so off to the
web..buy em there...have em sent over.I know many USA residents who got
scanners (full 800 MHz) from Canadian dealers...with no hassles..better
yet...get to know a Canadian , we can buy them..and ship em as a gift...sorry
Mr.Fcc

:)

> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1189. Re: Policescanner site in Sweden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan <jmol@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <778p9d$7to$1@news.news-service.com>
Source record: 131915

FAM Gr&#65533;ndevik heeft geschreven in bericht <36968992.0@d2o35.telia.com>...
>Christer
>http://w1.332.telia.com/~u33206204/hemsida.htm
>
Correction in URL (when it does not color, it does not work)

Jan

1190. bc860xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bpk@home.com
Message-ID: <3697F327.FA283C39@home.com>
Source record: 131916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can anyone please tell me if this is a triple conversion scanner?And can the cell images be heard?Cannot seem to be able to find out.thanks

1191. Re: What is WINRADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: seraph2@ibm.net
Message-ID: <3697ef01.427319@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 131917

On 30 Dec 1998 06:32:13 GMT, brett370@aol.com (Brett370) wrote:

>please explain to me :)

Check http://www.winradio.com

Chris

1192. question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: donhark@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7793h1eek1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131918

My scanner can pickup 10 meters and 6 meters. Has anyone ever
herd anything on these bands?

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1193. LTR trunking source code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: arbiter@altavista.net
Message-ID: <3698f61f.2249057@news-server>
Source record: 131919
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for LTR trunker source code, or technical description of the protocol involved. Can anyone help?

Thanks in advance

Warren

1194. Updated scanning page for ADELAIDE South Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rev@newave.net.au
Message-ID: <369802eb.1526349@news.newave.net.au>
Source record: 131920
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio

Ive updated/changed my scanning page for ADELAIDE South Australia.

Includes links to Western Australia and Gold Coast scanning sites.

www.newave.net.au/~rev

--
Matthew Volkmer (Matty)
rev@newave.net.au
www.newave.net.au/~rev SA Scanning Page
ICQ 26709963

1195. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <369b0770.31612806@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 09 Jan 1999 08:42:06 GMT, barryo@XacayX.com.au (Barry O'Grady)
wrote:

>"Edward L. Dowdy" <eldowdy@net66.com> wrote:
>
>:>Tell it like it is Richard, people have forgotten that freedom comes at a
>:>price. And Jesus paid the ultimate price for our's. 73 to all
>
>Christians pay the ultimate price by donating their brain to the bit
>bucket.

Leave the fundys alone or they will cry!
26.218640/-080.237551
http://broward.home.ml.org

1196. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <369c07e0.31724211@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131922
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 09 Jan 1999 12:36:33 GMT, inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
(Pursuit10851) wrote:

>On Sat, 09 Jan 1999 06:20:53 GMT, kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote:
>
>>Hey check this thing out:
>>http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
>>Anyone have one?
>>
>>
>>http://broward.home.ml.org
>
>"404 Not Found" on the main frame of the Fair-radio website. That
>about sums up the availability of digital scanners, if that's what
>this is supposed to be about.

Your webbrowser is broken or something, it works fine for me.
It is a scanner anyway, not a apco25 fairy tale.

26.218640/-080.237551
http://broward.home.ml.org

1197. Re: $19 Weather Alert Receiver!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: mervins@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109220938.01052.00009063@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 131923

As a followup to my first message --- Yesterday morning at 0530, I received my first storm alert with the $19 Jensen. The alert was loud enough to wake almost anyone, but did not last very long. Long enough to serve its purpose, but not long enough to be a nuisance. Scotty

>I was surprised to find an interesting weather alert receiver at a local
>Target
>for $19.98. It is the Jensen AM/FM Weather Alert with Storm Alert MR600.
>Big
>name for a little radio. It has 7 switch-selectable pretuned NOAA weather
>channels, as well as AM/FM. In case of a storm alert, a "beeping tone" will
>be
>heard from the speaker.
>
>We have not had a storm alert since I bought the radio, so I can not comment
>on
>the "beeping tone." When tested on the second floor of an aluminium-clad
>building, the AM, FM, and the nearest NOAA station reception was excellent.
>The next-nearest NOAA station was readable. Of interest, and worthy of
>future
>investigation, a local 2-meter repeater comes in very well on one of the
>unused
>weather channels.
>
>It is AC and battery-operated, and comes with a wall-wart adapter. The AC
>adapter automatically disconnects the batteries. Scotty

1198. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ernie Stedman <n2ojq@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7795pj$hlv@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131924

I hear stuff there all the time-
I have a 6/10 meter transceiver in my truck and there is a lot of activity
on the Ham bands.
Try 29.60, 29.62, 29.64, 29.66, 29.68 - you will hear signals from all over
the country- My longest contact on 10 FM (2 way) was with a guy in Chile,
my friend talked to a guy in Hawaii, both contacts from Georgia, both on
29.60-
29.60 is simplex, the rest are repeater outputs. It is quite common to hear
several repeaters hetrodyning against each other when the band opens.
Openings tend towards the middle of the day.
On 6 meters, try 52.525 and 52.40-
There are dozens of repeater pairs on 6-
I have received QSL cards from scanner listeners in various parts of the
country on 6 meters.
6 meters opens less frequently than 10.
10 is a blast.
Good listening-
Ernie
N2OJQ
Roswell GA
100 watts mobile on 6 & 10 FM
Grid square EM74

<donhark@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:7793h1eek1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
>My scanner can pickup 10 meters and 6 meters. Has anyone ever
>herd anything on these bands?
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1199. Re: FS: Icom IC-R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <3698205D.ECDD4752@home.com>
Source record: 131925

You can get a pro-43 which is a much better cell capable scanner
for about $220. The R1 is worth about $150 at best.

BDS wrote:
>
> Complete with all original accessories and box, complete coverage no blocks,
> unit has 2 nicks on front cover otherwise unit is in excellent condition.
> Asking $300.
> Thanks
> Bruce

1200. NYC Frequencies Needed: Help Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rand.knx@world.spam.att.net
Message-ID: <36982210.1657985@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131926
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I live in NYC, am new to scanning and just bought the BC235XLT. I've programmed the Police, Fire/EMS, and Trunking frequencies from the booklets came with the scanner.

I've been having a great time, but would like to know if anyone has additional frequencies (conventional and trunking) that to share.

I'd also appreciate any good scanning tips for the NYC area.

Thanks in advance!!

- Randal

1201. Re: bc860xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990109224936.28531.00010782@ng39.aol.com>
Source record: 131927

If you go to the uniden site you should be able to see the specs on the
bearcats scanners...
1990 ZX10
J.McGrath Communications all your 10/11meter needs
www.usaplaza.com/JMAC/index.htm
To Email Remove NOSPAM

1202. Advice Needed About the Austin Condor 12" Antenna for the BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rand.knx@world.spam.att.net
Message-ID: <369823dd.2119077@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I just bought my BC235XLT from Grove. They offered, as an 29.95 accessory, the Auston Condor 12" antenna as a way to boost the wekaer signals versus the included antenna.

- Has anyone had experience with this antenna?
- Does it successfully pull in weaker signals as claimed?
- Is it worth it?
- Can better alternatives be recommended?

As you can probably tell, I am a scanning newbie. I live in NYC and am having fun.

Any scanning tips and frequencies (conventional and trunking) for the NYC area will also be appreciated.

Thanks!!

- Randal

1203. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd4ewx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990109230233.13454.00008213@ng112.aol.com>
Source record: 131929

I'm sure what area your in , but try 29.660
and 52.525 for a start.

1204. AOR AR-3000A Needs repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Joey D. Wilcoxon " <jdwilcoxondc@eurekanet.com>
Message-ID: <qTVl2.159$Z63.580038@iagnews.iagnet.net>
Source record: 131930

Who can repair the above mentioned scanner? It quit working properly after
I replaced the battery. Please contact me at jdwilcoxondc@eurekanet.com

1205. Arlington Tx freq 460.300 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Craig - N5XNQ <cpclark@pca.net>
Message-ID: <1E64537D837B72AA.71BF1905874A4CA0.033FD601C0B9C4A4@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 131931

Does anyone know if the data on 460.300 in Arlington is RD_LAP data?? If not what type data is it?

thanks!

Craig Clark

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hall/3252/

1206. Re: Advice Needed About the Austin Condor 12" Antenna for the BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <779cgbduf1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 131932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Randal-

The Condor, I believe, is very, very stiff- like a foot-long rod. I would recommend the Diamond RH-77CA. While it is 14.75 inches long, the stiff base-coil is only about 3 inches long, and the top 11 inches are quite flexible. Also, the antenna is quite high in gain on both VHF and UHF, and offers good-excellent performance on 800 as well. In fact, the 77 wins over some telescoping whips (even if you tune the whips!) I recently purchased a brand-new Pro-64 (for $50 too...) and am going to get the 77 to replace the A0337 stock duck. The 77 can be purchased from AES (www.aesham.com) or HRO (www.hamradiooutlet.com) for $27.95.

Terry

Randal wrote in message <369823dd.2119077@netnews.worldnet.att.net>...
>I just bought my BC235XLT from Grove. They offered, as an 29.95
>accessory, the Auston Condor 12" antenna as a way to boost the wekaer
>signals versus the included antenna.
>
>- Has anyone had experience with this antenna?
>- Does it successfully pull in weaker signals as claimed?
>- Is it worth it?
>- Can better alternatives be recommended?
>
>As you can probably tell, I am a scanning newbie. I live in NYC and
>am having fun.
>
>Any scanning tips and frequencies (conventional and trunking) for the
>NYC area will also be appreciated.
>
>Thanks!!
>
>- Randal

1207. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990110001626.04537.00010933@ng09.aol.com>
Source record: 131933

If you can get it that cheap buy it...
1990 ZX10
J.McGrath Communications all your 10/11meter needs
www.usaplaza.com/JMAC/index.htm
To Email Remove NOSPAM

1208. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ki5sl@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36983e9f.35416635@typhoon.texas.net>
Source record: 131934
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Whatever the company used to setup their website only lets Internet
Explore access it,....Netscape won'd do it....

On Sat, 09 Jan 1999 12:36:33 GMT, inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
(Pursuit10851) wrote:

>On Sat, 09 Jan 1999 06:20:53 GMT, kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote:
>
>>Hey check this thing out:
>>http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
>>Anyone have one?
>>
>>
>>http://broward.home.ml.org
>
>"404 Not Found" on the main frame of the Fair-radio website. That
>about sums up the availability of digital scanners, if that's what
>this is supposed to be about.

1209. Re: I wont buy on e-bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <36983CF0.36EB8E97@globe-net.net>
Source record: 131935

I've had mixed results with ebay. If it is a popular item (PRO-2006),
a bidding frenzy starts and people (IMHO) pay too much. But there
are plenty of other good deals to be had there. I bought a PRO-2042
for ~$185. No great bargain but I can't get one locally. This is
still lower than Grove sells them. I have gotten very good deals
on non-scanner equipment:

$10 for caller ID with 100 name/number call rejection (was $100 @ RS)
$25 for a Toshiba Docking station (was $650+ new)
$84 for 486 DX2-50 (for use with my OS-535/PRO-2042)
$23.99 for a PCI 56K Data/FAX/Voice Modem -V.90 (bargain!!!)

Your mileage may vary.

Robert

1210. Re: Advice Needed About the Austin Condor 12" Antenna for the BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF5Eu3G.7Ax@netcom.com>
Source record: 131636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <779cgbduf1@juliana.sprynet.com>, tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com> wrote:

>The Condor, I believe, is very, very stiff- like a foot-long rod. I would
>recommend the Diamond RH-77CA. While it is 14.75 inches long, the stiff
>base-coil is only about 3 inches long, and the top 11 inches are quite
>flexible. Also, the antenna is quite high in gain on both VHF and UHF, and
>offers good-excellent performance on 800 as well. In fact, the 77 wins over
>some telescoping whips (even if you tune the whips!) I recently purchased a
>brand-new Pro-64 (for $50 too...) and am going to get the 77 to replace the
>A0337 stock duck. The 77 can be purchased from AES (www.aesham.com) or HRO
>(www.hamradiooutlet.com) for $27.95.

Another vote for the RH-77CA -- it is a fantastic antenna, I used it with
the AOR AR8000 and it was the magic combination.

NYC is a very "target rich" environment. I took my AR there last summer and
found all kinds of crazy stuff -- an idea environment and you don't need a
ton of antenna, either.

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

1211. Re: SPCH INVERSION DESCRAMBLER WANTED...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369A44B1.201C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131637
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

john wrote:
>
> I want to decode the scrambling of cordless phones, particularly the
> one owned by my teenage daughter.
> Anybody know where I can find such a device?
>
> hrothgar@lightspeed.net

Check out the following URL:

http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

1212. Re: icom ic-r2 where to get a good deal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <369a53c6.2541225@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Give Jeff Libermann at THE HAM STATION a call...
1-800-729-4373

The prices are competative and service is GREAT!

Dan

On Fri, 08 Jan 1999 20:38:40 GMT, rfld@usa.net wrote:

>Anybody kno where to get a good deal on an icom ic-r2? Best i can do so far
>is $220 at http://www.lentinicomm.com/. Thanks.
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1213. Re: Must not be to many WinRadios Sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: thirdgun@usa.net
Message-ID: <369a58ad.956867@news-server>
Source record: 131639

I have a winradio 1500e, I am very pleased with it :-)

Dan

On Mon, 11 Jan 1999 11:26:51 GMT, arbiter@altavista.net (Warren Burns)
wrote:

>On 28 Dec 1998 02:09:49 GMT, sandman-1nobots@worldnet.att.net.
>(Mile-Hi) wrote:
>
>>I ask for input and got one response, I guess they are using there
>>computers to listen instead of surffing the net.
>>
>>Tim
>
>I've got the 1500e and I am very happy with it.
>
>Warren
>

1214. voice inversion scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: kce <kce@freeway.net>
Message-ID: <77dmd5$t75@news.freeway.net>
Source record: 131640

anyone out there know of a circuit or source to de scramble voice inversion type scrambling? tks kce@freeway.net

1215. Regency help !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: GREG <jetflyer@mhtc.net>
Message-ID: <s5tm2.645$S02.37380@homer.alpha.net>
Source record: 131641

I just paid 2 bux for a regency k100 scanner new in box.Appears to be an older model.Are these any good?

1216. Re: Must not be to many WinRadios Sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Gary R. Skuse, Ph.D." <gary@skuse.com>
Message-ID: <369A5FCD.20BF@skuse.com>
Source record: 131642

Dan Cooper wrote:
>
> I have a winradio 1500e, I am very pleased with it :-)
>
> Dan
>
> On Mon, 11 Jan 1999 11:26:51 GMT, arbiter@altavista.net (Warren Burns)
> wrote:
>
> >On 28 Dec 1998 02:09:49 GMT, sandman-1nobots@worldnet.att.net.
> >(Mile-Hi) wrote:
> >
> >>I ask for input and got one response, I guess they are using there
> >>computers to listen instead of surffing the net.
> >>
> >>Tim
> >
> >I've got the 1500e and I am very happy with it.
> >
> >Warren
> >

I too have a 1500e and find very little wrong with it. It does suffer
from intermod when used with a good antenna (i.e. elevated with some
gain) but that problem is completely resolved with the built-in
attenuator. The digital suite expands the functionality appreciably
and, IMHO, is definitely worth the extra $$.

-Gary

1217. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <369A5D9A.8A501215@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131643

Good description...what you are hearing is DES Encryption. Unless you have the key to it..forget it.

Rocky Sloan wrote:

> Whats going on when the local police say go privite and the frequency
> sounds like an empty channel with the squelch turned all the way down?
> Can this be beat? Thanks for any comments. Rocky
> rock56@webtv.net

1218. Re: LAX-Los Angeles Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <369A5F21.BB47928F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131644

Burbank Airport fire is on 153.935
LAX Don't have a clue.
But I will ask my dad, he is a LA County Battalion Chief (BN 6) Santa Clarita
area to the Kern county line, Ventura County line and all the way to
LA City down to the Verdugo Freewau,
E-Mail me back as a reminder if you can.

SPELL189 wrote:

> Is the FD at LAX a separate entity under the LA City Dept of Airports or are
> they part of LA City Fire? If they are with LAFD do they have an LAFD
> company/battalion number? If someone could post or email their dispatch and
> tactical frequencies I would appreciate it.
>
> How about Burbank Airport? Are they dispatched by Verdugo Fire on the red
> frequencies? ANyone know their engine/company/battalion numbers?
>
> Thanks,
> Steve
> S.J. Pellegrino 42.50 ((((|)))) 42.82 / 154.920
> |X|
> |X|
> |X|

1219. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <369A5C33.F6208AF9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131645

The normal cordless phone band uses low band vhf,...this is easily
stoped by anything in the house. But the 900 Mhz is not so easily
stopped by
your house. But it also is not the greatest band.

"Vijay S. Bajwa" wrote:

> How is it possible for a 900Mhz cordless phone (analog or
> digital) to increase the range, without increase in power?
>
> I've heard that 900Mhz digital cordless has a greater range
> than it's analog counterpart? How so?
>
> What other advantages does digital offer over analog?
>
> Thanks!
> --
> Vijay S. Bajwa

1220. Re: Basic question(s), or, rather HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <369A5CEF.E1FF530F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131646

Find a used Pro-2006 it will do everything but trunking, the Bearcat trunktracker wont do everything that the 2006 will do but it will do trunking. The pro-2006 has a extremely hot receiver and good out of band rejection for a scanner. If I were you I would purchase a 2006 and BC895 or handheld trunk-tracker. This will cover all but HF beneath 25 mhz.

David Collins wrote:

> I'm just getting into scanning, and hope someone can steer me in the right
> direction. I'm interested in following transmissions:
> 1) Public safety ("regular" and 800 mhz trunked)
> 2) Aircraft
> 3) Military
> 4) Domestic stuff (cordless telephones, etc.)
>
> I've been looking at the Bearcat 9000XLT, but I honestly don't know what all
> is out there. Looking for something that can scan all the above, has room
> for lots of channels, very versatile. Something with an alphanumeric
> display would be nice, but not absolutley required. Will the 9000 do what
> I'm looking for? I don't want to spend a whole lot (over $500). Thanks in
> advance for your help
>
> --
> David Collins
> "It was like that when I got here..."

1221. Re: Cable for BC895/Comp interface?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77dnu2adu1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131647

muddskip wrote in message <369A3FEB.4726FB1C@megsinet.net>...
>Would I be able to find this cable at my local Micro Center store?
>

No, but one can be easily made by following these instructs:
http://www.futurescanning.com/895cable.htm

-Phil
Looking for a program to run your BC895? Check out:
http://www.mghusa.com/scanner/pc895/
Simple to use and the price is right - free.
Suggestions, comments, questions and hatemail welcome,
ziggy7445@yahoo.com

1222. Re: Help! Two Data Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77do8aajp1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131648

wxman1999@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
<77b420$154$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> I'm new to trunktracking and one of the trunked systems in my area has
two
>data channels. One for police/fire/ems and the other for public works. They
>share the same frequencies however. Is there a way to lock out a data
>channel in the trunk bank so that I can listen to one or the other? I'm
using
>a RS-Pro- 2050.

Hmmm..... I've never seen such a setup before. Are there more than 30
frequencies in the whole system? I would think there would have to be for it
to have two data channels. Could you post the freq's and the FCC licensee
for us so we could research it more?

-Phil

1223. Re: Scanner Service?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77dob9ajq1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131649

FisherDoc wrote in message <19990110130746.27428.00010405@ng02.aol.com>...
>if anyone servicess canners or knows of someone pls let me know> I have a
Radio
>Shack and a Regency 1500 that need help.
>
>Regards,
>AL

Not sure about the regency, but for the Radio Shack model, I'd drop Bill
Cheek a line (bcheek@cts.com). Detail the problem and the model of scanner
and see what he says.

-Phil

1224. MDT Frequencies & how to find them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77dp4caps1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131650

I noticed a bunch of posts over the past several days from people who need MDT freq's for their area. There actually is a rather easy way to find them if you know how. See below:

Using any standard FCC database (either online or Percon's Spectrum) search for the city/county/state of interest and the radio service abbreviation of "GP". The freq's you want will likely be in the list from 851 to 868MHz. I did a spotcheck of all the known MDT freq's in the phoenix area, and they are all found in this radio service group.

-Phil
Looking for a program to run your BC895? Check out:
http://www.mghusa.com/scanner/pc895/
Simple to use and the price is right - free.
Suggestions, comments, questions and hatemail welcome,
ziggy7445@yahoo.com

1225. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Moss <enzo@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <369A6C5B.4E74@ibm.net>
Source record: 131651

Vijay S. Bajwa wrote:
>
> How is it possible for a 900Mhz cordless phone (analog or
> digital) to increase the range, without increase in power?

Simple. 900MHz "travels" through buildings better. This is especially
true when the building is made of concrete.

> I've heard that 900Mhz digital cordless has a greater range
> than it's analog counterpart? How so?

> --
> Vijay S. Bajwa

1226. Re: voice inversion scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369A69B8.4E9@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131652

kce wrote:
>
> anyone out there know of a circuit or source to de scramble voice
> inversion type scrambling? tks kce@freeway.net

Check out the following URL:

http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

1227. Re: Nextel scanning? never give it up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fox Mulder <TrustNo1@fbi.net>
Message-ID: <77drah$qom@news.webspan.net>
Source record: 131653

Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net> wrote:
>Just curious, how do you?
>Thank-You
>
>JER1538A wrote:
>
>> yes u can !!!!!!
>
>

Lease or purchase a TDMA capable service monitor.....

1228. Re: Palm Pilot control of ICOM"s PCR-1000 - Need help!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: PAW <73457.650@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <uwfHJdaP#GA.379@nih2naaa.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 131654

What functions does the program support and how much Palm Pilot
do you need?

--
PAW
(W9JMN@Compuserve.com) Check our site for mikes, ham, video, etc
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/w9jmn

1229. Re: SPCH INVERSION DESCRAMBLER WANTED...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF5F1Hp.IK3@netcom.com>
Source record: 131655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <369A44B1.201C@worldnet.att.net>,
Robert H. Eisner <rheisner@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>john wrote:
>>
>> I want to decode the scrambling of cordless phones, particularly the
>> one owned by my teenage daughter.
>> Anybody know where I can find such a device?
>>
>> hrothgar@lightspeed.net
>
>
>Check out the following URL:
>
> http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

Or just buy her a "new" one for her birthday. One of those silly neon ones
that depend on old technology. :-)

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

1230. WTB: Motorola Micor Power Amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gil Restiaux <restiaux@sprint.ca>
Message-ID: <369A21FF.1AEFFE7@sprint.ca>
Source record: 131656

Looking for Motorola Micor 12 Watt Power Amplifier UHF 450-470 Mhz
Mod # TLE1683A
Please reply with condition and price

Thanks Gil..

http://www.angelfire.com/mb/2way

1231. Kansas City Freqs - scan.htm (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: brianf@primenet.com
Message-ID: <369a8342.79067039@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 131657

http://www.primenet.com/~brianf/scan/scan.htm

1232. Re: Antenna Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <369ab29f.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 131658

>I have a BC895xlt and when I put up my rooftop antenna I get
>interference on certain freqs that sound like pager tones. I never here
>them on the little telescoping antenna or any other little antennas.
>This is really anoying, any suggestions????

Sounds like intermod as a result of using a better antenna with higher gain
than your indoor whip. It is actual signals mixing and getting into your
scanner and you're hearing the results. A lot of police departments transmit
PL, which is an encoded tone to avoid interference. If your 895 has the
ability to decode PL, then you need to find out which tone is being used and
program it in to your scanner. Otherwise, there's not a whole lot you can do
about it, especially in a metro area where there is a lot of garbage on the
air.

1233. Re: Pro-32 Any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369A8E55.61E624A3@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131659
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

feilerm@iea.com wrote:

> I recently aquired a Pro-32 scanner for $20.00 at a local pawn shop. If
> anyone has comments regarding this scanner I would love to hear them.
> Is it considered good, bad, so-so? And what's the purpose of that
> "speed" button? I didn't get a manual but it seems simple enough to
> operate. Any mods/hacks out there for this beasty?
> --
> Remove "no-junk" from e-mail address to respond.

The Pro-32 is a good (and old) scanner, circa late 80's I believe.

It's slow by todays standards, but to be honest, it did a better job of dealing with intermod and images than todays scanners, it's still the only handheld scanner I ever had that didn't get clobbered by images and pager intermod here in the Salt Lake City area (RF HELL).

If it receives well, you did good for $20.

Happy scanning,
Jon

1234. Re: Pro-32 Any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369A8F25.54AB0879@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131660
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> feilerm@iea.com wrote:
>
> I recently aquired a Pro-32 scanner for $20.00 at a local pawn shop. If
> anyone has comments regarding this scanner I would love to hear them.
> Is it considered good, bad, so-so? And what's the purpose of that
> "speed" button? I didn't get a manual but it seems simple enough to
> operate. Any mods/hacks out there for this beasty?
> --

I forgot to add: The speed button selects slow and fast (or is it slow and slower??) speed for scanning & searching.

Try this: Take off the antenna and let it scan. Push the speed button and watch the speed increase or decrease when you push it. Works the same while searching.

Jon

1235. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jbseale@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <369A8EB3.C3EF553D@iglou.com>
Source record: 131661

Well, I'll have to give 'em another look.

Brian wrote:
>
> CEI has had them for $213 for a couple months now. When you call them to
> order, They just tell you the price and if you want UPS tracking for a
> dollar or so more. I ordered my BC895 on a Monday, was on the phone for
> less then 5 minutes and received it Wednesday, no problems...They've done a
> great job for me....There is no mess with the coupon garbage, you don't
> even have to mention those.....
>
> Brian
> http://www.frontiernet.net/~shoop/freq.htm
>
> Jeff Seale wrote in message <36997036.36B3CDBC@iglou.com>...
> >But that's a rebate price. Bill's 2-Way @ http://www.bills2way.com has
> >it for $199 - no rebate implied here.
> >
> >"Jon S. Van Allen" wrote:
> >>
> >> Matt Elmore wrote:
> >>
> >> > Rob Lang wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > Anyone know where to get the best price on the BC895XLT? Best I've
> seen is
> >> > > CEI's $213.
> >> >
> >> > $213 is a good price all right. The lowest I've seen is $229 through
> Grove.
> >> > Well done!
> >> >
> >> > Matt
> >>
> >> http://www.usascan.com had them for $189 or so just a couple days ago.
> >>
> >> Jon

1236. Re: Cable for BC895/Comp interface?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jbseale@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <369A8F1E.65A66059@iglou.com>
Source record: 131662

What kinda' cable is that anyway?

muddskip wrote:
>
> Would I be able to find this cable at my local Micro Center store?

1237. Re: Cable for BC895/Comp interface?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip <muddskip@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <369A9440.96D0CBA4@megsinet.net>
Source record: 131663

Thanx i'll give it a try

Phil Smalley wrote:

> muddskip wrote in message <369A3FEB.4726FB1C@megsinet.net>...
> >Would I be able to find this cable at my local Micro Center store?
> >
>
> No, but one can be easily made by following these instructs:
> http://www.futurescanning.com/895cable.htm
>
> -Phil
> Looking for a program to run your BC895? Check out:
> http://www.mghusa.com/scanner/pc895/
> Simple to use and the price is right - free.
> Suggestions, comments, questions and hatemail welcome,
> ziggy7445@yahoo.com

1238. Re: Cleveland area CTCSS tones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15083-369A9664-23@newsd-172.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 131664

Which ones do you want? let me know,perhaps I can help.

DAVE

1239. UNIDEN BEARTRACKER 800 (NEW)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: MAPLES2000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18452-369A96CE-7@newsd-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 131665

For sale : Uniden Beartracker BCT-7 800 MHz 100 channel w/special alert for highway patrol frequencies. Brand new in the box, got for Christmas, make me an offer, please. AC/DC operation, visual and audio alerts, 12 band, CB monitor, Weather scan, Aircraft coverage, Mobile mounting kit included, DOT and fire dept scan. Also have ant.

1240. Re: Pro-32 Any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ivan Ivanovich <iivanovich@usf.com>
Message-ID: <#UNwD3cP#GA.258@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 131666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check Radio Shacks web site for complete manual. I just gave my sister-in-law my old PRO-32 to use out in WY. Very good old scanner, used it while traveling, mine was even in a car wreck and survived laying in the median strip overnight at -20 in PA. Worked fine after it warmed up.

Just does not have 800 MHz. Discontinued by RS in 1987 for $189.00 when I bought it. Orignal cost was $299.00 I believe.

Ivan Ivanovich

feilerm@iea.com wrote in message <369A424D.951F1633@iea.com>...
>
>I recently aquired a Pro-32 scanner for $20.00 at a local pawn shop. If
>anyone has comments regarding this scanner I would love to hear them.
>Is it considered good, bad, so-so? And what's the purpose of that
>"speed" button? I didn't get a manual but it seems simple enough to
>operate. Any mods/hacks out there for this beasty?
>--
>Remove "no-junk" from e-mail address to respond.
>
>

1241. Re: Bandercom: Any experiances to share?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: J Hall <kc7yje@earthlink.net.NOSPAM>
Message-ID: <77e80e$2bk$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131667

They are a reputable outfit. I had some difficulties with shipping (mostly UPS's fault) and they made a very concerted effort to rectify the problem. I did get my radio along with some compensation for the wait!

You can rest assured that if any problems arise, the folks at Bandercom will make it right. They are one of very few businesses who really care about customer service. This is why they have developed such a following here.

As for customs, you need not worry. I haven't heard any stories here about confiscations and I believe Bandercom offers some sort of guarantee against this. Check on this though. Your odds of winning powerball are probably better than getting your radio taken.

Just my input.

John
73 --- KC7YJE
<erikd@icehouse.net> wrote in message
news:77ccr5$3a6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
>I want to order a scanner from Bandercom, but two things worry me. One, they
>are sending from overseas, and two, good 'ol U.S. customs decidong to theive
>my goods. Any comments would be appreciated. By the way, Bandercom's prices
>are GREAT!
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1242. UNIDEN BEARCAT 60XLT-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: MAPLES2000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18444-369AA62E-564@newsd-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 131668

For sale : brand new in the box, got for Christmas. 30 channel programmable handheld. Lighted LCD display. AC/DC power, low battery indicator, channel lockout, 10 bands, 29 - 512 megahertz, keypad lock, weather button. Make me an offer, please.

1243. Digital scanners, do they pick up digital celular signals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Soy Bomb II <mm11720@navix.net>
Message-ID: <77eamq$69u1@iac7.navix.net>
Source record: 131669

Do these scanner pick up digital cel phones? Not looking to break the law, just wanted to know as a bit of tech. knowledge. Also can someone give me a few model numbers to check out on the web.

Thanks

1244. Re: Software ICQ7+ICR2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <77ebqe$2hd$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 131670

Thank you Goran! Great work.

Clay

Vlaski Goran wrote in message <369A8A15.6DA93B7A@t-online.de>...
>The Software for the IC-Q7 and IC-R2 had a time_check in the
>beta to remind you to update to the Release version.
>
>I've noticed I had vorget to remove it on my Skiing Holyday,
>To bad I had no Internet access there :)))))))
>
>And, who is working VERY much has a of vacation, so after
>this delay everything is fixed and uploaded.
>
>
>Have fun,
>
>
>Goran Vlaski

1245. IC-R2 Beta Software Shutdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Soy Bomb II <mm11720@navix.net>
Message-ID: <77ebva$9hs1@iac7.navix.net>
Source record: 131671

The new software is up. It's no longer a beta and it works once again. Thanks to the owner of the web site for informing me of this. The author of the software has also replied to me and stated that he is likely to add a print feature and a text function to enable one to enter descriptions of the individual channels on the PC screen within the program. This may make it easy with a scanner that holds 400 channels to keep track of what is what. I.E. Channel 2-49 could be labeled "NYPD Dispatch" I hope he adds it, with 400 channels I tend to forget what is in the more obscure ones. Anyway, thanks to the website owner and the author of the beta. They both seem like great guys. Here's the link.

http://dspace.dial.pipex.com/stephen.t/index.html

S. Bomb

1246. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <77edt7$6bj$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 131672

Vijay S. Bajwa wrote in message <369A4E69.15FB@iscp.bellcore.com>...
>How is it possible for a 900Mhz cordless phone (analog or
>digital) to increase the range, without increase in power?
>I've heard that 900Mhz digital cordless has a greater range
>than it's analog counterpart? How so?
>What other advantages does digital offer over analog?
>Thanks!
>Vijay S. Bajwa

The 900MHz has more range than the 44 - 49MHz phones due to the fact that 900MHz is more reflective and will bounce off buildings
and other objects where the 44 - 49MHz doesn't "bounce" as well. Also the digital gives you a clearer signal "less noise" so your
phone will work from farther away. As well as less usage in that band so your signal stands out instead of getting lost in the midst
of all the other phones. I have two cordless phones both AT&T one is 49 MHz and has a range of about a half a block the other is 900
MHz and has a one block plus range.

1247. Re: Cable for BC895/Comp interface?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <369AB66E.4BE5D169@globe-net.net>
Source record: 131673

You can simply build it yourself or buy it online for $20.00.
Details are at this link:

http://www.futurescanning.com/895cable.htm

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

muddskip wrote:

> Would I be able to find this cable at my local Micro Center store?

1248. LIVING!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: LAS <monkey@yayaya.com>
Message-ID: <369AB975.D1A57FEC@yayaya.com>
Source record: 131674

LIVING

When were you last 10? 20? 50?
Was it yesterday or was it today?
Does your head rest on your pillow alone?
Who shares your thoughts?

Your mouth utters there is no one else;
There is nothing else;
Does anyone really care?
Who will love you through your faults?

Why does fear drive you?
Why are you driven?
Why are you here?
Why are you?

So many people, who really cares?
Are you one amoung billions?
Are billions amoung you?
Are you?

When did your life start? When will it end?
Did it ever start? Will it ever end?
Still deeper you ask.
Will I sleep forever?

You know that you know.
Yet you lie to yourself and believe it.
Itï¿½s easy to lie, hard to believe.
No; You will not sleep forever.

From this dream you will awaken to reality.
Reality of terror or pure joy.
Your walk is not reality.
Your talk is not reality.
Your bravado is not reality
Your awakening truly will be reality.
When?
Soon!

Why do YOU tarry? Why do you continue?
Why do you continue in darkness?
Is not your mind your own?
You know.

Your spirit screams for release.
You can not find it.
Why?
Ahhh...Now we shall see.

You do not seek to be free.
A slave is fed and rests at days end.
A free man must work for that he can not fathom.
He knows you. You. You.

Will you risk eternity?
A long time indeed.
ï¿½Now is the day of salvation.ï¿½
ï¿½Now is the appointed time.ï¿½

ï¿½You have entertained angels unaware...ï¿½
Your running must stop.
He has found you. In these days he will corner you.
There is no escape from the truth.
There is no escape.

The sun is setting. Can you feel it?
The storm is on the horizon. A whirlwind.
Ignore the din..Hear his voice.
Itï¿½s just you.

In that day there will be no appeals.
Where will you sit?
Will you say ï¿½No one told me?ï¿½
Youï¿½ve been told. There is no excuse.
You have heard and know the precision of this truth.
Cry as you will; there is but one truth, for He IS truth.

All this youï¿½ve known.
Youï¿½ve always known.
You will be known forever, or never again.
Want to be a child again...to be loved..to have Him make it all better.

You have a father. He is the eternal Father.
Last call. Tomorow is not guaranteed.
The breath you breath now IS.
He will love you...ï¿½Even to the end of the world.ï¿½

1249. A Formal Reply from the LAFD: [was: LAX-Los Angeles Fire]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Humphrey <brian.humphrey@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <77eff8$dpa0$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131675

SPELL189 asked...

>Is the FD at LAX a separate entity under the LA City Dept of Airports or
are
>they part of LA City Fire?

They are contract service provided by the Los Angeles (City) Fire
Department.

http://www.lafd.org

BTW, a colorful T-Shirt with LAFD's renowned Airport Crash rig "FOAM 2" is available for sale to the general public, as are a variety of LAFD apparel items. Please see the *bottom* of this reply for details.

Steve also asked...

>If they are with LAFD do they have an LAFD
>company/battalion number?

Three of the LAFD's 102 Fire Stations are located on or near the Airport grounds at LAX, however only two of our "LAX" Fire Stations are accessible to the general public (the Crash Fire Rescue Teams at Fire Station 80 are at a secure site between the runways):

The "LAX Stations" are all within our 4th Battalion, and include:

Los Angeles Fire Department
Fire Station 80
6911 World Way West
Los Angeles, CA 90045 USA

...which houses our Crash-Fire Rescue Teams. Units from Fire Station 80 only respond to aircraft related incidents on the "field-side" of the Airport.

Our public accessible LAX Fire Stations handle both field and public area responses as well as serving the adjoining communities. They include: a single Engine Company
adjoining (around the corner from) Terminal 8 (with direct runway access):

Los Angeles Fire Department
Fire Station 51
10435 Sepulveda Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90045 USA

As well as a Task Force & Rescue Ambulance Station near "Hotel Row" on Century Boulevard (with direct runway access):

Los Angeles Fire Department
Fire Station 95
10010 International Road
Los Angeles, CA 90045 USA

An additional Task Force and Rescue Ambulance, as well as a Battalion Chief in command of our 4th Battalion are stationed nearby in the "Westchester" neighborhood Fire Station:

Los Angeles Fire Department
Fire Station 5
6621 West Manchester Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90045 USA

All emergency runs to the field are dispatched over a dedicated Crash Phone circuit from the LAX Tower. The LAFD Dispatch Center ("OCD"), like roughly a dozen agencies on the "conference call", listens to the Tower's report which is directly sent to the aforementioned Stations. OCD moments later simulcasts the response. The units however, are already underway.

The voice radio frequencies in use at LAX can be found on the LAFD's official roster

http://www.lafd.org/freqncy.htm

Generally, the dispatch simulcast will be heard on LAFD Channel 7, and for "Full Assignments", there will also be a Tactical Channel (12 -18) assigned. The LAFD crews at the LAX, like their counterparts citywide, may also use any or all of Channels 19-24 for short-range simplex communications while on the response.

I must say however, that there are certainly a multitude of more interesting radio frequencies to listen to in the LAX area, even during times of duress!

FYI, the LAFD also provides Fire and Crash/Rescue services to Van Nuys Airport, one of the nation's busiest general aviation airports. This facility is located in the San Fernando Valley:

Los Angeles Fire Department
Fire Station 90
7921 Woodley Avenue
Van Nuys, CA 91406 USA

++++++++++++++++++
I provided the addresses above so that you could plot them on a map. Before anyone fires up a word processor and tries to write a letter to these Stations, please know that L.A. City Firefighters are among the nation's busiest. Sadly, they do *NOT* have the free time to handle unsolicited correspondence at the Fire Station level. None, nada, zip, zilch. If you must contact the Department or any of its members for official reasons, please see the address and phone number that appears at the bottom of our home page at:

http://www.lafd.org

OK?

Oh... and PLEASE NOTE**: THE STANDARD LAFD UNIFORM HAS NO PATCHES.
L.A. CITY FIREFIGHTERS THEREFORE HAVE NO PATCHES (or T-SHIRTS OR
PHOTOGRAPHS) TO TRADE. Sorry!

If however, you would like to see and obtain the LAFD Collector's Edition
Patch, please visit:

http://www.lafd.org/patch.htm

If you are interested in T-SHIRTS, CAPS, MUGS, MINIATURE BADGES, and other
L.A. City Fire Apparel and accessories, please visit the Los Angeles
Firemen's Relief Association Store ("Dalmatian's Fire House") on-line at

http://www.lafd.org/store.htm

You can order T-SHIRTS, CAPS, PINS, STICKERS, PENS, and a wide variety of
other items by phone during business hours, or right now via the store's
on-line catalog! Simply visit the link above to learn more.

Steve, I hope this information proves useful. Please enjoy your visit to Los
Angeles!

Yours in Safety and Service,

Brian Humphrey
Firefighter/Paramedic
Public Information Officer
Los Angeles City Fire Department

LAFD website: http://www.lafd.org

1250. Re: AM ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <77egu9$h56$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 131676

A car radio antenna does a remarkably good job. At home a ground plane
antenna is easy to make and will also do a good job. If you don't actually
want to make it, look for two-meter amateur radio antennas. Most of them
will receive 118-136 MHz quite well.
--
John Habbinga
http://HoustonScan.com/
SHAWN wrote in message <77csoh$i4m$1@news.inc.net>...
>What is the best antenna to use for scanning airports.I have a radioshack
>external omnidirectional now but am signals are not real good.
>eagle@ez-net.com

1251. "Stealth" BCT-[2,7,10,12] Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Waits <swaits@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <369ACEEB.653AE83B@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131677

Hello all,

I just got my BCT-12 and would like to setup an antenna in my car that is not readily visible (the scanner will be mounted on the 'knee pad' under the driver side dash). The "rubber ducky" that comes with the scanner is useless, but the "wire" windshield mount antenna seems to perform quite well.

What do you use as an antenna for your BearTracker (BCT-2, BCT-7, BCT-10, or BCT-12)?

Thanks for any input!

--Steve

1252. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <7jAm2.446$CI.7689@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 131678

CEI's price included shipping. With shipping Bills is $210. I've never dealt with Bill's, but I don't recall hearing any horror stories about them. Three dollars aside, I'd buy there before CEI - the Emergency Comm Center spiel they use to justify their shipping charge seems a bit lame.

This is actually a present for a friend, I paid a whole lot more for mine when they first came out!

Rob

Jeff Seale wrote in message <36996FCD.B895E5AB@iglou.com>...
>Bill's 2-Way - $199
>http://www.bills2way.com
>
>Rob Lang wrote:
>>
>> Anyone know where to get the best price on the BC895XLT? Best I've seen is
>> CEI's $213.

1253. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <mlAm2.447$CI.7522@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 131679

And be happy they don't use it 100% of the time!

When the police operate in secret . . .
You have Secret Police!

Rob

Trevor Harris wrote in message <369A5D9A.8A501215@earthlink.net>...
>Good description...what you are hearing is DES Encryption. Unless you
>have the key to it..forget it.
>
>Rocky Sloan wrote:
>
>> Whats going on when the local police say go privite and the frequency
>> sounds like an empty channel with the squelch turned all the way down?
>> Can this be beat? Thanks for any comments. Rocky
>> rock56@webtv.net
>
>

1254. BC200XLT need calibration...HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: SuzieGreenberg <divided@sky.com>
Message-ID: <369ad434.0@news.netacc.net>
Source record: 131680

I'm unable to receive my weather + cordless phone freqs on my Bearcat 200XLT handheld. I assume that the internal tuning dial needs to be recalibrated, but don't know how this can be accomplished. Is this something I can do myself, have it repaired at any RadioShack or have it sent to the Uniden factory?

Any info would be greatly appreciated.
-Rick
ricks_picks@hotmail.com

1255. Cordless Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: john <hrothgar@lightspeed.net>
Message-ID: <369AE813.A83D0FAB@lightspeed.net>
Source record: 131681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anybody have a list of frequencies in the 900 range?

Someone posted the supposed existence of 30mhz phones? Anybody have these?

john

1256. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369AF181.5E63@usa.net>
Source record: 131682

Blake Bowers wrote:
> Then, where is the charter that you allude to? If you say someone is

Blake-

Please read the previous thread of messages. As has been well
extablished,
I did not say or allude to Mr. Cheek's violation of this newsgroup
charter,
it was "pjb" who was doing that. You should contact "pjb" if you want
information regarding Cheek's violation of the charter.

On the other hand, you could contact Peter Laws who runs the Scan-L
mailing
list or "abuse@rr.com" to find out why Cheek was kicked off of the
scan-l mailing
list & his internet account (respectively).

If I may be of any further assistance to you please feel free to ask,

Best Wishes!

1257. Re: OT:Apology dosn`t work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369AF563.935@usa.net>
Source record: 131683

odo wrote:
> Nothing but flaming and harrassing comes from John Mackey more and

You have done NOTHING but demonstrate what a fool you are!

This is a text type of NG, NOT meant for HTML as your post did.

Regarding myself, I have NEVER flamed or harassed on this NG. Rather,
I have been the TARGET OF flaming & harassment. Notice a recent
messages
I posted here congratulating the NG on a new year & mentioned NO
SPECIFIC
posts or people causing problems, yet I was the target of flames
& accusations based on fabrication.

1258. Re: MDT Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Linda Brodsky <ml488740@nassaulibrary.org>
Message-ID: <77disr$3fa@mail.nassaulibrary.org>
Source record: 131684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

G&G Communications does the mods but are awfully slow at returning units. They are honest and do good work so if you are not in a rush (@6 weeks or more turnaround time) contact Gerry at ggcomm@iinc.com or try their web page for info. Also, I think Bill Cheek does mods.

Good luck,

Linda

1259. Re: NEED INST. FOR BC2OOXLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: wjm2207@kcinter.net
Message-ID: <36998978.3597513@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 131686
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Jan 1999 11:13:55 -0800, "the shoe"
<shoemaker@attcanada.net> wrote:

>I have just purchased a bearcat 200xlt with no inst.and i can`t figure it
>out can someone help me
>

take a look at http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/pdf/BC200XLTom.pdf
and you can print it out.

Wiggie

1260. BC 185XL mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <77c69i$5m2$1@shadow.skypoint.net>
Source record: 131687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone know of any mods for this venerable (old)
16 channel scanner? I have one here that's spent
the past 10 years in a box, and just re-discovered
it.

Any info you can offer will be greatly apreciated!

Thanks in advance.

1261. Bandercom: Any experiances to share?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: erikd@icehouse.net
Message-ID: <77ccr5$3a6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131688

I want to order a scanner from Bandercom, but two things worry me. One, they are sending from overseas, and two, good 'ol U.S. customs decidong to theive my goods. Any comments would be appreciated. By the way, Bandercom's prices are GREAT!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1262. AOR AR800 command list (not AR8000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <77c8tp$6l8$1@shadow.skypoint.net>
Source record: 131689
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I have one of the first AR800 hand held scanners from
AOR. It's about 10 years old, and works fine. It gets
870-890 mHZ without mods, but I dont's have any documentation
on the unit. It seems to have a strange command sequence
that I'm not able to figure out.

Does anyone know where I can find a list of operating
commands for this old scanner?

Thanks...

1263. Re: Pro 91 Mod Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <3699CFD1.1F79@compuserve.com>
Source record: 131690

If you bought a PRO-91 with the intention of modifying it to receive cellular phone traffic (the most common mod request), you should have done more research first. Current US laws require Uniden (maker of the PRO-91) to purposely make it difficult or impossible to modify the unit to receive cellular phone traffic.

T. Scott Anderson wrote:
>
> I have checked most of my sources and have yet to find information about
> any type of Pro-91 Mods. Can anyone point me in the right direction?
>
> Thanks,
> TSA
>
> ICQ 7487812 HP http://travis.scs.wsu.edu

1264. Two versions of the PRO-23?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <77camg$6l8$2@shadow.skypoint.net>
Source record: 131691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone know if there are two versions of the RS Pro-23?
The keyboard mod that everyone talks about is 2-9-Lockout,
but the results are one of two outcomes. All channels
are erased, and the first 17 channels are 'restored'
by the mod. This is where the controversary begins:

On my radio I get the frequencies as follows:

CH.#: Freq:
1 140.000
2 155.000
3 170.000
4 410.000
5 460.000
6 510.000
7 109.000
8 118.000
9 127.000
10 135.000
11 810.000
12 860.000
13 950.000

Other owners report this set of frequencies:

Channel-Frequency
1-138.150
2-162.400
3-173.225
4-406.875
5-453.250
6-511.9125
7-108.500
8-118.800
9-127.1750
10-135.500
11-157.800
12-482.3625
13-806.000
14-857.200
15-888.960
16-911.500
17-954.9125

This is important, because #15 is a cellular range frequency, and is used a a 'bridge' into that band. You are prevented from entereing frequencies from this band directly, but you can use #15 as a search location and then capture the frequencies in your memory from then on.

Does anyone here know if there are two versions of the firmware or how to identify them? This is must my theory. If you know, please share the info.

Thanks.

1265. Pro-43...not so hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: mplanck@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77cffs$588$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131692

I got a pro-43 a little while ago. I have never owned a triple-conversion scanner before so I had no idea what to expect, but I did think it would be noticeably better. I have had experience with a bunch of (different manufacturer's) scanners, but I heard people raving about the pro-43 (there were even some "which is the best handheld scanner to get?" posts in this newsgroup, and they were answered with "pro-43" more times than not)

But when I hooked my '43 up and started listening, I wasn't really impressed with it.

First off- the scanner seems to like to wait around on channels after a transmission even when there is no signal.. and I DO NOT have 'delay' set. This might be due to repeaterized stations having a very very quiet signal that stays 'keyed up' for a bit after the mobile user stops talking... but I don't think so (mental note to self, check this against another scanner)

I had a lot of frequencies that I had to lock out because they would just "stutter" and cause the scanner to stay on that frequency. Sort of like a 'Ch-ch-ch-ch-ch-ch' sound.

I have some frequencies where I hear two conversations at once! I don't recall EVER having this problem with another scanner (except maybe my terrible Pro-34 but I think I've mentally blocked out most of this experience). Some of the time, I recognized one of the stations as a one that is within a few blocks of me, who knows how many watts...

Most of the frequencies below 30 Mhz will open squelch and just sit there, especially with my computer on.

So, all in all, nothing mega-serious, but just enough to make me say "Why did I drop $200 on this radio when it's not any better, and maybe worse, than my simple, dual-conversion scanners?)

NOW, before you all go crazy on me, keep this in mind: YES, I know that computers can cause a whole lot of interference, and YES, I know, 'what do I expect with a powerful station only a few blocks away', BUT... I have had other scanners in the same location, under the same circumstances and WITHOUT the same problems!

These things make it better:

Turning off the computer (duh)

Using internal ni-cd batteries instead of the Radio Shack 9V power supply

Using my discone antenna instead of a mag-mount antenna stuck out the window (don't laugh:)

Listening at night

Now, I have connected the power supply to my oscilloscope to see if there's any bad ripple, and it's almost perfectly flat. As for the other two factors, again, I've used other scanners under the same conditions (same position, same electrical outlet, same crappy antenna, etc...) and not had these problems, (most notably a lowly pro-30, 16 ch scanner)

The radio is second hand, so I can't speak for it's previous owner (who worked for law enforcement, so who knows if it's been in a radio car, with a 150 watt V or UHF transciever in the near field...) but I have not opened it and it does not appear to be cell modified, so I'm guessing it's never been opened up.

If it was very close to a transmitter, could these problems be traced to a 'blown front end'? has anyone ever heard of a radio that has just had it's sensitivity reduced a little by a blown rx preamp? Or is it an 'all or nothing' thing where the RX is completely dead?

I do not have much SMT experience, but has anyone replaced blown front ends on this radio?

Last question: Is there a mod to reduce hysterisis on the '43 squelch?

THANKS FOR READING THIS!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1266. CHAT FOR SCANNERS ON DALNET #Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rupert Ramirez <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <77ccdu$5ot$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 131693

```
come to #scanning for chat about scanners
```

1267. Re: AOR AR800 command list (not AR8000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg <n3oyr@REMOVEhome.net>
Message-ID: <3699BF70.63D6@REMOVEhome.net>
Source record: 131694
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

AOR AR800 Commands? wrote:
>
> Hi,
>
> I have one of the first AR800 hand held scanners from
> AOR. It's about 10 years old, and works fine. It gets
> 870-890 mHZ without mods, but I dont's have any documentation
> on the unit. It seems to have a strange command sequence
> that I'm not able to figure out.
>
> Does anyone know where I can find a list of operating
> commands for this old scanner?
>
> Thanks...

http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/manuals.htm
I think it programs like the AR 1000/2000-
-Greg

1268. LAX-Los Angeles Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: spell189@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990111040723.01779.00001915@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 131695

Is the FD at LAX a separate entity under the LA City Dept of Airports or are they part of LA City Fire? If they are with LAFD do they have an LAFD company/battalion number? If someone could post or email their dispatch and tactical frequencies I would appreciate it.

How about Burbank Airport? Are they dispatched by Verdugo Fire on the red frequencies? ANyone know their engine/company/battalion numbers?

Thanks,
Steve
S.J. Pellegrino 42.50 ((((|)))) 42.82 / 154.920
|X|
|X|
|X|

1269. how too make a junkbox antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: DRWHO10@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17442-3699BE17-6@newsd-251.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 131696

1. take an antenna from a old cordless phone base
2. a ring tongue connector no bigger than the ring at the base of the antenna
3. a PL 259 screw on outer ring and a PL 259 bnc adapter a nut & screw together both antenna and wire connector put in center hole of adapter put on outer ring 1 1/2 turns use a glue gun or epoxie to fill in ring let cool or dry and that is the junk box antenna good for scanner or cb hh want more info or let me know how it went post or email 10-7 (((((l)))))

1270. Discriminator Question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: roberts70@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990111044939.01052.00009645@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 131697

I'm looking to tap one of my radios,can anyone tell me which radio would the easiest? I have (1)BC200XLT,(1)Pro-43,(1)BC2500XLT.
Any response appreciated!
Randy

1271. Re: AOR AR800 command list (not AR8000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <$NAOOcA73cm2Ew3A@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 131698
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <77c8tp$6l8$1@shadow.skypoint.net>, AOR AR800 Commands?
<nospam@hotmail.com> writes

Hi,

>Does anyone know where I can find a list of operating
>commands for this old scanner?

You can download the instruction leaflet in pdf file format for the
AR800 from the AOR UK Web site.

http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/manuals

Have fun.
Cheers,

/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K.	AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Commtel 205/216/510, Icom IC-R2/R10/PCR1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627 \ Realistic PRO-26/2042, Standard AX400B
Clough@javiation.co.uk \ UBC-3000XLT/9000XLT, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000
http://www.javiation.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000
\--/

1272. Re: Help! Problem With My 895xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <77clvobdh1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 131699
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

first,are you programing your trunked channels while in trunking mode(must be in trunking mode to program correctly),second is your antenna made for 800mhz?

1273. Re: any uk scanners about

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: maggnum@webtel.net.au
Message-ID: <3699dccf.2348803@news.webtel.net.au>
Source record: 131700

On 5 Jan 1999 14:10:05 GMT, lozsing@aol.com (Lozsing) wrote:

>intrested in hearing fron any uk people intrested in scanning

Try the UK scanner news group

alt.radio.scanner.uk

1274. TECHTOYZ Question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolph <dolph@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3699c11a.0@news.qnet.com>
Source record: 131701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello All
I'm looking for a frequency counter. I'm currently looking at the
$99.00 one from RS or the Techtoyz or the Opto Cub.Can anyone help me out?
Info/quality/experiences? (good-bad?)
All Responses Appreciated!
Randy

1275. Re: Must not be to many WinRadios Sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: arbiter@altavista.net
Message-ID: <3699dfb8.8391032@news-server>
Source record: 131702

On 28 Dec 1998 02:09:49 GMT, sandman-1nobots@worldnet.att.net.
(Mile-Hi) wrote:

>I ask for input and got one response, I guess they are using there
>computers to listen instead of surffing the net.
>
>Tim

I've got the 1500e and I am very happy with it.

Warren

1276. Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: rock56@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29740-3699DBE4-2@newsd-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 131703

Whats going on when the local police say go privite and the frequency sounds like an empty channel with the squelch turned all the way down? Can this be beat? Thanks for any comments. Rocky
rock56@webtv.net

1277. Re: RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: _DEFAULT <_DEFAULT@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <77cqgh$4gag$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glen: I tried both the Pro-90 (handheld) and Pro-2050 (base). Both work get as far as following the trunked freqs I listen to in So Calif area, but the reception, intermodulation and front end over is a problem. Sounds like the dispatchers or patrolmen are talking under water, through a tunnel, etc during majority of receptions. I don't particularly like them, but I have no other choice (other than a Uniden), in order to listen to the police, etc in my area.

1278. IC-Q7 Mailing List - NEW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew M Cohn <007@planetarymotion.net>
Message-ID: <3699F1D3.7F02@planetarymotion.net>
Source record: 131705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

New mailing list for owners of the Icom IC-Q7A transceiver. The list is brand new, so there may not be any postings yet.

To subscribe, go to http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/ic-q7

Enjoy...

andy
IC-Q7A Comments page:
http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/2178

1279. Suggestions for use of Sony 7600G

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian <matakana@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01be3c96$2e2218a0$df38e9d1@imalways.tig.com.au>
Source record: 131706

Hi there,

I own a Sony 7600G. Is it possible to listen to more than just the
international broadcasters (BBC, etc) ?
I live in the northern suburbs of Sydney (Australia), and would appreciate
any
suggestions and/or frequencies to try.

Ian

1280. IC-Q7 Mailing List - NEW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew M Cohn <007@planetarymotion.net>
Message-ID: <3699F4B1.3D68@planetarymotion.net>
Source record: 131707

New mailing list for owners of the Icom IC-Q7A transceiver.

To subscribe, go to http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/ic-q7

Enjoy...

andy
IC-Q7A Comments page:
http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/2178

1281. Re: Happy New Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <77cslf$2c8$0@207.18.224.237>
Source record: 131708

On Sun, 10 Jan 1999 18:12:06 -0800, John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
wrote:

>K patterson wrote:
>> NO you did not start the thread nor the issue. But you did make the comment,
>> more than once that he did violate this "charter" Thats all I was saying...
>
>OK, so I have made comments. What is your point? I've made comments
>about
>a lot of things!

Then, where is the charter that you allude to? If you say someone is
violating it, then you should be able to produce it.

1282. AM ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <77csoh$i4m$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 131709

What is the best antenna to use for scanning airports.I have a radioshack external omnidirectional now but am signals are not real good.
eagle@ez-net.com

1283. Re: Pro-43...not so hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <77cukp$20b@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 131710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The PRO-43 reception problems you describe are most
likely caused by overload from strong signals and
interference generated by your PC.

You mentioned using the PRO-43 below 30 MHz, which
leads me to suspect it has been modified.
The stock PRO-43 doesn't have a front end designed
for shortwave reception.

Put the soldering iron away.
As you already surmised, you can improve reception
by turning off the PC and avoiding
the outdoor mag-mount antenna which overloads the PRO-43.
Good luck.

In article <77cffs$588$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
<mplanck@my-dejanews.com> wrote:

>I got a pro-43 a little while ago...
>But when I hooked my '43 up and started listening,
> I wasn't really impressed with it.
>
>.. the scanner seems to like to wait around on channels after a
>transmission even when there is no signal.

>a lot of frequencies ... they would just
>"stutter" and cause the scanner to stay on that frequency. Sort of like a
>'Ch-ch-ch-ch-ch-ch' sound.
>.. I hear two conversations at once!..
>Most of the frequencies below 30 Mhz will open squelch
>and just sit there, especially with my computer on....
--

==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S AMPS/PCS Advanced Systems Development Lab
parnass@bell-labs.com Bell Laboratories / Lucent Technologies

1284. Re: bc860xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <nospam-shoop@tctc.com>
Message-ID: <77cvmk$nn8$1@news.wintek.com>
Source record: 131711

I have a BC860xlt and cell images can be heard from 894.0250 to 915.000

I think it's a Double and not triple conversion scanner. I have the
BC895xlt and love it. It's so much better at everything then the 860.

Brian
http://www.frontiernet.net/~shoop/freq.htm

JCM1168 wrote in message <19990109224936.28531.00010782@ng39.aol.com>...
>If you go to the uniden site you should be able to see the specs on the
>bearcats scanners...
>1990 ZX10
>J.McGrath Communications all your 10/11meter needs
>www.usaplaza.com/JMAC/index.htm
>To Email Remove NOSPAM
>
>

1285. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <nospam-shoop@tctc.com>
Message-ID: <77d06e$o1q$1@news.wintek.com>
Source record: 131712

CEI has had them for $213 for a couple months now. When you call them to order, They just tell you the price and if you want UPS tracking for a dollar or so more. I ordered my BC895 on a Monday, was on the phone for less then 5 minutes and received it Wednesday, no problems...They've done a great job for me....There is no mess with the coupon garbage, you don't even have to mention those.....

Brian
http://www.frontiernet.net/~shoop/freq.htm

Jeff Seale wrote in message <36997036.36B3CDBC@iglou.com>...
>But that's a rebate price. Bill's 2-Way @ http://www.bills2way.com has
>it for $199 - no rebate implied here.
>
>"Jon S. Van Allen" wrote:
>>
>> Matt Elmore wrote:
>>
>> > Rob Lang wrote:
>> >
>> > > Anyone know where to get the best price on the BC895XLT? Best I've
seen is
>> > > CEI's $213.
>> >
>> > $213 is a good price all right. The lowest I've seen is $229 through
Grove.
>> > Well done!
>> >
>> > Matt
>>
>> http://www.usascan.com had them for $189 or so just a couple days ago.
>>
>> Jon

1286. Icom R7100 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ruffin Blackard <ruffin@pagesz.net>
Message-ID: <369A06CB.6F41A97C@pagesz.net>
Source record: 131713

IC R7100 Original box only used maybe 100 hours. Speech interface and
RS232 interface included. Scancat Gold software. Much more scanner
than I need at this time. Cellular included. S/N 01166

Raleigh, NC Area
Best offer, please only serious inquiries.

email ruffin@pagesz.net

1287. Re: Pro-43...not so hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: hackercentral@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77da2qrcl1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mr. Parnass! Thanks for your response...

Sorry, I meant below 50 mhz! Don't know why I typed 30.... I'm talking about fequencies in 39 and 40 mhz range, local VFD voice pagers mostly.

Also, here's the 'meat' of my question:

Why is my Pro-43 , (with my computer off, with its rubber duck antenna, running off of Nicds) about as 'good' as my cheap Pro-30, with my computer on, running from a power supply, with the external antenna attached?

Some may say "if its so much better, throw away the pro-43 and use the pro-30!" But I paid much more for the 43 and expected it to be much better! I would almost compare it to my (blech) pro-34!

In article <77cukp$20b@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>,
parnass@bell-labs.com wrote:
> The PRO-43 reception problems you describe are most
> likely caused by overload from strong signals and
> interference generated by your PC.
>
> You mentioned using the PRO-43 below 30 MHz, which
> leads me to suspect it has been modified.
> The stock PRO-43 doesn't have a front end designed
> for shortwave reception.
>
> Put the soldering iron away.
> As you already surmised, you can improve reception
> by turning off the PC and avoiding
> the outdoor mag-mount antenna which overloads the PRO-43.
> Good luck.
>
> In article <77cffs$588$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
> <mplanck@my-dejanews.com> wrote:
>
> >I got a pro-43 a little while ago...
> >But when I hooked my '43 up and started listening,
> > I wasn't really impressed with it.
> >
> >.. the scanner seems to like to wait around on channels after a
> >transmission even when there is no signal.
>
> >a lot of frequencies ... they would just
> >"stutter" and cause the scanner to stay on that frequency. Sort of like a
> >'Ch-ch-ch-ch-ch-ch' sound.

> >.. I hear two conversations at once!..
> >Most of the frequencies below 30 Mhz will open squelch
> >and just sit there, especially with my computer on....
> --
>
> ==========
> Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S AMPS/PCS Advanced Systems Development Lab
> parnass@bell-labs.com Bell Laboratories / Lucent Technologies
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1288. Re: Rat Shack Discone scanner antena or HRO scanner antena for +30$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschnnospam@fast.net>
Message-ID: <77d5u2aie1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 131715

The HRO is made by Diamond [http://www.rfparts.com/diamond/] and IMHO is much better constructed than the RS. Plus it has the sub 25Mhz whip which the RS does not have.

Clay

Mile-Hi wrote in message <36997e46.45037837@netnews.worldnet.att.net>...
>I am going to buy a discone antena but I would like to know if the
>Radio Shack antena is the same antena that HRO sells, It says its
>stainless but I have a cheap RS antena now and it fell apart so the
>discone is $59 at RS and $89 at HRO..
>Thanks
>Tim
>

1289. amplifier recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Haas <c10250@email.mot.com>
Message-ID: <77d3dn$dpl3@nntp.cig.mot.com>
Source record: 131716

Does anyone have any recommendations or suggestions on a particular brand of pre-amp for use with an antenna. I have the antenna in my attic, so it is shielded from the weather.

Thanks in andvance,

Ken

1290. Re: RadioShack Trunktracker Scanner Opinions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369A1C34.42424C09@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

_DEFAULT wrote:

> Glen: I tried both the Pro-90 (handheld) and Pro-2050 (base). Both work
> get as far as following the trunked freqs I listen to in So Calif area, but
> the reception, intermodulation and front end over is a problem. Sounds like
> the dispatchers or patrolmen are talking under water, through a tunnel, etc
> during majority of receptions. I don't particularly like them, but I have
> no other choice (other than a Uniden), in order to listen to the police, etc
> in my area.

Glen,
I've used my Pro-90 in SoCal many times when I was working there, it worked fine. LA
is a particlularly harsh RF environment though, intermod and image problems are all but inevitable.

You do have other choices besides Uniden for Trunk-tracking such as Optocom and Optotrakker, TrunkTracker and others.

Jon

1291. MDT Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zook Music <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
Message-ID: <916074010.256509@soam.wwnet.net>
Source record: 131718
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is there a company where I can send my scanner to have a descriminator output added for MDT or a company where you can order scanner with decriminbator outputs already installed?

A plethora of gratitude to all who aide my inquiry!

Paul Zook
www.zookmusic.com
lazpaz@teleweb.net

1292. Re: Automatic tape recorder control..Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zook Music <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
Message-ID: <916074215.117931@soam.wwnet.net>
Source record: 131719
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A plethora of gratitude to all who aided in my inquiry!

www.zookmusic.com

1293. Palm Pilot control of ICOM"s PCR-1000 - Need help!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis Cain <wedge@gate.net>
Message-ID: <369A215F.FFCF7BC@gate.net>
Source record: 131720
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,comp.sys.palmtops.pilot,alt.comp.sys.palmtops.pilot,rec.radio.scanner

Ok,
Back in November I ran across a neat little program that Rich Gopstein had written for the Palm Pilot, to control a fairly popular computer controlled wideband radio scanner, (ICOM's PCR-1000). It works quite well, however limited in function.
I have contacted Rich Gopstein, and asked him if he had any intentions on continuing work on this project, and he does not. (DAMMIT!)
He has however, offered the source code to anyone wanting to continue the work with his blessings. The original text of his message follows :

-Thanks for the comments. No, I don't have a new version, and
-I'm not planning on making any new changes to it - but - anyone
-who wants the source code can have it. If so, there is a zip
-file with the complete source in:

http://members.home.com/herbgo/rich/pcrpilot.zip

-That's the only pilot program I wrote. It was something to learn
-pilot programming on.

-Rich (KD2CQ)

Would anyone be willing to continue this work? I have the compiled program, and source. Or it's available at Rich's site.
Please contact me if you are interested.

Dennis Cain
KE4CWS

1294. Re: Bandercom: Any experiances to share?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "A.V.I." <avi.panoramic@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <77dbbn$md5$1@camel25.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131721

They are quick.

However, a friend ordered from them, and they charged his credit card twice
for the same radio.

In article <77ccr5$3a6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, erikd@icehouse.net wrote:

>I want to order a scanner from Bandercom, but two things worry me. One, they
>are sending from overseas, and two, good 'ol U.S. customs decidong to theive
>my goods. Any comments would be appreciated. By the way, Bandercom's prices
>are GREAT!
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1295. Re: AM ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <369A33C5.450CB47A@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 131722

SHAWN wrote:
> What is the best antenna to use for scanning airports.

If you're talking about 118-137, my testing of a number
of handhelds shows a 15-25" telescoping whip works
nicely. The Radio Shack 20-006 and Watson W889 proved
to do nicely.

You might want to look over my Handheld Antenna Review:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/rev_hant.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1296. Basic question(s), or, rather HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Collins <david-collins@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <77dd2h$g9q@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131723

I'm just getting into scanning, and hope someone can steer me in the right direction. I'm interested in following transmissions:
1) Public safety ("regular" and 800 mhz trunked)
2) Aircraft
3) Military
4) Domestic stuff (cordless telephones, etc.)

I've been looking at the Bearcat 9000XLT, but I honestly don't know what all is out there. Looking for something that can scan all the above, has room for lots of channels, very versatile. Something with an alphanumeric display would be nice, but not absolutley required. Will the 9000 do what I'm looking for? I don't want to spend a whole lot (over $500). Thanks in advance for your help

--
David Collins
"It was like that when I got here..."

1297. Yupi MVT-7100: Better than IC-R10, A8000 & DJ-X10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: erikd@icehouse.net
Message-ID: <77dib9$38l$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131724

Comments? I am seriously considering getting this rig. Computer interface is not a problem with me, I don't really need it. I want good, solid performance, and this one looks very appealing. Any comments are appreciated. Thanks!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1298. Re: Pro-43...not so hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <77dddh$51s@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 131725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <77da2qrcl1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
<hackercentral@my-dejanews.com> wrote:

>...Also, here's the 'meat' of my question:
>
>Why is my Pro-43 , (with my computer off, with its rubber duck antenna,
>running off of Nicds) about as 'good' as my cheap Pro-30, with my computer
>on, running from a power supply, with the external antenna attached?

Did you phrase this backward? I interpret what you typed
to mean the old PRO-30 requires an outdoor antenna and is
less sensitive than the older PRO-43.

Triple conversion doesn't necessarily mean higher sensitivity.
If the "front end" filtering is implemented properly,
and the 1st IF is high in frequency (say, > 200 MHz),
the triple conversion receiver with the high IF
should provide *better image rejection*
than the scanner with a 10.7 MHz IF, but not much more.

Better image rejection is a function of the 1st
IF and front end filtering, not the number of conversion stages.

I owned about 8 PRO-30s (7 defective ones) and 1 PRO-43.
The PRO-43 affords wider frequency coverage, more channels,
and faster scanning in a smaller scanner.

As an experiment, leave your PC's cpu on but turn off and
unplug your monitor. See if most of the interference disappears.
--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1299. ubc120xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikele <misel@eunet.yu>
Message-ID: <77dcs5$5ev$1@SOLAIR2.EUnet.yu>
Source record: 131726

I need mod's for my uniden bc120xlt europe version with 9 band ,to listen
29-55Mhz ,actualy a have 66-88 mhz, USA ver. cover 29-55mhz
can someone help me
misel@eunet.yu

1300. Pro-32 Any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: feilerm@iea.com
Message-ID: <369A424D.951F1633@iea.com>
Source record: 131727
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I recently aquired a Pro-32 scanner for $20.00 at a local pawn shop. If anyone has comments regarding this scanner I would love to hear them. Is it considered good, bad, so-so? And what's the purpose of that "speed" button? I didn't get a manual but it seems simple enough to operate. Any mods/hacks out there for this beasty?
--
Remove "no-junk" from e-mail address to respond.

1301. PLECTRON HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Stertzbach <stertzba@raex.com>
Message-ID: <77des0$1jv$1@tenax.raex.com>
Source record: 131728
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have just fallen into 10 plectron chief units with battery backup, none of which work proper. The main problem is with the power board probably the charger overheated and burned up, but I need to know if anyone has the scematic for it or a replacement board for them.

Thank you for any help ..... Richard

stertzba@raex.com

1302. Re: hamilton freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <1rrm2.45$gR4.177@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 131729

the shoe wrote in message ...
>can anyone help me i am looking for frequency`s for the hamilton area

For Hamilton, Ontario, go to the NF2G Scannist Pages - Ontario Section and click on Hamilton-Wentworth.

http://nf2g.com/scannist/ontario_index.html

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

1303. Cable for BC895/Comp interface?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip <muddskip@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <369A3FEB.4726FB1C@megsinet.net>
Source record: 131730

Would I be able to find this cable at my local Micro Center store?

1304. Re: Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: DAB <daburroughs@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01be3d95$df6aea60$28f377ce@dfsfs>
Source record: 131731

> I heard it on my scanner while the scanner was tuned to one of ten
> 30 MHz cordless phone channels.

the cordless phones even use 6-meter freqs sometimes! I wonder what the
hams think!

1305. Re: amplifier recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Danny R. Barnes" <danny315@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <369A4E03.CD41663F@prodigy.net>
Source record: 131732

Any wide band television antenna amplifier will work with all the unusual replies about noise increase and other sundry comments. I use a 50-900 Mhz WalMart with a RS Discone and handhelds at local refinery and chemical plants come in readable. Also police handheld and local lowpower vhf-uhf handhelds used by workcrews 4-5 miles away. Use various connectors to connect antenna to amp to radio and it works. I have found these at garage sales and flea markets for as little as $1.00.

Ken Haas wrote:

> Does anyone have any recommendations or suggestions on a particular brand of
> pre-amp for use with an antenna. I have the antenna in my attic, so it is
> shielded from the weather.
>
> Thanks in andvance,
>
> Ken

1306. How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Vijay S. Bajwa" <vbajwa@iscp.bellcore.com>
Message-ID: <369A4E69.15FB@iscp.bellcore.com>
Source record: 131733

How is it possible for a 900Mhz cordless phone (analog or
digital) to increase the range, without increase in power?

I've heard that 900Mhz digital cordless has a greater range
than it's analog counterpart? How so?

What other advantages does digital offer over analog?

Thanks!
--
Vijay S. Bajwa

1307. Cordless phone recs (KX-TCC942?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Vijay S. Bajwa" <vbajwa@iscp.bellcore.com>
Message-ID: <369A4FAC.59E2@iscp.bellcore.com>
Source record: 131734

Does anyone have recommendations about the panasonic
cordless phone KX-TCC942 specifically about it's range
and clarity?

I'm looking for a cordless w/ the following features,
appreciate recommendations, thanks! :

1. 900 Mhz or better
2. Preferably digital
3. Caller Id
4. Digital answering system
5. Message playback from the handset

So far only the above panasonic model fits the bill, but is
not digital.

Appreciate your input!
--
Vijay S. Bajwa

1308. Re: digital scanners? Why are they illegal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF5EtyD.76t@netcom.com>
Source record: 131735

In article <369808BB.4AF6@us.hsanet.net>,
Gil Stamper <gstamper@us.hsanet.net> wrote:

>Under current US law, and FCC regulation (not necessarily the same
>thing) it is perfectly legal to attempt to listen to digital
>transmissions from any source, including public safety. The only thing
>stoppong someone from making a scanner capable of doing so, is
>profitability. Under current US law, and FCC regulation the only thing
>that scanners cannot legally pick up is conversations from cellular
>telephones. It is currently illegal to manufacturer or sell within the
>boarders of the US scanners capable of picking up cellular telephone
>transmissions. Other countries do not have such a law and companies
>manufacturing scanners in those countries still cover the cellular
>frequencies. You can attempt to import one of those scanners either via
>mail, shipping, or personal carry, but if you are stopped or the package
>is intecepted, by US Customs you may pay a fine, or might even do jail
>time.

I'm not confusing this with cellular, but when I did some research a while
back I was quite sure that the laws stated that the digital signal used
gave some sort of "implied" level of security and that for you to "reverse"
this signal to listen to the normal intelligible analog audio was illegal.

Naturally I can't site this and I can't remember if it was at the state (CA)
or federal level. I just remember making a mental note thinking that this
is how POCSAG and MDT listening can be considered not legal.

Having said all this, digital scanners would be very interesting from a
technology point of view. I can't imagine that you could have one scanner
that would do-all, there are many different methods of digital audio and
compression techniques and probably no true established industry standards
yet.

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

1309. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <77bippddi1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131757

I've heard activity on 10 Meters, but never 6 M. Then again, I search the 10 M band more often since skip conditions are more prevalent. For both of these bands, you need long antennas. I use a long telescoping whip (RS 20-206) on my handheld and it sometimes gets activity on 10 M. "Rubber-duck" and short telescoping whips will rarely get anything in the VHF low band. Check 6 M during January and May-June for sporadic E-skip. Good luck.

In article <7793h1eek1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> My scanner can pickup 10 meters and 6 meters. Has anyone ever
> herd anything on these bands?
>
> ------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1310. Re: IC-Q7A diode mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: msmithee@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <77bkj7equ1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131758
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.vhf-uhf
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

There is placement for 7 diodes but you should only have 4 diodes inplace. diodes are #'rd from top to bottom 9,8,12,7,11,6 and 10. I removed all four. If you have more than four let me know. One other message recommended only removing three of the four. #'s 9,11 and 10. I guess either would work.

article <3697F242.9954A963@bv.net>,
mcguyver@bv.net wrote:
>
> --------------787CD20E124F4485F4808487
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
> Does anyone know which diodes(of the 7) to remove for full UHF TX. I realize cellular is unlockable, but need the UHF.
>
> --------------787CD20E124F4485F4808487
> Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>
> Does anyone know which diodes(of
> the 7) to remove for full UHF TX. I realize cellular is unlockable, but
> need the UHF.
>
> --------------787CD20E124F4485F4808487--
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1311. Re: Antenna lengths question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@mail.blinx.de>
Message-ID: <36994057.BF199CB6@mail.blinx.de>
Source record: 131759

dolph wrote:

> Hello
> Can anyone lead me in the right direction for a faq on different
> antenna lengths for monitoring different frequency ranges?
> Any response appreciated!
> Randy

Take the lightspeed in kilometers/second and divide it through frequency in khz and you get the wavelength in meters.
for example: 300.000 dvided by 30.000khz is wavelength 10 meters.
or another example: halfwave-antenna-length is 17cm so full length is 34cm= 0,34meters
300.000 divided by 0.34 is 882.352,941 khz =882,352941 Mhz

To get the legth in inches divide the meter-measure by 0,0254
example: 3,685 meters divided by 0,0254 is 145,0787 inches.

Now its to you to do some mathematics on 1/4, 1/2, 5/8, 3/4 and full wavelegths antennas ;-))

regards
odo

1312. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Elmore <mattel2@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3698D552.1782FC04@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131760

Rob Lang wrote:

> Anyone know where to get the best price on the BC895XLT? Best I've seen is
> CEI's $213.

$213 is a good price all right. The lowest I've seen is $229 through Grove.
Well done!

Matt

1313. Re: Wanted: Converter for 800 mhz blocked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: deforger@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110200205.06884.00011161@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 131761

I have a Ramsey converter that I assembled from a kit. I assembled it and never used it. I've never field tested it.If iterested let me know.
Robert

1314. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36994E20.EF321B60@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131762

Matt Elmore wrote:

> Rob Lang wrote:
>
> > Anyone know where to get the best price on the BC895XLT? Best I've seen is
> > CEI's $213.
>
> $213 is a good price all right. The lowest I've seen is $229 through Grove.
> Well done!
>
> Matt

http://www.usascan.com had them for $189 or so just a couple days ago.

Jon

1315. Re: WTB:Digital scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barney Rules! <dutchguy_SPAM@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <77bj7d$lc0$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 131763

For 10 million I can get you such stuff... send me your phonenumber and I will contact you a.s.a.p

Olive wrote in message <36991522.D9443A01@2.sbbs.se>...
>I would like to buy a digital scanner or a decoder to connect

1316. Bearcat 200XLT Battery Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@vision.org
Message-ID: <36994fd3.12911206@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131764

Where can I get a new battery for my Bearcat 200XLT? After 5+ years
mine is dead.

1317. Sony ICF-SC1PC Handheld? Or Other?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@vision.org
Message-ID: <36994e6f.12555476@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131765

I'm going nuts trying to find the best handheld scanner. I saw in
Popular Mechanics an ad for the Sony PC handheld, which looks neat.
I want to scan police trunking units.
Can someone help me?
Also, can any of these be modifed to receive freq?

Thanks

1318. FS: Nite Logger Tape Recorder Activator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeep73cj5@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110203221.08527.00009091@ng34.aol.com>
Source record: 131766

There has been alot of postings recently about a device to control a tape recorder using the output from a scanner. The Nite Logger has been mentioned and I have one for sale.
It plugs into the output (earphone jack) on your scanner and the other end plugs into your cassette recorder (mic and remote on/off jack).
You can adjust the unit to activate your recorder when the squelch level is exceeded.
You can also montior the channel being recorded thru the Nite Loggers built in volume controlled speaker, which you can't do by simply using a vox recorder.

The unit is in perfect conditon and complete with simple instructions.

My price = $45 + $5 shipping

If interested drop me a line.

Thanks,

Steve Lorenz - jeep73cj5@aol.com

1319. Re: WTB:Digital scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990110204301.01158.00010507@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 131767

>I would like to buy a digital scanner or a decoder to connect
>to an analog scanner,that convert digital transmissions from
>cellular phones and similar digital transmissions to analog
>voice audio . . . . .

Yet another troll climbs out from under the bridge.

JW

1320. Re: Help! Two Data Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <17FCEBB797815BD7.0C253E0A1C71514E.D8FF3F3D2595E43F@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 131768

Where are you located?

On Sun, 10 Jan 1999 20:54:24 GMT, wxman1999@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> I'm new to trunktracking and one of the trunked systems in my area has two
>data channels. One for police/fire/ems and the other for public works. They
>share the same frequencies however. Is there a way to lock out a data
>channel in the trunk bank so that I can listen to one or the other? I'm using
>a RS-Pro- 2050.
>
> Thanks,
> Len
> lennyd4@hotmail.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1321. Re: Help! Two Data Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990110204753.01166.00010554@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 131769

Len,

You shouldn't need to lock out one of the data channels. If you set up a scan list with only those talkgroup IDs that you want to monitor then those should be all you will hear. It won't make any difference to the radio whether the frequency is in use by a site controller or a radio belonging to a talkgroup not being monitored. In either case, the radio won't stop on those transmissions.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

1322. Re: Advice Needed About the Austin Condor 12" Antenna for the BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: elmocoh@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110210729.06891.00010617@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 131770

>I just bought my BC235XLT from Grove. They offered, as an 29.95
>accessory, the Auston Condor 12" antenna as a way to boost the wekaer
>signals versus the included antenna.
>
>- Has anyone had experience with this antenna?

I've had the Austin Condor on my BC200XLT for a number of years, and it does a good job on most bands. Brings in those "46" frequencies much better than the stock antenna. Excellent on VHF and UHF, too. A bit too long to carry around outside; use it ostly around the house. Also a good performer is the Austin Spectra. Have a mag mount on my car; great low-band gain. Have one on my roof (with optional 1/4 wave pole mount) feeding my BC760XLT. Does a good job here, also.

Howard

1323. Re: Bearcat 200XLT Battery Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: elmocoh@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110211254.06891.00010623@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 131771

>Where can I get a new battery for my Bearcat 200XLT? After 5+ years
>mine is dead.

Go to Radio Shack and buy two cordless phone nicad battery packs (600mAh). Cut off connectors, and solder pos to neg. Open battery case from 200XLT, unsolder old pack, an solder new pack, observing polarity. I've done two packs this way. New battery fit is tight, but it will work.

Howard (also)

1324. MDT Monitor version 1.1.1 released, Now with data slicer support

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdtmon@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <77bu0dmkp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131772

This latest version of MDT Monitor now supports a HamComm-type data slicer (under Win95/98 only) as a source for MDT data.

MDT Monitor is a Windows program that allows you to monitor MDT traffic on systems that use Motorola's MDC-4800 protocol. To use this program you'll need a scanner with a discriminator output, a Windows PC (running Win95, Win98, or WinNT 4.0), and either a sound card, a HamComm-type data slicer, or an RM100 decoder.

The program (and source code) are available at
http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646/index.html

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1325. Scanner Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: mmahl1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110215913.09627.00009191@ng-fd2.aol.com>
Source record: 131773

East Central Florida Scanner Page

Frequencies for Brevard, Indian River and St. Lucie Counties.
Kennedy Space Center and Cape Canaveral Air Station Launch Frequencies.
Orlando area non-trunked scanner frequencies.
Florida Forest Fire frequencies.
Ericsson/GE 800MHz Trunked System FAQ.

http://members.aol.com/mmahl1/scanner/brevard.htm

1326. FS: BC200XLT- $149 Uniden/Bearcat Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fyman <tonyg@**no_spam**visi.net>
Message-ID: <S8em2.866$zS5.3829787@firenze.visi.net>
Source record: 131774

Hello folks!
I thought I would post a sale ad here, before we tried "Ebay" or somewhere else. You can check my references on Ebay by searching for user name tonyg@visi.net
We have a Bearcat BC200XLT handheld programmable scanner, with a leather carrying case, AC Adapter, duck antenna, owners manual, and instructions for 800 Mhz Cellular Phone Frequency UNBLOCKING MODIFICATIONS!
Plus TWO (2) rechargeable Nicadbattery packs.
It is in like new condition, about 3-4 years old.
BEST OFFER AT/ OVER $149.00 and
the unit is yours!
Payment is to be Money Order or Check (personal checks must clear before unit is shipped)
Shipping/Handling/Insurance fee are about $5-$7, and are the responsibility of the buyer.
Can ship any method the buyer suggests. (USPS,FedEx,UPS,AIRBORNE)

Thanks for your time reading my ad!

Anthony P. Giannini
Lt., Newport News FD
Hampton, VA. 23664 1821
email: tonyg@visi.net

1327. Ham Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: thuynh6969@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990110225147.01070.00009642@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 131775

I visited my local Ham Radio Outlet and the guy there said that I could use one of the ham mobile antennas for my mobile scanner, just that I had to cut it down to the right length for the band I wanted to listen to. Which is 800 Mhz.
Would this work ? Would using a ham base and 800 Mhz. tuned antenna have the same effect as using a CB base and 800 Mhz. ? Pointed out to me from some very wise responses last time, that the base of a mobile CB antenna had a coil inside that help reduced the needed length of the antenna.

I saw that RS carried some scanner antennas that covered 25 Mhz. to 1300 Mhz. or something like that, but I don't know how well they work. I primarily listen to 800 Mhz. and am afraid of getting too much interference from all the other bands. Also I saw some cell phone antennas. I need a magnet mount, since I've tinted windows and I need it to be removable.
So does anybody have any suggestions ?

Once again, thanks a million !

1328. Trying to choose between IC-R2, IC-R10, or Alinco DJ-X10T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: erikd1999@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77c1gbpir1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131776

Any comments frome anyone, either good or bad needed. I want to sell my Pro-26 and upgrade to something a little nicer, even though the -26 is very nice itself. I have read Rich Wells reviews, but I would like some user comments from the "field". Thanks in advance!!

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1329. Trying to choose between IC-R2, IC-R10, and Alinco DJ-X10T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: erikd@icehouse.net
Message-ID: <77c27m$q2g$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131777

Any comments from anyone, either positive or negative would be appreciated. I am thinking about upgrading from my current handheld, even though it is a decent rig itself. I have read Rich Wells reviews, but would like comments from the "field" before making a decision. Thanks in advance!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1330. Re: OT:Apology dosn`t work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <369d6718.30136150@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 131778
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

odo wrote:

>
>
>Nothing but flaming and harrassing comes from John Mackey more and more!
>PLEASE ignore him now and in future. This should become LAW in scanning
>related NGs.
>
odo

As should a law against posting in HTML.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1331. Re: Bearcat 200XLT Battery Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36997C98.BAB19368@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131779

Howard wrote:

> Where can I get a new battery for my Bearcat 200XLT? After 5+ years
> mine is dead.

Try MetroWest at: http://www.metrowestscan.com/ they have replacement
packs for your 220.

Also try: http://nicdlady.com/radio_pr-uz.htm

Happy scanning,
Jon

1332. Re: Sony ICF-SC1PC Handheld? Or Other?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36997DA0.E8ACDEC3@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131780

Howard wrote:

> I'm going nuts trying to find the best handheld scanner. I saw in
> Popular Mechanics an ad for the Sony PC handheld, which looks neat.
> I want to scan police trunking units.
> Can someone help me?
> Also, can any of these be modifed to receive freq?
>
> Thanks

The Sony is an excellent scanner, but will not scan trunked systems.
You'll need a Bearcat BC-235XLT, Radio Shack Pro-90, or Pro-91,
which are currently the only handhelds capable of Trunk-Tracking.

None sold in the US are (easily) "modified to receive freq" as you put
it.

Jon

1333. Rat Shack Discone scanner antena or HRO scanner antena for +30$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <36997e46.45037837@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131781

I am going to buy a discone antena but I would like to know if the
Radio Shack antena is the same antena that HRO sells, It says its
stainless but I have a cheap RS antena now and it fell apart so the
discone is $59 at RS and $89 at HRO..
Thanks
Tim

1334. PCR-1000 software you need to have if you are in USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <369a7f0e.45238410@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131782

I bought this file Burdos and it can backup, restore , tune, and other
stuff too.

http://members.tripod.com/~pcr1000/burdos1v1.html#top

It's Worth the trip & $25.00

Tim

1335. F.S. 2 pro-34 scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dverg14@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990111002054.13452.00009204@ng112.aol.com>
Source record: 131783

1 pro-34 good shape $125 plus shipping.

1 pro-34 very good shape with telescopping antenna and ac adapter.

both are modified for cells

1336. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <369b8c68.1718109@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131784
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Jan 1999 23:22:05 -0500, "Joe Paletta" <peach@pulsenet.com>
wrote:

>What a nice scanner, however most people can't afford it. This is the stuff
>dreams are made of.

When I first looked at the page I didn't realize it was not in USD,
but at about $1300US it looks like a great deal, I'm surprised no one
in the these newsgroups has one. As for me, I've decided the PCR-1000
will be my next radio.
>
>
>kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote in message
><3698f4f3.2725154@news.mia.bellsouth.net>...
>>Hey check this thing out:
>>http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
>>Anyone have one?

26.218640/-080.237551
http://broward.home.ml.org

1337. Re: Ericsson Edacs Trunking: Want to some info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: n3xl@fast.net
Message-ID: <MPG.110356cf41b4d0a9896c4@news.fast.net>
Source record: 131785

In article <771hvo$f31@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>, myway@ix.netcom.com says...
> In <369125ac.0@news.westel.com> Paragon@Lightspeed.ca writes:
> >
> >Our city, Vancouver, BC, Canada is going onto this piece of shit
> >trunking called EDACS! I want to hear some horror stories on this

Are you browsing on a PDA or something? Why is all of your mail 15-20 characters long. You're wearing out my scroll bar.

1338. Recording from the BC895XLT..... Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jackmc@netreach.net>
Message-ID: <369C13FE.E418C9A6@netreach.net>
Source record: 131486
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I own a BC895XLT and I would like to use the freq. Record feature.
The problem I have is abeing able to find a tape recorder with the
necessary features. Line and remote. Do you know of a tape recorder
that will work with this. YOur help is appreciated...

thanks ... Jack

1339. Re: RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01be3eab$5e3f77a0$2bdd0ad0@default>
Source record: 131487

Well put Glen. He is clueless. Most Rat Shack scanners are now made by
Bearcat. Now the GRE models are another story.
--
Happy Scanning,
Charlie

I didn`t do it.
Nobody saw me do it.
You can`t prove anything!

Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote in article
<36bfe217.20095327@news.cyberhighway.net>...
> LAS <monkey@yayaya.com> wrote:
>
> >STAY AWAY FROM RS SCANNERS UNLESS YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THROWING AWAY
> >MONEY.
>
> You're clueless.
>
> [balance of screaming hissy fit deleted]
>
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>

1340. FS or Trade: Classic Bearcat III (Electra)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Cook <timcook@erinet.com>
Message-ID: <77h770$454$1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 131488

I have a classic bearcat III electra 8 channel xtal controlled Vhf/Uhf scanner that I would like to sell or trade for a 10-20 channel programmable scanner. The Bearcat is absolutely beautiful condition, it is complete with the original operating and service manual, 120v power cord, and telescoping antenna. It works fine. Make an offer for cash or trade. Please email direct if you have an offer or any questions
thanks
Tim

1341. Aruba Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ALEXANDER SCHEIRER <alexander.scheirer@snet.net>
Message-ID: <369C16DA.6F6@snet.net>
Source record: 131489

Haing traveled to Aruba previously, I learned that the public safety segment of the island communication has switched to a trunked 800mhz system. It appears that the primary police dispatch frequency is not "trunked" in the conventional sense as the control channel appears to remain the same as does the police disptach frequency. But, aside from this, does anyone out there have a list of frequencies for the beautiful island of Aruba? Of particular interest is the bomberos (Fire Dept.) But, anything you may have will be sincerely appreciated. Masha Danke!

1342. Is SANYO CLT-937 a TRUE 900MHz Digital Spread Spectrum phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ZhouHong ZHANG <mezhan@msn.com>
Message-ID: <01be3eb0$8ebd7620$ec66fdd0@computer1>
Source record: 131490
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

thank you for help.

1343. Need info on power supply mod R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard Rudy <hrudynospam@lgcy.com>
Message-ID: <369C3F28.8C7C7658@lgcy.com>
Source record: 131491
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.fm

Hi;
I am looking for the heat mod for the power supply for IC-R7100. I
think that EEB was doing this mod. I would also like any other inprovement
mods for receiver. (it has cell-phone rec.)
Thank You.
Remove "nospam" from address in header to reply
KA7YWO
Howard

1344. TRUNK88 ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb6zro@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13872-369C38BD-126@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 131492

Where is it? Anybody?

1345. Re: BC200XLT need calibration...HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Sujkowski <ronalds@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <369C4DE1.C052C05D@concentric.net>
Source record: 131493

had to do this a few times;
if the antenna connector can easily be rotated slightly on the radio,
open up the unit. 2 screws on back and 2 screws on the radio itself where the
battery compartment connects.
check the solder joint where the antenna connects to circuit board. just remelt
the solder if you feel it is a problem !
SuzieGreenberg wrote:

> I'm unable to receive my weather + cordless phone freqs on my Bearcat 200XLT
> handheld. I assume that the internal tuning dial needs to be recalibrated,
> but don't know how this can be accomplished. Is this something I can do
> myself, have it repaired at any RadioShack or have it sent to the Uniden
> factory?
>
> Any info would be greatly appreciated.
> -Rick
> ricks_picks@hotmail.com

1346. Anyone near Louisville? / AOR 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shan <shan@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <369BF586.2349@megsinet.net>
Source record: 131494

Hello,

Somewhile ago I purchased an AOR 800 w/ Opto-Scout (interface included)...since then much water has passed beneath the bridge and I'm embarrassed to admit that I cannot task this equipment anywhere near to that of it's potential (can't find manuels).

I was hoping, perhaps, someone might live nearby who could take some time and de-mystify these puppies -- I think they'll do neat stuff (if I only knew how).

In exchange, well, I'm certain I could render something or other.

Thanks,

shan

1347. Re: Pro 91 Mod Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <e44_9901120900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 131536

WF> If you bought a PRO-91 with the intention of modifying it to receive
WF> cellular phone traffic (the most common mod request), you should have
WF> done more research first. Current US laws require Uniden (maker of the
WF> PRO-91) to purposely make it difficult or impossible to modify the unit
WF> to receive cellular phone traffic.

That plus the new handhelds are such a marvel of miniturization to also
preclude and significant modifications except by the Very Skilled in Surface
Mount technology work.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

1348. Re: TrunkTracker squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <e45_9901120900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 131537

TH> IT is not important, according to the man. it is completely disabled when
TH> in trunk-tracking mode.

Well page 34 in the Pro-90 Manual sems to have a different opinion then "The
MAN".
While the Squelch setting is Automatic in the Trunk scanning more the
Instructions Indicate that the Squelch knob setting CAN affect how fast the
scanner aquires the Data channel and can also in some instances PREVENT the
scanner from aquiring the data channel at all.
And page 37 of the BC-235XLT manual also has then notification. They
recommend setting the squeltch at Mid Range, Pointer toward teh Back of the
radio, and aslo state "Note: You can change this recomended setting, if
necessary, to provide better performance in your area"

I would say "THE MAN" should go back to the drawing board.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

1349. Re: Rat Shack Discone scanner antena or

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <e48_9901120900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 131538

"S> The HRO is made by Diamond [http://www.rfparts.com/diamond/] and IMHO is
"S> much better constructed than the RS. Plus it has the sub 25Mhz whip which
"S> the RS does not have.

The "NEW" RS Discone, part# 20-043 at $59.99 in the 1999 catalog, DOES have
the VHF Low stinger. It also has a "PL-259" connector whiel the Diamond as I
recall has the "N" type connector.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

1350. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <e47_9901120900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 131539

```
"> CEI has had them for $213 for a couple months now. When you call them to
"> order, They just tell you the price and if you want UPS tracking for a
"> dollar or so more. I ordered my BC895 on a Monday, was on the phone for
"> less then 5 minutes and received it Wednesday, no problems...They've done a
"> great job for me....There is no mess with the coupon garbage, you don't
"> even have to mention those.....

When I ordered my BC235 from them I mentioned the Deal on the Internet and
got a FREE Headset, Very nice one too and Perfect for Handheld scanning in
Noisy locations. Helps sometimes to Mention things.
You have Nothing to Loose.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.
```

1351. Re: Rat Shack Discone scanner antena or

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <e46_9901120900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 131540

M> I am going to buy a discone antena but I would like to know if the
M> Radio Shack antena is the same antena that HRO sells, It says its
M> stainless but I have a cheap RS antena now and it fell apart so the
M> discone is $59 at RS and $89 at HRO..

It is NOT tha same Antenna. While the New Radio Shack Discone is far beter
then the Origional "Junk" modem it is Still of LOWER quality then the Diamond
Discone sold by HRO.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

1352. Re: amplifier recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <e49_9901120900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 131541

"H> Does anyone have any recommendations or suggestions on a particular brand o
"H> pre-amp for use with an antenna. I have the antenna in my attic, so it is
"H> shielded from the weather.

Except in very rare cases amplification only complicates or makes the
reception worse. Rembenber Amplified Noise is Still Noise. Most below $100 and
Up, WAY up, amplifires are little more than Junk. Particualrly those little
"Bullet" in line amps sold by Radio Shack and others.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

1353. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <e4a_9901120900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 131542

R> Sorry, but I believe it is the other way around, 45MHZ can penetrate at
R> the same power level than 900MHZ. I believe the difference in range is due t
R> the fact that there is much less activity on 900MHZ, and perhaps one is
R> permitted to transmit at a higher power level. Aside from this, a 900MHZ
R> antenna can be much shorter for the same antenna signal gain. TTYL

Actualy the main reason thet the 900 Mhz phones work better the nthe old 45
Mhz phones I belive i hte Higher Power Levels alowed. Pluc the UHF frequencies
are reflected far more then the VHF low band and provide far better coverage
in some Dead Spots encountered using the lower frequency.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

1354. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <e4b_9901120900@warpgate.com>
Source record: 131543

AM> Simple. 900MHz "travels" through buildings better. This is especially
AM> true when the building is made of concrete.

It has Nothing to do with Concrete. It has to do with Metal. the High UHF frequencies are Relflcted around FAr more then the VHF frequencies and thus provide better Penetration. NOT becaseu they Penetrate beter in a straight line but the Multipuls reflections fills in the "Dead Spots" much better.
--
|WarpGate: Tom Walker 52:1000/211
|Internet: Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

1355. New Aircraft Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andre Brandao <l42303@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.3.96.990112172648.17956D-100000@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Source record: 131544

Hello

AirNav, the Aircraft Tracking Software for Windows has a
new/updated aircraft database.
It is available in the program's homepage at

http://www.airnavsystems.com

For those who haven't yet seen, there is also available, in AirNav
homepage, two Airspace views for North Atlantic.
Only one hour logging and 46 different flights and 12 new
registrations. The centers listened to were Gander and Shanwick and the
freqs worked were 4675KHz (European aircraft) and 5616KHz (North American
Aircraft).
The Atlantic Airspace Views created look excellent and are
available at:

North Atlantic:
http://www.airnavsystems.com/shotex20.gif

Gander and Shanwick Detailed:
http://www.airnavsystems.com/shotex19.gif

If you are an AirNav user I've created 2 new maps to use with
AirNav that make the tracking much more interesting. They are available
for download at http://www.airnavsystems.com followed by the 'Data Files'
link (North Atlantic Section, nat1.zip and nat2.zip).

Hope this info is of your interest.

Best regards, Andre Brandao

1356. Re: AM ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990112123558.04240.00003890@ng52.aol.com>
Source record: 131545

Have you purchased a TV in the past 10 years or so and had no reason to use the telescoping "rabbit ear" antenna that came with it to push into a little hole on the top of the TV, and since you bought it, you find it every-so-often yet to wonder again why you kept it and you're getting tired of telling your wife that you might need it someday? Today is here. You may (will) have to lengthen the antenna wire a bit and put on an easily-obtained antenna connector that mates to your scanners antenna jack, but this works very well. Good Luck.
Tom KB2FIK
Tbone107@aol.com

1357. Re: hamilton freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990112123816.04240.00003891@ng52.aol.com>
Source record: 131546

900Khz AM CHML

1358. Re: Watch out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duby Todd <dube3@n-link.com>
Message-ID: <yLLm2.526$np1.489@news.n-link.com>
Source record: 131547

MrPbodE wrote in message <19990112002320.04590.00011466@ng09.aol.com>...

>If you tell people what you hear you may be prosecuted for it.

Not if you're a Democrat activist or congressman and you're target is
Newt.............

>I wouldn't be paranoid if they weren't out to get us.

It depends on what the meaning of "us" is.

1359. ICOM R-10 Discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Esoterix <NOSPAM@NO.SPAM>
Message-ID: <369B8D4F.886B1A02@NO.SPAM>
Source record: 131548
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have a clue as to where the discriminator tap is in the R-10?

Anyone know what the 'unknown' Ring portion of the CI-V jack does?

Why not connect the discriminator tap to the 'unused' Ring on the CI-V jack?

Anyone know what the transistors located in the middle of the R-10, just below the LCD driver, would do if soldered in the spaces provided? (or what kind of transistors these should be?) There are also 2 more spaces for resistors; one located at the very top left (just next to the screw), and the other just to the right of the speaker magnet opening on the board.

Any ideas? Anyone got a schematic of this radio?

I'm thinking one of these additions could either speed up scan/search rate, unlock a hidden memory extension, add more options to the SET key, or possibly turn on alphanumerics during scan mode. These are all hopeful theories.

Post a reply to this newsgroup.

Esoterix

1360. British Columbia Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bburgess@axionet.com
Message-ID: <369b8fb4.4248715@news.axion.net>
Source record: 131549

I'm looking for a comprehensive list of frequencies for the province of British Columbia, Canada. Any info would be greatly appreciated. Thanks.

1361. Discriminator audio too low??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Esoterix <NOSPAM@NO.SPAM>
Message-ID: <369B9464.47C8301A@NO.SPAM>
Source record: 131550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I made a discriminator tap on my BC-2500XLT, but I actually have to run it through a Radio Shack mini-amplifier to get the signal I'm looking for. Should I use a lower or a higher value capacitor off the discrmininator tap? Or is the discriminator output just one of those weaker outputs that need some sort of unfiltered amplification?

As for decoding.. I can decode/trunk track with 100% or very close to 100% accuracy using this mini-amp setup. But it's too bulky for words.

Any advice is greatly appreciated!

Esoterix

1362. Re: voice inversion scrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Kilgore <kilgore@dev.tivoli.com>
Message-ID: <369B6E46.389BF2C0@dev.tivoli.com>
Source record: 131551

Check out http://www.lpcom.com for a free speech inverter.

Jeff

kce wrote:
>
> anyone out there know of a circuit or source to de scramble voice
> inversion type scrambling? tks kce@freeway.net

1363. Re: British Columbia Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369B941A.22FD@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131552

bburgess@axionet.com wrote:
>
> I'm looking for a comprehensive list of frequencies for the
> province of British Columbia, Canada. Any info would be greatly
> appreciated. Thanks.

Check out the following URLs:

http://www.televar.com/~scanman/canada.html
http://www.geocities.com/Yosemite/1325/amateur/radio.htm

1364. Pittsburgh M.A.C. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Rahm <almar@telerama.lm.com>
Message-ID: <369B98EE.94BE2290@telerama.lm.com>
Source record: 131553

Does anyone have the frequency for Pittsburgh PA's "Multi-Agency Communications"? It's used for various community crime-watches, Etc. I've already checked out a number of web sites with Pgh. listings and so far have not found it.

Thanks. Would appreciate it if you would also e-mail it to me as I don't get back to this newsgroup regularly.

A. Rahm

1365. FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: compkid <compkid@netcomuk.co.uk>
Message-ID: <77g6fn$1fp$1@taliesin.netcom.net.uk>
Source record: 131554

DOSE ANY BODY KNOW THE FREQUENYS FOR THE FIGHTER JETS,
PLEASE EMAIL ME.

compkid@netcomuk.co.uk

1366. Re: OT:Apology dosn`t work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <369B410D.62FF5A63@pacbell.net>
Source record: 131555

John - KAOSSF wrote:

> Regarding myself, I have NEVER flamed or harassed on this NG. Rather,
> I have been the TARGET OF flaming & harassment. Notice a recent
> messages
> I posted here congratulating the NG on a new year & mentioned NO
> SPECIFIC
> posts or people causing problems, yet I was the target of flames
> & accusations based on fabrication.

Yeah Right ...

R E A L I T Y C H E C K

Definitive data on the posting history of Toilet Boy (aka Mackey).
Edited for the more salient point(s). Compelling nonetheless.

SNIP --- Data From Smally ----

I have nothing else to say except that, out of curiousity, I searched
the archives at Dejanews to see how much John "contributes" to the
group. I found some 13000 posts in all in the scanner newsgroups since
he arrived. THIRTEEN THOUSAND!!!!!!!!!!! Yet the on topic posts he has
made can be counted on one hand, with room left over. Case closed,
folks. John Mackey is the problem. Guilty as charged.

I have done it folks. I have accomplished what I set out to do. I have
proven what the Clown Prince of Scanning is really all about: A
personal, neverending vendetta against Bill Cheek.

SNIP ------ Data From Kindricks ------

Date | Mackey | Cheek | Kendrick | Bowers | Vetterle | allsafe | K4CC

JUL 98 | 413 | 386* | 6 | 32 | 28 | 75 | 234
JUN 98 | 413 | 502* | 0 | 64 | 45 | 97 | 95
MAY 98 | 22 | 208 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 12
APR 98 | 194 | 128 | 27 | 41 | 25 | 12 | 0
MAR 98 | 254 | 240 | 49 | 24 | 50 | 18 | 0
FEB 98 | 200 | 274 | 51 | 27 | 46 | 18 | 0
JAN 98 | 173 | 178 | 35 | 1 | 33 | 33 | 0
DEC 97 | 149 | 227 | 32 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0
NOV 97 | 63 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0
OCT 97 | 48 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0
SEP 97 | 181 | 78 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0
AUG 97 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0

TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 | 201 | 203 | 275 | 266 | 341

Consider this point. Less than two dozen of the total posts by Mackey pertained to scanners or scanning. Approximately half of the posts made by Bill Cheek were devoted to scanners and scanning. Now go back and look at the numbers again. You do the math.

1367. Best scanner for restricted frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <369ba365.164218754@news.voicetek.com>
Source record: 131556

Hey everyone,

There was a thread on this list a long time ago about a scanner that could be ordered from England that didn't have the frequency restrictions/blocks that US sales had.

Does anyone remember the make/model and the place in England where it can be ordered?

Overseas availability is not a problem and price is no object!

Thanks,
Mike

1368. Re: frequencies for pa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack@sgi.net
Message-ID: <369BD83F.2B54@sgi.net>
Source record: 131557

The web site refered to does "NOT" exist.............

> Bud (the REAL one!) wrote:
>
> >Check out my SE PA Scanner page at http://www.erols.com/sepascan.htm

1369. Questions AOR AR-3000A/AB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William G. Becks" <wbecks@sun.lssu.edu>
Message-ID: <369BA260.CD986501@sun.lssu.edu>
Source record: 131558

I am considering the purchase of an AOR model AR-3000 series
receiver/scanner. I would appreciate any comments pro/con
from current/past owners of the AR-3000.

Thank you,

Bill - WA8WG wbecks@sun.lssu.edu

1370. re invert.zip speech inversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kce <kce@freeway.net>
Message-ID: <77gbtr$g7n@news.freeway.net>
Source record: 131559

first of all thanks to all on the information you sent me regarding
the software/hardware for speech inversion descrambling. i downloaded
the program invert zip and tried to use it on my machine here that is
equipted with a creative labs sound blaster 16 card and i keep getting
the message "wave output device already in use, full duplex capable?"
anyone know whats happening here ? i didnt get much documentation with
the software
thanks ken we8w

1371. National Guard Y2K mobilization freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: user*@*system.com
Message-ID: <DD99BAAF3EA70B54.121CAF66EF04A547.6BC57740F39A1C97@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 131560

According to several sources, the National Guard is doing a massive mobilization in 05/01/1999 to test some new HF equipment and ensure they can communicate without telephones/VHF radios, and for longer distances.

Just in case Y2K breaks normal communication channels down.

Does anyone know what freqs they are planning on using?

1372. Re: Anyone located in the Medford / Patchouge, Long Island New York Area ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Bean <BillyBlues@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eBqLF3mP#GA.145@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 131561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

There were. They left.
Barry O'Grady wrote in message <368add29.12676084@news.acay.com.au>...
>Keith@Knip.co (Keith Knipschild -add the M in com -) wrote:
>
>:Is Anyone located in the Medford / Patchouge, Long Island New York Area ?
>
>Yes. There are people in those areas.

1373. RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: LAS <monkey@yayaya.com>
Message-ID: <369BBA2C.4F6DC1B3@yayaya.com>
Source record: 131562

STAY AWAY FROM RS SCANNERS UNLESS YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THROWING AWAY MONEY.

THEY'RE TRASH, CRAP. NOTHING BUT HYPE! MASS MARKETING WITH LOTS OF PRETTY PICTURES.

INTERNALLY THEY ARE TOTAL SHIT! IMD OUT THE ASS, SPURIOUS HARMONICS, FRONT END OL...PLASTIC CASES.

BASICALLY THEY ARE MADE FOR THE CASUAL LISTENER WHO ONLY WANTS TO HEAR COPS, FIRE AND THE OCCAISIONAL CORDLESS PHONE.

DON'T BE MISLED...IF YOU WANT A SCANNER, SAVE YOUR LOOT TIL YOU CAN AFFORD SOMETHING THAT ACTUALLY WORKS WELL!

1374. Re: RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip <muddskip@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <369BBEA9.4116C87D@megsinet.net>
Source record: 131563

What is front end ol?

LAS wrote:

> STAY AWAY FROM RS SCANNERS UNLESS YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THROWING
> AWAY MONEY.
>
> THEY'RE TRASH, CRAP. NOTHING BUT HYPE! MASS MARKETING WITH LOTS OF
> PRETTY PICTURES.
>
> INTERNALLY THEY ARE TOTAL SHIT! IMD OUT THE ASS, SPURIOUS HARMONICS,
> FRONT END OL...PLASTIC CASES.
>
> BASICALLY THEY ARE MADE FOR THE CASUAL LISTENER WHO ONLY WANTS TO HEAR
> COPS, FIRE AND THE OCCAISIONAL CORDLESS PHONE.
>
> DON'T BE MISLED...IF YOU WANT A SCANNER, SAVE YOUR LOOT TIL YOU CAN
> AFFORD SOMETHING THAT ACTUALLY WORKS WELL!
>

1375. Re: RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990112164026.24256.00010911@ng-fc2.aol.com>
Source record: 131564

First this guy doesnt know how to use his CAPs... second the 2006 was one of the best scanners made.. and most of the boards are uniden if you ever looked at one...
1990 ZX10
J.McGrath Communications all your 10/11meter needs
www.usaplaza.com/JMAC/index.htm
To Email Remove NOSPAM

1376. Re: WinRadio worth $399.00 US ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tech_support9@geocities.com
Message-ID: <77gkg7$a41$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131565

In article <36859342.7816836@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>,
seraph2@ibm.net wrote:
> I guess this must be WR1000i otherwise it can't be that cheap?
> Sounds like a great value for money, I got mine for $450 but that was
> some time ago. Also got WR1500e recently, this cost me $580. Both work
> great.

Where can I find the WR1500e for sale for that price?

DC.D/H f+++ s h+ W|B a- $ m+ d++ WL++ Fr-- L Sm e- g- i U

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1377. Re: RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369BC24F.1072A0FE@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131566

LAS wrote:

> STAY AWAY FROM RS SCANNERS UNLESS YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THROWING
> AWAY MONEY.
>
> THEY'RE TRASH, CRAP. NOTHING BUT HYPE! MASS MARKETING WITH LOTS OF
> PRETTY PICTURES.
>

<SNIP> yada yada yada

Oh puh-leeze, it's Mr Monkey brain again, ranting and raving about Radio Shack scanners for the umpteenth time. This clown obviously has a major axe to grind with Radio Shack,
but rather than carry on a intelligent dialog with anyone who can (and will) prove him wrong, he cowers behind a false name and spams the newsgroup with this trash. Put your money where your mouth is and name a better scanner than a Pro-2006 or Pro-2042. (No, I don't mean an Icom R-7000, which is a communications receiver) You won't, because you can't.

I'd be willing to bet he got tossed out of a RS store, or was fired from one.

Jon

1378. Re: RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <HsPm2.18$JW2.81@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 131567

Perhaps I'm an idiot, but I rather like my PRO-2042.

Paul W.

JCM1168 wrote in message <19990112164026.24256.00010911@ng-fc2.aol.com>...
>First this guy doesnt know how to use his CAPs... second the 2006 was one
of
>the best scanners made.. and most of the boards are uniden if you ever
looked
>at one...
>1990 ZX10
>J.McGrath Communications all your 10/11meter needs
>www.usaplaza.com/JMAC/index.htm
>To Email Remove NOSPAM
>
>

1379. Re: MDT question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: AndroidCat <androidcat@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <77gfqb$49n$1@pugsley.tor.metronet.ca>
Source record: 131568
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge wrote in message ...
>i have MDT program with PRO_2006. so i have some question :
>
>1- can i use this program with only soundcard with the scanner or i have to
>build a discrimantion output?
>
>2- i use it with the soundcard and decode nothing, is this because is not a
>discimination output?
>
>3- Can i use this program for 154.2500 mhz? because is the fire departement
>in my area and i would like decode their stuff

Bonjour Stephane!

You pretty much need the discriminator output. The audio output filters out
the extra "noise" that is needed for digital decoding.

Luckily the 2006 is very easy to modify.

/\< of that ilk.

1380. Re: BC200XLT need calibration...HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77gg3r$92f$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131569

SuzieGreenberg wrote in message <369ad434.0@news.netacc.net>...
>I'm unable to receive my weather + cordless phone freqs on my Bearcat
200XLT
>handheld. I assume that the internal tuning dial needs to be recalibrated,
>but don't know how this can be accomplished. Is this something I can do
>myself, have it repaired at any RadioShack or have it sent to the Uniden
>factory?
>

Please do not consider the following to be a flame. Take it more as a
serious warning from an idiot who learned the hard way once:

The fact that you aren't sure what the exact problem is leads me to believe
you don't have the proper equipment to diagnose it, let alone do the repair.
There are lots of adjustable pot's inside that scanner, and tweaking them at
random will only do harm, not good. Unless you have a professional grade
frequency counter and test equipment - you won't find these at Radio Shack
or Joe's C.B. shop either - you are best off spending a few bucks at the
Uniden repair center. They have the tools and skilled tech's to get the job
done right and that scanner will be as good as new. Plus they guarantee
their work, something you can't fall back on if you do it yourself. Trust
me, I know! :-(

-Phil

1381. What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77ggtv$98o$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131570

Not that I'm here to stir up trouble again, but I just wanted to share with everyone something that I have noticed since I dropped the whole anti-Mackey campaign:

He no longer posts any messages to ME!(The almost certain reply he'll make here won't count as it will be ignored by yours truly - it's just his nature, his need to have the last word. Fine with me..)

Perhaps if others do the same he will no longer post replies to them as well.

And if EVERYONE does that, he won't reply to anyone! Think of it! He'll be gone except for the occasional on-topic posting he does make (yes, he actually does sometimes, believe it or not.)

Of course, everyone has the right to post what they wish - I'm not about to tell everyone what to do. It's just something to think about.
-Phil

1382. -> Radios/Computers for Sale -> Very Cheap -> Serious Inquiries Only

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE
Message-ID: <369b852a.6131193@news.sprint.ca>
Source record: 131571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc,rec.aviation.piloting,rec.aviation.student,rec.radio.cb

-> MANY Radios/Computers for Sale -> Very Cheap -> Serious Inquiries Only

Read this if you want to see something better than hamfest's tables or money making dealers.

1) ADI AT-600 For Sale.

$250 CDN funds includes:

- A Handheld Transceiver
- Multi Rx (100-200 (AM mode aircraft), 330-500, 830-868, 896-1000)
It is possible to receive a cellular phone signal with images frequencies.

See http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/at600.htm for more information.

- WOW !!!!!! Multi Tx (100-200, 400-500), one mode.

CAUTION: It is illegal to transmit unless you have an approved license within non-accepted type bands (ie. Amateur Radio, C.A.P.)

- Low battery alert
- 120 / 200 memory channels
- 6 Station ID
- Clone Function
- DTMF decoding and DTMF paging
- Auto offset
- Crossband
- Dual Band Watch (VHF / UHF, VHF / VHF, UHF / UHF)
- Auto Power On
- Auto Power Off
- Time Out Function
- Can take external power

- I will attach a battery case

- I will attach a YAESU speaker microphone (functioning but no clip) as a free gift.

Everything for $249.99 CAN funds (it's about 1 US dollars against 1.5 Canadian dollar). Take advantage of weak Canadian dollars. For a price of scanner, you will get a tranceiver. Air

and cellular listeners, take this great offer.

2) IC-T2A FM 2m handheld (136-174 RX including auto weather stations scanning feature). Transmits 140-150 MHz out of ham band. (Caution: It is illegal to transmit outside of non-type approved band...). You do not need battery case because battery attaches to the transceiver itself. Uses 8 batteries, 1W low 4.5 W high. All of this for $159.99 Canadian funds. I will attach a realistic speaker microphone (which attaches this transceiver) as a free gift. You will also get another gift, Radio Shack Transceiver Case. It fits ICOM-T2A perfectlly. Don't miss this opportunity. MINT CONDITION.

3) Next following items can be sold as: Radio only or Radio and Power Supply.

ICOM-706 & Power Supply 12A Daiwa PS-120MIIa
$1199 includes:
A multi-band 160m - 10m, 6m, 2m tranceiver
100W FM/SSB/CQ/RRTY 40W AM output on HF and 6m, 10W FM/SSB/CW/RRTY 4W AM 2m. SWR and power meter are within ICOM-706. WFM mode included so you can listen to your local FM radio station no problem. I will attach a separation cable (you can separate front panel) as a gift. $1199 Canadian funds will give you all you need including power supply (and this power supply is voltage adjustable and includes voltage and amp meter). If you only need ICOM-706, the price is $999 Canadian funds but I also want to get rid of power supply as well. So I set reasonable price as quoted. If you want to get a power supply too, I can also give you a tuner for free. Tuner ? You need it for HF usually... Wow... getting a lot of things for $1199 Canadian dollars ? Amazing !!!!!

4) TRC-484 CB Radio 40 CH AM 4W (can be modified. http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/cbmod.htm). $49 Canadian funds. Shipped. Antenna included. Cigarette plug lighter attached. Mobile ready.

5) TRC-485 CB Radio 40 CH AM 4W, 80 CH SSB 12W (can be modified http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/cbmod.htm). $109 Canadian funds. Shipped. Antenna included. Will give you a cigarette lighter plug. Or I can attach it before shipping.

6) HF SWR/Power meter. $15 Canadian funds.

7) Radio Shack Illumina Storm (A neat light bulb... looks like many thunders and when you touch the glass / light bulb, the thunders will hit you !!)... for $20 Canadian funds. I believe there is still a extended RS warranty for another 1.5 years or so.

8) Pentium 120 LAPTOP Computer with more than 1 GB hard drive, Windows 95 (backup diskette will be included...), 16MB Ram for sale. $299 Canadian funds or best offer. You need to attach a CD-ROM drive if you need to use CD-ROM. A parallel or PCMCDI CD-ROM probably costs a couple of hundred canadian dollars here. Or... If you are

just looking for extra laptop computer by transferring the data from your desktop computer or use as a backup device by using parallel port, this is a very good buy.

9) Pay as you go Cantel / AT&T phone for sell. $40 Canadian funds. You need to get a new battery (still should be far cheaper than buying the original at $150 + case) although I will attach the old battery... current battery isn't working so well. Everything is in mint condition. I will give you a case free (umm... case costs $30 + tax. interesting eh ? just get extra phone for a price of phone case). I am looking for a unused prepaid card ($20 + tax) which will be yours if I find one. What a deal. Unbelievable.

==========

Shipping is included in quoted price (for Canada and US). If it costs $50, I pay $50. I also insure the products and this is included in the price as well. Good deal ? Why not buy 2 of the products above and I will give you 5% off. Buy 3 and 10% off or Buy 4 or more and 15% off.

FIRST COME, FIRST SERVE. Certified cheque, money order or bank draft only. C.O.D. is OK but I don't hear good news about it. C.O.D. is OK for Canada only. -> No personal cheque please. <-

Please send the e-mail to author: hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE (please do not forget to remove .PAS_DE_PUBLICITE) before sending. I will give reasonable period for payment. As soon as I receive your payment, I will ship the products right away. It seems that this works the best as I used to sell other rigs including scanners, power supplies, ham tranceivers such as htx-202 and htx-242.

Thanks.

M a s a t a k a N o d a, Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada. N&#65533; d' ICQ: 24703041
--> http://www.radiotelephone.net <--
Infinite Communications&#65533; (TM): Il y a un coeur&#65533;. Il y a un esprit&#65533;. Il y a une voie&#65533;.
&#65533;tudient @ d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN & JP2CKG
Membre d'internationale aucun code Morse pour services radioamateur - NCI2014
C&#65533; : hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM (SVP enlever/please remove .PAS_DE_SPAM)
|| 24L ---------- 06R ||
Pilote Avion Priv&#65533; (jour & nuit): St-Hubert & Dorval INTL (dupuis 1998)
---:|| 24R ---------- 06L ||:

1383. Re: codes for columbus ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbradle1@columbus.rr.com
Message-ID: <369bc967.13695544@news-server>
Source record: 131572

On 20 Dec 1998 13:02:49 GMT, dmein35001@aol.com (DMein35001) wrote:

>i am looking for codes columbus ohio area

Check out this site. Some good info to get you going.

www.qsl.net/wa8pyr/index.html

Regards

1384. Re:Florida National Guard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ClaytonF_Gibbs <cfgibbs@valdosta.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.990112170540.5817A-100000@grits>
Source record: 131573

Florida Folks: Check out this listserv for the Florida scanner enthusist.

Send an email to : listserv@lists.ufl.edu
In the email body type: subscribe flacom-L

You should get msgs within a few hours.

About 75% of the list traffic is Military oriented. Rest is Trunking,Coast Guard,HF etc..

For Florida Air National Guard these freqs are used daily by the F-15's from Jax. International

297.6
287.4
314.3
300.8
284.5
267.5

Clay
Southern GA

On Tue, 12 Jan 1999, Russ Freeman wrote:

> Camp Blanding Range Control:
> FM 148.6875, 148.7625, and 47.050. Monitor between 39.000 and 76.000
> FM for interunit communications. Monitor standard civil and military
> airbands as well. One interesting thing; the lightning test range
> operates on the range control frequencies during the summer months.
> Russ
>
> Bill Wolford wrote:
>
> > Does anyone have a list of the Florida National Guards freq's?
>
>
>
>
>

1385. Re: RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <77ggrd$t6h$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 131574

Bad day today? Ya know, I just purchased a PRO-64 for $50. Brand new and just realigned, and double warranty. Was that a bad deal? So far, with any antenna I try, including a high-gain dual-band vertical mounted on my roof, I can't get the damn thing to overload the front end or get mass intermod, and I'm not 15 miles from downtown Dallas TX. I can't even find birdies on the listed birdie freqs. in RS' manual! And the case is damn solid- there aren't many metal-cased handhelds left, and I wouldn't want one due to the weight. I could choose my FT-50, or my Moto MT-2000, or my HT-600, but for what the 64 is intended for, it works great. Yeah, it's not an AR8K or AR8.2K or a DJ-X10 or a Yupi 7100 or 9000, but it serves my purpose quite well.

Would you have purchased this handheld for $50, or would you have waited until you earned $500-$1000 to go out and buy one of the above-mentioned handhelds? I think not.

Whenever you have some good information (read: proof) about a single radio, then you can post your results and let the group decide for itself. If you're just some lamer who wants to blow off steam because he got a bad deal, go post to alt.test- that's a nice, appropriate place. Please feel free to post any USEFUL information that you might have here- I'm sure we'd all like to see it. If you feel the need to post any more of this babble, please, as I said, redirect your news app to alt.test.

TVSJR

LAS wrote in message <369BBA2C.4F6DC1B3@yayaya.com>...
STAY AWAY FROM RS SCANNERS UNLESS YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH
<<SHIT SNIPPER ENGAGED>>

1386. Re: Trunk Tracker Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990112180246.01053.00009476@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 131575

Hi! I have the BC 235XLT Trunk tracker unit. I am very happy with it. As you may have read or already know, it is almost identical to the Radio Shack PRO-90 unit.
I understand the Radio Shack unit requires an additional keystroke when programming-all their units have to be put into a "program" mode. Not so with the BC. There are lots of web sites with reviews etc. E-mail me if you wish more info-Good luck!

>Subject: Trunk Tracker Help!
>From: kidless@webtv.net (John York)
>Date: 1/4/99 5:53 PM Pacific Standard Time
>Message-id: <13506-36917083-4@newsd-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
>
> I am finally going to upgrade to a trunk tracking scanner. Which one
>is best, and which ones to avoid? I would appreciate any help or
>experiences with any.
>TIA
>
>John York

Radio911 - Laine S. Herman

1387. Re: How Many Scanners at a NASCAR race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990112175829.08217.00011393@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 131576

i didn't know they rented scanners at race events! How do they prevent theifs?

-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

1388. Re: FS: Various Items

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990112183259.01155.00011747@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 131577

>Computer hardware:
>Maxtor MXT-540A AT/IDE HDD $50.00 (comes with diver disk and
>paperwork)

How much storage space?

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

1389. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990112183507.01155.00011749@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 131578

If you want to monitor military communications then you need to join MilCom, the military monitoring e-mail listserver,:

Send an e-mail message to: majordomo@qth.net
In the subject put: milcom
In the text area put: subscribe milcom

That's all there is to it. You should start receiving messages within a few hours.
To post a message to the list members address it to milcom@qth.net
We have members all over the country, in Europe and Japan.
For help finding frequencies in your area just post a message stating your location
and requesting frequency help and you should get some answers.

John Ward

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

1390. Q: BAD SELLERS LIST?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sputnik <sputnik@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <b9Rm2.466$il1.4219348@news3.voicenet.com>
Source record: 131579

Anyone have a BAD Sellers list for in this NewsGroup

1391. --- WHICH IS THE BETTER ONE? ---

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry Unger <teunger@iaw.on.ca>
Message-ID: <77gmdlinh1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 131580
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Does anyone know the difference in performance of HF/shortwave reception between the AR8200 and the DJ-X10?

1392. Re: OT:Apology dosn`t work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01be3e89$5a4ba0a0$29dd0ad0@default>
Source record: 131581

Mr. Wirehead,
Thank you very much for the report. I`ve seen it, but I didn`t do the
math.

Is Mackey Mouse the problem here?

YUP!

See him later. PLONK
--
Happy Scanning,
Charlie

I didn`t do it.
Nobody saw me do it.
You can`t prove anything!

Mr. WireHead <wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote in article
<369B410D.62FF5A63@pacbell.net>...
> John - KAOSSF wrote:
>
> > Regarding myself, I have NEVER flamed or harassed on this NG. Rather,
> > I have been the TARGET OF flaming & harassment. Notice a recent
> > messages
> > I posted here congratulating the NG on a new year & mentioned NO
> > SPECIFIC
> > posts or people causing problems, yet I was the target of flames
> > & accusations based on fabrication.
>
> Yeah Right ...
>
> R E A L I T Y C H E C K

1393. Re: WANTED:ACSS SECURITY CO FREQS LA AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77gp3f$br2$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131582

E10MO wrote in message <19990112020540.27436.00011808@ng02.aol.com>...
>Hi,
>
>Can someone tell me what the freqs for ACSS Security in the Los Angeles
>area?Also need PL or DPL codes so I may filter the unwanted radio traffic
of
>other
>users on those freqs.

Did an FCC check and got no hits - they are probably on a trunked system. If you can get a look at one of their radios, the SMR may have a sticker or something on the radio identifying who is supplying their service. If you can't get a look at the radio, try using a frequency counter to catch a frequency when they transmit, then cross reference that frequency in the FCC database at
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

If you don't have a frequency counter, then try searching 812-820 MHz while near one of their officers and you might get lucky... the base freq will be 45MHz higher. Then reference it with the FCC as noted above.

-Phil

1394. -> Radios/Computers for Sale -> Very Cheap -> Serious Inquiries Only

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE
Message-ID: <369baecc.4925950@news.sprint.ca>
Source record: 131583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc,rec.aviation.piloting,rec.aviation.student,rec.radio.cb

-> MANY Radios/Computers for Sale -> Very Cheap -> Serious Inquiries Only

Read this if you want to see something better than hamfest's tables or money making dealers.

1) ADI AT-600 For Sale.

$250 CDN funds includes:

- A Handheld Transceiver
- Multi Rx (100-200 (AM mode aircraft), 330-500, 830-868, 896-1000)
It is possible to receive a cellular phone signal with images frequencies.

See http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/at600.htm for more information.

- WOW !!!!!! Multi Tx (100-200, 400-500), one mode.

CAUTION: It is illegal to transmit unless you have an approved license within non-accepted type bands (ie. Amateur Radio, C.A.P.)

- Low battery alert
- 120 / 200 memory channels
- 6 Station ID
- Clone Function
- DTMF decoding and DTMF paging
- Auto offset
- Crossband
- Dual Band Watch (VHF / UHF, VHF / VHF, UHF / UHF)
- Auto Power On
- Auto Power Off
- Time Out Function
- Can take external power

- I will attach a battery case

- I will attach a YAESU speaker microphone (functioning but no clip) as a free gift.

Everything for $249.99 CAN funds (it's about 1 US dollars against 1.5 Canadian dollar). Take advantage of weak Canadian dollars. For a price of scanner, you will get a tranceiver. Air

and cellular listeners, take this great offer.

2) IC-T2A FM 2m handheld (136-174 RX including auto weather stations scanning feature). Transmits 140-150 MHz out of ham band. (Caution: It is illegal to transmit outside of non-type approved band...). You do not need battery case because battery attaches to the transceiver itself. Uses 8 batteries, 1W low 4.5 W high. All of this for $159.99 Canadian funds. I will attach a realistic speaker microphone (which attaches this transceiver) as a free gift. You will also get another gift, Radio Shack Transceiver Case. It fits ICOM-T2A perfectlly. Don't miss this opportunity. MINT CONDITION.

3) Next following items can be sold as: Radio only or Radio and Power Supply.

ICOM-706 & Power Supply 12A Daiwa PS-120MIIa
$1199 includes:
A multi-band 160m - 10m, 6m, 2m tranceiver
100W FM/SSB/CQ/RRTY 40W AM output on HF and 6m, 10W FM/SSB/CW/RRTY 4W AM 2m. SWR and power meter are within ICOM-706. WFM mode included so you can listen to your local FM radio station no problem. I will attach a separation cable (you can separate front panel) as a gift. $1199 Canadian funds will give you all you need including power supply (and this power supply is voltage adjustable and includes voltage and amp meter). If you only need ICOM-706, the price is $999 Canadian funds but I also want to get rid of power supply as well. So I set reasonable price as quoted. If you want to get a power supply too, I can also give you a tuner for free. Tuner ? You need it for HF usually... Wow... getting a lot of things for $1199 Canadian dollars ? Amazing !!!!!

4) TRC-484 CB Radio 40 CH AM 4W (can be modified. http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/cbmod.htm). $49 Canadian funds. Shipped. Antenna included. Cigarette plug lighter attached. Mobile ready.

5) TRC-485 CB Radio 40 CH AM 4W, 80 CH SSB 12W (can be modified http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/cbmod.htm). $109 Canadian funds. Shipped. Antenna included. Will give you a cigarette lighter plug. Or I can attach it before shipping.

6) HF SWR/Power meter. $15 Canadian funds.

7) Radio Shack Illumina Storm (A neat light bulb... looks like many thunders and when you touch the glass / light bulb, the thunders will hit you !!)... for $20 Canadian funds. I believe there is still a extended RS warranty for another 1.5 years or so.

8) Pentium 120 LAPTOP Computer with more than 1 GB hard drive, Windows 95 (backup diskette will be included...), 16MB Ram for sale. $299 Canadian funds or best offer. You need to attach a CD-ROM drive if you need to use CD-ROM. A parallel or PCMCDI CD-ROM probably costs a couple of hundred canadian dollars here. Or... If you are

just looking for extra laptop computer by transferring the data from your desktop computer or use as a backup device by using parallel port, this is a very good buy.

9) Pay as you go Cantel / AT&T phone for sell. $40 Canadian funds. You need to get a new battery (still should be far cheaper than buying the original at $150 + case) although I will attach the old battery... current battery isn't working so well. Everything is in mint condition. I will give you a case free (umm... case costs $30 + tax. interesting eh ? just get extra phone for a price of phone case). I am looking for a unused prepaid card ($20 + tax) which will be yours if I find one. What a deal. Unbelievable.

==========

Shipping is included in quoted price (for Canada and US). If it costs $50, I pay $50. I also insure the products and this is included in the price as well. Good deal ? Why not buy 2 of the products above and I will give you 5% off. Buy 3 and 10% off or Buy 4 or more and 15% off.

FIRST COME, FIRST SERVE. Certified cheque, money order or bank draft only. C.O.D. is OK but I don't hear good news about it. C.O.D. is OK for Canada only. -> No personal cheque please. <-

Please send the e-mail to author: hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE (please do not forget to remove .PAS_DE_PUBLICITE) before sending. I will give reasonable period for payment. As soon as I receive your payment, I will ship the products right away. It seems that this works the best as I used to sell other rigs including scanners, power supplies, ham tranceivers such as htx-202 and htx-242.

Thanks.

M a s a t a k a N o d a, Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada. N&#65533; d' ICQ: 24703041
--> http://www.radiotelephone.net <--
Infinite Communications&#65533; (TM): Il y a un coeur&#65533;. Il y a un esprit&#65533;. Il y a une voie&#65533;.
&#65533;tudient @ d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN & JP2CKG
Membre d'internationale aucun code Morse pour services radioamateur - NCI2014
C&#65533; : hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM (SVP enlever/please remove .PAS_DE_SPAM)
|| 24L ---------- 06R ||
Pilote Avion Priv&#65533; (jour & nuit): St-Hubert & Dorval INTL (dupuis 1998)
---:|| 24R ---------- 06L ||:

1395. Looking to buy Trunked scanner, info Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jamie Shurett <jshurett@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <369BFE98.E80CE868@mindspring.com>
Source record: 131584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am a communications officer looking to buy a scanner for vhf,uhf, &
800mhz. Any brands or info that anybody can recommend to me. Thanks

Jamie

--

God, Grant me the serenity to accept the things I cannot
change, courage to change the things I can, and wisdom to
know the difference.

Check out the place for Public Safety
Including Discussion, Pictures, News,
Fallen Heroes, and a bookstore. Covering
Fire, EMS, Police, Rescue and Much More
http://www.gahost.com/psc/

1396. Re: LIVING!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <77h130grh1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 131585

Any frequency he wants!
Brian
Phil Smalley wrote in message <77ggc7$966$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
Nice, but off topic. I can fix that:

Anyone know what frequency GOD is on??????

I'm guessing 7GHz, ASTRO digital, with DES encryption to boot. (After all, if anyone is in need of secure communications, it'd have to be HIM.....)

;-)
-Phil

1397. Software ICQ7+ICR2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ingra-Beton@t-online.de
Message-ID: <369A8A15.6DA93B7A@t-online.de>
Source record: 131586

The Software for the IC-Q7 and IC-R2 had a time_check in the
beta to remind you to update to the Release version.

I've noticed I had vorget to remove it on my Skiing Holyday,
To bad I had no Internet access there :)))))))

And, who is working VERY much has a of vacation, so after
this delay everything is fixed and uploaded.

Have fun,

Goran Vlaski

1398. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36b97cab.14961902@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 131587

"Vijay S. Bajwa" <vbajwa@iscp.bellcore.com> wrote:

>How is it possible for a 900Mhz cordless phone (analog or
>digital) to increase the range, without increase in power?
>
>I've heard that 900Mhz digital cordless has a greater range
>than it's analog counterpart? How so?
>
>What other advantages does digital offer over analog?

Spread Spectrum phones are allowed more power. Something on the order
of ten times more power, if I recall correctly. They also handle
multi-path problems much better than FM. Security is another
advantage.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1399. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36ba7d28.15087466@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 131588

Andy Moss <enzo@ibm.net> wrote:

>Vijay S. Bajwa wrote:
>>
>> How is it possible for a 900Mhz cordless phone (analog or
>> digital) to increase the range, without increase in power?
>
>Simple. 900MHz "travels" through buildings better. This is especially
>true when the building is made of concrete.

See below. Vijay was speaking of analog vs digital *900 MHz* phones.

>> I've heard that 900Mhz digital cordless has a greater range
>> than it's analog counterpart? How so?
>
>> Vijay S. Bajwa

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1400. Re: Two versions of the PRO-23?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990111203137.28680.00003213@ng22.aol.com>
Source record: 131589

>Subject: Two versions of the PRO-23?
>From: nospam@hotmail.com (AOR AR800 Commands?)
>Date: 1/11/99 12:53 AM Mountain Standard Time
>Anyone know if there are two versions of the RS Pro-23?.............
>Does anyone here know if there are two versions
>of the firmware or how to identify them?

Its all in the date code. It is reported that 6A4 and prior can do the cell keypad entry mod, and maybe some 7A4 models.......but after 7A4 you'll get all non-cellular frequencies in the test channel mode like you see on your version.

Another scanner information web page
Including Radio Shack, Uniden, Regency cell mod info & more at:
http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1401. Re: Pro 91 Mod Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990111203404.28680.00003214@ng22.aol.com>
Source record: 131590

>Subject: Re: Pro 91 Mod Information
>From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
>Date: 1/11/99 3:17 AM Mountain Standard Time
>If you bought a PRO-91 with the intention of modifying it to receive
>cellular phone traffic (the most common mod request), you should have
>done more research first.

BINGO !!! You couldn't have said it any better. Thanks Wayne !

Another scanner information web page
Including Radio Shack, Uniden, Regency cell mod info & more at:
http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1402. Add-On Amps (RadioShack 15-117)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil@ourcapebreton.com
Message-ID: <369aa261.5597855@news.auracom.com>
Source record: 131591

Hello:

I'm reading in the "Canadian Scanner Handbook" about adding this simple TV amplifier inline with the coax cable. It has a 10db gain and works on discones. Has anybody tried this - or any types of scanner amps?

Phil.

1403. FS: Mirage 6-Meter Amp & 2 Yaesu FT 33R's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9patsmk@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990111204310.21430.00009932@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 131592

Hello all,

I have a Mirage Model A-1015-G 6 meter amp for sale. It has been used once and is new in the box with all documentation. It requires 10 Watts in for 150 out. Asking $300

I also have 2 Yaesu FT 33R's for sale. They are 220 Mhz and come with antennas, manuals and carrying cases. They are original and have no to board installed. They look and work great, but batteries are getting weak. Asking $300 for both.

73's

Steve
n9patsmk@aol.com

1404. Re: Pro-32 Any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369AB892.40650357@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131593
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ivan Ivanovich wrote:

> Check Radio Shacks web site for complete manual. I just gave my
> sister-in-law my old PRO-32 to use out in WY. Very good old scanner, used it
> while traveling, mine was even in a car wreck and survived laying in the
> median strip overnight at -20 in PA. Worked fine after it warmed up.
>
> Just does not have 800 MHz. Discontinued by RS in 1987 for $189.00 when I
> bought it. Orignal cost was $299.00 I believe.
>
> Ivan Ivanovich

Ivan brings up a good point, my Pro-32 looked like it went through WW3,
it was beat to crap and still worked like a champ. Sure miss it!

Jon

1405. Re: cordless sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <369ab78b.476389@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131594

"me" <rgold@pb.net> wrote:

:can someon please give me the 900 megz for longisland ny and any other
:tricks I should know. thanx

It can't be done because you are not makeing sense. Come back when you
have learned to use a keyboard.

1406. Anyone have a used R2? Welz 1000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: aa186@freenet.akron.oh.us
Message-ID: <F5FHI4.CD5@freenet.akron.oh.us>
Source record: 131595

If anyone has an ICOM R2 or a Welz WS-1000e receiver
for sale at a nice price, please email me at

aa186@acorn.net

with info. on condition and price.

Thanks.
Michael
--
2

1407. Re: Pro-43...not so hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: hackercentral@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77eo95$4j6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hm, well what I meant to say is, why does the pro-34 (the 'better' scanner), with no handicaps perform about as well (instead of notably better) than the Pro-30 WITH all the handicaps?

(Handicaps:

1)plugged into a power supply vs. using batteries
2)plugged into external antenna vs. rubber duck
3)computer on vs. off

)

As for the monitor, yes, it does help, but to me that's functionally the same as turning off the computer.. I cant use either one without the other. Although, if I hear something particularly interesting happening but coming in 'noisy', I do tend to click off the monitor.

Are there some known ways to reduce the RFI from the monitor/computer? Or does it have to be done at the scanner end? I think I'll go do a websearch on 'Faraday cage' now :)

Re: image rejection, why is this the only scanner in this room that occationally hears two stations on one frequency?

My other scanners:
Pro-34, Pro-2026, Pro-2032, Bearcat BC-100XLT, a few crystal scanners, and a
And a Yaesu FT-50 (not a scanner :)

Thanks again for the help!

In article <77dddh$51s@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>,
parnass@bell-labs.com wrote:
> In article <77da2qrcl1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
> <hackercentral@my-dejanews.com> wrote:
>
> >...Also, here's the 'meat' of my question:
> >
> >Why is my Pro-43 , (with my computer off, with its rubber duck antenna,
> >running off of Nicds) about as 'good' as my cheap Pro-30, with my computer
> >on, running from a power supply, with the external antenna attached?
>
> Did you phrase this backward? I interpret what you typed
> to mean the old PRO-30 requires an outdoor antenna and is
> less sensitive than the older PRO-43.
>
> Triple conversion doesn't necessarily mean higher sensitivity.

> If the "front end" filtering is implemented properly,
> and the 1st IF is high in frequency (say, > 200 MHz),
> the triple conversion receiver with the high IF
> should provide *better image rejection*
> than the scanner with a 10.7 MHz IF, but not much more.
>
> Better image rejection is a function of the 1st
> IF and front end filtering, not the number of conversion stages.
>
> I owned about 8 PRO-30s (7 defective ones) and 1 PRO-43.
> The PRO-43 affords wider frequency coverage, more channels,
> and faster scanning in a smaller scanner.
>
> As an experiment, leave your PC's cpu on but turn off and
> unplug your monitor. See if most of the interference disappears.
> --
> ==========
> Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
>
> Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
>

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1408. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Giff <kmgifford@ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <01be3de6$06ab13c0$df088ed1@windowsu>
Source record: 131597

KB9TMP- What are you talking about? 900mhz signals don't bounce! They PASS through solids MUCH MORE READILY than 46-49 mhz

Ask any HAM, What "bounces" better, 6 meter (50mhz) or 23 centimeter....

WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net> wrote in article <77edt7$6bj$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>...
>
> Vijay S. Bajwa wrote in message <369A4E69.15FB@iscp.bellcore.com>...
> >How is it possible for a 900Mhz cordless phone (analog or

>
> The 900MHz has more range than the 44 - 49MHz phones due to the fact that 900MHz is more reflective and will bounce off buildings
> and other objects where the 44 - 49MHz doesn't "bounce" as well. Also the digital gives you a

1409. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36cad503.36594426@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 131598

"Giff" <kmgifford@ucdavis.edu> wrote:

>KB9TMP- What are you talking about? 900mhz signals don't bounce! They PASS
>through solids MUCH MORE READILY than 46-49 mhz
>
>Ask any HAM, What "bounces" better, 6 meter (50mhz) or 23 centimeter....

You have no idea what you're talking about.

>WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net> wrote in article
><77edt7$6bj$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>...
>>
>> Vijay S. Bajwa wrote in message <369A4E69.15FB@iscp.bellcore.com>...
>> >How is it possible for a 900Mhz cordless phone (analog or
>
>>
>> The 900MHz has more range than the 44 - 49MHz phones due to the fact that
>900MHz is more reflective and will bounce off buildings
>> and other objects where the 44 - 49MHz doesn't "bounce" as well. Also the
>digital gives you a

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1410. Watch out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrpbode@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990112002320.04590.00011466@ng09.aol.com>
Source record: 131599

If you tell people what you hear you may not hear it again.
If you tell people what you hear you may be prosecuted for it.

I wouldn't be paranoid if they weren't out to get us.

Happy Scanning

1411. Re: Cable for BC895/Comp interface?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: muddskip <muddskip@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <369ADC47.EA220E31@megsinet.net>
Source record: 131600

I succesfully built my own cable and it seems great. Thancks for all the help!

muddskip wrote:

> Thanx i'll give it a try
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > muddskip wrote in message <369A3FEB.4726FB1C@megsinet.net>...
> > >Would I be able to find this cable at my local Micro Center store?
> > >
> >
> > No, but one can be easily made by following these instructs:
> > http://www.futurescanning.com/895cable.htm
> >
> > -Phil
> > Looking for a program to run your BC895? Check out:
> > http://www.mghusa.com/scanner/pc895/
> > Simple to use and the price is right - free.
> > Suggestions, comments, questions and hatemail welcome,
> > ziggy7445@yahoo.com

1412. Inside antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: asrok@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990112005340.00859.00012385@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 131601

Any ideas on how to increase reception with indoor antennas. I currently can't have an outside antenna and need all the help I can get. My primary bands are VHF high and Military UHF.

1413. Re: MDT Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: OErjan Hoff <oehoff@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <77eohs$60k$1@romeo.dax.net>
Source record: 131602
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What scanner do you have?
There is no problem making an discriminator output on almost every scanner.

AOR AR-8200 have discriminator out.

Best regards
OErjan Hoff

Zook Music wrote in message <916074010.256509@soam.wwnet.net>...
>Is there a company where I can send my scanner to have a descriminator
>output added for MDT or a company where you can order scanner with
>decriminbator outputs already installed?
>
>A plethora of gratitude to all who aide my inquiry!
>
>Paul Zook
>www.zookmusic.com
>lazpaz@teleweb.net
>
>
>

1414. Re: Cordless Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369AE97A.45D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

john wrote:
>
> Does anybody have a list of frequencies in the 900 range?
>
> Someone posted the supposed existence of 30mhz phones? Anybody have
> these?
>
> john

Please note that it's Illegal to monitor Cordless Phones in the USA.

The following List is for Informational purposes only!

==========

In the US and Canada they use the following:

PRE-1984 CORDLESS FREQUENCIES POST-1995 CORDLESS FREQUENCIES

Channel ## Rmte TX Base TX Channel ## Base TX Rmte TX
========== ======= ======= ========== ======= =======
Channel 01A 49.9300 01.6950 Channel 01 43.7200 48.7600
Channel 06A 49.8300 01.7050 Channel 02 43.7400 48.8400
Channel 13A 49.8600 01.7650 Channel 03 43.8200 48.8600
Channel 19A 49.8750 01.7950 Channel 04 43.8400 48.9200
Channel 25A 49.8900 01.8250 Channel 05 43.9200 49.0200
Channel 27A 49.8450 01.7350 Channel 06 43.9600 49.0800
Channel 07 44.1200 49.1000
POST-1984 CORDLESS FREQUENCIES Channel 08 44.1600 49.1600
Channel 09 44.1800 49.2000
Channel ## Base TX Rmte TX Channel 10 44.2000 49.2400
========== ======= ======= Channel 11 44.3200 49.2800
Channel 01 46.6100 49.6700 Channel 12 44.3600 49.3600
Channel 02 46.6300 49.8450 Channel 13 44.4000 49.4000
Channel 03 46.6700 49.8600 Channel 14 44.4600 49.4600
Channel 04 46.7100 49.7700 Channel 15 44.4800 49.5000
Channel 05 46.7300 49.8750 Channel 16 46.6100 49.6700
Channel 06 46.7700 49.8300 Channel 17 46.6300 49.8450
Channel 07 46.8300 49.8900 Channel 18 46.6700 49.8600
Channel 08 46.8700 49.9300 Channel 19 46.7100 49.7700
Channel 09 46.9300 49.9900 Channel 20 46.7300 49.8750
Channel 10 46.9700 49.9700 Channel 21 46.7700 49.8300
Channel 22 46.8300 49.8900
Note: Post-1995 cordless channels Channel 23 46.8700 49.9300
16-25 are congruent with Channel 24 46.9300 49.9900

Post-1984 channels 1-10. Channel 25 46.9700 49.9700

==========

900 MHZ BAND FREQUENCIES

Many of today's 900 MHz cordless telephones offer digital encryption
or spread spectrum technology. Either of these systems will render
the reception of the conversation by an ordinary scanner impossible.

Taken From Panasonic KX-T9000 60 Channel Model

Base: 902.100 - 903.870 Base frequencies
Handset: 926.100 - 927.870 Handset frequencies

(30 kHz Spacing)

CH	BASE TX	HAND TX	CH	BASE TX	HAND TX	CH	BASE TX	HAND TX
01	902.100	926.100	21	902.700	926.700	41	903.300	927.300
02	902.130	926.130	22	902.730	926.730	42	903.330	927.330
03	902.160	926.160	23	902.760	926.760	43	903.360	927.360
04	902.190	926.190	24	902.790	926.790	44	903.390	927.390
05	902.220	926.220	25	902.820	926.820	45	903.420	927.420
06	902.250	926.250	26	902.850	926.850	46	903.450	927.450
07	902.280	926.280	27	902.880	926.880	47	903.480	927.480
08	902.310	926.310	28	902.910	926.910	48	903.510	927.510
09	902.340	926.340	29	902.940	926.940	49	903.540	927.540
10	902.370	926.370	30	902.970	926.970	50	903.570	927.570
11	902.400	926.400	31	903.000	927.000	51	903.600	927.600
12	902.430	926.430	32	903.030	927.030	52	903.630	927.630
13	902.460	926.460	33	903.060	927.060	53	903.660	927.660
14	902.490	926.490	34	903.090	927.090	54	903.690	927.690
15	902.520	926.520	35	903.120	927.120	55	903.720	927.720
16	902.550	926.550	36	903.150	927.150	56	903.750	927.750
17	902.580	926.580	37	903.180	927.180	57	903.780	927.780
18	902.610	926.610	38	903.210	927.210	58	903.810	927.810
19	902.640	926.640	39	903.240	927.240	59	903.840	927.840
20	902.670	926.670	40	903.270	927.270	60	903.870	927.870

For the single frequency model Panasonic phones, the color of the unit
often determines which of the above channels is used by the phone. The
following chart lists these allocations.

Unit Color	CH	Unit Color	CH	Unit Color	CH	Unit Color	CH
Banana	03	Cerise	04	Gray	07	Off White	06
Black	59	Chartreuse	10	Hot Pink	02	Shocking Pink	09
Blue	01	Fuschia	08	Neon Purple	11	White	05

(Taken From V-Tech Tropez DX900 20 Channel Model)

Base: 905.600 - 907.500 Base frequencies
Handset: 925.500 - 927.400 Handset frequencies

(100 kHz Spacing)

CH	BASE TX	HAND TX	CH	BASE TX	HAND TX	CH	BASE TX	HAND TX
01	905.600	925.500	08	906.300	926.200	15	907.000	926.900
02	905.700	925.600	09	906.400	926.300	16	907.100	927.000
03	905.800	925.700	10	906.500	926.400	17	907.200	927.100
04	905.900	925.800	11	906.600	926.500	18	907.300	927.200
05	906.000	925.900	12	906.700	926.600	19	907.400	927.300
06	906.100	926.000	13	906.800	926.700	20	907.500	927.400
07	906.200	926.100	14	906.900	926.800			

OTHER 900 MHZ CORDLESS PHONES

AT&T Model 9120 - Base: 902.000-905.000 Handset:
925.000-928.000
Otron Corp. Model CP-1000 - Base: 902.100-903.900 Handset:
926.100-927.900
Samsung Model SP-R912 - Base: 903.000 Handset: 927.000

==========

In the UK they use the following:

Channel	Pairs	Base Unit TX Mhz	Handset Tx Mhz
1	A	1.642	47.45625
4	B	1.702	47.49375
2	A	1.662	47.46875
5	B	1.772	47.50625
3	A	1.682	47.48125
6	B	1.742	47.51875
4	A	1.702	47.49375
7	B	1.762	47.53125
5	A	1.722	47.50625
8	B	1.782	47.54375

==========

In Australia they use the following:

10 Channel Cordless models in Australia

Base	Handset
30.075	39.775
30.100	39.800
30.125	39.825
30.150	39.850
30.175	39.875
30.200	39.900

30.225 39.925
30.250 39.950
30.275 39.975
30.300 40.000

5 Channel Cordless Phone models Australia

Base Handset

1.725 40.025
1.740 40.075
1.755 40.125
1.770 40.175
1.785 40.225

==========

In New Zealand they use the following:

Channel Base Unit Transmit (MHz) Portable Unit Transmit (MHz)

CT1-1 30.075 39.775
CT1-2 30.100 39.800
CT1-3 30.125 39.825
CT1-4 30.150 39.850
CT1-5 30.175 39.875
CT1-6 30.200 39.900
CT1-7 30.225 39.925
CT1-8 30.250 39.950
CT1-9 30.275 39.975
CT1-10 30.300 40.000
CT1-11A 30.325 or 1.725 40.025
CT1-12 30.350 40.050
CT1-13B 30.375 or 1.740 40.075
CT1-14 30.400 40.100
CT1-15C 30.425 or 1.755 40.125
CT1-16 30.450 40.150
CT1-17D 30.475 or 1.770 40.175
CT1-18 30.500 40.200
CT1-19E 30.525 or 1.785 40.225
CT1-20 30.550 40.250
CT1-21 30.575 40.275
CT1-22 30.600 40.300 or 72.800
CT1-23 30.625 40.325 or 72.825
CT1-24 30.650 40.350 or 72.850
CT1-25 30.675 40.375 or 72.875
CT1-26 30.700 40.400 or 72.900
CT1-27 30.725 40.425 or 72.925
CT1-28 30.750 40.450 or 72.950
CT1-29 30.775 40.475 or 72.975

The 800 Mhz cordless phones in New Zealand operate on:

```
864.15 865.15 866.15 867.15
864.25 865.25 866.25 867.25
864.35 865.35 866.35 867.35
864.45 865.45 866.45 867.45
864.55 865.55 866.55 867.55
864.65 865.65 866.65 867.65
864.75 865.75 866.75 867.75
864.85 865.85 866.85 867.85
864.95 865.95 866.95 867.95
865.05 866.05 867.05 868.05

================================================================================
```

1415. MDT Scanning - Need Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: LVAC <stunod@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <77epp5$3ur$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131604
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

LVAC wrote in message ...
I am trying to scan MDT's in the NYC area. I am running MDT Monitor using a modified Pro 2022. However I am having a problem getting a strong signal from the scanner. For some reason I cant get any external antenna to work. When I use the internal antenna I get an OK signal however as soon as I hook up the external the signal turns to shit. I have used several antennas (yes all tuned for 800 MHz).
I have even used a 800 roof antenna at work which I know works and I do not recieve a good signal. Please help Thanks!!

1416. WANTED:ACSS SECURITY CO FREQS LA AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: e10mo@aol.comKoichiro
Message-ID: <19990112020540.27436.00011808@ng02.aol.com>
Source record: 131605

Hi,

Can someone tell me what the freqs for ACSS Security in the Los Angeles
area?Also need PL or DPL codes so I may filter the unwanted radio traffic of
other
users on those freqs.

Thxs.

Koichiro

1417. Re: OT:Apology dosn`t work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ragnu <LT@kola.com>
Message-ID: <6gEm2.1868$DB1.1707@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 131606

John -shit for brains - KAOSSF wrote in message <369AF563.935@usa.net>...
>Regarding myself, I have NEVER flamed or harassed on this NG.

Usual lie.

'cause YOU spew don't make it true

>I have been the TARGET OF flaming & harassment.

You should be a target asswipe!

You deserve to have the shit kicked out of you.

Go away.

1418. Computer hookup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill <bill@sprint.ca>
Message-ID: <01be3e0a$ea02ce00$a0c694d1@enduser>
Source record: 131607

I understand that you can use a computer in conjunction with your scanner.
What will this do for you? What does the computer control/detect, etc?

1419. BC895XLT MODIFICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: catt777@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20073-369B1606-1@newsd-133.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 131608

HI any one have ANY mods for the bc895xlt?

1420. Pro-70 Modifications.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Kincaid <cinix@goth.net>
Message-ID: <369B33F5.E8A5006@goth.net>
Source record: 131609
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

is there a modification i can make to my RS Pro-70 so it won't limit the
54-137 174-380 frequencies?

1421. Re: Basic question(s), or, rather HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <369B4874.4875469C@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 131610

David Collins wrote:
> I'm just getting into scanning, and hope someone can steer me in the right
> direction. I'm interested in following transmissions:
> 1) Public safety ("regular" and 800 mhz trunked)
> 2) Aircraft
> 3) Military
> 4) Domestic stuff (cordless telephones, etc.)
>
> I've been looking at the Bearcat 9000XLT, but I honestly don't know what all
> is out there. Looking for something that can scan all the above, has room
> for lots of channels, very versatile. Something with an alphanumeric
> display would be nice, but not absolutley required. Will the 9000 do what
> I'm looking for? I don't want to spend a whole lot (over $500). Thanks in
> advance for your help

The 9000 won't do trunk following out of the box. If you would like
a great set of features, trunk tracking and a computer interface, I'd
suggest the BC895XLT. Can be had for a little over $200!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1422. Re: Cordless heard on 44mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <369b4e67.307423@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131611

PDWK66A@prodigy.com (John Porter) wrote:

:What are the ten 30 MHz cordless phone channels?

30.075 to 30.300 in 25 KHz steps.

:Thanks,
:
:JOHN, KC6NWJ
:
:>I heard it on my scanner while the scanner was tuned to one of ten
:>30 MHz cordless phone channels.
:
:
:

1423. Re: CB magnet mount for Scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <369b4e7a.325732@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131612

thuynh6969@aol.com (THuynh6969) wrote:

: Thanks to all for the formulas. I have a CB antenna. The Wilson 500, I
:took the whip out and tuned it for 800 Mhz. So I'm using a CB magnet mount and
:a 800 Mhz tuned antenna on my car roof. It seems to work okay, but I was
:wondering if this is okay and does it cause any loss or cause more static than
:if I were to use an antenna specifically made for scanners ?
: I've tried 1/4, 5/8/,1/2, and even 1, but still have the same result.
:Static really badly sometimes too ! Am I doing something wrong ? If you have
:any suggestions please tell me or any antennas you recommend. Appreciate it,
:everyone ! Thanks a million !

Magnetic bases made for 27 MHz CB have too much loss at 800 MHz.

1424. Re: question about 6 meters an 10 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <369b4e6d.313116@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131613

The more meters you have the more information you can display at once,
but the more front panel space you need.

1425. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ham.radio@its.best
Message-ID: <uglm2.23$V_.228@news.cwix.com>
Source record: 131614

From a ham, 900 mhz signals do not go through buildings because of the shorter wave length of the 900mhz signal. These signals will "bounce" off of the walls. What does allow for greater range is the fact that there is much man made noise on 46mhz than there is on 900mhz, thus giving the receiver a better signal to noise ratio.

In article <36cad503.36594426@news.cyberhighway.net>, glenq@cyberhighway.net wrote:
>"Giff" <kmgifford@ucdavis.edu> wrote:
>
>>KB9TMP- What are you talking about? 900mhz signals don't bounce! They PASS
>>through solids MUCH MORE READILY than 46-49 mhz
>>
>>Ask any HAM, What "bounces" better, 6 meter (50mhz) or 23 centimeter....
>
>You have no idea what you're talking about.
>
>>WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net> wrote in article
>><77edt7$6bj$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>...
>>>
>>> Vijay S. Bajwa wrote in message <369A4E69.15FB@iscp.bellcore.com>...
>>> >How is it possible for a 900Mhz cordless phone (analog or
>>
>>>
>>> The 900MHz has more range than the 44 - 49MHz phones due to the fact that
>>900MHz is more reflective and will bounce off buildings
>>> and other objects where the 44 - 49MHz doesn't "bounce" as well. Also the
>>digital gives you a
>

1426. Best Indoor antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: TKD <rt12@montrose.net>
Message-ID: <01be3e32$2dc49f20$40c385cc@default>
Source record: 131615
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

I found this one on the net. Ive used the standard
antenna supplied plus tried the discone outdoor type.
that type is too big. this one outperforms both!
it is a loaded coil type and is about 30 feet long.
it can be taken up or down in seconds! It is Quality
and guaranteed.
see
http://flashdistributor.com
ask for discount

1427. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <369b5831.2813378@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131616

"Giff" <kmgifford@ucdavis.edu> wrote:

:KB9TMP- What are you talking about? 900mhz signals don't bounce! They PASS
:through solids MUCH MORE READILY than 46-49 mhz
:
:Ask any HAM, What "bounces" better, 6 meter (50mhz) or 23 centimeter....

The old meters bounce better than the new ones.

:
:
:
:WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net> wrote in article
:<77edt7$6bj$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>...
:>
:> Vijay S. Bajwa wrote in message <369A4E69.15FB@iscp.bellcore.com>...
:> >How is it possible for a 900Mhz cordless phone (analog or
:
:>
:> The 900MHz has more range than the 44 - 49MHz phones due to the fact that
:900MHz is more reflective and will bounce off buildings
:> and other objects where the 44 - 49MHz doesn't "bounce" as well. Also the
:digital gives you a
:

1428. Re: FS: 2 meter HT .... (icom 2 at ..) (sold)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <369b5760.2604259@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.packet

kaliber@pacbell.net (dusty - K4NLZ) wrote:

:On Sun, 27 Dec 1998 21:11:31 GMT, kaliber@pacbell.net (Dusty - K4NLZ)
:wrote:
:
:>
: Thanks for all the response to my ad ..the unit has
:been sold.

I am suprised you can still get radios with meters.

:>
:>
:> kaliber@pacbell.net
:> dusty
:> K4NLZ
:>
:

1429. Re: Digital scanners, do they pick up digital celular signals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <369b5877.2883680@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131618

"Soy Bomb II" <mm11720@navix.net> wrote:

:Do these scanner pick up digital cel phones? Not looking to break the law,
:just wanted to know as a bit of tech. knowledge. Also can someone give me a
:few model numbers to check out on the web.

Digital scanners don't exist yet and it is unlikely they ever will due to
the huge range of signal types. Even if you had a receiver custom made
you could not listen to GSM signals because they are encrypted.

1430. Re: Cordless Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <369b5917.3043262@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

john <hrothgar@lightspeed.net> wrote:

:Does anybody have a list of frequencies in the 900 range?
:
:Someone posted the supposed existence of 30mhz phones? Anybody have
:these?

I have a Radio Shack unit that stopped working after a storm.

Most new ones scan all 10 channels as required.

:john
:

1431. FS: 2 meter 6 metre amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <369b599b.3175639@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131620

Sorry sold.

1432. Re: unlock all - radio shack handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <369b5a1a.3302811@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131621

notme@nowhere.com wrote:

:In article <3697164d.942967@news.acay.com.au>, barryo@XacayX.com.au wrote:
:>netzel@(remove-me)erinet.com wrote:
:>
:>:He's probably referring to "unlocking" the "locked out channels". On the
:>:Uniden scanners you go to MANUAL and press the LOCKOUT button until you
:>:hear 2 beeps (about 3 seconds) and all the locked out channels are
:>:unlocked, or all channels are now "unlocked" out.
:>
:>Just a small correction. The above action only unlocks channels in
:>banks that are enabled.
:>
:>:Fred
:>
:>Barry
:>
:
:.maybe on the RS units but on both my BC2500 and BC890 the unlock the "locked
:out channels" affects ALL 400 and 200 channels respectively. ....like Gates of
:Windows fame says, it's not a bug but an "undocumented enhancement"......

It only affects enabled banks on my UBC3000 and my UBC9000.

:enjoy
:
:Fred

1433. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <369b5a6d.3385692@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"WW - KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@usa.net> wrote:

:Barry I don't know what Christian hurt you when you were little but I'm
:sorry and want you to know that Jesus loves you anyhow and died for you just
:the same!

Why couldn't Jesus have the decency to stay dead?

I prefer a God that doesn't let things get in a mess to one that does and
then fiddles around with ineffective rituals.

1434. Re: National Guard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russ Freeman <jrf9949@garnet.acns.fsu.edu>
Message-ID: <369B600B.6E3C97B7@garnet.acns.fsu.edu>
Source record: 131623

Camp Blanding Range Control:
FM 148.6875, 148.7625, and 47.050. Monitor between 39.000 and 76.000
FM for interunit communications. Monitor standard civil and military
airbands as well. One interesting thing; the lightning test range
operates on the range control frequencies during the summer months.
Russ

Bill Wolford wrote:

> Does anyone have a list of the Florida National Guards freq's?

1435. Database question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberjunke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990112100355.27695.00011719@ng40.aol.com>
Source record: 131624

Does anyone have a database on CD rom that would be willing to do a search for me?

Please Email me

CyberjunkE@aol.com

1436. Re: Database question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369B6620.4C4A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131625

CyberjunkE wrote:
>
> Does anyone have a database on CD rom that would be willing to do a search for
> me?
>
> Please Email me
>
> CyberjunkE@aol.com

There are several online sources that you can run a search
for yourself. Have a look at the following URLs:

The FCC Database

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

PerCon Frequency Database

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm

ScanWare Associate's FCC Frequency Retrieval System

http://www.oz.net/~gmcavoy/sw_frqsmap.html
http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html

Frequency lists for radio systems in Canada

http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/tafl/tafl-e/tafledat.html

1437. FS: Icom 8500 super scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gregg@plains.nodak.edu
Message-ID: <77fof0ivm1@node2.nodak.edu>
Source record: 131626

I have a like new Icom 8500 scanner for sale. Original box, manual, etc.

Covers dc-blue light. (well, 100Khz to 2Ghz anyway.)

All modes, great hf specs and performance and terrific vhf/uhf too.

1000 memories, search and store, search banks, etc. Very fast scan speed.

Go to Icom's web page for details.

I also have the Icom computer control software package.

$1350 plus shipping

Consider trades on computer stuff, video stuff or ham/scanner stuff

This is the best scanner you'll ever use.

Joe

1438. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <77fpft$la2$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 131627

You know, someone has to build a receiver for DSS just like they do a transmitter. Someone with a little time could even rewrite the firmware for one of those cordless phones and make the handset a bona-fide scanner. You could even use the base with a good outside antenna and relay that back to your phone. Nothing to it, just a little code. Furthermore, test equipment is out there which will receive spread spectrum with no trouble at all.

People seem to think that the latest and greatest is totally secure. Look at trunking- we cracked that. Look at ASTRO- being cracked now. Look at 56-bit DES, our government's security standard for non-classified stuff- it was cracked in a few days. Look at POCSAG paging- cracked it too. So, Ma M released FLEX, the new secure standard- yep, cracked that too.

Nothing in this world is secure. All it takes is the right people with the intelligence and the time and possibly a little money to beat the latest and greatest. So, if you want secure, go use a landline with some serious encryption on that. Someone could still break that, but it's not so likely.

Just my $.02
Terry

ebden@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <76tq4jluq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>In article <3690fc5c.7513822@news>,
>
>> >AJMedia@nospam.com
>> I will make it easy on everyone. If the phone has the dss (digital
>> spread spectrum) either on the phone or on the box then it is secure
>> from being heard by any scanner that I know of. If it says secure
>> phone then it can be heard by any scanner which receives the frequency
>> range of the phone. The phone manufacturers have a very loose version
>> of what they call secure. Again, if the phone does not say dss on it
>> then it aint. Keith
>>
>
>You mean that these dss models will see to it that no scanner anywhere is
>going to pick up my conversations, either from the base or the handset?
ebden
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1439. MDT question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge <stef_theberge@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <hYJm2.1651$gB2.4781@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 131628
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

i have MDT program with PRO_2006. so i have some question :

1- can i use this program with only soundcard with the scanner or i have to build a discrimantion output?

2- i use it with the soundcard and decode nothing, is this because is not a discimination output?

3- Can i use this program for 154.2500 mhz? because is the fire departement in my area and i would like decode their stuff

--
St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge
Beauport,Qc
Canada
http://pages.infinit.net/watchman

1440. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <77fomg$lf6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 131629

From No-Code Plus Second Edition # 62-2417
Page #69 Question # N3A04

What can happen to VHF or UHF signals going towards a metal-framed building?
A. They will go around the building.
B. They can be bent by the ionosphere.
C. They can be easily reflected by the building.
D. They are sometimes scattered in the ectosphere.
ANSWER C:
Gordon West wrote "Your small 222-MHz or 1270-MHz hand-held transceiver will work nicely inside buildings and even in elevators.
Signals are so 'reflective' at these frequencies that they manage to find a way out to that distant repeater. You may also find that
there are different areas in your house or office that are better than others for working distant repeaters because of nearby
'reflections'.

Sorry for believing what an Extra Class Amateur has to say!

Giff wrote in message <01be3de6$06ab13c0$df088ed1@windowsu>...
>KB9TMP- What are you talking about? 900mhz signals don't bounce! They PASS
>through solids MUCH MORE READILY than 46-49 mhz
>
>Ask any HAM, What "bounces" better, 6 meter (50mhz) or 23 centimeter....

1441. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <77fq8t$lt3$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 131630

And rubber coated meters bounce better that metal boxed meters! :^)

You can't fool me I'm to Stupid for ya' !

Barry O'Grady wrote in message <369b5831.2813378@news.acay.com.au>...
>"Giff" <kmgifford@ucdavis.edu> wrote:
>
>:KB9TMP- What are you talking about? 900mhz signals don't bounce! They PASS
>:through solids MUCH MORE READILY than 46-49 mhz
>:
>:Ask any HAM, What "bounces" better, 6 meter (50mhz) or 23 centimeter....
>
>The old meters bounce better than the new ones.

1442. Re: Indiana Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: cewelborne@adpc.purdue.edu
Message-ID: <369b706a.9440214@news.cc.purdue.edu>
Source record: 131631

On 10 Jan 1999 19:33:03 -0600, Marty <martyd@surfnetinc.com> wrote:

>Try Indy Scan at:
>
>http://members.iquest.net/~aeverhart/index.html
>
>
>Rod Kilgore wrote:
>>
>> Need Indiana Frequencies

Yep, that's the best place I've found. It's got almost everything you
could want...

Charles Welborne
First Responder At-Large
Emergency Management volunteer
West Lafayette, IN
cewelborne@adpc.purdue.edu

****

I do not, of course, speak for my employer.

1443. Re: AOR AR800 command list (not AR8000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andre' <astlaurent@aspenres.com>
Message-ID: <369B7755.12E4A00E@aspenres.com>
Source record: 131632
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Speaking of which ...

I skimmed the AR8000 manual several times, as well as searching the online (PDF) version for way to copy an entire set of freq's from one bank to another in one fell swoop, and couldn't find a way to do this. Anyone have any ideas?

1444. How Many Scanners at a NASCAR race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Wood <markalanwood@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <77ft5q$5up@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131633

Just a general question, does anyone know where I can get business statistics on the number of scanner radios that are sold every year and the number of radios that get rented at motorsports events?

1445. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmweber@goodnet.com
Message-ID: <369d7dc5.7891774@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 131634

On Mon, 11 Jan 1999 21:52:09 -0500, "WW - KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@usa.net>
wrote:

>
>Vijay S. Bajwa wrote in message <369A4E69.15FB@iscp.bellcore.com>...
>>How is it possible for a 900Mhz cordless phone (analog or
>>digital) to increase the range, without increase in power?
>>I've heard that 900Mhz digital cordless has a greater range
>>than it's analog counterpart? How so?
>>What other advantages does digital offer over analog?
>>Thanks!
>>Vijay S. Bajwa
>
>The 900MHz has more range than the 44 - 49MHz phones due to the fact that 900MHz is more
reflective and will bounce off buildings
>and other objects where the 44 - 49MHz doesn't "bounce" as well. Also the digital gives
you a clearer signal "less noise" so your
>phone will work from farther away. As well as less usage in that band so your signal
stands out instead of getting lost in the midst
>of all the other phones. I have two cordless phones both AT&T one is 49 MHz and has a
range of about a half a block the other is 900
>MHz and has a one block plus range.
>
A poor description of what happens, but relatively far from the truth.
Radio waves propogate through space, and are reflected off objects
that conduct electricity. In order for a wave to traverse a space, the
space must be wide enough for the wave. The wave length at 50Mhz is
about 6 meters, so it will propogate through spaces that are at least
1/4 wave wide, about 1.5 meters, or 5+feet. In a building with metal
studs, or with re-inforced concrete walls, or lage amount of copper
wiring in the walls, there are relatively few spaces that wide, so the
signal does not propogate all that well. The other problem with 50Mhz
is the world seems to be filled with microcontroller devices with 10
and 16Mhz clocks. Clocks produce substantial output on all odd
harmonics (Fourier Theorem), so they tend to put out a lot of 'noise'
around 48Mhz.. You can demonstrated that with a 16Mhz 386 computer.
See what it does to your cordless 48Mhz phone reception!!!

900 Mhz is .3 meter wave length, or about 1 foot. That means the waves
will propogate through openings that are larger than 1/4 wave, or
about 3 inches. There are few walls with metallic objects every three
inches or so, so it tends to go through such walls a lot better than
50Mhz.

1446. Re: How Many Scanners at a NASCAR race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369B7B90.119@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131635

Mark Wood wrote:
>
> Just a general question, does anyone know where I can get business
> statistics on the number of scanner radios that are sold every year and the
> number of radios that get rented at motorsports events?

You may want to contact the folks at Race-Scan Communications and
Fanscan. They both rent scanners at most Nascar racing events.

Race-Scan Communications WEB page

http://www.racescan.com/

Fanscan WEB page

http://www.jeffgordon.com/fanscan.html

1447. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: repatch@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77e3vq$jf2$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131685

In article <369A5C33.F6208AF9@earthlink.net>,
Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net> wrote:
> The normal cordless phone band uses low band vhf,...this is easily
> stoped by anything in the house. But the 900 Mhz is not so easily
> stopped by
> your house. But it also is not the greatest band.

Sorry, but I believe it is the other way around, 45MHZ can penetrate at
the same power level than 900MHZ. I believe the difference in range is due to
the fact that there is much less activity on 900MHZ, and perhaps one is
permitted to transmit at a higher power level. Aside from this, a 900MHZ
antenna can be much shorter for the same antenna signal gain. TTYL

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1448. Re: Cleveland area CTCSS tones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18755-369D5966-25@newsd-174.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 131386

RTA P D-100.0
CMHA PD-131.8
most suburbs (police) uhf/vhf 110.9
tower city security 226 (dpl/dcs)
fbi 167.9
Cleve schools ch 1-4 118.8 ch 5 186.2
county jail security 110.9
cpd aux police 146.2
RTA trains,zonemen 100.0
shaker hts service dept 107.2
sheriff dept 110.9
CMHA PD ch 3 167.9
cablevision 136.5
Cleve clinic Police 141.3
Richmond Mall 110.9
tower city ch 3 413 (dpl/dcs)
EMS MED 9 (backup ch) 192.8
Rainbow Terrance,Longwood Apts 118.8
CFD simucast ch 162.2
LEARA 2 mtr rptr 110.9 440 rptr 131.8
ST Lukes Hosp. security 123.0
tower city maint.ch.2 226 (dpl/dcs)
OHIO LEERN NONE (carrier squelch)

If you need any more let me know.

1449. Re: Anyone near Louisville? / AOR 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Stockdale <stockdas@river.it.gvsu.edu>
Message-ID: <369D6347.BAA898CB@river.it.gvsu.edu>
Source record: 131387

Shan,
You can find an electronic copy of the AR8000 manual at the following website:

http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/ar8000.htm

Click on the link "Operating Manual in PDF Format (491kB)" to view the document. Right click on the link and select "Save Link As.." to save the file to your hard drive. If you have any problems, I can e-mail you the file.

It is identical to the AR8000 manual I have in my hands right now. I hope this helps.

David Stockdale

Shan wrote:

> Hello,
>
> Somewhile ago I purchased an AOR 800 w/ Opto-Scout (interface
> included)...since then much water has passed beneath the bridge and I'm
> embarrassed to admit that I cannot task this equipment anywhere near to
> that of it's potential (can't find manuels).
>
> I was hoping, perhaps, someone might live nearby who could take some
> time and de-mystify these puppies -- I think they'll do neat stuff (if I
> only knew how).
>
> In exchange, well, I'm certain I could render something or other.
>
> Thanks,
>
> shan

1450. Re: Need Scanner Database Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Maxell <"scottm[nospam]"@midmaine.com>
Message-ID: <369D690A.9BAC9516@midmaine.com>
Source record: 131388

yes

Ron Smith wrote:
>
> Anyone have one.

1451. FS: AOR8000A Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: fhunt*@*clinic.net
Message-ID: <369d6f50.0@news.destek.net>
Source record: 131389

Hello to all:

Thanks for taking the time to read and respond.
I have one service manual for an AOR8000A.
Best offer to my e-mail takes it.

fhunt*@*clinic.net

Thanks again

Fulton

P.S. remove the ** to reply

1452. Re: OT:Apology dosn`t work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369D6EA4.246D@usa.net>
Source record: 131390

Mr. WireHead wrote:

SNIP
> Date | Mackey | Cheek |
SNIP
> TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 |

Thank you for proving that Cheek posted hundreds of more messages than me!

1453. Re: OT:Apology dosn`t work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369D6F75.566E@usa.net>
Source record: 131391

Ragnu wrote:

> KAOSSF wrote in message <369AF563.935@usa.net>...
> >Regarding myself, I have NEVER flamed or harassed on this NG.
>
> Usual lie.

Exactly how do you prove that it is a lie? Please post your specific proof
that can be verified.

> You deserve to have the shit kicked out of you.

Pack your lunch, bring a friend, clear your day, & come give it your best ATTEMPT!

1454. Re: (?) Is Bill Cheeks Back?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369D722F.37DC@usa.net>
Source record: 131392

Roger-

Congrats on your ham license. I didn't realize that you gained a Tech license
in 1995!

Keep it up, there is nothing more satisfying than upgrading & learning amateur radio. Go for your General, Advanced, & Extra!

If there is anything I may do to assist, please feel free to ask!

1455. need frequencies for Charlotte, NC/Mecklenburg County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Wooten <sirius.1@first-mail.com>
Message-ID: <KSen2.437$kl.7178@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 131393

I have searched all over the web and cannot seem to find the police/fire/emergency frequencies. Any help greatly appreciated.

JW

1456. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369D73EF.7A6E@usa.net>
Source record: 131394

Steve wrote:

> -shit-fer
SNIP
> MA's activities pale in comparison to your attention drawing asshole act
> John. You've used the above childish rebuttal what? 500
SNIP

YOU are the one who is using "childish rebuttal"!!! You OBVIOUSLY (as evidenced) by YOUR OWN POST are unable to communicate without the use of obsenity & immature remarks.

> The fact is you have done it all John. You only posted the above to try

If your statement is correct then post the VERIFIABLE proof of your statement!

other gibberish snipped!

1457. Pro 2004 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vinny61@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2516-369D7344-66@newsd-151.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 131395

In good cond.without cell mods Best offer

Thank You
Vinny

Arlington, Texas

Vinny61@webtv.net

1458. radio shack 2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trelanie Hill <Trelaniestrucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <369d3ec3.0@207.71.36.3>
Source record: 131396

How do you unloak the banks
I can't find my manual
holding down the lockout button worked to unlockout channels
I can't figure the banks out though
Thank You
Trelanie

Barry O'Grady wrote in message <369b5a1a.3302811@news.acay.com.au>...
>notme@nowhere.com wrote:
>
>:In article <3697164d.942967@news.acay.com.au>, barryo@XacayX.com.au wrote:
>:>netzel@(remove-me)erinet.com wrote:
>:>
>:>:He's probably referring to "unlocking" the "locked out channels". On
the
>:>:Uniden scanners you go to MANUAL and press the LOCKOUT button until you
>:>:hear 2 beeps (about 3 seconds) and all the locked out channels are
>:>:unlocked, or all channels are now "unlocked" out.
>:>
>:>Just a small correction. The above action only unlocks channels in
>:>banks that are enabled.
>:>
>:>:Fred
>:>
>:>Barry
>:>
>:
>:.maybe on the RS units but on both my BC2500 and BC890 the unlock the
"locked
>:out channels" affects ALL 400 and 200 channels respectively. ....like
Gates of
>:Windows fame says, it's not a bug but an "undocumented enhancement"......
>
>It only affects enabled banks on my UBC3000 and my UBC9000.
>
>:enjoy
>:
>:Fred
>

1459. Re: Philly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tekkie*@enter.net
Message-ID: <MPG.110730ba4d608b129896b3@news.enter.net>
Source record: 131397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <36942558.26950146@proxye1.san.rr.com>, thekit@san.rr.com
says...
>
> Need freqs used in and around Philadelphia, PA., especially PD stuff.
>
> Is a trunked system used there, and if so, does anyone have talkgroup info?

See Joes excellent page http://www.erols.com/jcardani/sepascan.htm & post
to phl.scanner group.
--

Tekkie
Remove asterisk to reply; it is an anti-spam measure.

1460. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <SSnn2.77$_33.1238@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 131398

Double Ditto. You can't have too many radios.

In general a base tends to have more features and better performance for the money. The 895XLT adds nifty extras like a signal strength meter, CTCSS (with search) WX alert. tape outlet, computer control etc. The base will have somewhat better audio and in general is lots better to use *as* a base, i.e. sitting on your desk and "running the radio". The handheld trades those off for much greater flexibility, it goes where you go, and at home you can use it in any room easier. You can prop it on a stand on your desk and connect an outside antenna, but its not as elegant on the desktop as a base. Keeping batteries charged and maintained is a chore you have to keep up with.

IMHO if you have to choose between the two, and it is going to be your only radio, get the handheld to its greater utility.

Rob Lang

Stephen Barnes wrote in message <369D4FCF.9C49D95C@bellsouth.net>...
>Ditto!
>
>Jack Anderson wrote:
>
>> For $400 or a little more you could get both the 235 and 895 and have
>> the best of both world's.
>>

1461. Re: RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil <sonny@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <77je9jtrg1@news.citynet.net>
Source record: 131399

I really like my PRO-2035
dodge78

1462. Re: Discriminator audio too low??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Malcolm <NoSpam@NoSpam>
Message-ID: <01be3f2c$2ce700c0$a95e94c0@agviq.cmdl.noaa.gov>
Source record: 131400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Esoterix <NOSPAM@NO.SPAM> wrote in article <369B9464.47C8301A@NO.SPAM>...
> I made a discriminator tap on my BC-2500XLT, but I actually have to run
> it through a Radio Shack mini-amplifier to get the signal I'm looking
> for. Should I use a lower or a higher value capacitor off the
> discrmininator tap? Or is the discriminator output just one of those
> weaker outputs that need some sort of unfiltered amplification?

I had the same problem with my AR1000. Check the URL below for a
buffer/amplifier. I think they suggest using another 741.

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/4039/IINFO.HTM

I made my own circuit using a LM324 (4 op-amps in a 14pin DIP). One op-amp
is used for a variable gain amp, another for the "bit-slicer" and another
as a level comparitor driving an LED. The LED gives a visual reference
that the gain is up high enough to be sure the "bit-slicer" is working. It
is more parts intensive, but is easy to adjust for several radios. I don't
have the schematic on me, but I can post it tomorrow if there is interest.
malcolm

1463. want CHP MDT FREQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke6les@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <77ho8t$iao@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131436

anybody out there know the frequency being used for the MDT's (or MDC's as they are calling it) for the California Highway Patrol in the Golden Gate Division??? please reply to ke6les@ix.netcom.com

thanks in advance

1464. MARCONNI everyone knows that Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: *@*.gov
Message-ID: <369d6b94.1529451@news.ind.ameritech.net>
Source record: 131437
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 10 Jan 1999 23:43:26 -0000, "Matt" <ones@softhome.net> wrote:

>>> Yeah, and Radio Snack invented the world's first edible radio.
>
>>And they invented answers too. "You've got questions - we've got
>>answers."
>>Too bad their answers are clueless or wrong.
>
>
>Or just complete outright lies :)
>I bet if you went in and asked if you could buy a scanner they'd come back
>with some shit about DPI and parallel ports ;-)
>

1465. thanks all for help on software problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kce <kce@freeway.net>
Message-ID: <77hu5d$kim@news.freeway.net>
Source record: 131438

thanks to all who gave inputs on my sound card/decoder problem
fyi to fix the problem i merely had to update the sound card drivers
to newer ones that support full duplex operation
ken

1466. Re: RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: LAS <monkey@yayaya.com>
Message-ID: <369C86DC.C920C97@yayaya.com>
Source record: 131439

ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Jack wrote:

> I knew you were on DRUGS!
> Radio Shack doesn't even make scanners!
> They re-label scanners!
>

Uhhhh DUH!....Is that something you just learned?

1467. national guard freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bwolford@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <369ba607.6952681@news.magicnet.net>
Source record: 131440

Does anyone have a list of the Florida National Guard freq's?

1468. scrambler inversion band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ALE <p.i.mancini@omniway.sm>
Message-ID: <01be3eed$1adcc5c0$2941b7c2@p.i.mancini.omniway.sm>
Source record: 131441

My english is not got im italian,
I want to decode the scrambling comunication (inversion band) for scanner
, with a software for soundblaster pc.

1469. Re: FS: Various Items

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jbseale@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <369C9613.295E4EA6@iglou.com>
Source record: 131442
Cross-posted to: alt.forsale,asu.forsale,az.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I suppose you used that tape deck to record off your scanner. Well, I can do that same thing with the audio recording software in my PC (recording to WAV files).

Hawke wrote:
>
> Sorry for the major cross osting, but I have some items that I have no
> need for and a few are perfect for the radio amateur or the scanner
> enthusiast.
>
> I have for sale the following items:
>
> Scanner:
> Bearcat BC200XLT in good condition (cell modified) with instructions
> and a scanner directory. this is a 200 chan unit with wall charger and
> original packaging Asking $150.00
>
> Shortwave radio
> Yasue FRG-7 Receiver with manual and schematics
> in good condition recently realigned. $250.00
>
> Audio/video:
> Sony TC-630 Reel-to-reel stereo control center in working/good
> condition with professional metel takeup reel. $65.00
>
> Various reel-to-reel audio tapes (some still in original factory
> shrink wrap) $1.00 each
>
> BETA tapes (of various lengths) I have 400 of them and would like to
> sell off to someone who has a working BETA machine. Some of thesetapes
> are commercial tapes as well (such as ET, Star wars, Empire strikes
> back, Blade runner, Chinatown, and a few other titles) most are blank
> and ready for use. Asking $1.00 each for the blanks and am negotiable
> on the commercial ones (seriosu offers here please)
>
> MCS Turntable in working/good condition with cartridge needle in place
> (Old JC Penny Make). $25.00
>
> Computer hardware:
> Maxtor MXT-540A AT/IDE HDD $50.00 (comes with diver disk and
> paperwork)
>
> 3Com 3C905B-TX PCI Network Interface card w/ driver disks for windows

> 3.1/05/NT. $20.00
>
> Cirrus Logic GL-CD5434 PCI Video Card wit RAM upgrade (capable of mode
> video modes) w/ driver disks $25.00
>
> Full tower case with 3.5 and 5.25 inch drive bays, working 250 watt
> supply $25.00
>
> MISC ITEMS
> Wood Grain Entertainment Center with tape/CD storage areas, tempered
> glass front door and flip top door (good condition) This item cannot
> be delivered, you must pick up (phoenix area only) $50.00
>
> Wave chair (this is a unique item) it is heavy, and its taking up
> space. $25.00 pickup only in Phoenix.
>
> Hawke

1470. Trunking Homepage: Looking for info!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <03487C4C8DEF937B.28E3AE102DE6FC6A.53B2ECFEFBF367CD@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 131443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello Everyone!

I'm looking for detailed information on the following Trunked Systems
in the Southeast US.

1. The Corpus Christi Ericsson EDACS system. Does anyone have any
system freqs, LCN info, and talkgroup information. Is anyone using
etrunk on this system?

2. The Dade County FL Ericsson EDACS system. Does anyone have any
system freqs, LCN info, user info, or talkgroup information? Is
anyone using etrunk on this system.

Any help on these systems would be great.

Thanks!
-LB

Lindsay C. Blanton
lblant1@airmail.net
See the Southeast US Trunked Radio Information Homepage!
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm

1471. BC245XLT Scheduled For June 99 Release

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990113084027.29696.00000025@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 131444

I just received an email message from
Customer Service at UNIDEN that the
BC245XLT Trunk Tracker for Motorola/GE-Ericsson
Systems will be released JUNE 1999.

talkyal

1472. Regency manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd4cga@compumise.com
Message-ID: <77icho$p7g$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131445

Still looking for a manual
for the Regency Tubro Scan 800
scanner,model # ts-2.
Will pay coping/mailing cost.
Please reply to
kd4cga@mindspring.com
Thanks
de Larry

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1473. Rat Shack Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd4cga@compumise.com
Message-ID: <77icln$p8t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131446

Need manual for a Rat Shack DX-302 receiver.
Will pay coping/mailing cost.
Please reply to
kd4cga@mindspring.com
Thanks
de Larry

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1474. Re: scrambler inversion band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369CB01D.5AB2@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131447

ALE wrote:
>
> My english is not got im italian,
> I want to decode the scrambling comunication (inversion band) for scanner
> , with a software for soundblaster pc.

Check out the following URL:

http://www.lpcom.com/

1475. Re: Regency Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <369CB42F.CA7@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 131448

I also need a manuel (copy) for the same scanner. Will pay $10.Jerr1919
Thanks a lot.
>
> Once again I am Asking the group for help.
> I am in need of a manual for a Regency Tubro Scan 800
> scanner.Model # TS-2.
> I will pay for coping and shipping .
> Please reply to:
> kd4cga@mindspring.com
> Thanks
> de Larry
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1476. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XacayX.com.au
Message-ID: <369cb496.7146926@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131449

"compkid" <compkid@netcomuk.co.uk> wrote:

:DOSE ANY BODY KNOW THE FREQUENYS FOR THE FIGHTER JETS,
:PLEASE EMAIL ME.

I have heard them in the 225 to 400 MHz range.

1477. FS-JIM M-75 pre-amp & 800 MHZ converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990113104301.02605.00000105@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 131450

Its a "JIM" professional series low noise wide band "GaAs" FET pre-amp, which covers 24-2150 MHZ. The unit provides adjustable gain up to 20 db, and has selector for the various bands.

Its like new in the box with th manual and a lithium 9v battery. Sold my scanner, now this has to go. Its been sold for $150 new at a local scanner store. Will sell for $100 shipped to lower 48, or best offer.

The second item is a GRE super converter II for hand held scanners. It will allow reception of full 800 MHZ scanners through the 400 MHZ band on your scanner. It comes in excellent condition with the manual and a lthium 9v battery. Asking $75 shipped lower 48!

1478. Inversion Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken weber <w2bdp@planet.net>
Message-ID: <77ifu5$g04@jupiter.planet.net>
Source record: 131451

Looking for general area where I might find speech inversion being used.

1479. Re: Thru-Glass RS Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <369CC3A1.D926D9A1@fnal.gov>
Source record: 131452

r_daneel@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Does anyone have any info on the "passive repeater" antennae out there?
> They are meant for cell phones, and would probably boost 800 Mhz scanning
> some. But this thing doesn't connect to anything else, it just mounts on the
> window. Does anyone know if this would even help?

I had one. It fell on the floor one day (which is often does when you roll the window down) and I didn't notice a difference. I hold the phone with my left hand so it's near the window anyway. If I get interference, then I pull the antenna up/out.

My scanner on the other hand is on the console or the floor between the seat and console so the glass mount antenna receives better than a rubber ducky located somewhere in the middle of my truck. I listen mostly to local trunking systems which is in the 800MHz range.
--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov

1480. Re: Rat Shack Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vance Smith <VRSmith@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <369CC6A5.5479F139@pacbell.net>
Source record: 131453

http://www.radioshack.com/Support/

kd4cga@compumise.com wrote:

> Need manual for a Rat Shack DX-302 receiver.
> Will pay coping/mailing cost.
> Please reply to
> kd4cga@mindspring.com
> Thanks
> de Larry
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1481. Re: ICOM R-10 Discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tonyrush@bga.com
Message-ID: <369ccac8.0@feed1.realtime.net>
Source record: 131454
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The Ring on the CI-V jack is the Tip on the earphone jack
and vice versa. Don't know why they did this....

Esoterix (NOSPAM@NO.SPAM) wrote:
: Anyone have a clue as to where the discriminator tap is in the R-10?

: Anyone know what the 'unknown' Ring portion of the CI-V jack does?

: Why not connect the discriminator tap to the 'unused' Ring on the CI-V
: jack?

: Anyone know what the transistors located in the middle of the R-10, just
: below the LCD driver, would do if soldered in the spaces provided? (or
: what kind of transistors these should be?) There are also 2 more spaces
: for resistors; one located at the very top left (just next to the
: screw), and the other just to the right of the speaker magnet opening on
: the board.

: Any ideas? Anyone got a schematic of this radio?

: I'm thinking one of these additions could either speed up scan/search
: rate, unlock a hidden memory extension, add more options to the SET key,
: or possibly turn on alphanumerics during scan mode. These are all
: hopeful theories.

: Post a reply to this newsgroup.

: Esoterix

--

Tony Rusho |
Internet: tonyrush@bga.com |

1482. Re: Pro-43...not so hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wapcutthispart@ica.net
Message-ID: <369ccd2b.22958@news>
Source record: 131455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

hackercentral@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>Hm, well what I meant to say is, why does the pro-34 (the 'better'
>scanner), with no handicaps perform about as well (instead of
>notably better) than the Pro-30 WITH all the handicaps?

I hope you mean Pro-43...

>Although, if I hear something particularly interesting happening but
>coming in 'noisy', I do tend to click off the monitor.

>Are there some known ways to reduce the RFI from the
>monitor/computer? Or does it have to be done at the scanner end? I
>think I'll go do a websearch on 'Faraday cage' now :)

Run the '43 from your outdoor antenna. If it overloads the scanner,
use an inline attenuator.

If you bought the '43 used, it may need to have the RF section
realigned.
I have a '43 that performed like shit until I realigned it.

>Re: image rejection, why is this the only scanner in this room that
>occationally hears two stations on one frequency?

Sounds like it's sick. You might want to consider having it looked
at.

...Andy

1483. Re: re invert.zip speech inversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tonyrush@bga.com
Message-ID: <369ccfa0.0@feed1.realtime.net>
Source record: 131456

Most of the time this error is because the original driver
didn't support full duplex. This is very possible with an
older SB16. Updated drivers are available from Creative Labs
at http://www.creaf.com.

-Tony

kce (kce@freeway.net) wrote:
: first of all thanks to all on the information you sent me regarding
: the software/hardware for speech inversion descrambling. i downloaded
: the program invert zip and tried to use it on my machine here that is
: equipted with a creative labs sound blaster 16 card and i keep getting
: the message "wave output device already in use, full duplex capable?"
: anyone know whats happening here ? i didnt get much documentation with
: the software
: thanks ken we8w

--

Tony Rusho |
Internet: tonyrush@bga.com |

1484. Re: TRUNK88 ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wapcutthispart@ica.net
Message-ID: <369cce15.257103@news>
Source record: 131457

wb6zro@webtv.net (JIM WB6ZRO) wrote:

>Where is it? Anybody?

It's on my hard drive. I take it you'd like a copy?

BTW, I don't think it will run on WebTV... :)

...Andy

1485. MDT DE BEST CONNECTION???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: TopSecret 007 <scanbebe@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rj4n2.2590$gB2.5502@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 131458

what is the best connection for decode mdt 4800 ???

are that : hamcom reg?
hamcom type data slicer ??
RM100 ???
sound card ??
etc...), sound card, a HamComm-type data slicer, or an
RM100 decoder. ????
the what ???
thank you
scanbebe@hotmail.com

1486. Re: Discriminator Question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Esoterix <NOSPAM@NO.SPAM>
Message-ID: <369CE8A9.88513060@NO.SPAM>
Source record: 131459

Roberts70 wrote:

> I'm looking to tap one of my radios,can anyone tell me which radio would the
> easiest? I have (1)BC200XLT,(1)Pro-43,(1)BC2500XLT.
> Any response appreciated!
> Randy

I did it quite simply on my BC-2500XLT, although the baseband audio doesn't seem quite loud enough for my fsk to pick up. I ran it through a mini-amplifier from Radio Shack. Works fine now!

But it could be just that my scanner is messed up bad. It's no longer in the original casing! I put it in a nice new case. The power regulator is shot, I need to replace that.

You don't need to de-solder anything to get to the discriminator on this radio. You just need to unscrew and pull the pc boards apart from each other CAREFULLY.

I use the metallic interior as the ground. It connects to all the boards' ground connections. But the choice is yours.

Good luck,

Esoterix

PS, you wouldn't happen to know the discriminator tap for an Icom R-10??????

1487. Re: National Guard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bwolford@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <369ce97c.8882251@news.magicnet.net>
Source record: 131460

On Tue, 12 Jan 1999 09:45:31 -0500, Russ Freeman
<jrf9949@garnet.acns.fsu.edu> wrote:

>Camp Blanding Range Control:
> FM 148.6875, 148.7625, and 47.050. Monitor between 39.000 and 76.000
>FM for interunit communications. Monitor standard civil and military
>airbands as well. One interesting thing; the lightning test range
>operates on the range control frequencies during the summer months.
> Russ
>
>Bill Wolford wrote:
>
>
Thanks Russ

1488. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@chucks2way.com
Message-ID: <369ceae2.122919119@news.visitus.net>
Source record: 131461

On Wed, 13 Jan 1999 05:37:40 GMT, mke65@home.net (Keith) wrote:

>On Tue, 12 Jan 1999 13:12:47 -0600, "tvsjr" <tvsjr@sprynet.com> wrote:
>
>>You know, someone has to build a receiver for DSS just like they do a
>>transmitter. Someone with a little time could even rewrite the firmware for
>>one of those cordless phones and make the handset a bona-fide scanner. You
>>could even use the base with a good outside antenna and relay that back to
>>your phone. Nothing to it, just a little code. Furthermore, test equipment
>>is out there which will receive spread spectrum with no trouble at all.
>>
>>People seem to think that the latest and greatest is totally secure. Look at
>>trunking- we cracked that. Look at ASTRO- being cracked now. Look at 56-bit
>>DES, our government's security standard for non-classified stuff- it was
>>cracked in a few days. Look at POCSAG paging- cracked it too. So, Ma M
>>released FLEX, the new secure standard- yep, cracked that too.
>>
>>Nothing in this world is secure. All it takes is the right people with the
>>intelligence and the time and possibly a little money to beat the latest and
>>greatest. So, if you want secure, go use a landline with some serious
>>encryption on that. Someone could still break that, but it's not so likely.
>>
>>Just my $.02
>>Terry
>>
>>ebden@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <76tq4jluq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>>>In article <3690fc5c.7513822@news>,
>>>
>>>> >AJMedia@nospam.com
>>>> I will make it easy on everyone. If the phone has the dss (digital
>>>> spread spectrum) either on the phone or on the box then it is secure
>>>> from being heard by any scanner that I know of. If it says secure
>>>> phone then it can be heard by any scanner which receives the frequency
>>>> range of the phone. The phone manufacturers have a very loose version
>>>> of what they call secure. Again, if the phone does not say dss on it
>>>> then it aint. Keith
>>>>
>>>
>>>You mean that these dss models will see to it that no scanner anywhere is
>>>going to pick up my conversations, either from the base or the handset?
>>ebden
>>>
>>>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>>>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

>>
>Not to beat the point too much. I agree with all you said. My point
>I was trying to make, was that if you go to store and purchase a
>phone which claims to have dss, then no analog scanner should be able
>to pick up the transmission. If it does, then you should take the
>thing back and get your duckets back and go buy one with true dss.
>Keith

Okay, Let me add this to this thread, I have a Cell Phone, an Ameritech Clearpath Digital Cellphone. and they tell me, those are totally uncloneable.. because of the Digital Part of it. now is this true? I asked Ameritech and they told me no, (of course...) But I wanna know the truth. and please, No smart aleck comments, please, I'm a electronics dealer, but a total newbie to Cellphones, anyhow, Please reply to this message in E-mail, as I don't frequent the newsgroups alot... as I work alot of hours.

Thanks!

-Chuck
Chuck's 2 Way Radio Service and C.B Radio Sales
Webpage: Http://www.chucks2way.com
E-Mail: MailTo:chuck@chucks2way.com

1489. Re: ICOM R-10 Discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Esoterix <NOSPAM@NO.SPAM>
Message-ID: <369CED23.A305829D@NO.SPAM>
Source record: 131462
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

So, it's a fact that nobody knows the answer to my question: Where's the discriminator tap in this radio?

I've seen almost every web page available with mods for this radio. Still, nothing. Is this some big secret Icom is keeping? (rhetorical question)

I've also comapared chips to the ones listed on B. Cheek's discriminator page without a match.

If anyone knows how to tap this, it might help others as well.

Esoterix

1490. Re: Pro-32 Any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wapcutthispart@ica.net
Message-ID: <369ce42f.3668935@news>
Source record: 131463
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

feilerm@iea.com wrote:

>I recently aquired a Pro-32 scanner for $20.00 at a local pawn shop.
>If anyone has comments regarding this scanner I would love to hear
>them. Is it considered good, bad, so-so? And what's the purpose of
>that "speed" button? I didn't get a manual but it seems simple
>enough to operate. Any mods/hacks out there for this beasty?

Good price. It scans slowly, only dual conversion, but has
decent audio. You can mod it by adding a computer interface to
program the 200 channels or to track VHF/UHF trunks.

Consise operating instructions follow:

Programming a channel:

<PGM> [channel number] <PGM> [frequency] <ENTER> <DELAY>
^ ^
| |
Continue here --------+ optional
to program successive channels.

Defining the priority channel:

<PGM> [channel number] <PRI>

('ch' will flash when the scanner
is sitting on the priority channel)

Enabling / disabling priority channel:

Press <PRI> while in SCAN or MANUAL mode

Searching a range of frequencies:

Defining the range:

<PGM> <LIMIT> [lower frequency limit] <ENTER>
<LIMIT> [upper frequency limit] <ENTER>

Searching the range:

<^> (for ascending search)
<v> (for descending search)

Press <DELAY> while searching to toggle delay mode

To pause the search, press <MON> (this will copy the
current frequency into one of the ten monitor memories)

Examining the monitor memories:

Press <MON> while in MANUAL or PROGRAM mode
Press <MON> repeatedly to cycle through all
monitor memories, or press <0> through <9> to
examine a specific monitor memory

Copying monitor memory to a regular channel:

<PGM> [channel number] <PGM> <MON> [move to desired
monitor memory by pressing <MON> or <0> to <9>] <ENTER>

Reset:

Pressing the reset button in the battery compartment
will reset all stored frequencies to 000.0000

Current drain:

Off: 3 uA
Squelched: 43 mA
Loud audio: >60 mA

...Andy

1491. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <8f6n2.2329$DB1.2303@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 131464

John -shit-fer brains- KAOSSF wrote in message <36982CD3.2115@usa.net>...
>MAlexder wrote:
>> Well, if you mean my regularly pointing out the many distasteful
activities

>I was talking about YOUR OWN distasteful activities.

MA's activities pale in comparison to your attention drawing asshole act John. You've used the above childish rebuttal what? 500 times in the last year. 1500 in 2 years. You posting so much crap over the years really blures the numbers.

>> that you engage in such as impesonations, forgeries, lies, rogue
cancellations,
>> computer trespassing, and so on, yeah, I guess you will find me to be a
>
>I have NEVER participated in the above activities you mention. If you
>still
>want to say I have, then please present your specific accusation with
>supporting evidence of be found to be lying by default do to lack of
>supporting evicence.

The fact is you have done it all John. You only posted the above to try and trick the newbie to this group into believing you aren't a giant rectum. They won't be fooled for long, fool. It is incredible that you have kept up this sad routine on these newsgroups for years. Same old no friends, outcast and hated Indian John. And you thought running around naked and sleeping in cars on the reservation as a kid was bad. You sad sorry life must be really worse than anyone can understand. Seek help John.

1492. Re: City to pass ordinance to fight CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Esoterix <NOSPAM@NO.SPAM>
Message-ID: <369D08ED.F783DCCA@NO.SPAM>
Source record: 131465
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Bryan.Foust wrote:

> kc4wwu@eagnet.com wrote:
> >
> > On Sat, 28 Nov 1998 14:11:12 -0600, Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Larry wrote:
> > >>
> > >> Why are we soo concerned about this new law?
> > >> If it will help clean up cb, isn't a good thing?
> > >> Larry
> > >
> > >NO!!!!!!!And who ever said cb needed cleaning in the first place? MK
> >
> > Yes !!!!!!!!!!! C.B. Sucks !
> CB dies need to be cleaned up. This is why mine resides quietly away in
> the closet.
>
> Bryan

Heh, mine's been in the drawer so many years that when I took it out and
turned it on a couple of months ago, it no longer worked properly!

Esoterix

1493. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77j29g$5jr$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131466

>>>>>>>>>>>>Jack wrote in message
<36ac08a9.113270848@news.mia.bellsouth.net>...
>On Tue, 12 Jan 1999 15:04:55 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
><ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Not that I'm here to stir up trouble again, but I just wanted to share
with
>>everyone something that I have noticed since I dropped the whole
anti-Mackey
>>campaign:
>
>Why in the world would you post this if you didn't want to stir it up
>again!

Because their are others in this forum (who shall remain nameless) who think
that the solution to people posting flames is to flame them right back. I'm
simply calling for a "cease-fire".
>
>>He no longer posts any messages to ME!(The almost certain reply he'll make
>>here won't count as it will be ignored by yours truly - it's just his
>>nature, his need to have the last word. Fine with me..)
>
>So you are posting from behind the safety of a filter?
No, I can see every message he puts forth. I simply don't respond to them.
Try it, it really works.

>
>>Perhaps if others do the same he will no longer post replies to them as
>>well.
>
>Ummm...that...and refraining from stupid, off topic, inflammatory
>posts like this!
And their redundant replies, no less....

This post was not intended to start yet another flame fest, really! I simply
thought I'd share what I had discovered. If you find it useful, great. If
not great. It matters not to me. All I know is Mr. Mackey has had nothing to
say to me since I "turned the other cheek."

And, that will be the last reply from ME on this "stupid, off topic,
inflammatory post" as you so delicately put it.

-Phil

1494. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe michener <mich@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <369D13B6.3A975D37@magicnet.net>
Source record: 131467

CTCSS (PL) Frequencies

Freq (Hz)	Designator EIA Ham	Freq (Hz)	Designator EIA Ham	Freq (Hz)	Designator EIA Ham
67.0	L1 01	110.9	2Z 15	179.9	6B 29
71.9	L2 02	114.8	2A 16	186.2	7Z 30
74.4	WA 03	118.8	2B 17	192.8	7A 31
77.0	L3 04	123.0	3Z 18	203.5	M1 32
79.7	SP 05	127.3	3A 19	206.5	8Z
82.5	L4 06	131.8	3B 20	210.7	M2 33
85.4	YA 07	136.5	4Z 21	218.1	M3 34
88.5	L4A 08	141.3	4A 22	225.7	M4 35
91.5	ZZ 09	146.2	4B 23	229.1	9Z
94.8	L5 10	151.4	5Z 24	233.6	M5 36
97.4	ZB 11	156.7	5A 25	241.8	M6 37
100.0	1Z 12	162.2	5B 26	250.3	M7 38
103.5	1A 13	167.9	6Z 27	254.1	OZ
107.2	1B 14	173.8	6A 28		

Motorola differs from EIA with: XZ (L1), XA (L2), XB (L3),
YZ (L4), YB (L4A), ZA (L5). ICOMM does not use 97.4 and uses one
less than the amateur numbers above that (i.e. ICOM #25 = 162.2).

1495. Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tc@NOSPAMcray.com
Message-ID: <77iqn5$k4k$1@walter-fddi.cray.com>
Source record: 131468

Looking for input from the scanner experts out there...

I have about $300-$400 to spend on a scanner, and was wondering what you guys think the best one in that price range is. I have been looking at the BC235XLT, and the BC895XLT. (As you can tell, I am still debating between a heldheld and a base, so any insight on that would be nice too.) It will mainly be used for monitoring the police/fire/rescue in the Minneapolis MN. area, so I am assuming I would want a scanner capable of monitoring trunk systems..

Any help would be greatly appreciated...

Thanks in advance..

TC (Remove NOSPAM to reply)

1496. RAT SHACK pro64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: DAB <daburroughs@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01be3f40$69151a00$0ef377ce@dfsfs>
Source record: 131469

Pro-64, 400 memory channels, fast as hell, with computer link.
Use it with an Access database and it works great. Radio shack did OK.

daburroughs@hotmail.com

Jack <kryppy@bellsouth.net> wrote in article
<36ab07fc.113098065@news.mia.bellsouth.net>...

> On Tue, 12 Jan 1999 16:10:04 -0500, LAS <monkey@yayaya.com> wrote:
>
> >STAY AWAY FROM RS SCANNERS UNLESS YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THROWING AWAY
> >MONEY.
> >
> >THEY'RE TRASH, CRAP. NOTHING BUT HYPE! MASS MARKETING WITH LOTS OF
> >PRETTY PICTURES.
> >
> >INTERNALLY THEY ARE TOTAL SHIT! IMD OUT THE ASS, SPURIOUS HARMONICS,
> >FRONT END OL...PLASTIC CASES.
> >
> >BASICALLY THEY ARE MADE FOR THE CASUAL LISTENER WHO ONLY WANTS TO HEAR
> >COPS, FIRE AND THE OCCAISIONAL CORDLESS PHONE.
> >
> >DON'T BE MISLED...IF YOU WANT A SCANNER, SAVE YOUR LOOT TIL YOU CAN
> >AFFORD SOMETHING THAT ACTUALLY WORKS WELL!
>
>
> I knew you were on DRUGS!
> Radio Shack doesn't even make scanners!
> They re-label scanners!
> I suppose you have a BC-235XLT and
> think it is the greatest right...lol
> 26.218640/-080.237551
> http://broward.home.ml.org
>

1497. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: asrok@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990113173913.09881.00000434@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 131470

I would try a Uniden Bearcat 9000XLT. If you don't have to monitor trunked systems you can't beat it in it's price range. The last one I bought I got for a little over $300 from CEI. You can get better scanners (AOR Icom ect.) but they cost alot more.

1498. Policescanner Site in Sweden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: FAM Gr&#65533;ndevik <ac.grandevik@svenljunga.mail.telia.com>
Message-ID: <369d2526.0@d2o35.telia.com>
Source record: 131471

Christer
http://w1.332.telia.com/~u33206204/hemsida.htm

1499. Re: Regency manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsmtmn@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990113183717.02909.00000461@ng111.aol.com>
Source record: 131472

I have a operators manual and part of a service manual for the Regency TS1/2 scanner.

Let me know
Dan
rsmtmn@aol.com

1500. New York City Hotel Freq and PL codes "URGENT"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksnatcher@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990113185437.07621.00000151@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 131473

I am looking for a PL for a hotel in NYC. If anyone hasd any info please let me know. I m looking for the holiday inn but I can use and hotel.

1501. WTB: Regency HX1500 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: lynn.sturgeon@juno.com
Message-ID: <19990113.171714.2095.4.lynn.sturgeon@juno.com>
Source record: 131474

I just came across a used Regency HX1500 scanner in the box, it seems to works fine but the manual is gone. As I'm absolutely new to scanning there's no way I'll ever figure out how to program it without the manual. If anyone has one they could xerox I'd be happy to pay postage and copy costs and would be very grateful as well. Thanks.

Lynn Sturgeon
lynn.sturgeon@juno.com

_____
You don't need to buy Internet access to use free Internet e-mail.
Get completely free e-mail from Juno at http://www.juno.com/getjuno.html
or call Juno at (800) 654-JUNO [654-5866]

1502. Re: TRUNK88 ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <77jdgqbnq1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 131475

WHAT is it?

Andrew Porrett wrote in message <369cce15.257103@news>...
>wb6zro@webtv.net (JIM WB6ZRO) wrote:
>
>>Where is it? Anybody?
>
>It's on my hard drive. I take it you'd like a copy?
>
>BTW, I don't think it will run on WebTV... :)
>
>
>...Andy

1503. Re: TRUNK88 ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <77jdtdbud1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 131476

WHAT is it?

Andrew Porrett wrote in message <369cce15.257103@news>...
>wb6zro@webtv.net (JIM WB6ZRO) wrote:
>
>>Where is it? Anybody?
>
>It's on my hard drive. I take it you'd like a copy?
>
>BTW, I don't think it will run on WebTV... :)
>
>
>...Andy

1504. Re: RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <77jdi8bpq1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 131477

Yep- the GRE models are even better (except for trunktracking)

Charlie Dwenger wrote in message <01be3eab$5e3f77a0$2bdd0ad0@default>...
> Well put Glen. He is clueless. Most Rat Shack scanners are now made by
>Bearcat. Now the GRE models are another story.
>--
>Happy Scanning,
>Charlie
>
> I didn`t do it.
> Nobody saw me do it.
>You can`t prove anything!
>
>Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote in article
><36bfe217.20095327@news.cyberhighway.net>...
>> LAS <monkey@yayaya.com> wrote:
>>
>> >STAY AWAY FROM RS SCANNERS UNLESS YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THROWING AWAY
>> >MONEY.
>>
>> You're clueless.
>>
>> [balance of screaming hissy fit deleted]
>>
>> --
>> glenq@cyberhighway.net
>> WB7DOW
>>
>> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>>

1505. Re: BC200XLT need calibration...HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOT <nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <77jdd5$h6$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 131478

the anntenna wire must be a big problem when it comes to this unit. i have myself have to do this several times even changing the wire to make it reach after several time broken. this will make the scanner totally death and is very easy to check.

Ron Sujkowski wrote in message <369C4DE1.C052C05D@concentric.net>...
>
>had to do this a few times;
>if the antenna connector can easily be rotated slightly on the radio,
>open up the unit. 2 screws on back and 2 screws on the radio itself where the
>battery compartment connects.
>check the solder joint where the antenna connects to circuit board. just remelt
>the solder if you feel it is a problem !
>SuzieGreenberg wrote:
>
>> I'm unable to receive my weather + cordless phone freqs on my Bearcat 200XLT
>> handheld. I assume that the internal tuning dial needs to be recalibrated,
>> but don't know how this can be accomplished. Is this something I can do
>> myself, have it repaired at any RadioShack or have it sent to the Uniden
>> factory?
>>
>> Any info would be greatly appreciated.
>> -Rick
>> ricks_picks@hotmail.com
>

1506. programit circuit construction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott A. Dilick" <scottd@perigee.net>
Message-ID: <01be3f4a$2a2eea00$bbfee5ce@scott-dell>
Source record: 131479

Hi there.I'm trying to build the programit circuit,the parallel interface version.I was wondering if any one knew exactly which connections are for ground and which are for Vcc?

1507. Re: Pro-32 Any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "feilerm@iea.no-junk.com" <feilerm@iea.com>
Message-ID: <369D40D3.644EEBF7@iea.com>
Source record: 131480
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Were you kidding about the computer interface? If not I would love to know how to do that. With only a $20.00 investment at stake, I don't mind tinkering on this scanner (and learning a few things in the process). I have an optolinx that I use with my AR8K.

Andrew Porrett wrote:

>
> Good price. It scans slowly, only dual conversion, but has
> decent audio. You can mod it by adding a computer interface to
> program the 200 channels or to track VHF/UHF trunks.

--
Remove "no-junk" from e-mail address to respond.

1508. Wanted one or two channel crystal scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eggboat <Eggboat@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <77jgdt$2n44$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131481

In good to excellent working condition. Any Brand

E-mail me at Eggboat @ HockeyMail.com

1509. public service frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: leroyd@uia.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1106eb7ee2ddb42c989680@news.uia.net>
Source record: 131482

I would like to know where I can find frequencys of the police and such
of the states of Nevada, Utah, and Colorado

LeRoy

1510. FS: ICOM IC-R1 CELLULAR UNBLOCKED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Andrew P. Scott" <apscott@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <369D44BD.5DA471A5@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131483

FS: ICOM IC-R1

Complete coverage, including cellular.

Includes box, manual, charger, extra AA battery pack BP-90, and
leatherette case. (9+) condition.

$400+FedEx COD.

apscott@ix.netcom.com

1511. Re: City to pass ordinance to fight CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Pete Kos, Jr." <pkos@bright.net>
Message-ID: <77jlna$m5f$1@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 131484
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Uh, isn't this just a tad out of a city's jurisdiction? Last time I checked, the airwaves were the FCC's responsibility.

1512. Re: WTB: Scanner,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18754-369D50C4-42@newsd-174.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 131485

Hi I have a PRO-2044 80 channel ,new in box,
It does 29-54 Mhz, 108-136 Mhz,144-174 Mhz
406-512 Mhz. I can modify for discriminator output. I am asking $ 75.00
+ $ 5.00 shipping.

1513. Re: MDT Frequencies & how to find them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <369fdfe8.102836696@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131495

On Mon, 11 Jan 1999 14:06:25 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Using any standard FCC database (either online or Percon's Spectrum) search
>for the city/county/state of interest and the radio service abbreviation of
>"GP". The freq's you want will likely be in the list from 851 to 868MHz. I
>did a spotcheck of all the known MDT freq's in the phoenix area, and they
>are all found in this radio service group.

Great tip! I found a new one in my area with it!
Although I had to weed through about 20 non mdt freqs
it was well worth it to score a new one that is not very active.

FWIW, my local PD's mdt is listed under PF..go figure, guess
they reused an old fire department freq...?

For anyone around here:
859.4375 - DBA_NAME is Broward County, I'm assuming it is BSO
for now. 200 units are listed in the database but there is very little
activity on it...very strange..Fort Lauderdale PD sure does use theirs
quite a bit more.....

26.218640/-080.237551
http://broward.home.ml.org

1514. Re: ICOM R-10 Discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990112190532.03909.00002390@ng128.aol.com>
Source record: 131496

>Subject: ICOM R-10 Discriminator
>From: Esoterix <NOSPAM@NO.SPAM>
>Date: 1/12/99 10:58 AM Mountain Standard Time

>There are also 2 more spaces
>for resistors; one located at the very top left (just next to the
>screw), and the other just to the right of the speaker magnet opening on the
board.
>

Those spaces are probably the cell mod spaces. Check out my site to see if the
R10 illustraions match what you are seeing.

Another scanner information web page
Including Radio Shack, Uniden, Regency cell mod info & more at:
http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1515. Re: Questions AOR AR-3000A/AB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barney Rules! <dutchguy_SPAM@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <77gp2r$3pj$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 131497

Very fast scanning radio's but limited options. 4 banks of 100 channels is
not very practical. Reciver quality is very good but has some problems with
strong FM broadcast.
VFO use with dial knob not practical..specially at HF freq's.
Only 4 search banks. Limited remote control options and software.
No adjustable delaytime.

I enjoyed the 3000 (not A) but sold it and got a 5000...

Double Dutch

William G. Becks wrote in message <369BA260.CD986501@sun.lssu.edu>...
>I am considering the purchase of an AOR model AR-3000 series
>receiver/scanner. I would appreciate any comments pro/con
>from current/past owners of the AR-3000.
>
>Thank you,
>
>Bill - WA8WG wbecks@sun.lssu.edu

1516. Re: Icom 8500 super scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barney Rules! <dutchguy_SPAM@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <77gp52$3vk$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 131498

Why are you selling it ?

Joe Gregg wrote in message <77fof0ivm1@node2.nodak.edu>...
>I have a like new Icom 8500 scanner for sale. Original box, manual, etc.

1517. Where are the cell mod illustrations ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990112193406.03909.00002413@ng128.aol.com>
Source record: 131499

They are at the Scan Shack !!! FREE FREE FREE !!!

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1518. Regency Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd4cga@compumise.com
Message-ID: <77gvq3jrm1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131500

Once again I am Asking the group for help.
I am in need of a manual for a Regency Tubro Scan 800
scanner.Model # TS-2.
I will pay for coping and shipping .
Please reply to:
kd4cga@mindspring.com
Thanks
de Larry

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1519. WTB- Opto 535

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: arango@erols.com
Message-ID: <369bec6c.610989324@news.erols.com>
Source record: 131501

Is there anyone who wants to sell an Opto 535 board or know of a dealer besides opto who sells one.

1520. WTB: Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: richcom@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <369eea5c.803172182@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131502

Wanted: Scanner
2006 or 2035 etc.
Let me know what you have and price.
Email

1521. Re: RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36bfe217.20095327@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 131503

LAS <monkey@yayaya.com> wrote:

>STAY AWAY FROM RS SCANNERS UNLESS YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THROWING AWAY
>MONEY.

You're clueless.

[balance of screaming hissy fit deleted]

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1522. Re: MDT question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a2f798.108901646@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131504
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 12 Jan 1999 10:36:13 -0500, "stï¿½phane thï¿½berge"
<stef_theberge@videotron.ca> wrote:

>i have MDT program with PRO_2006. so i have some question :
>
>1- can i use this program with only soundcard with the scanner or i have to
>build a discrimantion output?

You have to install a discriminator output.

>2- i use it with the soundcard and decode nothing, is this because is not a
>discimination output?

Yes

>3- Can i use this program for 154.2500 mhz? because is the fire departement
>in my area and i would like decode their stuff

If the data is in the proper format on that channel your area it will
work.

26.218640/-080.237551
http://broward.home.ml.org

1523. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Rooney <"n2nkbREM@n2nkb"@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <77guqj$na3@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131505

Did anyone address the fact that since the wavelength of 900 MHz
is much shorter than 40-something MHz, small antennas can be made much
more efficient?

Al N2NKB

1524. going to Hibbing Minnesota

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: comint430@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990112211411.17471.00012201@ng01.aol.com>
Source record: 131506

I may be going to Hibbing minnesota in a few days, can anyone advise interesting frequencies to monitor there.

Thanks
Tony

1525. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a801b6.111491746@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131507

On 12 Jan 99 06:52:34 , Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Tom Walker) wrote:

>"> CEI has had them for $213 for a couple months now. When you call them to
>"> order, They just tell you the price and if you want UPS tracking for a
>"> dollar or so more. I ordered my BC895 on a Monday, was on the phone for
>"> less then 5 minutes and received it Wednesday, no problems...They've done a
>"> great job for me....There is no mess with the coupon garbage, you don't
>"> even have to mention those.....
>
> When I ordered my BC235 from them I mentioned the Deal on the Internet and
>got a FREE Headset, Very nice one too and Perfect for Handheld scanning in
>Noisy locations. Helps sometimes to Mention things.
> You have Nothing to Loose.

Haha, how much shipping did you pay for the FREE headphones?
Did they come in the same box as the scanner?

I guess FEMA gets a break on shipping during emergency situations...:)

26.218640/-080.237551
http://broward.home.ml.org

1526. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: E Meyers <hcs77@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <01be3e9b$003b6ca0$baff00cc@default>
Source record: 131508

Found excellent prices on New & Refurbished UNIDEN at
"Bill's Two Way Radio". Have not dealt with but have been
monitoring his prices, always competitive.
BC235XLT...$179.95
BC895XLT...$199.00
SC150.....$144.95 (black or yellow)
BC3000XLT..$308.49...($269.95 refurb)
also Relms....
hope this helps!

http://www.bills2way.com/equip/scanners.html

1527. Re: Looking to buy Trunked scanner, info Please!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: E Meyers <hcs77@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <01be3e9c$903a1b20$baff00cc@default>
Source record: 131509
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Uniden BC895XLT new...$199.00 (trunktracker base)
BC235XLT new....$179.95 (handheld)

http://www.bills2way.com/equip/scanners.html

1528. BC895XLT...$199 at "Bill's Two-Way".....others!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: E Meyers <hcs77@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <01be3e9b$ca9ea480$baff00cc@default>
Source record: 131510

other good prices, too.

http://www.bills2way.com/equip/scanners.html

1529. Re: LIVING!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a905af.112509257@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131511

On Mon, 11 Jan 1999 21:54:45 -0500, LAS <monkey@yayaya.com> wrote:

> LIVING
>
>When were you last 10? 20? 50?

Do you use drugs?

26.218640/-080.237551
http://broward.home.ml.org

1530. Re: RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36ab07fc.113098065@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131512

On Tue, 12 Jan 1999 16:10:04 -0500, LAS <monkey@yayaya.com> wrote:

>STAY AWAY FROM RS SCANNERS UNLESS YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THROWING AWAY
>MONEY.
>
>THEY'RE TRASH, CRAP. NOTHING BUT HYPE! MASS MARKETING WITH LOTS OF
>PRETTY PICTURES.
>
>INTERNALLY THEY ARE TOTAL SHIT! IMD OUT THE ASS, SPURIOUS HARMONICS,
>FRONT END OL...PLASTIC CASES.
>
>BASICALLY THEY ARE MADE FOR THE CASUAL LISTENER WHO ONLY WANTS TO HEAR
>COPS, FIRE AND THE OCCAISIONAL CORDLESS PHONE.
>
>DON'T BE MISLED...IF YOU WANT A SCANNER, SAVE YOUR LOOT TIL YOU CAN
>AFFORD SOMETHING THAT ACTUALLY WORKS WELL!

I knew you were on DRUGS!
Radio Shack doesn't even make scanners!
They re-label scanners!
I suppose you have a BC-235XLT and
think it is the greatest right...lol
26.218640/-080.237551
http://broward.home.ml.org

1531. Re: BC895XLT...$199 at "Bill's Two-Way".....others!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990112214457.11224.00012846@ng37.aol.com>
Source record: 131513

.
1990 ZX10
J.McGrath Communications all your 10/11meter needs
www.usaplaza.com/JMAC/index.htm
To Email Remove NOSPAM

1532. New/ Pro2006/"equivalent" = Commtel 205

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: E Meyers <hcs77@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <01be3e9e$89b44440$baff00cc@default>
Source record: 131514

only difference...240volts AC or 12voltsDC

http://www.javiation.co.uk/

1533. Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990112214547.11197.00011649@ng100.aol.com>
Source record: 131515

Would like to hear from users of this antenna and how they think it performs versus other scanner antennas. How well does it perform on the low band (30-50 Mhz)?

Frank

1534. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36ac08a9.113270848@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131516

On Tue, 12 Jan 1999 15:04:55 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Not that I'm here to stir up trouble again, but I just wanted to share with
>everyone something that I have noticed since I dropped the whole anti-Mackey
>campaign:

Why in the world would you post this if you didn't want to stir it up
again!

>He no longer posts any messages to ME!(The almost certain reply he'll make
>here won't count as it will be ignored by yours truly - it's just his
>nature, his need to have the last word. Fine with me..)

So you are posting from behind the safety of a filter?

>Perhaps if others do the same he will no longer post replies to them as
>well.

Ummm...that...and refraining from stupid, off topic, inflammatory
posts like this!

>And if EVERYONE does that, he won't reply to anyone! Think of it! He'll be
>gone except for the occasional on-topic posting he does make (yes, he
>actually does sometimes, believe it or not.)

Cool

>Of course, everyone has the right to post what they wish - I'm not about to
>tell everyone what to do. It's just something to think about.
>-Phil
>

Of course,...goes without saying!

26.218640/-080.237551
http://broward.home.ml.org

1535. Just A Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: zultans@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990112215009.13120.00011606@ng121.aol.com>
Source record: 131517

I know it is illegal to use the cordless phone freqs. And I do not use them! But how do people get caught?? A friend and I were discussing this because he can pick up sometimes on his phone other's people talking. Since the scanner picks up the message how does anyone get caught?? Just a question I've been wondering about for some time.

Zultans

1536. Re: Just A Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ernie Stedman <n2ojq@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <77h28i$rs8@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131518

by blabbing about it on Usenet,,,
what was your friend's name? :=)

Zultans <zultans@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990112215009.13120.00011606@ng121.aol.com...
>I know it is illegal to use the cordless phone freqs. And I do not use
them!
>But how do people get caught?? A friend and I were discussing this because
he
>can pick up sometimes on his phone other's people talking. Since the
scanner
>picks up the message how does anyone get caught?? Just a question I've
been
>wondering about for some time.
>
>Zultans

1537. Digital modes on VHF/UHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369C135B.9E1940E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131519

I am planning on tapping the discriminator from a PRO-2021 (does not have the 800 MHz band). What can I expect in terms of non-voice modes? Oh, I also have a Hamcomm type interface if that is required.

1538. Re: Pocflex now does GOLAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: mmoore3408@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990112230646.21157.00012241@ng95.aol.com>
Source record: 131520

how do i get a copy and what interface do i need?
mmoore3408@aol.com

1539. Re: MDT Scanning - Need Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevcat <kevcat@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <369C1F67.3F8832BC@one.net.au>
Source record: 131521
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

LVAC wrote:
>
> LVAC wrote in message ...
> I am trying to scan MDT's in the NYC area. I am running MDT Monitor using a
> modified Pro 2022. However I am having a problem getting a strong signal
> from the scanner. For some reason I cant get any external antenna to work.
> When I use the internal antenna I get an OK signal however as soon as I hook
> up the external the signal turns to shit. I have used several antennas (yes
> all tuned for 800 MHz).
> I have even used a 800 roof antenna at work which I know works and I do not
> recieve a good signal. Please help Thanks!!

Sounds like a coax problem
check the end connectors and the coax it's self

Kev

1540. Re: Just A Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990112234348.01068.00010380@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 131522

>I know it is illegal to use the cordless phone freqs. And I do not use them!
>
>But how do people get caught?

Almost always the person opens their big mouth and blabs about what they heard
and it eventually gets back to the neighbors with the cordless and they get
pissed and call the cops.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

1541. Re: WTB: Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369C24D6.225CC5FB@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131523

richcom wrote:

> Wanted: Scanner
> 2006 or 2035 etc.
> Let me know what you have and price.
> Email

Hi,

I have a 2006, very good condition, speed and cell mods done,
otherwise stock. Manual, but can't find box. No problems,
nothing broken or missing.

$250.00 plus a few bucks shipping.

Jon

1542. Yaesu FT-50RD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ice_berg@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77hevthf1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131524

I am looking for current uptodate mods for this radio, the ones currently found on the net do not work. I tried the hardware mod, and from what I can tell all it did was disable the ability to receve data ofer the adms-1d unit. If you can help please E-Mail me as my prefered way of contact.

IceBerg

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1543. YaesuFT-50RD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <77hf66ks1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131525

I just got this unit and tried the expanded tx and full rx mod (remove resistor and glob of solder) and it expanded the tx some (wich was not my goal) but the rx remained unchanged. If you have any information, or need any information from me, you can e-mail me

iceberg@nxco.com
ice_berg_@hotmail.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1544. Re: Just A Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <369C2B3A.E0D53DD2@globe-net.net>
Source record: 131526

People get caught doing exactly what you were doing...discussing it.
You tell one person who tells another friend who....knows the neighbor
you were listening to and tells them. Same goes for listening to
cellular. If you're gonna listen, keep a lid on it! ;-)

Robert

Zultans wrote:

> I know it is illegal to use the cordless phone freqs. And I do not use them!
> But how do people get caught?? A friend and I were discussing this because he
> can pick up sometimes on his phone other's people talking. Since the scanner
> picks up the message how does anyone get caught?? Just a question I've been
> wondering about for some time.
>
> Zultans

1545. Re: Digital Spread Spectrum 900 mhz cordless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: mke65@home.net
Message-ID: <369c2fd0.65110490@news>
Source record: 131527

On Tue, 12 Jan 1999 13:12:47 -0600, "tvsjr" <tvsjr@sprynet.com> wrote:

>You know, someone has to build a receiver for DSS just like they do a
>transmitter. Someone with a little time could even rewrite the firmware for
>one of those cordless phones and make the handset a bona-fide scanner. You
>could even use the base with a good outside antenna and relay that back to
>your phone. Nothing to it, just a little code. Furthermore, test equipment
>is out there which will receive spread spectrum with no trouble at all.
>
>People seem to think that the latest and greatest is totally secure. Look at
>trunking- we cracked that. Look at ASTRO- being cracked now. Look at 56-bit
>DES, our government's security standard for non-classified stuff- it was
>cracked in a few days. Look at POCSAG paging- cracked it too. So, Ma M
>released FLEX, the new secure standard- yep, cracked that too.
>
>Nothing in this world is secure. All it takes is the right people with the
>intelligence and the time and possibly a little money to beat the latest and
>greatest. So, if you want secure, go use a landline with some serious
>encryption on that. Someone could still break that, but it's not so likely.
>
>Just my $.02
>Terry
>
>ebden@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <76tq4jluq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>>In article <3690fc5c.7513822@news>,
>>
>>> >AJMedia@nospam.com
>>> I will make it easy on everyone. If the phone has the dss (digital
>>> spread spectrum) either on the phone or on the box then it is secure
>>> from being heard by any scanner that I know of. If it says secure
>>> phone then it can be heard by any scanner which receives the frequency
>>> range of the phone. The phone manufacturers have a very loose version
>>> of what they call secure. Again, if the phone does not say dss on it
>>> then it aint. Keith
>>>
>>
>>You mean that these dss models will see to it that no scanner anywhere is
>>going to pick up my conversations, either from the base or the handset?
>ebden
>>
>>>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
Not to beat the point too much. I agree with all you said. My point

I was trying to make, was that if you go to store and purchase a phone which claims to have dss, then no analog scanner should be able to pick up the transmission. If it does, then you should take the thing back and get your duckets back and go buy one with true dss.
Keith

1546. Yaesu FT-50RD mod problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Chanthara <mchn01@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <369C3361.C45A3B83@inreach.com>
Source record: 131528

Hi all,
I just went out and got a new Yaesu FT-50RD with serial no. 8GXXXXX.

So I proceeded to do a freeband mod on my little rig (removing solder blob and no.1 resistor). Re-assemble and powered back on with lamp/knob/pwr held down. Radio shows 144.00, then power off. Now power on again with lamp/PTT/knob/pwr held down I get the BAND -A1-. This is where I got stuck, when I press the knob again for 1/2 sec...nothing happens. I thought it was suppose to blink or something, but there was no BAND -FREE- to be found when I was turning the knob. Only HSS0,TISO,SILV.....etc with numbers next to them and then back to BAND-A1-.
Of course now I could not get the "radio now displays 50-540 590~1000 no

gaps!!!" to display when I power off. If anyone has more information on getting this mod to work on an 8GXXXX serial no. 50RD, or other freeband

modes please send it to me. Your help on this matter will greatly be appreciated. Thank you.

regards,
mchn01@inreach.com

1547. Re: MDT Scanning - Need Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: stunod@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <369C30CB.2649EF6E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131529
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Checked and rechecked coax... all rings out OK......

kevcat wrote:

> LVAC wrote:
> >
> > LVAC wrote in message ...
> > I am trying to scan MDT's in the NYC area. I am running MDT Monitor using a
> > modified Pro 2022. However I am having a problem getting a strong signal
> > from the scanner. For some reason I cant get any external antenna to work.
> > When I use the internal antenna I get an OK signal however as soon as I hook
> > up the external the signal turns to shit. I have used several antennas (yes
> > all tuned for 800 MHz).
> > I have even used a 800 roof antenna at work which I know works and I do not
> > recieve a good signal. Please help Thanks!!
>
> Sounds like a coax problem
> check the end connectors and the coax it's self
>
> Kev

1548. Re: Recording from the BC895XLT..... Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@nightowl.net>
Message-ID: <369C410E.35B1BB0F@nightowl.net>
Source record: 131530
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Radio Shack has quite a few of them, you can also pick one up at
Wal-Mart if you have one of those in your area. I picked one up at a
pawn shop for $5 and it works great on my 890xlt.

Todd

Jack wrote:
>
> I own a BC895XLT and I would like to use the freq. Record feature.
> The problem I have is abeing able to find a tape recorder with the
> necessary features. Line and remote. Do you know of a tape recorder
> that will work with this. YOur help is appreciated...
>
> thanks ... Jack

1549. Re: re invert.zip speech inversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@nightowl.net>
Message-ID: <369C43B7.BCD30C04@nightowl.net>
Source record: 131531

There may be another program using the sound card at the same time your trying to run invert, like mabey another decoding program. I had a problem simular to that once where i had a program on my computer that answered the phone and took a message just like a regular answering machine, well come to find out it would not let any other program use the sound card, so try hitting ctl+alt+del and see what is running that may be using the sound card.

Todd

kce wrote:
>
> first of all thanks to all on the information you sent me regarding
> the software/hardware for speech inversion descrambling. i downloaded
> the program invert zip and tried to use it on my machine here that is
> equipted with a creative labs sound blaster 16 card and i keep getting
> the message "wave output device already in use, full duplex capable?"
> anyone know whats happening here ? i didnt get much documentation with
> the software
> thanks ken we8w

1550. Re: Digital modes on VHF/UHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@nightowl.net>
Message-ID: <369C41A1.F215DF3@nightowl.net>
Source record: 131532

Check out this site
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/HFFAX/TOC6.HTM

Theres tons of radio related software there. If your interested in
aircraft i highly suggest trying WACARS to decode Acars transmissions.
It's rather addicting.

Todd

Barun Gupta wrote:
>
> I am planning on tapping the discriminator from a PRO-2021 (does not
> have the 800 MHz band). What can I expect in terms of non-voice modes?
> Oh, I also have a Hamcomm type interface if that is required.

1551. Re: Questions AOR AR-3000A/AB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabrizio <AvStrien@usa.net>
Message-ID: <77hgq6gjq1@ns0.klm.nl>
Source record: 131533

In addition, I found that the sqelch hysteresis is not very well.
Once a transmission ends, the squelch cirquit requires some
half to full second before it closes, causing an irritating hiss.

But apart from that, it is definitely the only scanner that runs a
full 50 channels/second at this extreme sensitivity and dynamic
range. I hardly ever notice intermodulation except when using
Wide FM mode. I still run my AR-3000A several hours a day.

Despite all newer base scanners like Uniden 9000, AOR 5000,
iCOM 7100 and iCOM 8500, I still stick to my AR-3000A, even
sold others in favor of my good old 3000A. Except for AOR 5000,
none of them has the lightning speed still capturing each and
every conversation that comes along.

I use my AR-3000A with a dual antenna, a 100 feet horizontal
longwire and a plain vanilla discone that runs somewhere from
30 to 1300 Mhz, coupled with an RF-Systems SP3.

Hope this helps you take the proper decision.

Brgds, Fabrizio.

Barney Rules! wrote in message <77gp2r$3pj$1@news.casema.net>...
|Very fast scanning radio's but limited options. 4 banks of 100 channels
is
|not very practical. Reciver quality is very good but has some problems
with
|strong FM broadcast.
|VFO use with dial knob not practical..specially at HF freq's.
|Only 4 search banks. Limited remote control options and software.
|No adjustable delaytime.
|
|I enjoyed the 3000 (not A) but sold it and got a 5000...
|
|Double Dutch
|
|William G. Becks wrote in message <369BA260.CD986501@sun.lssu.edu>...
|>I am considering the purchase of an AOR model AR-3000 series
|>receiver/scanner. I would appreciate any comments pro/con
|>from current/past owners of the AR-3000.
|>
|>Thank you,
|>
|>Bill - WA8WG wbecks@sun.lssu.edu
|

|

1552. Re: Yaesu FT-50RD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <77hi7k$72s$2@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 131534

ice_berg@my-dejanews.com wrote:
: I am looking for current uptodate mods for this radio, the ones currently
: found on the net do not work. I tried the hardware mod, and from what I can
: tell all it did was disable the ability to receve data ofer the adms-1d unit.
: If you can help please E-Mail me as my prefered way of contact.

: IceBerg

: ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
: http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
You can fix the adms problem by making a new template for your radio-the
old one is invalid now.

1553. IC-Q7 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ingra-Beton@t-online.de
Message-ID: <369C4CFC.81EECB6E@t-online.de>
Source record: 131535

In the IC-Q7 Service Manual in Section 5 is described the
complete Adjustments procedure. In order to perform any
adjustment you need to put the IC-Q7 into Adjustment mode.

This is done (as described on page 5-1) using the ICOM
CLONE/Adjustment Software that is setting the IC-Q7 into
a adjustment mode.

This Software is NOT supplied with the Service manual
so it is impossible to perform any adjustment.

I have contacted ICOM and some people releted with ICOM
and tryed to get some information.

The Software is for internal use only and is not
for use outside the company.

This is not understandable for me, the service manual
is practicly useless without the possibility to set
the IC-Q7 into the adjustment mode.

If someone has this Software (or access to it):

I need only the command to put the IC-Q7 into
the adjustment mode, it is something like
FE FE E6 00 00 FD or something like that (I Guess)
I am NOT asking for some copyrighted Software,
I need only the HEX command, this can be easyly
found by monitoring the datastream of the rs232
port. If someone has the software and can not
find the command, please send me the Software
so I could do it.

I WILL DESTROY (DELETE) THE SOFTWARE WITHIN
15 MINUTES, I WILL USE IT ONLY TO MONITOR
THE COMMAND TO SET THE IC-Q7 IN ADJ. MODE

I am not asking for some MARS/CAP mode or
wide RX/TX or anything ilegal, I just want to
adjust my IC-Q7.

So PLEASE ...

Goran Vlaski

1554. Antenna Mount Recommendations For Jeep Grand Cherokee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kstoudt@ibm.net
Message-ID: <77l3no$55m$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131286

Greetings

Looking for any recommendations on a antenna mount for a Jeep Grand Cherokee. I have a company car that is leased and according to our contracts we can't add any external antennas both drilled or magnetic, since it will cause discoloration... So that leaves me with the rear hatchback. any ideas.

Thanks
Kurt

Kstoudt@ibm.net
Kstoudt@yahoo.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1555. BC 60 XLT-1 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: colton@patlanta.com
Message-ID: <77krcq$f0o@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 131287

Are there any? Since I own one, and it's an inexpensive scanner, I'm willing to try to do any mods to it that exist. Does anyone know of any or can anyone point me to a site that tells of any? I don't care what type of mods they are - this is my experimental scanner to give me experience for future mod work.

1556. SAT Traffic Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: drjordan@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77l531$6cv$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131288

Does anyone know the frequencies used by the contract traffic reporting services or by the news media in San Antonio, Texas?

TIA,

Don Jordan

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1557. Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <77l7kk$8mn$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131289

Though Im sure there is some ham news group, Im not aware of that would be more sutable for this question, Im asking it in hopes someone can answer it anyway.

Im new to the 2M 70cm(440) ham bands (tech class) and I am trying to find some information on what repeaters do, how to access one how to get access to one, and what they are capable of.

IceBerg
iceberg@nxco.com

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1558. Re: ICOM R-10 Discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tonyrush@bga.com
Message-ID: <369e18c5.0@feed1.realtime.net>
Source record: 131290
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Probed around inside with my oscope. Found a small test point
next to a component labeled JS6 and appears to be direct detector
output (discriminator). Without a schematic, I can't swear to
anything.

Esoterix (NOSPAM@NO.SPAM) wrote:
: So, it's a fact that nobody knows the answer to my question: Where's the
: discriminator tap in this radio?

: I've seen almost every web page available with mods for this radio. Still,
: nothing. Is this some big secret Icom is keeping? (rhetorical question)

: I've also comapared chips to the ones listed on B. Cheek's discriminator
: page without a match.

: If anyone knows how to tap this, it might help others as well.

: Esoterix

--

Tony Rusho |
Internet: tonyrush@bga.com |

1559. Re: RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369E17EC.B93BAE9F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131291

J Hall wrote:

> Where to start?? You make fun of these "Rat Shack" owners, well, your
> message shows your true level of intelligence. Perhaps the following words
> were supposed to be the replacements indicated, but hell, I can't quite make
> it out anyway.
>
> sives = sieves ????
> expouse = espouse ???
> it's (it is) = its' (the possessive) ???
>
> You got a good copy on that? 10-4?
>
> By the way, I own both RS scanners and AOR scanners in addition to my ham
> rigs. The RS scanners certainly perform their intended functions well.
>
> John

What really gets me about this guy is that he used hit and run slam dunk tactics

but won't engage in any intelligent dialog. I think he was fired from, or kicked

out of a Radio Shack store. There's really no other reason for him to behave
as he does that I can think of.

Regards,
Jon

1560. Re: Brasso and Displays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: schuster_@at_panix_.dot_com
Message-ID: <77kuuq$9c6$1@news.panix.com>
Source record: 131292
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <369D53DD.D1381F6@NO.SPAM>, Esoterix <NOSPAM@NO.SPAM> wrote:
>Hi,
>
>I was at Walgreens tonight looking at the Brasso polish. It says that it
>can take scratches out of watch crystals. I was wondering how much of
>this stuff you need to put on, say, a scanner display to shine it up and
>remove scratch marks.
>
>Anyone ever use this stuff on a scanner before?

Get some Novus, Meguars, or Blue Magic plastic polish. They all are marked as being able to polish out fine scratches.

Failing that, the "fine cut" paint polishes sold in auto stores, for removing swirl marks and fine scratches on clear coat finishes will be a good substitute.

Finally, any fine metal polish (as sold for polishing soft metals like aluminum mag wheels) - Blue Magic, Meguiars, Mother's, Eagle One, Flitz, Wenol, Nevr Dull, Happich Simichrome, etc - will do the job.

Be careful about recommendations for using toothpaste or more coarse abrasives like Brasso and Noxon. These may take out the scratches but leave the surface less than optically clear, as they tend to use harder and more coarse abrasive particles.

--
Mike Schuster | 70346.1745 at CompuServe dot COM
schuster at panix dot com | schuster at pol dot net

1561. Re: Busted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <369E223B.8C25C3DE@fnal.gov>
Source record: 131293

wrongurl@hotmail.com wrote:

> Up in the frozen North We hear about the U.S. Communications laws etc,etc.Has
> anyone been charged for violating the E.C.P.A act, And if so where can I find
> the details of any court action.It seems whenever some inane act is passed
> eventually the Canadian Government follows suit.

Someone got in trouble for listening (and repeating to the newspapers) a cell phone conversation involving Newt Gingrich down in Florida. I don't know the outcome, but you could probably search the net for it.
--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov

1562. Re: Digital scanners, do they pick up digital celular signals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77l90p$sc9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131294

Barry O'Grady wrote in message
>Digital scanners don't exist yet and it is unlikely they ever will due to
>the huge range of signal types.
I have to disagree with that statement. Thanks to APCO-25, a large portion of public safety agencies will be using the APCO-25 compliant protocol for their digital transmissions, regardless of who makes their radios. This will provide a good base to build a scanner upon. Case in point is the first generation of trunktrackers available today. There are many different kinds of trunked systems, but since Motorola has such a large market share, Uniden went with it and produced a scanner capable of following these systems. I see the same thing happening with APCO-25 systems, regardless of vendor.

> Even if you had a receiver custom made
>you could not listen to GSM signals because they are encrypted.
This is absolutely correct. Thanks to current legislation, receiving ANY kind of encrypted signal and then decrypting it is a crime unless you are the intended recipient of said signal. So I wouldn't expect to ever see someone like Uniden or Radio Shack selling a digital scanner that will tune in and decode PCS phones. These scanners will also not be able to decrypt any DES encrypted communications. They will simply decode the digital signal received and, if successful, convert it to analog audio. Since DES scrambles the digital bitstream, the radio will simply ignore encrypted comm's.

Another thing I predict about digital scanners is that they will be dual-mode, that is you will be able to select whether a frequency is a digital one, or an analog one. I can't wait to see one - it's gonna be very cool indeed...

-Phil

1563. Re: Pro-43...not so hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <369E2DF8.A976C660@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 131295

Same here.

AllanStern wrote:
>
> >My 43 is by far the best scanner, base or handheld, that I
> >have ever owned.
>
> One of the best I've ever owned too; will never part with mine.
>
> AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
> ï¿½ Patrick AFB ï¿½ NASA-KSC ï¿½ Cape Canaveral Air Station

--

Michael Moore
Toronto, Canada

To reply, please
remove NOSPAM from
the email address

1564. wanted carring case for sony icf-sc1pc scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <77lb6e$bl9$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 131296

```
i am looking for a carring case for my icf-sc1pc handheld scanner.anyone
know where to get one
thanks jack
```

1565. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan B <kd4lyp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369E31D0.6767@usa.net>
Source record: 131297

iceberg@nxco.com wrote:
>
> Though Im sure there is some ham news group, Im not aware of that would be
> more sutable for this question, Im asking it in hopes someone can answer it
> anyway.
>
> Im new to the 2M 70cm(440) ham bands (tech class) and I am trying to find
> some information on what repeaters do, how to access one how to get access to
> one, and what they are capable of.
>
> IceBerg
> iceberg@nxco.com
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

If you truly are a Tech then you would know how to do this! If you are a
Tech and don't know how then.....oh brother!

--
http://www.webdeals.net
Web design and hosting for $10 a month!
Made for small businesses in the USA.

1566. Re: CB radio/scanner - for y2k?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: m124 <dowens@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <369E54CC.E6A8CBCB@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131298
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I wonder, if anyone has developed guidance on the construction of very high power white noise generator in the 900 to 2000 mhz range. That should screw up adversarial terrorist troops communications.

1567. Re: Watch out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <369E3572.6A27A485@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131299

Yeah, it depends if you are a democrat or a republican if you get away
with it or you don't
If you are a democrat you are in good shape, and should be able to do
anyting you want,
If you are a republican, it's not p.c.

MrPbodE wrote:

> If you tell people what you hear you may not hear it again.
> If you tell people what you hear you may be prosecuted for it.
>
> I wouldn't be paranoid if they weren't out to get us.
>
> Happy Scanning

1568. Cloning Digital Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@chucks2way.com
Message-ID: <369e3e2a.209845038@news.visitus.net>
Source record: 131300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello Everyone,

I picked up a Nokia Model 2180 Digital Cellphone, and I have Ameritech Clearpath Cellphone service, Now what I wanna know, is this phone "clone"-able??? In other words, is it possible for someone to listen in on my conversations and/or grab my ESN and clone the phone.

Now... before you fire out your Replys/flames/smart comments.. (hehehe) send them, private e-mail.... as I don't read this newsgroup often.

thanks a million!

-Chuck
Chuck's 2 Way Radio Service and C.B Radio Sales
Webpage: Http://www.chucks2way.com
E-Mail: MailTo:chuck@chucks2way.com

1569. FS: 1994 RS Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmandio22@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990114140447.27029.00000014@ng55.aol.com>
Source record: 131301

```
For Sale Mint Cond. 1994 RS Pro-2006, asking $300.00 cash
e-mail for details
dmandio22@aol.com
```

1570. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph Mowery <jmowery@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <369E3889.2237241E@crosslink.net>
Source record: 131302

Mil UHF range is 225.00 MHz to 399.95 MHz.

compkid wrote:

> DOSE ANY BODY KNOW THE FREQUENYS FOR THE FIGHTER JETS,
> PLEASE EMAIL ME.
>
> compkid@netcomuk.co.uk

1571. Re: Cloning Digital Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77lg6q$1bn$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Posted to R.R.S. and CC:ed to private email

Chuck wrote in message <369e3e2a.209845038@news.visitus.net>...
>Hello Everyone,
>
>I picked up a Nokia Model 2180 Digital Cellphone, and I have Ameritech
>Clearpath Cellphone service, Now what I wanna know, is this phone
>"clone"-able??? In other words, is it possible for someone to listen
>in on my conversations and/or grab my ESN and clone the phone.

Depends on the type of service provider you connect to. If you connect to a digital network such as PCS, then nope, you're fine. But if you go to an analog network, you are susceptible to being "cloned" just like anyone else. Best advice is to watch your bill closely, and keep a journal of your own calls for personal verification.

-Phil

1572. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: strekker@comdex.com
Message-ID: <strekker-1401991132310001@i48-20-44.pdx.du.teleport.com>
Source record: 131304

In article <77j29g$5jr$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> And, that will be the last reply from ME on this "stupid, off topic,
> inflammatory post" as you so delicately put it.
>
> -Phil

Ah, as I thought. Your previous statement claiming you were through posting _anything_ to do with the flames turns out to be as false as your saying that you were finished with the rec. scanner group.
Your credibility is now zero.

1573. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg <n3oyr@REMOVEhome.net>
Message-ID: <369E4665.303A@REMOVEhome.net>
Source record: 131305

Todd Christensen wrote:
>
> Looking for input from the scanner experts out there...
>

Skip all of the Radio shack and bearcat Garbage. it's all junk. Go for a real scanner like the AOR 1000/8000, or a yupi. no gaps, 1000 channels, arguably the best scanners out there.

1574. COMMENTS on AOR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Montague <montaw@tdbank.ca>
Message-ID: <369E4A18.54CD@tdbank.ca>
Source record: 131306

Hello -

I am contemplating purchase of the above. At one time I owned an AOR8000 and found its performance above 30 MHz to be very impressive. On HF however, it was less than satisfactory on AM and SSB.

I would appreciate comments from users of the 8200 on how well this scanner performs on HF on SSB and AM.

Thanks -

Wayne

1575. Re: Antenna Mount Recommendations For Jeep Grand Cherokee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990114152144.24417.00000007@ng52.aol.com>
Source record: 131307

What bands are you looking to use? HF? VHF/UHF? Receive only? CB? Transmit?>
Greetings
>
>Looking for any recommendations on a antenna mount for a Jeep Grand Cherokee.
>I have a company car that is leased and according to our contracts we can't
>add any external antennas both drilled or magnetic, since it will cause
>discoloration... So that leaves me with the rear hatchback. any ideas.
>
>Thanks
>Kurt
>
>Kstoudt@ibm.net
>Kstoudt@yahoo.com
>

1576. Wacars help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <77lq43dhe1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 131308
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Any idea what it would take to get an on-board Intel [Yamaha] audio system to work properly with Wacars?

Symptom: reads garbage and thinks it's ok.

Example [from the log file]:

----------16:07:56, 14/01/1999
fgfff
h 6
Using Ground Station 2
Message Type v0
6H-

----------16:08:10, 14/01/1999
Wg
vIyi
Using Ground Station
Message Type RI
<L#Zz]*
*.&Ay,j~{00

Same radio, antenna and software works fine on two other pc's [one with Ensonic pci sound card, other with older ide Sound Blaster card], so I don't think it's pilot error....

But this is the pc I really need to use it on, and there are no free slots [which is why I got it with the on-board audio in the first place].

All other software I've ever used seems to work fine with the on-board Yamaha audio, but not Wacars.

Any suggestions would be appreciated. Post or email.

Thanks, Clay

1577. Re: "Stealth" BCT-[2,7,10,12] Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77llap$30a$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131309

Stephen Waits wrote in message <369ACEEB.653AE83B@ix.netcom.com>...
>
>Hello all,
>
>I just got my BCT-12 and would like to setup an antenna in my car that
>is not readily visible (the scanner will be mounted on the 'knee pad'
>under the driver side dash). The "rubber ducky" that comes with the
>scanner is useless, but the "wire" windshield mount antenna seems to
>perform quite well.
>
>What do you use as an antenna for your BearTracker (BCT-2, BCT-7,
>BCT-10, or BCT-12)?
>
>Thanks for any input!
>

You have one of 2 options:

1. Use a splitter to connect the AM/FM radio antenna to the scanner

-or-

2. Purchase a glass mount cellular antenna and connect it to the scanner.

-Phil

1578. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990114162024.17458.00000750@ng42.aol.com>
Source record: 131310

>From: Greg <n3oyr@REMOVEhome.net>

>Skip all of the Radio shack and bearcat Garbage. it's all junk. Go for
>a real scanner like the AOR 1000/8000, or a yupi. no gaps, 1000
>channels, arguably the best scanners out there.

Uh, excuse me, but what if he only needs to trunk track Motorola. Your AOR and
Yupi would then be as useless as tits on a boar.

talkyal

1579. Re: PRO-90 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77ln8u$3ie$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131311

Robert wrote in message <369924EE.F0ABAFAC@globe-net.net>...
>I have put my PRO-90 up for sale. Anyone interested can
>get the details at this link:
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=55620412
>

$192.50, what a bargain... save a whole $6.49 off the MSRP

-Phil

1580. Re: Digital scanners, do they pick up digital celular signals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990114163138.17458.00000758@ng42.aol.com>
Source record: 131312

>From: "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>

>Barry O'Grady wrote in message
>>Digital scanners don't exist yet and it is unlikely they ever will due to
>>the huge range of signal types.

>I have to disagree with that statement. Thanks to APCO-25, a large portion
>of public safety agencies will be using the APCO-25 compliant protocol for
>their digital transmissions, regardless of who makes their radios. This will
>provide a good base to build a scanner upon.

Ditto. I'm gonna put my chips on the table, too. I say that the first wave of digital scanners will be targetted at Motorola's ASTRO system, since that seems to be one of the most used digital systems in the USA. Where there's a market and demand, there shall be a supply, after clearing all legal issues. And, everybody is underestimating the demand for digital scanners, because no one really did a marketting survey, yet.

talkyal

1581. Re: CB radio/scanner - for y2k?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990114163519.17458.00000762@ng42.aol.com>
Source record: 131313

>From: m124 <dowens@bellsouth.net>

>I wonder, if anyone has developed guidance on the construction of very
>high power white noise generator in the 900 to 2000 mhz range. That
>should screw up adversarial terrorist troops communications.

Are you kidding ? The EF-111 Raven and the EA-6B Prowler can generate all the jamming you want in any frequency range you want, and that's with 1960's Vietnam War technology. Just think of what's out there in the last 30 years that you don't know about.

talkyal

1582. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <amateur@fcc.gov>
Message-ID: <77lpof$l0@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131314

I'm afraid that the first response you got was somewhat accurate. If you did actually study for a tech, and took and passed the test legally, you would know all about this.

Since you did learn it all and then promptly forgot, look in "Now Your'e Talking" (remember the book you studied?) and turn to chapter 2. There is a whole chapter titled "VHF and UHF FM Repeater Operating", a whole section titled "Finding a Repeater" starting on page 2-11. On page 2-12 there is a whole section that is titled "Repeater Operating" This will refresh your memory and answer every question you asked.

There are a few questions for the tech class license on those subjects on the exam you just passed.

iceberg@nxco.com wrote in message <77l7kk$8mn$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> Though Im sure there is some ham news group, Im not aware of that would be
>more sutable for this question, Im asking it in hopes someone can answer it
>anyway.
>
> Im new to the 2M 70cm(440) ham bands (tech class) and I am trying to find
>some information on what repeaters do, how to access one how to get access to
>one, and what they are capable of.
>
>IceBerg
>iceberg@nxco.com
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1583. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <369E6EC0.1CE5BC46@uswest.net>
Source record: 131315

Fbals wrote:

> Would like to hear from users of this antenna and how they think it performs
> versus other scanner antennas. How well does it perform on the low band (30-50
> Mhz)?
>
> Frank

I have been using one for several years here in Minnesota. I is very sturdy and has survived years of MN winters and storms. I came down once in a storm and was unharmed.

Performance wise it is very directional and excellent across most bands. I am about 12 miles north of the Twin Cities and get all of St. Paul and Mpls frequencies with no problem, most are in the 460.000 area and 155.000 area.

I use it a lot with my 2006 for skip scanning in the 30-50 range with excellent results.

I also have the Icom AH 7000 discone on my roof and it is junk compared to my Scannerbeam. I have found most discone types I have tried to be too fragil to survive Minnesota and too omni directional.

Greg Renner

1584. Wanted: BC800xlt Small UHF Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimdpeters@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990114173327.14364.00000785@ng95.aol.com>
Source record: 131316

I'm looking for the small antenna that goes in back of the Uniden BC800xlt desktop scanner. The one that covers the 800 MHz band. The small "L" shaped antenna. I have misplaced mine and need another. If you know where I could get one of these or you have one for sale please let me know. Thanks

Jim Peters
jimdpeters@aol.com

1585. Yaesu vx-5r

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Grendel Kahn <SPAM-grendel-SPAM@pobox.alaska.net>
Message-ID: <369E786D.E6BB88AB@pobox.alaska.net>
Source record: 131317

Does anyone have any comments on the vx-5r? I'm interested in
purchasing one, but I'd like to hear opinions first... Plus, does anyone
know if the Non-north american version is cellular blocked?

GK

Do not meddle in the affairs of dragons, 'cuz, like, you are
crunchy and taste good with ketchup.

1586. BC-235 Attenuation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul/Sherrie <pludwig@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <77lt3nndg1@camel29.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131318
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I noticed my 235 does not pick up the cellular images like my BC230 did.

I assume this is through some sort of attenuation. Has anyone else noticed this? Is there a hidden keyboard command to turn it off or reduce it? Any ideas?

1587. Re: ICOM R-10 Discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Esoterix <NOSPAM@NO.SPAM>
Message-ID: <369E89B6.12F30082@NO.SPAM>
Source record: 131319
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bill wrote:

> tonyrush@bga.com (Tony Rusho) wrote:
>
> >Probed around inside with my oscope. Found a small test point
> >next to a component labeled JS6 and appears to be direct detector
> >output (discriminator). Without a schematic, I can't swear to
> >anything.
> this is the right (test) pin (IC71 TA31136F pin 9-afout)
> conect this using capacitor (NO DC) to ring portion of the CI-V jack
>
> Nobody

Hi, thanks for confirming this! What value/voltage electrolytic
capacitor should be used?

1588. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Bean <BillyBlues@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <Ogo5Q1BQ#GA.205@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 131320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I jots to have me one of these babies!!
kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote in message
<3698f4f3.2725154@news.mia.bellsouth.net>...
>Hey check this thing out:
>http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
>Anyone have one?
>
>
>http://broward.home.ml.org

1589. Sloppy Shack winner identified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9vjg19-nospam@idt.net
Message-ID: <n9vjg19-nospam-1401991859430001@ppp-26.ts-4.nyc.idt.net>
Source record: 131321

http://n-lemma.com/FBOM/contest.html

The FBOM Magazine Online Sloppy Shack contest winner steps forward! See for yourself!

1590. ARRL letter for SWLers??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9vjg19-nospam@idt.net
Message-ID: <n9vjg19-nospam-1401991901160001@ppp-26.ts-4.nyc.idt.net>
Source record: 131322

Does anyone know of any online source for an ARRL Letter-like report for the SWLer? Please respond by email (remove -nospam from the address).

Thanks

1591. south Florida Freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Richardson <drichardson@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <369E8AA3.3291755B@adelphia.net>
Source record: 131323

I'm new to scanning, any body know where I can find some South Florida freq's listed on the Internet? Specifically, West Palm Beach south to Miami.
Thanks.

1592. FS: iCOM SCANNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: BDS <skynite@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <Oa1rz9BQ#GA.186@upnetnews05>
Source record: 131324

I have the following for sale:

1. R-100, complete coverage NO blocks, comes complete with all original accessories
(except wire HF and extendible antenna), has original box and manual, a 8.5 - 9, asking
$425

2. ICR-1, complete coverage, NO blocks, comes complete with all original accessories, original box and manual, asking $300, about an 8

Both radios work great. Prices do not include shipping
Thanks
Bruce

1593. scanner specs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnt <johnt@sprint.ca>
Message-ID: <369E9C83.6E4F@sprint.ca>
Source record: 131325

I`m looking at two scanners the pro2045 and the pro2050 the signal to noise ratio at 900mhz is .8mV for the 2045 and 1mV for the 2050 which is better

thanx

1594. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dan baxter <dandeb11@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <77m64o$j89$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131326

any 800 mhz scanner can get the trunked freqs. that is not a valid point
Talkyal wrote in message <19990114162024.17458.00000750@ng42.aol.com>...
>>From: Greg <n3oyr@REMOVEhome.net>
>
>>Skip all of the Radio shack and bearcat Garbage. it's all junk. Go for
>>a real scanner like the AOR 1000/8000, or a yupi. no gaps, 1000
>>channels, arguably the best scanners out there.
>
>Uh, excuse me, but what if he only needs to trunk track Motorola. Your AOR
and
>Yupi would then be as useless as tits on a boar.
>
>talkyal

1595. NEED ADVICES - CANADA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "news.autray.net" <pdauphin@autray.net>
Message-ID: <IMxn2.126$VK6.529@wagner.videotron.net>
Source record: 131327

Is there someone who can give me advices???

I don't actually have a scanner but I think about it. I would like to use it particularly for the police channels (I precise, for leisure, not for bad use of it). Some persons told me that very often, the cops are scrambling their channels. Is that true? Does it worth the price to buy a scanner for this use despite it?

Second question: It seems that the recent scanners don't cover the cellular telephones wave range, is it possible to trafficate a scanner to cover these waves?

Third question: Wich type of scanner (maybe models...) would be good for me for a general use, wich specifications; trunktracker, etc.) and range would be useful and finally, wich type of store is the best to buy a scanner (Radio-Shack, specialised stores...)???

Thank you very much for your help.
pdauphin@autray.net

1596. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <qMxn2.148$_33.1758@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 131328

[slowly]
His point was Trunk T r a c k.

dan baxter wrote in message <77m64o$j89$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>any 800 mhz scanner can get the trunked freqs. that is not a valid point
>Talkyal wrote in message <19990114162024.17458.00000750@ng42.aol.com>...
>>>From: Greg <n3oyr@REMOVEhome.net>
>>
>>>Skip all of the Radio shack and bearcat Garbage. it's all junk. Go for
>>>a real scanner like the AOR 1000/8000, or a yupi. no gaps, 1000
>>>channels, arguably the best scanners out there.
>>
>>Uh, excuse me, but what if he only needs to trunk track Motorola. Your
AOR
>and
>>Yupi would then be as useless as tits on a boar.
>>
>>talkyal
>
>
>

1597. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Arthur Watters <awatters@pacific.net>
Message-ID: <369EA8DA.461B58DF@pacific.net>
Source record: 131329
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have Netscape 4.5 and it worked fine

Brad Kellison wrote:

> I sent off mail to Fairhaven telling them to fix it so people with the
> newer version of netscape(4.5) could see it. hope this helps guys.. Dan
> upgrade you netscape and you'll find out what everyone is talking about
>
> Dan wrote:
>
> > Pursuit10851 wrote:
> > >
> > > On Sat, 09 Jan 1999 06:20:53 GMT, kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote:
> > >
> > > >Hey check this thing out:
> > > >http://www.fair-radio.demon.co.uk/page4.html
> > > >Anyone have one?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >http://broward.home.ml.org
> > >
> > > "404 Not Found" on the main frame of the Fair-radio website. That
> > > about sums up the availability of digital scanners, if that's what
> > > this is supposed to be about.
> >
> > It was there when I went...he is right, one bad ass piece of equipment
> >
> > --
> > http://www.webdeals.net
> > Made for small businesses in the USA.
> > Advertise your business on the web for 33 cents a day.

1598. are the uniden & r.s. trunk trackers the same ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ibm <maclean02@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <77m9mv$lt1$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 131330

I know the case is different , but are the guts the same?
(the hand held trunk trackers)
I have herd that some of there r.s. stuff is made by the same
mfg.. as the product they are " knocking off " kinda like
sears power tools.

1599. Pro 2046

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Richardson <drichardson@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <369EB6E1.E127BAF8@adelphia.net>
Source record: 131331

Anybody know if this scanner can be modified?

1600. scanner specs?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnt <johnt@sprint.ca>
Message-ID: <369EC2A7.48BE@sprint.ca>
Source record: 131332

what is better for a signal to noise ratio a higher number or a lower number

1601. New Uniden Bearcat scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <77mh9p$65d$1@news3.mr.net>
Source record: 131333

Does anybody know what the BC-780XLT will be like? Does anyone have any idea if DPL/DCS might be includes in any of the new Uniden Bearcat scanners?

1602. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369ED4B1.4C77@usa.net>
Source record: 131334

Frankly, I find it hard to believe that you could pass a technician amateur
radio license from the FCC & NOT know these answers for yourself.

But anyway-
]

iceberg@nxco.com wrote:
> Though Im sure there is some ham news group, Im not aware of that would be
> more sutable for this question, Im asking it in hopes someone can answer it
> anyway.
> Im new to the 2M 70cm(440) ham bands (tech class) and I am trying to find
> some information on what repeaters do,

They repeat the audio heard on another frequency.

> how to access one how to get access to
> one,

Use a suitable radio for the frequecy range you are attemting to work.

> and what they are capable of.

They are capable of extending communications from what could be only
a few blocks to 100 miles (or better!)

1603. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <369ED533.3061@usa.net>
Source record: 131335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

JWiley wrote:
>
> In article <77j29g$5jr$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > And, that will be the last reply from ME on this "stupid, off topic,
> > inflammatory post" as you so delicately put it.
> >
> > -Phil
>
> Ah, as I thought. Your previous statement claiming you were through posting > _anything_
to do with the flames turns out to be as false as your saying that you
> were finished with the rec. scanner group.
> Your credibility is now zero.

WELL SAID!

I intentially held off any response to Phil just to allow him the prove
HIMSELF that HE is the instigator of the problems here!

.

1604. WTB: Scanner,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: richcom@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <369e3462.887670207@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131401

Wanted: Scanner
Looking for a base scanner or receiver,HF/UHF
Let me know what you have and price.
Email

1605. 900MHz cordless phone on 914~915MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Inki Lee <iklee@triton.co.kr>
Message-ID: <77jfo2$3jn$1@news.nuri.net>
Source record: 131402

Excuse me I'm newbie!

In Korea,
Most of 900MHz cordless phones uses 914~915MHz frequencies.
The phone manual says that it uses "40 MCA channels"

What's the "MCA channels"?
What's the reason why I can hear only one side?

As I think 914~915 MHz might me a Handset frequencies,
I've been scanning 902~956 MHz to find Base frequencies.
But, there is no other frequencies.

Thanks in advance,

Inki Lee
Seoul, KOREA

1606. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
Message-ID: <369D4AC2.EB117850@home.com>
Source record: 131403

For $400 or a little more you could get both the 235 and 895 and have
the best of both world's.

Todd Christensen wrote:
>
> Looking for input from the scanner experts out there...
>
> I have about $300-$400 to spend on a scanner, and was wondering
> what you guys think the best one in that price range is. I have
> been looking at the BC235XLT, and the BC895XLT. (As you can
> tell, I am still debating between a heldheld and a base, so
> any insight on that would be nice too.) It will mainly be used
> for monitoring the police/fire/rescue in the Minneapolis MN.
> area, so I am assuming I would want a scanner capable of
> monitoring trunk systems..
>
> Any help would be greatly appreciated...
>
> Thanks in advance..
>
> TC (Remove NOSPAM to reply)

1607. Re: Yaesu FT-50RD mod problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <77jrtc$4ia$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131404

From what I can tell you cant do the same mod anymore he RX doesnt change, but yu TX should now be around 140-174 and 420-470 instead of 144-148 and 430-450. How ever you cn now no longer send from ADMS 1d to your radio, but you can send from the radio to the computer. If you find a work around, let me know.

IceBerg
iceberg@nxco.com

In article <369C3361.C45A3B83@inreach.com>,
Mike Chanthara <mchn01@inreach.com> wrote:
> Hi all,
> I just went out and got a new Yaesu FT-50RD with serial no. 8GXXXXX.
>
> So I proceeded to do a freeband mod on my little rig (removing solder
> blob and no.1 resistor). Re-assemble and powered back on with
> lamp/knob/pwr held down. Radio shows 144.00, then power off. Now power
> on again with lamp/PTT/knob/pwr held down I get the BAND -A1-. This is
> where I got stuck, when I press the knob again for 1/2 sec...nothing
> happens. I thought it was suppose to blink or something, but there was
> no BAND -FREE- to be found when I was turning the knob. Only
> HSS0,TISO,SILV.....etc with numbers next to them and then back to
> BAND-A1-.
> Of course now I could not get the "radio now displays 50-540 590~1000 no
>
> gaps!!!" to display when I power off. If anyone has more information on
> getting this mod to work on an 8GXXXX serial no. 50RD, or other freeband
>
> modes please send it to me. Your help on this matter will greatly be
> appreciated. Thank you.
>
> regards,
> mchn01@inreach.com
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1608. Re: Yaesu FT-50RD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <77jsau$4vr$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131405

That totaly worked, thanks soo much. Have you any idea how to open the extended RX with the current firmware? My radio doesnt seem to act exactly like the mod says it should, I dont get the band thing when I power up with knob/ptt/lamp held down, I just get to my notmal setup.

IceBerg
iceberg@nxco.com

In article <77hi7k$72s$2@alice.walrus.com>,
marc_k@walrus.com (marc_k) wrote:
> ice_berg@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> : I am looking for current uptodate mods for this radio, the ones currently
> : found on the net do not work. I tried the hardware mod, and from what I can
> : tell all it did was disable the ability to receve data ofer the adms-1d unit.
> : If you can help please E-Mail me as my prefered way of contact.
>
> : IceBerg
>
> : ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> : http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
> You can fix the adms problem by making a new template for your radio-the
> old one is invalid now.
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1609. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Barnes <micewi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <369D4FCF.9C49D95C@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131406

Ditto!

Jack Anderson wrote:

> For $400 or a little more you could get both the 235 and 895 and have
> the best of both world's.
>
> Todd Christensen wrote:
> >
> > Looking for input from the scanner experts out there...
> >
> > I have about $300-$400 to spend on a scanner, and was wondering
> > what you guys think the best one in that price range is. I have
> > been looking at the BC235XLT, and the BC895XLT. (As you can
> > tell, I am still debating between a heldheld and a base, so
> > any insight on that would be nice too.) It will mainly be used
> > for monitoring the police/fire/rescue in the Minneapolis MN.
> > area, so I am assuming I would want a scanner capable of
> > monitoring trunk systems..
> >
> > Any help would be greatly appreciated...
> >
> > Thanks in advance..
> >
> > TC (Remove NOSPAM to reply)

1610. Re: public service frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369D54D3.53769357@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131407

Le Roy Lambert wrote:

> I would like to know where I can find frequencys of the police and such
> of the states of Nevada, Utah, and Colorado
>
> LeRoy

LeRoy,

Email me, I have a good list for Utah.

Jon

1611. NJ-NYC-Long Island

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc2ayc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990113213141.11620.00000364@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 131408

Are you in to scanners and live in the NY Metro area? Why not join our e-mail list:

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/ScanCom

1612. Re: --- WHICH IS THE BETTER ONE? ---

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tsutomu Kano <tsutomu_kanou@mccsat.co.jp>
Message-ID: <77jjbu$d82$1@news2.odn.ne.jp>
Source record: 131409
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Terry Unger wrote in message <77gmdlinh1@remarQ.com>...
>
>Does anyone know the difference in performance of HF/shortwave reception
>between the AR8200 and the DJ-X10?

I have AR8200 but don't have DJ-X10.
But Maybe AR8200 is better for HF listening than DJ-X10.
AR8200 has 3type AM mode. WAM,AM and NAM can use.
WAM is 15KHz wide ,AM is 6KHz and NAM is 3KHz!
You know most of sxanner has only 15KHz wide filter ,so
it is very difficult to listen to HF boroadcast such as VOA,BBC,
especailly in 31Mb. But AR8200 has 3KHz filters ,so we can hear
program so easily.
AR8200 has good sound of quality , especially on AM Mode. I often
listenig Aviation Radio, it is very comfortable sound. It's very mild
and clear.
On SSB mode ,AR8200 has noiseBlanker, so it may will be advantage.
I used IC-R10 before I have got AR8200. It SSB sound was very
terrible than AR8200 , even AGC on AR8200 is not sutable for SSB
listening.
Anyway I will recommend AR8200 if you want to have good HF
handie scanner.

Tsutomu Kanou Tokyo,Japan
VZB02144@nifty.ne.jp or tsutmu_kanou@mccsat.co.jp

1613. Re: 900MHz cordless phone on 914~915MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Rooney <"n2nkbREM@n2nkb"@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <77jmrk$m9h@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131410

Inki Lee wrote:

> In Korea, ...
> Most of 900MHz cordless phones uses 914~915MHz frequencies.
> What's the reason why I can hear only one side?

Probably because your telephone system's hybrid (4 to 2 wire converter)
is very well balanced. Your handset has 2 wires for the microphone,
and 2 wires for the earphone. There is a transformer or electronnic
circuitry which interfaces these 4 wires to your 2 wire local loop
which goes to your central office along your telephone poles.
If the hybrid in a phone and at the central office is near perfect,
you will only hear one side of the conservation because the hybrid
cancells out sidetone. If the hybrid is unbalanced due
to impedance mis-matches, the side-tone may be heard- hence the ability
to hear both sides of a conservation.

By the way Inki, I was in Seoul for business a few years ago, and
I really enjoyed my trip. Lovely city, and very nice people.

Al Rooney Madison, NJ USA

1614. FS: PRO2023 Best Offer by Saturday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: elva2189@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990113225518.01084.00000210@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 131411

Used for one half-hour a couple of years ago. Not into scanners--it was a gift. Leave your best offer and whether you want to be notified of higher bids. Will finish taking bids at 1:00 pm Saturday. Thanks.
Eric

1615. Re: MARCONNI everyone knows that Re: Who Invented Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dead or Alive Media <c8620215@alinga.newcastle.edu.au>
Message-ID: <Pine.SOL.3.96.990114144911.5419B-100000@alinga.newcastle.edu.au>
Source record: 131412
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

I don't recall actually seeing a radio in Tandy/radio shack the last time
I wandered by, just lots of the usual third-rate audio equipment and
mobile phones. Maybe Tandy invented the mobile phone???!!

(I did however like the TRS-80 computer, so they get one tick!!)

Regards,
Sean

DEAD OR ALIVE MEDIA AUSTRALIA
Electronic Development Division

On Wed, 13 Jan 1999 *@*.gov wrote:

> On Sun, 10 Jan 1999 23:43:26 -0000, "Matt" <ones@softhome.net> wrote:
>
> >>> Yeah, and Radio Snack invented the world's first edible radio.
> >
> >>And they invented answers too. "You've got questions - we've got
> >>answers."
> >>Too bad their answers are clueless or wrong.
> >
> >
> >Or just complete outright lies :)
> >I bet if you went in and asked if you could buy a scanner they'd come back
> >with some shit about DPI and parallel ports ;-)
> >
>
>
>

1616. Question Re: Value Of AR-3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John G. Pouchak" <JGPOUCHAK@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <77jrsp$6do@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131413

Does anyone have an idea of the value of a used AOR AR-3000A? The reciever is in excellent shape with very little actual "use" time. I purchased it in about 94' and is unblocked. It seems I paid about a 1K for it back then. It was "state of the art" and have not followed the technology changes with the products.I used it with ScanCat software and Weather Fax I/F. Thanks in advance!

1617. Re: TECHTOYZ Question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gino <digino@flash.net>
Message-ID: <4Xen2.1118$Md6.1588@news.flash.net>
Source record: 131414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Get the Opto Cub. It is a little more expensive but more accurate. If you can splurge I would recommend getting the Scout from Opto instead.

Hope that helps..

Gino

dolph wrote in message <3699c11a.0@news.qnet.com>...
>Hello All
> I'm looking for a frequency counter. I'm currently looking at the
>$99.00 one from RS or the Techtoyz or the Opto Cub.Can anyone help me out?
>Info/quality/experiences? (good-bad?)
>All Responses Appreciated!
>Randy
>
>

1618. Re: Inversion Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a081d7.41428955@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131415

On Wed, 13 Jan 1999 10:59:56 -0500, "ken weber" <w2bdp@planet.net>
wrote:

>Looking for general area where I might find speech inversion being used.
>
42.-47.MHz would be a good place to start...

26.218640/-080.237551
http://broward.home.ml.org

1619. Re: pro 43 Now what?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: wjm2207@kcinter.net
Message-ID: <369d6fc9.2480740@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 131416
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 13 Jan 1999 23:29:08 GMT, ezgo <ezgo109@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>I just got an e-mail from RS saying they no longer stock the key pad for
>a pro-43. Not good. ezgo109@hotmail.com

OK...now you will have to switch to plan "B". Go to one of the large discount stores or a discount automotive parts place. Pick up a bottle of "Loc-Tite Rear-Window Defogger Repair Kit". It will run you about $8-12. Take this and do a light coat over the back of the rubber keytop where the buttons make contact with the keypad contacts on the CPU/Keypad PCB. Put on about three very light coats and let each coat dry well before the next application. Make sure you shake the bottle up well before each application.

Put it back together and see how well it works. Now you can fix the TV remote when it starts having the same type of problem.

Wiggie

1620. Re: Brasso and Displays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: wjm2207@kcinter.net
Message-ID: <369e7146.2862056@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 131417
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 13 Jan 1999 22:18:05 -0400, Esoterix <NOSPAM@NO.SPAM> wrote:

>Hi,
>
>I was at Walgreens tonight looking at the Brasso polish. It says that it
>can take scratches out of watch crystals. I was wondering how much of
>this stuff you need to put on, say, a scanner display to shine it up and
>remove scratch marks.
>
>Anyone ever use this stuff on a scanner before?
>
>-Esoterix

Yes...well similar stuff. You can use any light abrasive product such
as car wax, toothpaste (not the gel type), or Brasso. There are also
items out there that would fix scratches in compact discs. I have used
toothpaste to bring CDs back to life.

Wiggie

1621. Re: AOR8000A Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake <Jake@idiot.com>
Message-ID: <77k33vcp1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131418

same here...I've got one in mint condition....inquire at
ar8000@earthlink.net
Fulton wrote in message <369d6f50.0@news.destek.net>...
>Hello to all:
>
>Thanks for taking the time to read and respond.
>I have one service manual for an AOR8000A.
>Best offer to my e-mail takes it.
>
> fhunt*@*clinic.net
>
>Thanks again
>
>Fulton
>
>P.S. remove the ** to reply
>

1622. Re: Trunking Homepage: Looking for info!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: pit0@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990114020454.12094.00000426@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 131419

```
~
Come and see my web page
http://welcome.to/kf6eyt
-----------OR-----------------
http://welcome.to/cmore
```

1623. Re: need frequencies for Charlotte, NC/Mecklenburg County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369D9C97.5472@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131420

James Wooten wrote:
>
> I have searched all over the web and cannot seem to find the
> police/fire/emergency frequencies. Any help greatly appreciated.
>
> JW

Check out the following URLs:

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/nc.html
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/nc/charlotte.txt
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/nc.html

1624. Re: STATEN Isl. NYC EMS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Grambs III <fgrambs@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <77k6kr$rp0$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mike "NO UCE" Schuster <schuster_@at_panix_.dot_com> wrote in message news:7755v4$o5c@news1.panix.com...

>This list is a mixture of things current, things out of date, and things
>that perhaps have not yet been implemented.
>
>For instance, it lists the SI EMS frequency as 487.2375, on which there is
>no activity! The frequencies actually being used are 484.2375 (Bklyn
>South) and 484.4875 .. with the abandoned 800 MHz frequency still
>simulcasing at reduced strength.
>
>Months ago it was posted here that the SOD frequency listed (470.8375) is
>abandoned and that SOD and Highway are really using Citywide 3 (470.8625).
>This is not reflected on the list either.
>
Well, SOD is still on the 470.8375 and is very active. Citywide 3 does have some activity, but I haven't listened enough to figure out what it is.

As far as I know those channels are either current or going to be implemented. The new Queens EMS freq and any other freq in the low 480's is, as far as I've been told, part of the new 'APCO 26' frequencies made available by cutting into TV channel 16.

I also noticed that Manhattan Central and North EMS went back to the 480s. Now, the crews only have to carry one radio again.

Fred

1625. Re: public service frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369DA739.48B8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131422

Le Roy Lambert wrote:
>
> I would like to know where I can find frequencys of the police and such
> of the states of Nevada, Utah, and Colorado
>
> LeRoy

Check out the following URLs for Nevada:

http://www.cris.com/~Jhh/radio.htm
http://benham.net/scanner/index.html
http://coyote.accessnv.com/jps/freq.html
http://www.ufomind.com/area51/orgs/janet/lv_air_freqs.shtml
http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/lasvegas.html
http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/casinolt.html
http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/casinola.html
http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/casinorn.html

Check out the following URLs for Utah:

http://home.earthlink.net/~retrorocker/
http://www.infowest.com/sites/s/suar/index.html

Check out the following URLs for Colorado:

http://www.frii.com/~rmedic/
http://www.speedtrap.com/colorado-radio.html
http://beagle.colorado.edu/~eesnyder/eesnyder/Colorado_Scanner_Index.html
http://beagle.colorado.edu/~eesnyder/eesnyder/ColoradoHamRepeaters.html
http://www.infowest.com/sites/s/suar/colorado/colorado.html
http://www.gmra.org/n0nhp/
http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/travel/vail.html
http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/travel/aspen.html

1626. Re: Pro-43...not so hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: aq1sw2@pixi.com
Message-ID: <369db11a.2596760@news.pixi.com>
Source record: 131423

Mine does not do any of the stuff listed below. You probably have a bum radio. My 43 is by far the best scanner, base or handheld, that I have ever owned.

It does however pick up computer hash in the VHF Low Band when the rig is with in a few feet of the computer.

Mark

On Mon, 11 Jan 1999 09:15:42 GMT, mplanck@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>First off- the scanner seems to like to wait around on channels after a
>transmission even when there is no signal.. and I DO NOT have 'delay' set.

>I had a lot of frequencies that I had to lock out because they would just
>"stutter" and cause the scanner to stay on that frequency. Sort of like a
>'Ch-ch-ch-ch-ch-ch' sound.
>
>I have some frequencies where I hear two conversations at once!
>
>Most of the frequencies below 30 Mhz will open squelch and just sit there,
>especially with my computer on.
>

1627. Re: Pro-43...not so hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990114043014.26900.00000511@ng150.aol.com>
Source record: 131424

>My 43 is by far the best scanner, base or handheld, that I
>have ever owned.

One of the best I've ever owned too; will never part with mine.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

1628. Re: RAT SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: J Hall <kc7yje@earthlink.net.NOSPAM>
Message-ID: <77kg8c$2rr$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131425

Where to start?? You make fun of these "Rat Shack" owners, well, your message shows your true level of intelligence. Perhaps the following words were supposed to be the replacements indicated, but hell, I can't quite make it out anyway.

sives = sieves ????
expouse = espouse ???
it's (it is) = its' (the possessive) ???

You got a good copy on that? 10-4?

By the way, I own both RS scanners and AOR scanners in addition to my ham rigs. The RS scanners certainly perform their intended functions well.

John

LAS <monkey@yayaya.com> wrote in message
news:369C07E3.ED3C6314@yayaya.com...
>Actually; I did have a RS scanner once.
>Then I learned to read specs...
>
>I use it as a paper weight now. Doesn't even work well in that regard.
>
>RTSA...Read The Specs Asswipes!
>
>RS scanners..Oh yeah..they're the best.
>ROFLMAO
>
>Good luck with your RF sives...
>Hey; I'll fight for your right to delude yourselves...afterall...you're
>Americans and have the right to buy shit and then expouse it's wonderful
>virtues...
>
>Hey; who wants to admit "Uhhh Duhhh; I only gotsa 200 hunred dolla si
>I's gonna git me a Rat Shack scanner....Gee; I wish I had me one of dem
>AOR's...Oh wells, I love my Rat Shack scanner...10-4 good buddy and
>roger D"
>

1629. CBC3000 Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joshua Mennega <joshm@uq.net.au>
Message-ID: <77kkc7$61m$1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au>
Source record: 131426
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone give me a realistic price for a battery pack for my Bearcat CBC3000. I've currently been quoted $150.00 AUS.

Ta

joshm@uq.net.au

1630. Re: Yaesu FT-50RD mod problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <77ktqivqt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131427

My bad, marc_k@walrus.com informed me on another post in this newsgroup that you have to make a new template to be able to send to the radio again, this fixed my problem and I have the expanded TX frequencys as I mentioned before, but no extended RX frequencys. If someone has a work around please let me know, I dont have a way to dump the firmware chip or replace it with anotherone.

IceBerg
iceberg@nxco.com

In article <77jrtc$4ia$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
iceberg@nxco.com wrote:
> From what I can tell you cant do the same mod anymore he RX doesnt change,
> but yu TX should now be around 140-174 and 420-470 instead of 144-148 and
> 430-450. How ever you cn now no longer send from ADMS 1d to your radio, but
> you can send from the radio to the computer. If you find a work around, let
> me know.
>
> IceBerg
> iceberg@nxco.com
>
> In article <369C3361.C45A3B83@inreach.com>,
> Mike Chanthara <mchn01@inreach.com> wrote:
> > Hi all,
> > I just went out and got a new Yaesu FT-50RD with serial no. 8GXXXXX.
> >
> > So I proceeded to do a freeband mod on my little rig (removing solder
> > blob and no.1 resistor). Re-assemble and powered back on with
> > lamp/knob/pwr held down. Radio shows 144.00, then power off. Now power
> > on again with lamp/PTT/knob/pwr held down I get the BAND -A1-. This is
> > where I got stuck, when I press the knob again for 1/2 sec...nothing
> > happens. I thought it was suppose to blink or something, but there was
> > no BAND -FREE- to be found when I was turning the knob. Only
> > HSS0,TISO,SILV.....etc with numbers next to them and then back to
> > BAND-A1-.
> > Of course now I could not get the "radio now displays 50-540 590~1000 no
> >
> > gaps!!!" to display when I power off. If anyone has more information on
> > getting this mod to work on an 8GXXXX serial no. 50RD, or other freeband
> >
> > modes please send it to me. Your help on this matter will greatly be
> > appreciated. Thank you.
> >
> > regards,
> > mchn01@inreach.com

> >
> >
>
> ------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1631. Re: Rat Shack Discone scanner antena or HRO scanner antena for +30$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: n3xl@fast.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1107b3d23074335e9896c7@news.fast.net>
Source record: 131428

In article <36997e46.45037837@netnews.worldnet.att.net>, sandman-1nobots@worldnet.att.net. says...
> I am going to buy a discone antena but I would like to know if the
> Radio Shack antena is the same antena that HRO sells, It says its
> stainless but I have a cheap RS antena now and it fell apart so the
> discone is $59 at RS and $89 at HRO..
We're only talking $30

but NO ONE has elaborated why the Diamond is better!

The stinger on the R/S is for 6 meters(50Mhz)

Look for yourself. We agree on one thing, they are not the same price!

Regards, Mark - N3XL
n3xl@fast.net

1632. Re: Indiana Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <77kuci$c5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131429

There is also a searchable database that will let you look my city/callsign at http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm, and a list of Indian links at http://wwwli.net/~j4dice/links.html#IN.

That and Im working on an online database were you can not only keep upto date on police/fire/rescue freqs, but also on freqs were tha amature comunity can be found in different parts of Indian, repeters and codes etc... (this is a recent project and is far from done)

IceBerg
iceberg@nxco.com

In article <369b706a.9440214@news.cc.purdue.edu>,
cewelborne@adpc.purdue.edu (Chuck Welborne) wrote:
> On 10 Jan 1999 19:33:03 -0600, Marty <martyd@surfnetinc.com> wrote:
>
> >Try Indy Scan at:
> >
> >http://members.iquest.net/~aeverhart/index.html
> >
> >
> >Rod Kilgore wrote:
> >>
> >> Need Indiana Frequencies
>
> Yep, that's the best place I've found. It's got almost everything you
> could want...
>
> Charles Welborne
> First Responder At-Large
> Emergency Management volunteer
> West Lafayette, IN
> cewelborne@adpc.purdue.edu
>
> **********
>
> I do not, of course, speak for my employer.
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1633. Re: MDT question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <77kvd2$14e$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131430
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

1: you HAVE to do this from the discrim tap, or the data will be garbles as the filtering that takes place will chop it all up.

2: yes, it's because the data is being filtered out

3: yes, but are you sure teh fire department is using MDT? Normaly this is only used in police cars so they can access NCIC information.

more information on this can be found in alt.cellular news groups.

IceBerg
iceberg@nxco.com

In article <hYJm2.1651$gB2.4781@weber.videotron.net>,
"st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge" <stef_theberge@videotron.ca> wrote:
> i have MDT program with PRO_2006. so i have some question :
>
> 1- can i use this program with only soundcard with the scanner or i have to
> build a discrimantion output?
>
> 2- i use it with the soundcard and decode nothing, is this because is not a
> discimination output?
>
> 3- Can i use this program for 154.2500 mhz? because is the fire departement
> in my area and i would like decode their stuff
>
> --
> St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge
> Beauport,Qc
> Canada
> http://pages.infinit.net/watchman
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1634. Re: TrunkTracker squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwilkers@netcom.com
Message-ID: <jwilkersF5Jy04.B1q@netcom.com>
Source record: 131431

"Ed" <Cedar@ix.Netcom.com> writes:

>how important is squelch setting during tracking. Have read that initial
>setting should be one direction or another as unit finds data channel.
>Manual is very sketchy in both pro-90 and bc895xlt models

The data channel controls the scanner once it is found. The squelch
setting is no concern whatsoever once the data channel is found. If
unsure, just keep it all the way at maximum while trunking.
--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
Supporting two-way radio for the family of the modern era. See my website
for more info on the Family Radio Service (FRS)

1635. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwilkers@netcom.com
Message-ID: <jwilkersF5Jy6I.B7K@netcom.com>
Source record: 131432

"Vijay S. Bajwa" <vbajwa@iscp.bellcore.com> writes:

>How is it possible for a 900Mhz cordless phone (analog or
>digital) to increase the range, without increase in power?

>I've heard that 900Mhz digital cordless has a greater range
>than it's analog counterpart? How so?

>What other advantages does digital offer over analog?

900 MHz phones are allowed more power output than the 49 MHz phones.
With digital versus analog 900 phones the difference in range can be
attributed to the fact that tones have better ability to be heard over a
longer range than voice..... as a digital transmission is essentially
high-speed digital tones, you will naturally get better range..

Digital transmisions have the additional benefit of being unreadable by
scanners. At best a scanner will only hear static.
--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
Supporting two-way radio for the family of the modern era. See my website
for more info on the Family Radio Service (FRS)

1636. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwilkers@netcom.com
Message-ID: <jwilkersF5JyD8.BLv@netcom.com>
Source record: 131433

tc@NOSPAMcray.com (Todd Christensen) writes:

> Looking for input from the scanner experts out there...

> I have about $300-$400 to spend on a scanner, and was wondering
>what you guys think the best one in that price range is. I have
>been looking at the BC235XLT, and the BC895XLT. (As you can
>tell, I am still debating between a heldheld and a base, so
>any insight on that would be nice too.) It will mainly be used
>for monitoring the police/fire/rescue in the Minneapolis MN.
>area, so I am assuming I would want a scanner capable of
>monitoring trunk systems..

Well, when one only has one scanner, the best bet is a handheld. You never know when something neat is going on, but you cannot lug the scanner where you need to be at the time. You can just grab a handheld and puut it in the car with you , if you need to run to the store, or get to listening to some incident when it is time to go to work.

with an 800 MHz system, you really do not need any large antennas, plus a handheld can have a larger antenna added on if you want... and you can power it with AC power if you want just like a base.

I elected to go with a handheld, when I upgraded to a trunktracker last week. It goes everywhere with me.

You'll love it.... trust me :)

--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
Supporting two-way radio for the family of the modern era. See my website for more info on the Family Radio Service (FRS)

1637. Re: Anyone have GPS Mapkit by DeLorme for me to DEMO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ivan Ivanovich <iivanovich@usf.com>
Message-ID: <eOJlLa8P#GA.258@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 131434

I use this system at work what do you need to know?

Ivan Ivanovich

Poster Boy wrote in message <36a488c3.11740373@news.uia.net>...

1638. Re: public service frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net>
Message-ID: <Aenn2.110$S.1596325@news-read1.qis.net>
Source record: 131435

Lindsey Blanton has a nice site.

I can not remember the address, but do a search for it.

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com
(410) 360-2260
Le Roy Lambert wrote in message ...
>I would like to know where I can find frequencys of the police and such
>of the states of Nevada, Utah, and Colorado
>
>
> LeRoy

1639. AR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcam <dcam@ricochet.net>
Message-ID: <36A01404.15736809@ricochet.net>
Source record: 131136

Hello everyone
I am trying to write software for AR3000A. Does anyone know what
kind of code I have to use to send to the AR3000A so that the AR3000A
will reply all related code. Thanks for any replied information .

Hai
haiha@netzero.net

1640. Re: PRO-90 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <36A010FA.B359778B@globe-net.net>
Source record: 131137

Ebay isn't on this NG. You'll also noticed it was addressed
to anyone "interested". If you're not, simply ignore it.
Some people can't pass up a chance for a negative comment.
OBTW, the DAILY price for this radio at RS is $299.95.
Don't know where someone got their MSRP figure from
but it wasn't from RS! If you have to flame, get your facts
straight.

Robert

"Jon S. Van Allen" wrote:

> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > Robert wrote in message <369924EE.F0ABAFAC@globe-net.net>...
> > >I have put my PRO-90 up for sale. Anyone interested can
> > >get the details at this link:
>
> > $192.50, what a bargain... save a whole $6.49 off the MSRP
> >
> > -Phil
>
> That's Ebay for ya, rip-off city for the unwary.
> It should be banned from this newsgroup.
>
> Jon

1641. Re: cell mod for AOR 8200 or ALinco

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <77p7qf$b36$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 131138

www.bander.com

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote in message <77p0et$h98$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>The question now becomes, who will sell me a non-US version without getting
>the thing confiscated at customs or me fined and jailed??
>
>> Go to this site for what you want
>> http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/9163/arc.html
>> spanner wrote in message <369D1955.3F0645CA@aufait.net>...
>> >Is there a cell mod for the aor 8200?
>
>???
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1642. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A022BF.7E6C@usa.net>
Source record: 131139

Brad Kellison wrote:
> I can't believe this type of response.. Where in the study guide does it tell you
> how to operate a repeater.. Now come one fellas.. have you look in one and
> wondered what they can do or how they work.. Hell I started as a novice(licensed
> for 16 years) and upgraded to tech plus but still didn't know alot

Obviously you still don't know alot!

I have nearly 10 years experience as an amateur radio examiner and
teaching
amateur radio classes for those attempting to get Technician & novice
licenses
in licensed amateur radio experience.

How much teaching & examination experience in amateur radio do you have?

Repeaters are covered in both the novice & technician. Also, as a
novice or
technician you are licensed to use repeaters or build/operate & be a
licensee
for a repeater, therefore you are required to have at least basic
knowledge.

1643. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A00183.5BB0@usa.net>
Source record: 131140

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Don't take it personally, it's just the way John is. He likes to rile up
> everyone and then claim it wasn't him, he never meant to piss ya off, blah,
SNIP

Phil-

Do you mean like your post here?

1644. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A0344C.7E6E@usa.net>
Source record: 131141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

George Vetterle wrote:
> It's unfortunate that you do not hold off ALL responses. Your failure
> to do so proves that YOU are the instigator of ALL problems here.

Well said, George, as we have all seen Phil's repeated posts of
harassment
& flaming here on this NG. Even time when I have been off the NG, the
problems
seem to continue with him & a few others as a common denominator.

You certainly were correct, "how sad"!

1645. AOR 3000A F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@koral.com>
Message-ID: <369f86e7.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 131236
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

AOR 3000A full coverage 100khz - 2036mhz no blocks in excellent cosmetic and operational condition. It is in original box with all factory accessories. $735.00 including shipping. Email or call my voice mail 1-800-518-1858 and leave your name and number and I will call you back.

Mike Dockey
Denver, CO

1646. Re: Uniden BC 245

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990115130905.25454.00001284@ng133.aol.com>
Source record: 131237

>I've started an "Internet Users Wishlist" at
>http://www.netcom.com/~swaits/bc245xlt.html
>
>Feel free to visit the page and send me your prioritized wishes!

Steve,

When you get a list compiled, say after a couple of weeks, send it to me and
I'll forward it to Uniden VP for research and development.

John Ward
Contributing Editor
Popular Communications magazine

1647. Re: Watch out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990115131340.25454.00001286@ng133.aol.com>
Source record: 131238

>They bitched and whined until they got the ECPA and when they caught those
>people breaking the law in Florida they did NOTHING TO THEM!!!!! And they
>blabbed what they heard to every Tom Dick and Harry they could. Equal
>justice
>under the law my ass.

Well, that depends upon your definition of "nothing." They were each fined $5,000, for a total of 10 grand. Now, I don't know about you, but a $10,000 fine sure would put a crimp into my scanner-buying budget for awhile.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

1648. Re: re invert.zip speech inversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Kilgore <kilgore@dev.tivoli.com>
Message-ID: <369F73B0.EE0ABF33@dev.tivoli.com>
Source record: 131239

nickwb4sqi@wwd.net wrote:
>
> >invert.zip program
>
> Where would one find invert.zip?

It is at http://www.lpcom.com

Jeff

1649. Software for ICOM R10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bj&#65533;rn Danielsson <bjorndan@telia.com>
Message-ID: <369f8efb.0@d2o21.telia.com>
Source record: 131240

```
Is there any windows software for Icom R10?
```

Bj&#65533;rn Sweden

1650. Re: Where get info on DECT/WDCT for USA? (was Re: Not Secure: Panasonic 2.4GHz GigaRange cordless phones_

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rciautomation@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <QnMn2.9066$XY6.215347@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 131241
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Our company sells the Siemens Gigaset at a price less than Fry's. If you
are not located in California, no sales tax will be applied either. Please
see our website where
there is very detailed information about the product. You can find it at:
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rciautomation/p31.htm

If there are any questions that you have, please feel free to call us a
619-484-6307 or send us an e-mail.

Regards,

Robert
RCI Automation
rciautomation@csi.com
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rciautomation

Mike Klein wrote in message ...
>On 22-Dec-98 at 03:35, Gary Gapinski (glg@apk.net) wrote:
>> Hello, Mike:
>>
>> Check out http://www.siemenscordless.com/cordless/cshop.html as well as
>> the press releases at that site.
>>
>> Regards,
>>
>> Gary
>>
>> klein@chromatic.com wrote:
>> <snip>
>> > Where would I find availability and pricing info?
>
>It just so turns out that the local Fry's Electronics carries the Siemens
>Gigaset 2420! No discount, but there was no significant discount at any
mail
>order or online places either.
>
>I bought the 2420 and an extra handset (total of 2) and they are excellent
>quality. Sound quality is way superior to the Costco specials we've been
>buying, and materials and build quality seems much better as well. Small
>handset unit, very light.
>
> -Mike

1651. page...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: run5431943@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115140637.26739.00001978@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 131242

,
www.usaplaza.com/JMAC/index.htm

1652. Re: Silent Key List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Schlichmann Frooschielle <probol@roxmill.net>
Message-ID: <77o3n1$t5h$1@news3.mr.net>
Source record: 131243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yes, there was one but it went belly up. Hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha
k9kz@indy.net wrote
>Does anyone know of a web site that has a complete list of "silent keys"?
>Bill K9KZ
>k9kz@indy.net

1653. Re: Battery Alternatives for BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369F9A35.E978209F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131244

> Robert Barile wrote:
>
> > Anyone know if there is a sustitute battery pack for the BC200XLT. Im on my third
> > pack in say 5 years and at one time I modified one dead pack with Radio Shack
> > batteries but they didnt last very long. The original Uniden batteries aren't much
> > beter. Is there any way you can use alkalines with a modified battery pack?
> > Any advice would be helpfull.
> >
> > Robert
>

Ooops, I goofed, it should be:
http://www.metrowestscan.com

Sorry for the confusion.

Jon

1654. Re: Battery Alternatives for BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369F98F7.5CCF59F3@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131245

Robert Barile wrote:

> Anyone know if there is a sustitute battery pack for the BC200XLT. Im on my third
> pack in say 5 years and at one time I modified one dead pack with Radio Shack
> batteries but they didnt last very long. The original Uniden batteries aren't much
> beter. Is there any way you can use alkalines with a modified battery pack?
> Any advice would be helpfull.
>
> Robert

Robert,

I guess you didn't see my post to this last week.
Try: http://www.metrowest.com

Jon

1655. Re: Silent Key List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bubba_the_fat_ham@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77og8d$3pr$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <77nm4m$b9j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
k9kz@indy.net wrote:
> Does anyone know of a web site that has a complete list of "silent keys"?
> Bill K9KZ
> k9kz@indy.net

Yes consult any Buckmaster or QRZ CD, 90 percent of the amateurs listed will
be dead or close to it.

HI HI
de Bubba

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1656. Freq in Norway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ArtYs <artyak@altavista.net>
Message-ID: <77o9qr$6ae$1@readme.online.no>
Source record: 131247

Anybody know where to get a list of frequencies in Norway?
Please let me know.

Art

1657. FS: iCOM R-100 & R-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: BDS <skynite@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <enywMmMQ#GA.245@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 131248

1. R-100, complete with all accessories (except extendible antenna) &
original box and manual, complete coverage no blocks, very good condition,
asking $400.

2. IC-R1, complete with all accessories, box and manual, complete coverage,
no blocks, nice condition, asking $285

3. IC-R1, radio with antenna, belt clip, handstrap and manual copy, this
radio looks great, but has an intermittent speaker problem, if an external
speaker is used there is no problem, just sometimes with internal speaker,
$180
Shipping is extra for both radios
thanks
Bruce

1658. Re: New Uniden Bearcat scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77o9sdqk1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131249

James A. Schnoor wrote in message <77mh9p$65d$1@news3.mr.net>...
>Does anybody know what the BC-780XLT will be like? Does anyone have any
>idea if DPL/DCS might be includes in any of the new Uniden Bearcat
scanners?
>
It will never be made as the BC-780XLT. It does exist, however as the
PRO-2066, available at rat-shack.

-Phil

1659. Re: Yaesu vx-5r

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: agood214@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77oh6l$4md$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131250

I purchased one last Monday.... but I'm in North America, so I cannot answer about the 800 Mhz blocking outside of US..

The good:
small
strong case
very readable display
good number of memories
good audio on mine - I can drive with the window open and hear it fine..
good even backlight
large number of configurable options, and fairly easy to use
Alpha tags for memories are great
fast recharge ~ 1 hour for stock battery and charger
great battery life

The <IMHO> lackluster areas:
Lack of SSB on recv.. one would think with the current state of the art that general coverage recv .5 ~30 Mhz is almost defacto, rather than the coverage with holes...

software not released with radio - very difficult to program so much in such a tiny radio

6 Meter performance: In the Southern California area a handheld 6M is almost unable to hit any of the wide coverage repeaters. In the past I've had to have a mobile setup going to an amplified to ensure reliable QSO's. I've actually gone to a low hilltop and tried to hit other large coverage 6M repeaters and although the yaesu can hear the repeater, without a mobile antenna you do not get in.

Also another design limitation which I'm not crazy about is that the Calif Highway Patrol is on lowband, and this unit completely misses that segment of the band, It also misses the Civil Air Patrol lowband frequencies as well as the many EMS services operating in that band..

So compare it to my pro 2005 as a "scanner" and it's limited
So compare it to my yaesu 747 HF rig and agian it's limited
So compare it to my ICOM 32AT 2m/440 handheld and it's fantastic

In article <369E786D.E6BB88AB@pobox.alaska.net>,
Grendel Kahn <SPAM-grendel-SPAM@pobox.alaska.net> wrote:
> Does anyone have any comments on the vx-5r? I'm interested in
> purchasing one, but I'd like to hear opinions first... Plus, does anyone
> know if the Non-north american version is cellular blocked?
>
> GK

> ----
> Do not meddle in the affairs of dragons, 'cuz, like, you are
> crunchy and taste good with ketchup.
>
>

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1660. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <77o9tf$5eu@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 131251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: January 5, 1999. |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S |

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most

recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229.

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553. You can also download an electronic copy of the user manual for recent Bearcat models from the Uniden web site:

http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/support.htm

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. | They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and

2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Matt Roberds fixed a BC220 which experienced memory loss if power was removed by resoldering the positive wire to 2-AA battery holder.

As built, the BC220 logic board is grounded only through its mounting screws. In the BC220, Matt recommends adding real wire ground jumpers from the main board to the feature (logic) board to fix grounding problems.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal chassis. See March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine for BC800XLT capacitor locations and repair information.

The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Jim Craig replaced a 22uF/35V C98 capacitor to repair his BC210XW.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This condition is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of

a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Matt Roberds repaired the Montgomery Wards version of a BC220 and contributed these insights:

"I did add some heat-sinks, but you have to be careful what you do with the TIP29 on the logic board. I added a long, flat heatsink to it and immediately created a whine in the audio. I didn't use an insulator, as this was a temporary first-try. As far as I can tell, the heatsink was radiating noise from the logic board into the front end on the radio board, which ended up being just below the heatsink. Bypassing the TIP29 (a voltage regulator) with disc ceramics didn't work; using a smaller heatsink and an insulator fixed the problem."

"There is also a 220 ohm 1/4 watt resistor on the logic board. It feeds the TIP29 from the main +16v supply. It looked a little crispy. I pulled one end and measured the current through it and it was about 30-35 mA. This works out to right at 0.2-0.25 watt dissipation. I didn't have a higher-wattage resistor, so I substituted 2 470-ohm resistors in parallel."

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to

switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

Replacement Bulbs for BC760XLT

The Uniden BC760XLT's SCAN, MAN, PRI, and HOLD buttons are illuminated by "grain of wheat" type incandescent bulbs. Gary Saffer reports that the bulbs and buttons are located on a small printed circuit board which sits behind the radio's face plate. Gary unsoldered the burned out bulbs in his radio and replaced them with 12 volt Radio Shack bulbs (#272-1092c). They should last a long time when powered by the 8 VDC supplied by the BC760XLT.

Open Resistor in BC140

Robert Casey fixed his deaf, 16 channel Bearcat BC140 scanner. A 10 ohm resistor had opened that fed a circuit that generates 22V for the varactor diode tuner circuits. Without the 22V, there was no tuning of the local oscillator and front end, and no reception. What he did was compare voltages to a second working BC140.

Sources for Replacement NiCd Battery Packs

Replacement NiCd battery packs and inserts for the BC100XLT, BC200XLT, BC2500XLT, BC3000XLT, and other scanners are available from Uniden. I've purchased them at lower cost from:

1. Mr. NiCd - E. H. Yost and Company, 2211-D Parview Rd., Middleton, WI 53562. tel. (608)831-3443. email ehyost@midplains.net

2. Battery-Tech Central, 2818 Southland Street SW, Cedar Rapids, IA 52404-4141. tel. (800)267-3087 or (319)364-0855. email rlassoc@inav.net

Batteries Plus has stores across they country and some have rebuilt Uniden NiCd packs for under $20.

--

==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1661. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <77o9uf$5f2@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 131252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: January 5, 1999. |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S |

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners sold through mid-1997 may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

Parts lists for recent and many older models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)442-2425 or (817)870-5600, or through your local Radio Shack store

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. | They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

PRO-2004: Dead

If your PRO-2004 is completely dead, check R235, a 1 ohm, 1 watt resistor mounted vertically on the main board.

PRO-7A: Channels 1 - 4 or 5 - 8 Dead

If the first or last 4 channels in your 8 channel PRO-7A crystal scanner are not working and the lamps for those channels won't light, replace IC4 or IC5 (type 7400A).

--

==========

Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1662. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <77o9tn$5ev@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 131253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: November 30, 1998

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance
squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled,
transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers.
They have now been replaced by battery operated portable
pagers, and the desktop models are often available at
hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough
condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better
suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are
excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies --
a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400
channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models
which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper
mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron
700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than
any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image
rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when
aligned properly.

Models and Frequency Coverage

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band
receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron
or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz

band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the 700 and R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal

scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

30-54 R8190 R8150
148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Crystals

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

The best source for crystals is:

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

You need not bother with calculating the crystal fundamental frequency. Merely supply International with the exact receiver model number, printed on the rear label,

and the frequency you want to monitor. They will perform
the necessary calculations.

Crystals for Plectrons

The Plectron P1 series contain two crystals. The second
local oscillator crystal is 11.155 MHz regardless of band.
On VHF high band (148 - 175 MHz), the P1 series employ this
formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) + 10.7) / 4

or

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) - 10.7) / 4

On VHF low band (25 - 54 MHz), the P1 series employ this
formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) + 10.7)

or

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) - 10.7)

There are two crystals (Y1, Y2) used in the Plectron R700
series, one for each local oscillator. The crystal
formulas are:

RF Range (MHz) Y1 (MHz) Y2 (MHz)
_____
25-29 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
29-32.6 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
32.5-35 (f-10.7)/2 10.245
35-41 (f-10.7)/2 11.155
41-47 (f-10.7)/2 11.155 (use 10.245 MHz
for Y2 on 44.62 MHz)
47-54 (f-10.7)/3 11.155
148-158 (f-10.7)/9 11.155
158-175 (f-10.7)/9 10.245
450-470 (f-10.7)/18 11.155

The economy Plectron 500 series use this scheme for the
first local oscillator crystal:

RF Range (MHz) Crystal (MHz)
_____
25 - 35 (f+10.7)
35 - 54 (f-10.7)
148 - 174 (f-10.7)/4

Most Plectron 500s use an 11.155 MHz second local
oscillator crystal. However, a 10.245 MHz crystal is used

instead for receivers in these ranges: 33.4 - 33.78, 44.62, and 158.78 - 158.82 MHz.

Power Cords

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. I published the pinout for both Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor receivers in June 1998 Monitoring Times magazine.

You can buy the proper replacement power cords but it's much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and solder on a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

Squelch and Minimum Volume Setting

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down, then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

Tone Alert Feature

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on

the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain.

Repair and Replacement Parts

Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Weber Electronics
PO Box 212
5138 Laurel Ln.
Broad Run, VA 20137
telephone (540) 347-7760
email: weber@citizen.infi.net

You can also obtain Plectron repairs and parts from:

Northeast Communications
4 Oak Ridge Rd
Newfoundland NJ 07435
201-697-8804

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)972-3226.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model UHF VHF-high VHF-low

SM-301 X X X
SM-302 X X
SM-303 X X
SM-304 X X

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from the crystals used in the conventional single channel Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) /
10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

Plectron Alternatives

Too bad Plectron is out of business. If you wish to
purchase a new receiver with "Plectron-like" signaling
capabilities, contact Reach Electronics:

Reach Electronics
1311 West Pacific
Lexington, NE 68850
(308) 324-6661
(800) 445-0007
FAX: (308) 324-4985

Reach sells tone & voice pagers, Alert monitors, and
ENCODERS. They also do contract manufacturing.

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors
for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1663. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <77o9t7$5et@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 131254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed January 5, 1999 |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase
most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other

radio hobbyists.

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine.

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. | They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in

plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to

cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered from your local Radio Shack store or from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Electra Corporation stocks crystals for the Bearcat scanners:

Electra Corporation
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229
Phone 317-894-3229

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.
tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 941-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1664. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <77o9u0$5f0@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 131255
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 1999 - NORTHERN ILLINOIS
last updated December 29, 1998

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

JANUARY 1999

Jan 3 (Sun), Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer show (see below). Dupage County Fairgrounds.

Jan 10 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). orland Park Civic Center.

Jan 24 (Sun) - Villa Park, IL - Wheaton Community Radio Amateurs Mid Winter Hamfest - '98 at the Odeum Exposition Center 1033 N. Villa Ave., Villa Park, IL. Info: Pat Byrne, K9JAU, 414 North Park Blvd., Glen Ellyn, IL 60137. 630-545-9950. E-mail: k9jau@juno.com, http://www.w9ccu.org (Held inside and is usually crowded. - AJ9S)

Jan 31 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). orland Park Civic Center.

FEBRUARY 1999

Feb 7 (Sun), Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer show (see below). Dupage County Fairgrounds.

Feb 14 (Sun), Rock Island, IL - Davenport ARC. QCCA Expo Center, 2621-4th Ave., Rock Island. 7:30 AM - 3:30 PM. Talk in 146.88 and 146.64 MHz. info: Kent Williams, K9UQI, 4245 10th St., East Moline, IL 61244-4154. tel. 309-796-0718. Fax: 309-796-0629. E-mail: k9uqi@arcsupport.com. http://www.arcsupport.com/drac

Feb 14 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). orland Park Civic Center.

MARCH 1999

Mar 8 (Sun) - Waukesha, WI. SEWFARS Swapfest. Info: Mary J. Adams, KB9IFF, tel. 414-358-1003. E-mail:

mjadams@execpc.com.

March ?? (Sun): Sterling-Rock Falls ARS, Sterling, IL info: Lloyd Sherman, KB9APW, PO Box 521, Sterling, IL 61081-0521. tel. 815-336-2434, E-mail: lsherman@essexl.com

March 22 (Sun): Grayslake, IL - LAMARS hamfest, Lake County fairgrounds. All indoors. Admission $5 at gate, free parking. Setup at 6 AM, doors open at 8 AM. Info: Dave Gudewicz, KB9KDA, 5 Brigantine Lane, Grayslake, IL 60030. tel. 847-223-1088. (Small fest, but usually interesting - AJ9S.)

APRIL 1999

April 11 (Sun): Middleton, WI. Madison Area Repeater Association. 8 AM - 1 PM Middleton Conference Center, 1313 John Q. Hammons Drive Middleton, WI 53562. The Middleton Conference Center is located in Middleton, WI, near the west side of Madison, WI. From the intersection of Interstate 90 and Highways 12 and 18 near Madison's South-East corner, take Highway 12 West. Stay on Highway 12 until you reach Greenway Blvd. Exit to Greenway Blvd. and turn left. Then turn left on John Q. Hammons Drive. The Conference Center is attached to the Marriot which is clearly visible from the intersection of Highway 12 and Greenway Blvd. Talk-in Frequency: 147.75/.15. Info: Paul Toussaint, N9VWH, MARA President, prt@execpc.com. The MARA telephone voice mail (608)245-8890 . http://skywarn.ssec.wisc.edu/mara/swapfest

April ?? (Sun): Stickney, IL. Dupage Amateur Radio Club, Hawthorne Race Course. Hours 8 AM - 2 PM. Setup 6 AM.

AUGUST 1999

Aug 29 (Sun), Woodstock, IL. Tri-County Radio Group. McHenry County fairgrounds. Talk-in: 146.52 Simplex. info: Bob Grosse, N9KXG, PO Box 3107, Skokie, IL 60077-6107 E-mail: tcrg@hotmail.com, http://quality-enterprises.com/tcrg/. Tickets: Advance With Double Stub $4.00 - At Gate $5.00 Single Stub - $6.00 With Double Stub . Exhibit Building: 8:00 A.M. - 3:00 P.M. Table Rental: $14.00 For 8' Table. Flea Market: 6:00 A.M. - 3:00 P.M. No Additional Charge For Flea Market Space Info (708) 944-0500. Fax (847) 658-1678. (fairly good, small to mid sized hamfest - AJ9S).

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am

- 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30 AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies, games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors, diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables, expansion boards, services, and anything else in the domain of computers! Lake County Fairgrounds, Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet of everything Computer! For a show map, exhibitor info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at http://www.ccxpo.com.

--

==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1665. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <77o9u8$5f1@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 131256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed June 11, 1998

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent to return to its original value when the cause of the change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching. The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a 1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis? Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping" the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003, PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet.

4. If space permits, you can replace the stock resistor with a higher value variable resistor ("trimpot") and adjust to suit.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers		
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14

	of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197 47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148 47K remove R148
	or replace with 220K.
	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2006	R152 33K try 100K or higher.
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner --
How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob
Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1666. FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <77o9un$5f3@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 131257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed January 7, 1999 |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article contains product review summaries for more than 70 old and new scanner radios and monitor receivers.

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor receivers of various brands in my collection. This article describes a few of the FM receivers in my collection, and other models I've tested. It is not meant to be complete.

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass, Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass, Monitoring Times, May 1995.

I have published full length reviews and modifications of these scanner models in Monitoring Times magazine:

Mar 1986 - Uniden/Bearcat BC800XLT
Mar 1987 - Radio Shack PRO-2004

Oct 1990 - Radio Shack PRO-2006
Jan 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2035 vs. Radio Shack PRO-2006
Feb 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-62 Portable
Mar 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Apr 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT Portable
May 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT
Jun 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT & Radio Shack PRO-2036
Jul 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2037
Aug 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT
Sep 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable
Oct 1995 - AOR AR-2700 Portable
Nov 1995 - selected AOR AR-8000 measurements
Dec 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2040
Jan 1996 - Plectron & Motorola Alert Monitors |
Feb 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2042
Mar 1996 - Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners |
May 1996 - Compendium of Scanner IFs |
Apr 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT, BC230XLT Portable
Jun 1996 - modifications & tips for the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Jul 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT-10 mobile
Aug 1996 - BMI Nitelogger II tape recorder activator |
Sep 1996 - PRO-2022 modifications |
PRO-2042 baseband audio tap |
Oct 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2046 mobile
Nov 1996 - Build a wooden mobile radio mount |
Dec 1996 - AOR AR5000
Jan 1997 - ICOM R8500
Feb 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-2045
Mar 1997 - ICOM IC-R10
Apr 1997 - RELM HS-200 portable
May 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual downconversion mod.
Jun 1997 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1970s |
Jul 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC235XLT portable Trunktracker
Aug 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-64 portable
Sep 1997 - Stridsberg Engineering receiver multicoupler,
G/Wiz board for EDACS trunked scanning
Oct 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-67
Nov 1997 - Sporty's JD-100 Air Scan
Dec 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT Trunktracker
Jan 1998 - Test Equipment Bargains |
Feb 1998 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1980s and Beyond |
Mar 1998 - RELM MS-200 mobile
Apr 1998 - RCA RP-6150 portable
May 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-2050 base Trunktracker
Jun 1998 - Opto DC442 CTCSS/DCS/DTMF display
Jul 1998 - Stub and Par Electronics intermod rejection filters|
Aug 1998 - Sony SC1PC portable
Sep 1998 - Orange Book of Scanner Repair |
Tone Out Testing (2-tone generators) |
Monitoring ACSB (amplitude compandored side band) |

Oct 1998 - AOR AR8200 portable
Nov 1998 - Alinco DJ-X10T portable
Dec 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-91 portable Trunktracker
Jan 1999 - AOR AR7000
Feb 1999 - Radio Shack PRO-2066 mobile Trunktracker |

Note: Reprints of Monitoring Times articles are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902, tel. (800)438-8155. I don't receive any part of this reprint fee.

AOR

AR2700: 1995 vintage. 500 channel portable covering 500 kHz to 1300 MHz. Lots of good features, e.g., 10 linkable search banks, lighted keypad, per-channel attenuator, S-meter, battery meter, optional voice recorder and speech inversion descrambler. Global rescan and pause delays, but no auto store, data skip, nor battery saver. Internally generated noise results in broad birdies on AR2700 tested. Insensitive carrier operated (vs. noise operated) squelch stays closed on signals unless they are moderately strong, causing scanner to skip transmissions of interest. IFs are 287.55/749.25, 58.05, 10.7/0.455 MHz. See "The AOR AR-2700 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October and November 1995.

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW, FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity, attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector. Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400 MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio, otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

AR8200: 1998 vintage. S/N 550004 tested. Portable, high end "super" scanner covers 0.1 - 2040 MHz (minus cellular in USA version). Not a scanner for beginners. Many of the settings are made through the use of menus. Lots of features. 4 AA batteries, steel belt clip, plug-in AM antenna. 1,000 conventional channels in 20 banks, 10 temporary channels, one priority channel. Each memory channel may be programmed with frequency, label, mode, step,

step-adjust, offset, attenuator enable, noise limiter, AFC, and write protect. Multi bank scanning. You can enable a 0 - 9 second rescan delay with each scan group, but not per channel. 40 pairs of search limits with labels. Offset capability for monitoring repeater input frequency. LCD display easy to read. Display and keypad backlit using green LEDs. The band scope. Shortwave reception stone deaf on shortwave when fitted with the supplied antenna or a 19" whip. Seriously overloaded on shortwave by a 132 foot long dipole unless we use the built-in attenuator. Supplied "dorsal fin" antenna for AM BCB. Scans slowly (14 channels/s). Requires more current. Audio quality is slightly above other portable scanners. Computer port. One slot for a single plug-in option: voice inversion descrambler, CTCSS search, 256 frequency tone eliminator, voice recorder and playback, or extended (slow) memory. See AOR AR8200 review by Bob Parnass,in Monitoring Times, October 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

ICOM

R-10: late 1996 vintage (serial number 01048 tested). Shirt pocket size multimode is powered by 4 AA penlight cells. Covers 0.5 to 1300 MHz (minus cellular). NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, AM, and CW detectors included. IF bandwidths are fixed. No 30 kHz bandwidth for satellite or FM military monitoring. Battery saver circuit, with selectable duty cycle. Single VFO and 1000 memory channels. There are 900 memory channels divided into 18 banks of 50 channels each. Another bank of 100 channels used to hold unique frequencies found during an auto store operation. 20 pairs of search limits. Up to 100 frequencies may be locked out during a search and stored in a final bank of 100 channels which is cleared before subsequent auto store searches. Each channel can be programmed with the detector mode (e.g., AM, USB), a tuning step size, an attenuator enable flag, and an 8 character label. CI-V computer interface requires extra cost hardware. 7 segment S-meter. Noise blanker. Good sensitivity. Lighted keypad and display, but keystroke sequences are cumbersome.

Good radio hardware in a handy sized package, but scanning performance unimpressive. Very slow memory scanning at only 6 channels/second. Searches at about 16 steps/second, with little or no worthwhile improvement using the "Signal Navigator" feature in the R10 I tested. Rescan delay cannot be disabled. Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a combination of banks. No squelch for SSB or CW modes. See "ICOM IC-R10 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1997, for review which includes quantitative test results.

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100 channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety-clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the schematic.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks. Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion, First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band.

Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

UNIDEN/BEARCAT AND ELECTRA/BEARCAT

Bearcat III (Electra): 1976 vintage. 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Requires optional front-end circuit board for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands, but only 2 boards can be installed within the radio at the same time. Two crystal filters. No aircraft band coverage nor rescan delay. Front mount speaker sounds good. Strong local oscillator radiation often causes interference with other scanners in the same house.

Bearcat IV (Electra): 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Newer version of Bearcat III but contains front-end circuitry for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. Better selectivity than Bearcat III or 12 due to having three instead of two 10.8 MHz IF filters. No aircraft band coverage. Front mount speaker sounds good. No rescan delay.

Bearcat 5 (Electra): 1979 vintage. Eight channel economy base model covering VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. No track tuning. Black plastic cabinet.

Bearcat 6 (Electra): Six channel VHF-low and VHF-high bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 8 (Electra): Eight channel VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 12 (Electra): 1979 vintage. One of the last decent crystal controlled scanners. 10 channels. Variable scan speed up to 20 ch/sec. Single delay on/off switch. Front mount speaker sounds good. Manual contains schematic. Single conversion, 10.8 MHz IF. Selectivity is poorer than programmable models, like the 300, allowing adjacent channel interference. Covers VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands but no aircraft band coverage. Crystal positions must be arranged by band.

Bearcat 15 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Actually made for Electra by competitor GRE (General Research Electronics). The only Bearcat crystal controlled base/mobile scanner offering 118 - 136 MHz AM aircraft band. 10 channels.

BC101 (Electra): 1975 vintage. First Bearcat synthesized unit. 16 channels, no priority. Frequency programmed in binary by setting toggle switches on front panel after looking up code in code book. No frequency readout. Uses custom IC for CPU, now discontinued, so factory authorized service is no longer available. I have four of these units. DC operation requires optional mobile power supply.

BC100 (Electra): First programmable portable scanner. Be prepared for at least one repair in the first year. Early units, with threaded antenna connector, have high frequency of repair, particularly LCD readout, keyboard, and battery holder. No battery backup. Poor case design in early units caused battery to disconnect from radio, resetting microprocessor and clearing memories. No priority channel or aircraft band. Some people swear by the BC100, others swear at them.

BC100XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Very good 100 channel portable with 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Generous coverage of conventional bands, including commercial aircraft, but no 800 MHz. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on 550 mAH NiCd battery pack.

BC200XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Also sold as BC205XLT through Scanner World. Very good 200 channel version of BC100XLT portable scanner but includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on NiCd battery pack.

BC210 (Electra): 1979 vintage. First Bearcat scanner with a keyboard and numeric display. LED readout. See US patents 4,092,594, granted 5/30/78 and 4,114,103, granted 9/12/78 for further insight.

BC220 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 20 channels. Reasonable number of features but 20 channels doesn't seem enough. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC220XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Very good 200 portable scanner which is a smaller successor to the BC200XLT. Includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Service Search, including WX. Up to 10 frequencies can be locked out during conventional search, 20 frequencies from Service Search. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs, with images 21.6 MHz away. 4 cell BP-120 internal NiCd battery pack. Marketed as BC230XLT with two BP-120 battery packs and CRX-120 dual charger. See "The BC220XLT/BC230XLT

Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1996.

BC20/20 (Electra): 1981 vintage. Similar to BC220 but with 40 channels and different CPU circuitry. A maximum of 20 channels can be scanned at one time. Reasonable number of features. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC230XLT (Uniden): See BC220XLT.

BC235XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Portable with 300 channels in 10 banks. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Very fast scan and search rates. Scans 64 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Service search. Data skip. Triple conversion, 254.4, 10.85, 0.45 MHz IFs. Excellent image rejection and intermod immunity. Fairly good audio. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, July 1997 or an on-line version at http://www.grove-ent.com for a review which includes quantitative test results.

BC250 (Electra): 1976 vintage. 50 channel model, rich in features, but lacks aircraft band and 144-146 MHz. Search and Store feature extremely useful for finding federal frequencies. Clock. High frequency of repair. Power transistors not heat sinked adequately, causing heat damage to surrounding components and circuit board. Failure of Q204 on the feature board known to cause odd display readings. Digital circuitry very sensitive to glitches caused by static and AC line spikes. Avoid 1978 or earlier vintage units. All BC250s use custom ICs (e.g., IC6, a divider chip, mfd. by Exar), which are now discontinued, so factory service is no longer available from Uniden.

BC260 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Super heavy duty metal cabinetry and lit controls, aimed at mobile use for firemen, police, etc. Few frills, only 16 channels, no aircraft, but generous coverage of federal bands omitted in the older Bearcat scanners. Good sensitivity. Lots of audio. Good internal construction. Backlit keyboard allows operation in the dark, but the keyboards on some units require high pressure to operate. Brightness control for display and keyboard, but multiplexor circuitry for vacuum fluorescent display produces audible whine which may be annoying in a quiet room. Backlighting may fail in some units due to poor

contact on connector used to fasten light panel to front circuit board. 9 volt regulator transistor Q28 (TIP29) may fail, causing blank display while leaving audio intact. Method of connecting an external speaker is awkward.

BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100 components changed between earliest and later units. Preset squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board, misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent 4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight.

BC350 (Electra): 1981 vintage. 50 channels in 5 banks. Includes aircraft. Used to be Bearcat's top of the line, overpriced scanner but never very popular. Dual use keyboard and display allowed 8 text characters to be associated with each channel, a feature clumsily implemented, and awkward to use. Units plagued with various hardware problems including bad memory ICs and short life power transformers. Firmware bugs without cures. The BC300 is a much better scanner than the BC350, and at a lower price.

BC760XLT (Uniden): Also sold as BC950XLT through Scanner World. Small, mobile scanner supplied with mounting bracket and wall wart AC power supply. Some of the keys are backlit. 100 channels in 5 banks. Service search. Earlier version has Motorola type antenna jack and AA battery backup. Later version has BNC antenna jack and internal lithium battery. Can be fitted with optional CTCSS decoder. Hyper sensitive and easily overloaded by strong signals, especially when used with outdoor antenna in base applications. Conventional 10.8 MHz IF prone to images. Television audio heard in some places.

BC800XLT (Uniden): 1985 vintage. 40 channels in two banks. Covers 806-912 MHz, as well as of vhf, uhf, and aircraft bands. Receives 10 meter fm and all of 6 meters, as well as federal portions of vhf and uhf bands. Fewer birdies on vhf-lo band than other scanners. Scans and searches very fast. Clean, robust audio output. Extremely sensitive, but very prone to overload by strong signals when connected to outdoor antenna. Too much play (hysteresis) in squelch adjustment - can be improved by changing one resistor. Positive terminal in memory backup battery holder installed

backwards in early units, allowing memory loss when scanner unplugged from AC outlet. Tunes in increments of 12.5 KHz on 800 MHz band, whereas cellular telephones are on 30 KHz channels. See "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

BC860XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. 100 channel table top unit. Can lock out 10 channels during search. Good performer except for images. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC860XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, May 1995.

BC890XLT (Uniden): Same as Radio Shack PRO-2036. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Scans and searches fast. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IF -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

BC895XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Base with 300 channels in 10 banks. Covers military air band, but other frequency coverage is limited compared with the BC9000XLT. Predetermined AM and NFM modes not selectable. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. Weather alert siren. Built-in CTCSS decoder and tone finder. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Test unit scans 85 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Triple conversion, 380.8 or 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz IFs. 800 MHz images in commercial and military air bands attenuated over 35 dB. For technical test results and a review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1997.

BC9000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. High quality base using up-conversion. 500 channels in twenty banks. Up to 250 channels can be programmed with alpha labels. Tuning knob. Selectable AM, NFM, WFM modes. Optional CTCSS decoder. Very fast scan and search. Can lock out 50 channels during search. Delay and attenuator independently programmable for each channel. Effective Auto Store feature stores unique frequencies. Good RF section, but not as robust as PRO-2004/5/6. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, 0.455 MHz. One urban user, located within a couple of blocks of several high power transmitters, reports severe desense problems. For a full review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT," by

Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1995. For tips and modification information, see my column in June 1996 Monitoring Times. See US patents 4,947,456, granted 8/7/90, 5,163,161, granted 11/10/92 and 5,212,817, granted 5/18/93 for further insights into fast scanning and searching implementation.

BC2500XLT (Uniden): 400 channel portable with tuning knob. Plagued by intermod and images. Early units drained batteries quickly even while turned off.

BC3000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Excellent 400 channel portable with 20 banks. Up conversion. Very fast scan, search, and intelligent Auto Store. Mode, attenuator, and delay settings programmable for each channel. 50 frequencies can be locked out during search. Larger speaker than PRO-26 produces better, crisper audio. NiCd pack provides about 5 hours between charges. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1995 and "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

REGENCY

TMR series: First generation crystal scanners. Come in all varieties of band coverage. Models with both UHF and VHF bands must use separate antennas for each band (disadvantage in mobile installations, but can be overcome by connecting two front ends via a capacitor). Not sensitive enough to cover the entire 30 - 50 MHz range in a single model. There three versions of VHF-low band coverage depending on the part of the 30 - 50 MHz band covered (LL, LM. and LH). TMR scanners use unsocketed incandescent bulbs for channel indicators which require periodic replacement. Easy to crystal: Radio Shack crystals work well. TMRs usually $2 and up at hamfests, often in poor condition. Don't pay more than $50, even if mint. Front ends must be tuned for selected portions within the bands for best sensitivity. Wide IF selectivity troublesome in urban/suburban areas. Primitive digital scanning circuitry may become confused at times. but power off/on restores sanity. Replace aging capacitors in the scanning circuits. You can find a TMR8H eight channel high band unit most often.

TME series: The base versions of the TMR models. Both the TME and TMR models share many common circuits but the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common TME model is the TME8 H/LM eight channel dual band scanner in a metal cabinet with wood coloring.

ACTR series: Replaced the Regency TMR units. By the time Regency made the ACTR units, they no longer offered different models for different parts of the 30 - 50 MHz band. Look for the later ACT series, e.g., ACTR-106 (10 channel tri band) or ACTR20/6 (20 channel tri band), used light emitting diodes for channel indicators instead of incandescent bulbs. Available in 1 to 20 channel models - most are multi band receivers. Somewhat wide selectivity. Not all that bad a deal if cheap.

ACTE series: The base versions of the ACTR series. The ACTE and ACTR circuitry is similar, although the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common ACTE model is the ACTE8 H/L eight channel dual band scanner in a black metal cabinet.

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel. User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units. Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature. Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

M400: 30 channel replacement for K500. Service Search, but no aircraft. Easily programmable out of band. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Backlit keyboard good for night viewing and mobile use but generates RFI into nearby SW receivers. A favorite.

MX3000: 30 channel replacement for M400, but basic features only. Nice lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers. Easily programmable out of band, but no aircraft. All 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct

channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Good first scanner.

M100: 10 channel unit. Same as MX3000 except different color and fewer channels. Nicely lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers.

HX1000: Good, fairly rugged, 30 channel handheld synthesized unit. Generous out of band coverage but no AM aircraft coverage. Built by Azden. Very sensitive on UHF, but annoying audio hiss leaks through speaker when squelched. Belt clip chintzy, but can be directly replaced with better clip from Kenwood TR2600A. Like the MX3000, all 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Low discount price makes this best choice for programmable portable.

HX650/H604: 6 channel crystal portable. Likely made by Sanyo. Same as Fannon and Bearcat Thin Scan units, (except that Bearcat has 10.8 MHz IF frequency, and is harder to get crystals for), but scans faster. Small size and common crystals (available at Radio Shack), make this 1st choice for bare bones portable scanner.

Z60: base/mobile sporting 60 channels, but 10 of them are for FM broadcast only. Built in alarm clock, priority, and memory which requires no battery backup. Covers 30 - 50, 88 -108, 118-136, 144-174, 440-512 MHz. Notice that it's not spec'd to cover some important federal bands: 136 - 144 and 406 - 440.

RCA

RP-6150: 1997 vintage. No frills, good performing portable. 200 channels in 10 banks. No military aircraft frequency coverage. Triple conversion and a flexible battery arrangement. Lacks duplicate channel detection, search skip, and empty channel lockout features of newer designs. Our sample isn't super sensitive at 470 - 512 MHz and has some intermod around the VHF railroad channels but is generally more resistant to image problems than the BC230XLT. For technical test results and a review, see "The RCA RP-6150 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1998.

RELM

HS200: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel portable. 10 banks. Built-in CTCSS and DCS decoder. Lighted keypad. Duplicate channel detection. 100 frequencies can be locked out from search. Very good audio and strong front end. Excellent internal shielding. Global rescan delay. Covers CB. Fixed modes and step sizes. IFs are 280.2 and 0.45

MHz, and perhaps one in between. See "The RELM HS-200 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

MS200: 1998 vintage. Made in USA. 200 channel mobile with alpha labels. CTCSS and DCS decoding squelch. Programmable via PC using supplied software. Low contrast, LCD display. Keypad backlit for night programming. Rear panel tape audio jack. Test unit had lots of intermod on VHF-high band. IFs are 280 and 0.45 MHz. See "The RELM MS-200 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1998, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

RADIO SHACK

PRO-24: 1983 vintage. Only 4 channels in this crystal controlled portable. Covers the three basic bands, but no aircraft. Easy to obtain batteries and crystals. Characteristic Radio Shack squelch problem, fixable by changing one resistor. All-plastic case larger than Bearcat Thin Scan and clones. See "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

PRO-26: 1995 vintage. (Not to be confused with a simple 1985 crystal controlled portable bearing the same model number.) Very good portable made by for Radio Shack by Uniden. 200 channels, very fast scan/search. Good display. Covers all TV channels, but spectrum adjacent to cellular phone band is censored which prevents monitoring parts of some trunked systems (e.g., IL State Police district 4). Up to 50 frequencies can be skipped during search. Limited image rejection causes 929 MHz paging interference in 170 MHz range. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, and 5.5/0.455 MHz. Audio suffers from small speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

PRO-30: 16 channel programmable portable with aircraft band. Good frequency coverage. Extra controls on top allow control of SCAN, MANUAL, and PRIORITY functions while worn on belt. Good belt clip. Low audio output. Plastic case prone to break at BNC antenna connector under severe use, vs. metal frame in Regency HX1000. High price, no discounts or sales yet. I had 6 or 7 PRO30s, having to return them several times during the 1 year warranty, although other owners have had little or no trouble. Troubles included oscillation in IF stage, no UHF band reception, case broken around base of antenna connector, etc.

PRO-34: Portable scanner with 200 channels and 800 MHz coverage. Ten "monitor" channels. Operates from AA cells. Slow scanning, low audio output, and chintzy plastic case detract from an otherwise good performance. No decent leather case available from Radio Shack. If you need a portable with 800 MHz, get a Bearcat 200XLT. If you can't get a 200XLT, get a PRO-34.

PRO-43: Very good portable made by GRE. First Radio Shack portable with up conversion, and 222 MHz ham and 225 - 400 MHz military air band coverage. 200 channels, single search range. Small, convenient size. Muffled audio. Speakers in early units known to fail and more powerful speakers were used in later units. 800 MHz band coverage fully restorable in early units by removing diode. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. IF frequencies are specified at 608.005 - 611.2 MHz, 48.5 MHz, and 455 kHz.

PRO-52: 8 channel VHF-Lo/Hi base unit. No UHF band or provision for mobile operation. Good little scanner despite limited frequency coverage and Spartan lack of frills. Crisp squelch action and good audio, helped by a front mounted, vertical speaker.

PRO-60: 1995 vintage. Very good portable made by GRE. 200 channels, single search range. Similar features and same IFs as the PRO-43 it replaced but the PRO-60 has wider frequency coverage and crisper audio. Covers 75 MHz and commercial FM broadcast bands. Improved Direct search can be stepped manually in single steps. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Railfans take note -- the PRO-60 and PRO-43 are subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. Draws 100 mA while scanning. See "The Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, September 1995.

PRO-62: 1994 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Similar to PRO-43 but no military air band, different upper frequency limit, and slightly different IFs. Selectable AM/NFM modes. Better intermod immunity than PRO-43. See "The Radio Shack PRO-62," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1995.

PRO-64: 1997 vintage. Good portable 400 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-62 and has many more features. Selectable AM/NFM modes but fixed step sizes. 10 search banks which can be cascaded. Auto store saves unique frequencies found during a search. Frequencies can be loaded using a computer but optional interface kit not yet available. Can recharge Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, but not AA cells within the scanner. IFs are 257.5, 21.4, and 0.455 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-64,"

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-67: 1997 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-51 and has better image rejection and super fast scanning. Service Search lacks police frequencies. Fixed AM/NFM modes and step sizes. Can lock out up to 20 frequencies during a search. Can recharge AA cells within the scanner. Frequencies adjacent to the cellular phone bands censored so our PRO-67 will not receive 868.9625 or 823.9625 MHz. Intermediate Frequencies are 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-67," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-91: 1998 vintage. Portable made by Uniden for Radio Shack. 150 channels in 5 banks. Can selectively follow conversations in 800 MHz Motorola trunked radio systems. Censors 823.975 - 823.95 and 894.0125 - 896.1 MHz covered by the BC235XLT. Powered by four individual AA alkaline or NiCd batteries. Power save feature. Program key. One priority channel/bank. One search bank. Direct search. Service search omits many police freqs (inc. 460 - 460.5 MHz). While connected to an outdoor antenna, our PRO-91 experiences intermodulation interference in the 161 MHz range from a paging transmitter on 158.1 MHz. IFs near 380.7, 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. See PRO-91 review by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

PRO-2001: Early, single bank 16 channel programmable. Reasonable coverage of the 3 traditional bands, minus aircraft band. LED digital display as well as an LED per channel. Mechanical lockout switch for each channel. Delay is either on or off for all channels at a time. High synthesizer noise level. Troublesome plated through holes on digital board in some units renders radio virtually unfixable. Could never get mine to work more than a few days in a row; always another bad connection. Some owners have no trouble.

PRO-2003: Radio Shack's 1986 top of line. 50 channels + 10 FM commercial broadcast band channels. Includes aircraft. Good frequency coverage and functionality, but at a high price. Poor human engineering: difficult to read keyboard makes the PRO-2003 hard to operate unless in a well lit room. Keyboard label coloring improved on newer units. Rather slow scan rate and high price. Although there are provisions for 12VDC operation, the cabinet shape and lack of mounting bracket makes mobile operation impractical. Scan rate only 8 channels/sec vs. 15/sec in Regency and

Bearcat. Causes RFI: Plastic case permits scanner to radiate signals into nearby receivers.

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan. Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

PRO-2005: 1989 vintage. Essentially a size reduced PRO-2004 with surface mount components and 400 channels. Some people think the small knobs, smaller display, and plastic cabinet are a setback from the 2004. The smaller size, real rubber keyboard, and vertical front panel make it easer to use mobile, although the keyboard is not backlit. More sensitive than the PRO-2004 but 800 MHz signals leak through into the commercial aero band. Highly recommended. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2005 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, June 1989, and RCMA Journal, August 1989.

PRO-2006: 1990 vintage. Essentially the same as PRO-2005 but scans faster. Probably the best scanner made to date. Highly recommended. See "The Realistic PRO-2006," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1990.

PRO-2021: Base/mobile scanner. 200 channels in 10 banks, LCD display and raised rubber keys. Lots of memory but scans too slowly and lacks 800 MHz. Ten "monitor" channels. Radio Shack seemed to have an overstock of 2021s as they were on sale for such a long time. Close out price dipped to about $200, which made it a nice scanner for beginners.

PRO-2022: Base/mobile scanner. Like the PRO-2021 but includes the 800 MHz band. Somewhat prone to intermod in the 460 MHz range. Cellular images throughout the 847.6 - 869 MHz range. See September 1996 Monitoring Times for modifications.

PRO-2035: 1995 vintage wide coverage base made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Poor

dynamic range. 10 linkable search banks. Dumb Auto Store feature stores the same frequencies over and over. Wastes time scanning empty channels. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2035 - How Does It Compare With the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, January 1995.

PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2037: 1994 vintage. Triple conversion all plastic base scanner made by GRE. Home version of the PRO-62 portable but with more intermod. 200 channels in 10 banks, one search bank, selectable AM and FM. Uses up conversion circuitry but inadequate front end filtering still allows images, though not as bad as in 10.7 or 10.8 MHz IF circuits. Crisp, respectable audio given the top mounted speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2037," by Bob Parnass, in July 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2040: late 1995 vintage 100 channel base made by Uniden. Fair performance, but minimal image rejection. Local oscillator harmonics account for spurious responses, e.g., reception of cellular telephone calls in the 460 MHz UHF-high band. Scans over 40 channels/sec. Can lock out up to 50 channels from search. "Soft" on/off power switching via keypad. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2040," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1995.

PRO-2042: 1996 version of the wide coverage PRO-2035 base with improved firmware and amber display. Made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. NFM selectivity is still a problem but improved over PRO-2035. 10 linkable search banks. Smart Auto Store feature stores the unique frequencies. Can lock out up to 200 frequencies from search. Query facility indicates if and in what channel a frequency is programmed. Scan skips over empty channels automatically. Can sort memories by frequency within a given bank. IFs are 609 - 612, 58.05, and 10.7/0.455 MHz. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Overall, a top grade scanner and second only to Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2042," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1996.

PRO-2045: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel base/mobile made for Radio Shack by Uniden. Tuning/channel selector knob. 10 banks, selectable attenuator, and rescan delay.

CTCSS-capable with optional board. Great Auto Store. Weather alert. Scans and searches fast. Can lock out 50 frequencies during search. Step sizes fixed but AM and NFM modes are selectable. IFs are 380.7, 10.7, and 0.455 MHz. Images on VHF-high band despite high 1st IF. Some intermod on review unit. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2045," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2046: 1996 vintage 100 channel mobile made by Uniden with fairly good performance. Descendent of the BC760XLT but no CTCSS option available. Ten banks of ten channels. Scans memory at about 34 channels/sec. Service search, direct search, and band search. Preset search limits by band and user cannot program the search limits. Image rejection is minimal, as one would expect from a scanner with IFs of 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. 10 Monitor memory channels. Can lock out up to 20 channels from a search. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2046," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2050: 1998 vintage 300 channel Motorola trunk system tracking base made by Uniden. Tight front end. Excellent performance. Chassis-less, plastic construction. Squelch and volume knobs too close and Manual key is hard to find. IFs are 380.7 (approx.), 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. AM and NFM modes are factory set and not selectable. 300 channels in 10 banks and a 2 second rescan delay may be programmed on a per channel basis. Query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Our PRO-2050 scans at 78 channels/sec., skipping over empty channels. 10 priority channels. Up to 50 frequencies may be locked out from limit search. A single pair of limits may be programmed for a limit search. No Direct key or direct search facility. Factory preprogrammed frequencies for police, fire/emergency, commercial air, public service, and weather, but not marine. See May 1998 Monitoring Times for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SONY

SC1PC: 1998 vintage. Good RF and audio performance. Covers 25 - 1300 MHz, minus cellular phone. Selectable step size and mode. Computer control and downloading with software and PC cable provided. Powered by ordinary AA batteries. Provided CDROM includes both the scanner control program and the multi million record PerCon FCC license database. 10 banks ("pages" in SONY parlance) of 30 channels each. Per-channel 2 second rescan delay. Unlike the ICOM IC-R10, you can scan any combination of memory banks. You can lock out

("skip") memory channels from scanning. The frequency stored in a skipped channel will also be skipped during searches. One priority channel checked every 5 seconds. SONY's limit search is restricted to one pair of search limits, though computer control expands this to 20 linkable pairs. Band search lets you search through 17 bands programmed at the factory. Service search. A cache, termed Intelligent Memory, stores the last 10 unique frequencies that were active for longer than 5 seconds each. IFs (in MHz) are 600 (below 370 MHz), 232.5 (above 370 MHz), 45.0, and 0.455. See "The SONY SC1PC," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1998, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SPORTYS

JD-100: 1997 vintage. Limited 20 channel scanner which covers civil and military air bands only. Good audio. Large display shows frequency only out to 2 digits. Slow scanning and odd keystroke sequences. No key press confirmation beep. Cannot lock out channels from memory scan. Powered by AA batteries. Battery saver circuit. See "Sportys JD-100 Air Scan," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, November 1997.

CRAIG (division of PIONEER)

4530: Japanese 10 channel crystal controlled 3 band unit. Also available under Plectron name but in different cabinet. No aircraft band. Deluxe features like priority, trimmer capacitors for netting each channel, front panel speaker, and rugged metal cabinet make this unit a winner. Channel lockout slide switches have finite life. Replacing burned out incandescent channel lamps not fun. Grab a 4530 if you find one in good condition.

SONAR

Sonar made several crystal controlled scanners and channelized monitor receivers which shared the same RF circuitry. Each radio was housed in the same size metal case and worked on both 120 VAC and 12 VDC. The models differed in the number of channels, the band coverage, and whether individual channels could be locked out.

Although they used a 10.7 MHz first IF, Sonar radios require parallel resonant crystals different from the common series resonant crystals used in Regency and Radio Shack scanners. Some Radio Shack crystals will work in Sonar units, but more often they oscillate a few kHz off frequency and are unsuitable unless changes are made to the oscillator circuitry. Therefore, beginners should avoid these radios.

Typical Sonar squelch has long time constant causing a long noise burst at the end of each transmission.

FR-104, FR-105: 6 channel monitor receivers covering 25 - 50 MHz or 150 - 175 MHz. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning. Early models are identified by an 11 pin connector on the rear and they could be used with an optional NiCd battery pack and charger which bolted to the rear panel. Later models used Cinch Jones connectors with flat contacts. Optional tone decoder board.

FR-2512, FR-2513: 24 channel versions of the FR-104 and FR-105 monitor receivers. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning.

FR-2514, FR-2515: 8 channel scanners. Channel 1 priority. Recommend you avoid these models as there is no way to lockout a channel from the scan sequence.

FR-2526, FR-2526, FR-2528: 10 channel scanners covering various combinations of 3 bands. Each channel could be locked out. Channel 1 priority.

Sonar Model	#chan- nels	VHF low	VHF high	UHF scans?	lock- outs?
FR104	6	X		no	
FR105	6	X		no	
FR2512	24	X		no	
FR2513	24	X		no	
FR2514	8	X		yes	no
FR2515	8	X		yes	no
FR2516	10	X		yes	yes
FR2517	10	X		yes	yes
FR2525	10	X		yes	yes
FR2526	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2527	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2528	10	X	X	yes	yes

Table 1. Summary of Sonar base/mobile monitor and scanner receivers

TENNELEC

Manufactured the first synthesized scanners. See US patents 3,961,261 and 3,962,644, granted 6/1/76 and 6/8/76 respectively. Company went out of business several years ago. Schematics and parts difficult to obtain. Radios reputed to be poor performers. Got my MS-2 and MCP-1 basket cases for free and sometimes regret taking them. Not worth fixing unless you have access to DTL/RTL chips and circuit diagrams.

Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their transistor Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by portable pagers, and are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola Alert crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel programmable scanner. These radios are fixed channel units and do not scan. They can be powered form 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord.

The audio quality on a Plectron P1 or 700 series is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity and intermod immunity is outstanding if aligned properly. Better image rejection than most scanners (see article by Bob Parnass in Monitoring Times, January 1996 for comparison). The Plectron 500 series is less desirable. The Motorola Alert monitors are pretty good, although the audio lacks bass.

No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, and there were versions optimized for each portion of the band. There were different "split" models to cover segments of VHF-hi band, too. Although UHF versions were made, they are somewhat rare.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency. Too, the P1 and R700 require different crystals.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Repair service for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Woodson Electronics
Plectron Place
P.O. Box 960
Imboden, Arkansas 72434

telephone 1-(501)869-7985

Some replacement parts are currently available from Federal Signal in University Park, IL, phone (800)524-3021. For more information, see "Add a Crystal Receiver to Your Arsenal," by Bob Parnass, January 1996 Monitoring Times.

Custom Color Map & Report
of Transmitter Sites Near You

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $4.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

How to Obtain Copies of Patents

Printed copies of US patents may be purchased for $3 each, but you must know the patent number. Credit card orders are taken over the telephone by the Assistant Commissioner for Patents at (703)305-4350. Alternatively, you can mail a check or money order, made payable to "The Assistant Commissioner for Patents" to

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

For more information about the US Patent and Trademark Office, call their automated information message system toll-free at (800)786-9199.

There are various patent servers on the world wide web, including IBM's server:

http://patent.womplex.ibm.com

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1667. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <77o9sp$5eq@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 131258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: January 5, 1999. |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire
engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains,

taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a presidential candidate discuss strategy with his advisor from a 415 MHz radiophone in Air Force 1, or a team of G-men protect him while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip

using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902.

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some of the newer models, like the Uniden SportCat and Radio Shack PRO-2046, allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $2000 Icom R8500 and AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT (a/k/a BC205XLT), BC220XLT, BC230XLT, and Radio Shack PRO-43 and PRO-60 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well and are my current favorites.

Looking for value? At $180 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT base is a good value and available at most Wal-Mart stores. The RELM HS200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $250 street price.

Considered by many as the best scanner ever made, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2006 was replaced by the 1000 channel PRO-2035, which was replaced by the PRO-2042. The portable Radio Shack PRO-64 and PRO-60 are good performers. All five models employ up conversion and are made for Radio Shack by GRE (General Research Electronics).

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS (Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas. The Austin Condor is available from Grove Enterprises.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for

extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A (available from Amateur Electronic Supply) or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove-ent.com

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is http://www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is http://www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. By federal regulation, new scanner models cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/hamradio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then

copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

For information on "virtual downconverter" modifications, see Steve Donnell's article in January 1995 RCMA Scanner Journal or February 1996 Monitoring Times. Reprints of articles from Monitoring Times are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from:

Grove Enterprises,
7540 Highway 64 West,
Brasstown,
NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200.
Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155.

I don't get any part of this reprint fee.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are | located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins

Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain abbreviated business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 828-837-9200, www.grove.net) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. Early issues disappointed experienced scanner hobbyists, but it now has a good column on scanner modifications and has greatly improved its coverage of east coast frequencies since merging with NESN (North East Scanner News). The best scanner frequency

lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM for $100. In the 1995 edition, fields are different than PerCon's Spectrum CDROM but the data appears to be of the same vintage. The licensee name and licensee city are used instead of the DBA (doing business as) name and transmitter city which makes query results confusing.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the

scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Peter Laws administers an electronic mail list read by over 700 hobbyists devoted to scanners and scanning. It's called "scan-l" and for more information, read:

http://www.wildstar.net/~plaws/scan/scan-l.txt

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

All Ohio Scanner Club

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about 4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published in the CARMA newsletter, published 6 times a year. You can obtain an electronic subscription to the CARMA Newsletter. For more info, see:

http://www.TheRamp.net/shabec/carma.htm

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

All 1999 meetings (except August) will be held on Saturdays at Phillies in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83 and 53rd St. in Willowbrook,

The 1999 meeting schedule is: Febuary 6, April 3, June 5, August 7 (at Argonne National Labs), October 2, and December 4.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1668. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <77o9t0$5er@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 131259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed May 27, 1998

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly
"Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine,
published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this
article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?"
This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies
is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional
volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and

larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's book, Monitor America, published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's book has been collected from listeners over the years. It

is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM for $49 instead.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists. You can look up civilian aero frequencies for specific airports at: http://www.airnav.com/airports/.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'. (See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio,

or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at

the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was

permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band

You can often determine a transmitter's frequency band by the size and type of antenna used.

The Police Call Plus book contains sketches of antennas. Antenna catalogs are crammed full with antenna pictures and specifications and they are usually free. Contact Antenna Specialists (216-349-8400), Cushcraft (603-627-7877), Tessco (800-472-7373), Maxrad, Larsen Electronics (800-426-1656), and other companies in the land mobile equipment business.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and

store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi

antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the "instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the customer training center for a large computer manufacturer. The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities to accommodate students from out of state. As a convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their transmissions properly, making it more difficult for listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (828)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--
==========

Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1669. Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ArtYs <artyak@altavista.net>
Message-ID: <77ocg2$8j7$1@readme.online.no>
Source record: 131260

In some countries it's not legal to use scanners. Is it possible to be tracked down and caught by simply listen in?

A.

1670. WTB PLECTRON R8000 SERIES LOW BAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115163945.03689.00001675@ng61.aol.com>
Source record: 131261

LOOKING FOR A PLECTRON R-8000 SERIES LOW-BAND (46.32) MHZ TO BE
EXACT......ITS THE BLACK ONE WITH 3 BUTTONS AND 2 KNOBS....KEVIN

1671. Re: Freq in Norway?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369FAD68.2030@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131262

ArtYs wrote:
>
> Anybody know where to get a list of frequencies in Norway?
> Please let me know.
>
> Art

Check out the following URL:

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/4607/

1672. Re: need frequencies for Charlotte, NC/Mecklenburg County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbirdsand@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115165243.17459.00001604@ng42.aol.com>
Source record: 131263

Charlotte,and Mecklenburg county are on an 800 mhz trunked system.NC highway patrol runs 42.38,42.58,and 154.68.Also try 156.165 for county fire.

1673. Re: Battery Alternatives for BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Davis" <artellad@hitter.net>
Message-ID: <369FB6CC.7DBB@hitter.net>
Source record: 131264

Jon, If you have any control over metrowest, I wish you would get them to stop continuing out cookies during the entire download. i don't allow cookies to be set so it very frustrating to keep getting them. Art.

1674. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <tfPn2.69$64.694@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 131265

No.

ArtYs wrote in message <77ocg2$8j7$1@readme.online.no>...
>In some countries it's not legal to use scanners. Is it possible to be
>tracked down and caught by simply listen in?
>
>A.
>
>

1675. Re:Charlotte

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbirdsand@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115173522.17459.00001638@ng42.aol.com>
Source record: 131266

EMS is also on 462.950

1676. Florida Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobs@icdc.com
Message-ID: <36a3c0d0.20014726@news2.icdc.com>
Source record: 131267

I'm planning a trip to Florida in March and need to know if a Trunktracker scanner would be advantageous. I'll be spending most of the 3 weeks slowly working my way up the west coast (Naples to north of Clearwater). I'm aware that much of Florida has gone trunked but need to know if a majority of the agencies are Motorola. Any other thoughts would be appreciated.

thanks.....bob

1677. White House Freq's....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Choco Boco <thumbs@meow.net>
Message-ID: <77ogfq$2re$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131268

I was thiinking about going to the White House and taking my scanner along so I can listen to the real bullshit that goes on there. Does anyone have a list of frequesncies so I can sell the tapes to Hard Copy?

Choco

1678. Re: Watch out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990115175427.29123.00001125@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 131269

>They were never charged with any Federal crimes, only with Florida State
>wiretapping.
>

No, they were prosecuted in the US District Court for the Northern District of Florida. That is federal, not state. Also, the fines were $5,000, with $4,500 suspended provided they not commit another infraction. And, btw, it is the FCC & Justice Department's policy to prosecute these offenses as infractions and not as misdemeanors or felonies when they are a first offense.

As for the aide to the gov. of Virginia, you're right, he should have been prosecuted but was not.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

1679. Y2K File (funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <77ojcbtuf1@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131270

I'm hoping that this will make people smile!

Y2K INFORMATION

We are currently building a data center that will contain all agency data that is Year 2000 compliant. The program is referred to as the "Millennia Year Application Software System" (MYASS).

Next Monday at 9:00 there will be a meeting in which I will show MYASS to everyone. We will continue to hold demonstrations throughout the month so that all employees will have an opportunity to get a good look at MYASS.

As for the status of the implementation of the program, I have not addressed the networking aspects so currently only one person at a time can use MYASS. This restriction will be removed after MYASS expands.

Several people are using the program already and have come to depend on it. Just this morning I walked into a subordinate's office and was not surprised to find that he had his nose buried in MYASS. I've noticed that some of the less technical personnel are somewhat afraid of MYASS.

Just last week, when asked to enter some information into the program, I had a secretary say to me "I'm a little nervous, I've never put anything in MYASS before." I volunteered to help her through her first time and when we were through she admitted that it was relatively painless and she was actually looking forward to doing it again. She went so far as to say that after using SAP and Oracle, she was ready to kiss MYASS.

I know there are concerns over the virus that was found in MYASS upon initial installation, but I am pleased to say the virus has been eliminated and we were able to save MYASS. In the future, however, protection will be required prior to entering MYASS.

We planned this database to encompass all information associated with the business. So as you begin using the program, feel free to put anything you want into MYASS. As MYASS grows larger, we envision a time when it will be commonplace to walk by an office and see a manager hand a paper to an employee and say "Here, stick this in MYASS."

This program has already demonstrated great benefit to the company during recent OSHA and EPA audits. After requesting certain historical data the agency representatives were amazed at how quickly we provided

the information. When asked how the numbers could be retrieved so rapidly our Environmental Manager proudly stated "Simple, I just pulled them out of MYASS."

1680. Re: Georgia Highway Patrol Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <77ojhvtuf2@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131271

patlanta@patlanta.com (Larry Colton) wrote, and I quote:

>Does anyone know the frequencies the Georgia Highway Patrol uses? Especially
>between Atlanta and Macon?

154.6800 is GSP Dispatch
154.8000 is GSP Mobile
154.9050 is Intrastate
154.9350 is Intrastate
155.9100 is Metro GSP

But you can just about throw all that out as they are in the process
of switching to Southery Linc. GSP Atlanta has all but disappeared
from the airwaves.

/\/\|k.e

Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems
www.mindspring.com/~mm505

1681. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <77ojh7bn1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 131272

Talkyal wrote in message <19990115085922.14207.00001280@ng143.aol.com>...
.....on going trunked.
>I really wonder if someday: air comms, train comms, boat comms , fire and
>emergency comms, and all police comms will eventually be all trunked (and then
>digital).
>
>talkyal

Next we'll have trunked 900 MHz cordless telephones ;^)

1682. Re: White House Freq's....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <369FD43B.980893AD@mich.com>
Source record: 131273

Moron wrote:

> I was thiinking about going to the White House and taking my scanner along
> so I can listen to the real bullshit that goes on there. Does anyone have a
> list of frequesncies so I can sell the tapes to Hard Copy?

The media already has the freqs, and has had many of the busy ones for decades -- that's about 50% of the reason many of the channels are actually encrypted -- not cuz of the Ruskies, not because of Joe Scannergeek, but because of what often considered the biggest threat -- the media.

Curiously though (yes, I'm being facetious), the media never tends to make a story out of themselves monitoring WH related comms, but has & will feign surprise & make a big story out of a good or bad hobbyist-type doing it,

If more people targetted the media for SIGINT, they'd realize that the mainstream media is just as corrupt/inept as the mainstream media often gleefuly demonstrates governments to be!

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1683. FS: Pro34 service manual & CRB Scanner mod book

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <369FD3C6.C3E21D43@bv.net>
Source record: 131274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a Pro34 (cat #20-135/9135) Service Manual, sell for $20

ALSO, CRB research Scanner Mod Book
Mods for:2004/5/6/34/2021/BC-950/bc200/205xlt/bc760xlt... $20

1684. Anyway to unlock cell freq's on AOR-8200B?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <369fd1e4.438267765@news.voicetek.com>
Source record: 131275

I've looked all over the net and can't find any mod info on the 8200B.

Anyone have any ideas?

Thanks,
Mike

1685. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <369fd63e.439381246@news.voicetek.com>
Source record: 131276

On 15 Jan 1999 01:11:53 GMT, frankarena@aol.com (FrankArena) wrote:

>I have been on the air with all kinds of raidos, for many years, and some of
>the best sounding raidos wer Raido shack!

Which one's?! Not trying to be sarcastic, I'm just starting out and
the amount of information available is staggering!

Thanks,
Mike

1686. Re: Florida Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369FDAD7.1B6F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131277

Bob Schoenleber wrote:
>
> I'm planning a trip to Florida in March and need to know if a
> Trunktracker scanner would be advantageous. I'll be spending most of
> the 3 weeks slowly working my way up the west coast (Naples to north
> of Clearwater). I'm aware that much of Florida has gone trunked but
> need to know if a majority of the agencies are Motorola. Any other
> thoughts would be appreciated.
>
> thanks.....bob

Hi Bob,

Have a look at the following WEB pages. They contain info on all
of the Trunked Radio Systems in Florida:

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/fl.html
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/fl.htm
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/fl.html
http://ns4.swl.net/orlandotrunking/

Bob

1687. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <JGRn2.79$64.862@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 131278

Glenn P Caron wrote in message <369FE3DF.C8CBFD03@worldnet.att.net>...
>As a radio reciever emits no RF power, there is no way to track one down.

Tell that to people who've had radar detectors confiscated courtesy of a VG-1. However the same principle might not be practical for detecting a scanner in a home.

or would it?

Rob Lang

1688. FA on eBay: PRO-39 handheld scanner. Last day.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: RICHARD <RickJohnson@ij.net>
Message-ID: <R3kjI8OQ#GA.177@rejz.ij.net>
Source record: 131279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Auction ends Saturday evening for the PRO-39 handheld scanner. Will scan the cell phone frequencies with the proper 30 kHz steps. NOTE: Listening to cell phones is not permitted in the USA. For more information about this scanner, or to enter a bid, click on this link>>>
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=55410893

1689. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <DXSn2.9121$XY6.219172@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 131280

Easily? No. Possibly? Yes. Scanners emit radio signals from the local
oscillator, and while it's not as easily related to the received frequency
on a synthesized modern scanner as it was on older crystal controlled
scanners, it CAN be detected, and tracked. Range of oh, a block or so,
practially, though it can radiate farther, depending on your antenna.

ArtYs <artyak@altavista.net> wrote in message
news:77ocg2$8j7$1@readme.online.no...
>In some countries it's not legal to use scanners. Is it possible to be
>tracked down and caught by simply listen in?
>
>A.
>
>

1690. Re: Silent Key List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gareth Crispell <gareth@capecod.net>
Message-ID: <369FFC2C.149979F8@capecod.net>
Source record: 131281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

k9kz@indy.net wrote:
>
> Does anyone know of a web site that has a complete list of "silent keys"?
> Bill K9KZ
> k9kz@indy.net
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

What the heck. I think it is a darn good question. It would be nice
to put a little note in the old logbook..." this guy is dead... or
... that YL passed the fort". It is good to keep track of such
things.

de N1MSV

Gareth http://www.capecod.net/~gareth/n1msv.htm

as the sun is setting, it is also rising....

1691. Re: RAT SHACK pro64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <77ovjm$27t$1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 131282

Do any RS scanners currently available have computer link? I can't seem to
find one with that feature.
Brian Denley

DAB wrote in message <01be3f40$69151a00$0ef377ce@dfsfs>...
>Pro-64, 400 memory channels, fast as hell, with computer link.
>Use it with an Access database and it works great. Radio shack did OK.
>
>daburroughs@hotmail.com
>
>
>
>Jack <kryppy@bellsouth.net> wrote in article
><36ab07fc.113098065@news.mia.bellsouth.net>...
>> On Tue, 12 Jan 1999 16:10:04 -0500, LAS <monkey@yayaya.com> wrote:
>>
>> >STAY AWAY FROM RS SCANNERS UNLESS YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THROWING AWAY
>> >MONEY.
>> >
>> >THEY'RE TRASH, CRAP. NOTHING BUT HYPE! MASS MARKETING WITH LOTS OF
>> >PRETTY PICTURES.
>> >
>> >INTERNALLY THEY ARE TOTAL SHIT! IMD OUT THE ASS, SPURIOUS HARMONICS,
>> >FRONT END OL...PLASTIC CASES.
>> >
>> >BASICALLY THEY ARE MADE FOR THE CASUAL LISTENER WHO ONLY WANTS TO HEAR
>> >COPS, FIRE AND THE OCCAISIONAL CORDLESS PHONE.
>> >
>> >DON'T BE MISLED...IF YOU WANT A SCANNER, SAVE YOUR LOOT TIL YOU CAN
>> >AFFORD SOMETHING THAT ACTUALLY WORKS WELL!
>>
>>
>> I knew you were on DRUGS!
>> Radio Shack doesn't even make scanners!
>> They re-label scanners!
>> I suppose you have a BC-235XLT and
>> think it is the greatest right...lol
>> 26.218640/-080.237551
>> http://broward.home.ml.org
>>

1692. Re: Florida Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <36A00B32.D6361871@globe-net.net>
Source record: 131283

Bob,

This link shows which counties are Motorola or EDACS:

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/fl.htm

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Bob Schoenleber wrote:

> I'm planning a trip to Florida in March and need to know if a
> Trunktracker scanner would be advantageous. I'll be spending most of
> the 3 weeks slowly working my way up the west coast (Naples to north
> of Clearwater). I'm aware that much of Florida has gone trunked but
> need to know if a majority of the agencies are Motorola. Any other
> thoughts would be appreciated.
>
> thanks.....bob

1693. Re: New Grove Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <06E5C0F9AE79714B.6AA92883843D83E1.FBFA338C34318BFA@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 131284

Last week I sent 4 email inquiries to order@grove.net and failed
to get a response. Sorta blew my image of grove as a first-class outfit.

Roger D. Cravens, Jr. wrote:
>
> I happened to be surfing the web the other day and happened to
> notice that Grove Enterprises has setup their new catalog on-line.
>
> The address is: www.grove-end.com
>
> Order something online will save a lot of time if you're in a hurry
> for something.
>
> I thought it was a good idea anyway!
>
> **
> * Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
> * John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
> **
> * Roger Cravens *
> * South East Signals Intelligence Group *
> * Atlanta, GA *
> **
> * Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
> **

1694. Re: FS: RS 2021 100ch scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <77p356$m3s$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 131285

Maybe a 2026

Barun Gupta wrote in message <369FE0FD.4485B21@worldnet.att.net>...
>Joe,
>
>Are you sure this is a PRO-2021? The PRO-2021 has 200 channels and does
>not have the 800MHz band at all.
>
>
>Joe Gregg wrote:
>>
>> For sale, Pro 2021 100 channel mobil scanner with wall wart pwr pack.
>>
>> covers 800Mhz cell blocked.
>>
>> $100 shipped

1695. Re: Freqs Elyria, Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanman99@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77mbuk$7uk$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131336

In article <19990105122712.20054.00006668@ng118.aol.com>,
zoe205@aol.com (ZOE205) wrote:
> try these two ohio scanner sites, if you can't find it here, it ain't out
> there.
>
> http://eng.utoledo.edu/~jpryor/scanner/main.html
>
> http://w3.gwis.com/~scanman
>
> Good luck!
>
>
also try

http://www.qsl.net/kb8vju

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1696. Re: How does 900Mhz increase range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <77meklaak1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131337

The 900 MHZ cordless phones have a greater range due primarily to using much higher power (some in excess of 1 watt) than 46 MHz cordless phones. Higher frequency signals are also able to fit into smaller metal structures than lower ones. For example, a cell phone would work better in a building with metal support beams than 27MHZ CB signals. Overall though, higher frequency signals are absorbed more by most objects and therefore have a smaller range. For example, VHF TV stations (2-13) generally have greater range than UHF ones (14-69).

In article <36cad503.36594426@news.cyberhighway.net>,
glenq@cyberhighway.net wrote:
> "Giff" <kmgifford@ucdavis.edu> wrote:
>
> >KB9TMP- What are you talking about? 900mhz signals don't bounce! They PASS
> >through solids MUCH MORE READILY than 46-49 mhz
> >
> >Ask any HAM, What "bounces" better, 6 meter (50mhz) or 23 centimeter....
>
> You have no idea what you're talking about.
>
> >WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@usa.net> wrote in article
> ><77edt7$6bj$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>...
> >>
> >> Vijay S. Bajwa wrote in message <369A4E69.15FB@iscp.bellcore.com>...
> >> >How is it possible for a 900Mhz cordless phone (analog or
> >
> >>
> >> The 900MHz has more range than the 44 - 49MHz phones due to the fact that
> >900MHz is more reflective and will bounce off buildings
> >> and other objects where the 44 - 49MHz doesn't "bounce" as well. Also the
> >digital gives you a
>
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1697. Info. on (MURA) SWR meter wanted...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990114190937.15074.00001003@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 131338

Mura (model CBM-40) SWR, POWER, MOD. Meter

Hello and thanks for reading.
Can somebody tell me who made this meter and what are they worth?
It's a pretty nice little unit with SWR, Power(10-100 watts), modulation, and field strength meter with telescoping antenna.
I just wanted a little info. on the unit, plus if you happen to have the owner/operator manual that you could send me a copy.
Please E-mail me direct at...

TRAMD300@aol.com

Thank you...
:-)You start to DIE the minute your BORN (-:
:-)Enjoy The Rides(-:

1698. Re: PRO-90 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369E8852.9BC75A6A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131339

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Robert wrote in message <369924EE.F0ABAFAC@globe-net.net>...
> >I have put my PRO-90 up for sale. Anyone interested can
> >get the details at this link:
> >
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=55620412
> >
>
> $192.50, what a bargain... save a whole $6.49 off the MSRP
>
> -Phil

That's Ebay for ya, rip-off city for the unwary.
It should be banned from this newsgroup.

Jon

1699. Re: Antenna Mount Recommendations For Jeep Grand Cherokee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <77m1tu$fnh@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131340

A through the glass antenna should work. I've installed them
and then removed and remounted them with no problems. You
simply get new tape each time. After you remove the antenna
and clean the glass no one will ever know that it was there.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Kstoudt@ibm.net wrote in message <77l3no$55m$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Greetings
>
>Looking for any recommendations on a antenna mount for a Jeep Grand
Cherokee.
>I have a company car that is leased and according to our contracts we can't
>add any external antennas both drilled or magnetic, since it will cause
>discoloration... So that leaves me with the rear hatchback. any ideas.
>
>Thanks
>Kurt
>
>Kstoudt@ibm.net
>Kstoudt@yahoo.com
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1700. Uniden BC 245

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kegan30317@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990114194124.25387.00000914@ng39.aol.com>
Source record: 131341

Was there to be an update on the announcement of the TT 245 by Uniden. Has anyone seen it posted here?

1701. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: frankarena@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990114201153.02016.00000908@ng08.aol.com>
Source record: 131342

Check out Raido shack befor you buy!
Theay are the best for the money!!!
Just cause theay have the raido shack name, doesnt mean that theay are a bad raido!
Buy one and try it! if you dont like it, you have 30 days to return it!
I have been on the air with all kinds of raidos, for many years, and some of the best sounding raidos wer Raido shack!

1702. Latin-American frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: valerie65@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77mg6jbfg1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131343

Anyone know any? Or any sites where they can be found? Specifically, Argentina, Brazil, Uruguay, Chile? Or perhaps some information about using scanners in those areas?

Thanks,
Valerie

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1703. Re: Cloning Digital Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <369e9d2c.5623681@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 14 Jan 1999 19:07:07 GMT, chuck@chucks2way.com (Chuck) wrote:

>Hello Everyone,
>
>I picked up a Nokia Model 2180 Digital Cellphone, and I have Ameritech
>Clearpath Cellphone service, Now what I wanna know, is this phone
>"clone"-able??? In other words, is it possible for someone to listen
>in on my conversations and/or grab my ESN and clone the phone.
>
>Now... before you fire out your Replys/flames/smart comments..
>(hehehe) send them, private e-mail.... as I don't read this newsgroup
>often.

If it is capable of analog mode it is vulnerable to sniffing during
non digital sessions..
I'm sure the right service monitor could grab it right out of digital
air..you would think..?

26.218640/-080.237551
http://broward.home.ml.org

1704. Picture or diagram of Pro-2045 discriminator tap mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ETD
Message-ID: <369ea3a8.5241352@news.icehouse.net>
Source record: 131345

Anyone have a good picture or diagram of this mod??

Thanks!!

1705. FA: ICOM IC-R1 Hand held scanner with CELLULAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: brandddd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990114223314.24365.00000992@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 131346

e-bay: 55758993: ICOM IC-R1 Hand Scanner with CELLULAR
Auction ends on: 01/17/99 18:32:04 PST
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=55758993

1706. Re: OT:Apology dosn`t work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@some.com
Message-ID: <77mcq3$s0l$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 131347

shut up charlie head!

In article <01be3e89$5a4ba0a0$29dd0ad0@default>, cdwenger@hsonline.net
says...
>
>Mr. Wirehead,
> Thank you very much for the report. I`ve seen it, but I didn`t do the
>math.
>
> Is Mackey Mouse the problem here?
>
> YUP!
>
> See him later. PLONK
>--
>Happy Scanning,
>Charlie
>
> I didn`t do it.
> Nobody saw me do it.
>You can`t prove anything!
>
>Mr. WireHead <wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote in article
><369B410D.62FF5A63@pacbell.net>...
>> John - KAOSSF wrote:
>>
>> > Regarding myself, I have NEVER flamed or harassed on this NG. Rather,
>> > I have been the TARGET OF flaming & harassment. Notice a recent
>> > messages
>> > I posted here congratulating the NG on a new year & mentioned NO
>> > SPECIFIC
>> > posts or people causing problems, yet I was the target of flames
>> > & accusations based on fabrication.
>>
>> Yeah Right ...
>>
>> R E A L I T Y C H E C K
>

1707. Re: CONGRATULATIONS!!!!!! A victorious 1998 & good start on 1999

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@some.com
Message-ID: <77mcus$s0l$2@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 131348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

shut up turd head

In article <#Zzu7ntO#GA.321@upnetnews03>, tracey12@hotbot.com says...
>
>WHAT A TURD!

1708. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990114225045.25390.00000957@ng39.aol.com>
Source record: 131349

>From: "dan baxter" <dandeb11@earthlink.net>

>any 800 mhz scanner can get the trunked freqs. that is not a valid point

True. But, if you want to tire yourself out manually following the trunk groups around with your fancy Yupi's or AOR's, fine with me. I'll simply sit back with my cup of coffee and let my BC trunk tracker do its magic. On the other hand, it IS becoming a two scanner world these days, isn't it ?

talkyal

1709. Re: are the uniden & r.s. trunk trackers the same ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990114232347.00968.00000302@ng18.aol.com>
Source record: 131350

The Uniden 235XLT and the RS Pro-90 are identical, except for the lettering on the case. The other RS handheld, the RS base model and the RS trunktracking mobile are all made by Uniden for RS and there are no direct replicas marketed by Uniden. The Uniden base 895XLT doesn't have a RS counterpart.
Uniden will be releasing the 245XLT in June. The radio will track not only Motorola, but also GE/Ericsson trunked systems.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

1710. FS:Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamp@flinet.com>
Message-ID: <369ECD47.C91EF947@flinet.com>
Source record: 131351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello All I have Realistic PRO 2004 in excellent condition.Coverage from
25 MHz to 1300MHz in WFM,NFM and AM.Comes with a copy of the manual and
cell and 400 memory mods.Asking $225.00 shipped lower 48.
Regards
Bob

1711. Georgia Highway Patrol Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: patlanta@patlanta.com
Message-ID: <77mg97$ge7@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 131352

Does anyone know the frequencies the Georgia Highway Patrol uses? Especially between Atlanta and Macon?

1712. R-10 scan speed. Also: service manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Esoterix <NOSPAM@NO.SPAM>
Message-ID: <369ED7B3.90675AE7@NO.SPAM>
Source record: 131353
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can I swap out a crystal to speed up the CPU to make this thing scan faster? Or is there another way to make it scan faster? And if I 'boosted' the CPU, besides the delay time, what might I mess up?

Esoterix

PS, how can I get the service manual for this radio? Will Icom sell it to me w/ no prob?

1713. Re: Georgia Highway Patrol Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369EDBF2.49FF@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131354

Larry Colton wrote:
>
> Does anyone know the frequencies the Georgia Highway Patrol uses? Especially
> between Atlanta and Macon?

Check out the following URL:

http://www.angelfire.com/ga/pdscanner/gsp.html

1714. Re: WTB: Regency HX1500 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: grohe@galaxy.nsc.com
Message-ID: <369edf41.499687@139.187.81.1>
Source record: 131355

On Wed, 13 Jan 1999 19:15:54 EST,
in the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner,
lynn.sturgeon@juno.com (Lynn Sturgeon)
from mail2news@nym.alias.net thoughtfully posted:

> I just came across a used Regency HX1500 scanner in the box, it seems to
> works fine but the manual is gone. As I'm absolutely new to scanning
> there's no way I'll ever figure out how to program it without the manual.
> If anyone has one they could xerox I'd be happy to pay postage and copy
> costs and would be very grateful as well. Thanks.

I have a HX1500 - but since I got it at a flea market - there is
no manual. The below should

Here is a blurb I wrote previously about the 1500.

Regencys are in "PROGRAM" mode whenever they are not scanning.
Simply select the channel, enter the frequency and press <enter>.

Step to the channel by pressing <MANUAL>, or directly enter the
channel by pressing:

<x> <x> <ENTER>

To program 162.55MHZ in ch 5:

<MANUAL> <0> <5> <MANUAL> <1> <6> <2> <.> <5> <5> <ENTER>

(The first <enter> is optional, just to make sure it is ready)

Lockout/enable can be confusing if you are not used to it. You
toggle the lockouts by entering the channel number WHILE IT IS
SCANNING.

If you want to lock out ch.1, WHILE IT IS SCANNING, press <0> <1>
(but do not press enter).

To un-lockout the channel, press <0> <1> again (while scanning).

Hint: An easy way to see what channels are enabled is to press
<scan>, open the squelch and step through the enabled channels
using the <scan> key. If you want to enable/lock-out a channel,
just enter the channel number, since you are already in "scan"
mode.

To search a range of frequencies, enter:

<manual> <search program>

1 6 2 . 4 <enter> <lower> (the "1" key)

1 6 2 . 6 <enter> <upper> (the "2" key)

<search scan>

Press <search scan> to continue scanning if a undesired signal is received.

Exit search mode by pressing <MANUAL>

You can change the scan speed by pressing the <ENTER> key while it is scanning.

Beware of the keyboard lockout switch on the left side. It is easily "flipped" by slipping the radio into it's case, or by "bumping" it with your finger. The only key that will work is the "scan" button on top if the switch is up.

Also, keep an eye on the BNC connector. They use a cheap connector that uses a "U" shaped center finger that can "spread" apart after repeated use/abuse. Carefully bend it back, or replace the connector with a good quality Pamona or Amphenol connector.

If the audio starts to get a "ringing" sound to it, or the UHF channels start to display "Fr Err", the batteries are getting low.

Hope this gets you started.

Cheers,
Paul Grohe

--

Paul Grohe National Semiconductor Corp.
Assoc. Analog Apps Engineer 2900 Semiconductor Drive
Standard Analog Apps Group Mail Stop C2693
mailto:grohe@galaxy.nsc.com Santa Clara, CA. 95052-8090 USA
Usenet Disclaimer: Any opinions expressed are mine, not NSC's
----

1715. Re: FS: ICOM IC-R1 CELLULAR UNBLOCKED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <369EF3AA.5596AF3C@home.com>
Source record: 131356

You have got to be kidding! The R1 is a VERY POOR receiver that is plaged by severe intermod. The radio is worth $150.00 at best. If you want a good little receiver, get a Yaesu VX1R.

Andrew P. Scott wrote:
>
> FS: ICOM IC-R1
>
> Complete coverage, including cellular.
>
> Includes box, manual, charger, extra AA battery pack BP-90, and
> leatherette case. (9+) condition.
>
> $400+FedEx COD.
>
> apscott@ix.netcom.com

1716. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <369EF8C9.6973B4D2@mich.com>
Source record: 131357

108-151 (or 174)MHz AM/FM
225-399.975MHz
30-88MHz

Some older fighters might just have 225-400MHz. 'Fighters' with the ground attack/support mission usually also have 30-88Mhz, whereas strictly air superiority fighter/interceptors usually don't.

This holds true for US. I dunno a lot about RAF & RN. Aircraft like Tornados might also have HF for beyond line of sight comms during low-level, nap of earth profiles.

Go to a base open house/Air Tatoo & look/ask.

compkid wrote:

> DOSE ANY BODY KNOW THE FREQUENYS FOR THE FIGHTER JETS,
> PLEASE EMAIL ME.
>
> compkid@netcomuk.co.uk

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1717. Re: Radio Question???? Please Help!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Abraham86@riverside.quik.com
Message-ID: <77n6rhuea1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131358

I have a pro-2026 i already know where the discriminator output is but i have a question, The output is from the IC therefore, i think that maybe the ic can get damaged from static discharge if you touch the output jack. Is there any way to prevent this? I was also wondering how do i tap the discriminator on the IC? Do i just run one wire from the pin to a female earphone jack and use the radios chasis as ground?

Please email me
Thanks

P.S. Is there any need to change my IF filter?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1718. FS: Ham Radio & CCTV stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <369F1691.4B571E8C@bv.net>
Source record: 131359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,fl.forsale,sci.electronics,rec.video.marketplace

I have the following for sale.

ICOM IC-Q7A with extended tx/rx mod done. With all accessories including box and software for .5-2GHz receive, may have cable
Sell $180.00

B&W CCD camera (zoom) with 4" BW LCD monitor, excellent for surveillance. Adjustable focus on camera, adjustable
contrast/brightness on monitor. Both run on 120V wall charger(or with some work, batteries), about ≠15 feet connection wire.
Camera and monitor can be used separate, RCA video out/in connectors supplied, sell pair for $125.00

GE Porta-Mobil Set with handsets and charger assemblies, 2 channel 46.8/46.9 MHz, these are older workhorse units, NO
antennas supplied, sell for $40 pair plus shipping

Intermec handheld Laser diode barcode scanner, converted to emit scanned line only, no read capability, use for
entertainment(with pets or whatever), probably 650nm wavelength, requires 5V input, sell $25.00

2 pcs, ethernet cards, 16bit cards, one made by Artisoft, has BNC and 15pin output and other RG connector & BNC, NO docs,
sold as is, make offer..

60MB Laptop HD, connor, used Sell $10.00

RCA 8mm camcorder, altered to be used as surveillance camera. Autofocus 8X zoom lens. Camera comes with power supply and 20'
cord to control wide/telephoto toggle, keyed on/off switch and RCA color video output. I don't think it has the capability to
record anymore. Works fine. Sell $75.00

Panasonic SL-S162 Portable CD player w/ headset, works fine, have too many, takes 2 AA batts. Sell $25.00

Panasonic BR2/3A lithium batteries, PCB mountable, 3V, have about 23 left, sell $1.25 each or all for $20

Compaq LTE286 laptop with power supply, case, 2 batteries and some compaq software disks. HD is shot. Have been using for
cellular stuff, works great except for HD. I would actually prefer to get HD for it and keep it, or sell for $50 plus
shipping.

Postal Money Order / Bank draft only please, cod ok, but prepayment preferred. Buyer pays applicable shipping. PHOTOS upon
request

mailto:mcguyver@bv.net

1719. Re: City to pass ordinance to fight CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry <larya@home.com>
Message-ID: <CaFn2.155$p6.178@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 131360
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Yes it is normally an FCC responsibility.
However, considering what has been happening on the air waves, don't you
think it is about time something happened?
I am all for freedom of speech etc but where do you draw the line?
Where does freedom of speech end and verbal abuse begin?
Larry ve3fxq

Pete Kos, Jr. wrote in message <77jlna$m5f$1@cletus.bright.net>...
>Uh, isn't this just a tad out of a city's jurisdiction? Last time I
>checked, the airwaves were the FCC's responsibility.
>
>
>
>

1720. Message Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Barker <rbarker@flash.net>
Message-ID: <369F4E6C.5C50@flash.net>
Source record: 131361

Is anyone still using Message Tracker? Does anyone want to part with their cable?

I'm trying the Pocflex and can't get it to work.

1721. Re: City to pass ordinance to fight CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reed Park <reedpark@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <369F3656.A5500E5B@nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 131362
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Larry wrote:

> Yes it is normally an FCC responsibility.
> However, considering what has been happening on the air waves, don't you
> think it is about time something happened?
> I am all for freedom of speech etc but where do you draw the line?
> Where does freedom of speech end and verbal abuse begin?
> Larry ve3fxq

Freedom of Speach is so overly missused, by people who want to justify
the use of crude and fowl (foul) language. What it was intended for, was
to give citizens the right to speak out about the Government, without fear
of being persicuted. It has absolutely NOTHING to do with the TYPE of
language (eg - 4 letter "F" words). In Canada, beleive it or not, but the
Criminal Code of Canada states that it is an offence to use profanity in
a public place. Laws of Communication, in both the USA and Canada
state that it is an offense to us profanity while using the radio.

So - If you still insist upon using profanity in on the air, be ready to
suffer
the consequences.

Regards
Reed

>
>
> Pete Kos, Jr. wrote in message <77jlna$m5f$1@cletus.bright.net>...
> >Uh, isn't this just a tad out of a city's jurisdiction? Last time I
> >checked, the airwaves were the FCC's responsibility.
> >
> >
> >
> >

--

1722. Light Mode Pro-91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: GARR <garr@apexmail.com>
Message-ID: <eiJ6YUIQ#GA.199@rpc1284.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 131363

Has anyone found a way to keep the backlight on? It has only a 15 second on time. I read about a mod for the 235xlt somewhere but do not know if it will work on this unit.

Thanks

1723. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison <ka8tok@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <369F3CE8.C251470B@four-way.net>
Source record: 131364

I can't believe this type of response.. Where in the study guide does it tell you how to operate a repeater.. Now come one fellas.. have you look in one and wondered what they can do or how they work.. Hell I started as a novice(licensed for 16 years) and upgraded to tech plus but still didn't know alot about them until i built my own.. Just cause the guy passed a test for it doesn't mean he is going to understand it.. It's not like it used to be.

John - KAOSSF wrote:

> Frankly, I find it hard to believe that you could pass a technician
> amateur
> radio license from the FCC & NOT know these answers for yourself.
>
> But anyway-
>]
>
> iceberg@nxco.com wrote:
> > Though Im sure there is some ham news group, Im not aware of that would be
> > more sutable for this question, Im asking it in hopes someone can answer it
> > anyway.
> > Im new to the 2M 70cm(440) ham bands (tech class) and I am trying to find
> > some information on what repeaters do,
>
> They repeat the audio heard on another frequency.
>
> > how to access one how to get access to
> > one,
>
> Use a suitable radio for the frequecy range you are attemting to work.
>
> > and what they are capable of.
>
> They are capable of extending communications from what could be only
> a few blocks to 100 miles (or better!)

1724. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dan baxter <dandeb11@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <77nf73$5t3$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131365

you are so right about that, but I still have not bought a trunk tracker. I am thinking about trying a low end one just to see how it fares. Where I am now they wont be going to trunked until the end of this year so I have time.

Dan
Talkyal wrote in message <19990114225045.25390.00000957@ng39.aol.com>...
>>From: "dan baxter" <dandeb11@earthlink.net>
>
>>any 800 mhz scanner can get the trunked freqs. that is not a valid point
>
>True. But, if you want to tire yourself out manually following the trunk
>groups around with your fancy Yupi's or AOR's, fine with me. I'll simply
sit
>back with my cup of coffee and let my BC trunk tracker do its magic. On
the
>other hand, it IS becoming a two scanner world these days, isn't it ?
>
>talkyal

1725. Silent Key List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9kz@indy.net
Message-ID: <77nm4m$b9j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Does anyone know of a web site that has a complete list of "silent keys"?
Bill K9KZ
k9kz@indy.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1726. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115085922.14207.00001280@ng143.aol.com>
Source record: 131367

>From: "dan baxter" <dandeb11@earthlink.net>

>Where I am
>now they wont be going to trunked until the end of this year so I have time.

It's interesting to read everybody in here seems to say that their local police are thinking about, or are voting on, or already have voted on going trunked. I really wonder if someday: air comms, train comms, boat comms , fire and emergency comms, and all police comms will eventually be all trunked (and then digital).

talkyal

1727. FS: RS 2021 100ch scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: gregg@plains.nodak.edu
Message-ID: <77nkpcjis3@node2.nodak.edu>
Source record: 131368

For sale, Pro 2021 100 channel mobil scanner with wall wart pwr pack.

covers 800Mhz cell blocked.

$100 shipped

1728. FS Pro 2046 100ch.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: gregg@plains.nodak.edu
Message-ID: <77nksgjis4@node2.nodak.edu>
Source record: 131369

For Sale, Radio Shack Pro 2046 100 channel mobil scanner covers 800Mhz cell blocked. Has lots of nice features. As new, in the box with all accessories.

$100 Shipped

1729. FS: Relm MS200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: gregg@plains.nodak.edu
Message-ID: <77nkmvjis2@node2.nodak.edu>
Source record: 131370

Relm MS200, 100 channel scanner, covers 800Mhz, cell blocked. I have the box, manuals, software to program it. It's like new, in great shape. It has many nice features, including ctcss decode and dcs decode. Nice program to program in freqs and channel labels.

$150 shipped

1730. Computer question - POC32

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369F5685.794B6E31@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131371

I actually have a computer related question, but since it is relative to using a PC with my scanner, I thought I would ask it here.

I recently tapped the discriminator output on a scanner so that I could decode pagers with POC32. I have not been able to get it working at all. After playing with a lot of the soundcard settings and checking stuff in the "control panel", it appears that my soundcard recognizes inputs coming from the mic, CD etc but not the Line-in. I have checked that the Line-in option is "selected" and the volume level turned up. In addition to POC32, I have also tried to record audio using the line in but its a flat line - nothing coming in. I will replace the soundcard if I have to, but it seems really strange to me that all the other input methods work but the line-in does not. Any help would be greatly appreciated.

1731. Re: re invert.zip speech inversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: nickwb4sqi@wwd.net
Message-ID: <77nqcjfbr1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131372

>invert.zip program

Where would one find invert.zip?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1732. USB-Serial Adapter F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@koral.com>
Message-ID: <369f661b.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 131373
Cross-posted to: alt.ads.forsale.computers,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Peracom USB - Serial Port adapter new in sealed factory pkg. Includes 6ft cable. This unit allows you to have another serial port on a usb equipped computer. $55 includes shipping. Email or call my voice mail 1-800-518-1858 and leave your name and number and I will call you back.

Mike Dockery

1733. For sale: Radio Shack Pro 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: triodeamp@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77nthh$ib7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131374

For sale, Radio Shack Pro 2005 scanner. 400 channels. Excellent condition.
Original box and manual. $300 or best offer. email: triodeamp@hotmail.com

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1734. Discriminator mod for RELM MS-200?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: seebody@toreply.com
Message-ID: <369f672f.6348825@news.ncal.verio.com>
Source record: 131375

Does anyone know where I need to tap to get the discriminator output
for the RELM MS-200, or a URL where I can find it?

Thanks.

Evan
To reply via e-mail, please use eplatt at wco period
com. Sorry, but this is the only way to despam my
address.

1735. Sony SC1 and battery question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ryan_g@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <ryan_g-1501990908530001@2cust48.tnt6.lax3.da.uu.net>
Source record: 131376

I just got a sony sc1 and i am wondering, is it ok to have batteries in it while it is plugged in?

--
Ryan Guzy ryan_g@rocketmail.com
Visit my model rocketry web page at:
http://home.earthlink.net/~ddweller/

1736. Re: Watch out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: asrok@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115115814.11631.00001635@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 131377

They bitched and whined until they got the ECPA and when they caught those people breaking the law in Florida they did NOTHING TO THEM!!!!! And they blabbed what they heard to every Tom Dick and Harry they could. Equal justice under the law my ass.

1737. Re: Computer question - POC32

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77ns5k$pb4$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131378

Barun Gupta wrote in message <369F5685.794B6E31@worldnet.att.net>...
>I actually have a computer related question, but since it is relative
>to using a PC with my scanner, I thought I would ask it here.
>
>I recently tapped the discriminator output on a scanner so that I could
>decode pagers with POC32. I have not been able to get it working at all.
>After playing with a lot of the soundcard settings and checking stuff in
>the "control panel", it appears that my soundcard recognizes inputs
>coming from the mic, CD etc but not the Line-in. I have checked that the
>Line-in option is "selected" and the volume level turned up. In addition
>to POC32, I have also tried to record audio using the line in but its a
>flat line - nothing coming in. I will replace the soundcard if I have
>to, but it seems really strange to me that all the other input methods
>work but the line-in does not. Any help would be greatly appreciated.

I have experienced similar problems with my soundcard in my desktop PC. MDT Monitor for Windows will not work on my desktop machine, but works fine on my Toshiba Laptop. My soundcard is old, and there are some bad components on it, which is the reason it won't work. I just haven't bothered to replace it yet.

In your case, you might want to try a couple things first, before going out and getting a Soundblaster AWE64 or something of that nature. Check to see that you have any jumper settings set correctly on your sound card. It may be as simple as having the jumper set so that line-in is disabled. Also, if your running Win95 or 98, check Device Manager and make sure that there are no IRQ conflicts between the sound card and other components of your PC (Mine likes to fight with the modem for IRQ's). Fixing either of these things might fix the overall problem and save you a few $$$'s.

Best of luck,
-Phil

1738. Re: Cloning Digital Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77nsdbpdi1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jack wrote in message
<369e9d2c.5623681@news.mia.bellsouth.net>...
>On Thu, 14 Jan 1999 19:07:07 GMT, chuck@chucks2way.com (Chuck) wrote:
>
>>Hello Everyone,
>>
>>I picked up a Nokia Model 2180 Digital Cellphone, and I have Ameritech
>>Clearpath Cellphone service, Now what I wanna know, is this phone
>>"clone"-able??? In other words, is it possible for someone to listen
>>in on my conversations and/or grab my ESN and clone the phone.
>>
>>Now... before you fire out your Replys/flames/smart comments..
>>(hehehe) send them, private e-mail.... as I don't read this newsgroup
>>often.
>
>If it is capable of analog mode it is vulnerable to sniffing during
>non digital sessions..
>I'm sure the right service monitor could grab it right out of digital
>air..you would think..?

Yup, I'd have to agree there, however the digital equipment is a bit pricy for the average "thief". Chances are slimmer on the digital net's but it's always possible.

That's why my phone is prepaid at $30 a card. No big deal if someone gets a hold of one of those..

-Phil

1739. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: asrok@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115121701.11631.00001651@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 131380

Sounds great but..... a gap from 36MHz to 46Mhz? And dual conversion (I thought that was a bad thing).

1740. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77nu51$pv5$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131381

Brad Kellison wrote in message <369F3CE8.C251470B@four-way.net>...
>I can't believe this type of response.. Where in the study guide does it
tell you
>how to operate a repeater.. Now come one fellas.. have you look in one and
>wondered what they can do or how they work.. Hell I started as a
novice(licensed
>for 16 years) and upgraded to tech plus but still didn't know alot about
them
>until i built my own.. Just cause the guy passed a test for it doesn't mean
he is
>going to understand it.. It's not like it used to be.
>

Don't take it personally, it's just the way John is. He likes to rile up everyone and then claim it wasn't him, he never meant to piss ya off, blah, blah, blah. Don't believe it, it's all B.S. Just ignore him, that's what I do.

-Phil

1741. Re: Uniden BC 245

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Waits <swaits@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <369F815E.8DCA0478@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131382

Kegan30317 wrote:
>
> Was there to be an update on the announcement of the TT 245 by Uniden. Has
> anyone seen it posted here?

I've started an "Internet Users Wishlist" at
http://www.netcom.com/~swaits/bc245xlt.html

Feel free to visit the page and send me your prioritized wishes!

Not that Uniden will pay any attention to it!

--Steve

1742. Battery Alternatives for BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rbarile@bbn.com
Message-ID: <_nLn2.12$fq1.211@burlma1-snr2>
Source record: 131383

Anyone know if thre is a sustitute battery pack for the BC200XLT. Im on my third pack in say 5 years and at one time I modified one dead pack with Radio Shack batteries but they didnt last very long. The original Uniden batteries aren't much beter. Is there any way you can use alkalines with a modified battery pack?
Any advice would be helpfull.

Robert

1743. BANDERCOM??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lawrence Davis <stang6768@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369F8345.11AD@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131384

Has anyone done business with them? Understandably I am nervous about ordering an 8200 and not receiving it!! Any good/Bad experiences out there?? Also does anyone know if a FCC GROL would permit you to buy cellular capable equipment in the us from a distributor?? Thanks, Larry

1744. Re: BC-235 Attenuation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77o0am$qn0$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 131385
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Paul/Sherrie wrote in message <77lt3nndg1@camel29.mindspring.com>...
>I noticed my 235 does not pick up the cellular images like my BC230 did.
>
>I assume this is through some sort of attenuation. Has anyone else noticed
>this? Is there a hidden keyboard command to turn it off or reduce it? Any
>ideas?
>
Actually, the reason is because the 235 is a triple conversion scanner,
unlike your dual conversion 230. You will find some limited cell receive in
the aircraft band and in VHF-lo, of all places. Further, it will only do it
if the cell phone you are listening to is close by, and you are using a
high-gain antenna.

The newer 235's have an ATT button that turns on/off the attenuator, but it
will not bring back the cell freq's.

-Phil

1745. TESLA everybody knows *that*!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matthew Davies <darkstars@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <77ruq7$4cd$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131086
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

Trust me, my mother the pidgeon told me so.

Matthew

1746. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A18B83.344A@usa.net>
Source record: 131087

Steve wrote:

> Nor do you. Why did not the Great John reply with quotes, page #'s or the
> like from the study guide to prove Brad wrong. SNIP

None were asked for! I certainly am NOT going to spam this NG with
us-desired postings. Now that you have asked for such data I will
gladly
post it!

Questions: Novice (exam 2)- N2B08 and Technician exam (3A) - T2A08,
T2A18

Above are listed question numbers of a few examples of both the novice &
technician level tests that have specific questions regarding repeater
use
and/or operation. The question pools are pulic domain, so look them up
yourself.

Remember, even as a novice you may operate or be the licensee of a
repeater!

> That sentence is brilliant John.

Thank you!

> You certainly have little formal education.

hehehe, really! You have NOTHING to back up your statement because it
is WRONG! hehehehehehe

> >How much teaching & examination experience in amateur radio do you have?
>
> Doesn't make any difference. It hasn't done you any good. You are still a

I didn't ask about ME, I asked about YOU! But instead you side stepped
the
question & avoided having to give an answer stating "Doesn't make any
difference".

Again, I ask, "How much teaching & examination experience in amateur
radio
do you have?"

> >Repeaters are covered in both the novice & technician.
>
> What does that mean smart boy? Covered? Novice and Tech what? The FCC
> question pool doesn't even scratch ther surface of repeater operation.

Again,

Questions: Novice (exam 2)- N2B08 and Technician exam (3A) - T2A08, T2A18

Above are listed question numbers of a few examples of both the novice & technician level tests that have specific questions regarding repeater use
and/or operation. The question pools are pulic domain, so look them up yourself.

Remember, even as a novice you may operate or be the licensee of a repeater!

Go back, re-group, re-think your strategy. Then if you still feel brave (or stupid) enough, try again!

1747. FS: RS Pro 46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: 334@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77ovnagpm1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131142

100 Ch. scanner organized in 10 banks

covers
66-88 (European band)
108-174 (am aircraft receive also)
406-512
800Mhz (w/o cell)
I see the is lots if mod information on the web for the cell mod though

Unit features setable search ranges backlit display key pad lock individual channel delay instant weather button individual channel lockout removeable battery holder can have either nicads or alkaline (built in charger)

cosmetically it is an 8.5-9 out of 10 I have the manual and most ofthe box (my friends children took care of the rest of it) asking $175

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1748. Re: FS: RS 2021 100ch scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369FE0FD.4485B21@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131143

Joe,

Are you sure this is a PRO-2021? The PRO-2021 has 200 channels and does
not have the 800MHz band at all.

Joe Gregg wrote:
>
> For sale, Pro 2021 100 channel mobil scanner with wall wart pwr pack.
>
> covers 800Mhz cell blocked.
>
> $100 shipped

1749. Re: cell mod for AOR 8200 or ALinco

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <77p0bo$h8q$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The question now becomes, who will sell me a non-US version without getting the thing confiscated at customs or me fined and jailed??

> Go to this site for what you want
> http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/9163/arc.html
> spanner wrote in message <369D1955.3F0645CA@aufait.net>...
> >Is there a cell mod for the aor 8200?

?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1750. Re: cell mod for AOR 8200 or ALinco

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <77p0et$h98$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131145

The question now becomes, who will sell me a non-US version without getting the thing confiscated at customs or me fined and jailed??

> Go to this site for what you want
> http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/9163/arc.html
> spanner wrote in message <369D1955.3F0645CA@aufait.net>...
> >Is there a cell mod for the aor 8200?

???

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1751. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn P Caron <gpcaronxgi@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <369FE3DF.C8CBFD03@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131146

As a radio reciever emits no RF power, there is no way to track one down.

ArtYs wrote:

> In some countries it's not legal to use scanners. Is it possible to be
> tracked down and caught by simply listen in?
>
> A.

1752. Re: Anyway to unlock cell freq's on AOR-8200B?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberjunke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115203733.02605.00001686@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 131147

Not posible
so there will be no info found anywhere.

>I've looked all over the net and can't find any mod info on the 8200B.
>
>Anyone have any ideas?
>
>Thanks,
>Mike

1753. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberjunke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115205106.02605.00001694@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 131148

It works well. It is very directional so a rotator would be a wise purchase
also.

Mine worked great until it was taken out by a microburst that also pulled up
three trees.

Its sturdy but not indestructable.

>Fbals wrote:
>
>> Would like to hear from users of this antenna and how they think it
>performs
>> versus other scanner antennas. How well does it perform on the low band
>(30-50
>> Mhz)?
>>
>> Frank
>
>

1754. Re: Battery Alternatives for BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: ##aheely##@##downcity##.net
Message-ID: <369fee90.42959967@news.downcity.net>
Source record: 131149

I've had good luck with NiMH pack from the NiCad Lady.

Prior to that I replaced the pack with both Radio Shack NiCads and a pre built pack from some mail order outfit in Florida. Neither seemed much better than the original pack which was poor at best. The NiMH has been working out great.

One word of caution if you go this route. Without modification it takes more than 24 hours to fully recharge the 1300MAH batteries. I don't find this a problem because I have two packs. You can modify the little circuit board inside the pack for a quicker charge rate.

Art

On Fri, 15 Jan 1999 18:01:30 GMT, rbarile@bbn.com (Robert Barile) wrote:

>Anyone know if thre is a sustitute battery pack for the BC200XLT. Im on my third
>pack in say 5 years and at one time I modified one dead pack with Radio Shack
>batteries but they didnt last very long. The original Uniden batteries aren't much
>beter. Is there any way you can use alkalines with a modified battery pack?
>Any advice would be helpfull.
>
>Robert

1755. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberjunke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115205346.02605.00001696@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 131150

It works well. Its very directional so a rotator purchase would be wise also.

Mine worked great unitl it was taken out by a microburst that also took out
three trees.

>Would like to hear from users of this antenna and how they think it performs
>versus other scanner antennas. How well does it perform on the low band
>(30-50
>Mhz)?

1756. Re: Y2K File (funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: bandit <bandit.one@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <77orgfmkc1@spock.tinet.ie>
Source record: 131151

Excellent...
505 wrote in message <77ojcbtuf1@camel15.mindspring.com>...
>I'm hoping that this will make people smile!
>
>Y2K INFORMATION
>
>We are currently ...<CUT>..

1757. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberjunke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115205542.02605.00001697@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 131152

Sorry Greg

I sent you that guys response by mistake. I have already resent it.

Thanks
James

1758. Re: Questions AOR AR-3000A/AB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberjunke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115210440.02605.00001702@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 131153

Its a good radio. Has many options you can't get in other radios. And its
very portable also.
If its rasonably priced it would be worth the money.

>I am considering the purchase of an AOR model AR-3000 series
>receiver/scanner. I would appreciate any comments pro/con
>from current/past owners of the AR-3000.
>
>Thank you,

1759. FS : UNIDEN BC 200 XLT portable scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <369fed98.13635256@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131154
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Uniden BC 200 XLT portable scanner for sale. Excellent condition, two battery packs, antenna, wall wart charger. No mods done, but I believe it is modifiable. Works great!

$150.00 shipped to 48, pre-paid.

E-Mail if interested, NO TRADES

Dan

1760. Re: How Many Scanners at a NASCAR race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberjunke@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115210640.02605.00001704@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 131155

They take your credit card number. If you don't return it they bill you!

>i didn't know they rented scanners at race events! How do they prevent
>theifs?

1761. Is there a Cell Mod for the BC235XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <369fee69.445568333@news.voicetek.com>
Source record: 131156

I want to apologize for all of these ignorant questions.

Fortunately, ignorance can be overcome, stupidity is a way of life!

Thanks in advance.

Mike (Who's spent what seems like days greping through DejaNews
archives only to be forced to ask ANOTHER ignorant question!)

1762. r/shack pro-67 advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: ddubsky@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115212251.20065.00001521@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 131157

was this unit taken of the market? way dose the unit rec. good on vhf freqs.
and rec very poorly on uhf 470.00 mhz. 856 to 860.000 compaired to a pro-51?
could this be a common to the pro-67? r/shack can't find the problem! your
imput
can help...

thankyou denny...........

1763. Re: scanner specs?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <77p614$lj5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131158

A higher number is better since it means your signal is stronger compared to
the static. With sensativity, lower numbers are better since the number
gives the minimum signal strength needed to receive the signal.

In article <369EC2A7.48BE@sprint.ca>,
johnt <johnt@sprint.ca> wrote:
> what is better for a signal to noise ratio a higher number or a lower
> number
>

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1764. New Grove Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4yqz
Message-ID: <36a00070.136241288@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131159

I happened to be surfing the web the other day and happened to
notice that Grove Enterprises has setup their new catalog on-line.

The address is: www.grove-end.com

Order something online will save a lot of time if you're in a hurry
for something.

I thought it was a good idea anyway!

****
* Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
* John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
****
* Roger Cravens *
* South East Signals Intelligence Group *
* Atlanta, GA *
****
* Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
****

1765. Re: BANDERCOM??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <77p6lj$m29$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131160

I ordered a radio from them for about $280. It took them a week to process the order and another 2 weeks for the radio to arrive, but this was probably due to the Christmas rush and backlog in the postal system. They gave me the best price, were honest, and the radio arrived, so I would consider ordering from them again if I wanted another radio. However, I would avoid ordering in December.

In article <369F8345.11AD@worldnet.att.net>,
Lawrence Davis <stang6768@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> Has anyone done business with them? Understandably I am nervous about
> ordering an 8200 and not receiving it!! Any good/Bad experiences out
> there?? Also does anyone know if a FCC GROL would permit you to buy
> cellular capable equipment in the us from a distributor?? Thanks, Larry
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1766. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <77p6spmcg1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131161

Fortunately for us, the expense of trunked radio systems will probably
prevent many public and business communications from becoming trunked.
Trunked radio systems really only make logical sense for a system with lots
of users, and many businesses and government agencies find them too expensive
for each service veicle, garbage truck, and city bus to have one.

In article <77ojh7bn1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
"WW - KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@usa.net> wrote:
>
> Talkyal wrote in message <19990115085922.14207.00001280@ng143.aol.com>...
> ....on going trunked.
> >I really wonder if someday: air comms, train comms, boat comms , fire and
> >emergency comms, and all police comms will eventually be all trunked (and
then
> >digital).
> >
> >talkyal
>
> Next we'll have trunked 900 MHz cordless telephones ;^)
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1767. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: see@signature.below_plz
Message-ID: <36a002dd.6474591@news.ptialaska.net>
Source record: 131162

Wellll....
Maybe not much power, but the LO still radiates something. If it
weren't for that, there would be no FCC Part 15 regs, and the RF Cops
in England would never be able to find "unlicensed" TV receivers.
They drive around listening for RF emissions from TV sets; any more
than a household pays for get cited or some kind of censure.

To illustrate this: Try running two scanners with lots of channels in
both, in the same room, etc. You'll probably start hearing cyclic
pulses in one receiver that coincides with the other scanner sweep
past the first one's "stopped" channel, or an image of it.

Same thing happens in my ham shack. Having the commercial highband
radio scanning while the BC800XLT or BC200 invariably causes one to
lock up, until I remove the offending scan channel(s) from either one.

HTH,
--Barney KL7HNY--
Borealis Communications
Soldotna, Alaska
("Soldotna" is a Russian word for "Place where the gods go poop")

On 16 Jan 1999 00:56:20 GMT, Glenn P Caron
<gpcaronxgi@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>As a radio reciever emits no RF power, there is no way to track one down.
>
>ArtYs wrote:
>
>> In some countries it's not legal to use scanners. Is it possible to be
>> tracked down and caught by simply listen in?
>>
>> A.
>
>
>

1768. Re: Battery Alternatives for BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: see@signature.below_plz
Message-ID: <36a00566.7123937@news.ptialaska.net>
Source record: 131163

Hiya.
I've started using (happily) battery packs & rebuild kits from
Primecell/Cunard Assoc. Think their URL is
http://www.primecell.com
I just "re-celled" my BC-200 with NiMHs (1400mAh vs. 600 or so stock)
for $21.95 plus about $4.50 shipping.
I've had them rebuild factory nicad packs that cost a fortune new and
didn't have any aftermarket sources for complete packs (like for the
Standard HX-340/341/440. In my case, for the scanner, I just bought
the shrink-wrapped pack and soldered it into the original shell &
charger. OR you can send dead packs for them to rebuild for you for
only a little more.

And no, I don't have any financial interest in these folks, except
that I buy stuff from them. Nice folks.
Theyk're at R.D. 6 Box 104, Bedford, PA 15522 814-623-7000
(I don't know of any toll-free #)

HTH.
--Barney KL7HNY--
kl7hny@amsat.org
Borealis Communications
Soldotna, Alaska

>On Fri, 15 Jan 1999 18:01:30 GMT, rbarile@bbn.com (Robert Barile) wrote:
>
>>Anyone know if thre is a sustitute battery pack for the BC200XLT.

1769. Re: Battery Alternatives for BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jlayton@NOSPAMsd.cybernex.net
Message-ID: <36a00826.5504796@news2.new-york.net>
Source record: 131164

rbarile@bbn.com (Robert Barile) wrote:

>Anyone know if thre is a sustitute battery pack for the BC200XLT. Im on my third
>pack in say 5 years and at one time I modified one dead pack with Radio Shack
>batteries but they didnt last very long. The original Uniden batteries aren't much
>beter. Is there any way you can use alkalines with a modified battery pack?

Robert,

The Frequency Fan Club sells a battery pack for the 200xlt that allows
you to use 6 regular AA batteries instead of the standard Uniden
battery pack. I use one of these AA battey packs on my 200xlt and
love it. Visit their web site at <http://www.racescanners.com/> for
more info.

1770. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <36a00684.2558759@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 131165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 14 Jan 1999 21:42:11 -0800, John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
wrote:

>JWiley wrote:
>>
>> In article <77j29g$5jr$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> > And, that will be the last reply from ME on this "stupid, off topic,
>> > inflammatory post" as you so delicately put it.
>> >
>> > -Phil
>>
>> Ah, as I thought. Your previous statement claiming you were through posting > _anything_
to do with the flames turns out to be as false as your saying that you
>> were finished with the rec. scanner group.
>> Your credibility is now zero.
>
>WELL SAID!
>
>I intentially held off any response to Phil just to allow him the prove
>HIMSELF that HE is the instigator of the problems here!

It's unfortunate that you do not hold off ALL responses. Your failure
to do so proves that YOU are the instigator of ALL problems here.

How sad :(

--

George "Hollywood" Vetterle
geovet@thevision.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

1771. Re: NYC Frequencies Needed: Help Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: sjw1998@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990115234959.24064.00002104@ng12.aol.com>
Source record: 131166

I use the 4th edition of SCANNER MASTER nyc/metro area. Go to SCANNERWORLD.COM
to order it . approx. $25.00 but well worth the investment.
-----best JIM
sjw1998@aol.com

1772. Re: New Grove Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A01FF8.583A5618@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131167

bfwinstead wrote:

> Last week I sent 4 email inquiries to order@grove.net and failed
> to get a response. Sorta blew my image of grove as a first-class outfit.

I've had problems too, but try order@grove-ent.com and see if that
works better. Grove is a first class outfit.

Jon

1773. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: joeodom@usit.net
Message-ID: <36a0249f.50646067@nntp.usit.net>
Source record: 131168

Glenn P Caron <gpcaronxgi@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>As a radio reciever emits no RF power, there is no way to track one down.
>
>ArtYs wrote:
>
>> In some countries it's not legal to use scanners. Is it possible to be
>> tracked down and caught by simply listen in?
>>
>> A.
>
>This is not entirely correct!! Receivers do radiate a small rf signal from the IF stages...This is why you can't use a
receiver in an airplane in flight. There is concern that the small
amount of signal might interfere with the plane's navigation systems..

But to your original question, it would take very specialized and
sensitive equipment to pick you up, even though it is possible in
theory.
>

1774. WANTED: Icom R8500 Full Coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990116005104.22509.00001283@ng62.aol.com>
Source record: 131169

Please let me know if you have one for sale with condition, accessories, and selling price. Thanks.

Frank

1775. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990116010418.07620.00001617@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 131170

Seems like a waste of tax-payer's money for your local police departments to drive around with a scanner detector all day, looking for scanner people. Or, they could sit in the median all day, while doing laser and radar at passing cars, they could detect cars that pass by for radar detectors, scanners, coffee pots, electric razors, etc.....

Now, if the SWAT / ATF / DNA / FBI had one on a drug raid, I can understand the need for that. I wouldn't be surprised if the Secret Service had one for detecting terrorists, too.
That would be tax money well-spent.

talkyal <Scanner Detector Conspiracy Theory Club>

1776. Re: Watch out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duby Todd <dube3@n-link.com>
Message-ID: <c4Wn2.539$np1.525@news.n-link.com>
Source record: 131171

Yeah. I wrote to my congressman to demand that they get the same justice as any of us. Only problem is, that he's one of them. He didn't even respond to my letter.

ASROK wrote in message <19990115115814.11631.00001635@ng-ch1.aol.com>...
>They bitched and whined until they got the ECPA and when they caught those
>people breaking the law in Florida they did NOTHING TO THEM!!!!! And they
>blabbed what they heard to every Tom Dick and Harry they could. Equal justice
>under the law my ass.

1777. Re: Bad ass scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a22fdf.94938304@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131172

On 15 Jan 1999 17:17:01 GMT, asrok@aol.com (ASROK) wrote:

>Sounds great but..... a gap from 36MHz to 46Mhz?

I didn't see that at first, I wonder what's up with that..?

>And dual conversion (I thought that was a bad thing).

Never noticed that at all. My dual conversion scanners hardly get used
for anything any more, that's for sure!

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

1778. Remote Software- BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: devil@primenet.com
Message-ID: <36a03368.1400285@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 131173
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have built the kludge cable for the BC895XLT and have tried many of the different shareware software packages available for remote control. I was wondering what others have found to be the best software available. My favorite so far is Radio Manager for Windows verson 3.x.

Thanks for your help and ideas....

-Wayne

1779. SOMETIPS FOR RETAILER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: usedcellular@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <77pild$82$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131174

We are distributors of used cellular(AMPS/CDMA) located in Korea.
Even though the shipment charger should be considered.we are quoted very competitive price especially to retailers.
And the phones are refurbished and suitable to grant to customers as free phone.
In USA, no custom duties are levied and you can take the goods in your office or home with little effort to sign out some simple documents.
You can order small quantity anytime you need, our best service make delivery in a week.
You can never find the phone which is best in quality and most reasonable in price in your area.
Visit our web page and register our member.
It's free.
We hope our web can be attractive to you

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1780. Re: Message Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a538ce.97225732@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131175

On Fri, 15 Jan 1999 12:19:09 GMT, Robert Barker <rbarker@flash.net>
wrote:

>Is anyone still using Message Tracker? Does anyone want to part with
>their cable?
>
>I'm trying the Pocflex and can't get it to work.

Try again! It is well worth the effort.

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

1781. Re: south Florida Freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a337e6.96994021@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131176

On Thu, 14 Jan 1999 19:24:04 -0500, David Richardson
<drichardson@adelphia.net> wrote:

>I'm new to scanning, any body know where I can find some South Florida
>freq's listed on the Internet? Specifically, West Palm Beach south to
>Miami.
>Thanks.

http://junior.apk.net/~mikef/ohsflpag.html

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

1782. Re: Computer question - POC32

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a63912.97294124@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131177

On 15 Jan 1999 15:00:31 GMT, Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>I actually have a computer related question, but since it is relative
>to using a PC with my scanner, I thought I would ask it here.
>
>I recently tapped the discriminator output on a scanner so that I could
>decode pagers with POC32. I have not been able to get it working at all.
>After playing with a lot of the soundcard settings and checking stuff in
>the "control panel", it appears that my soundcard recognizes inputs
>coming from the mic, CD etc but not the Line-in. I have checked that the
>Line-in option is "selected" and the volume level turned up. In addition
>to POC32, I have also tried to record audio using the line in but its a
>flat line - nothing coming in. I will replace the soundcard if I have
>to, but it seems really strange to me that all the other input methods
>work but the line-in does not. Any help would be greatly appreciated.

Perhaps you can get updated sound card drivers.
You can also use the microphone input, you will
just have to set the level on the mixer very low.

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

1783. Re: Is the Optolinx the same as the Hamcomm interface?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a43810.97035908@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131178

On Fri, 15 Jan 1999 00:29:19 GMT, dwarren@canada.com wrote:

>Is the Optolinx the same as the Hamcomm interface? Where does Bill
>Cheeks unit fit in here too. Are they all variations on the same
>idea?
>
>Does one stand head and shoulders above the others.
>
>Any information about the useages of each would be appreciated.

They are all the same.
My variation on it is at:
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/2levelm.jpg
It has to be one of the cheapest and easiest.

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

1784. External Antenna for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <rdubenezic@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <77pdsr$kvb@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131179

Hi!!

I have a ???. I am using an external mobile Maxrad antenna (a high gain, high band) with a Motorola M-Mount with an L-Bracket screwed into aluminum (gutter) overhang. In my town reception is good, but 10-15 miles away reception is good but I get a background noise. At one time it was crystal clear.

I did have ground wire in between the screws and the L-Bracket and again attached to propane tanks, that were concreted in the dirt, but sometimes it makes the situation worse. Is there anything I can buy or do to help this. I was told there is a such thing as a receive booster, but I haven't been able to locate one.
--

Bob Dubenezic

1785. PRO-67

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: tosca@warwick.net
Message-ID: <36be3c30.435524975@news.warwick.net>
Source record: 131180

Happy new year, everybody...

I got a great deal on a PRO-67, a handheld with 20 banks, bla
bla...

I don't see any chatter about it, in any of the scanner NG's.
Anybody have any good tips on it? Full coverage mod? Audio mod?
(the output is miserable)

L8R-

=vt
--
==========
To reply, use ROT13 on the first 3 letters, add 1
to the next two numbers, change NOSPAM to MYMAIL,
remove the second "@" sign, add an underscore,
change the third and fourth commas to a period,
and spell the entire line from front to back.
-OR-:just hit "reply".
==========

1786. Re: Battery Alternatives for BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Sujkowski <ronalds@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <36A046C8.E3D114D2@concentric.net>
Source record: 131181

mark your cookies.txt files as 'read only'

Arthur R. Davis wrote:

> Jon, If you have any control over metrowest, I wish you would get them
> to stop continuing out cookies during the entire download. i don't
> allow cookies to be set so it very frustrating to keep getting them.
> Art.

1787. Re: BC200XLT need calibration...HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Sujkowski <ronalds@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <36A04776.10D5F2BA@concentric.net>
Source record: 131182

I also have this time dropped a couple drops of super glue between at the nut.

NOT wrote:

> the anntenna wire must be a big problem when it comes to this unit. i have
> myself have to do this several times even changing the wire to make it reach
> after several time broken. this will make the scanner totally death and is
> very easy to check.
>
> Ron Sujkowski wrote in message <369C4DE1.C052C05D@concentric.net>...
> >
> >had to do this a few times;
> >if the antenna connector can easily be rotated slightly on the radio,
> >open up the unit. 2 screws on back and 2 screws on the radio itself where
> the
> >battery compartment connects.
> >check the solder joint where the antenna connects to circuit board. just
> remelt
> >the solder if you feel it is a problem !
> >SuzieGreenberg wrote:
> >
> >> I'm unable to receive my weather + cordless phone freqs on my Bearcat
> 200XLT
> >> handheld. I assume that the internal tuning dial needs to be
> recalibrated,
> >> but don't know how this can be accomplished. Is this something I can do
> >> myself, have it repaired at any RadioShack or have it sent to the Uniden
> >> factory?
> >>
> >> Any info would be greatly appreciated.
> >> -Rick
> >> ricks_picks@hotmail.com
> >

1788. f.s. alinco djx10t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <77pjqd$fv7$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 131183

```
i have an alinco djx10t for sale.wide range receiver
am/fm/wfm/ssb.......$250.00 shipped
thanks jack
```

1789. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrongurl@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3BYn2.213$6f5.751675@NewsRead.Toronto.iSTAR.net>
Source record: 131184

In article <77ocg2$8j7$1@readme.online.no>, artyak@altavista.net
says...
>
>In some countries it's not legal to use scanners. Is it possible to be
>tracked down and caught by simply listen in?

To put it simply "tempest" and variations thereof.You do the homework.

1790. Radio Shack 2037 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikerab@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36a0723e.20356553@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131185

I have a Radio Shack 2037 in great condition for sale. It has 200ch,
triple conversion.(this is a desktop unit, not a hand held) I'll take
the best offer over $100 or will ONLY trade for an electronic keyboard
such as a Casio ctk-611 or one with a midi interface to put on my
computer.
Email with any questions. Thank you
Mike mikerab@mindspring.com

1791. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <QKZn2.3217$DB1.3451@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 131186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John -Shit for Brains - KAOSSF wrote in message <36A0344C.7E6E@usa.net>...

>George Vetterle wrote:
>> It's unfortunate that you do not hold off ALL responses. Your failure
>> to do so proves that YOU are the instigator of ALL problems here.

>Well said, George, as we have all seen Phil's repeated posts of
>harassment
>& flaming here on this NG. Even time when I have been off the NG, the
>problems
>seem to continue with him & a few others as a common denominator.
>
>You certainly were correct, "how sad"!

He was correct imbecile, but it had nothing to do with Phil he was talking about you. What is your sickness little puppy? Are you so dull you really thought George was commenting about Phil? Has to be you are that dumb Chief Running Mouth. The only other answer is you are a rat ass lying bastard. Those are the only two possibilities John. Rat ass or moron. Which are you?

For clarity I have reposted George's complete post that you responded to. As usual, you did the rat ass liar retard thing and only posted a snippet and that was out of context. So how are all the folks back on the reservation in Iowa John? Those shit ass rat bastard kids you and that whore you were shacking up with still all screwed up? They ever get over their mental problems? Have you begun to seek help.

BELOW IS GEORGE'S ORIGINAL POST THAT JOHN S.F.B. LIED SAYING IT WAS DIRECTED TOWARD PHIL.

On Thu, 14 Jan 1999 21:42:11 -0800, John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
wrote:

>JWiley wrote:
>>
>> In article <77j29g$5jr$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> > And, that will be the last reply from ME on this "stupid, off topic,
>> > inflammatory post" as you so delicately put it.
>> >
>> > -Phil
>>
>> Ah, as I thought. Your previous statement claiming you were through
posting > _anything_ to do with the flames turns out to be as false as your

saying that you
>> were finished with the rec. scanner group.
>> Your credibility is now zero.
>
>WELL SAID!
>
>I intentially held off any response to Phil just to allow him the prove
>HIMSELF that HE is the instigator of the problems here!

It's unfortunate that you do not hold off ALL responses. Your failure
to do so proves that YOU are the instigator of ALL problems here.

How sad :(

--

George "Hollywood" Vetterle
geovet@thevision.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

1792. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <y%Zn2.3218$DB1.3405@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 131187

John - KAOSSF wrote in message <36A022BF.7E6C@usa.net>...
>Brad Kellison wrote:
>> I can't believe this type of response.. Where in the study guide does it
tell you
>> how to operate a repeater.. Now come one fellas.. have you look in one
and
>> wondered what they can do or how they work.. Hell I started as a
novice(licensed
>> for 16 years) and upgraded to tech plus but still didn't know alot
>
>Obviously you still don't know alot!

Nor do you. Why did not the Great John reply with quotes, page #'s or the like from the study guide to prove Brad wrong. Nope, the dope John cackles like a banty rooster making lots of noise but still no substance.

>I have nearly 10 years experience as an amateur radio examiner and
>teaching
>amateur radio classes for those attempting to get Technician & novice
>licenses
>in licensed amateur radio experience.

That sentence is brilliant John. You certainly have little formal education. Also, your supposed experience means nothing other than after nearly 10 years you are still piss poor. Too freely admit you are so stupid after 10 years is halariously funny. Do any of you elevators go to the top John?

>How much teaching & examination experience in amateur radio do you have?

Doesn't make any difference. It hasn't done you any good. You are still a social misfit.

>Repeaters are covered in both the novice & technician.

What does that mean smart boy? Covered? Novice and Tech what? The FCC question pool doesn't even scratch ther surface of repeater operation. If that is all one has to go on one would be all but lost on VHF/UHF.

1793. Re: CB radio/scanner - for y2k?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: billn@peak.org
Message-ID: <77q08l$e5c$5@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 131188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Brad Thompson ("BradThompson"@inreach.com) wrote:
:
: 1) The 800 MHz Public Safety-Trunked Radio System would
: go into "failsoft" mode.
:
: What would happen to the PS-TRS when it does go into the
: "failsoft" mode? How should we program our TT's? (Trunk Trackers)

When that happens, each talk group works on only one repeater, instead of jumping from one repeater to another. All the people on the same repeater would have to check before transmitting, as there would not be any controller to prohibit transmission on a repeater that is being used by someone else.

I don't think you have to worry about it. Most PS trunking systems are Y2K compliant already.

They generally have backup generators as well - in case the power grid fails for a while.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1794. Re: Not Secure: Panasonic 2.4GHz GigaRange cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: michael@ablecomm.com
Message-ID: <77q6fo$et0$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131189

In article <19981222165249.12602.00000246@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
radio911@aol.com (Radio911) wrote:
> Hi! I saw you recently purchased a 2.4 GHz portable phone. I'm less
concerned
> about privacy-but I want distance! Is this phone all that it's hyped to be?
> Does it exceed the 900 mhz units? Thanks for any feedback! Please check
> e-mail box when responding.
> Radio911 - Laine S. Herman
>

The Gigs are good, but Pan's own KX-TD7890 has longer range.

Review on my Website.

AbleComm,Inc. - www.ablecomm.com -- biggest source of Panasonic phone
system info; discounts for do-it-yourselfers

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1795. Problem with "joystick" button on AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: OErjan Hoff <oehoff@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <77q51oent1@romeo.dax.net>
Source record: 131190
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,dk.teknik.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello!
I have an brand new, but the "joystick" button is not working good, Any one else have this problem?
Are this an known failure?

Best regards
OErjan Hoff

1796. FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36A09BEF.43498304@ibm.net>
Source record: 131191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently asked on the radio newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
Picking A Scanner/Receiver
Scanner Glossary
Scanner Model FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
FCC Frequency Databases
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Co-ax Cable
Receiver Range
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargeable Battery Tips
Radio Discussion Lists
Radio Modifications
Radio Shack On-line Manuals
*Uniden On-line Manuals
Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
Used Scanner Price Guide
Radio Monitoring Software
*Receivers Covering Military Aero
My Web Page
Courtesy

*=Newly added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1797. FS: BC 590XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: ^Fretts <n2rif@kwiknet.net>
Message-ID: <e31o2.15$_32.621@news14.ispnews.com>
Source record: 131192
Cross-posted to: nj.forsale,pa.forsale,phl.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

A "Uniden Bearcat BC 590XLT" scanner. Has all bands
ham, wx, fire, police air & tech opened for more.. Many
features 'i.e. 100 programable memories, scans all band
ranges, instant scan wx, fire, police, air & more. Manual
& antenna included $80/obo+shipping. Like new. Does
not have 800-900mhz
--
Thom j. aka ^Fretts email: n2rif@kwiknet.net Or just
remove "knowspam" when you hit ur reply button..

1798. FS: All Ham Gear! Still Some Left

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: ^Fretts <n2rif@kwiknet.net>
Message-ID: <K%0o2.13$_32.573@news14.ispnews.com>
Source record: 131193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am leaving the hobby.. Below is my list of items forsale and
I have more not listed that would be better coming to my qth
to see if you want to purchase.. Basically I'm cleaning house!
Tnx 4 reading my post Thom j.

Items sold are marked as: *SOLD!!* Or might be *SOLD??*
[A]
Forsale: Astron 15amp power supply never used. New $80
asking $50/bo. Cant not ship.
[B]
4Sale "Glen Martin Roof Tower, Thrust Bearing & Heavy Duty!"
Aluminum Mast Price & Specs New from Glen Martin are below:
#1; New Glen Martin Roof Tower, Specs & Price...
Model: RT-424, Height: 4.5', Shelf to top: 34.75, Base width 24",
Max Ant. in sq ft @ 87mph@6 / 100mph @4.5 / 112mph @3.6,
Max Ant load: 100lbs Weight: 18lbs Price: $162.00+ Shipping
#2; New Glen Martin Heavy Duty Thrust Bearing, Specs & Price...
Thrust Bearing for rotating setups, premium quality, weatherized
twin bearing for 1.3 to 2.6 mast diameters. TB-25 Price: $89.95
#3; Glen Martin Heavy Duty Aluminum Mast, Specs & Price...
MA-2069 106" X 2.375" OD ,.145 wall aluminum hvy duty 12 lbs
$108.00 New Price for all $359.95 + Shipping and Un-Assembled.
Everything is like new and completely assembled. Asking $240/obo.
Must Pickup! Qth Burlington, NJ. I'm about 10 miles S. of Trenton
& 6 miles N.E. Of Phila, Pa.. Email or Call 609-386-9382 Or
if busy Call: 609-386-3272 {afternoon's only please!!}
[C] *SOLD??*=1272BX
Forsale: New never used MFJ 1272B microphone/tnc switch box.
Includes all original info docs, manual, & etc. New $39.95 each +
shipping or sales tax. Asking $29/bo shipped...
[D]
Forsale; Star Micronics. NX-2420 Color Printer
With tractor printing. Includes complete users manual, printer
guide, tractor paper, printer cable, dust cover & extra printer
ribbon. It is scratch free & works great. It is as close to new
as it can be. Price $70/obo Must pick up! You can see how
well everything looks & works. Will include like new printer
cart/table for a few extra dollars.
[E]
2 meter Cushcraft 8elem {ssb or fm} beam with 50ft coax,
RadioShack rotor & control box $80/obo for all.
[F]

Antron 99 with radial kit, 34ft telescopic mast w/ guy wire attachments & 50ft of coax. $50 for all. Mast needs good cleaning! The Antron 99 works great!
[H] 'Uniden Bearcat BC 590XLT' scanner. Has all bands ham, wx, fire, police air & tech opened for more.. Many features 'i.e. 100 programable memories, scans all band ranges, instant scan wx, fire, police, air & more. Manual and antenna included $90/obo Like new.. No 900mhz
[I]
Finally.. I have coax jumpers, guy-wire, L-N connectors, elbow connectors, 8x coax, plus other computer extras & more. This is something you should look at and see if you need any of it or not. Rather sell than have all this just sit. I haven't checked, priced nor seperated these items yet...

If you see anything or want to come here please re: me or call (609)386-9382. If busy call (609)386-3272 [please afternoon's only!! Ederly mother is very ill]
Cordially Thom j. de N2RIF aka ^Fretts -73
email: n2rif@kwiknet.net

1799. FreeTracker 3.0b1 was released (Computer program for BC895)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: see.the@reply.to
Message-ID: <78915B2CE4687F72.E782DE8B2588D653.D3ABA53663CCBAD7@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 131194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Version 3.0 Beta 1 of FreeTracker was released with lots of new features.
Homepage: http://www.AutoWinNet.com/freetrack.html

FreeTracker is a Windows95/NT program for interfacing with your Uniden BC895 TrunkTracking scanner.

What's New:

- NetTrunker (tm) - BC895 Internet Remote Control
You can control your scanner remotely over the Internet! FreeTracker becomes a server, processing requests from a client program on another computer.

- Added Direct commands for faster scanner communication
- Added Timeout for direct commands
- You can Prompt for which COM port upon startup
- Removed Trunk listings
- Removed Search Ranges
- Added multi-colored Gauge
- Added TrashCan for Trunk ID's
- Added Lockout Editing for Locked out Trunk ID's
- Added scanner output on main screen + you can enter commands directly
- Added colorful hints
- Added DEBUG mode (for entering commands). Use: SCANNER.EXE /DEBUG and an extra window will appear on the bottom left
- Command box turns grey during command execution

Ok guys, now here's the scoop:

FreeTracker is an Internet server (sort of), allowing remote control over the Net from your work, a friend's computer, etc. It uses port 4275, and the client computer sends commands, enclosed with <>. For example: <IL>, <KEY00>, etc. Check the menu item SETTINGS/ACCEPT INTERNET CONNECTIONS to enable.

I'm working on a client program, but if you're impatient, then open up a telnet program, set the IP to 127.0.0.1 (your local IP), and port to 4275, then connect. You'll see the output from FreeTracker.

Second biggest change is the direct communications with your BC895. Instead of "passive scanning", I'm using ActiveScanning by sending a command, and waiting for a response. Change the new TIMEOUT period under SETTINGS/CONFIGURATION to tweak it.

Also added DEBUG MODE. Run your FreeTracker with SCANNER.EXE /DEBUG
to enable the little secret box at the lower left. That allows
typing in commands directly into BC895, like KEY00 or KEY01, IL, etc.
Enjoy!

Last biggest change is the TRASHCAN for Trunk ID's. Now you can
temporarily lockout the Water department, then restore them later
when that irritating employee has gone home. All Trashed ID's are
displayed by hovering over the TrashCan button for a second.

Description:

- Descriptions - You can store Descriptions, Callsigns, and Locations
for frequencies and Trunk Talkgroups/ID's. When that freq/talkgroup
appears, a description is shown, along with Callsign, City/State, etc.

- Alarms: You can assign a Wav file, graphic, .RTF file, speaker beep,
or run a script while that freq/group is active. For example, have all
local police codes shown during police calls. I just added some Cool
bitmaps that appear with local police and fire stations

- Colorful charts and graphs show you what frequencies/talkgroups are
most active

- Powerful script language lets you create your own custom scripts,
and run when a certain event happens on your scanner.

- PerCon database lookups from their web page (stored as html) can be
imported. Also imports from Grove, Probe, and PerCon Dbf files!

- Drag and Drop channel editing - throw any database entry to a
channel, or vice versa. If that frequency is already assigned, it
zooms to the channel # to avoid a duplication

- Activity Report is color coded - you can assign any color to any
specific freq/talkgroup

- Lookup ham radio callsigns on QRZ cdrom

- Run custom queries against any FreeTracker database

- All BC895 keys are supported, allowing a virtual radio on your
desktop

- Database-editing in a simple grid. Click the record you want, and
change any value

- Communicate with your radio interactively - type any command in, and
see the response.

- Power users can utilize the quick-command box. Simply key in a
command word to change frequencies, channels, scan, hold, search,
manual, etc.

- Export all scanner channels/freqs to a text file or Dbase, then
import back into the scanner at a later date.

- Complete logging to a file, or printing just about anything.

- Export your Channel/talkgroup database to a HTML file for posting on your favorite web page.

- Multiple copies can be run for multiple BC895 scanners

1800. Re: are the uniden & r.s. trunk trackers the same ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <not@home.here>
Message-ID: <36A098E0.46B9@home.here>
Source record: 131195

JTWard01 wrote:
> Uniden will be releasing the 245XLT in June. The radio will track not only
> Motorola, but also GE/Ericsson trunked systems.
>
Has anyone heard if this model will trunk outside the 800 band?

1801. FS: DX390 w/Manual--B/O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tekbir <wbeachy@erols.com>
Message-ID: <36A09A18.6AE5@erols.com>
Source record: 131196
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.shortwave

For Sale: Radio Shack DX-390 AM/FM World-Band Portable Radio with
Owners Manual

Condition: Like New
Price: Best Offer

Reason for Selling: Need to pay Radio Shack Credit Card Bill

Email me with your best offer! (I need to pay this bill.)

UPDATE: I have yet to receive a decent offer. It's still up for grabs,
though, if anyone wants it! Email me your offer now.

Regards,
Tekbir
--
'Work, for the night is coming;
work thro' the sunny noon.
Fill ev'ry hour with labour,
rest comes sure and soon.'
Hymn Number 323
Words: Walker, A.Lï¿½ï¿½ Melody: Mason, L

1802. Cell mod ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990116100421.25452.00001654@ng133.aol.com>
Source record: 131197

Yep

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1803. Cross # needed for Zenith xistrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: PAW <73457.650@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <#EJGIRWQ#GA.275@nih2naab.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 131198
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Looking for cross nos for Zenith
121-193
121-64
121-332
121-333
121-334
121-335

--
PAW
(W9JMN@Compuserve.com) Check our site for mikes, ham, video, etc
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/w9jmn

1804. Re: New Grove Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Pemble <pemble@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <77qcsl$6or@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131199

Typo:

it is: www.grove-ent.com

-ent not -end

cheers
Rick

Roger D. Cravens, Jr. <"ke4yqz[nospam]"@mindspring.com> wrote in message
<36a00070.136241288@news.mindspring.com>...
>I happened to be surfing the web the other day and happened to
>notice that Grove Enterprises has setup their new catalog on-line.
>
>The address is: www.grove-end.com
>
>Order something online will save a lot of time if you're in a hurry
>for something.
>
>I thought it was a good idea anyway!
>
>
>**
>* Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
>* John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
>**
>* Roger Cravens *
>* South East Signals Intelligence Group *
>* Atlanta, GA *
>**
>* Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
>**

1805. Re: New Grove Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Pemble <pemble@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <77qcq2$6nr@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131200

grove.net is an Internet service provider in North Carolina.
Grove Enterprises is grove-ent.com
try order@grove-ent.com
(unless you are trying to order internet service in N.C.)

cheers

Rick

bfwinstead wrote in message
<06E5C0F9AE79714B.6AA92883843D83E1.FBFA338C34318BFA@library-proxy.airnews.ne
t>...
>Last week I sent 4 email inquiries to order@grove.net and failed
>to get a response. Sorta blew my image of grove as a first-class outfit.
>
>
>Roger D. Cravens, Jr. wrote:
>>
>> I happened to be surfing the web the other day and happened to
>> notice that Grove Enterprises has setup their new catalog on-line.
>>
>> The address is: www.grove-end.com
>>
>> Order something online will save a lot of time if you're in a hurry
>> for something.
>>
>> I thought it was a good idea anyway!
>>
>> **
>> * Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
>> * John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
>> **
>> * Roger Cravens *
>> * South East Signals Intelligence Group *
>> * Atlanta, GA *
>> **
>> * Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
>> **

1806. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Watkins <vwatkins@wt.net>
Message-ID: <77qg6o$sv9$1@sys14.hou.wt.net>
Source record: 131201

Charlie,

You seem to have a thorough disregard for the traffic laws of your state. Why do you think that you are above the law? Laws are enacted for a reason and usually are derived from a specific incident that occurred from some inconsiderate person like yourself that has no respect for your peers. I'll bet the farm that you operate your motor vehicle in a reckless fashion while transporting your own family! Could be "Endangering a Child" etc. in Texas.

For your information, I can vouch for at least one Sheriff's Office in Texas that utilize the same tactics that the Honorable Michigan State Police employ,
and more that would really discourage your unsafe driving characteristics. Come into Texas and I am positive that you will find an obliging Peace Officer
that is more than willing to do his job and educate you on ways to improve your
driving skills that will in turn make the public roadways a safer place to be.

Drive safe!

Victor

>> Michigan ASTRO jockeys. Seeing how
>> they sit in the medians under bridge abutments
>> in the middle of the night, lights off, shrouded
>> in ASTRO digital secrecy, it seems the only
>> way to detect them is hoping some alert truck
>> driver gives a warning on CB channel 19, or if
>> you are lucky enough to have your radar/laser
>> detector pick them up hitting a couple cars ahead
>> of you. But, I forgot about their car repeaters and
>> the Uniden BCT-12 Repeater-Picker-Upper. So,
>> my question is: Even though MSP are using ASTRO,
>> can the BCT-12 still pick up their car repeater signal
>> and produce a proximity alert ??? Anybody in
>> Michigan using a BCT-7 or BCT-12 and have
>> experience with this?

1807. Re: Cross # needed for Zenith xistrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Bolin <kb8nn@erinet.com>
Message-ID: <77qi31$e8$1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 131202
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

PAW <73457.650@CompuServe.COM> wrote in message
<#EJGIRWQ#GA.275@nih2naab.prod2.compuserve.com>...
>Looking for cross nos for Zenith
>121-193= nte 102A
>121-64= nte 102A
>121-332 nte 160
>121-333 "
>121-334 "
>121-335 "
> Jim KB8NN

1808. Re: BANDERCOM??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: lynn mindel & roger grissom <maple@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <36A1043D.209@mindspring.com>
Source record: 131203

hurst_m@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> I ordered a radio from them for about $280. It took them a week to process
> the order and another 2 weeks for the radio to arrive, but this was probably
> due to the Christmas rush and backlog in the postal system. They gave me the
> best price, were honest, and the radio arrived, so I would consider ordering
> from them again if I wanted another radio. However, I would avoid ordering
> in December.
>
> In article <369F8345.11AD@worldnet.att.net>,
> Lawrence Davis <stang6768@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > Has anyone done business with them? Understandably I am nervous about
> > ordering an 8200 and not receiving it!! Any good/Bad experiences out
> > there?? Also does anyone know if a FCC GROL would permit you to buy
> > cellular capable equipment in the us from a distributor?? Thanks, Larry
> >
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
I have the same problem, I ordered on the 29th. of December and due to
holidays and what-not the radio was not shipped until around January the
11th. I still don't have the radio yet but maybe this week. When I
emailed for information about their return policy in case the radio was
not what I wanted I did not get a reply, so at this point in the process
I probably would not buy from them again.

Roger

1809. Re: Cross # needed for Zenith xistrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <77qm2a$8g$1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 131204
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <#EJGIRWQ#GA.275@nih2naab.prod2.compuserve.com>, PAW <73457.650@CompuServe.COM> wrote:
>Looking for cross nos for Zenith

>121-193
MFTR. PART # ECG PART # ECG Description
121-193 102A T-PNP, Ge, AF Preamp, Dr, PO

>121-64
MFTR. PART # ECG PART # ECG Description
121-64 102A T-PNP, Ge, AF Preamp, Dr, PO

>121-332
MFTR. PART # ECG PART # ECG Description
121-332 160 T-PNP, Ge, RF/IF Amp, Osc, Mxr, AM/FM

>121-333
MFTR. PART # ECG PART # ECG Description
121-333 160 T-PNP, Ge, RF/IF Amp, Osc, Mxr, AM/FM

>121-334
MFTR. PART # ECG PART # ECG Description
121-334 160 T-PNP, Ge, RF/IF Amp, Osc, Mxr, AM/FM

>121-335
MFTR. PART # ECG PART # ECG Description
121-335 160 T-PNP, Ge, RF/IF Amp, Osc, Mxr, AM/FM

>

1810. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-1601991243010001@k56-ip-71.theramp.net>
Source record: 131205

In article <36a0249f.50646067@nntp.usit.net>, joeodom@usit.net wrote:

> Glenn P Caron <gpcaronxgi@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >As a radio reciever emits no RF power, there is no way to track one down.
> >
> >ArtYs wrote:
> >
> >> In some countries it's not legal to use scanners. Is it possible to be
> >> tracked down and caught by simply listen in?
> >>
> >> A.
> >
> >This is not entirely correct!! Receivers do radiate a small rf signal
from the IF stages...This is why you can't use a
> receiver in an airplane in flight. There is concern that the small
> amount of signal might interfere with the plane's navigation systems..
>
> But to your original question, it would take very specialized and
> sensitive equipment to pick you up, even though it is possible in
> theory.
> >

This is how Great Britian enforces it's TV license laws. They have vans
that detect receivers, and then they compare it against the database of
license holders. (In GB you need a license for TV receivers, this pays for
BBC services)

I have also heard of similar equipment for radar detectors in Ontario.
Since most receivers DO actually emit RF signals due to superhetrodyne
characteristics there should be a way of detecting thier use should it
come to that.

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**********************g3mtnw**********************************

1811. Australian frequency allocations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <36A0DE9C.B7636612@analon.com>
Source record: 131206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

found this while searching for stuff from australia

9.700 to
31.000
LOW POWERED MODELS / CORDLESS TELEPHONE
OUTPUTS
31.000
32.000
REPEATER OUTPUT - 50 CHANNELS
32.000
33.000
DEFENCE ALLOCATION
33.000
34.000
REPEATER INPUT - 50 CHANNELS
34.000
35.000
DEFENCE ALLOCATION
35.000
36.000
REPEATER OUTPUT - 50 CHANNELS
36.000
37.000
REMOTE CONTROL MODELS
37.000
38.000
REPEATER INPUT - 50 CHANNELS
38.000
39.000
DEFENCE ALLOCATION
39.000
41.000
CORDLESS TELEPHONES INPUTS, INDUSTRIAL MEDICAL
PAGING
41.000
42.000
DEFENCE ALLOCATION
42.000
43.000
REPEATER OUTPUT - 50 CHANNELS
43.000
44.000
DEFENCE ALLOCATION

44.000
45.000
REPEATER INPUT - 50 CHANNELS
45.000
52.000
TV CHANNEL 0
50.000
54.000
AMATEUR SIX METRE BAND
54.000
56.000
LOW POWER SERVICES - INCLUDING HAND HELD UNITS
56.000
63.000
TV CHANNEL 1
63.000
70.000
TV CHANNEL 2
70.000
70.2435
SIMPLEX - 19 CHANNELS
70.2435
72.2935
REPEATER OUTPUT - 164 CHANNELS
72.2935
72.7435
SIMPLEX - 36 CHANNELS
72.7435
74.800
REPEATER INPUT - 164 CHANNELS
74.800
75.200
AIRCRAFT NAVIGATION BAND
75.200
77.2935
SIMPLEX - 167 CHANNELS
77.2935
77.4935
SIMPLEX - 16 CHANNELS
77.4935
79.8065
REPEATER OUTPUT - 185 CHANNELS
79.8065
79.9935
SIMPLEX - 15 CHANNELS
79.9935
82.3065
REPEATER INPUT - 185 CHANNELS
82.3065
82.4935

SIMPLEX - 15 CHANNELS
82.4935
83.7435
REPEATER INPUT - 100 CHANNELS
83.7435
84.6935
REPEATER INPUT OR SIMPLEX - 76 CHANNELS
84.6935
84.9935
SIMPLEX - 64 CHANNELS
84.9935
86.2435
REPEATER OUTPUT - 100 CHANNELS
86.2435
87.1935
REPEATER OUTPUT OR SIMPLEX - 76 CHANNELS
87.1935
87.500
SIMPLEX - 24 CHANNELS
87.500
108.000
FM BROADCAST BAND
94.000
101.000
TV CHANNEL 4
101.000
108.000
TV CHANNEL 5
108.000
118.000
AVIATION RADIO NAVIGATION BAND
118.000
137.000
AVIATION RADIO COMMUNICATION BAND
137.000
144.000
TV CHANNEL 5A
144.000
148.000
AMATEUR RADIO TWO METRE BAND
148.000
149.250
PAGING SERVICE - 50 CHANNELS
149.250
149.755
REPEATER OUTPUT - 80 CHANNELS
149.755
149.900
SIMPLEX - 11 CHANNELS
150.000

151.395
REPEATER OUTPUT - 80 CHANNELS
151.395
152.495
SIMPLEX - 88 CHANNELS
152.495
153.850
SIMPLEX - 108 CHANNELS
153.850
154.355
REPEATER INPUT - 80 CHANNELS
154.355
154.655
SIMPLEX - 23 CHANNELS
154.655
156.000
REPEATER INPUT - 107 CHANNELS
156.000
157.450
MARITIME MOBILE ALLOCATIONS
157.450
158.295
REPEATER INPUT OR SIMPLEX - 80 CHANNELS
158.295
160.600
REPEATER INPUT - 184 CHANNELS
160.600
160.975
MARITIME MOBILE ALLOCATIONS
160.975
161.475
SIMPLEX - 39 CHANNELS
161.475
162.050
MARITIME MOBILE ALLOCATIONS (SEA PHONE)
162.050
162.895
REPEATER OUTPUT OR SIMPLEX - 67 CHANNELS
162.895
165.195
TRUNKED REPEATER OUTPUT - 240 CHANNELS
168.195
169.795
SIMPLEX -128 CHANNELS
169.795
172.795
TRUNKED REPEATER INPUT - 240 CHANNELS
172.795
173.295
SIMPLEX - 40 CHANNELS

173.295
174.000
SIMPLEX - 56 CHANNELS
174.000
181.000
TV CHANNEL 6
181.000
188.000
TV CHANNEL 7
188.000
195.000
TV CHANNEL 8
195.000
202.000
TV CHANNEL 9
202.000
208.000
DISTANCE MEASURING EQUIPMENT (DME) AIRCRAFT
208.000
215.000
TV CHANNEL 10
215.000
222.000
TV CHANNEL 11
222.000
225.000
DISTANCE MEASURING EQUIPMENT (DME) AIRCRAFT
225.000
400.000
DEFENCE ALLOCATION (AIRCRAFT)
400.000
403.000
WEATHER AIDS
403.000
404.000
REPEATER INPUT - 40 CHANNELS
404.000
405.000
LINKS - 40 CHANNELS (INPUT)
405.000
405.985
REPEATER INPUT - 40 CHANNELS
406.115
408.625
TRUNKED REPEATER INPUT - 200 CHANNELS
408.625
410.550
REPEATER INPUT - 77 CHANNELS
410.550
411.550

SIMPLEX - 40 CHANNELS
411.550
412.450
SIMPLEX - 72 CHANNELS
412.450
413.450
REPEATER OUTPUT - 40 CHANNELS
413.450
414.450
LINKS - 40 CHANNELS (OUTPUT)
414.450
415.435
REPEATER OUTPUT - 40 CHANNELS
415.435
418.075
TRUNKED REPEATER OUTPUT - 200 CHANNELS
418.075
420.000
REPEATER OUTPUT - 77 CHANNELS
420.000
450.000
AMATEUR RADIO BAND
450.000
450.050
SIMPLEX - 20 CHANNELS
450.050
452.500
LINKS - 120 CHANNELS (INPUT)
452.500
460.000
REPEATER INPUT - 340 CHANNELS
460.000
462.000
LINKS - 120 CHANNELS (OUTPUT)
462.000
469.500
REPEATER OUTPUT - 340 CHANNELS
469.500
470.000
SIMPLEX - 20 CHANNELS
470.000
471.215
REPEATER OUTPUT - 50 CHANNELS
471.215
472.215
SIMPLEX - 40 CHANNELS
472.215
474.800
REPEATER OUTPUT - 100 CHANNELS
474.800

475.200
SIMPLEX - 16 CHANNELS
475.200
476.400
REPEATER INPUT - 50 CHANNELS
476.400
477.400
UHF CB - 40 CHANNELS
477.400
480.000
REPEATER INPUT - 100 CHANNELS

480.000
484.800
REPEATER INPUT - 190 CHANNELS
484.800
485.200
SIMPLEX - 16 CHANNELS
485.200
490.000
REPEATER OUTPUT - 100 CHANNELS
490.000
494.800
REPEATER OUTPUT - 190 CHANNELS
494.800
495.200
SIMPLEX - 16 CHANNELS
495.200
500.000
REPEATER INPUT - 190 CHANNELS
500.000
501.000
REPEATER OUTPUT - 80 CHANNELS
501.000
505.000
USE AS YET UNDETERMINED
505.000
507.000
REPEATER OUTPUT - 240 CHANNELS
507.000
509.525
REPEATER OUTPUT - 100 CHANNELS
509.525
510.000
SIMPLEX - 20 CHANNELS
510.000
511.000
REPEATER INPUT - 80 CHANNELS
511.000
515.000

USE AS YET UNDETERMINED
515.000
517.000
REPEATER INPUTS - 240 CHANNELS
517.000
519.525
REPEATER INPUTS - 100 CHANNELS
519.525
520.000
SIMPLEX - 20 CHANNELS
526.000
820.000
TELEVISION CHANNELS UHF, BANDS IV & V
820.000
825.000
TRUNKED REPEATER INPUT - 200 CHANNELS
825.000
845.000
ANALOGUE CELLULAR PHONE INPUTS - 666 CHANNELS
845.000
852.000
STUDIO TO TRANSMITTER BROADCAST LINKS
852.000
860.000
LINKS AND LOW CAPACITY SERVICES
860.000
861.000
NOT ALLOCATED
861.000
865.000
CORDLESS TELEPHONES
865.000
870.000
TRUNKED REPEATER OUTPUTS - 200 CHANNELS
870.000
890.000
ANALOGUE CELLULAR PHONE OUTPUTS - 666
CHANNELS
890.000
900.000
DIGITAL CELLULAR INPUTS
900.000
905.000
TELSTRA DIGITAL CELLULAR INPUT
905.000
910.000
OPTUS DIGITAL CELLULAR INPUT
910.000
915.000
VODAFONE DIGITAL CELLULAR INPUT

915.000
928.000
RADIO LOCATION, INDUSTRIAL, SCIENTIFIC, MEDICAL
928.000
935.000
LINKS AND LOW CAPACITY SERVICES
935.000
945.000
DIGITAL CELLULAR OUTPUT
945.000
950.000
TELSTRA DIGITAL CELLULAR OUTPUT
950.000
955.000
OPTUS DIGITAL CELLULAR OUTPUT
955.000
960.000
VODAFONE DIGITAL CELLULAR OUTPUT

--

> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
<
> Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(: MS-Windows
):<
> Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
> if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
<

1812. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990116140226.24061.00002278@ng12.aol.com>
Source record: 131207

>From: "Victor Watkins" <vwatkins@wt.net>

>You seem to have a thorough disregard for the traffic laws of your state.
>Why do you think that you are above the law? Laws are enacted for a reason
>and usually are derived from a specific incident that occurred from some
>inconsiderate person like yourself that has no respect for your peers.

What bullshit ! The 55 MPH speed limit enacted in 1974 was the direct result of the Arab nations in OPEC declaring an oil embargo to the United States. Consequently, Congress passed a national maximum of 55 MPH on all highways to ensure that our oil reserves, for converting into gasoline, would not be depleted in X-amount of years. Since the oil now flows freely to our United States, we are once again at 70 MPH in most states (closer to what we should have been all the time) and in Montana we even have a controlled "unlimited speed limit."

>I'll
>bet the farm that you operate your motor vehicle in a reckless fashion
>while transporting your own family! Could be "Endangering a Child" etc. in
>Texas.

I'll bet I could drive circles around you and most law enforcement officers on duty (not that I would ever run from the police) in their big heavy cruisers (maybe not the 5.0's and IROCs). But, simply answer this, " what handles better: my Corvette or a Chevrolet Caprice / Ford Crown Victoria?" Care to wager against me on a controlled-closed, maneuverability course ?

>For your information, I can vouch for at least one Sheriff's Office in Texas
>that utilize the same tactics that the Honorable Michigan State Police
>employ,
>and more that would really discourage your unsafe driving characteristics.

Well, if your idea of "police enforcement" is to conceal yourself at night behind objects with your lights off and all police identification hidden from the public while monitoring public activities, then you won't mind them putting cameras and microphones in all the public restrooms and public restaurants that you frequent as well as read your income tax statements (a public record) as well as monitor your activities outside your home 24 hrs a day and compile daily reports of your activities to the public, right ? After all, if you've got nothing to hide..... I prefer "the old fashioned police" who used to stand on corners and walk the beat in their uniforms and talk to the little kids; whatever happened to "high profile" police ???

>Come into Texas and I am positive that you will find an obliging Peace
>Officer
>that is more than willing to do his job and educate you on ways to improve

>your
>driving skills that will in turn make the public roadways a safer place to
>be.

Your Great Texas Governor Ann Richards ("George Bush has a silver foot in his mouth") put it this way, "We can't expect law-abiding citizens traversing the Great State of Texas to drive across Texas at 55 MPH." Consequently, your idiotic Texas State Legislature agreed and finally appealed the ridiculous Texas 55 MPH speed limit in 1990 maybe. What is it now, 65 or something ?
You idiot, you can't even back up your own words with the actions of your own state. I bet lots of Texas "Peace Officers" were really pissed-off that they couldn't enforce the 55 MPH state-wide speed limit anymore with their K-Mart quality radar guns; I bet you were crying, too.
hahahaha.... Go hide behind your tree before someone sees you.

talkyal

1813. BC200XLT Which Resistor to cut?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul/Sherrie <pludwig@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <77qp3rgfa1@camel29.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131208
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am trying to modify for cellular. I have looked around at all the mod pages but I am still not positive which resistor.

Some refer to the (Brown-Black-Orange) resistor above DEN in Uniden on the1147 chip. Mine has gray-tan-gray part on the left above the U in Uniden and above the den in Uniden is a flat very small part with the number 103 on it. These look to be surface mount...no leads through the board.

I saw on mod say to remove the resistor with the number 215 above the DEN in Uniden. On my board that is a surface mount part labeled 103.

Is this resistor the one directly below a screw

Tell me which please....Any advice would help

1814. Re: Y2K File (funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990116144530.24876.00000408@ng51.aol.com>
Source record: 131209

Thank you...I laughed MYASS off!

Tom
Tbone107@aol.com

1815. Source code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Esoterix <NOSPAM@NO.SPAM>
Message-ID: <36A0EFAD.3F90A8AC@NO.SPAM>
Source record: 131210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can someone post a C code listing which performs a frequency change on an Icom R-10 through the CI-V port?

Thanks in advance.

Esoterix

1816. if you have a web site about scanning, please read this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duez Sam <McLeod1455@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <36A0E711.C9E46A83@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131211

My homepage is the Frequency Bookmark
http://www.fortunecity.com/meltingpot/salash/186/
It's a kind of bookmark about Scanning. At the beginning, I'd have liked

to update once a week; but I'm student, so I don't have enough to do it
and in
France, scanning is prohibited.

So if you have a page about scanning, please send me your URL
via Email or to my page on the "add URL section".
I will try to update my site

Thank you

Sam

1817. Re: Source code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Pemble <pemble@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <77qtrf$gns@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131212
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't know how you do it on an R-10 (don't know what an R-10 is).
But, here is a sample code on how to read the frequency and mode of just about every other Icom CI-V radio.
I wrote this as a test code segment to verify I can read the radio. The code just continuosly puts the frequency and mode on the screen as a copy cat of the radio's display.

This works for the R-7000, and according to my CI-V reference manual, should work for the dozen or so other CI-V radios listed. The book does not list the R-10 so I guess it came out after the reference manual.

At any rate, this may give you an idea. The INIT functions initializes the COM port and the Command buffer with the Preamble (two 0xFE , the radios address and the receivers address (the computer))

The MAIN loop just continuously sends out a "READ FREQ" command until a key is hit. The PROC_IN function does that, it processess any input from the radio. Since this a a test code segment, it only process frequency info and mode info. To expand it to any possible response, just add to the switch statement.

Same for the command, just change the read freq command (0x03) to whatever command you want.

have fun.

Cheers

Rick W4RP

```
#include <cport.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <math.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <conio.h>

COM com;

unsigned char out_buff[20],in_buff[50],tmp[80];
unsigned char ch_in;

unsigned int out_len,in_len;
int rtn_stat;

unsigned long int currf;
```

```
void send_read_freq(void);
void init(void), r_exit(void);
int proc_in_buff(void);

main()
{
int x,y,z;

init(); /* Initialize the COM port and the Command buffer */

send_read_freq(); /* Send the command to read the radios current
Frequency */

/* This loop stays in a continuous read the freq from the radio and put in
on the CRT until a key is hit */

while(!kbhit()) {
if(in_len = ComRxScan(com, 0xFD)) {
ComIn(com,in_buff,in_len);
for(x=0;x<in_len;x++) printf("%02X ",in_buff[x]);
proc_in_buff();
}
}

return (1);
}

void send_read_freq()
{

out_buff[4] = 0x03; /* Command for READ FREQ */
out_buff[5] = 0xFD; /* End of Message key */
out_len = 6; /* Length of command message */

rtn_stat = ComOut(com,out_buff,out_len); /* Send the command */

/* Check for error */

if(rtn_stat != out_len) {
printf("ERROR: Send_read_freq stat = %X, out_len =
%d\n",rtn_stat,out_len);
exit(0);
}
}

int proc_in_buff()
{
int mhz,khz,hz;
char ftemp[80], mode[10], mtemp[10];
int x;

if(in_buff[3] == 0xAA) {
printf("<>\n");
return 0;
}
```

```
switch (in_buff[4]) {
case 03 :
case 00 :
sprintf(tmp,"%d%d%d\000",
(in_buff[9] & 0x0f),
(in_buff[8] & 0xf0) >> 4,
in_buff[8] & 0x0f);
mhz = atoi(tmp);

sprintf(tmp,"%d%d%d\000",
(in_buff[7] & 0xf0) >> 4,
in_buff[7] & 0x0f,
(in_buff[6] & 0xf0) >> 4);
khz = atoi(tmp);

sprintf(tmp,"%d%d%d\000",
in_buff[6] & 0x0f,
(in_buff[5] & 0xf0) >> 4,
in_buff[5] & 0x0f);
hz = atoi(tmp);

if(in_buff[5] != 0xFF) {
sprintf(ftemp,"%3d.%03d.%03d ",mhz,khz,hz);
}
else sprintf(ftemp,"** BLANK ** ");

sprintf(tmp,"%3d%03d%03d ",mhz,khz,hz);
currf = atol(tmp);

printf("%s \n",ftemp);

return 1;

case 0x01 :
case 0x04 :
if(in_len < 7) break;
switch (in_buff[5]) {
case 00 : strcpy(mode,"LSB");
break;
case 01 : strcpy(mode,"USB");
break;
case 02 : strcpy(mode,"AM");
break;
case 03 : strcpy(mode,"CW");
break;
case 04 : strcpy(mode,"RTTY");
break;
case 05 : switch (in_buff[6]) {
case 00 : strcpy(mode,"SSB");
break;
case 02 : strcpy(mode,"FMN");
break;
case 0xFD:strcpy(mode,"FM");
```

```
break;
default : sprintf(mode,"MODE: 05
%02X",in_buff[6]);
break;
}
break;
case 0xFF : strcpy(mode," ");
break;

default :
sprintf(mode,"MODE: %X",in_buff[5]);
for(x=0;x<in_len;x++)
printf(":%02X: ",in_buff[x]);
printf("\n");
break;
}
sprintf(mtemp,"%-3s ",mode);
printf("%s\n",mtemp);
return 1;

case 0xFB :
printf("CMD ACCEPTED\n");
return 1;

case 0xFA :
printf("CMD REJECTED\n");
return 1;

default : return 0;

} /* end SWITCH /case stmnt */

return 1;
}

/* program Initialization */

void init()
{

/* Initialize the COM port */

com = ComOpen(COM1,B9600,W8|S1|NONE,4096,512);
if(com == NULL) {
puts("Bummer, Dude \n");
exit(comopen_errno);
}

/* Initialize the command buffer header */

out_buff[0] = 0xFE; /* Preamble */
out_buff[1] = 0xFE; /* Preamble */
out_buff[2] = 0x08; /* Receive Address */
out_buff[3] = 0xAA; /* R7000 address */
```

```
}

void r_exit()
{
ComClose(com);
printf("Com Close .... Exit \n\n");
}
```

Esoterix wrote in message <36A0EFAD.3F90A8AC@NO.SPAM>...
>Can someone post a C code listing which performs a frequency change on
>an Icom R-10 through the CI-V port?
>
>Thanks in advance.
>
>Esoterix
>

1818. Re: Source code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Pemble <pemble@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <77qu1b$gpt@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131213
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

P.S. here is another piece of code where the frequency is set to whatever
is entered on the keyboard.

```
case 'F' : addr(23,1);
printf("Enter Freq: ");
erase_eol();
buff[4] = 0x05;
for(x=0;x<15;x++)tmp[x]=0;
gets(tmp);
for(x=0;x<9;x+=2)
buff[5+(x/2)]=((tmp[7-x]&0x0f)<<4)|(tmp[7-x+1]&0x0f);
buff[9] &= 0x0F;
buff[10] = 0xFD;
len = 11;
y = xc_put(COM2,buff,&len);
while(radin(1) != 1);
read_freq(1);
break;
```

cheers

Rick W4RP

Esoterix wrote in message <36A0EFAD.3F90A8AC@NO.SPAM>...
>Can someone post a C code listing which performs a frequency change on
>an Icom R-10 through the CI-V port?
>
>Thanks in advance.
>
>Esoterix
>

1819. Re: BANDERCOM??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dan baxter <dandeb11@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <77qsal$re4$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131214

I ordered from javiation on the 18th of dec. and the radio was mailed on the
23rd of dec and I got it on the 28th of dec and the price was better than
bandercom
hurst_m@hotmail.com wrote in message <77p6lj$m29$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>I ordered a radio from them for about $280. It took them a week to process
>the order and another 2 weeks for the radio to arrive, but this was
probably
>due to the Christmas rush and backlog in the postal system. They gave me
the
>best price, were honest, and the radio arrived, so I would consider
ordering
>from them again if I wanted another radio. However, I would avoid ordering
>in December.
>
>In article <369F8345.11AD@worldnet.att.net>,
> Lawrence Davis <stang6768@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>> Has anyone done business with them? Understandably I am nervous about
>> ordering an 8200 and not receiving it!! Any good/Bad experiences out
>> there?? Also does anyone know if a FCC GROL would permit you to buy
>> cellular capable equipment in the us from a distributor?? Thanks, Larry
>>
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1820. Re: New Grove Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <6CAB4B959CBFF7A3.29ED1CC50B94C57F.5A31CBAFDB41E576@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 131215

With all due respect, order@grove.net is listed on
the Monitoring Times link at www.grove-ent.com for MT
orders. You could look it up.

Rick Pemble wrote:
>
> grove.net is an Internet service provider in North Carolina.
> Grove Enterprises is grove-ent.com
> try order@grove-ent.com
> (unless you are trying to order internet service in N.C.)
>
> cheers
>
> Rick
>
> bfwinstead wrote in message
> <06E5C0F9AE79714B.6AA92883843D83E1.FBFA338C34318BFA@library-proxy.airnews.ne
> t>...
> >Last week I sent 4 email inquiries to order@grove.net and failed
> >to get a response. Sorta blew my image of grove as a first-class outfit.
> >
> >
> >Roger D. Cravens, Jr. wrote:
> >>
> >> I happened to be surfing the web the other day and happened to
> >> notice that Grove Enterprises has setup their new catalog on-line.
> >>
> >> The address is: www.grove-end.com
> >>
> >> Order something online will save a lot of time if you're in a hurry
> >> for something.
> >>
> >> I thought it was a good idea anyway!
> >>
> >> **
> >> * Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
> >> * John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
> >> **
> >> * Roger Cravens *
> >> * South East Signals Intelligence Group *
> >> * Atlanta, GA *
> >> **
> >> * Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
> >> **

1821. IC-R10 information pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jstuyven@xs4all.nl
Message-ID: <36a1029d.1963209@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 131216

Hello everyone,

If you own an IC-R10 and would like to find out more details about it
and meet other users, take a look at:

http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven

Not every link will work, and not all pages are on-line yet, but I
think you will like the restyling I did.

Regards,

Joost

==========
For IC-R10 information:

http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven

Joost van Stuyvenberg
Utrecht, The Netherlands
jstuyven@xs4all.nl
==========

1822. Re: BC200XLT Which Resistor to cut?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990116165221.28734.00000812@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 131217

>Subject: BC200XLT Which Resistor to cut?
>From: "Paul/Sherrie" <pludwig@mindspring.com>
>I am trying to modify for cellular. I have looked around at all the mod
>pages but I am still not positive which resistor.
>

Go to my site below and then to the Mod Illustrations selection. There you'll find a graphic illustration and detailed explanation of the BC200xlt cell mod along with some others.
You'll need Adobe reader to view it but you can get that free from many web sites.

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1823. Re: IC-R10 information pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990116164834.28734.00000807@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 131218

>Subject: IC-R10 information pages
>From: jstuyven@xs4all.nl (Joost van Stuyvenberg)
>Date: 1/16/99 2:21 PM Mountain Standard Time
>If you own an IC-R10 and would like to find out more details about it
>and meet other users, take a look at:
>
> http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven

And let me second this as a GREAT R10 site !!! Thanks Joost for your efforts
!!!

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1824. Kenwood R5000 and RS-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duez Sam <McLeod1455@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <36A103DF.409C1C09@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131219

Where can I find a RS232 Interface for Kenwood R5000 ?
Hardware and Software

Thanks

1825. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Davis" <artellad@hitter.net>
Message-ID: <36A11168.5EFC@hitter.net>
Source record: 131220

As for the British capabilities to detect receivers (aside from the TV police), read "Spy Catcher" by Peter Wright of MI5 fame. It's an interesting book aside from the technical capabilities described. Art.

1826. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: rrls10@aol.comNoLuvn
Message-ID: <19990116174744.24238.00001525@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 131221

>As a radio reciever emits no RF power, there is no way to track one down.
>

With a really good radio and a directional antenna, you could track the signal coming from the radio's oscillator.

-Randy

1827. Attn:ScanShack Re: BC200XLT Which Resistor to cut?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>
Message-ID: <36A10D8A.DAB11D8F@tidalwave.net>
Source record: 131222

ScanShack wrote:

> >Subject: BC200XLT Which Resistor to cut?
> >From: "Paul/Sherrie" <pludwig@mindspring.com>
> >I am trying to modify for cellular. I have looked around at all the mod
> >pages but I am still not positive which resistor.
> >
>
> Go to my site below and then to the Mod Illustrations selection. There you'll
> find a graphic illustration and detailed explanation of the BC200xlt cell mod
> along with some others.
> You'll need Adobe reader to view it but you can get that free from many web
> sites.
>
> Another scanner information web page
> Including cell mods with illustrations,
> virtual down conversion info & more at:
>
> http://members.aol.com/scanshack
>
> Happy Scanning !

The above site worked for me

Thank You ScanShack!

--
Check Out My WebCam!!

http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html

ICQ me 8309550
---
distrust is a sign of weakness.

1828. Re: Silent Key List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Newkirk <wnewkirk@iu.net>
Message-ID: <77r5qcmup2@east43.supernews.com>
Source record: 131223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

problem: callsigns are reissued now..

someone gets a vanity call and then gets told over and over again that he's
not the gal who died.

Gareth Crispell wrote in message <369FFC2C.149979F8@capecod.net>...
>k9kz@indy.net wrote:
>>
>> Does anyone know of a web site that has a complete list of "silent keys"?
>> Bill K9KZ
>> k9kz@indy.net
>>
>> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>
>What the heck. I think it is a darn good question. It would be nice
>to put a little note in the old logbook..." this guy is dead... or
>... that YL passed the fort". It is good to keep track of such
>things.
>
>de N1MSV
>
> Gareth http://www.capecod.net/~gareth/n1msv.htm
>
>
>as the sun is setting, it is also rising....

1829. Re: External Antenna for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <77r7ok$r55$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 131224

it`s not grounded ... The concrete is not a ground. Drive a ground rod into the ground and connect the pipe to it
Robert Dubenezic wrote in message <77pdsr$kvb@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
Hi!!

I have a ???. I am using an external mobile Maxrad antenna (a high gain, high band) with a Motorola M-Mount with an L-Bracket screwed into aluminum (gutter) overhang. In my town reception is good, but 10-15 miles away reception is good but I get a background noise. At one time it was crystal clear.

1830. Re: Scanner Recommendations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: bonedwarf@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <77r6r5$hr2@ds2.acs.ucalgary.ca>
Source record: 131225

At some point on Fri, 15 Jan 1999 23:59:48 GMT, mikestuber@hotmail.com
put forth the following:

>On 15 Jan 1999 01:11:53 GMT, frankarena@aol.com (FrankArena) wrote:
>
>>I have been on the air with all kinds of raidos, for many years, and some of
>>the best sounding raidos wer Raido shack!
>
>Which one's?! Not trying to be sarcastic, I'm just starting out and
>the amount of information available is staggering!

As a newbie to scanning, the one thing i`ve learnt online is don`t buy
Radio Shack unless they have a sale. I got lucky, and at the time I
wanted a scanner, the Pro-67 was discounted by 80 bucks (Canadian) so
I bought it. I live in the downtown area, so I can`t comment on what
it would be like outside of a city or anything, but I can say that I
am very happy with it where I am. The pickup range is good, though I
get some monstrous images. However, if I remove the antennae, and
replace it with a paperclip, I can generally still pick up what I want
without the interference.

To find most of what I wanted to know, I went to www.strongsignals.net
and read practically the entire site. I highly recommend you check it
out as I knew NOTHING before I went there.

Happy scanning

--
Something catchy should go here

1831. Re: BC200XLT Which Resistor to cut?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>
Message-ID: <36A11CE1.BD8FD99@tidalwave.net>
Source record: 131226
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Paul/Sherrie wrote:

> I am trying to modify for cellular. I have looked around at all the mod
> pages but I am still not positive which resistor.
>
> Some refer to the (Brown-Black-Orange) resistor above DEN in Uniden on
> the1147 chip. Mine has gray-tan-gray part on the left above the U in
> Uniden and above the den in Uniden is a flat very small part with the number
> 103 on it. These look to be surface mount...no leads through the board.
>
> I saw on mod say to remove the resistor with the number 215 above the DEN in
> Uniden. On my board that is a surface mount part labeled 103.
>
> Is this resistor the one directly below a screw
>
> Tell me which please....Any advice would help

It was the 103 that I removed.... just got the scanner today and it worked like
a charm

--
Check Out My WebCam!!

http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html

ICQ me 8309550

Don't be humble, you're not that great.

1832. FS: WINRADIO WR-1500E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: BDS <skynite@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OSCMOvaQ#GA.269@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 131227

This is the external version, updated from the WR-1000, this radio comes with all available options - portable battery pack, charger, digital suite, database, pc card for laptop control. Radio and all accs are in excellent condition, all come with original boxes, manuals and software. Original cost over $1000, will sacrifice for $575. Need to raise cash!
Thanks
Bruce

1833. Re: Kenwood R5000 and RS-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <36A12929.7EEA68FD@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 131228

Try newsgroup rec.radio.shortwave

Duez Sam wrote:
>
> Where can I find a RS232 Interface for Kenwood R5000 ?
> Hardware and Software
>
> Thanks

--

Michael Moore
Toronto, Canada

To reply, please
remove NOSPAM from
the email address

1834. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A13C5B.52A8@usa.net>
Source record: 131229

Steve wrote:

SNIP
> drawing asshole act
SNIP

Are you capable of expressing yourself without cursing & swearing?

1835. Bearcat-210

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: JLP001@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1708-36A13405-69@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 131230

Does anyone have a owners manual for this radio? Or a photo copy of same? I would be willing to pay a fair price for either. Thankyou for your time.

1836. BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>
Message-ID: <36A1483C.BC023405@tidalwave.net>
Source record: 131231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Is there way to keep the light on longer?

--
Check Out My WebCam!!

http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html

ICQ me 8309550

Free one dollar bills! Please send $4.95 to cover postage & handling.
(Limit $1 per order)

1837. FS:IC-2SRA(2mtr Transceiver+Scanner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robin <ve3biDELETE@ica.net>
Message-ID: <36A1491C.3B0C54A9@ica.net>
Source record: 131232
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a brand new Icom IC-2SRA hand-held for sale.
It has 2 radios in one package.
A 2 meter transceiver and a scanner.

Transceiver
Transmit : 140-150 MHz
Receive : 138-174 MHz
Output Power : 0.5 to 5 Watts (4 levels)

Scanner
Freq. : 25-950 MHz (Continuous, NO GAP)
Mode : AM, FM and WFM

It comes with BP-84 Battery Pack, Wall Charger, Softcase,
and Manual, but no original box.
I threw the box away as soon as I bought it.
It's been in the drawer for several years and never been used.
Sure I turned it on to see if it worked OK when I first bought it
and I decided to sell it today. It still works and looks brand new.
I'm asking $300.00 U.S. plus shipping.

73, Robin
(Pse remove DELETE from my email address to reply.)

1838. Re: BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOT <nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <77rjjpfpp1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 131233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

the light work with a ciruit that runs the delay function and scan rate. so
to do this you would also change this. they are however a way you can mod.
so the light either stays on all the time or when the squelch is broken

Trevor wrote in message <36A1483C.BC023405@tidalwave.net>...
>Is there way to keep the light on longer?
>
>--
>Check Out My WebCam!!
>
>http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html
>
>
>ICQ me 8309550
>---
>Free one dollar bills! Please send $4.95 to cover postage & handling.
>(Limit $1 per order)
>---
>
>

1839. Attic Mount Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Boeth <boeth@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <77rkp5$cs3$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131234

Code restrictions prevent use of an outdoor antenna. Does anyone have suggestions or feedback from an attic mounted antenna? Is there worth the trouble or not?

1840. Basic Program for BC 101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Perry Locke <cpl@pe.net>
Message-ID: <36A180A4.DD8087B6@pe.net>
Source record: 131235

A couple of years ago I wrote a basic program that calculates and stores the frequencies programmed into a Bearcat 101. Since then several people have requested, and I have sent them, copies of the program.

I have lost the program somehow, and would like to have a copy of it back, so if you have a copy (bc101.bas) I would appreciate it greatly if you could email a copy to me.

If you have another program that does a similar function for the 101, please email a copy of it in the event that no one sends the original bc101.bas.

Thanks,
cpl@pe.net

1841. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A2DA9D.69A0@usa.net>
Source record: 130986

Thank youf for helping to prove that during a time of crissis in this NG
due to flaming posts, someone else other than ME posted hundres of more
articles compared to everyone else, EVEN ME!

Mr. WireHead wrote:
SNIP (for preservation of bandwidth)
> I have done it folks. I have accomplished what I set out to do. I have
> proven what the Clown Prince of Scanning is really all about: A
SNIP
> Date | Mackey | Cheek |
SNIP
> TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 |

1842. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A2DAE4.6DCE@usa.net>
Source record: 130987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

When asked to provide your examples of proof, you provided NOTHING!

Steve wrote:

> John wrote in message <36A28CCA.19CC@usa.net>...
> >Please post your examples of proof that I committed the above or be
> >proven a liar by default.

> Anyone can do that. Go to www.DeJaNews.com and do a search on John Mackey,
SNIP

1843. Re: British Columbia Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerold Klassen <jerold_klassen@bc.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <36A2E448.723C@bc.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 130988

Greg G. wrote:
>
> bburgess@axionet.com wrote:
> : I'm looking for a comprehensive list of frequencies for the
> : province of British Columbia, Canada. Any info would be greatly
> : appreciated. Thanks.
>
> --
>
> There is a book called BRITISH COLUMBIA FREQUENCY LIST, and it is
> published by J & M Communications, Ltd., 3149 Beverley Crescent,
> North Vancouver BC V7R 2W4. Phone = 604-984-7076. Fax = 604-984-
> 0354. I have the 10th edition, which I purchased back in 1996.
> I hope that they are still publishing this fine book. It was
> CAN$24.95 + 7% GST + CAN$3.00 shipping.
>
> They also publish a SASKATCHEWAN FREQUENCY LIST for CAN$16.95.
>
> --
> -- vlad@holonet.net
> -- greg griffin
> --
I have that book, if you tell me what area, I will give them to you.

1844. FS: DX-394 RS HF/AM/SSB/SW Digital Receiver $150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Johanson <johanson@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <36A2DF31.1904FF98@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 130989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortware,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

FS: DX-394 RS HF/AM/SSB/SW Digital Receiver $150

1845. Re: Hamcomm type interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <77vo4c$eb8$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 130990
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

bcheek@cts.com
send e-mail to that address

devil@primenet.com wrote in message <36a23fcf.3146607@news.primenet.com>...
>Is there a company that sells 4-level FSK interfaces?

1846. alinco dr140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: lucab <lucab@lugo.qeen.it>
Message-ID: <78033kqvo1@fe2.cs.interbusiness.it>
Source record: 130991

come si allarga la banda rx del dr140Alinco??
73 de iw4 dqx Luca

1847. Re: PRO-67

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cathy De Viney <cdeviney@ipa.net>
Message-ID: <77t5rh$in7$1@news.ipa.net>
Source record: 130992

www.dejanews.com

Great for researching previous information from newsgroups.

CATHY

Vic Tosca wrote in message <36be3c30.435524975@news.warwick.net>...
>Happy new year, everybody...
>
>I got a great deal on a PRO-67, a handheld with 20 banks, bla
>bla...
>
>I don't see any chatter about it, in any of the scanner NG's.
>Anybody have any good tips on it? Full coverage mod? Audio mod?
>(the output is miserable)
>
>L8R-
>
>=vt
>--
>==
>To reply, use ROT13 on the first 3 letters, add 1
>to the next two numbers, change NOSPAM to MYMAIL,
>remove the second "@" sign, add an underscore,
>change the third and fourth commas to a period,
>and spell the entire line from front to back.
>-OR-:just hit "reply".
>==

1848. Re: Sears Programmable Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cathy De Viney <cdeviney@ipa.net>
Message-ID: <77t4f8$i9k$1@news.ipa.net>
Source record: 130993

The PRO-64 (handheld) and PRO-2041(base) and BC-895XLT (base) are programmable by computer interface (there are others, but more expensive). The 64 and 2041 are no longer available "new".

It would be a great idea to be able to load various lists of frequencies on flash cards (like in digital cameras, etc) from your computer, then insert the flash card into you scanner to program it.

This type of thing is used in a new digital handheld music player from Diamond called the "RIO". It takes music files which have been compressed and coded into MP3 files, from your computer, stores them into the built-in memory or on add-on flash cards, and you can play your desired music.

CATHY

rlbishop@txcyber.com wrote in message
<36a16d2f.2136191@news.txcyber.com>...
>Forgive my newbie ignorance....
>
>Does anyone recall a programmable scanner Sear sold that used rigid
>cards that you punched out (using a frequency book that told you what
>to punch). It was a pain in the butt, however you could collect cards
>for different areas and switch programs in seconds.
>
>Is there a modern scanner available that you can asemble program
lists
>and change them over by plugging a disk or card?

1849. alinco dr140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: lucab <lucab@lugo.qeen.it>
Message-ID: <782kfr$5fq$1@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>
Source record: 130994

come si allarga la banda rx del dr140Alinco??
73 de iw4 dqx Luca

1850. Re: An Apology to All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <78ro2.3885$DB1.3827@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 131036

John -feces for brains - KAOSSF wrote in message <36A13C5B.52A8@usa.net>...
>Steve wrote:
>
>SNIP
>> drawing asshole act
>SNIP
>
>Are you capable of expressing yourself without cursing & swearing?

The whole post did John. Why did you only focus on one word? Once again John does his slide on belly snake routine and doesn't reply to the facts.

When ever confronted with the truth John, you always reply with the same lame post like the above. You have been unable to defend your sick and perverse actions on this and other newsgroups. Just that old squirm and hide like you did in Iowa on the reservation. There you got your whipped a few times and learned to keep it shut. Here you feel like the man you will never be.

Here is what you seemed to forget to quote and had NO DEFENSE FOR.

John -shit-fer brains- KAOSSF wrote in message <36982CD3.2115@usa.net>...
>MAlexder wrote:
>> Well, if you mean my regularly pointing out the many distasteful activities

>I was talking about YOUR OWN distasteful activities.

MA's activities pale in comparison to your attention drawing asshole act John. You've used the above childish rebuttal what? 500 times in the last year. 1500 in 2 years. You posting so much crap over the years really blurs the numbers.

>> that you engage in such as impesonations, forgeries, lies, rogue cancellations,
>> computer trespassing, and so on, yeah, I guess you will find me to be a
>
>I have NEVER participated in the above activities you mention. If you
>still
>want to say I have, then please present your specific accusation with
>supporting evidence of be found to be lying by default do to lack of
>supporting evicence.

The fact is you have done it all John. You only posted the above to try and trick the newbie to this group into believing you aren't a giant rectum. They won't be fooled for long, fool. It is incredible that you have kept up this sad routine on these newsgroups for years. Same old no friends, outcast

and hated Indian John. And you thought running around naked and sleeping in cars on the reservation as a kid was bad. You sad sorry life must be really worse than anyone can understand. Seek help John.

1851. Hamcomm type interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: devil@primenet.com
Message-ID: <36a23fcf.3146607@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 131037
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is there a company that sells 4-level FSK interfaces? I have sat down to build one and ended up burning my hand really bad, scorching the kitchen table, and soldering the dog to a chair.

I found a commercial hamcomm connector in the MFJ 1998 Ham Catalog (pager 38) and I think it is only a 2 level interface. A cost of $39 dollars is a bit high also.

Does anyone know of a better company to call for purchase of a 4-level FSK or is there any on-line sites building them? A Yahoo and Alta Vista search has come up negative.

Thank you,

-Wayne

1852. Yaesu Vx5 and Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: james <mixer@usa.net>
Message-ID: <77tfs6psj1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 131038
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,att.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Visit :
http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/icomr2
To subscribe to the ICOM R2 mail list
so far 44 users strong!
Or:
http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/YAESUVX5
To join the Yaesu Vx5r Mailing list
sofar 33 users strong!

Don't forget to visit one of the Best scanning LINKS pages in the world at:
http://www.primenet.com/~confused/scan.html
73 de W6JHC James

1853. Re: are the uniden & r.s. trunk trackers the same ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: gknox@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36a24522.1455711@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131039

On Sun, 17 Jan 1999 07:51:02 GMT, thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL one!))
wrote:

>On Sun, 17 Jan 1999 06:32:56 GMT, kryppy@bellsouth.net (
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Jack) wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 17 Jan 1999 05:39:56 GMT, thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL
>>one!)) wrote:
>>>
>>>But I WOULD like to play with an OptoCom, we have several 400mhz military trunked
>>>systems, and a LOT of 800 and 900 non-Public Service systems that can make for
>>>interesting listening.
>>
>>You certainly don't need to spend all that money to accomplish this...
>
>How can I do it otherwise, without using 2 radios, the computer, plus having to buy
>an OS456 card, IF I can find one?
>
>
>To foil spammers, the addrress in this post is disquised.
>TO reply via e-mail, change the I in the address to an A.
>CA antispam laws went into effect Jan 1, 1999.
>Violators may be liable for both fines AND jail time for spamming this account.

TrunTrac will let you track VHF/UHF/800/900 MHZ system (up to 4 at once)
with a single receiver. If you know the frequencies for the 400 MHz
military trunked systems, that's all you'll need to track them. No trying
to figure out which channel numbers are assigned to which frequencies.
Private Call and Phone interconnects are supported too.

Greg Knox

1854. Re: Hamcomm type interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A249A6.BDE2A954@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131040
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wayne,

I know the feeling.... There are several options, though I could not tell you if they are 2 or 4 level. Anyway some of your options are Bill Cheek (about $40), Pervisell (about $25), Tigertronics (about $70 for their BP-2M), MFJ (about $40 on sale for $30). There are more, but I would have to look them up. Hope this helps.

devil@primenet.com wrote:
>
> Is there a company that sells 4-level FSK interfaces? I have sat down
> to build one and ended up burning my hand really bad, scorching the
> kitchen table, and soldering the dog to a chair.
>
> I found a commercial hamcomm connector in the MFJ 1998 Ham Catalog
> (pager 38) and I think it is only a 2 level interface. A cost of $39
> dollars is a bit high also.
>
> Does anyone know of a better company to call for purchase of a 4-level
> FSK or is there any on-line sites building them? A Yahoo and Alta
> Vista search has come up negative.
>
> Thank you,
>
> -Wayne

1855. FS: Sporty's JD100 aviation scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Guttman <kguttman@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36A24975.E7CFA436@ibm.net>
Source record: 131041

I am selling my Sporty's JD-100 airband scanner. It has about 40 hours
of use and is in excellent condition. Original box, manual, etc.
20-Channel memory, range: civilian 118.000 - 142.975 MHz, military
220.000 - 399.975 MHz. Asking $80 including shipping to US/Canada, or
best offer. Email kguttman@ibm.net if interested.

1856. Yaesu Vx5 and Icom ICR2 cool radios!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: james <mixer@usa.net>
Message-ID: <77tj1eqim1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 131042
Cross-posted to: alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,att.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Visit :
http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/icomr2
To subscribe to the ICOM R2 mail list
so far 54 users strong! And Growing!!
Or:
http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/YAESUVX5
To join the Yaesu Vx5r Mailing list
so far 58 users strong! And Growing!!

Don't forget to visit one of the Best scanning LINKS pages in the world at:
http://www.primenet.com/~confused/scan.html
73 de W6JHC James

1857. Scanner under $400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A24EDB.78473CE0@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131043

What is the best scanner to get under $400? Here is a list of what I
think I want:

a) Must have the 800 MHz band but don't really need to cellular freqs.
b) Discriminator tap must be available or easy to install. That
eliminates a lot of handhelds for me.
c) Military aero band (200-400 MHz AM) is a must have.
d) Computer control is a nice to have.
e) Additional coverage of HF freqs with USB/LSB is a nice to have.
f) Trunk tracking capability is a nice to have.
e) All the regular freq coverage of 30-54, 140-174, 400-512 MHz
f) 116-136 Aero band is not critical.

1858. FS: SCA Receiver (Background Music)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack_Hardman@ATT.Net
Message-ID: <36A2523D.1C7081A7@ATT.Net>
Source record: 131044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.oldtime,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

SCA+Subsidiary Communications Authorization

Commercial Receiver built by Browning for background music (like MUZAK) or
for receiving SCA programs such as foreign language, stock ticker, etc.

Many commercial FM stations broadcast an SCA subcarrier for this purpose.
It does not interfere with their regular commercial FM programming.

Twin-lead and Type F antennal connectors, 600 ohm output plus low
impedance audio to drive a local speaker. Self contained power supply,
all in a neat metal cabinet, extruded aluminum panel, black leatherette
covered steel cover. Volume Control for internal amplifier, Pilot lights,
mux and main channel RCA jacks for high impedance connection to PA systems
etc.

$50 or best offer

703-759-5281

For email, remove underscore _ in email address

1859. best scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A24FEC.B4C70C89@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131045

What is the best scanner antenna to get under $75?

a) Need broadband coverage of 140-900 MHz. Don't really need coverage of VHF-LO (30-54 MHz).
b) Prefer some gain.
c) Willing to sacrifice omni-direction capability in favor of gain. In other words, I don't want a discone because I understand they don't offer any gain.

1860. Re: Florida Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mpallans <mpallans@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A253BB.7D640721@usa.net>
Source record: 131046

Collier County (Naples) - EDACS
Lee County - Charlotte-Sarasota Motorola
Manatee County - EDACS
St. Pete - Pinellas Motorola
Clearwater - Hillborough EDACS

Hope thats enough for your travel

1861. Need 2006 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: lagattadj@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990117162133.09166.00001924@ng111.aol.com>
Source record: 131047

I recently acquired a Realistic Pro-2006. The mod to receive cell transmission had previously been done. I verified this. The diodes behind the "3" button have been clipped. The scan speed is very fast but I am unable to receive any sort of cell transmissions. What needs to be done. The date code is 2A2. Please respond to board or e-mail me direct LaGattaDJ@aol.com

73's

Dave

1862. WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: kenabritte@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990117163806.10101.00002812@ng118.aol.com>
Source record: 131048

Someone told me that not many have been sold because there was very little on the net about them. Even on there own site. Is this because of that or because there is little trouble with them or the software for them?

Ken
KMI8GJ
Registered Monitor

1863. new live police radio feed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: MITBR <mitbr@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <77tk9tibs1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131049

HELLO FOLKS! MY LOCAL POLICE SCANNER IS NOW ON THE NET USING RADIO DESTINY.
IF YOU DONT HAVE THE PLAYER DOWNLOAD IT AT HTTP://WWW.DICE.NET ITS FREE AND
TAKES ONLY 30 SECONDS TO DOWNLOAD. TO HEAR MY SCANNER CLICK THE LINK AT THE
DOWNLOAD SITE THAT SAYS BROACAST DIRECTORY AND THEN CLICK ON POLICE SCANNER
PINELLAS COUNTY FLORIDA TO LAUNCH THE FEED
IF I AM BROADCASTING YOU WILL SEE A RED BALL TO THE LEFT OF THE LINK YOU CAN
EMAIL ME AT mitbr@earthlink.net
if you have problems MAKE SURE YOU CLICK ON THE FEED THAT SAYS PINELLAS
COUNTY FLORIDA TO HEAR MY FEED THERE ARE OTHER FEEDS AT THIS SITE.
LOOK FOR ME IN CHAT AT http://www.policescanner.com click on the chat link
my nickname is TAMPABAY WHEN YOU GET INTO THE ROOM. THANKYOU AND ENJOY THE
SCANNER

1864. Re: A silly question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36A2596C.A4BCD233@ibm.net>
Source record: 131050

Amedeo Bellisario wrote:
> I'd like to know the differences between a receiver and a scanner.

See my Scanner Definition page:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/define.htm

Go all the way to the bottom.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1865. OS456 problem. Scanner wont work unless scanstar on.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolphinride@iname.com
Message-ID: <77tqv1arm1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131051
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I finially got my discriminator output hooked-up. When I went to try it,
the scanners display would turn on, just some static when I first turn it on.
I connected it back to the computer and started scanstar, works fine.

Works fine under computer controll, not when disconnected.

Any Ideas?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1866. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <Sdto2.3887$DB1.3746@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 131052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John wrote in message <36A23DC6.55C3@usa.net>...
>Steve wrote:
>> He was correct imbecile, but it had nothing to do with Phil he was
talking
>> about you.
>
>If George ment something something different, then he is fully capable
>of correcting himself or stating his position. He doesn't need YOU
>to state his opinions or thoughts for him.

It has nothing to do with what George meant (learn to spell or use a spell checker) Chief! It has to do wit you misquoting and twisting peoples words. I didn't state his opinions or thoughts for him John. This habitual lying problem of yours deserves attention. Seek help John.

Here's what George wrote in full John. ALL ABOUT YOU. Not Phil, YOU, John - Feces for Brains - Mackey.

On Thu, 14 Jan 1999 21:42:11 -0800, John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
wrote:

>WELL SAID!
>
>I intentially held off any response to Phil just to allow him the prove
>HIMSELF that HE is the instigator of the problems here!

It's unfortunate that you do not hold off ALL responses. Your failure to do so proves that YOU are the instigator of ALL problems here.

How sad :(

--

George "Hollywood" Vetterle

1867. Re: Florida Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Pemble <pemble@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <77tn6t$do4@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131053

If you plan on seeing the Kennedy Space Center, Brevard county is EDACS.

cheers

Rick W4RP

mpallans wrote in message <36A253BB.7D640721@usa.net>...
>Collier County (Naples) - EDACS
>Lee County - Charlotte-Sarasota Motorola
>Manatee County - EDACS
>St. Pete - Pinellas Motorola
>Clearwater - Hillborough EDACS
>
>Hope thats enough for your travel
>

1868. Re: Attic Mount Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Pemble <pemble@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <77tng5$e14@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131054

When I lived in Texas, I lived in a deed restricted place for 7 years (3 years ago). I had no less than 7 antennas mounted in the attic. Discone for scanning --- worked great. I even had a 70cm beam mounted on a rotator, two meter beam pointed at town, etc. HF was a problem but scanner and 2meter and up I saw no difference.

I told everyone that it wasn't an attic/roof it was a RADOME.

Have fun.

Rick W4RP

Curious George@work.here wrote in message
<36a27774.21488691@nntp.ix.netcom.com>...
>On Sat, 16 Jan 1999 21:18:02 -0600, "Dale Boeth" <boeth@earthlink.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Code restrictions prevent use of an outdoor antenna. Does anyone have
>>suggestions or feedback from an attic mounted antenna? Is there worth the
>>trouble or not?
>>
>
> I have experienced excellent reception with antennas in the attic.
>Using a RS Discone, range in excess of 60 miles from Qth is regularly
>experienced.
> I am in the area of Saint Paul MN. I regularly recieve signals from
>Rochester 60+ mi south and Atkin County about 70+ mi. north.
> Outside area signal reception is so regular I had to add a BC760XLT
>wiith tone squelch so I could monitor the local sheriff without also
>getting constant traffic from the Rochester Mn. police and Atkin
>county Sheriff.
>
>
>Good Signals... LCM
>

1869. alinco dr140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: lucab <lucab@lugo.qeen.it>
Message-ID: <77tncqbrm1@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>
Source record: 131055

come si allarga la banda rx del dr140Alinco??
73 de iw4 dqx Luca

1870. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <36A2695B.9903D053@INVALID.com>
Source record: 131056

John wrote:

> Steve wrote:
> > He was correct imbecile, but it had nothing to do with Phil he was talking
> > about you.
>
> If George ment something something different, then he is fully capable
> of correcting himself or stating his position. He doesn't need YOU
> to state his opinions or thoughts for him.

Everyone knows that George was talking about you. You know it too John. You're the designated dirtbag for this NG. You're the little pissant who's been polluting this NG for years with your lying, forging, canceling, and harrasment. How many times a day do you post? Under your real name, I mean.

You friggin' loon.

1871. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A27242.43EA@usa.net>
Source record: 131057

Steve wrote:
> The man asked a legitimate question and you reacted like the starved for
SNIP

I gave legit & correct responses. I even mentioned specific data as
designated
by the FCC to support my statements.

YOU on the other hand, presented NOTHING when asked the following
question:
"How much teaching & examination experience in amateur radio
do you have?"

> AGAIN you look like a fool and lose!!

Obviously you are looking in a mirror!

1872. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A27347.6367@usa.net>
Source record: 131058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Steve wrote:

> It has nothing to do with what George meant (learn to spell or use a spell
SNIP
> I didn't state his opinions or thoughts for him John. This habitual lying

You attempted to re-direct who George was speaking about. You were
doing
this to support you own pathetic desires.

1873. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A27411.69F8@usa.net>
Source record: 131059

allsafe wrote:
> Everyone knows that George was talking about you.
SNIP - for preservation of bandwidth

WRONG!

IF George was speaking differently he is fully capable of stating such himself
rather than you all trying to speak for him.

I suppose that now you & Steve will go harass George in e-mail untill he relents just to get you guys off his back & agrees!

1874. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya02348000170199155846000l@news.transport.com>
Source record: 131060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I don't freakin' BELIEVE it!
I check back in, after several months, only to find that, while BC had obviously tired of all the purile gamesmanship,faction-mongering, lies and bullshit that John <if he's talkin', he's lyin'> Mackey spread around, and had dropped out of the 'race', MACKEY is still here pulling the same shit?

Un*fucking*believable!
Hasn't anybody ever suggested to this cretin that he get a life?

I'll see ya in a few months...just ta check, dig? ;-)

-tj

.....

In article <Sdto2.3887$DB1.3746@news.ntr.net>, "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:

> John wrote in message <36A23DC6.55C3@usa.net>...
> >Steve wrote:
> >> He was correct imbecile, but it had nothing to do with Phil he was
> talking
> >> about you.
> >
> >If George ment something something different, then he is fully capable
> >of correcting himself or stating his position. He doesn't need YOU
> >to state his opinions or thoughts for him.
>
> It has nothing to do with what George meant (learn to spell or use a spell
> checker) Chief! It has to do wit you misquoting and twisting peoples words.
> I didn't state his opinions or thoughts for him John. This habitual lying
> problem of yours deserves attention. Seek help John.
>
> Here's what George wrote in full John. ALL ABOUT YOU. Not Phil, YOU, John -
> Feces for Brains - Mackey.
>
>
> On Thu, 14 Jan 1999 21:42:11 -0800, John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
> wrote:
>
> >WELL SAID!
> >
> >I intentially held off any response to Phil just to allow him the prove
> >HIMSELF that HE is the instigator of the problems here!
>
> It's unfortunate that you do not hold off ALL responses. Your failure

> to do so proves that YOU are the instigator of ALL problems here.
>
> How sad :(
>
>
> --
>
> George "Hollywood" Vetterle

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

1875. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison <ka8tok@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <36A27AC6.F5EBBCF6@four-way.net>
Source record: 131061

NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 17 Jan 1999 16:05:58 PDT

boy I think you better go back and read the rules.. it does not tell you how to operate on one or how a repeater operates to perform it's function.. It's does give you very minor rules to follow that you should go by..Also NOVICEs MAY OWN A REPEATER BUT NOT USE THERE NOVICE CALL AS AN OPERATOR OF THE REPEATER

John - KAOSSF wrote:

> Steve wrote:
>
> > Nor do you. Why did not the Great John reply with quotes, page #'s or the
> > like from the study guide to prove Brad wrong. SNIP
>
> None were asked for! I certainly am NOT going to spam this NG with
> us-desired postings. Now that you have asked for such data I will
> gladly
> post it!
>
> Questions: Novice (exam 2)- N2B08 and Technician exam (3A) - T2A08,
> T2A18
>
> Above are listed question numbers of a few examples of both the novice &
> technician level tests that have specific questions regarding repeater
> use
> and/or operation. The question pools are pulic domain, so look them up
> yourself.
>
> Remember, even as a novice you may operate or be the licensee of a
> repeater!
>
> > That sentence is brilliant John.
>
> Thank you!
>
> > You certainly have little formal education.
>
> hehehe, really! You have NOTHING to back up your statement because it
> is WRONG! hehehehehehe
>
> > >How much teaching & examination experience in amateur radio do you have?
> >
> > Doesn't make any difference. It hasn't done you any good. You are still a
>
> I didn't ask about ME, I asked about YOU! But instead you side stepped

> the
> question & avoided having to give an answer stating "Doesn't make any
> difference".
>
> Again, I ask, "How much teaching & examination experience in amateur
> radio
> do you have?"
>
> > >Repeaters are covered in both the novice & technician.
> >
> > What does that mean smart boy? Covered? Novice and Tech what? The FCC
> > question pool doesn't even scratch ther surface of repeater operation.
>
> Again,
>
> Questions: Novice (exam 2)- N2B08 and Technician exam (3A) - T2A08,
> T2A18
>
> Above are listed question numbers of a few examples of both the novice &
> technician level tests that have specific questions regarding repeater
> use
> and/or operation. The question pools are pulic domain, so look them up
> yourself.
>
> Remember, even as a novice you may operate or be the licensee of a
> repeater!
>
> Go back, re-group, re-think your strategy. Then if you still feel brave
> (or stupid) enough, try again!

1876. WTB: BC895 Serial Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jbseale@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <36A271A3.2AD4550F@iglou.com>
Source record: 131062

Anyone know of any place that has a good deal on a serial cable for the BC895, like about $20 or less? If so, e-mail a list of stores to me and be sure to include the stores' website URLs if they have any.

1877. Re: -> Radios/Computers for Sale -> Very Cheap -> Serious Inquiries Only

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE
Message-ID: <36a243a2.7949041@news.sprint.ca>
Source record: 131063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc,rec.aviation.piloting,rec.aviation.student,rec.radio.cb

Hello.

This is a note that everything was sold * except ICOM-706 with tuner, SWR/Power tester and Pay as you go phone and carrying case. Thank you for your deals.

ICOM-706 is 100W (40W AM) on HF and 6m and 10W (4W AM) on 2m, multi-bander. You can transmit CW by using the mic (i.e. two buttons, one for short and one for long CW)

I am looking for $1099 Canadian funds. Please note, if you wish to buy in US funds from the US, I have to ask about $749 US funds. This is because I do not get much money when converting from US to Canadian as well it costs me more for shipping (note: shipping is included with quoted price) ; yet you shouldn't see this type of deal at any hamfests. If you dealt with me before, I can sell 706 with tuner for $999 Canadian or $679 US.

---> Front Panel Separation Cable will be attached with 706 purchase..
<--- Original box included.

If you can pick up (I live in Montreal), I will reduce $100. Please note, I only accept money order, certified cheque or bank transfer (<- this is the best). No personal cheque please. No C.O.D.s as I do not hear good news about it (i.e. buyer who does not pick up afterwards... i.e.2. there will be extra charge for using C.O.D.).

Don't wait !! I only have one and once someone makes a deal... it's gone !!!!!

======

* Waiting the payment. If the deals do not go through, buyers on wait-list will be contacted.

M a s a t a k a N o d a, Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada. N&#65533; d' ICQ: 24703041
--> http://www.radiotelephone.net <--
Infinite Communications&#65533; (TM): Il y a un coeur&#65533;. Il y a un esprit&#65533;. Il y a une voie&#65533;.
&#65533;tudient @ d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN & JP2CKG
Membre d'internationale aucun code Morse pour services radioamateur - NCI2014
C&#65533; : hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM (SVP enlever/please remove .PAS_DE_SPAM)

|| 24L ---------- 06R ||
Pilote Avion Priv&#65533; (jour & nuit): St-Hubert & Dorval INTL (dupuis 1998)
---:|| 24R ---------- 06L ||:

1878. Q's of a novice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Ritchey <angel98@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <36A28CFB.48D463DE@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 131064

I am a newcomer to the realm of scanning and it appears I am too stupid to figure out how to properly program my new scanner. I am hoping some kind stranger out there will help me out.

I am a student at Penn State University. For Christmas, I received a Radio Shack Pro-90 Trunktracker. I soon bought one of Radio Shack's Police Call books as well. The problem is that I want to listen to the campus police, but I am only able to hear police of the local town, State College.

According to the Police Call listings, the University employs a trunked system with frequencies btwn approx. 810 and 815mHz. However, my scanner's manual states that "Valid trunked system frequncies range from 851.0125-868.9875 in 12.5 kHz steps." When I try to program the published frequencies as instructed in the manual, the screen flashes ERROR. The frequencies listed for State College, however, do fall into the valid range and I can listen to Centre County Dispatch.

I work for the campus police, writing parking tickets, directing traffic and providing event security. I want to be able to listen to my friends at work when I am off duty. Is it time to return this model and try a different one that can trunk at the lower frequencies? I barely understand anything about scanning at all. Someone help me!

1879. pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jf <ki001@erols.com>
Message-ID: <36A28E3A.4E3CA9AE@erols.com>
Source record: 131065
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

anyone know how to unlock bands in the pro90? not possible?

1880. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A28CCA.19CC@usa.net>
Source record: 131066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Tom Jones wrote:

> obviously tired of all the purile gamesmanship,faction-mongering, lies and
> bullshit that John

Please post your examples of proof that I committed the above or be
proven
a liar by default.

SNIP
> same shit?
SNIP
> *fucking*believable!

Are you capable of expressing yourself without swearing or cursing?

1881. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <pbwo2.3894$DB1.3883@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 131067

John wrote in message <36A27242.43EA@usa.net>...
>Steve wrote:
>> The man asked a legitimate question and you reacted like the starved for
>SNIP
>
>I gave legit & correct responses. I even mentioned specific data as
>designated
>by the FCC to support my statements.

>
>YOU on the other hand, presented NOTHING when asked the following
>question:
>"How much teaching & examination experience in amateur radio
>do you have?"

The "teaching & examination experience in amateur radio" that you have is obviously worthless. How could any sane Ham say the "specific data as designated by the FCC" (the FCC question pool) could ever be considered sufficient information to operate repeaters. That fact is the FCC question pool contains insufficient information to operate repeaters or most anything else related to ham radio correctly. You are wrong (again) John. You are living proof! Help save Ham Radio, quit teaching.

1882. Re: -> Radios/Computers for Sale -> Very Cheap -> Serious Inquiries Only

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE
Message-ID: <36a24a47.9650519@news.sprint.ca>
Source record: 131068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc,rec.aviation.piloting,rec.aviation.student,rec.radio.cb

Actually I am going to give additional 10% of the price quoted (i.e.
ICOM706 with tuner).
You will not see this type of price at any hamfests. Guaranteed.
I do not use them anymore and I would like to get rid of them as soon
as possible (i.e. before I move out of my place). If no buyer in a
week, I will give 15% off. But... I only have one so don't wait.
First come, first serve. First come then it's gone. If you would
like to negotiate the price, I am willing to... but I don't know if
you are going to see price less than the quoted one (10% off now...).

Thank you.

>Hello.
>
>
>This is a note that everything was sold * except ICOM-706 with tuner,
>SWR/Power tester and Pay as you go phone and carrying case. Thank you
>for your deals.
>
>
>ICOM-706 is 100W (40W AM) on HF and 6m and 10W (4W AM) on 2m,
>multi-bander. You can transmit CW by using the mic (i.e. two
>buttons, one for short and one for long CW)
>
>I am looking for $1099 Canadian funds. Please note, if you wish to
>buy in US funds from the US, I have to ask about $749 US funds.
>This is because I do not get much money when converting from US to
>Canadian as well it costs me more for shipping (note: shipping is
>included with quoted price) ; yet you shouldn't see this type of
>deal at any hamfests. If you dealt with me before, I can sell 706
>with tuner for $999 Canadian or $679 US.
>
>---> Front Panel Separation Cable will be attached with 706 purchase..
><--- Original box included.
>
>
>If you can pick up (I live in Montreal), I will reduce $100.
>Please note, I only accept money order, certified cheque or bank
>transfer (<- this is the best). No personal cheque please. No
>C.O.D.s as I do not hear good news about it (i.e. buyer who does not
>pick up afterwards... i.e.2. there will be extra charge for using
>C.O.D.).
>

>
>
>Don't wait !! I only have one and once someone makes a deal... it's
>gone !!!!!
>
>
>
>
>======
>
>* Waiting the payment. If the deals do not go through, buyers on
>wait-list will be contacted.

M a s a t a k a N o d a, Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada. N&#65533; d' ICQ: 24703041
--> http://www.radiotelephone.net <--
Infinite Communications&#65533; (TM): Il y a un coeur&#65533;. Il y a un esprit&#65533;. Il y a une voie&#65533;.
&#65533;tudient @ d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN & JP2CKG
Membre d'internationale aucun code Morse pour services radioamateur - NCI2014
C&#65533; : hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM (SVP enlever/please remove .PAS_DE_SPAM)
|| 24L ---------- 06R ||
Pilote Avion Priv&#65533; (jour & nuit): St-Hubert & Dorval INTL (dupuis 1998)
---:|| 24R ---------- 06L ||:

1883. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <nfwo2.3896$DB1.3888@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 131069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John wrote in message <36A28CCA.19CC@usa.net>...
>Tom Jones wrote:
>
>> obviously tired of all the purile gamesmanship,faction-mongering, lies
and
>> bullshit that John
>
>Please post your examples of proof that I committed the above or be
>proven
>a liar by default.
>

Anyone can do that. Go to www.DeJaNews.com and do a search on John Mackey,
Old search, new search and any search is proof. You are sad John.

1884. scannerfrequencies for fire and police in Montreal, Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Santelli <funtrvl@cam.org>
Message-ID: <36A28F5F.104D3E2B@cam.org>
Source record: 131070

Can anyone supply the frequencies for fire and police in Montreal,
Canada
Thanks,
Tony S.

1885. Need Regency MX7000 Keyboard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald E. Smith" <desmith@innercite.com>
Message-ID: <36A29357.33A07B00@innercite.com>
Source record: 131071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Does anybody out there have a replacement keyboard or such for the Regency MX7000 Scanner. Any information will be appreciated. Please respond by email Thanks Don KB6GQA

1886. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <Xvwo2.334$64.2808@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 131072

Yo Ice!

USENET is a wild and wooly place, anyone posting newbie questions in any NG runs the risk of getting their butt jumped on. FWIW I too miss-interpreted your post to mean you were already a Tech.

The RS Tech book is OK, but doesn't go into much detail, it's more a "memorize the answers" approach to the test (a whole other potential can of worms)

Another place to post is rec.radio.amateur.misc - but be forewarned that NG can get a bit vicious at times too. A good place on the web to find info is the ARRL at www.arrl.org. They sell a number of publications that have useful operating info.

A *very* quick primer on repeaters, worth exactly what you've paid for it. A repeater takes a radio signal it receives and re-broadcasts it. They are usually located it in high, clear line of sight locations, hilltops, tall buildings, towers. They have an input and output frequency. You transmit on the input, and listen to the repeater output. Usually these frequencies are offset by 600 kHz, and the output may be above or below the input. The repeater enables amateurs, especially with mobiles and HTs, to cover a much wider area than they could with their radio alone. To locate some repeaters in your area, try www.artscipub.com/repeaters/. Most repeaters are "open", that is available to all hams, but it is considered proper that if you regularly make use of one, you should help support it financially by contributing to the entity that owns it (often a local club). A few repeaters are "closed" that is reserved for the use of the individuals who operate it. Many scannists, ham and non-ham alike, monitor the output channels of the local "machines".

Hope I've helped!

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

iceberg@nxco.com wrote in message <77rpthnrj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> I said Im new to the thing, I didnt say I had passed it, and only
place
>around me to get such books is Radio Shack, so I bought teh book they have
>there, the Technician No-Code Plus book. It's all I could get my hands on
as
>were I live pretty much sucks for anything dealing with technology beyond
the
>horse and buggy. Im reading thru this book now, but though I could ask
some

>simple questions without some arrogant people jumping all over my butt.
>
>IceBerg
>iceberg@nxco.com

1887. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <36A29F08.D904432B@INVALID.com>
Source record: 131073

John wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
> > Everyone knows that George was talking about you.
> SNIP - for preservation of bandwidth
>
> WRONG!
>
> IF George was speaking differently he is fully capable of stating such
> himself
> rather than you all trying to speak for him.

No dirtbag; George was quite clear in his post. He was talking about you. You're just up to your usual ham handed twisting of facts and failing miserably at it. I guess you think your lies and adolescent antics make you look different than as you appear in real life.

But nuh-uh. You're a loser here just like you are everywhere else. A bitter little boy. I bet your neighbors hate your guts. I bet your family worries incessantly about you. How many people have advised to you get psychological help on this NG? I've seen quite a few people advise you to seek help. I've done it from time to time myself. But you won't go. Can't make you go.

But I'll make sure you never make any headway into convincing anyone on this NG that you're anything but the lying, cheating, petty little boy that you are. Every time you post your BS, I'll be there to post about past exploits and your uselessness to this forum. I'll be there to make sure everyone sees you for the little sh*t that you are.

Over and over.

>I suppose that now you & Steve will go harass George in e-mail untill he
>relents just to get you guys off his back & agrees!

I don't know who Steve is. Just another of your detractors. And George doesn't have to correct anything. When you read his post in it's entirety as your new buddy "Steve" was pointing out, it's quite clear George was addressing you....

Here's an excersise for you, make a list of everyone that hates your guts. Be honest and write them all down. When you get done with that phone book, can you honestly say you don't have a problem?

You friggin' loon.

1888. FS: Regency 4030 and Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Grassetti <dangrass@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <36A26DC6.AFBBDC86@pacbell.net>
Source record: 131074

2 radios for sale:

Original Regency 4030 200 channel scanner. Receives from ~29 mhz. to
~950 mhz., w/some holes. Can receive cellular freqs.
Excellent condition. Best offer?

Icom R2...almost new. US model. Excellent condition. Best offer?

Dan

1889. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A2A0BB.2043@usa.net>
Source record: 131075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brad Kellison wrote:

> boy I think you better go back and read the rules.. it does not tell you how to
> operate on one or how a repeater operates to perform it's function..

Brad-

I never said the rules "tell you how to operate on one or how a repeater operates". What I *DID* state was "both the novice & technician level tests" ... "have specific questions regarding repeater use and/or operation". Therefore, classes for novice or technician level FCC amateur radio tests would cover the previous mentioned issues regarding repeaters.

I suggest you go back & read my post(s) again so you have a clear understanding of what was said and the topics/areas addressed.

> Also NOVICEs MAY OWN
> A REPEATER BUT NOT USE THERE NOVICE CALL AS AN OPERATOR OF THE REPEATER

WRONG!
A novice (under the CURRENT FCC rules) may not be the licensee but may be an operator/user of a repeater. NO WHERE in the CURRENT FCC rules is there anything restricting a novice from being the operator/user of a repeater. If I were a betting man, this is one I would put money on! (If you need to, go look at 97.205)

Of course, a Novice along with the Technician, general, and Advanced are required to stay within their authorized area of the amateur radio spectrum.

I realize you are only a technician licensee, so that is understood. But please understand my position as a Extra Class licensee (call: KA0SSF) with 15 years experience in amateur radio & one of the most active VE's (both ARRL & W5YI) I am required to have a good understanding of these matters.

1890. Power Company frequencies for the Washington, DC area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: john gary ingram <ingramj@erols.com>
Message-ID: <36A2A352.3375@erols.com>
Source record: 131076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have a list of Power Company frequencies for the DC area? I'm primarly interested in the Pepco frequencies for Montgomery County.

1891. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A2A2BB.570D@usa.net>
Source record: 131077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve wrote:
> The "teaching & examination experience in amateur radio" that you have is
> obviously worthless. How could any sane Ham say the "specific data as
> designated by the FCC" (the FCC question pool)

Apparently you are a complete idiot.

The FCC approves the tests that the VEC groups releases to the VE's
for administrating to people wishing to take their amateur radio
FCC test.

So *YES* I will say AGAIN, the specific data of the FCC question pool
is designated by the FCC.

> sufficient information to operate repeaters. That fact is the FCC question
> pool contains insufficient information to operate repeaters or most anything
> else related to ham radio correctly. You are wrong (again) John. You are

The FCC question pools ask specific questions of repeater usage in
both the novice & technician level tests. In order to pass those
questions
& answer them correctly one would need to have at least a basic
understanding
of how repeaters operate & are used. That would require a amateur radio
license
class to cover repeaters & related issues.

1892. MDT frequencies for Fairfax County, VA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Joseph E. Hayes, III" <jhayes3rd@digizen.net>
Message-ID: <36A2ACA9.166A@digizen.net>
Source record: 131078
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have a list of MDT frequencies for Fairfax County, VA?

1893. BEARCAT BC 580 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dirk E. Dewachter" <ddewachter@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36A2AA17.7740@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am in need of a schematic or a service manual for the Bearcat BC580XLT. If you do, you can leave me a message on here or leave me an e-mail message. Thank you.

Dirk Dewachter

1894. VX1 List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: james <mixer@usa.net>
Message-ID: <77udes$3k3$1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 131080
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/YaesuVX1

Will Take you to the subscription page for the New VX1R mailing list

Please join in

James

1895. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <sqAo2.3964$DB1.3859@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 131081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Wrong again John. This is the third time today. Give it up.

John wrote in message <36A2A2BB.570D@usa.net>...
>Steve wrote:
>> The "teaching & examination experience in amateur radio" that you have
is
>> obviously worthless. How could any sane Ham say the "specific data as
>> designated by the FCC" (the FCC question pool)
>
>Apparently you are a complete idiot.
>
>The FCC approves the tests that the VEC groups releases to the VE's
>for administrating to people wishing to take their amateur radio
>FCC test.
>
>So *YES* I will say AGAIN, the specific data of the FCC question pool
>is designated by the FCC.
>
>
>> sufficient information to operate repeaters. That fact is the FCC
question
>> pool contains insufficient information to operate repeaters or most
anything
>> else related to ham radio correctly. You are wrong (again) John. You are
>
>The FCC question pools ask specific questions of repeater usage in
>both the novice & technician level tests. In order to pass those
>questions
>& answer them correctly one would need to have at least a basic
>understanding
>of how repeaters operate & are used. That would require a amateur radio
>license
>class to cover repeaters & related issues.

1896. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <36A2D1E4.CD8E4642@pacbell.net>
Source record: 131082

John wrote:

> Please post your examples of proof that I committed the above or be
> proven
> a liar by default.
>

Now watch Toilet Boy bring the data below back around to his obsession with BC ... Easier than hypnotizing chickens ... Suggestions for other Toilet Boy protaginists: Never respond directly to the idiot - cite only facts regarding his deplorable behavior. That will never go away ....

R E A L I T Y C H E C K

Definitive data on the posting history of Toilet Boy (aka Mackey). Edited for the more salient point(s). Compelling nonetheless.

SNIP --- Data From Smally ----

I have nothing else to say except that, out of curiousity, I searched the archives at Dejanews to see how much John "contributes" to the group. I found some 13000 posts in all in the scanner newsgroups since he arrived. THIRTEEN THOUSAND!!!!!!!!!!! Yet the on topic posts he has made can be counted on one hand, with room left over. Case closed, folks. John Mackey is the problem. Guilty as charged.

I have done it folks. I have accomplished what I set out to do. I have proven what the Clown Prince of Scanning is really all about: A personal, neverending vendetta against Bill Cheek.

SNIP ------ Data From Kindricks ------

Date	Mackey	Cheek	Kendrick	Bowers	Vetterle	allsafe	K4CC
JUL 98	413	386*	6	32	28	75	234
JUN 98	413	502*	0	64	45	97	95
MAY 98	22	208	0	11	8	12	12
APR 98	194	128	27	41	25	12	0
MAR 98	254	240	49	24	50	18	0
FEB 98	200	274	51	27	46	18	0
JAN 98	173	178	35	1	33	33	0
DEC 97	149	227	32	0	16	1	0
NOV 97	63	65	1	0	10	0	0
OCT 97	48	60	0	0	8	0	0
SEP 97	181	78	0	2	4	0	0
AUG 97	29	2	0	1	2	0	0

TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 | 201 | 203 | 275 | 266 | 341

Consider this point. Less than two dozen of the total posts by Mackey pertained to scanners or scanning. Approximately half of the posts made by Bill Cheek were devoted to scanners and scanning. Now go back and look at the numbers again. You do the math.

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX. We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost, $USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

1897. pro 2006 400 channel FS/FT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: km5rt@ticnet.com
Message-ID: <D45D718239B0B970.A555599C5F3B6FD3.CACE38FA4126FD5A@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 131083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amatuer.equipment

I have a pro 2006 realistic 400 channel scanner for sale.
It currently has no mods done <but can if needed>
There is a few scuffs on top that would make it a 8.5 of 10.
This radio works perfectly.
I want $250 + shipping
will trade for kam + with version 8.x hardware or
or I will do a partial trade for:
6 meter allmode yaesu or the like
would consider ts660, triband radio as long as
allmode for partial trade
kevin
km5rt@ticnet.com

********remove nospam to reply*********
see ya on the bands

1898. pro 2006 400 channel FS/FT--also 4x150's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: km5rt@ticnet.com
Message-ID: <F38754DB0A4687BA.75A2D8D5E62BC205.37F08F693BDD2893@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 131084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

I have a pro 2006 realistic 400 channel scanner for sale.
It currently has no mods done <but can if needed>
There is a few scuffs on top that would make it a 8.5 of 10.
This radio works perfectly.
I want $250 + shipping
will trade for kam + with version 8.x hardware or
or I will do a partial trade for:
6 meter allmode yaesu or the like
would consider ts660, triband radio as long as
allmode for partial trade
kevin
km5rt@ticnet.com

I also have several 4x150 tubes for sale/trade

********remove nospam to reply*********
see ya on the bands

1899. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A2D8FA.123D@usa.net>
Source record: 131085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I keep asking you to explain HOW (exactly) that I am wrong but you continually fail to do so.

Why can't you explain specifically where I am wrong?

Steve wrote:
> Wrong again John. This is the third time today. Give it up.

> John wrote in message <36A2A2BB.570D@usa.net>...
> >The FCC approves the tests that the VEC groups releases to the VE's
> >for administrating to people wishing to take their amateur radio
> >FCC test.
> >So *YES* I will say AGAIN, the specific data of the FCC question pool
> >is designated by the FCC.

1900. Re: are the uniden & r.s. trunk trackers the same ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990116191403.12635.00000474@ng23.aol.com>
Source record: 131088

>Nothing indicated it would. But Opto has a 'black box' trunk tracking radio,
>
>computer controlled, that does 400, 500, 800, AND 900mhz systems, and it's
>under $500.
>

Add to that the cost ($99) of the third party software to enable tracking GE/Ericsson systems, and the computer to control it, and the Optocom becomes a very good, but fairly pricey, way to scan. Yes, it will scan without the computer, but only one system that you previously programmed by computer.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

1901. Re: AR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: robyr1234@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990116193932.07622.00002268@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 131089

Have a look at
http://wkweb4.cableinet.co.uk/simon.collings/RS-232/AR-3000.htm

Greetings Robby

1902. Re: Questions AOR AR-3000A/AB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: robyr1234@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990116203752.00786.00000612@ngol06.aol.com>
Source record: 131090

I have a AOR 3000A and It was one of the best Orders i have ever made!!!!

1903. FS: ICOM R71A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: pat brady <pbrady@ctaz.com>
Message-ID: <36A142A6.C9D375CF@ctaz.com>
Source record: 131091

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=57401558

1904. Fairmate HP-100: Assistance Required

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: ahhnospamplase!martin.layton@cableinet.co.uk
Message-ID: <36a141f5.10609333@news.cableinet.net>
Source record: 131092

Hi,

I have an HP100, but no instructions.
I can manually input a frequency, and step size, but Idon't know how
to set up the scan and search modes.
Can anyone help with a quick resume of how to do this please?

Thnks

Martin

modify my e-mail address in the obvious way to contact me

1905. DX Communications - Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: pharris@auracom.com
Message-ID: <36a14750.2211521@news.auracom.com>
Source record: 131093

Has anyone ordered from DX Communications - a store in Canada. I want to know if they are a reputable company to deal with.

1906. FA: AOR AR2700 & Uniden Sportcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John in Roseville CA 95678 <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <paco2.385$3u.5335239@nnrp2.ni.net>
Source record: 131094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

AOR AR2700
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=57492126

Uniden Sprotcat SC150B
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=57483838

1907. WTB: Frequency counter - $ 75.00 /less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990116220900.24234.00001934@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 131095

Hi! I am looking for a frequency counter (I'll take the R.S. model) for $75.00/less.
If you need some extra cash, please e-mail me. If the R.S. unit goes on sale in the meantime, I'll probably pick it up. Thank you!
Radio911 - Laine S. Herman

1908. Re: Attn:ScanShack Re: BC200XLT Which Resistor to cut?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990116221128.29129.00002086@ng154.aol.com>
Source record: 131096

>Subject: Attn:ScanShack Re: BC200XLT Which Resistor to cut?
>From: Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>

>The site worked for me
>
>Thank You ScanShack!
>

You're Welcome !

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1909. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <77rpthnrj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131097

I said Im new to the thing, I didnt say I had passed it, and only place around me to get such books is Radio Shack, so I bought teh book they have there, the Technician No-Code Plus book. It's all I could get my hands on as were I live pretty much sucks for anything dealing with technology beyond the horse and buggy. Im reading thru this book now, but though I could ask some simple questions without some arrogant people jumping all over my butt.

IceBerg
iceberg@nxco.com

In article <77lpof$l0@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>,
"Rick" <amateur@fcc.gov> wrote:
> I'm afraid that the first response you got was somewhat accurate. If you
> did actually study for a tech, and took and passed the test legally, you
> would know all about this.
>
> Since you did learn it all and then promptly forgot, look in "Now Your'e
> Talking" (remember the book you studied?) and turn to chapter 2. There is
> a whole chapter titled "VHF and UHF FM Repeater Operating", a whole section
> titled "Finding a Repeater" starting on page 2-11. On page 2-12 there is a
> whole section that is titled "Repeater Operating" This will refresh your
> memory and answer every question you asked.
>
> There are a few questions for the tech class license on those subjects on
> the exam you just passed.
>
> iceberg@nxco.com wrote in message <77l7kk$8mn$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> > Though Im sure there is some ham news group, Im not aware of that would
> be
> >more sutable for this question, Im asking it in hopes someone can answer it
> >anyway.
> >
> > Im new to the 2M 70cm(440) ham bands (tech class) and I am trying to
> find
> >some information on what repeaters do, how to access one how to get access
> to
> >one, and what they are capable of.
> >
> >IceBerg
> >iceberg@nxco.com
> >
> >-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> >http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1910. Re: Trunking Homepage: Looking for info!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A15905.3B58@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Lindsay C. Blanton wrote:
>
> Hello Everyone!
>
> I'm looking for detailed information on the following Trunked Systems
> in the Southeast US.
>
> 1. The Corpus Christi Ericsson EDACS system. Does anyone have any
> system freqs, LCN info, and talkgroup information. Is anyone using
> etrunk on this system?
>

Check out the following URL for Corpus Christi Ericsson EDACS:

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/tx.html

>
> 2. The Dade County FL Ericsson EDACS system. Does anyone have any
> system freqs, LCN info, user info, or talkgroup information? Is
> anyone using etrunk on this system.
>

Check out the following URL for Dade County FL Ericsson EDACS:

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/fl.html

>
> Any help on these systems would be great.
>
> Thanks!
> -LB
>

1911. Re: Cloning Digital Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <77rqb1$o7f$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Actualy Phil is only part correct, you can grab and use digital phone data, however it is VERY hard and few people can do it. You have almost no fears as long as you are in the Digital spectrum using the encrypted data. If you are concerned about this there are 2 steps you can take, make sure your phone will allow you to set it to DIGITAL only,this way you never transmit in analoge. Second contact yur provider to make sure you are using encrypted digital (not sure how wide spread this is yet I just recently read about it). Analoge cellular is very susepatble to cloaning, and you can for around 100 bux build a DDI (used by cloaners to steal your phones information remotly) and a device to re-code a phone. Best answere for security, stay DIGITAL, with the new phones comming wich will implement GPS data transmit for 911 use, tracking cloaners will be easy and make cellular communicatons a little safer.

In article <77lg6q$1bn$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>,
"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Posted to R.R.S. and CC:ed to private email
>
> Chuck wrote in message <369e3e2a.209845038@news.visitus.net>...
> >Hello Everyone,
> >
> >I picked up a Nokia Model 2180 Digital Cellphone, and I have Ameritech
> >Clearpath Cellphone service, Now what I wanna know, is this phone
> >"clone"-able??? In other words, is it possible for someone to listen
> >in on my conversations and/or grab my ESN and clone the phone.
>
> Depends on the type of service provider you connect to. If you connect to a
> digital network such as PCS, then nope, you're fine. But if you go to an
> analog network, you are susceptible to being "cloned" just like anyone else.
> Best advice is to watch your bill closely, and keep a journal of your own
> calls for personal verification.
>
> -Phil
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1912. Re: Is there a Cell Mod for the BC235XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990116223650.14484.00001962@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 131100

While there is no cell mod for the BC235XLT, there is a light mod wich will allow you to add a swtich to keep the light on all the time of use as orininally intended. I have just done the mod tonight (1/16) and was not that hard at all. I have the radio shack version, (pro-90(both are the EXACT same scanner, even down to circuts)) and have found only this mod.

-rabidfool@aol.com
-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

1913. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990116224141.14484.00001971@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 131101

It seems like one question has remained unanswered. Altough scanning my be illegal in these countries, is it illegal to posses a scanner? Same deal with a black box (descrambler) for cable. They are in no way illegal to posses, but you cannot use one without going through the propper steps.

(please hit e-mail when replying)
-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

1914. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990116231611.21033.00002053@ng153.aol.com>
Source record: 131102

>Subject: Re: Getting "caught"
>From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam (Rabidfool)
>Altough scanning my be
>illegal in these countries, is it illegal to posses a scanner? ....>

Why would anyone want to live in a country where scanning is not legal....
unless they are locked up behind bars in that country I would
say...move...move...move... scan.. scan.. scan..
Life is not worth living if there was no scanning........ what else is there
but scanning.... I know .. I know ...
But laws are always bent a bit.... like owning a cell modified scanner.....
live dangerously :-)
visit the Scan Shack and mod yours today ....
This has been an unpaid advertisment for the Scan Shack.... put on the web for
those who dare to venture into the cell zone !!!! All information is FREE FREE
FREE
The Scan Shack is NOT a member of the Scanner Web Ring...
But life goes on and many visit my page anyway.... Be one of the many....visit
today !!!
Hey... lets be careful out there...... !!!!

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1915. ef johnson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Paull <mpaull@mpx.com.au>
Message-ID: <77roui$ik0$1@news1.mpx.com.au>
Source record: 131103
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

i've seen references to the ef johnson trunking system a few times
before in this group, is there any decoding software for this system that
uses a slicer or soundcard based control program?

mike

1916. Re: External Antenna for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <01be41d1$fb492e00$23685ed1@oemcomputer>
Source record: 131104

And don't have it connected to a propane tank in any way, because any lightning in the area may be fed right to the tank!

Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com> wrote in article <77r7ok$r55$1@garnet.mint.net>...
it`s not grounded ... The concrete is not a ground. Drive a ground rod into the ground and connect the pipe to it
Robert Dubenezic wrote in message <77pdsr$kvb@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
Hi!!

I have a ???. I am using an external mobile Maxrad antenna (a high gain, high band) with a Motorola M-Mount with an L-Bracket screwed into aluminum (gutter) overhang. In my town reception is good, but 10-15 miles away reception is good but I get a background noise. At one time it was crystal clear.

1917. Re: British Columbia Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: vlad@news.holonet.net
Message-ID: <77rp0parc1@colossus.holonet.net>
Source record: 131105

bburgess@axionet.com wrote:
: I'm looking for a comprehensive list of frequencies for the
: province of British Columbia, Canada. Any info would be greatly
: appreciated. Thanks.

--

There is a book called BRITISH COLUMBIA FREQUENCY LIST, and it is published by J & M Communications, Ltd., 3149 Beverley Crescent, North Vancouver BC V7R 2W4. Phone = 604-984-7076. Fax = 604-984-0354. I have the 10th edition, which I purchased back in 1996. I hope that they are still publishing this fine book. It was CAN$24.95 + 7% GST + CAN$3.00 shipping.

They also publish a SASKATCHEWAN FREQUENCY LIST for CAN$16.95.

--
-- vlad@holonet.net
-- greg griffin
--

1918. Sears Programmable Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rlbishop@txcyber.com
Message-ID: <36a16d2f.2136191@news.txcyber.com>
Source record: 131106

Forgive my newbie ignorance....

Does anyone recall a programmable scanner Sear sold that used rigid cards that you punched out (using a frequency book that told you what to punch). It was a pain in the butt, however you could collect cards for different areas and switch programs in seconds.

Is there a modern scanner available that you can asemble program lists and change them over by plugging a disk or card?

1919. Re: Attic Mount Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Curious George"@work.here
Message-ID: <36a27774.21488691@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 131107

On Sat, 16 Jan 1999 21:18:02 -0600, "Dale Boeth" <boeth@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Code restrictions prevent use of an outdoor antenna. Does anyone have
>suggestions or feedback from an attic mounted antenna? Is there worth the
>trouble or not?
>

I have experienced excellent reception with antennas in the attic.
Using a RS Discone, range in excess of 60 miles from Qth is regularly
experienced.
I am in the area of Saint Paul MN. I regularly recieve signals from
Rochester 60+ mi south and Atkin County about 70+ mi. north.
Outside area signal reception is so regular I had to add a BC760XLT
wiith tone squelch so I could monitor the local sheriff without also
getting constant traffic from the Rochester Mn. police and Atkin
county Sheriff.

Good Signals... LCM

1920. Re: are the uniden & r.s. trunk trackers the same ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a483ce.181974931@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131108

On Sun, 17 Jan 1999 05:39:56 GMT, thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL
one!)) wrote:
>
>But I WOULD like to play with an OptoCom, we have several 400mhz military trunked
>systems, and a LOT of 800 and 900 non-Public Service systems that can make for
>interesting listening.

You certainly don't need to spend all that money to accomplish this...

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

1921. Sony ICF-SC1PC software bombs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Lionel <voodoosix@thepentagon.com>
Message-ID: <rYgo2.23146$rJ2.3247@news.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 131109

I just bought a Sony PC scanner and love the scanner. The software works fine as long as I don't try to access the frequency database. It bombs everytime I select it under options. "an error has occurred in your program". It appears to be a foxpro error. I'm running on Win98, killer machine (450mhz 384mb RAM) so I know it isn't a resources issue. Anyone else have any problems with it?

Bob Lionel

1922. Re: Sears Programmable Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ivan Ivanovich <iivanovich@usf.com>
Message-ID: <OcruZyfQ#GA.183@upnetnews05>
Source record: 131110

Manufactured by SBE (Sideband Engineering), a Ham equipment manfacture, as I recall Sears did sell it under their brand name. SBE called it an OPTO-SCAN.

Bought one in 1976 did not keep it very long as it would break all the time and intermod was bad.

Just saw a post about one of these scanners about 3 weeks ago.

Ivan Ivanovich

rlbishop@txcyber.com wrote in message <36a16d2f.2136191@news.txcyber.com>...
>Forgive my newbie ignorance....
>
>Does anyone recall a programmable scanner Sear sold that used rigid
>cards that you punched out (using a frequency book that told you what
>to punch). It was a pain in the butt, however you could collect cards
>for different areas and switch programs in seconds.
>
>Is there a modern scanner available that you can asemble program lists
>and change them over by plugging a disk or card?

1923. Re: Problem with "joystick" button on AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@mail.blinx.de>
Message-ID: <36A1CC7C.C65751CE@mail.blinx.de>
Source record: 131111
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,dk.teknik.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OErjan Hoff wrote:

> Hello!
> I have an brand new, but the "joystick" button is not working good, Any one
> else have this problem?
> Are this an known failure?
>
> Best regards
> OErjan Hoff

Adapt a good Thrustmaster ;-)))

odo

1924. Re: BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>
Message-ID: <36A1D5F8.C68F49DB@tidalwave.net>
Source record: 131112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

NOT wrote:

> the light work with a ciruit that runs the delay function and scan rate. so
> to do this you would also change this. they are however a way you can mod.
> so the light either stays on all the time or when the squelch is broken
>
> Trevor wrote in message <36A1483C.BC023405@tidalwave.net>...
> >Is there way to keep the light on longer?
> >

can you post more info on the light mod please....

--
Check Out My WebCam!!

http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html

ICQ me 8309550

I don't suffer from insanity - I enjoy every minute of it.

1925. Re: TESLA everybody knows *that*!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bigdave@systemresc.com
Message-ID: <77su0b$jd6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner

It is definitely Tesla.

Dave Ashelman (KB2ZHU)
Niagara Falls, NY
Senior RF Engineer
Amherst Systems - www.amherst.com
bigdave@systemresc.com

In article <77ruq7$4cd$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Matthew Davies" <darkstars@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>
> Trust me, my mother the pidgeon told me so.
>
> Matthew
>
>

Dave
Niagara Falls
bigdave@systemresc.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1926. Re: if you have a web site about scanning, please read this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jstuyven@xs4all.nl
Message-ID: <36a1b207.977662@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 131114

>in France, scanning is prohibited.

Really? iCOM has released a French version of their IC-R10 (broadcast band blocked); can't imagine why they did it when using it is illegal.

Anyway, http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven contains my IC-R10 pages. Hope you like them. When there's time, I will add a link to your page too.

Regards,

Joost

==========
For IC-R10 information:

http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven

Joost van Stuyvenberg
Utrecht, The Netherlands
jstuyven@xs4all.nl
==========

1927. Re: Anyway to unlock cell freq's on AOR-8200B?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@telstra.easymail.com.au
Message-ID: <36a1f276.2760169@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131115

Why don't you tell us, anyway?

1928. Re: Sears Programmable Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <77srhm$sgh@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 131116

Both the SBE and Sears models were manufactured in Japan
by GRE (same folks who made the Radio Shack PRO-2006). I own
both scanners, and as just you wrote, my Sears scanner is
currently kaput.

In article <OcruZyfQ#GA.183@upnetnews05>,
Ivan Ivanovich <iivanovich@usf.com> wrote:

>Manufactured by SBE (Sideband Engineering), a Ham equipment manfacture, as I
>recall Sears did sell it under their brand name. SBE called it an OPTO-SCAN.
>
>Bought one in 1976 did not keep it very long as it would break all the time
>and intermod was bad.
--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1929. fs : aor 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: ibm <maclean02@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <77t24e$4dj$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 131117
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
us version
2 weeks old
all parts & packaging
make offer
( looking to buy a owe hf 150. if you are interested in a trade )
```

1930. Want any MDT freq in the Harrisburg, Pa area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36a41036.640129@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 131118

Hi,
Does anyone know of ANY MDT frequency in the Harrisburg, Pa area
that I could tune into to try the MDT program.
Bob

1931. A silly question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Amedeo Bellisario <amebelli@tin.it>
Message-ID: <77t333hbq1@nslave1.tin.it>
Source record: 131119

I'd like to know the differences between a receiver and a scanner. Thank you.

1932. FS: AR8200 $625.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Schwerdtman <Bob9000@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <36A23BA9.7ED1@concentric.net>
Source record: 131120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I am selling my AR8200. Full coverage receiver un-cut in mint condition with all accessories except for AC adaptor. AOR interface cable and software, Soft Case, and Scout Reaction tune cable. $625.00 shipped in USA. Payment in advance by US Postal money order or I will Ship UPS COD for $10.00 More. Thanks
Bob
847-356-5665

1933. Re: A silly question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990117124639.25309.00002855@ng144.aol.com>
Source record: 131121

>Subject: A silly question?
>From: "Amedeo Bellisario" <amebelli@tin.it>

>I'd like to know the differences between a receiver and a scanner. Thank
>you.
>
Say your police dept has four channels they use because it is a fairly large city. You have a receiver that receives your police department frequencies at 453.550, 453.650, 453.750, and 453.850 MHz. With your receiver you can tune to any one of these frequencies and listen but you will not be able to hear what is going on the other three unless you retune. Well a scanner does the retuning for you automatically. You program in all 4 frequencies and it searchs the first freq for activity and if none is found automatically goes to the next, then the next, etc. It stops on any active channel and allows you to listen. When the activity stops it proceeds (or scans).

Hope that helps.

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1934. MDT frequencies below 512 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A2222A.C23F61B7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131122

Can anyone tell me if MDT is only used with 800 MHz frequencies - or is it used in the 380 - 512 MHz band also?

1935. FS - Like NIB Sony SC1-PC scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990117125905.12549.00000728@ng24.aol.com>
Source record: 131123

This is complete as it came from Sony, with the software on CD, computer cable, manual and original box/packaging. There are no scratches on the scanner or anything wrong with it. I used it for about 5 hours to test. Asking $275 shipped and insured to lower 481

1936. Texas National Guard frequs needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: donhark@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <77td57vhg1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 131124

I am looking for frequs for The National Guard located in Texas.
If anyone can help, it would be great.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1937. BC200XLT Clear code key combination

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: inciter <inciter@crl.com>
Message-ID: <77t9efpap1@nnrp2.crl.com>
Source record: 131125

There is a key code to clear the memory banks from the 200xlt. I have not used it in sometime and forgot the combination of keys to hold down while turning the scanner off and on.

I know it's hold down the 9 (limit?) and I thought the number two key?

I look over the sites first before asking here.

An email would be great!

Inciter@hotmail.com

1938. Re: BC200XLT Clear code key combination

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>
Message-ID: <36A22A89.A1708E45@tidalwave.net>
Source record: 131126

inciter wrote:

> There is a key code to clear the memory banks from the 200xlt. I have not
> used it in sometime and forgot the combination of keys to hold down while
> turning the scanner off and on.
>
> I know it's hold down the 9 (limit?) and I thought the number two key?
>
> I look over the sites first before asking here.
>
> An email would be great!
>
> Inciter@hotmail.com

2-9-Manual

--
Check Out My WebCam!!

http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html

ICQ me 8309550

I eat Swiss cheese from the inside out.

1939. Re: Anyway to unlock cell freq's on AOR-8200B?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barney Rules! <dutchguy_SPAM@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <77tar0fjh1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 131127

8200B has special microcontroller and is not possible to unblock. Buy one from javiation in the UK...

The 8200 has a special EEprom edit mode and you can find the cellular range in the EEprom but removing that data does not work. Even uploading a copy of the Euro 8200 in the US8200 does not work..

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote in message
<369fd1e4.438267765@news.voicetek.com>...
>I've looked all over the net and can't find any mod info on the 8200B.
>
>Anyone have any ideas?
>
>Thanks,
>Mike

1940. Re: A silly question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <77ta1v$pc1$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 131128

This answer seems to be logical, but the Yupi 7200 is marketed as a
"multiband receiver". And it scans. It would seem that the presence of
shortwave seems to make a scanner a receiver, even if it does scan.
ScanShack wrote in message <19990117124639.25309.00002855@ng144.aol.com>...
>>Subject: A silly question?
>>From: "Amedeo Bellisario" <amebelli@tin.it>
>
>>I'd like to know the differences between a receiver and a scanner. Thank
>>you.
>>
>Say your police dept has four channels they use because it is a fairly
large
>city. You have a receiver that receives your police department frequencies
at
>453.550, 453.650, 453.750, and 453.850 MHz. With your receiver you can
tune to
>any one of these frequencies and listen but you will not be able to hear
what
>is going on the other three unless you retune. Well a scanner does the
>retuning for you automatically. You program in all 4 frequencies and it
>searchs the first freq for activity and if none is found automatically
goes to
>the next, then the next, etc. It stops on any active channel and allows
you to
>listen. When the activity stops it proceeds (or scans).
>
>Hope that helps.
>
>Another scanner information web page
>Including cell mods with illustrations,
>virtual down conversion info & more at:
>
>http://members.aol.com/scanshack
>
>Happy Scanning !

1941. scanners f.s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: george <george@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <77tc4u$lmj@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 131129
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> selling all scanners looking to relocate and retire this is what I
> have available
> bc200xlt......asking 225.00 like new in box
> bc210.........asking 200.00
> bc760xlt....asking 200.00 like new in box
> bc700a......asking150.00 this price includes shipping like the bc350a
> only with 800mhz
> bc2500xlt......asking 275.00 like new in box

the scanners listed above will receive the complete 800mhz band no gaps

bc 8500xlt.......asking 275.00 can be moded to receive the complete
800mhz band

>
> bc235xlt.....asking 200.00

>
> bc230xlt........asking 200.00 never out of the box

shipping overnite 35.00 fedex
need shipping payment before shipping will ship balance c.o.d.

>
> ....if interested
> leave me e-mail
> mackbolan@execpc.com

1942. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF5pxJ4.HEH@netcom.com>
Source record: 131130

In article <JGRn2.79$64.862@nntp1.nac.net>,
Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net> wrote:

>Glenn P Caron wrote in message <369FE3DF.C8CBFD03@worldnet.att.net>...
>>As a radio reciever emits no RF power, there is no way to track one down.
>
>
>Tell that to people who've had radar detectors confiscated courtesy of a
>VG-1. However the same principle might not be practical for detecting a
>scanner in a home.

Possible, but barely.

Superhetrodyne radar detectors take the incoming frequency and mix it with an internally-generated frequency making it easier to distinguish the "fingerprint" of the police radar and toss out all other stuff.

Cheaper radar detectors (cheaper in construction, not always in price) don't have good shielding and "leak" that generated signal. These can be picked up by the "radar detector detectors" that are in many of the new Kustom Signals and other radar units.

The better made units (Valentine One) have really good shielding and can limit detection to within a few meters at best.

Put two radar detectors next to each other (cheap ones) and watch the fun as they confuse each other.

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

1943. Re: Fairmate HP-100: Assistance Required

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <KyQzBUBDQjo2EwSv@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 131131

In article <36a141f5.10609333@news.cableinet.net>, Martin <ahhnospamplas
e!martin.layton@cableinet.co.uk> writes

Hi,

>I have an HP100, but no instructions.
>I can manually input a frequency, and step size, but Idon't know how
>to set up the scan and search modes.
>Can anyone help with a quick resume of how to do this please?

The HP-100 is the "same" radio as the AOR AR1000 and you can download
the AR1000 manual from their UK web site:

http://www.demon.co.uk/aor

Alternatives to this are the manual we wrote for this radio which are
still available of the excellent "guide to the AR1000" by Howard
Bornstein (http://www.designeq.com).

Hope this helps, have fun.
Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K.	AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Commtel 205/216/510, Icom IC-R2/R10/PCR1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627 \ Realistic PRO-26/2042, Standard AX400B
Clough@javiation.co.uk \ UBC-3000XLT/9000XLT, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000
http://www.javiation.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000
\--/

1944. IC-R2 computer control?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <zVqo2.161$q_.216@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 131132

Has the ICOM software & programming cable, for the R2, been released yet?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

1945. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A23DC6.55C3@usa.net>
Source record: 131133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Steve wrote:
> He was correct imbecile, but it had nothing to do with Phil he was talking
> about you.

If George ment something something different, then he is fully capable
of correcting himself or stating his position. He doesn't need YOU
to state his opinions or thoughts for him.

1946. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <f7ro2.3884$DB1.3776@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 131134

John - KAOSSF wrote in message <36A18B83.344A@usa.net>...
>Steve wrote:

The man asked a legitimate question and you reacted like the starved for attention bloated feces bag that you are. His response pretty much sums it up Mackey. AGAIN you look like a fool and lose!!

1947. Re: A silly question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A23E76.5872@usa.net>
Source record: 131135

Amedeo Bellisario wrote:
> I'd like to know the differences between a receiver and a scanner.

A receiver is intended to be tuned to listen to a frequency & stay
there.

A scanner is intended to listen to a range of frequencies by changing
the channel is listens to automatically.

1948. Re: THE HAM STATION - A dealer with integrity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill conduit <myway@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36A3B3EE.D990B27@netcom.com>
Source record: 130886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Barry O'Grady wrote:

> "WW - KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@usa.net> wrote:
>
> :Barry I don't know what Christian hurt you when you were little but I'm
> :sorry and want you to know that Jesus loves you anyhow and died for you just
> :the same!
>

HMMMMM.

someone dying for me
and I ain't even been born yet?

what a concept?

what frequency can we all listen to Dog on?

bill conduit
with an attitude

1949. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill conduit <myway@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36A3B474.5708D73B@netcom.com>
Source record: 130887

>

Have seen them for under 200 bucks now....

plenty of www sites showing this price
plus S/H

bill conduit
etc.

1950. Re: Just A Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: C W <CW4EVER@penn.com>
Message-ID: <36A429B1.4681ECBA@penn.com>
Source record: 130888

JTWard01 wrote:
>
> >I know it is illegal to use the cordless phone freqs. And I do not use them!
> >
> >But how do people get caught?
>
> Almost always the person opens their big mouth and blabs about what they heard
> and it eventually gets back to the neighbors with the cordless and they get
> pissed and call the cops.
>
> John T. Ward

Whats even better than cordless phones are these room monitors!
You can hear a lot more from them and the police know it!

Walt.

1951. fs: aor 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: ibm <maclean02@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <780ee7lsl1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 130889
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
asking $500
will ship fedex in con us
- ian
```

1952. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <780getpfc1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130890

John wrote in message <36A37A5F.4140@usa.net>...
>Phil Smalley wrote:

>Phil, just last week you said you were going to stop your harassing &
>trouble making, so why have you started again?
Same reason as you I guess. Why don't you just worry about your own damn problems and leave everyone else alone?

Seriously, I was going to stop, but the lies and overall B.S. you post daily is too much to stomach. Saying nothing about it is like saying I agree with you. And I don't.

>> Then there's this search:
>> http://www.dejanews.com/dnquery.xp?QRY
>SNIP
>
>Post your proof, Phil. I'm not going to go on some wild goose chase to
>find
>this data you CLAIM to have if you can't even post it yourself.

Oh really Mr. Bandwidth? You want me to post all 1900+ posts I found on you? Is that what you really want me to do? You are really starting to piss me off. I posted the proof, butthead. If you are too damn stupid to cut-and-paste the URL's I provided and see for yourself how much B.S. you spew, well, that ain't my problem bozo.
>
>In the past your claims have shown to be far less than what you stated.

Speak for yourself, John. You asked for proof and I delivered. Case closed, you lose.
>
>
>Wrong again Phil. Dejanews post are kept FAR longer that 2 years.
>If you can't find them, they aren't there. It's that simple!

Perhaps they are, but you have used so many aliases on this NG that I couldn't find them all (and before you deny that, check below - your own admission of guilt). But, it only took a couple minutes to count up the ones I did, and they only date back to mid 1997.
>
>> For someone who claims not to do any rogue cancels on this group, a
dejanews
>> search reveals 348 cancels done by you.
>
>I have only canceled my own posts, any other "rogue" cancels were done
>by someone attempting to impersonate me.

People impersonate celebrities, not morons, John. Seriously, why cancel ANY of your own messages? I don't cancel mine! Could it be that even you can't stomach your own babbling half-witted drivel?

>> Here are just a few of the
>> different ID's you have posted under:
>>
>> John Mackey
>> J Mackey - NEW
>> J Mackey
>> John Mackey - KAOSSF
>SNIP
>
>those are all me, and they are legit ID's. So what is your point?
You know my point halfwit. You change your ID to purposely avoid being filtered because John "I'm too sexy for my Gremlin" Mackey has to have a friggin' audience. We all know it so give that tired sorry ass speech a rest.

Go ahead John, reply and deny. That will only further prove my point. Or say nothing and still be known as an idiot. Any way you slice it, you KNOW I'm right. You lose, I win, the end.

Now begone, while you still have some dignity left.
-Phil

1953. For Sale: Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: DWR <dwr@paonline.com>
Message-ID: <36A3C7C0.1F26@paonline.com>
Source record: 130891

For Sale: AH-7000 Super Wideband Omnidirectional Antenna. Excellent condition.

1954. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Choco Boco <thumbs@meow.net>
Message-ID: <780h0rplb1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130892

Phil Smalley wrote in message <77vpk9$i05$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>
RRRRRRRRRRRRRIPPPPPPPPPPP!

Let's all just face it, Mackey blows goats for fun, ok.... We all know the jack ass is a liar, and a bad one at that, so let's just end it here, ok?

Cause if the poop don't end soon, I am going to find each and every one of you and stick my paws where the sun don't shine (thumbs and all!)

Meow!

Choco

1955. Re: The Repair Shop at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <780hva$su8$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 130893

Xenon wrote in message
<51EB84F7E6C28C2A.5D48B25E91311220.5667C0DC74535223@library-proxy.airnews.net>...
Radio Shack will ship everything you have fixed to the Tandy Center in Ft. Worth,Tx
where they are either replaced or repaired.

I recently had a SW radio repaired at Radio Shack, and it was sent to the repair center in Kansas. I believe Radio Shack has repair centers around the country and your item gets sent to the closest center. The impression I got from the store manager, who handled my order, was some centers are better than others. My radio was repaired without problem.

D. Schopp

1956. Re: Scanner for exclusively for Cell Phone use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>
Message-ID: <36A3C0B5.C27D54C1@tidalwave.net>
Source record: 130894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:

> I figured I was better off getting an affordable first scanner to make
> sure I enjoy it before I dive into something like the AR8200.
>
> So, I have ordered a BC235xlt to get me started and cover my trunking
> and regular scanning, but now I'm looking for a good scanner to use
> exclusively for cell scanning.
>
> Suggestions...? An old 200xlt or 220xlt that's still in good shape
> and pre ban?
>
> Everyone on here has been a tremendous help in my quest for knowledge.
> I'm just disappointed that it will be some time before I'm able to
> give back and contribute to the wealth of information available here!
>
> Thanks again!
>
> Mike

I am using the BC200XLT for cell scanning, I just got it got it at a local pawn shop for $120 and it is out of the box new, and I am extremely happy with it. If you can not find a " pre ban " the mod is very easy to do.

--
Check Out My WebCam!!

http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html

ICQ me 8309550

Change is inevitable, except from a vending machine.

1957. Police Frequencies,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Skinner <dgskin@ibl.bm>
Message-ID: <780j4fmtf1@news0-alterdial.uu.net>
Source record: 130895

Can any one Help,
I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Frequencies, and I,m sure they
are also scrabbling it
Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
transmissions.

, and I,m sure they
are also scrabbling it
Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
transmissions.

, and I,m sure they
are also scrabbling it
Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
transmissions.

1958. police Frequiencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Skinner <dgskin@ibl.bm>
Message-ID: <780jam$n41$1@news0-alterdial.uu.net>
Source record: 130896

Can any one Help,
I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Frequencies, and I,m sure they
are also scrabbling it
Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
transmissions.

, and I,m sure they
are also scrabbling it
Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
transmissions.

, and I,m sure they
are also scrabbling it
Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
transmissions.

1959. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <%iQo2.4157$DB1.3297@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130897

Phil, I see John - CBer - Mackey responding now. Eyes red, drool on his lower lip dripping and forming a puddle between his legs a he sits having a fit in front of the computer.

Which pretend reality will he come from this time? Choose your favorite or add your own.

1. Well thanks Phil for proving me right.

2. I made those post because I work for a company that test out AI. I have to act dumber than a 486 with 8 megs of memory. Better than changing bed pans in a nut house!

3. I wouldn't have posted that crap but my dog ate my brain.

4. It can't be me. The whole world is wrong and I am right.

Phil Smalley wrote in message <780getpfc1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>
>John wrote in message <36A37A5F.4140@usa.net>...
>>Phil Smalley wrote:
>
>
>>Phil, just last week you said you were going to stop your harassing &
>>trouble making, so why have you started again?
>Same reason as you I guess. Why don't you just worry about your own damn
>problems and leave everyone else alone?
>
>Seriously, I was going to stop, but the lies and overall B.S. you post
daily
>is too much to stomach. Saying nothing about it is like saying I agree with
>you. And I don't.
>
>>> Then there's this search:
>>> http://www.dejanews.com/dnquery.xp?QRY
>>SNIP
>>
>>Post your proof, Phil. I'm not going to go on some wild goose chase to
>>find
>>this data you CLAIM to have if you can't even post it yourself.
>
>Oh really Mr. Bandwidth? You want me to post all 1900+ posts I found on
you?
>Is that what you really want me to do? You are really starting to piss me
>off. I posted the proof, butthead. If you are too damn stupid to
>cut-and-paste the URL's I provided and see for yourself how much B.S. you

>spew, well, that ain't my problem bozo.
>>
>>In the past your claims have shown to be far less than what you stated.
>
>
>Speak for yourself, John. You asked for proof and I delivered. Case closed,
>you lose.
>>
>>
>>Wrong again Phil. Dejanews post are kept FAR longer that 2 years.
>>If you can't find them, they aren't there. It's that simple!
>
>Perhaps they are, but you have used so many aliases on this NG that I
>couldn't find them all (and before you deny that, check below - your own
>admission of guilt). But, it only took a couple minutes to count up the
ones
>I did, and they only date back to mid 1997.
>>
>>> For someone who claims not to do any rogue cancels on this group, a
>dejanews
>>> search reveals 348 cancels done by you.
>>
>>I have only canceled my own posts, any other "rogue" cancels were done
>>by someone attempting to impersonate me.
>
>People impersonate celebrities, not morons, John. Seriously, why cancel ANY
>of your own messages? I don't cancel mine! Could it be that even you can't
>stomach your own babbling half-witted drivel?
>
>
>>> Here are just a few of the
>>> different ID's you have posted under:
>>>
>>> John Mackey
>>> J Mackey - NEW
>>> J Mackey
>>> John Mackey - KAOSSF
>>SNIP
>>
>>those are all me, and they are legit ID's. So what is your point?
>You know my point halfwit. You change your ID to purposely avoid being
>filtered because John "I'm too sexy for my Gremlin" Mackey has to have a
>friggin' audience. We all know it so give that tired sorry ass speech a
>rest.
>
>Go ahead John, reply and deny. That will only further prove my point. Or
say
>nothing and still be known as an idiot. Any way you slice it, you KNOW I'm
>right. You lose, I win, the end.
>

>Now begone, while you still have some dignity left.
>-Phil
>
>
>

1960. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <780kgqqom1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130898

David Starr wrote in message
>ON TOPIC - Since I don't have a ham license, it's illegal for me to have a
>scanner in the car here in Michigan. Am I in violation if I have it locked
>in the trunk, batteries removed, on my way to a NASCAR race?

Although I am not an attorney, I would have to say no. The law reads: "Any person who shall equip a vehicle...." The legal definition of equipping a vehicle means that the device in question is easily accesible during operation of, and ready for use within, the vehicle. Since the radio is in the trunk with the batteries removed, it is neither easily accessible nor ready for use. I'd say you're safe on this one. To be sure though, I'd run it by an attorney or the local PD.

-Phil

1961. Re: New Grove Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <D059BE06FA230018.B2D473B68F246AAA.5880BA5B6E3746BE@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 130899

Perhaps early signs of becoming an angry old man, but since you asked
I'll beat this horse like it had the misfortune of being named conduit.
Grove should reply or correct their website or both. Bob now has at
least
one method of contacting me and I expect him to delegate the task.
As far as calling the 800-number, I long for those days. You see, I had
my throat injured in an agricultural accident in October '87.

Randal wrote:
>
> Why don't you try calling them, the old fashioned way. I placed two
> orders over the past two weeks and each package came within three
> days.
>
> bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net> wrote:
>
> >Last week I sent 4 email inquiries to order@grove.net and failed
> >to get a response. Sorta blew my image of grove as a first-class outfit.
> >
> >
> >Roger D. Cravens, Jr. wrote:
> >>
> >> I happened to be surfing the web the other day and happened to
> >> notice that Grove Enterprises has setup their new catalog on-line.
> >>
> >> The address is: www.grove-end.com
> >>
> >> Order something online will save a lot of time if you're in a hurry
> >> for something.
> >>
> >> I thought it was a good idea anyway!
> >>
> >> **
> >> * Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
> >> * John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
> >> **
> >> * Roger Cravens *
> >> * South East Signals Intelligence Group *
> >> * Atlanta, GA *
> >> **
> >> * Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
> >> **

1962. Re: New 8200 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOT <nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <780lhm$2vv$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 130900

what the website?

Neil wrote in message <36a384fe.8264012@news.value.net>...
>Anyone with an 8200 Scanner should try out Ben Saladino's "Radio
>Manager". His newly released 8200 support for memory management, scan
>bank editing, search ranges, etc. is FAR better than the AOR released
>crashware from Simon Collings.

1963. Re: The Repair Shop at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <uRRo2.460$64.3771@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 130901

While I have no evidence to back this up, it occurs to me that the folks at Tandy are such tightasses about cellular that I'd be a little leery about sending them a modified unit. See if you can confirm someone had no problem before you do it.

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

EchoFoxx wrote in message <19990118025728.13034.00000126@ng-fa1.aol.com>...
>Anyone have any comments regarding Radio Shack's repair service? I have an
>out-of-warranty PRO-2006 scanner which needs work on the keypad. (you have
to
>hammer the buttons to get them to work.) The scanner has been modified for
800
>mhz.
>So, will RS work on an out-of-warranty scanner that has been modified? If
Yes,
>is the quality of work and the price you pay reasonable? If No, Where
would
>you recommend sending this unit to be fixed?
>
>Thanks for your time and responses.

1964. Re: Best price BC895XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jbseale@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <36A3B9D7.7B1A2C41@iglou.com>
Source record: 130902

$194 @ Communications Electronics - http://www.usascan.com

bill conduit wrote:
>
> >
>
> Have seen them for under 200 bucks now....
>
> plenty of www sites showing this price
> plus S/H
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

1965. Re: Just A Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <6NRo2.459$64.3683@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 130903

C W wrote in message <36A429B1.4681ECBA@penn.com>...

>Whats even better than cordless phones are these room monitors!
>You can hear a lot more from them and the police know it!
>
>Walt.

My next door neighbors (and landlords!) had a baby monitor. The crib was in their bedroom, and the mic sounded like it was right next to their bed. Good thing for them I never listened! ;-) To this day I remain amazed at the number of people that bug their own homes.

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

1966. Re: Bearcat-210

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: JLP001@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25917-36A3E40A-50@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130904

Thanks all who responded, I have secured a manual for this radio.

1967. Re: BC235XLT NiCad Battery "Memory"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <36A3FD03.D28@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 130905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>Just wanted to pass this along. I just purchased a Nicad charger that
is new from MAHA, It is just for double A's or triple A's, It's a
rapid charger One to two hours, it has a circut that conditions the
batteries by discharges them before it recharges them. So that way
They last longer, It is model MH-C204F. I paid $24.95. It is a small
unit just for 4 batteries.....Jerr1919

>

>
> CATHY
> >
> >Does anyone know if this holds true for the NiCads that come with the
> >BC235XLT? Can I recharge them every night or should I wait until the
> >Battery Low indicator flashes?

1968. Re: Advice Needed About the Austin Condor 12" Antenna for the BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Schwerdtman <Bob9000@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <36A41E69.7B0C@concentric.net>
Source record: 130906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> I considered the Diamond RH-77CA, but thought it would be redundant to
> the Condor. Maybe I'll try it another time. Thanks.

The big difference that makes the RH-77CA a welcome addition is it is
such a small size compared to the Condor with good performance.

1969. Re: Scanner for exclusively for Cell Phone use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <36A40293.16B9@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 130907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Arthur P. Heely wrote:
>
> On Mon, 18 Jan 1999 18:16:05 -0500, Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>
> wrote:
>
> >mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >> I figured I was better off getting an affordable first scanner to make
> >> sure I enjoy it before I dive into something like the AR8200.
> >>
> >> So, I have ordered a BC235xlt to get me started and cover my trunking
> >> and regular scanning, but now I'm looking for a good scanner to use
> >> exclusively for cell scanning.
> >>
> >> Suggestions...? An old 200xlt or 220xlt that's still in good shape
> >> and pre ban?
> >>
> >> Everyone on here has been a tremendous help in my quest for knowledge.
> >> I'm just disappointed that it will be some time before I'm able to
> >> give back and contribute to the wealth of information available here!
> >>
> >> Thanks again!
> >>
> >> Mike
> >
> >I am using the BC200XLT for cell scanning, I just got it got it at a local
> >pawn shop for $120 and it is out of the box new, and I am extremely happy
> >with it. If you can not find a " pre ban " the mod is very easy to do.
>
> Only problem with the BC-200XLT for cellular is that even after being
> modified it does not tune the cell range in 30 kHz steps. Still
> defaults to 12.5 kHz so is off frequency most of the time. While I
> like my old BC-200 for general use I would not recommend it for cell
> coverage. I think I would look for a PRO-43.
>
> Art

A PRO 34,37,39,43 Will get cells with 30 khz. steps. those are just
the handhelds. there are more PRO base radios that do in the $200 range
Take care....Jerr1919

1970. What am I hearing...860-867 mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: john <hrothgar@lightspeed.net>
Message-ID: <36A403C8.28ECD01F@lightspeed.net>
Source record: 130908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone know what kind of phone (cellular? cordless? brand or
type....?

Sure sounds like phone conversation...

john
respond to Hrothgar@lightspeed.net

1971. 980mhz-986, what is it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: john <hrothgar@lightspeed.net>
Message-ID: <36A4092B.C408AE28@lightspeed.net>
Source record: 130909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Are these phone conversations?
What kind of phone?

respond to Hrothgar@lightspeed.net

1972. Yaesu Vx5 and Icom R2 and VX1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: james <mixer@usa.net>
Message-ID: <7812j9$e2h$1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 130910
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,att.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Visit :
http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/YaesuVX1
To subscribe to the YAESU VX1 list
ove 18 users strong

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/icomr2
To subscribe to the ICOM R2 mail list
so far 60 users strong!
Or:
http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/YAESUVX5
To join the Yaesu Vx5r Mailing list
sofar 72 users strong!

Don't forget to visit one of the Best scanning LINKS pages in the world at:
http://www.primenet.com/~confused/scan.html
73 de W6JHC James

1973. Re: OS456 problem. Scanner wont work unless scanstar on.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: n3xl@fast.net
Message-ID: <MPG.110dd731d3dae949896ce@news.fast.net>
Source record: 130911
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <77tqv1arm1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, dolphinride@iname.com
says...
>
>
>
> I finially got my discriminator output hooked-up. When I went to try it,
Any chance that you installed a phone jack shorting against something
when unplugged. That actually happened to me.
--
Regards, Mark - N3XL
n3xl@fast.net

1974. Re: police Frequiencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill conduit <myway@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36A431BC.D925A881@netcom.com>
Source record: 130912

David Skinner wrote:

> Can any one Help,
> I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
> Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
> I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
> Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
> I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
> Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Frequencies, and I,m sure they
> are also scrabbling it
> Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
> can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
> transmissions.
>
> , and I,m sure they
> are also scrabbling it
> Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
> can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
> transmissions.
>
> , and I,m sure they
> are also scrabbling it
> Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
> can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
> transmissions.

me thinks they scrabbled your mind too...

bill conduit
etc.

1975. FS: Pro-2006 with OptoScan 456 Installed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matthew George <matt@qrq.com>
Message-ID: <36a406ee.0@news.inovion.com>
Source record: 130913

I have a Pro-2006 with an 'OptoScan 456' (installed) for sale. The scanner works great and is in excellent condition (like new). I have the manual but I don't have the original packaging. The OptoScan works great, this is not the 'lite' version.

I would like $425.00 minimum for both, I will not sell the Scanner or the OptoScan separate.

mg

1976. Please!! need info on South Australian Data Terminal Users..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Beanstalk <Beanstalk@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <36a32e39.0@139.134.5.33>
Source record: 130914
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Howdy,

I am still hoping someone knows what type of Data Terminal systems are in use by Metro Fire, S.A. Ambulance Svc, or any company / taxis in South Australia.

I would like to know what system they work on and if there are any programs out there that will allow my computer to decode them. I have MDT and that is working fine for Police in S.A. but would like to try something else (especially emergency Svcs.)

Cheers,
Richard

Beanstalk@bigpond.com

1977. Re: BC200XLT Clear code key combination

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blondeau <blondeau*nospam*@bewellnet.com>
Message-ID: <36A41069.6A350F38@bewellnet.com>
Source record: 130915

inciter wrote:

> There is a key code to clear the memory banks from the 200xlt. I have not
> used it in sometime and forgot the combination of keys to hold down while
> turning the scanner off and on.
>
> I know it's hold down the 9 (limit?) and I thought the number two key?
>
> I look over the sites first before asking here.
>
> An email would be great!
>
> Inciter@hotmail.com

I keep looking but have yet to see any posts on the BC210XLT. Is this one of
those scanners you can't modify for additional freqs?

Just curious. Love it. Been running 24/7 since 1986 (I think...)

jsb

1978. Re: Q's of a novice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36A33877.FE93DA9B@ibm.net>
Source record: 130936

Brian Ritchey wrote:
> According to the Police Call listings, the University employs a
> trunked system with frequencies btwn approx. 810 and 815mHz. However,
> my scanner's manual states that "Valid trunked system frequncies range
> from 851.0125-868.9875 in 12.5 kHz steps." When I try to program the
> published frequencies as instructed in the manual, the screen flashes
> ERROR.

Like most systems that use a repeater, the mobile units broadcast
on lower frequencies in the 806-824 range while the bases broadcast
in the 851-869 range.

Look in your Police Call under 'Consolidated Frequency List' and it
will list more of the standard repeater split frequencies.

In your case, just add 45 MHz to your listed mobile frequencies to
get the base frequencies in the 850/860 range that the PRO-90 will
allow you to program.

> I work for the campus police, writing parking tickets, directing
> traffic and providing event security. I want to be able to listen
> to my friends at work when I am off duty. Is it time to return this
> model and try a different one that can trunk at the lower frequencies?

I doubt it. Try the above and see what happens. You might also want
to consider that on such a system, which is probably not heavily
used, you might be able to get away with just a regular scanner
for listening to your system. It won't be as efficient as a Trunk
Tracker, but will allow you to listen in. Just a thought...

> I barely understand anything about scanning at all.

I suggest you stop by my site below when you get some time. Have
a look around and see what you can learn!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1979. Re: Advice Needed About the Austin Condor 12" Antenna for the BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rand.knx@world.spam.att.net
Message-ID: <36a34247.2261572@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Terry,
I actually wound up buying the Austin Condor and the Smiley Super Stick II.

The Condor is anything but stiff. It is very flexible and is a significant improvement over the stock duck that came with the BC235XLT. I am quite happy with it.

However, I do not like the SuperStick II, so far. It is a telescopic antenna that extends to cumbersome and heavy 54". I still haven't figured out how to best utilize the extended segments to best bring in the various bands I am trying to scan. If anyone knows how to maximize a telescopic, please let me know.

I considered the Diamond RH-77CA, but thought it would be redundant to the Condor. Maybe I'll try it another time. Thanks.

Randal

"tvsjr" <tvsjr@sprynet.com> wrote:

>Randal-
>
>The Condor, I believe, is very, very stiff- like a foot-long rod. I would
>recommend the Diamond RH-77CA. While it is 14.75 inches long, the stiff
>base-coil is only about 3 inches long, and the top 11 inches are quite
>flexible. Also, the antenna is quite high in gain on both VHF and UHF, and
>offers good-excellent performance on 800 as well. In fact, the 77 wins over
>some telescoping whips (even if you tune the whips!) I recently purchased a
>brand-new Pro-64 (for $50 too...) and am going to get the 77 to replace the
>A0337 stock duck. The 77 can be purchased from AES (www.aesham.com) or HRO
>(www.hamradiooutlet.com) for $27.95.
>
>Terry
>
>Randal wrote in message <369823dd.2119077@netnews.worldnet.att.net>...
>>I just bought my BC235XLT from Grove. They offered, as an 29.95
>>accessory, the Auston Condor 12" antenna as a way to boost the wekaer
>>signals versus the included antenna.
>>
>>- Has anyone had experience with this antenna?
>>- Does it successfully pull in weaker signals as claimed?
>>- Is it worth it?
>>- Can better alternatives be recommended?
>>

>>As you can probably tell, I am a scanning newbie. I live in NYC and
>>am having fun.
>>
>>Any scanning tips and frequencies (conventional and trunking) for the
>>NYC area will also be appreciated.
>>
>>Thanks!!
>>
>>- Randal
>
>

1980. Re: Sony ICF-SC1PC Handheld? Or Other?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rand.knx@world.spam.att.net
Message-ID: <36a344b1.2878658@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130938

The Sony won't help you in scanning trunked police systems...The
Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT will!!!. I just got one a couple of weeks ago
and am having a blast. As a newbie, I have found it very easy to use.

nospam@vision.org (Howard) wrote:

>I'm going nuts trying to find the best handheld scanner. I saw in
>Popular Mechanics an ad for the Sony PC handheld, which looks neat.
>I want to scan police trunking units.
>Can someone help me?
>Also, can any of these be modifed to receive freq?
>
>Thanks

1981. FS Pro 90 Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Loomis <loom@neuralog.com>
Message-ID: <36A3468A.46B40944@neuralog.com>
Source record: 130939

FS Radio Shack Pro 90 trunk tracker handheld scanner. 300 memories, in excellent condition, looks and works like new. It does not however have any of the accesories, (manual is available at RS support site). I've charged it and it works great, I have too many radios and this one needs to go. I would like to get $165 but please feel free to make an offer.

1982. Re: The Repair Shop at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Xenon <krypton@argon.org>
Message-ID: <51EB84F7E6C28C2A.5D48B25E91311220.5667C0DC74535223@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 130940

Radio Shack will ship everything you have fixed to the Tandy Center in Ft. Worth,Tx where they are either replaced or repaired.
The problem with Radio Shack is that some of their products are junk which should not break or die anyhow, though they often do.
Most Radio Shack Scanners are made by Uniden which is also world headquartered based in Ft. Worth,Tx.

1983. BC235XLT NiCad Battery "Memory"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rand.knx@world.spam.att.net
Message-ID: <36a3453b.3017121@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Typically, NiCad batteries have what is known as a memory effect, that significantly reduces their usable life if recharged before they are fully discharged.

Does anyone know if this holds true for the NiCads that come with the BC235XLT? Can I recharge them every night or should I wait until the Battery Low indicator flashes?

Thanks.

1984. 900MHz "analog" frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rand.knx@world.spam.att.net
Message-ID: <36a34648.3286157@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130942

Anyone have the 900MHz analog telephone frequencies for base and handset?

1985. Re: How Many Scanners at a NASCAR race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rand.knx@world.spam.att.net
Message-ID: <36a34775.3587632@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130943

Deposits I would guess.

rabidfool@aol.comkillspam (Rabidfool) wrote:

>i didn't know they rented scanners at race events! How do they prevent theifs?
>
>
>-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
>-rabidfool@yahoo.com
>-rabidfool@hotmail.com
>-rabidfool@ignmail.com

1986. Computer Interface Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Haas <c10250@email.mot.com>
Message-ID: <77vg26$gel1@nntp.cig.mot.com>
Source record: 130944

I have a question regarding the coupling of my scanner to my computer.

Q: What does the scanner "look like" after it has been modified to interface with a computer? Is there a cable hanging off of the scanner, or is there a plug on the scanner to accept a cable??

Thanks,

Ken

1987. Re: New Grove Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rand.knx@world.spam.att.net
Message-ID: <36a34b84.4626023@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130945

Why don't you try calling them, the old fashioned way. I placed two
orders over the past two weeks and each package came within three
days.

bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net> wrote:

>Last week I sent 4 email inquiries to order@grove.net and failed
>to get a response. Sorta blew my image of grove as a first-class outfit.
>
>
>Roger D. Cravens, Jr. wrote:
>>
>> I happened to be surfing the web the other day and happened to
>> notice that Grove Enterprises has setup their new catalog on-line.
>>
>> The address is: www.grove-end.com
>>
>> Order something online will save a lot of time if you're in a hurry
>> for something.
>>
>> I thought it was a good idea anyway!
>>
>> **
>> * Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
>> * John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
>> **
>> * Roger Cravens *
>> * South East Signals Intelligence Group *
>> * Atlanta, GA *
>> **
>> * Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
>> **

1988. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cal Kutemeier <ckuter@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <77vi41$e2u@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 130946

This is an interesting thread. Childish bickering. No wonder amateur radio is in trouble now.

In article <36A2D8FA.123D@usa.net>,
John <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>I keep asking you to explain HOW (exactly) that I am wrong but you
>continually fail to do so.
>
>Why can't you explain specifically where I am wrong?
>
>Steve wrote:
>> Wrong again John. This is the third time today. Give it up.
>
>> John wrote in message <36A2A2BB.570D@usa.net>...
>> >The FCC approves the tests that the VEC groups releases to the VE's
>> >for administrating to people wishing to take their amateur radio
>> >FCC test.
>> >So *YES* I will say AGAIN, the specific data of the FCC question pool
>> >is designated by the FCC.
>

1989. Re: Q's of a novice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rand.knx@world.spam.att.net
Message-ID: <36a34cd3.4961748@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130947

I have the Uniden BC235XLT, which is the same as your Pro90. While my
manual doesn't make a statement about valid trunked ranges, I also can
not input a frequency in the 810-815 range.

You're not stupid....at least on that point :>)

Brian Ritchey <angel98@bellatlantic.net> wrote:

>I am a newcomer to the realm of scanning and it appears I am too stupid
>to figure out how to properly program my new scanner. I am hoping some
>kind stranger out there will help me out.
>
>I am a student at Penn State University. For Christmas, I received a
>Radio Shack Pro-90 Trunktracker. I soon bought one of Radio Shack's
>Police Call books as well. The problem is that I want to listen to the
>campus police, but I am only able to hear police of the local town,
>State College.
>
>According to the Police Call listings, the University employs a trunked
>system with frequencies btwn approx. 810 and 815mHz. However, my
>scanner's manual states that "Valid trunked system frequncies range from
>851.0125-868.9875 in 12.5 kHz steps." When I try to program the
>published frequencies as instructed in the manual, the screen flashes
>ERROR. The frequencies listed for State College, however, do fall into
>the valid range and I can listen to Centre County Dispatch.
>
>I work for the campus police, writing parking tickets, directing traffic
>and providing event security. I want to be able to listen to my friends
>at work when I am off duty. Is it time to return this model and try a
>different one that can trunk at the lower frequencies? I barely
>understand anything about scanning at all. Someone help me!
>
>

1990. BC235 Trunktracker F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@koral.com>
Message-ID: <36a35155.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 130948
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Uniden BC235XLT trunktracker in new condition in original box with all factory accessories. Unit was used less than 1 hour and was purchased as a spare. $145.00 including shipping. Email or call my voice mail 1-800-518-1858 and leave your name and number and I will call you back.

Mike Dockery
Denver, CO

1991. USR 56K pcmcia modem f/s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@koral.com>
Message-ID: <36a351ec.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 130949
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

US Robotics 56k winmodem pcmcia card with all accessories in sealed factory box. $105.00 includes shipping. Email or call my voice mail 1-800-518-1858 and leave your name and number and I will call you back.

Mike Dockery

1992. RCMA frequency database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken81145@rockisland.com
Message-ID: <77vsbq$1fu$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130950

Looking for the old RCMA Database that they had to keep track of scanner frequencies.If someone has a copy or one like it I would like to get a copy of it PLEASE.
I can be reached at ken81145@rockisland.com,

Thank you,

Ken

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1993. better antenna for Alinco DJ-X10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rudolph M. Marcelletti" <rudym@net-link.net>
Message-ID: <77vnjr$qo3@news.net-link.net>
Source record: 130951

Open to suggestion for better performing duck antenna for my new Alinco DJ-X10 receiver. The duck supplied works ok at 2m and 440, but leaves alot to be desired on other bands. The Icom FA-1443B performs a bit better. The Larson helical 1/4 wave smokes the other two on 2m but not on 440. I have a Premier 800 telescoping that works a bit better than the Larson on 2m, and quite a bit better than all on the other bands, but not too well below 2meter. What about those newer multi band ducks from Comet and Diamond claiming tri-band transmit and 6 band receive down to 50MHz--are they very good. I have BIG antennas up HIGH at home to plug into (8 element 4 band HF yagi at 30 meters, with 5 element yagi above that on 6 meters and a tri-band vhf/uhf vertical above that) but am just looking for something bettger performing for portable use. Please respond direct.

Rudy, K8SWD

1994. Re: Basic Program for BC 101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Hay <tedh@xcelco.on.ca>
Message-ID: <77vofarlr1@east42.supernews.com>
Source record: 130952

It used to be on the QRZ CD-ROM. Try the QRZ webb site. Sorry dont know
the url.

Ted
Perry Locke wrote in message <36A180A4.DD8087B6@pe.net>...
>A couple of years ago I wrote a basic program that calculates and stores
>the frequencies programmed into a Bearcat 101. Since then several people
>have requested, and I have sent them, copies of the program.
>
>I have lost the program somehow, and would like to have a copy of it
>back, so if you have a copy (bc101.bas) I would appreciate it greatly if
>you could email a copy to me.
>
>If you have another program that does a similar function for the 101,
>please email a copy of it in the event that no one sends the original
>bc101.bas.
>
>Thanks,
>cpl@pe.net
>
>
>
>

1995. Re: Yaesu FT-50RD mod problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: timh@xuser.com
Message-ID: <36a36912.0@news.xetron.com>
Source record: 130953
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

http://www.blarg.net/~tdragon/faqs/

In article <36a2bf37.761464@news.acay.com.au>, barryo@telstra.easymail.com.au says...
>
>John Ames wrote:
>
>:
>:
>
>Not valid on usenet. Please correct your newsreader.
>
>:The new ones don't mod to the full freeband. See the dragon
>:webpage.
>:
John
>
>What is an and how do we see it?
>

--
Timothy W. Huster
http://www.xuser.com/~timh
timh@xuser.com
"...and Dad! I know what Impeached means! It means Pinch His Bucket!" Andrew H. age 7, Politician.

1996. Re: Anyway to unlock cell freq's on AOR-8200B?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Smith <bs@BSartist.com>
Message-ID: <77vpr5$bp6@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130954

Jonathan from Javiation informed me directly that they upload the US
Automode bandplan for you before shipping it to you.

I think I remember reading somewhere also that this bandplan is supposedly
even slightly better than the stock US bandplan.

So yes it would be the best of both worlds, both the New World and the old
:-)

--
Bill Smith | n2asa
bs@BSartist.com | http://bsartist.com

Victor Healey wrote in message ...
>But can you upload a copy of the USA bandplan to an European AR8200?
>
>If so then this is the way to have the best of both worlds, unblocked and
>easy to use preset parameters.
>
>ki4je
>
>
>

1997. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <77vpk9$i05$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130955

John wrote in message <36A2DA9D.69A0@usa.net>...
>Thank youf for helping to prove that during a time of crissis in this NG
>due to flaming posts, someone else other than ME posted hundres of more
>articles compared to everyone else, EVEN ME!
>

Take a look at these, foolio:
http://www.dejanews.com/dnquery.xp?QRY=*&DBS=1&ST=PS&defaultOp=AND&LNG=ALL&f
ormat=terse&showsort=score&maxhits=100&subjects=&groups=*.scanner&authors=jm
ackey@usa.net&fromdate=jan+1+1990&todate=feb+1+1999

This search returns about 1200 posts alone.

Then there's this search:
http://www.dejanews.com/dnquery.xp?QRY=*&DBS=1&ST=PS&defaultOp=AND&LNG=ALL&f
ormat=terse&showsort=score&maxhits=100&subjects=&groups=*.scanner&authors=ka
ossf&fromdate=jan+1+1990&todate=feb+1+1999

that returns 700 MORE posts from you.

Of these posts, I noticed about 6 that have to do with radio in general, and
none that deal specifically with scanning. The rest are mainly just flames,
accusations and lies - typical Mackey fare. There used to be alot more, but
Dejanews only keeps posts from the last 2 years or so, apparently.

Here's more:

For someone who claims not to do any rogue cancels on this group, a dejanews
search reveals 348 cancels done by you.

For someone claiming not to obsess about Bill Cheek, you posted or replied
to 275 posts about him.

For someone claiming to not change his online identity to avoid newsgroup
filters you have changed yours several times. Here are just a few of the
different ID's you have posted under:

John Mackey
J Mackey - NEW
J Mackey
John Mackey - KAOSSF
Mr. MacKey
kaossf@parg.org
John Mackey KA0SSF
John Mackey
John Mackey - KAOSSF
John Mackey -- KAOSSF

John Mackey--KA0SSF

There are dozens more variations on this theme. But I think we get the point.... you cannot deny that these are nothing more than an attempt to dodge newsgroup filters.

In short John Mackey you are the worst kind of liar - a pathological liar. A liar who doesn't even know when he's lying or when he's telling the truth. A pathetic loser who can't separate fantasy from fact. It's no wonder that you are the proverbial pot calling the kettle black.

-Phil

1998. Re: Attic Mount Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Smith <bs@BSartist.com>
Message-ID: <77vpup$cai@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130956

I also have a discone in the attic and there is another significant
advantage. You can keep scanning even during electrical storms - something
you are quite likely going to want to do.

--
Bill Smith | n2asa
bs@BSartist.com | http://bsartist.com

Dale Boeth wrote in message <77rkp5$cs3$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>Code restrictions prevent use of an outdoor antenna. Does anyone have
>suggestions or feedback from an attic mounted antenna? Is there worth the
>trouble or not?
>
>
>

1999. Re: OS456 problem. Scanner wont work unless scanstar on.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <77vqe1ekn1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 130957
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Like the man said, "That`s not a bug, it`s a feature !" . The Opto 456 knows when it is hooked up to the computer with a program running, and when it is not. Obviously, your scanner has some bad chips, or is mis-aligned, and the parts involved are the very same ones replaced by the Opto board when it is on. The reason you have a display when the program is not running is , that the scanner has "reverted to its former self".

dolphinride@iname.com wrote in message <77tqv1arm1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
>
> I finially got my discriminator output hooked-up. When I went to try it,
>the scanners display would turn on, just some static when I first turn it on.
>I connected it back to the computer and started scanstar, works fine.

2000. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <p_Jo2.4031$DB1.3921@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Wrong again John. It has been explained to you by three different people
now.

Are you really that dense? Maybe, but as Hawke replied it is just your
little denial game.

Last time Chief. The FCC question pool does not contain enough information
to correctly operate on an amateur repeater.

Now, on with your denial Mackey. You were wrong.

With you teaching ham classes, it is no wonder ham radio is going to hell.

John wrote in message <36A2D8FA.123D@usa.net>...
>I keep asking you to explain HOW (exactly) that I am wrong but you
>continually fail to do so.
>
>Why can't you explain specifically where I am wrong?
>
>Steve wrote:
>> Wrong again John. This is the third time today. Give it up.
>
>> John wrote in message <36A2A2BB.570D@usa.net>...
>> >The FCC approves the tests that the VEC groups releases to the VE's
>> >for administrating to people wishing to take their amateur radio
>> >FCC test.
>> >So *YES* I will say AGAIN, the specific data of the FCC question pool
>> >is designated by the FCC.

2001. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <X1Ko2.4032$DB1.4019@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Right here you have proven for the 1000th time that you John Mackey is a
LIAR!

Mackey a LIAR, what a news flash

Why is it everyone calls you a liar John?

John wrote in message <36A2DAE4.6DCE@usa.net>...
>When asked to provide your examples of proof, you provided NOTHING!
>
>
>
>Steve wrote:
>
>> John wrote in message <36A28CCA.19CC@usa.net>...
>> >Please post your examples of proof that I committed the above or be
>> >proven a liar by default.
>
>
>> Anyone can do that. Go to www.DeJaNews.com and do a search on John
Mackey,
>SNIP

2002. Digital Scanner,do they exist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: racer <racer@bip.net>
Message-ID: <77vrvbrvu1@plug.news.pipex.net>
Source record: 130960

Does anyone know who sells Digital Scanners,
can they decode cellular phones (GSM) and digital
wireless phones into voice audio,or do I need a
separat decoder or a computer software.

2003. Kentucky RIPOFF BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36A3680F.84957B22@flash.net>
Source record: 130961

The gang is back again so use extreme caution when dealing with any seller from Kentucky. I don't know if these guys have been doing anything here, but they have left a long bloody trail of victims on rec.radio.swap. They are real good at what they do so try to do business with others if you can.

2004. Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <GBKo2.4034$DB1.3959@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130962

THE TOP FIVE THINGS MACKEY WILL NEVER DO!

Number Five - - - Post over 1% on topic

Considering John has posted over 13,000 items in less than 4 years and only 12 of these had anything to do with the newsgroup he was posting on, THIS IS A SURE BET.

Number 4 - - - Post under the same name longer than a day

Toilet boy can't stand the thought of people putting him into a kill file. You will notice John always is changing the way his name appears in the header. If this isn't an indicator of a client for Kevorkian I don't know what is.

Number Three - - - Post his REAL E-mail address, not USA.NET.

The constant E-mail bombs would prevent John from doing this.

Number Two - - - Post name of his ISP

The number of stars you can see on a clear night comes close to the number of ISPs that have cancelled the net access of John Toilet Boy Mackey.

Number One - - - Tell the truth

Mackey can't. As with integrity, he doesn't know the meaning of either.

Any corrections or additions?

2005. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A37767.48B9@usa.net>
Source record: 130963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve wrote:

> Wrong again John. It has been explained to you by three different people
> now.

I keep asking you to explain HOW (exactly) that I am wrong but you
continually fail to do so.
Why can't you explain specifically where I am wrong?

> The FCC question pool does not contain enough information
> to correctly operate on an amateur repeater.

The FCC question pool asks questions about how repeaters operate,
so one would have to know at least a basic understanding of how
repeaters
operate to correctly answer the question. Since you are not a licensed
amateur radio operator, it is no wonder that you are not aware of
the facts.

2006. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A377D2.4C0C@usa.net>
Source record: 130964

Cal Kutemeier wrote:
> This is an interesting thread. Childish bickering. No wonder amateur
> radio is in trouble now.

Cal-

How sad that you are correct here. There are a couple of people here
who don't have ANY experience in the training of or testing of amateur
radio licenses yet they are self appointed experts stating their warped
opinion as fact.

2007. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A37846.5CF@usa.net>
Source record: 130965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Steve wrote:

> Right here you have proven for the 1000th time that you John Mackey is a
> LIAR!

Again I ask you to provide your specific supporting proof of your
statement & you have CONTINUALLY failed to do so.

How pathetic you are.

2008. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A37A5F.4140@usa.net>
Source record: 130966

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Take a look at these, foolio:
> http://www.dejanews.com/dnquery.xp?QRY=*&
SNIP

Phil, just last week you said you were going to stop your harassing &
trouble making, so why have you started again?

> Then there's this search:
> http://www.dejanews.com/dnquery.xp?QRY
SNIP

Post your proof, Phil. I'm not going to go on some wild goose chase to
find
this data you CLAIM to have if you can't even post it yourself.

In the past your claims have shown to be far less than what you stated.

SNIP
> There used to be alot more, but
> Dejanews only keeps posts from the last 2 years or so, apparently.

Wrong again Phil. Dejanews post are kept FAR longer that 2 years.
If you can't find them, they aren't there. It's that simple!

> For someone who claims not to do any rogue cancels on this group, a dejanews
> search reveals 348 cancels done by you.

I have only canceled my own posts, any other "rogue" cancels were done
by someone attempting to impersonate me.

SNIP
> Here are just a few of the
> different ID's you have posted under:
>
> John Mackey
> J Mackey - NEW
> J Mackey
> John Mackey - KAOSSF
SNIP

those are all me, and they are legit ID's. So what is your point?

2009. Re: Digital Scanner,do they exist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990118132840.21566.00000241@ng156.aol.com>
Source record: 130967

>From: "racer" <racer@bip.net>
>Date: 01-18-1999 12:44 EST
>
>Does anyone know who sells Digital Scanners,
>can they decode cellular phones (GSM) and digital
>wireless phones into voice audio,or do I need a
>seperate decoder or computer software.

No one sells digital scanners because they don't exist, and when they eventually are made there is a specific prohibition in federal law that prevents them from being able to convert digital cellular transmissions to analog voice audio. In other words, it ain't gonna happen, so get a life.

2010. Re: BC235XLT NiCad Battery "Memory"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cathy De Viney <cdeviney@ipa.net>
Message-ID: <77vvl8$5ue$1@news.ipa.net>
Source record: 130968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A good source for battery information:

http://www.cadex.com/cfm/index.cfm?Pg=52&Lp=42&Db=&Mo=

CATHY
>
>Does anyone know if this holds true for the NiCads that come with the
>BC235XLT? Can I recharge them every night or should I wait until the
>Battery Low indicator flashes?

2011. Re: FS: AR8200 $625.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: nickle911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990118140252.01367.00000237@ng135.aol.com>
Source record: 130969

Bob how about two 8k one full the 8kb for the 8200.Let me know.Phil

2012. New 8200 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: neilxxbell@value.net
Message-ID: <36a384fe.8264012@news.value.net>
Source record: 130970

Anyone with an 8200 Scanner should try out Ben Saladino's "Radio Manager". His newly released 8200 support for memory management, scan bank editing, search ranges, etc. is FAR better than the AOR released crashware from Simon Collings.

2013. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya02348000180199111753000l@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130971

Very good, Steve!
The only reason I even opened it was to correct you, just in case you
didn't have #1 right - but you DID!

Of course, all this proves is that you think just like about 100,000 other
scannists, radio heads and various, assorted geniuses.

.....

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:

> THE TOP FIVE THINGS MACKEY WILL NEVER DO!
>
>
>
>
> Number Five - - - Post over 1% on topic
>
> Considering John has posted over 13,000 items in less than 4 years and only
> 12 of these had anything to do with the newsgroup he was posting on, THIS IS
> A SURE BET.
>
>
>
>
>
> Number 4 - - - Post under the same name longer than a day
>
> Toilet boy can't stand the thought of people putting him into a kill file.
> You will notice John always is changing the way his name appears in the
> header. If this isn't an indicator of a client for Kevorkian I don't know
> what is.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Number Three - - - Post his REAL E-mail address, not USA.NET.
>
> The constant E-mail bombs would prevent John from doing this.
>
>
>
>

>
>
> Number Two - - - Post name of his ISP
>
> The number of stars you can see on a clear night comes close to the number
> of ISPs that have cancelled the net access of John Toilet Boy Mackey.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Number One - - - Tell the truth
>
> Mackey can't. As with integrity, he doesn't know the meaning of either.
>
>
>
> Any corrections or additions?

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2014. Alinco DJ-X10 Mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Philip Ross <pross@freeway.net>
Message-ID: <7802dj$tp@news.freeway.net>
Source record: 130972

Is there a mod to open the Alinco DJ-X10 receiver to full coverage?
Please e-mail me: pross@freeway.net
Thanks.

2015. Re: Hamcomm type interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: R Clark <rcclark@nospam.hiline.net>
Message-ID: <78047uohv1@mercury.hiline.net>
Source record: 130973
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>devil@primenet.com wrote in message <36a23fcf.3146607@news.primenet.com>...
>>Is there a company that sells 4-level FSK interfaces?

Texas 2-Way has 4 level FSK decoders. Call (956)702-2481 or you can e-mail n5sim@aol.com. Ask for the DDM-4 Digital Decoder.

2016. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <ZIMo2.4040$DB1.4058@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130974

John wrote in message <36A37A5F.4140@usa.net>...
>Phil Smalley wrote:
>
>> Take a look at these, foolio:
>> http://www.dejanews.com/dnquery.xp?QRY=*&
>SNIP
>
>Phil, just last week you said you were going to stop your harassing &
>trouble making, so why have you started again?

Lie number one John. Post your proof. I myself in 2 years have never seen
Phil be anything but helpful and curteous on the NG's

>
>I have only canceled my own posts, any other "rogue" cancels were done
>by someone attempting to impersonate me.
>

Gotta have something to hide if you are doing DeJaNews cancels.

Phils right again, Loony Mackey is twisting in the wind!

2017. Query? yup 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990118153743.22661.00000420@ng95.aol.com>
Source record: 130975

does anyone know whats this unit is worth used?

Thanks

2018. Re: Does Probe 4.0 decode DTMF tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36A39F2D.6103B5BE@ibm.net>
Source record: 130976

nonspam@canada.com wrote:
> That is the only question I have.

PROBE supports what the underlying hardware boards support.
The OS456 and OS535 boards support DTMF. Unfortunately,
the OS456 is no longer available. It can only be had in
the Lite version which does not support DTMF, CTCSS, DCS
or an S-meter.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2019. FREE ScannerBase CD-ROM While supplies last!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt G <mattg@nospam.csp-tech.com>
Message-ID: <HfNo2.419$64.3480@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 130977

Visit our site www.csp-tech.com if you are interested in receiving the ScannerBase frequency database CD-ROM (normally $29.95+$3.95 S/H) for just the $3.95 S/H charge. Once you have the CD you can download version 1.5 of the program which has many new features and is also free.

2020. Re: BC235XLT NiCad Battery "Memory"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36a38525.2115360@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 130978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

rand.knx@world.spam.att.net (Randal) wrote:

>Typically, NiCad batteries have what is known as a memory effect, that
>significantly reduces their usable life if recharged before they are
>fully discharged.

That's not strictly true.

>Does anyone know if this holds true for the NiCads that come with the
>BC235XLT? Can I recharge them every night or should I wait until the
>Battery Low indicator flashes?

You can recharge any good NiCd before it's discharged. You must avoid overcharging, however. It's probably a good idea to discharge them periodically, but be sure not to discharge them TOO low, or one or more cells may reverse polarity and ruin the battery. Bottom line is that it's not necessary to fully discharge the battery every time before charging.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2021. MDT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <780770$ke0$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 130979

What happened to GREENBAY WIS. mdt freq?It was 156.135mhz,now its gone.

2022. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <9ANo2.4090$DB1.4117@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130980

Thanks Tom. I'm sure Mackey Loon will reply thanking somebody for posting about Phil.
#1 was the easiest. I confess, they all were easy. John is easy.

Tom Jones wrote in message ...
>Very good, Steve!
>The only reason I even opened it was to correct you, just in case you
>didn't have #1 right - but you DID!
>
>Of course, all this proves is that you think just like about 100,000 other
>scannists, radio heads and various, assorted geniuses.
>
>.....
>
>"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>
>> THE TOP FIVE THINGS MACKEY WILL NEVER DO!
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Number Five - - - Post over 1% on topic
>>
>> Considering John has posted over 13,000 items in less than 4 years and only
>> 12 of these had anything to do with the newsgroup he was posting on, THIS IS
>> A SURE BET.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Number 4 - - - Post under the same name longer than a day
>>
>> Toilet boy can't stand the thought of people putting him into a kill file.
>> You will notice John always is changing the way his name appears in the
>> header. If this isn't an indicator of a client for Kevorkian I don't know
>> what is.
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>> Number Three - - - Post his REAL E-mail address, not USA.NET.
>>
>> The constant E-mail bombs would prevent John from doing this.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Number Two - - - Post name of his ISP
>>
>> The number of stars you can see on a clear night comes close to the
number
>> of ISPs that have cancelled the net access of John Toilet Boy Mackey.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Number One - - - Tell the truth
>>
>> Mackey can't. As with integrity, he doesn't know the meaning of either.
>>
>>
>>
>> Any corrections or additions?
>
>--
>If you wish to write back to me,
>Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
>And if this code you cannot crack,
>Remember that if morons could fly,
>The sky would be black!

2023. Re: BC235XLT NiCad Battery "Memory"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rand.knx@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <36a3a911.4591771@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks.

glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:

>rand.knx@world.spam.att.net (Randal) wrote:
>
>>Typically, NiCad batteries have what is known as a memory effect, that
>>significantly reduces their usable life if recharged before they are
>>fully discharged.
>
>That's not strictly true.
>
>>Does anyone know if this holds true for the NiCads that come with the
>>BC235XLT? Can I recharge them every night or should I wait until the
>>Battery Low indicator flashes?
>
>You can recharge any good NiCd before it's discharged. You must avoid
>overcharging, however. It's probably a good idea to discharge them
>periodically, but be sure not to discharge them TOO low, or one or
>more cells may reverse polarity and ruin the battery. Bottom line is
>that it's not necessary to fully discharge the battery every time
>before charging.
>
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>WB7DOW
>
>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2024. Re: Power Company frequencies for the Washington, DC area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack@sgi.net
Message-ID: <36A3D288.25EF@sgi.net>
Source record: 130982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

OK John, line up your printer, here we go:Patomac Electric Light company

37.58, 37.55, 37.82, 153.635, 451.0375, 451.050, 451.0625, 451.0875,
451.1250, 451.1375, 451.250, 938.250, 938.650, 939.6875. The last four
are listed as repeaters.

Hope this helps.

john gary ingram wrote:
>
> Does anyone have a list of Power Company frequencies for the DC
> area? I'm primarly interested in the Pepco frequencies for
> Montgomery County.

2025. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack@sgi.net
Message-ID: <36A3D685.637C@sgi.net>
Source record: 130983

All the BS snipped - - - Can you out run our radios? Pull your head out from between your back pockets and face reality. Your NOT the only person on the road. At this point, I pray you come through my state - your ass is grass and I'm the lawn mower. Threats these are not - but promisses.

Talkyal wrote:
>
> I'll bet I could drive circles around you and most law enforcement officers on duty (not that I would ever run from the police) in their big heavy cruisers (maybe not the 5.0's and IROCs). But, simply answer this, " what handles better: my Corvette or a Chevrolet Caprice / Ford Crown Victoria?" Care to wager against me on a controlled-closed, maneuverability course ?
>

2026. Scan Star Deluxe problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: robertcross@sprintmail.com
Message-ID: <36a4ab9a.1674176@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 130984

I use the Scan Star Deluxe program with the OptoScan535 interface. After about 20 minutes of scanning, Scan Star either locks up or errors out. I use the Windows98 operating system. Has anyone had the same problem?

2027. Re: 900MHz "analog" frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bmm1@news.utk.edu
Message-ID: <780b4bguk1@gaia.ns.utk.edu>
Source record: 130985

Randal (rand.knx@world.spam.att.net) wrote:
: Anyone have the 900MHz analog telephone frequencies for base and
: handset?

narrow FM
902.100 - 903.870 59 channels of cordless phone - base , every 30 khz
902.300 - 905.000Vtech base channel 0 -9 wide band spresd spectrum digital
- solid square wave pre WFM detector
902.300 Vtech base channel 0 - paired with 925.050 mobile - primary - base sends 3 second
of data every 10 seconds
902.600 Vtech base channel 1 - paired with 925.350 mobile
902.900 Vtech base channel 2 - paired with 925.650 mobile
903.200 Vtech base channel 3 - paired with 925.950 mobile
903.500 Vtech base channel 4 - paired with 926.250 mobile
903.800 Vtech base channel 5 - paired with 926.550 mobile
904.100 Vtech base channel 6 - paired with 926.850 mobile
904.400 Vtech base channel 7 - paired with 927.150 mobile
904.700 Vtech base channel 8 - paired with 927.450 mobile
905.000 Vtech base channel 9 - paired with 927.750 mobile

2028. FS: Radio Shack Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: erikd@icehouse.net
Message-ID: <77tvmremg1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130995

In excellent condition. This is one of the models with the epoxy, so the VDC mod will be more difficult to do if you so desire. Comes with original box, antenna, and manual. $180

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2029. Re: Anyway to unlock cell freq's on AOR-8200B?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Healey <fourphun@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <CXuo2.671$lb4.1754660@news1.atl>
Source record: 130996

But can you upload a copy of the USA bandplan to an European AR8200?

If so then this is the way to have the best of both worlds, unblocked and easy to use preset parameters.

ki4je

2030. Charlotte NC scanner info contact

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill in Cleveland <poohbear@bridgepc.com>
Message-ID: <N2vo2.30$GC4.439230@iagnews.iagnet.net>
Source record: 130997

Anyone in Charlotte NC for some questions I have about the Charlotte-Mech Police TRS? Please email so I can ask questions about them.

Bill

poohbear@bridgepc.com

2031. Re: MDT frequencies below 512 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <77u25rpco1@207.18.224.148>
Source record: 130998

On 17 Jan 1999 17:50:41 GMT, Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>Can anyone tell me if MDT is only used with 800 MHz frequencies - or is
>it used in the 380 - 512 MHz band also?

MDT's are not frequency specific.

I have systems on 800 Mhz, 450, and 155.

2032. Re: OS456 problem. Scanner wont work unless scanstar on.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkf435@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990117202748.11660.00003133@ng06.aol.com>
Source record: 130999

Check the cables between the OS456 and the logic board. Are they in the correct position,polarity, did you trim the plastic so they will fit all the way into the connector?

2033. Re: Q's of a novice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990117202752.09878.00003080@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 131000

Thats probably not you . The scanner sounds like it is only able to trunk track on a certain band of frequencies. Id check on that first...
1990 ZX10
J.McGrath Citizen Band Communications
www.usaplaza.com/JMAC/index.htm
To Email Remove NOSPAM

2034. FA: ICOM IC R-1 hand held scanner (last hour to bid)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: brandddd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990117203832.08300.00002007@ng112.aol.com>
Source record: 131001

Last hour to bid on this item

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=55758993

Thanks

2035. Re: scannerfrequencies for fire and police in Montreal, Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A293B7.3F09@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131002

Tony Santelli wrote:
>
> Can anyone supply the frequencies for fire and police in Montreal,
> Canada
> Thanks,
> Tony S.

Check out the following URL:

http://www.wildstar.net/~plaws/scan/Montreal/

2036. FA: Scanners AR2700 SC150B PRO38

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John in Roseville CA 95678 <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <kAwo2.424$3u.5886380@nnrp2.ni.net>
Source record: 131003
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi3.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=john01999

2037. Business Trunked frequencies for the San Jose area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bayareascan@usa.net
Message-ID: <36A29865.5337@usa.net>
Source record: 131004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone know where I can obtain a list of Business Trunked
Frequencies and Talk Groups for the San Jose area?

2038. Re: scannerfrequencies for fire and police in Montreal, Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bayareascan@usa.net
Message-ID: <36A29C34.2D2A@usa.net>
Source record: 131005

Tony Santelli wrote:
>
> Can anyone supply the frequencies for fire and police in Montreal,
> Canada
> Thanks,
> Tony S.

Have a look at the following WEB page:

http://www.geocities.com/Yosemite/1325/amateur/montreal.htm

2039. Re: Cloning Digital Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <77u9otjdl1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 131006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

iceberg@nxco.com wrote in message <77rqb1$o7f$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
<SNIPPAGE>
>with the new phones comming wich will implement GPS data transmit for 911
>use, tracking cloaners will be easy and make cellular communicatons a
little
>safer.

Yep, and if the government or someone with the right technology wants to
know exactly where you are down to 200 feet, it will be easily done.

2040. Re: Power Company frequencies for the Washington, DC area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A2B5D7.64F7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

john gary ingram wrote:
>
> Does anyone have a list of Power Company frequencies for the DC
> area? I'm primarly interested in the Pepco frequencies for
> Montgomery County.

Check out the following URL:

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Maryland/montgomery.html#UTIL

2041. FS: Pro-2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: engstrom@tstonramp.com
Message-ID: <36a2b358.7242351@news.tstonramp.com>
Source record: 131008

For sale: Pro-2042 ($300obo) or trade for Icom R-1 or good shortwave receiver.

2042. FA: AR2700 SC150B PRO-38 Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John in Roseville CA 95678 <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <R_yo2.428$3u.5954784@nnrp2.ni.net>
Source record: 131009
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scanners for auction...

http://cgi3.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=john01999

2043. Re: UBC9000XLT - Functions and more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@telstra.easymail.com.au
Message-ID: <36a2bf88.842148@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131010
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Steve Chalmers" <Stephc@es.co.nz> wrote:

:1: Mike I also came up with the same problem and as far as I can tell the
:only thing that changes is the pitch of the audio.

The HI-CUT function when on cuts off the high audio frequencies.

:2: Question: Is there a way to enter a freq. to monitor without commiting it
:to a memory bank????

What you can do is to reserve channel 500 as your tempory channel.

:3: Another question.. are there any mods around for the 9000 other than the
:CTCSS.

There is the tp3 connection mod for use with digital modes.

There is so much space inside the 9000 that it should be possible to
install complete circuit boards.

Another possible mod would be to rewire the line output so that it is
not affected by the volume control.

:Regards
:SteveC

Barry

2044. Re: Yaesu FT-50RD mod problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@telstra.easymail.com.au
Message-ID: <36a2bf37.761464@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131011
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

John Ames wrote:

:
:

Not valid on usenet. Please correct your newsreader.

:The new ones don't mod to the full freeband. See the dragon
:webpage.
:
John

What is an and how do we see it?

2045. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@telstra.easymail.com.au
Message-ID: <36a2bf3b.765172@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131012

Brad Kellison <ka8tok@four-way.net> wrote:

:I can't believe this type of response.. Where in the study guide does
:it tell you how to operate a repeater.. Now come one fellas.. have you
:look in one and wondered what they can do or how they work.. Hell I
:started as a novice(licensed for 16 years) and upgraded to tech plus but
:still didn't know alot about them until i built my own..

Cool! You built a tech plus. You must be Frankenstein.

Nobody in the world knows alot about anything.

:Just cause the guy passed a test for it doesn't mean he is
:going to understand it.. It's not like it used to be.

You certainly couldn't pass an english test but could you pass a test
to become an appliance operator?

Barry

2046. Re: Y2K File (funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@telstra.easymail.com.au
Message-ID: <36a2bf42.772455@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131013

mm505@mindspring.com (505) wrote:

:I'm hoping that this will make people smile!

You said it would be funny but it has no punch line.

:Y2K INFORMATION
:
:We are currently building a data center that will contain all agency
:data that is Year 2000 compliant. The program is referred to as the
:"Millennia Year Application Software System" (MYASS).

<Snip ordinary story of people using program>

Now, if it was called "Millennia Year Application Resource Software
Express" (MYARSE), you may have had something mildly amuseing.
Don't give up your day job.

2047. Wanted - RS232 Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert DeArmond <robertd1@home.com>
Message-ID: <36A2C02F.1095B69B@home.com>
Source record: 131014

Am looking for an RS-232 interface for my Yaesu FRG-9600 to connect to
my PC.

2048. Re: are the uniden & r.s. trunk trackers the same ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36aec105.263193593@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131015

On Sun, 17 Jan 1999 07:51:02 GMT, thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL
one!)) wrote:

>>>But I WOULD like to play with an OptoCom, we have several 400mhz military trunked
>>>systems, and a LOT of 800 and 900 non-Public Service systems that can make for
>>>interesting listening.
>>
>>You certainly don't need to spend all that money to accomplish this...
>
>How can I do it otherwise, without using 2 radios, the computer, plus having to buy
>an OS456 card, IF I can find one?

BC895XLT + pawnshop special scanner, assuming you already have a
computer :) (Which you need for the optocom anyway)

For about $230 and a bit of soldering you could track everything under
the sun including edacs, without spending an additional $100 on top of
the optocom's price tag.

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2049. Re: MDT frequencies below 512 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36afc465.264057755@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131016

On 17 Jan 1999 17:50:41 GMT, Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>Can anyone tell me if MDT is only used with 800 MHz frequencies - or is
>it used in the 380 - 512 MHz band also?

My local PD's MDT is on 470.6875MHz

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2050. digital cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge <stef_theberge@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <nzzo2.705$6k3.584@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 131017
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

do you know if all digital cordless phones have screambling frequency? (that we can't heard on scanner, only bird sound?)

--
St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge
Beauport,Qc
Canada

2051. Re: Scanner under $400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36b0c517.264235521@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131018

On 17 Jan 1999 21:01:24 GMT, Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>What is the best scanner to get under $400? Here is a list of what I
>think I want:
>
>a) Must have the 800 MHz band but don't really need to cellular freqs.
>b) Discriminator tap must be available or easy to install. That
>eliminates a lot of handhelds for me.
>c) Military aero band (200-400 MHz AM) is a must have.
>d) Computer control is a nice to have.
>e) Additional coverage of HF freqs with USB/LSB is a nice to have.
>f) Trunk tracking capability is a nice to have.
>e) All the regular freq coverage of 30-54, 140-174, 400-512 MHz
>f) 116-136 Aero band is not critical.

BC895XLT has all of these features excluding the HF/SSB for about $200

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2052. Re: Need 2006 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36b1c586.264346927@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 131019

On 17 Jan 1999 21:21:33 GMT, lagattadj@aol.com (LaGattaDJ) wrote:

>I recently acquired a Realistic Pro-2006. The mod to receive cell transmission
>had previously been done. I verified this. The diodes behind the "3" button
>have been clipped. The scan speed is very fast but I am unable to receive any
>sort of cell transmissions. What needs to be done. The date code is 2A2.
>Please respond to board or e-mail me direct LaGattaDJ@aol.com

You are searching from 870.-894.MHz right..?
I don't even need an antenna to get that junk.

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2053. Re: Cloning Digital Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@chucks2way.com
Message-ID: <36a2cb73.34703558@news.visitus.net>
Source record: 131020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 17 Jan 1999 04:52:52 GMT, iceberg@nxco.com wrote:

> Actualy Phil is only part correct, you can grab and use digital phone data,
>however it is VERY hard and few people can do it. You have almost no fears
>as long as you are in the Digital spectrum using the encrypted data. If you
>are concerned about this there are 2 steps you can take, make sure your phone
>will allow you to set it to DIGITAL only,this way you never transmit in
>analoge. Second contact yur provider to make sure you are using encrypted
>digital (not sure how wide spread this is yet I just recently read about it).
>Analoge cellular is very susepatble to cloaning, and you can for around 100
>bux build a DDI (used by cloaners to steal your phones information remotly)
>and a device to re-code a phone. Best answere for security, stay DIGITAL,
>with the new phones comming wich will implement GPS data transmit for 911
>use, tracking cloaners will be easy and make cellular communicatons a little
>safer.
>

Right. This is what I figured. anyways, thanks for all the answers.

and it's digital mode only for me!

thanks.

-chuck

>
>
>In article <77lg6q$1bn$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>,
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Posted to R.R.S. and CC:ed to private email
>>
>> Chuck wrote in message <369e3e2a.209845038@news.visitus.net>...
>> >Hello Everyone,
>> >
>> >I picked up a Nokia Model 2180 Digital Cellphone, and I have Ameritech
>> >Clearpath Cellphone service, Now what I wanna know, is this phone
>> >"clone"-able??? In other words, is it possible for someone to listen
>> >in on my conversations and/or grab my ESN and clone the phone.
>>
>> Depends on the type of service provider you connect to. If you connect to a
>> digital network such as PCS, then nope, you're fine. But if you go to an
>> analog network, you are susceptible to being "cloned" just like anyone else.
>> Best advice is to watch your bill closely, and keep a journal of your own
>> calls for personal verification.
>>

>> -Phil
>>
>>
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

---
-Chuck
Chuck's 2 Way Radio Service and C.B Radio Sales
Webpage: Http://www.chucks2way.com
E-Mail: MailTo:chuck@chucks2way.com

2054. ******FS: IC-Q7A AND IC-W32A PACKAGE DEAL******

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: teenham@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990118011816.00723.00000081@ng40.aol.com>
Source record: 131021

- Hello there, I have two iCOM dual band HTs for sale as a combo. The iCOM W32A and the newly released iCOM Q7A mini-size HT. Both are superb HTs but I need the money so I am selling them. Information on them is below. I am asking $375 for both units including all the accessories (plus shipping). If you would like to take a look at the actual units that I have, I scanned a picture and can email it to you. (Below are links with pictures from iCOM.)
-
- iCOM W32A Dual Band HT/Scanner combo. Currently iCOM's top of the line full power HT. Dual VFOs with two simultaneous frequency readouts, independent S-meter for each, and of course dual frequency receive (without having to switch back and forth between two frequencies) Alphanumeric Tags (on both VFOs), PL Encode/Decode and SCAN (VERY handy!) , full DTMF Keypad, DTMF memories, and of course the CROSS-BAND REPEATER FUNCTION!! (which a lot of people find EXTREMELY useful), Battery voltage readout, Backlit LCD and Keypad (great for nighttime operation!!). Unit includes the ICOM BP-180 NiCAD battery pack 7.2 Volts 600mAh (very good condition, no memory effect). Also included is the rubber duck antenna, the optional DC power cord, and the optional RadioShack Speaker/Mic, ICOM BC-110A battery charger, and of coarse the manual. I'll also throw in the center loaded coil telescopic portable Radio Shack whip antenna which you can replace with the rubber duck when needed (for greater range) Wide 108-999 MHz receive (except 200-300 MHz and cellular - but you can still pick up cellular transmitions S9+ on image frequencies in the 960 MHz range, all of this has been programmed into memory. PLEASE BEAR IN MIND, IT IS ILLAGAL IN THE USA TO MONITOR THOSE FREQS.) Transmit is 140-150 MHz on the VHF side and 440-450 MHz (MARS/CAP done, but you can also enable 118-195 MHz TX on the VHF side and 420-510 MHz on UHF with another mod, info included, with a .JPG of the mod to make modification easier.) Plus DOS and WINDOWS programming software and schematic of the simple OPC-478 cable you can build for PC programming of the W32A. As an added bonus, the radio also has the manual programmed into the ROM of the unit, you simply hold down the GUIDE button and then press any key or go into any mode and you get a full explanation of that function scrolling across the bottom of the LCD screen (EXTREMELY handy when traveling!!!) Small size for a 5 watt unit, only 2 1/4(W) x 5 13/32(H) x 1 5/16(D) in. Serial number 03375. Everything in very good condition and operates very well. Take a look at a picture of it at
- < http://www.icomamerica.com/amateur/dualhand/#IC-W32A>

- iCOM Q7A Dual Band Transceiver/Scanner combo. This is iCOM's smallest HT, but it packs a lot of features into a small package! The amazingly wide 30 MHz through 1.3 GHz receive coverage can be EXTENDED EVEN FURTHER BY USING AN OPTIONAL $9 CABLE TO COVER .005 kHz - 1.9 GHz which includes LW, MW, SW, CB band, etc, (and the upper end will put you into some satellite frequencies as well.) The cable is not included, but it can be bought for as little as $9, you can build one with the included schematic (fairly simple), or you can use

one which is made for the Yaesu FT-50-51R, VX-1R or any iCOM HT. The software which does this is included (the software also lets you program the momories, set settings, etc, etc.) Included also is a schematic of this OPC-478 cable if you would like to build one yourself (fairly simple) - this is the same cable that works for the IC W32A. Transmit is 140-150 MHz and 440-450 (as you can see the MARS/CAP service band is enabled). Output power is 350 milliwatts (I was actually surprised at how many repeaters I was able to hit and hold with that amount of power!) Unit has 200 momories, AM, FM Narrow, and FM Wide modes, AN AMAZINGLY LOUD SPEAKER FOR THE SIZE OF THE RADIO!!! Also has Tone Encode/Decode and TONE SCAN!!! Backlit LCD Display, 2 priority channels, etc. etc. For more information directly from iCOM please see < http://www.icomamerica.com/amateur/dualhand/#IC-Q7A> and for a nice picture of it see < http://www.hamradiostore.com/web/gif/icq7fr.jpg> As you can see on those pages, this unit IS AMAZINGLY SMALL!! Radio comes with FA-S270C rubber duck antenna, belt clap, and hand strap. Unit serial number 01327. Made in Japan. THE UNIT IS IN MINT CONDITION AND ABSOLUTELY LIKE NEW! NO SCRATCHES OR FAULTS IN IT AT ALL!! I have the original box and the registration card which has not yet been filled out, so it's about as "mint" as they get.

If you are interested in these units (package deal only) then please email me and we'll work something out. No trades please. 48 states only. Money order only (too many problems with CODs) or check (two week clearance) Please email me with any offers. THANKS FOR READING.

73
Eugene D.
N3ZVM
TeenHam@aol.com
Philadelphia, PA area

2055. Re: Power Company frequencies for the Washington, DC area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@telstra.easymail.com.au
Message-ID: <36a2d6f4.1647287@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 131022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

john gary ingram <ingramj@erols.com> wrote:

:Does anyone have a list of Power Company frequencies for the DC
:area? I'm primarly interested in the Pepco frequencies for
:Montgomery County.

Try 60 Hz, although if it's a DC area then it will be DC or 0 Hz.

2056. Guide to the rec.radio Newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <77ulnn$sb4@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 131023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Archive-name: radio/personal-intro

This message describes the rec.radio.amateur.*, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.info, and rec.radio.swap newsgroups, as well as their Internet mailing list counterparts and complements. It is intended to serve as a guide for the new reader on what to find where. Questions and comments may be directed to Jeffrey Herman, WH6U, (jeffreyh@hawaii.edu), or Paul Schleck, K3FU, pschleck@novia.net.

This message was last changed on 14 March, 1998.

History
=======

Way back when, before there was a Usenet, the Internet hosted a mailing list for hams, called (appropriately enough) INFO-HAMS. Ham radio discussions were held on the mailing list, and sent to the mailboxes of those who had signed up for it. When the Usenet software was created, and net news as we now know it was developed, a newsgroup was created for hams: net.ham-radio. The mailing list and the newsgroup were gatewayed together, eventually.

Over the years, as the net grew, the volume of discussion became progressively higher. First one by one, and then as part of two reorganizations, what was once one group became many. In the process, developments elsewhere on the net were reflected in the groups as they were created, most notably the change to place all of the ham radio groups in one hierarchy.

The collection of newsgroups continues to grow as more people join the net, and as more topics of discussion gain volume, I expect to see more groups be created as well. This follows what is happening on the rest of the net.

Nearly all of the radio newsgroups have corresponding mailing lists, the notable exception being rec.radio.swap. There are also a few mailing lists that don't have newsgroups.

The Current Groups
==================

It's important to post messages to the group that's appropriate for them, and not to the groups that aren't. The whole idea of having different newsgroups is so that folks who aren't interested in, say, homebrewing, don't have to wade through messages about homebrewing on the way to read about Field Day. Posting appropriately is just good etiquette.

The rec.radio.amateur.misc group is the catchall. It is what rec.ham-radio was renamed to during the first major reorganization. Any message that's not more appropriate in one of the other groups belongs here, from contesting to DX to ragchewing on VHF to information on becoming a ham.

The group rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc is for discussions related to (surprise!) digital amateur radio. This doesn't have to be the common two-meter AX.25 variety of packet radio, either; some of the most knowledgeable folks in radio digital communications can be found here, and anything in the general area is welcome. The name was changed to emphasize this, and to encourage discussion not only of other text-based digital modes, such as AMTOR, RTTY, and Clover, but things like digital voice and video as well. The former group, rec.radio.amateur.packet, was removed on September 21st, 1993. It is obsolete, and you should use .digital.misc instead (or the appropriate new mailing list, mentioned below). The group has .misc as part of the name to allow further specialization if the users wish it, such as .digital.tcp-ip.

The swap group is rec.radio.swap. This recognizes a fact that became evident shortly after the original group was formed: Hams don't just swap ham radio gear, and other folks besides hams swap ham equipment. If you have radio equipment, or test gear, or computer stuff that hams would be interested in, here's the place. Equipment wanted postings belong here too. Discussions about the equipment generally don't; if you wish to discuss a particular posting with the buyer, email is a much better way to do it, and the other groups, especially .equipment and .homebrew, are the place for public discussions. There is now a regular posting with information on how to go about buying and selling items in rec.radio.swap; please refer to it before you post there. To answer a frequently asked question: No, there is no mailing list that goes along with this group. If you can't read Usenet news directly, you're out of luck.

The group rec.radio.amateur.policy was created as a place for all the discussions that seem to drag on interminably about the many rules, regulations, legalities, and policies that surround amateur radio, both existing and proposed. Recent changes to the Amateur Radio Rules (FCC Part 97) have finally laid to rest the Great Usenet Pizza Autopatch Debate - it's now legal to order a pizza on the autopatch, if you're not in the pizza business - as well as complaints about now-preempted local scanner laws hostile to amateurs, but plenty of discussion about what a bunch of rotten no-goodniks the local frequency coordinating body is, as well as the neverending no-code debate, may still be found here.

The group rec.radio.cb is the place for all discussion about the Citizens' Band radio service. Such discussions have been very inflammatory in rec.ham-radio in the past; please do not cross-post to both rec.radio.cb and rec.radio.amateur.* unless the topic is genuinely of interest to both hams and CBers - and very few topics are.

The rec.radio.info group is just what its name implies: it's the place where informational messages from across rec.radio.* may be found, regardless of where else they're posted. As of this writing, information posted to the group includes Cary Oler's daily solar progagation bulletins, ARRL

bulletins, the Frequently Asked Questions files for the various groups, and radio modification instructions. This group is moderated, so you cannot post to it directly; if you try, even if your message is crossposted to one of the other groups, your message will be mailed to the moderator, who is currently David Dodell, WB7TPY. The email address for submissions to the group is rec.radio.info-moderator@primenet.com. Inquires and other adminis-trivia should be directed to rec.radio.info-request@primenet.com. For more information about rec.radio.info, consult the introduction and posting guidelines that are regularly posted to that newsgroup.

The groups r.r.amateur.antenna, .boatanchors, .dx, .equipment, .homebrew, and .space are for more specialized areas of ham radio: discussions about antennas, the older tube based amateur, military, and commercial gear, commercially-made equipment, homebrewing, and amateur radio space operations. The .equipment group is not the place for buying or selling equipment; that's what rec.radio.swap is for. Similarly, the .space group is specifically about amateur radio in space, such as the OSCAR program and SAREX, the Shuttle Amateur Radio EXperiment; other groups cover other aspects of satellites and space. Homebrewing isn't about making your own alcoholic beverages at home (that's rec.crafts.brewing), but rather construction of radio and electronic equipment by the amateur experimenter. The .dx group is devoted to long distance amateur communications. Each of these subgroups has its own charter and FAQ; please refer to the archives to find these documents.

Except for rec.radio.swap and rec.radio.cb, all of these newsgroups are available by Internet electronic mail in digest format; send a mail message containing "help" on a line by itself to listserv@ucsd.edu for instructions on how to use the mail server.

All of the groups can be posted to by electronic mail, though, by using a gateway at the University of Texas at Austin. To post a message this way, change the name of the group you wish to post to by replacing all of the '.'s with '-'s - for example, rec.radio.swap becomes rec-radio-swap - and send to that name@cs.utexas.edu (rec-radio-swap@cs.utexas.edu, for example). You may crosspost by including multiple addresses as Cc: entries (but see below). This gateway's continued availability is at the pleasure of the admins at UT-Austin, and is subject to going away at any time - and especially if forgeries and other net.abuses become a problem. You have been warned.

Mailing Lists

In addition to the mailing lists that mirror the Usenet newsgroups, there also are a few that stand alone. These cover specific areas of ham radio, and discussion is focused on just those areas.

The cq-contest mailing list is for discussions of contesting in ham radio. To join, send email with the word "subscribe" on a line by itself to cq-contest-request@tgv.com.

The DX mailing list covers the finer points of DXing. This one is also joined by mailing "subscribe" on a line by itself, this time to

dx-request@unbc.edu.

There's also a VHF mailing list, for VHF operators of the weak signal persuasion. You can join this one be sending "subscribe vhf" on a line by itself to vhf-request@w6yx.stanford.edu.

The QRP-L list is devoted to building and operating gear at low-power outputs. To subscribe, send an email to listproc@lehigh.edu and only write: subscribe qrp-l Your Name.

The TopBand e-list is devoted to all areas of operation on 160m. To subscribe, send an email to majordomo@contesting.com and only write: subscribe topband and follow the instructions you'll be sent.
This site, contesting.com, also hosts a couple dozen other ham-related e-lists. Use the above address, and only write: lists to get the entire index.

The Boatanchors e-list is devoted to the older tube-based ham, military, and commercial communications gear. To subscribe, send an email to: listserv@listserv.tempe.gov and only write: subscribe boatanchors

For homebuilding transmitters and receivers using tube technology, there is the Glowbugs e-list; send an email to:
majordomo@piobaire.mines.uidaho.edu and only write:
subscribe glowbugs <your@address.here>

The VHF DX discussion list can be accessed by sending an email to: majordomo@blacksheep.org and only writing: subscribe VHF-DX-discuss.

We now have a list devoted to amateur radio history. To subscribe, send an email to: ham-radio-history-subscribe@egroups.com - leave the body of the message blank.

Qth.net hosts over 100 ham-related e-lists, including lists devoted to each state, manufacturer, mode, band - too many to state here. Send an email to majordomo@qth.net and only write: lists to get the complete index.

For one of the most complete indexes of email lists, see the excellent web site http://pw2.netcom.com/~ac6v/pageae.html

Please do NOT send subscription requests to the mailing lists themselves; that doesn't work very often, and is very annoying to those on the list. Also, please keep your electronic mail address current with any mailing lists you subscribe to, as dealing with returned email is a nuisance for the person maintaining the mailing list.

A Few Words on Crossposting
==========

Please do not crosspost messages to two or more groups unless there is genuine interest in both groups in the topic being discussed, and when you do, please include a header line of the form "Followup-To: group.name" in your article's headers (before the first blank line). This will cause followups to your

article to go to the group listed in the Followup-To: line. If you wish to have replies to go to you by email, rather than be posted, use the word "poster" instead of the name of a group. Such a line appears in the headers of this article.

One of the few examples of productive cross-posting is with the rec.radio.info newsgroup. To provide a filtered presentation of information articles, while still maintaining visibility in their home newsgroups, the moderator strongly encourages cross-posting. All information articles should be submitted to the rec.radio.info moderator so that he may simultaneously cross-post your information to the appropriate newsgroups. Most newsreaders will only present the article once, and network bandwidth is conserved since only one article is propagated. If you make regular informational postings, and have made arrangements with the moderator to post directly to the group, please cross-post as appropriate.

--
Jeffrey Herman, WH6U (ex KH6OO), rec.radio.amateur working-group
jeffreyh@hawaii.edu

2057. Re: Is there a Cell Mod for the BC235XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis Bidulock <bidulock@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nYAo2.8392$Kg6.62156@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 131024

what is the mod and how do I do it?
Rabidfool <rabidfool@aol.comkillspam> wrote in message
news:19990116223650.14484.00001962@ng-fx1.aol.com...
>While there is no cell mod for the BC235XLT, there is a light mod wich will
>allow you to add a swtich to keep the light on all the time of use as
>orininally intended. I have just done the mod tonight (1/16) and was not
that
>hard at all. I have the radio shack version, (pro-90(both are the EXACT
same
>scanner, even down to circuts)) and have found only this mod.
>
>-rabidfool@aol.com
>-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
>-rabidfool@yahoo.com
>-rabidfool@hotmail.com
>-rabidfool@ignmail.com

2058. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <36A2E2C3.BC3C0DAE@uswest.net>
Source record: 131025

Ah yes,
the old "plausible deniability" excuse.

John, you have a history here going back over 2 years 9 months and who knows how many days. You have been know to forge posts, Make statements (only to reverse yourself later) intended to cause friction, lie, obfuscate and cancle others posts. There are roughly 2000 such postings with your name on them in which you ahve done nothing but irritate others.

When are you going to get a life?

Hawke

John - KAOSSF wrote:

> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > Don't take it personally, it's just the way John is. He likes to rile up
> > everyone and then claim it wasn't him, he never meant to piss ya off, blah,
> SNIP
>
> Phil-
>
> Do you mean like your post here?

2059. Scanner for exclusively for Cell Phone use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36a2e57f.83109745@news.value.net>
Source record: 131026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I figured I was better off getting an affordable first scanner to make sure I enjoy it before I dive into something like the AR8200.

So, I have ordered a BC235xlt to get me started and cover my trunking and regular scanning, but now I'm looking for a good scanner to use exclusively for cell scanning.

Suggestions...? An old 200xlt or 220xlt that's still in good shape and pre ban?

Everyone on here has been a tremendous help in my quest for knowledge. I'm just disappointed that it will be some time before I'm able to give back and contribute to the wealth of information available here!

Thanks again!

Mike

2060. The Repair Shop at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: echofoxx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990118025728.13034.00000126@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 131027

Anyone have any comments regarding Radio Shack's repair service? I have an out-of-warranty PRO-2006 scanner which needs work on the keypad. (you have to hammer the buttons to get them to work.) The scanner has been modified for 800 mhz.
So, will RS work on an out-of-warranty scanner that has been modified? If Yes, is the quality of work and the price you pay reasonable? If No, Where would you recommend sending this unit to be fixed?

Thanks for your time and responses.

2061. Re: Q:IC-10 cloning, dataformats, checksums

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Metzger <mzg@de.ibm.com>
Message-ID: <36A3437D.2BD97ED@de.ibm.com>
Source record: 131028

Hm, I found it out myself. Just iteratively subtract all the bytes before the checksum from a initial value which is zero and you get what you want.

If anyone needs details, please contact me offline.

2062. Re: The Repair Shop at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Cifelli <ecifelli@cybertrails.com>
Message-ID: <36A2F412.4AC1CA85@cybertrails.com>
Source record: 131029

I have had many pieces of RS gear fixed by RS over the past 5 yrs, with out any complaints. At far as the 2006 is concerned, the cell mod was just snipping a diode which you could redo if you had to. Ask them for an estimate. I did that on some stereo gear my son gave me. He was told it would cost 500 to fix. They replaced 4 transisters and 2 fuses. cost me 80 to get a good Pioneer Tuner/amp/cass deck. This may sound weird, but you may even be able to get a warrenty on the 2006 again,. I did on a piece of ham gear that they later replaced under warranty.

EchoFoxx wrote:

> Anyone have any comments regarding Radio Shack's repair service? I have an
> out-of-warranty PRO-2006 scanner which needs work on the keypad. (you have to
> hammer the buttons to get them to work.) The scanner has been modified for 800
> mhz.
> So, will RS work on an out-of-warranty scanner that has been modified? If Yes,
> is the quality of work and the price you pay reasonable? If No, Where would
> you recommend sending this unit to be fixed?
>
> Thanks for your time and responses.

2063. I need some help.What is a good scanner to get >$200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joshua Dickinson <dickijw@wku.edu>
Message-ID: <36A3044C.5E3121FE@wku.edu>
Source record: 131030

I am looking to purchase a scanner but I am not sure which ones. I want something for about $200 dollars or less. Is the sport cat or BC230 or 235xlt any good??
the sportcat is very reasonable

Please help me. If you would be nice enough and drop me an e-mail with your opinion on this matter I would appreciate it. joshuaky@yahoo.com

2064. Re: Need Regency MX7000 Keyboard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <S2+LOCAT4xo2EwwN@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 131031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <36A29357.33A07B00@innercite.com>, Donald E. Smith
<desmith@innercite.com> writes

Hi,

>Does anybody out there have a replacement keyboard or such for the
>Regency MX7000 Scanner. Any information will be appreciated. Please

The MX7000 uses the same keypad as the MX5000/AOR AR2001

They are still available but could become a hard item to find in the not
too distant future.

You might try AOR in Torrence first (http://www.aorusa.com).

>respond by email Thanks Don KB6GQA

CC'd.

Hope that helps.
Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K.	AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Commtel 205/216/510, Icom IC-R2/R10/PCR1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627 \ Realistic PRO-26/2042, Standard AX400B
Clough@javiation.co.uk \ UBC-3000XLT/9000XLT, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000
http://www.javiation.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000
\--/

2065. F.S. Sony 2010 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4vj <k4vj@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <77v6v8ohi1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 131032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a Sony 2010 for sale. It is an easy 9 out of 10, Has one tiny ding in the lower left corner.
Works perfectly and comes with, AC power adapter, external antenna, owners manual and, wave handbook. I do not have the box.
$250 OBO shipped in conus
If you have any questions please feel free to contact me at k4vj@mpinet.net or 352-394-1122
Thanks, Mike

2066. Re: MDT frequencies below 512 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <77v8b6cue1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 131033

my local mdt is 156.135mhz

2067. Re: Need 2006 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ernie Stedman <n2ojq@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <77vbjr$7v5@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131034

Also press the reset button after cutting the diode(s)

Jack <kryppy@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:36b1c586.264346927@news.mia.bellsouth.net...

>On 17 Jan 1999 21:21:33 GMT, lagattadj@aol.com (LaGattaDJ) wrote:
>
>>I recently acquired a Realistic Pro-2006. The mod to receive cell
transmission
>>had previously been done. I verified this. The diodes behind the "3"
button
>>have been clipped. The scan speed is very fast but I am unable to receive
any
>>sort of cell transmissions. What needs to be done. The date code is 2A2.
>>Please respond to board or e-mail me direct LaGattaDJ@aol.com
>
>You are searching from 870.-894.MHz right..?
>I don't even need an antenna to get that junk.
>
>
>Fort Lauderdale, FL
>26.218640/-080.237551
>http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2068. Re: Q's of a novice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990118080657.23391.00000613@ng26.aol.com>
Source record: 131035

>From: Brian Ritchey <angel98@bellatlantic.net>

>However, my
>scanner's manual states that "Valid trunked system frequncies range from
>851.0125-868.9875 in 12.5 kHz steps."

That's odd for the PRO-90 manual, because my BC235XLT manual makes no reference
to that statement, and the BC235XLT states a trunking coverage of 806-956mhz.
I thought these two were the same scanner?

talkyal

2069. WTB BC 400XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: C W <CW4EVER@penn.com>
Message-ID: <36A45ABB.E8C61726@penn.com>
Source record: 130638

Like the title sez, I am looking to buy a BC 400XLT.

Walt.

2070. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <oTRo2.239$q_.346@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 130639

No, you would not be in violation of any laws if you transport your scanner
in the manner you describe.

Bill Crocker

David Starr wrote in message <36a6c67c.8891541@news.tir.com>...

>ON TOPIC - Since I don't have a ham license, it's illegal for me to have a
>scanner in the car here in Michigan. Am I in violation if I have it locked
>in the trunk, batteries removed, on my way to a NASCAR race?

2071. Re: bc3000xlt and software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: wjm2207@kcinter.net
Message-ID: <36a3db80.2168321@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 130786
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 18 Jan 1999 23:58:00 -0000, "Henry O'sullivan"
<helpline@indigo.ie> wrote:

>Is it possible to use the 3000xlt with your computer via an appropriate
>interface. If not can someone please recomend a similar scanner with such
>capabilities.
>
>thanks
>

As of right now, the BC3000xlt can only be hooked up with the
PROgramit interface that will allow it to be programmed via the
computer. There is a slight problem with this that can be corrected
through timing with the software but it does work, it just happens
that it is a bit slower than programming another scanner such as the
Pro-2042 or Pro-2006.

Wiggie

2072. FA: Scanners AR2700 Sportcat PRO38

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John in Roseville CA 95678 <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <etSo2.459$3u.6544351@nnrp2.ni.net>
Source record: 130787
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scanners for auction...

http://cgi3.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=john01999

2073. Lightning damage; was Attic Mount Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7812dr$317$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130788

Your radio can still be damaged by a nearby lightning strike. While the antenna may be protected from a direct hit, strong electromagnetic fields created by nearby lightning will induce currents in your antenna, some of which can be strong enough to damage your radios. In fact, most equipment damaged by lightning, whether through power lines or antennas, occurs in this manner.

In article <77vpup$cai@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>,
"Bill Smith" <bs@BSartist.com> wrote:
> I also have a discone in the attic and there is another significant
> advantage. You can keep scanning even during electrical storms - something
> you are quite likely going to want to do.
>
> --
> Bill Smith | n2asa
> bs@BSartist.com | http://bsartist.com
>
> Dale Boeth wrote in message <77rkp5$cs3$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >Code restrictions prevent use of an outdoor antenna. Does anyone have
> >suggestions or feedback from an attic mounted antenna? Is there worth the
> >trouble or not?
> >
> >
> >
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2074. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a9f8f6.343063271@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130789

On Mon, 18 Jan 1999 10:05:06 -0800, John <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>How sad that you are correct here. There are a couple of people here
>who don't have ANY experience in the training of or testing of amateur
>radio licenses yet they are self appointed experts stating their warped
>opinion as fact.
>

That's what happens with off-topic threads! The topics are in place
for a reason! This discussion should be moved over to one of the MANY
amateur groups where experts in the topic routinely answer questions!
Being an extra class ham, you should have known that!
Why in the world you want to debate amateur policy in a scanner group
is beyond me! An extra class ham should be better then that!

I guess the one good point here is that you are closer to being on
topic then usual!

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2075. Re: Attic Mount Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36aafe1e.344383302@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130790

On 18 Jan 1999 17:10:49 GMT, "Bill Smith" <bs@BSartist.com> wrote:

>I also have a discone in the attic and there is another significant
>advantage. You can keep scanning even during electrical storms - something
>you are quite likely going to want to do.

I do this also...even one time years ago my TV antenna got hit THROUGH
the roof! Damn asphalt shingles and plywood are no match for volts in
that range!

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2076. Re: BC235XLT NiCad Battery "Memory"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36b900ca.7836938@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 130791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jer <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com> wrote:

>>Just wanted to pass this along. I just purchased a Nicad charger that
>is new from MAHA, It is just for double A's or triple A's, It's a
>rapid charger One to two hours, it has a circut that conditions the
>batteries by discharges them before it recharges them. So that way

Wrong. What it does is wear out your batteries by discharging them
unnecessarily. It's a waste of money to pay for a discharger and use
it every time you charge your batteries. Rapid charging will probably
shorten their life, too.

>They last longer, It is model MH-C204F. I paid $24.95. It is a small
>unit just for 4 batteries.....Jerr1919

I don't think you can get a really sophisticated NiCd battery
conditioner for a price that low.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2077. Re: Digital Scanner,do they exist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990118230228.29147.00000476@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 130792

>From: thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL one!))
>
>APCO25 digital (including current Motorola Astro systems) IS NOT ENCRYPTED,
>thus does NOT fall under that section of Federal law, and WILL not fall under
>it as it stands now.

And your point is?
I'm well aware that APCO 25 compliant public safety systems are not protected by law and I'm looking forward to an APCO 25 scanner eventually being produced.
However, the original question, to which I answered, specifically asked about digital scanners to decode digital cellular and cordless. That's why I answered as I did.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

2078. Re: Need Pleasanton - San Francisco area frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A40574.766B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130793

505 wrote:
>
> Business trip next week, need for Pleasanton and Bay area.
>
> Thanks,
>
> /\/\|k.e
> www.mindspring.com/~mm505
> Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems

Check out the following URL for San Jose:

http://claraweb.co.santa-clara.ca.us/sheriff/sccfreq.htm

Check out the following URL for San Francisco

http://www.panix.com/~clay/scanning/frequencies/states/ca/san_francisco.txt

Check out the following URL for Pleasanton (the Tri-Valley area)

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/griffined/trival.htm

2079. Re: Mini Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <7813lq$443$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 130794

Actually, the Cub is a downsized version of the Scout. For instance, the Cub does not have memory capture features, just a lock for the last channel received. Also, it does not have a vibrator. The RF side may be the same, but the logic is certainly not.

Steve W. wrote in message <36a3ce4e.28295636@news.pacbell.net>...
>It looks like optoelectronics has renamed some of the hand held freq
>counters to make room for the new models.
>
>their website shows the familiar looking scout as now being called
>the 'Cub Minicounter'.
>
>for full info go to:
>http://www.optoelectronics.com/cubminicounter.htm
>
>On Fri, 15 Jan 1999 09:51:32 GMT, nospamroberts-bill@usa.net (Bill)
>wrote:
>
>>what is Optoelectronics Mini Scout
>>
>>Bill
>>
>>
>

2080. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: eric@sgi.net
Message-ID: <36A42BF5.4B9@sgi.net>
Source record: 130795

I outran your radios once psp.. Man come thru sewlickly twp in westmoreland co. Cop get down in hole and cant reach GBG barracks. and the whole time i running a scanner on everything tac 1 etc... I got him stuck in the mud on a back country road. piece of sh*t crown vic's

eric

2081. Re: I need some help.What is a good scanner to get >$200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <36A450F0.29F3@compuserve.com>
Source record: 130796

The Radio Shack PRO-91 is a nice unit; I have one and it works very well for me as my first scanner. Both it and the Radio Shack PRO-91 are under $200 now, I believe. Although the PRO-91 has only a a 150 channel memory, vs. the 300 channel memory of the PRO-90, I happen to prefer the display and keypad placement of the PRO-91. Suit yourself.

There is also the Uniden 235XLT, which is essentially the same as the PRO-90 and will have similar features. Uniden makes the PRO-90 and PRO-91 for Radio Shack, so you'll find similar quality and features among them.

Shop around and decide which of these you like the best.

Joshua Dickinson wrote:
>
> I am looking to purchase a scanner but I am not sure which ones. I want
> something for about $200 dollars or less. Is the sport cat or BC230 or
> 235xlt any good??
> the sportcat is very reasonable
>
> Please help me. If you would be nice enough and drop me an e-mail with
> your opinion on this matter I would appreciate it. joshuaky@yahoo.com

2082. Re: Scanner for exclusively for Cell Phone use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan B <kd4lyp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A42F23.5092@usa.net>
Source record: 130797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> I figured I was better off getting an affordable first scanner to make
> sure I enjoy it before I dive into something like the AR8200.
>
> So, I have ordered a BC235xlt to get me started and cover my trunking
> and regular scanning, but now I'm looking for a good scanner to use
> exclusively for cell scanning.
>
> Suggestions...? An old 200xlt or 220xlt that's still in good shape
> and pre ban?
>
> Everyone on here has been a tremendous help in my quest for knowledge.
> I'm just disappointed that it will be some time before I'm able to
> give back and contribute to the wealth of information available here!
>
> Thanks again!
>
> Mike

Handheld - Pro-43 or BC-200xlt
Base - Pro-2004 or Pro 2006

Each can be found for $250 or less.

http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp

--
http://www.webdeals.net
Web design and hosting for $10 a month!
Made for small businesses in the USA.

2083. Re: Q's of a novice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <36A456B5.545B@compuserve.com>
Source record: 130798

Brian Ritchey wrote:
>
> I am a student at Penn State University. For Christmas, I received a
> Radio Shack Pro-90 Trunktracker. I soon bought one of Radio Shack's
> Police Call books as well.

I have a PRO-91, so I think I can help you out; I think they are much
the same.

> According to the Police Call listings, the University employs a trunked
> system with frequencies btwn approx. 810 and 815mHz. However, my
> scanner's manual states that "Valid trunked system frequncies range from
> 851.0125-868.9875 in 12.5 kHz steps." When I try to program the
> published frequencies as instructed in the manual, the screen flashes
> ERROR. The frequencies listed for State College, however, do fall into
> the valid range and I can listen to Centre County Dispatch.

My PRO-91 does the same; I get the ERROR message if I press PROG, TRUNK,
<trunk number>, 815, ENTER. Interesting; I didn't know that was a
limitation of the PRO-91. But, as other posters have suggested, check
Police Call again. You may be trying to program the frequencies of the
mobile units instead of the repeater, which should be up in the 851 -
868 range.

Another suggestion: Didn't a little booklet entitled "National Public
Safety Trunked System Frequency Guide" come with your PRO-90? I got
one, and it lists the following trunked system frequencies for
Pennsylvania State College in Stage College, PA: 855.2375, 856.2625,
857.2625, 858.2625, 859.2625, 860.2625. Try those.

Also, remember that you have to press PROG, TRUNK, <trunk number> before
programming a trunked bank; if you enter the frequencies into storage
directly by using MAN, <channel number>, PROG, <frequency>, ENTER, etc.
my PRO-91 will say ERROR when I attempt to scan as a trunked bank the
bank containing those channels.

> I work for the campus police, writing parking tickets, directing traffic
> and providing event security. I want to be able to listen to my friends
> at work when I am off duty.

If you have access to the campus police office, they should be able to
either show you their FCC license (which will give all the frequencies
used), or refer you to a source that has it.

2084. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A44262.6F90@usa.net>
Source record: 130799

Steve wrote:
> Gotta have something to hide if you are doing DeJaNews cancels.

So since I have never done "dejanews cancels", I have NOTHING
to hide!

Thank you for point out the area of support!

2085. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A440AB.79B5@usa.net>
Source record: 130800

Steve wrote:
> THE TOP FIVE THINGS MACKEY WILL NEVER DO!
SNIP

How sad & pathetic you are.

You posted incorrect data full of mis-truths. When I posted correct info that proved you wrong with supporting proof & references to FCC data, you resorted to personal attacks & more lies causing totally off-topic spew & waste of band-width.

2086. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A445D5.3D65@usa.net>
Source record: 130801

Phil Smalley wrote:

> John wrote in message <36A37A5F.4140@usa.net>...
> >Phil, just last week you said you were going to stop your harassing &
> >trouble making, so why have you started again?
> Same reason as you I guess. Why don't you just worry about your own damn
> problems and leave everyone else alone?
> Seriously, I was going to stop, but the lies and overall
SNIP

You would like that wouldn't you. It would allow you to continue to
cause problems on this without being held accountable for your
actions.

Why couldn't you uphold your word when you said you would stop causing
problems?

SNIP
> spew, well, that ain't my problem bozo.

If you can't post your proof then it isn't my problem either, Mr. Nutty!

> Speak for yourself, John. You asked for proof and I delivered.

As you admitted, you posted NO proof!

> Seriously, why cancel ANY
> of your own messages? I don't cancel mine!

Occasionally I cancel my own messages in an attempt to preserve
bandwidth.
You should consider the same idea.

SNIP
> while you still have some dignity left.

Dignity is something you know nothing about, Phil.

2087. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A445F8.7DC@usa.net>
Source record: 130802

Choco Boco wrote:
> Cause if the poop don't end soon, I am going to find each and every one of
> you and stick my paws where the sun don't shine (thumbs and all!)

hehehehehehehehe!

2088. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A4466F.7441@usa.net>
Source record: 130803

Tom Jones wrote:

> Very good, Steve!
SNIP
> Of course, all this proves is that you think just like about 100,000 other
> scannists, radio heads and various, assorted geniuses.

I doubt that the "other scannists, radio heads and various, assorted
geniuses"
want to cause flames, lies, & problems on this NG as Steve has done.

2089. BC9000XLT Cell Images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990119042938.11057.00000598@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 130804

What is the frequency range of the cell images in the BC9000XLT?

2090. Anybody remember the post "scanner action busts"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolphinride@iname.com
Message-ID: <781rrcnnp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130805
Cross-posted to: Alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Was about a guy using a scanner to ruin some cops attempt to run a prostitution sting that smelled of entrapment.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2091. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <781u35$qg3$0@207.18.224.143>
Source record: 130806

On Tue, 19 Jan 1999 00:36:09 -0800, John <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>> 1. Well thanks Phil for proving me right.
>>
>> 2. I made those post because I work for a company that test out AI. I have
>> to act dumber than a 486 with 8 megs of memory. Better than changing bed
>> pans in a nut house!
>>
>> 3. I wouldn't have posted that crap but my dog ate my brain.
>>
>> 4. It can't be me. The whole world is wrong and I am right.

John, all this is a little harsh is it not?

I mean, if you are going to start admitting your errors, that is
fantastic, and once again, like last time you got on your
medication and started admitting your errors, you will have our
total support.

But, don't get yourself to the point where your admissions
are too extreme. Take a little bit at a time, admit how
screwed up you really are, and go one step at a time.

Sort of like a 12 step program, one step at a time.

2092. Re: auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: kegan30317@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990119074012.01082.00002939@ng15.aol.com>
Source record: 130807

>The Lord Shalford estate www.livebid.com is for auction live from North
>Carolina Jan 20, 1999 and Jan 21, 1999. Preview with audio each day.AMC
>auction company CD Gallimore will auction beautiful items. Do not miss this.
>Any questions info@livebid.com
>

What does this have to do with this newsgroup? Nothing perhaps? Don't mind
wasting everyones time huh? Then you just must be an inconsiderate ass.

2093. Re: MODS PLEASE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison <ka8tok@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <36A4830B.1CBDA212@four-way.net>
Source record: 130808

NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 19 Jan 1999 05:05:49 PDT

nope no mods for it at all

trunorth@email.com wrote:

> I have a Bearcat 150 and am hoping someone has some mods for it. Namely a mod
> to keep the light on all of the time would be nice. I would appreciate
> anything though. Thanks in advance. Please feel free to e-mail or post.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2094. Which Antenna for Base Use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ernie Stedman <n2ojq@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7821be$fpt@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130809

Any recommendations for a decent base scanner antenna that won't break the bank? Interested in wide range 29 - 1300 MHz
Thanks

--
Ernie
N2OJQ
Roswell GA

WARNING TO UNSOLICITED SPAMMERS !!!

Pursuant to U.S. Code, title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II,
"Any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail (SPAM) sent to
this address is subject to a download and archival fee of
$500.00 U.S."!!
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2095. Re: WinRadio worth $399.00 US ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: seraph2@ibm.net
Message-ID: <36a488ec.676344@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 130810

On Tue, 12 Jan 1999 23:05:51 GMT, tech_support9@geocities.com wrote:

>In article <36859342.7816836@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>,
> seraph2@ibm.net wrote:
>> I guess this must be WR1000i otherwise it can't be that cheap?
>> Sounds like a great value for money, I got mine for $450 but that was
>> some time ago. Also got WR1500e recently, this cost me $580. Both work
>> great.
>
>
>Where can I find the WR1500e for sale for that price?

Try Advanced Digital or Grove.

Chris

2096. FS Bearcat BC350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pstringy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990119085415.04733.00000700@ng38.aol.com>
Source record: 130811

Good conditon with antenna and ac adapter. $60 plus shipping.

2097. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: seraph2@ibm.net
Message-ID: <36a48990.840283@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 130812

On 17 Jan 1999 21:38:06 GMT, kenabritte@aol.com (KenABritte) wrote:

>Someone told me that not many have been sold because there was very little on
>the net about them. Even on there own site. Is this because of that or because
>there is little trouble with them or the software for them?
>
>Ken
>KMI8GJ
>Registered Monitor

Whoever told you that must have some vested interest (a competing
software developer or a dealer?). If you look at their Web site you
will find much more info, and more useful, than on Icom and AOR
combined. Have a look at http://www.winradio.com and you will find
that their products are excellent and their software is second to
none.

Chris

2098. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschnnospam@fast.net>
Message-ID: <7825rupph1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 130813

Somehow I suspect that if I went to Icom's web site, I'd find that their products are excellent and their software is second to none too...

Clay

Chris Robinson wrote in message <36a48990.840283@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>...
>
>Whoever told you that must have some vested interest (a competing
>software developer or a dealer?). If you look at their Web site you
>will find much more info, and more useful, than on Icom and AOR
>combined. Have a look at http://www.winradio.com and you will find
>that their products are excellent and their software is second to
>none.
>
>Chris
>
>
>

2099. Re: 980mhz-986, what is it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990119095157.25808.00000934@ng50.aol.com>
Source record: 130814

>Are these phone conversations?
>What kind of phone?
>
>respond to Hrothgar@lightspeed.net
>

These are human mental telepathy frequencies. If you're listening to them, they already know all about you and believe me, I know just what kind of serious harm these people can do without you even knowing it. How do I know? I really can't tell because I don't know...they won't let me know. You just better be careful. They know about you. Stop listening to their brains! For God sake,Man... save yourself while you still can!

2100. WTB: NEW / USED / EX-DEMO ICOM 706 MKII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mobile-IT <sime@digitalworld.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <916758104.1548.0.nnrp-08.9e986a86@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 130815
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,uk.radio.amateur

Hi,

I am from the UK and I am interested in buying a 706MKII, preferably the MKIIG (with 70cms).

Please Email me the pricing on these, I am from the UK but would be interested in importing one especially an ex-demo / second hand unit, especially if the price is right.

Anyone got any ideas ?

Thanks for your help,

Simon

Ps, please email me direct, as I don't often get the chance to read the newsgroups.

Email: sime@digitalworld.demon.co.uk

2101. Re: Scanner for exclusively for Cell Phone use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@telstra.easymail.com.au
Message-ID: <36a49e0b.1771163@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 130816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:

:I figured I was better off getting an affordable first scanner to make
:sure I enjoy it before I dive into something like the AR8200.
:
:So, I have ordered a BC235xlt to get me started and cover my trunking
:and regular scanning, but now I'm looking for a good scanner to use
:exclusively for cell scanning.
:
:Suggestions...? An old 200xlt or 220xlt that's still in good shape
:and pre ban?
:
:Everyone on here has been a tremendous help in my quest for knowledge.
:I'm just disappointed that it will be some time before I'm able to
:give back and contribute to the wealth of information available here!
:
:Thanks again!

Why not just buy a new one with full cell coverage. Oops! Sorry. I
forgot you live in a country with draconion scanner laws.

:Mike

2102. Race scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990119104315.00813.00000672@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 130817

All,

If you're into monitoring the drivers and pit crews at auto races please let me know by e-mail. I've got some questions for the upcoming race season.

John Ward

2103. Re: BC9000XLT Cell Images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A4A848.15A06F3A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130818

AllanStern wrote:

> What is the frequency range of the cell images in the BC9000XLT?

Try 360.0 to 390.0 or so, in the Mil-Air band. (much to my dismay)

Jon

2104. WTB AVANTI AV-801 BASE SCANNER ANTENNA (NEW OR CLEAN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990119111058.04732.00000950@ng38.aol.com>
Source record: 130819

HI ALL LOOKING FORM A NEW OR REAL NICE CONDITION AV-801 SCANNER ANTENNA.....KEVIN

2105. Opto456 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@lor.net>
Message-ID: <36A4AFA1.71D2FE01@lor.net>
Source record: 130820

Hello, I have an older Opto456 (not lite). It has two hookup wires coming out of it. They are for analog and audio. One is yellow and one is white. The tags have fallen off these wires. Can anybody tell me which is which?
Thanks, Cal Skyler

2106. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <9n2p2.4318$DB1.4173@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130821

John - listing his qualities wrote in message <36A440AB.79B5@usa.net>

>personal attacks & more lies
>causing totally off-topic spew & waste of band-width.

Thanks for the confession John. That really does cover what you have done on this group the last 4 years. You might also consider individual apologies to those that you have flamed in the past.

Bottom line. Thanks John for fessing up.

2107. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <gp2p2.4319$DB1.4209@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130822

John wrote in message <36A4466F.7441@usa.net>...
>Tom Jones wrote:
>
>> Very good, Steve!
> SNIP
>> Of course, all this proves is that you think just like about 100,000
other
>> scannists, radio heads and various, assorted geniuses.
>
> I cause flames, lies, & problems on this NG (...)

My point exactly John. Glad you see the light and have admitted you faults.
You have taken the first step.

2108. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <ds2p2.4320$DB1.4122@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130823

John confesseswrote in message <36A445D5.3D65@usa.net>...

>......continue to
>cause problems on this without being held accountable
>actions.

You are right John, you have.

>Dignity is something .... know nothing about

You are right John. You don't. That is obvious.

2109. Lots of deals at fanatstic prices!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: charger7878@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <782h0jame1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130824

If you havn't seen the message board on CB City, you may want to check it out. There is a lot of stuff in there for just about anyone and is loaded with radio equipment.

I have also added a link on CB City's main page to the Virtual Hamfest, and it is loaded with all types of radio equipment as well.

I am in the process of putting our entire catalog online as well as online ordering to make things easier for all of you.

Come by and check it out at www.cbcity.com

Thanks

http://www.cbcity.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2110. Trunk II Q?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert P Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <782c6igcv1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 130825

I've heard people mention that the Trunktracker II will allow for simultaneous reception of conventional frequencies and trunked systems, but the press release from CEI doesn't mention that at all. Anyone have additional information?

Rob

2111. Outdoor antenna for cordless freq. and Yupiteru 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <36a6b33d.2514673@news.erols.com>
Source record: 130826

I've read stuff about the Yupiteru 7100 overloading with outdoor antennas. I was wondering if this also applies if you are looking to capture cordless phone frequencies with it. If it does apply too and I would do better using an indoor antenna, what would be the best indoor antenna?

2112. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <Ry2p2.4321$DB1.4225@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130827

John listing his qualities and faults wrote in message
<36A440AB.79B5@usa.net>...

>... sad & pathetic

You are a bit hard on your self here. True but hard.

> ...posted incorrect data full of mis-truths.
Yes you have John.

>... proved you wrong with supporting proof & references
Yep, that's our looney.

>....resorted to personal attacks & more lies
> causing totally off-topic spew & waste of band-width.

Good job John. You are really stepping up to the plate and finally taking responsibility for your actions. You must be commended, or your Doctor should for getting you back on medications. One step at a time John. The NG is behind you and your future recovery.

2113. Re: New 8200 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: neilxxbell@value.net
Message-ID: <36a4bbec.2165704@news.value.net>
Source record: 130828

"NOT" <nospam@hotmail.com> wrote:

>what the website?
>
>Neil wrote in message <36a384fe.8264012@news.value.net>...
>>Anyone with an 8200 Scanner should try out Ben Saladino's "Radio
>>Manager". His newly released 8200 support for memory management, scan
>>bank editing, search ranges, etc. is FAR better than the AOR released
>>crashware from Simon Collings.
>

go to Rich Wells site www.strongsignals.com .. he has a link to
Saladino's web site

2114. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <782fb3$g4g$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130829

John wrote in message <36A445D5.3D65@usa.net>...

>You would like that wouldn't you. It would allow you to continue to
>cause problems on this without being held accountable for your
>actions.

So... what's YOUR excuse for causing problems on this NG John? Don't you get it? All you have to do is shut up and people will stop slamming you. Try it and see.
>
>Why couldn't you uphold your word when you said you would stop causing
>problems?

>If you can't post your proof then it isn't my problem either, Mr. Nutty!
I did post my proof. Like I said, should I go ahead and post all 1900 posts you made? I'm not about to subject the users of this group to such drivel. The curious need only to cut-and-paste the URL's provided in my post and, like magic, the proof will appear before their very eyes.

>As you admitted, you posted NO proof!

So, if not posting is an admission of guilt then you must be guilty of being obsessed with Bill Cheek. I said you posted or replied to 275 posts about him, and you never said a word. Following your own admittedly warped logic, you have just admitted that I am right. Like I said John, you have picked a fight you cannot win.

>
>Occasionally I cancel my own messages in an attempt to preserve
>bandwidth.
>You should consider the same idea.

ROTFL!!!!! YOU? CONCERNED ABOUT BANDWIDTH??? BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

That's why you want me to post all 1900 post of your babbling nonsense as "proof" right? You idiot! you just got caught in another lie!!! HA Ha Ha Ha....

>Dignity is something you know nothing about, Phil.
Au contraire Le Stinke. I know plenty about dignity, respect and honor. You on the other hand only know one thing - how to lie. Go ahead, reply again, John. Dig a deeper hole...

-Phil

2115. Re: RCMA frequency database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken81145@rockisland.com
Message-ID: <782kna$e2q$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130830

DAN,
I AM INTERESTED IN GETTING THE RCMA DATABASE AND SEND IT.

KEM

In article <19990118213039.12920.00000501@ng-fr1.aol.com>,
rsmtmn@aol.com (RSMTMN) wrote:
> I have a copy of that here if you need it. Let me know.
>
> Dan
> rsmtmn@aol.com
>

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2116. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@mail.blinx.de>
Message-ID: <36A4C362.B48CE797@mail.blinx.de>
Source record: 130831

John wrote:

> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > Take a look at these, foolio:
> > http://www.dejanews.com/dnquery.xp?QRY=*&
> SNIP
>
> Phil, just last week you said you were going to stop your harassing &
> trouble making, so why have you started again?

YOU wanted it to have prooven !!!!!!!!!!!!
No harrasssing seen here as from YOU Mackey, and denying any truth people found out on you.
Keep US alone PLEASE, we don`t need you.

Everybody should give you a PLONK.

odo

2117. MUST READ - Great Amplification for SW - Adds FM, Tone Controls... MUST READ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioactive <jjga52@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Cb4p2.65$Vf2.536@news14.ispnews.com>
Source record: 130832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I use one of these ATS-300 small stereos already with my shortwave radios and scanners. It's great! Since circuitry is relatively simple, without much digitalization at all, it doesn't degrade reception. It provides not only 15 watts output --plenty for communications purposes-- but also gives you FM with memories, and some other options. It's compact and fits almost anywhere a bigger stereo wouldn't get in. I heartily recommend it for use along our hobby rigs. Of course, I'm...the seller! But I'm not lying, all what I said it's true. Whoever gets it, won't be disappointed, I guarantee it. The catch? It's at auction "chez" eBay 'til next Monday. Go see it there:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=58364304

--
Please delete "NS" (NoSpam) from my email address before replying.

2118. What am I hearing...860-867 mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: aa949@gpfn.sk.ca
Message-ID: <q54p2.2499$IJ3.22069014@WReNphoon2>
Source record: 130833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Chances are that your are recieving Cellular phone images
However because some trunking band radios have the ability
to auto-patch into the phone system the you could also
be recieving that too.

http://www.angelfire.com/sc/ScannerNet

*** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ***

2119. 980mhz-986, what is it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: aa949@gpfn.sk.ca
Message-ID: <174p2.2502$IJ3.22076096@WReNphoon2>
Source record: 130834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Most likely you are getting cell phone images.

http://www.angelfire.com/sc/ScannerNet

*** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ***

2120. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480001901991052310001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130835

Steve wrote:
> > THE TOP FIVE THINGS MACKEY WILL NEVER DO!
> SNIP

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> How sad & pathetic you are.
> You posted incorrect data full of mis-truths. When I posted correct
> info that proved you wrong with supporting proof & references to
> FCC data, you resorted to personal attacks & more lies
> causing totally off-topic spew & waste of band-width.

My, my! Whining about someone holding a mirror up to the very tactics that YOU almost single-handedly developed into an "art-form, and for years have used as your primary, personal style of discourse, (in denigerating the efforts of one who COULD and DID inform, around here...) and STILL you haven't done that *one* simple thing that so many people requested of you!

I know I don't have to embarrass you by refreshing your memory - YOU know what I'm talking about, don't you John?

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2121. Re: Anybody remember the post "scanner action busts"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya02348000190199105640001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130836
Cross-posted to: Alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <781rrcnnp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, dolphinride@iname.com wrote:

> Was about a guy using a scanner to ruin some cops attempt to run a
> prostitution sting that smelled of entrapment.

Yeah - well, they ALL smell of entrapment, don't they? ;-)

I think you're referring to a series of posts by "Emilio Goldstein",(not
his real name), that were really hoaxes and *very* poor attempts at
trollery.

What about them?

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2122. Re: BC9000XLT problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Moss <enzo@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36A4DAC7.1E58@ibm.net>
Source record: 130837

Vector wrote:
>
> Hey all
>
> i got a BC9000XLTand i was trying to search with it and it will stop
> on nothing but radio stations and tv stations and static.. i searched
> for most of the day and only got 3 different things that were not
> radio tv stations or static.. i don't think this is normal for a
> scanner is it? any ideas on why this might be happening?
>
> Thanks Nathan

A good idea is to seach certain bands - not every band! For example,
search 138-174MHz. Set the radio to "NFM" and "5kHz Step". It should
stop on plenty. Also, when I first got mine the BNC antenna connector
was a little loose - check to make sure yours is alright. If you still
don't pick up much let me know.

Cheers!
Andy

2123. Cash for your old emergency equipment!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: cancerstik@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <782q6e$j9s$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130838

I apologize for the little "advertisement", but I wanted to take a moment to introduce you all the brand new auction site located at http://www.cpdc.com/ We just opened a few days ago and really need your posts to get this site off on the right foot. It doesn't cost anything to post an item for auction, so feel free to use our services to get the "winning bid" value for your equipment. We cover everything from lightbars, sirens and uniforms, to weapons, vehicles, and medical supplies. We aren't just targeted at EMS or Law Enforcement, but Firefighters, Towing, D.O.T. workers, and Utilities can join this great site. Dealers are welcome, but not "encouraged". Our primary goal is person-to-person auction only for products, services, and collectibles related to Public Safety. Get together a few things from your collection in the basement, post them for auction, and see what you get for it... after all, it is FREE!

Just to summarize, it is FREE to register, FREE to bid, FREE to post new items for auction, FREE to relist your item if it doesn't sell, and more!! This FREE stuff isn't a promotion, it is a plan that will always be a unique feature of CPDC.COM. The only thing we ask for is a very small percentage of the final bid, if your item does sell. To "play it safe", you can set a reserve on your item where you are not obligated to sell unless that reserve price is met (usually an amount you believe the item to be worth). What are you waiting for? Take this opportunity to upgrade your emergency equipment today, and use us to auction off your old equipment to someone else in public safety!

Thanks for your time, please refer your friends in public safety!!
http://www.cpdc.com/

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2124. PRO-64 interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracy jones <tracyjones@cinergy.com>
Message-ID: <01be43e9$a7f5b010$884214ac@H1VFT4>
Source record: 130839

As usual, the salespeople at Radio Scraps were worthless in my attempt to get an interface kit for my PRO-64. What comes with this 'kit'? Does anyone know the part number?

Please reply private, as I don't hang here very often.

Thanks
Tracy

2125. AOR AR8200 Mailing List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ar8200@xoommail.com
Message-ID: <782skd$lk0$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130840

The AR8200 Mailing list is for users and prospective users of
AOR's latest hand held receiver and accessories.

To Subscribe to the list send an E-Mail to:

ar8200-subscribe@onelist.com

or visit

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/ar8200

300+ Subscribers!

Regards,

Steve C (List Manager)

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2126. ARCON Expert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcoyle@cableinet.co.uk
Message-ID: <36a4e0ad.353845@news.cableinet.co.uk>
Source record: 130841

Found a Russian Programme called ARCON expert with very little in way of English help files and instructions.for my Icom PCR 1000 has anybody come across this programme and can help me go further with it in the 10 minutes before cutoff happens

thanks

Jim

2127. Help! Uniden EXI-960 900mhz noise problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: keatlim@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <782tkfmjs1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.consumers

I received the Uniden EXI 960 900Mhz cordless phone and after
charging it overnight as per instructions I noticed when using
it has a ringing reverb when I'm using it. The problem is reduced
slightly when I turn the volume to LOW but will exist when it
hits a certain range of pitch. It does not seem to be the phone
line noise because I borrowed my neighbour's phone. I have
tried changing the channel and standing as close to the base
as possible. Is this a common occurance with 900Mhz phones?

Again it's a Uniden EXI-960 900Mhz phone.

Thanks!!
Keat

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2128. Re: Trunk II Q?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990119151915.29959.00001166@ng41.aol.com>
Source record: 130843

>From: "Robert P Dale" <rdale@skywatch.org>

>I've heard people mention that the Trunktracker II will allow for
>simultaneous reception of conventional frequencies and trunked systems,

I never heard anyone say that about the BC245XLT. Where did you hear that
from?
The only time it was written in this newsgroup is in Stephen Waits' "Uniden
Wish List" that we're gonna email to Uniden, but Uniden never said the BC245XLT
would do that.
Actually, when you're listening to a huge trunking system where every
department in the city and county is tied into the same data channel, you
really don't need to monitor conventional. But, I can see your point if
there is an air-to-ground rescue operation going on, it would be nice to hear
the air comms at the same time, or something like that.

talkyal

2129. Soundcard Programs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: alanmcferrin@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <782v0enom1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130844

Has anyone seen programs that decode Motorola or Ericsson Trunked systems or FLEX via the soundcard?? (I've seen this question, never saw the answer and can't find it! sorry)

Thanks
Alan

alanmcferrin@worldnet.att.net

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2130. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990119152623.29959.00001170@ng41.aol.com>
Source record: 130845

>From: eric@sgi.net

>I outran your radios once psp..

I'm not interested in out-running anybody,
but the State of Michigan should give every citizen the right to listen to police communication, unless it is encrypted for security. That's always been my only issue.

talkyal

2131. Wire Antenna not doing any good for shortwave freq's on my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <36abeea8.17727847@news.erols.com>
Source record: 130846

I am aware that scanners aren't the greatest for shortwave reception, but I don't know if this is my problem. I have a Yupiteru 7100 handheld scanner and I tried boosing the signals by clipping on an insulated antenna wire. Granted I only extended it 15 feet, the most that would fit in the room, but I see barely any difference to nothing at all. All I can see is the signal indicator reach a little more to the right, but as for audible difference, really nothing. Even if I haven't extended it at a longer length on my roof as I should, shouldn't it make some difference even inside? Is it cheap wire I have? It's "Archer" brand wire that comes in a spool, I brought it years ago at Radio Shack. Or is it just that extra wire won't help a handheld scanner? I suppose I should try putting it on my roof at a longer length but if it is known not to even help scanners then I don't think I'll waste my time.

2132. antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michel De Kerpel <michel.dekerpel@advalvas.be>
Message-ID: <782rjo$q9b$1@xenon.inbe.net>
Source record: 130847

How can I make the best antenna for 150mhz-170mhz for under roof mounting.
I have a super discone now but is there a better type ant. for this specific freq.?
Thanks

2133. Re: For Sale: Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <01be43f2$c69a4c20$7d52d6d8@hp-customer>
Source record: 130848

How much and what frequencies does it cover?

DWR <dwr@paonline.com> wrote in article <36A3C7C0.1F26@paonline.com>...
> For Sale: AH-7000 Super Wideband Omnidirectional Antenna. Excellent
> condition.
>

2134. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <782u2k$kl7$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John wrote in message <36A37767.48B9@usa.net>...
>I keep asking you to explain HOW (exactly) that I am wrong but you
>continually fail to do so.
>Why can't you explain specifically where I am wrong?
Because even when you are presented with the very proof you ask for you stick your head in the sand and pretend it doesn't exist. He's just wise to your ways John, and doesn't care to waste his time providing proof that you will never acknowledge.

>The FCC question pool asks questions about how repeaters operate,
>so one would have to know at least a basic understanding of how
>repeaters
>operate to correctly answer the question. Since you are not a licensed
>amateur radio operator, it is no wonder that you are not aware of
>the facts.

This response is so typical of what is wrong with Ham Radio, and why I won't sign up. Because of pinhead hams like you who think because you spent $12 on a test and passed means you know it all. People like you make me physically ill. I may not be a licensed ham operator but that doesn't automatically mean I'm wrong and you're right John. Even someone as deluded as you can't deny that little fact - or can you?

This is all too typical of you John. You took a valid, on-topic question and turned into your own personal pissing contest. What a surprise. Why can't you just answer the original question and then be done with it instead of being a loudmouthed arrogant a--hole?

-Phil

2135. Radio Shack 20-014 Base Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William G. Harrison" <wgharrison@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36a4fe3d.0@news1.ibm.net>
Source record: 130850

Based upon Rich Wells' scanner antenna performance review on
his Strong Signals Resource Page
http://www.strongsignals.net/
I just went to my local Radio Shack store to pick up the
Model 20-014 Outdoor All-Band Ground Plane Monitoring
Antenna. They advised me that the antenna has been
discontinued, but was still in stock at many stores. The
antenna is marked down as a close-out as well.

I picked it up for $6.97 plus tax.......a bargain
considering that the antenna sold for $35 prior to
close-out.
Better get one now before it's too late.
Bill

2136. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <36A4FF80.468F7606@mich.com>
Source record: 130851

Talkyal wrote:

> I'm not interested in out-running anybody,
> but the State of Michigan should give every citizen the right to listen to
> police communication, unless it is encrypted for security.

You have it, and they do it.

> That's always
> been my only issue.

That's for sure...

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2137. Re: Trunk II Q?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7830shlin1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130852

Talkyal wrote in message >
>I never heard anyone say that about the BC245XLT. Where did you hear that
>from?
>The only time it was written in this newsgroup is in Stephen Waits' "Uniden
>Wish List" that we're gonna email to Uniden, but Uniden never said the
BC245XLT
>would do that.
>Actually, when you're listening to a huge trunking system where every
>department in the city and county is tied into the same data channel, you
>really don't need to monitor conventional. But, I can see your point if
>there is an air-to-ground rescue operation going on, it would be nice to
hear
>the air comms at the same time, or something like that.

JTWard's original posting about the radio mentioned this, and it's not as difficult an operation as one might think. All the scanner has to do is alternate between trunked and conventional modes.

-Phil

2138. Re: USR 56K pcmcia modem f/s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ben <mcmahonben@rham.org>
Message-ID: <7831bd$ni2@news1.snet.net>
Source record: 130853
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

i really don't think you're in the right place. try ebay.com it's a
great place to place auctions for $2 a piece..... thanks

-ben

just tryin to keep the unnecisary BS outa here

2139. Re: Wire Antenna not doing any good for shortwave freq's on my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken weber <w2bdp@planet.net>
Message-ID: <78328d$oeq@jupiter.planet.net>
Source record: 130854

The brand of wire means nothing, copper is best but for receive, a coat hanger is ok !! Depends on what frequency you want to receive. If it just shortwave, broadcast to say 20 Mhz, the longer and higher the better. Just "DUCK" during a thunder storm.

2140. FA: Scanners AOR Uniden Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John in Roseville CA 95678 <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <xh8p2.518$3u.7078947@nnrp2.ni.net>
Source record: 130855
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scanners for auction...

http://cgi3.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=john01999

2141. Re: MDT frequencies below 512 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a50ff0.414491358@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130856

On 19 Jan 1999 02:01:02 GMT, Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>Does anyone have a wav file of what MDT sounds like?

On my page:
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/digital.html

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2142. best scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: JSORIANO <REY.SORIANO@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <783366$h0n@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130857

Greetings!
What 's the best scanner on the market ? AOR or Yupiteru and which one is the one that picks up to 7 gigs?? Recently read an article about phone companies carrying info on 7.10 gigs is this a fact??

regards
Rey soriano

2143. PGA / LPGA Golf Official Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990119181904.11810.00000953@ng36.aol.com>
Source record: 130858

Any pro golf fans out there with scanners ?
You know when a player has a question about a drop
or needs a ruling and they call one of the PGA Marshals
on his walkie-talkie to come to the scene and make a
ruling.... anyone have the frequencies for the PGA / LPGA
Tour ?

talkyal

2144. RD/LAP Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7834am$mm3$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130859

Haven't gotten any email or seen any posts on here in a while about it so I thought I'd rekindle the fire, so to speak.

So far the only thing preventing me from writing this application is not knowing how to build the interface or not knowing what audio frequencies coming off the sound card make up the binary 0,1,2 or 3. So if anyone has info on the interface (please, no schematics - they are greek to me - dammit Jim, I'm a programmer, not a engineer! ;-) I require an actual parts list, pictures of the assembly process, and english instructions) please send away. Bill Cheek is offering these for $5, which is a good price, so if no one can help, no biggie. I'll get the details from him (I'm planning on doing so regardless).

For using a soundcard, I thought of just writing a quick and dirty little program to simply report the audio frequency of each sample. This should produce a pattern of 4 separate values which I can then match up using the RD/LAP protocol information I have gathered to date (My personal thanks to all who contributed). But of course since I thought of it maybe someone else has too, so if anyone has such a program you could save me a day or two's worth of coding by sending it to me. Otherwise, starting tonight, I shall attempt to write my own. Once I can get this info out of the soundcard, we're basically home free.

Also, I did receive some email from a couple different folks who said they would attempt to write one, but I haven't heard back since. How's it going? If you've hit a stumbling block, post it and let's work together on it.

Well, that's all I have so far. I'll keep the group posted, and yes, when it's done, it'll be freeware ala MDT Monitor. Due to copyright issues with Motorola however, I cannot legally provide source code. Also, if the big M sends a bunch of lawyers to my door, I'll be forced to pull the plug. Other than that, we look good to go.

-Phil

2145. Newbie Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bharrin75@erols.com
Message-ID: <36a515fb.6516269@news.erols.com>
Source record: 130860

I was wondering if anyone out there could help me---i just purchased a Radio Shack "PRO-91 150 Channel handheld Truncking Scanner", my main motavation was to listen to ATC from Washington National, which is only about 10 miles from my house; however, I can't seem to pick anything up on this thing. I have the fewquencies that I need but all I get is static. I even tried to listen to the police and fire bands but to no avail---what am I doing wrong? Any help would be appreciated--

Thanks in advance

2146. Re: 980mhz-986, what is it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jokerswild@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <36a51a69.154703246@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130861

On 19 Jan 1999 14:51:57 GMT, tbone107@aol.com (Tbone107) wrote:

>>Are these phone conversations?
>>What kind of phone?
>>
>>respond to Hrothgar@lightspeed.net
>>
>
>
>
>
>These are human mental telepathy frequencies. If you're listening to them, they
>already know all about you and believe me, I know just what kind of serious
>harm these people can do without you even knowing it. How do I know? I really
>can't tell because I don't know...they won't let me know. You just better be
>careful. They know about you. Stop listening to their brains! For God
>sake,Man... save yourself while you still can!

Ha ha har har LOL

NOTICE TO BULK EMAILER(S): Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5,
Subchapter II, 227, any and all unsolicited commercial e-mail sent to
this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount
of $500 US.

2147. Re: The Repair Shop at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: eclarke@netcene.com
Message-ID: <36a5201f.68782622@news1.newscene.com>
Source record: 130862

I sent in a scanner to RS that was modified for repair. They fixed my problem and did not alter any of the modifications that I had performed. Plus it only took a week or so for them to fix the radio and send it back.

On Mon, 18 Jan 1999 21:15:44 -0500, "Rob Lang" <robertlang@nac.net> wrote:

>While I have no evidence to back this up, it occurs to me that the folks at
>Tandy are such tightasses about cellular that I'd be a little leery about
>sending them a modified unit. See if you can confirm someone had no problem
>before you do it.
>
>Rob Lang
>KC2EMO
>
>EchoFoxx wrote in message <19990118025728.13034.00000126@ng-fa1.aol.com>...
>>Anyone have any comments regarding Radio Shack's repair service? I have an
>>out-of-warranty PRO-2006 scanner which needs work on the keypad. (you have
>to
>>hammer the buttons to get them to work.) The scanner has been modified for
>800
>>mhz.
>>So, will RS work on an out-of-warranty scanner that has been modified? If
>Yes,
>>is the quality of work and the price you pay reasonable? If No, Where
>would
>>you recommend sending this unit to be fixed?
>>
>>Thanks for your time and responses.
>

---
eclarke@netcene.com

2148. Re: BC200XLT Clear code key combination

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deepy@serv.net>
Message-ID: <36A530F5.B4205349@serv.net>
Source record: 130863

Hamwatch wrote:

> I used to have a BC200XLT, I believe it was hold down 2, 9, and manual turn it
> off and back on. I hope this helps. Darrell S.
> Binary Files, Gun Files

Works also my my BC 220 XLT

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
--Central Control STS-11

2149. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <36A543A7.40548B5D@INVALID.com>
Source record: 130864

John wrote:

> You posted incorrect data full of mis-truths. When I posted correct
> info that proved you wrong with supporting proof & references to
> FCC data, you resorted to personal attacks & more lies
> causing totally off-topic spew & waste of band-width.

But if the data is incorrect, wouldn't mis-truths negate the negative impact of incorrect data, and actually having an unintended effect of rendering incorrect data to a correct state by presenting the incorrect data in a context of mis-truth?

(..which throws off all the dependencies ...so your supporting proof & references are screwed.)

Just trying to be helpful.

You friggin' loon.

2150. Pro-2035/2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: zaaap <nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36A543AC.9DCD28A2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 130865

What happened to these scanners? Was there a problem with them? Why did RS discontinue them.

2151. FS: Regency Handheld R4020/GRE Superconverter 8001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <robertrp@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <783i4g$5f6$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 130866

FOR SALE:

Regency Handheld 100 channel scanner Model R 4020 $75.00 shipping UPS

Metro West Taper Box II $20.00 shipping UPS

GRE 8001 Super Converter Base model $45.00 shipping UPS

robertrp@megsinet.net

2152. Regency MX-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Rowan <trowan@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <36A54BEA.3DC@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 130867

I have a Regency MX 7000 , and I just noticed that the power supply is made by AOR . Was this radio made by AOL (duh) ? Are there any mods for it ??

2153. Re: best scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: JLP001@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28112-36A5521A-87@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130868

What is the frequency of light?

2154. Re: Pro-2035/2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <783m89$98$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 130869

And Just watch the OptoCom disappear if anyone finds a way to unlock it. Get yours now , before Opto guts it out like they did the 456 !

2155. Re: What is the fastest search speed scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <783mb9$9s$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 130870

OptoCom from Optoelectronics, hands down. 100 channels per second.

Doug Mason wrote in message ...
>I spend most of my time searching for new frequencies and do a lot of plain
>VFO range or edge searches (not 'scans' of set freqs).
>
>I'm from the Icom world where search and scan speed is dismal, my AOR 8K
>is a bit better at speed.
>

2156. FS: Pro-39 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Ream <reamesq@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <36A56128.CC190E4@swbell.net>
Source record: 130871

I have a Radio Shack Pro-39 scanner for sale. Though not new, it's in excellent cosmetic condition and perfect working condition. I have the manual, battery charger, operating manual, and six AA nicads to go with the radio. It is opened for the entire 800 MHz cellular band.

$215.00 including shipping to U.S.

Please reply via e-mail to: reamesq@swbell.net

Thanks,
Darren Ream

2157. Military Radio frenquencies in Hawaii

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ILHOOSIERS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18419-36A55ABC-51@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130872

I am going to Hawaii and would enjoy listening to radio calls from Hickam AFB and Pearl Harbor. I don't know how to find these frenquencies, especially for aircraft. I have a scanner that will pick them up.

PLEASE HELP

2158. 980-986mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: john <hrothgar@lightspeed.net>
Message-ID: <36A56B24.519B67F1@lightspeed.net>
Source record: 130873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Is this a cordless or cellular frequency? or what?

hrothgar@lightspeed.net

2159. For Sale: Icom R7100 (not blocked)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard Rudy <hrudynospam@lgcy.com>
Message-ID: <36A56B3B.5675920D@lgcy.com>
Source record: 130874
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.fm

For Sale Icom IC-R7100 not cell-blocked. In A1 new condition w/box
and all manuals. Want $900.00 or best offer + shipping

Remove "nospam" from address header to reply
Email me or call 801-532-8511

KA7YWO
Howard Rudy
Salt Lake City, Ut
hrudy@lgcy.com

2160. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blondeau <blondeau*nospam*@bewellnet.com>
Message-ID: <36A54810.3C45B8BD@bewellnet.com>
Source record: 130875

RRLS10 wrote:

> >As a radio reciever emits no RF power, there is no way to track one down.
> >
>
> With a really good radio and a directional antenna, you could track the signal
> coming from the radio's oscillator.
>
> -Randy

As a Sheriff's Office dispatcher, for training I often rode with county deputies and the city police. Frequently the police cruiser's radio (was: 154.770 for their primary) would make this repetitive "Tthhrrrp, Tthhrrp," (like those sound effects, eh???) as we passed certain residences. "Yup", they'd say, "Scanner people live there." Seemed pretty easy to identify, I thought...

-Jeff

2161. Re: New 8200 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ben Saladino <bicycles@fastlane.net>
Message-ID: <54457AF6322C6FAD.03230BA15D73DD3B.2C1222027A829D10@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 130876

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ
Radio Manager for Windows http://www.interplaza.com/bensware/rm.htm
Scanning the Dallas/Fort Worth Area http://www.interplaza.com/scandfw/

2162. *COMPRO scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mlopezlarra@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <780jg0mfs1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130916
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is for the spanish audience:

Compro scanner.

Interesados, enviar descripci&#65533;n, modelo y precio a:

mlopezlarra@my-dejanews.com

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2163. Re: Not Secure: Panasonic 2.4GHz GigaRange cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: swiley@starstream.net
Message-ID: <36a3cb53.27532678@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 130917

The Siemens Gigarange phones use 2.4GHz for TX _AND_ RX unlike
everyone else's (ahem, Panasonic for instance) 2.4 phone which really
use 900Mhz for handset TX. plus it has so many capabilities packed
into it I can't see how you'd want any other phone unless you're
looking to save money.

I have owned the UNIDEN two line 900MHz for 4 years, and the Siemens
Giga Range is finally the one I've been waiting for to replace it.

check it out at
http://www.siemens-wireless.com/html_swt/ws/2420/index_2420.html

it's at the top of the 2.4GHz food chain without a doubt!!

On Sat, 16 Jan 1999 14:07:56 GMT, michael@ablecomm.com wrote:

>In article <19981222165249.12602.00000246@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
> radio911@aol.com (Radio911) wrote:
>> Hi! I saw you recently purchased a 2.4 GHz portable phone. I'm less
>concerned
>> about privacy-but I want distance! Is this phone all that it's hyped to be?
>> Does it exceed the 900 mhz units? Thanks for any feedback! Please check
>> e-mail box when responding.
>> Radio911 - Laine S. Herman
>>
>
>The Gigs are good, but Pan's own KX-TD7890 has longer range.
>
>Review on my Website.
>
>AbleComm,Inc. - www.ablecomm.com -- biggest source of Panasonic phone
>system info; discounts for do-it-yourselfers
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2164. Re: Digital Scanner,do they exist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990118191641.23182.00001041@ng27.aol.com>
Source record: 130918

>From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01)

> No one sells digital scanners because they don't exist, and when they
>eventually are made there is a specific prohibition in federal law that
>prevents them from being able to convert digital cellular transmissions to
>analog voice audio. In other words, it ain't gonna happen, so get a life.

What you really want to say is, "it ain't gonna
LEGALLY happen." There are lots of arm-chair electronic engineers out there,
working in their basements, that WILL make it happen. Digital systems are just
begging to be DAC'd. The end of the road is the encoding of digital, though.
If they go full-time encoded, there's nobody gonna listen to anything, legally
or illegally.

talkyal

2165. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990118190843.23182.00001034@ng27.aol.com>
Source record: 130919

>From: Jack@sgi.net

>At this point, I pray you come through my state -
>your ass is grass and I'm the lawn mower. Threats these are not - but
>promisses.

Identify yourself. Give me your duty location and usual shift time. If my vacation takes me past your target area, I'll be sure to hail you on CB channel 9 and tell you how I've been doing 15 over the limit all the way up to your location. You can't stop us from knowing your location, one way or the other, you're going to be compromised. Sorry.

talkyal

2166. Re: Mini Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: swiley@starstream.net
Message-ID: <36a3ce4e.28295636@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 130920

It looks like optoelectronics has renamed some of the hand held freq
counters to make room for the new models.

their website shows the familiar looking scout as now being called
the 'Cub Minicounter'.

for full info go to:
http://www.optoelectronics.com/cubminicounter.htm

On Fri, 15 Jan 1999 09:51:32 GMT, nospamroberts-bill@usa.net (Bill)
wrote:

>what is Optoelectronics Mini Scout
>
>Bill
>
>

2167. Re: Michigan State Police Car Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990118193922.23182.00001060@ng27.aol.com>
Source record: 130921

>From: destarr@tir.com (David Starr)

>NEVER underestimate the men & ladies in the smokey bear hats!!!

Well, I'm willing to bet he was so pumped up on his "new 427" that he didn't take the time to equip it with the "proper electronic necesseties." Plus, a couple hours of scanner monitoring before you commence your test run does wonders for finding un-patrolled test areas >:P

NEVER underestimate the information you can intercept on your scanner !!!!

>ON TOPIC - Since I don't have a ham license, it's illegal for me to have a
>scanner in the car here in Michigan. Am I in violation if I have it locked
>in the trunk, batteries removed, on my way to a NASCAR race?

No. Having it locked in your trunk and not connected to anything does not constitute EQUIPPING your vehicle with a scanner.

Michigan Penal Code Section 750.508
750.508. Vehicles equipped with short wave length radio receiving sets
(1) Any person who shall EQUIP a vehicle with a radio receiving set that.....

talkyal

2168. Re: police Frequiencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990118194409.22772.00000217@ng49.aol.com>
Source record: 130922

>Can any one Help,
>I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
>Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
>I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
>Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
>I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
>Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Frequencies, and I,m sure they
>are also scrabbling it
>Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
>can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
>transmissions.
>
>, and I,m sure they
>are also scrabbling it
>Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
>can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
>transmissions.
>
>, and I,m sure they
>are also scrabbling it
>Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
>can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
>transmissions.
>

--You're a
journalist? Do it the old-fashioned way. Make friends with the police. Don't
put yourself in an adversarial postition with them. Befriend a local officer.
Show cops in a positive light. You get more with sugar than you do with
vinegar. Sweet talk one of'em. Do a favorable photo-essay on a few of them.
Simply ask one for the frequencies. You got nothing to loose by trying. You
never know. Of course, this suggestion is obviously a last resort. If you can't
get the frequencies off the Net, then try the humanistic approach.

2169. BC9000XLT problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: nathan@pinehurst.net
Message-ID: <36a3d3ec.10909780@fullnews.pinehurst.net>
Source record: 130923

Hey all

i got a BC9000XLTand i was trying to search with it and it will stop on nothing but radio stations and tv stations and static.. i searched for most of the day and only got 3 different things that were not radio tv stations or static.. i don't think this is normal for a scanner is it? any ideas on why this might be happening?

Thanks Nathan

2170. MODS PLEASE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: trunorth@email.com
Message-ID: <780rsitkn1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130924

I have a Bearcat 150 and am hoping someone has some mods for it. Namely a mod to keep the light on all of the time would be nice. I would appreciate anything though. Thanks in advance. Please feel free to e-mail or post.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2171. Re: Police Frequencies,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990118194619.22772.00000218@ng49.aol.com>
Source record: 130925

>
>
>Can any one Help,
>I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
>Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
>I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
>Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
>I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
>Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Frequencies, and I,m sure they
>are also scrabbling it
>Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
>can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
>transmissions.
>
>, and I,m sure they
>are also scrabbling it
>Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
>can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
>transmissions.
>
>, and I,m sure they
>are also scrabbling it
>Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
>can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
>transmissions.

----------Are they also scrambling your brain and ability to type? I hope you take pictures better than you type.

2172. Re: BC9000XLT problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A3D8D4.A2FB5A40@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130926

Vector wrote:

> Hey all
>
> i got a BC9000XLTand i was trying to search with it and it will stop
> on nothing but radio stations and tv stations and static.. i searched
> for most of the day and only got 3 different things that were not
> radio tv stations or static.. i don't think this is normal for a
> scanner is it? any ideas on why this might be happening?
>
> Thanks Nathan

What frequency range were you searching? What kind of antenna?
There are many reasons what could have happened.

Jon

2173. Need Pleasanton - San Francisco area frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <780le3$tj4$1@camel25.mindspring.com>
Source record: 130927

Business trip next week, need for Pleasanton and Bay area.

Thanks,

/\/\|k.e
www.mindspring.com/~mm505
Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems

2174. Re: police Frequiencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <780lhb$tj4$2@camel25.mindspring.com>
Source record: 130928

"David Skinner" <dgskin@ibl.bm> wrote, and I quote:

>Can any one Help,
>I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
>Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
>I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
>Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
>I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
>Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Frequencies, and I,m sure they
>are also scrabbling it
>Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
>can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
>transmissions.

>, and I,m sure they
>are also scrabbling it
>Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
>can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
>transmissions.

>, and I,m sure they
>are also scrabbling it
>Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
>can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
>transmissions.

First, stop taking drugs. A true journalist would never let anything
like this be published.

Secondly, just ask.

Thirdly, use a frequency counter near their vehicles/radios.

2175. Re: Yaesu FT-50RD mod problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <780t5sumg1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130929
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

There is ALWAYS a way, the key is finding it. of course the easiest way to get the freq's is to have a scanner that can be moded and just use your HT for communications. The current HT's can be moded for TX in the ranges below, but I have yet to find a way to open teh freeband, if you have the nice little schematic that came with your FT-50, and it's FULLY moded, can you scan the schem at 600dpi and e-mail it to iceberg@nxco.com I promis to post anything i find.

TX 140Mhz-174Mhz (Hardware mod -remove solder and resistor)
TX 420Mhz-470Mhz (Hardware mod -remove solder and resistor)

P.S. If you can start posting your serial #'s (minus the last digit if you have security concerns) and what mods DO work

IceBerg
iceberg@nxco.com

In article <36a2bf37.761464@news.acay.com.au>,
barryo@telstra.easymail.com.au wrote:
> John Ames wrote:
>
> :
> :
>
> Not valid on usenet. Please correct your newsreader.
>
> :The new ones don't mod to the full freeband. See the dragon
> :webpage.
> :
John
>
> What is an and how do we see it?
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2176. FS BC 350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dale3e <dale3e@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <780ma0$i7k$1@comet3.magicnet.net>
Source record: 130930

For sale: Uniden BC350A scanner, as new, everything but the box.$55 shipped Continental US.

2177. Re: Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <780poa$928$1@nnrp2.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130931

Thanks, Ive learned a bit from the book, I spent 3 quarters at ITT so I know a good portion of electronics, so Ive got a good start there. As for the Radio Shack book, it was cheap and local, and I didnt have to have a credit card to buy it. When things finaly work out, Ill get out of this lame little place were there is nothing.

Thanks
IceBerg

In article <Xvwo2.334$64.2808@nntp1.nac.net>,
"Rob Lang" <robertlang@nac.net> wrote:
> Yo Ice!
>
> USENET is a wild and wooly place, anyone posting newbie questions in any NG
> runs the risk of getting their butt jumped on. FWIW I too miss-interpreted
> your post to mean you were already a Tech.
>
> The RS Tech book is OK, but doesn't go into much detail, it's more a
> "memorize the answers" approach to the test (a whole other potential can of
> worms)
>
> Another place to post is rec.radio.amateur.misc - but be forewarned that NG
> can get a bit vicious at times too. A good place on the web to find info is
> the ARRL at www.arrl.org. They sell a number of publications that have
> useful operating info.
>
> A *very* quick primer on repeaters, worth exactly what you've paid for it.
> A repeater takes a radio signal it receives and re-broadcasts it. They are
> usually located it in high, clear line of sight locations, hilltops, tall
> buildings, towers. They have an input and output frequency. You transmit
> on the input, and listen to the repeater output. Usually these frequencies
> are offset by 600 kHz, and the output may be above or below the input. The
> repeater enables amateurs, especially with mobiles and HTs, to cover a much
> wider area than they could with their radio alone. To locate some repeaters
> in your area, try www.artscipub.com/repeaters/. Most repeaters are "open",
> that is available to all hams, but it is considered proper that if you
> regularly make use of one, you should help support it financially by
> contributing to the entity that owns it (often a local club). A few
> repeaters are "closed" that is reserved for the use of the individuals who
> operate it. Many scannists, ham and non-ham alike, monitor the output
> channels of the local "machines".
>
> Hope I've helped!
>
> Rob Lang

> KC2EMO
>
> iceberg@nxco.com wrote in message <77rpthnrj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> > I said Im new to the thing, I didnt say I had passed it, and only
> place
> >around me to get such books is Radio Shack, so I bought teh book they have
> >there, the Technician No-Code Plus book. It's all I could get my hands on
> as
> >were I live pretty much sucks for anything dealing with technology beyond
> the
> >horse and buggy. Im reading thru this book now, but though I could ask
> some
> >simple questions without some arrogant people jumping all over my butt.
> >
> >IceBerg
> >iceberg@nxco.com
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2178. Re: Scanner for exclusively for Cell Phone use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ##aheely##@##downcity##.net
Message-ID: <36a5e5a0.37467516@news.downcity.net>
Source record: 130932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Mon, 18 Jan 1999 18:16:05 -0500, Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>
wrote:

>mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> I figured I was better off getting an affordable first scanner to make
>> sure I enjoy it before I dive into something like the AR8200.
>>
>> So, I have ordered a BC235xlt to get me started and cover my trunking
>> and regular scanning, but now I'm looking for a good scanner to use
>> exclusively for cell scanning.
>>
>> Suggestions...? An old 200xlt or 220xlt that's still in good shape
>> and pre ban?
>>
>> Everyone on here has been a tremendous help in my quest for knowledge.
>> I'm just disappointed that it will be some time before I'm able to
>> give back and contribute to the wealth of information available here!
>>
>> Thanks again!
>>
>> Mike
>
>I am using the BC200XLT for cell scanning, I just got it got it at a local
>pawn shop for $120 and it is out of the box new, and I am extremely happy
>with it. If you can not find a " pre ban " the mod is very easy to do.

Only problem with the BC-200XLT for cellular is that even after being
modified it does not tune the cell range in 30 kHz steps. Still
defaults to 12.5 kHz so is off frequency most of the time. While I
like my old BC-200 for general use I would not recommend it for cell
coverage. I think I would look for a PRO-43.

Art

2179. Re: MDT frequencies below 512 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A3E697.6A8143AD@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130933

Does anyone have a wav file of what MDT sounds like?

SHAWN wrote:
>
> my local mdt is 156.135mhz

2180. Re: Digital Scanner,do they exist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990118211920.23182.00001145@ng27.aol.com>
Source record: 130934

>From: thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL one!))

>The reason for digital systems is bandwidth conservation, NOT to limit who
>can hear it.

Judging from the replies of people in this newsgroup hilariously claiming to be
law enforcement officers, you get the impression they think all the people who
want to monitor digital transmissions are terrorists, or something. Did they
raise such a fuss over trunk tracker scanners coming out, too ?
Poor babies can't keep people from listening to their million-dollar-systems.
Too bad.

talkyal

2181. Re: RCMA frequency database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsmtmn@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990118213039.12920.00000501@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 130935

I have a copy of that here if you need it. Let me know.

Dan
rsmtmn@aol.com

2182. Uniden BC890XLT/895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan <X@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <785cp2$ql3@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 130636

I was wondering what the exact differences were between
the models of Uniden BC890XLT and BC895XLT internally.
I know that the BC895XLT model is the trunk tracker, but
internally, are they similar? For academic reasons only, if
one were to 'modify' the 895XLT unit, and specifically not
the trunk ability, can it be done using the schematic of the
890XLT unit?

Thanks.

2183. Uniden BC890XLT/BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan <X@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <785f6e$sn1@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 130637

I was wondering what the exact differences were between
the models of Uniden BC890XLT and BC895XLT internally.
I know that the BC895XLT model is the trunk tracker, but
internally, are they similar? For academic reasons only, if
one were to 'modify' the 895XLT unit, and specifically not
the trunk ability, can it be done using the schematic of the
890XLT unit?

Thanks.

2184. Re: MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480002001991004460001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130686

pmohazzabi@surfree.com wrote:

> Where can I find a software so can decode RD-Lap MDT?

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hangar/8539/INDEX1.HTM

You'll also need to swap in a faster level 4 FSK interface - the schematics
are there, too.

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2185. Re: Regency MX-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <xAjd94BIghp2Ew$s@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 130687

In article <36A62AD2.2E95@sgi.net>, Jack@sgi.net writes

>I have both radios, Regency MX-7000 and AOR AR-2002 and they both
>operate exactly the same. I have had them for many years. The AOR is
>in the car and the MX is in my ham shack.

Operating wise they were the same (key presses etc.) but the MX-7000
were in the black cases, same as the MX-5000/AR2001. The MX-8000/AR2002
were in beige coloured cases with the buttons and Signal strength meter.

I havn't heard of/seen a beige MX-7000....a collectors piece :-) ?

All the AR2515 (done by ACE) I have seen (modified AR2002/MX-8000) had
AR2002 serial/FCC stickers on the back.

>As mods, I haven't heard of any. I ask a simular question some time ago
>and had no response.

You could speed up the AR2001/MX-5000/MX-7000 slightly by varying the
value of a capacitor but it isn't something I'd suggest as it also
mucked up the delay.

Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K.	AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Commtel 205/216/510, Icom IC-R2/R10/PCR1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627 \ Realistic PRO-26/2042, Standard AX400B
Clough@javiation.co.uk \ UBC-3000XLT/9000XLT, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000
http://www.javiation.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000
\--/

2186. Re: RD/LAP Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7856l6$e55$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130688

Tom Jones wrote in message ...
>Have you taken a look at the two level 4 FSK interfaces and the 9600/19.2
>baud MDT proggie over on the Dutchman's Cape Canaveral site?

Yes I did, I got an email a couple months ago about that one. The program doesn't work, it's basically MDT1234W coded for 19.2kbps. RD/LAP is an entirely different beast.

I did print the pic's but I don't know how to prefab a board. Jack offered to send me a decoder, which I hope to receive soon, and then I can get things under way.

-Phil

2187. Re: bc3000xlt and software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <7856c3$6sc@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 130689
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3be_9901200900@warpgate.com>,
Tom Walker <Tom.Walker@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com> wrote:

>... the NEw SONY IFC-SC1PC scanner.... I don't
>thin kanyone has done a full review yet becasue it is so new...

See "The SONY SC1PC," in August 1998 Monitoring Times magazine
for my review. It includes quantitative test results.
--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2188. CI-V / CI-5 protocol for scanners/radios ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: moverlaw@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36a625d2.11334627@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 130690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can anyone tell me exactly what radios/scanners have this CI-5 aka ?
CI-V protocol.

I hear that the new OptoCOMM has it, but do any others ?

Mitch

2189. COMPRO Scanner de radio portatil

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: mlopezlarra@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <785cqknav1@nnrp2.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,elcom.radio.ham

Interesados ponerse en contacto por e-mail a:

mlopezlarra@my-dejanews.com

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2190. Re: CI-V / CI-5 protocol for scanners/radios ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <785bjn$6n6$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 130692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well, the Opto456 has, and so does the 535

Mitch Martin wrote in message <36a625d2.11334627@news.mindspring.com>...
>Can anyone tell me exactly what radios/scanners have this CI-5 aka ?
>CI-V protocol.
>
>I hear that the new OptoCOMM has it, but do any others ?
>
>Mitch
>

2191. Need Las Vegas Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlackjackElliot@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17106-36A62B92-13@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130693

I need Las Vegas freqs for freelance newshound. Anyone?

Thanx!

Blackjack Elliot
Las Vegas, NV

Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
BlackjackElliot@webtv.net

www.BlackjackElliot.com

2192. Have you been ripped off by Tom Kasey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: fraudalert@iname.com
Message-ID: <785itims1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130694

Have you been ripped off by Tom kasey or one of his alias at: 8108 lake terrace#10 louisville,kentucky 40222 We are starting a database of victims of this criminal: We need your name,email address(isp), telephone# and you must have proof you were ripped off and amount of loss . This database will be sent to the FBI.We will also give you directions on how to send that important information to the correct Federal Agents in Louisville. . This will only work if you guys make it work.This is the only way we will get Tom Kasey off the internet and put him where he belongs.. Thanks Fraudalert

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2193. 1.3GHz Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ben <mcmahonben@rham.org>
Message-ID: <785ca6$8sa@news1.snet.net>
Source record: 130695

Hello,
I saw the Bearcat 3000xl, and was wonderin who in god's name broadcasts
at anywhere's near 1.3GHz? is it worth even scanning these signals? thanks
for the help

-ben

2194. Anyone live in connecticut know about Scanner rules in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ben <mcmahonben@rham.org>
Message-ID: <785ck5$932@news1.snet.net>
Source record: 130696

If anyone lives in CONNECTICUT, do you know if it's legal to have a handheld scanner operational in your car? it's legal to have radar detectors and all, but what about scanners? just wonderin, thanks

-ben

2195. Re: TESLA everybody knows *that*!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: alen2419@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <785lo1$3c8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner

In article <36A61918.741F6E6A@uiowa.edu>,
Ron Zachariasen <ronald-zachariasen@uiowa.edu> wrote:
>
>
> John Lundgren wrote:
>
> > Scotty wrote:
> > [snip]
> >
> > > Marconi was NOT the inventor. He was a developer who got sponsors
on-board
> >
> > Well, Edison was an inventor, but he wasn't the first to try making a
> > light bulb. But he was the first to make one that was successful, and
> > he patented it. And he is recognized for his invention. So is
> > Marconi.
> >
> > So basically what I'm saying is that if someone invents something, and
> > doesn't patent it, and then someone else does patent it, the officially
> > recognized inventor is the one with the patent.
>
> Nikola Tesla was issued, I believe, 11 various U.S. patents associated with
> "radio" fundamentals. These were issued to Nikola long before Marconi used
these
> very same inventions in popularizing "the radio." In subsequent patent law
suits,
> Nikola won and is "legally" considered to be the inventor of radio.

Similar in many respects to the question of who invented all-electronic
television. Farnsworth had the bright ideas first, got the patents,
successfully defended them against RCA/Sarnoff/Zworykin, and is hence the
"legal" inventor of all-electronic television, but to this day gets little
or no credit for it in standard historical accounts.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2196. Re: BC9000XLT Cell Images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <36a6416a.2328099@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 130698

On 19 Jan 1999 15:44:07 GMT, "Jon S. Van Allen"
<yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>
>AllanStern wrote:
>
>> What is the frequency range of the cell images in the BC9000XLT?
>
>Try 360.0 to 390.0 or so, in the Mil-Air band. (much to my dismay)
>
Don't forget to change the mode from AM to NFM.
>

2197. Re: 1.3GHz Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kes1198@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990120160003.21449.00000185@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 130699

I think it is for phones and stuff

2198. Re: SATS???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Hynde <ka8ddz@eaglequest.com>
Message-ID: <785fun$75c$1@smithers.eaglequest.com>
Source record: 130700

SHAWN wrote in message <784ni6$2qe$1@news.inc.net>...
>Ihave a bc895xlt with a external ant. can i pick up
>sats.(weather.amatuer,whatever) on it?

Well I'm not familiar with that particular scanner but thought I'd point out that most WX satellites are 15KHz wide and a "typical" NBFM (narrow band FM) scanner wont hear the entire signal and it won't decode. There is only one amateur satellite currently running FM so you'll only hear it for a few minutes at a time every 90minutes or so, the remainder of amateur satellites are CW, SSB, and digital and are not FM so there is not much there to hear. If your scanner has SSB capability however you may hear some of the amateur satellites on low passes, but to really hear much more you need relatively high gain antennas and pre-amps. Do a web search for amateur satellites, you'll find lots of info on freq's and required antennas.

Tim ka8ddz

2199. John Mackey - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Choco Boco <thumbs@meow.net>
Message-ID: <785gr2hcm1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130701

I know for a fact that the all mighty self-proclaimed king of radio Mackey has posted several thousand flames and off topic posts to this newsgroup.

And the proof will be coming all too soon.

What can you expect from a man in his mid-thirties who lives in Portland, has no job, no friends, no love life, and who's father knew he would never amount to a hill of beans.

But then again, he wasn't good enough for his father's love, why should we give him ours.

Choco

2200. BC895 RF Demodulator Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Brooke <ken@mitretek.org>
Message-ID: <36A64667.6984B5DF@mitretek.org>
Source record: 130702

I'm puzzled about something re my Trunktracker. I've read the various discriminator tap methods & looked at the several RF modem (dataslicers, actually just the "dem," not the "modem" function) designs, and will tackle a hookup this weekend.

But then something struck me! The Trunktracker already has a modem in it, for that's how it reads the control channel of the trunked system, in order to track it. I don't know if the little beastie is active all the time, or just during trunk tracking, but I'll bet it already can do everything the homebrews can, and then some.

Seems like someone with access to the closely-held service manual with schematics could readily answer this question. Based upon what the service rep said at Uniden, there's enough info in them to allow a knowledgable person to make some unauthorized mods, so they won't release them to such as I.

Maybe there might be a way to easily interface the TrunkTracker's RF modem with RS232 control signals, and to directly grab the digital output from it. A little wishful thinking gets me hoping for digital command controls and several modes (speeds?) of operation.

It just seems redundant to hook in another demodulator. Anyone know how I might be able to use the existing one?

Ken

2201. Re: Need Las Vegas Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A651EB.780D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130703

Blackjack Elliot wrote:
>
> I need Las Vegas freqs for freelance newshound. Anyone?
>
> Thanx!
>
> Blackjack Elliot
> Las Vegas, NV
>
> Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
> BlackjackElliot@webtv.net
>
> www.BlackjackElliot.com

Check out the following URLs:

http://www.cris.com/~Jhh/radio.htm
http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/travel/lasvegas.html
http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/casinolv.html
http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/nv.html

2202. Re: Sears Programmable Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gort001@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990120170759.06171.00000226@ng106.aol.com>
Source record: 130704

Up, I had one.. In fact i think it is still at my Brother in Laws in the mountains above fresno.... programing the cards was halve the fun....

but havent heard of card programmable for a long time...

2203. Re: ARCON Expert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@mail.blinx.de>
Message-ID: <36A65704.A4C724C7@mail.blinx.de>
Source record: 130705

j coyle wrote:

> Found a Russian Programme called ARCON expert with very little in way
> of English help files and instructions.for my Icom PCR 1000 has
> anybody come across this programme and can help me go further with it
> in the 10 minutes before cutoff happens
>
> thanks
>
> Jim

Give us the download-site. And when there are lots of PCR-users trying it
they may find out a lot....

odo

2204. Re: BC895 RF Demodulator Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <785likipf1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130706

Ken Brooke wrote in message <36A64667.6984B5DF@mitretek.org>...
>I'm puzzled about something re my Trunktracker. I've read the various
>discriminator tap methods & looked at the several RF modem (dataslicers,
>actually just the "dem," not the "modem" function) designs, and will
>tackle a hookup this weekend.
>
>But then something struck me! The Trunktracker already has a modem
>in it, for that's how it reads the control channel of the trunked
>system, in order to track it. I don't know if the little beastie is
>active all the time, or just during trunk tracking, but I'll bet it
>already can do everything the homebrews can, and then some.

Well, yes and no.

Yes, the PC interface can do things the homebrews don't, namely control your scanner. Using this interface, you can hook up your PC to the scanner, program it, automate searches, log frequency hit, all sorts of things. There's plenty of different software packages to try - I even wrote one.

But it doesn't do things like convert analog audio to digital data. That's where the homebrew interface comes in, and it's well worth the effort to install one.

-Phil

2205. WTB: TV-R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4weq@qsl.net
Message-ID: <36a85b0b.13559408@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 130707

Would like to buy or better swap (radios) for TV R7100.

dan
n4weq

2206. FA: Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John in Roseville CA 95678 <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <Aatp2.550$3u.7728816@nnrp2.ni.net>
Source record: 130708
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scanners for auction...

http://cgi3.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=john01999

2207. Re: Regency touch k 100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <785mvk$t5$1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 130709

In article <784dnsusv1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, Gspri@aol.com wrote:
>is there any mods for this old radio
>regency touch k100
>please please email me Gspri@aol.com
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

.I believe that if you even touch anything inside it will cease to work.

2208. Re: Trunk II Q?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <785mts$867$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 130710

I for one, would certainly like to hear a cost comaparison between what his rig "might" do and what the OptoCom does ALREADY.....

Robert P Dale wrote in message <782c6igcv1@news.megsinet.net>...
>I've heard people mention that the Trunktracker II will allow for
>simultaneous reception of conventional frequencies and trunked systems, but
>the press release from CEI doesn't mention that at all. Anyone have
>additional information?
>
>Rob
>
>

2209. New Grove Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMke4yqz@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36a662dc.15128303@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 130711

Who said I didn't know how to type? You're correct
and I screwed up the address!

It should be grove-ent.com and NOT grove-end.

Apologies to all if I messed you up in any way.

Roger Cravens
Atlanta, GA

---
ke4yqz[nospam]@mindspring.com (Roger D. Cravens, Jr.) wrote:

>I happened to be surfing the web the other day and happened to
>notice that Grove Enterprises has setup their new catalog on-line.
>
>The address is: www.grove-end.com
>
>Order something online will save a lot of time if you're in a hurry
>for something.
>
>I thought it was a good idea anyway!
>
>
>**
>* Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
>* John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
>**
>* Roger Cravens *
>* South East Signals Intelligence Group *
>* Atlanta, GA *
>**
>* Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
>**

****
* Liberty is NEVER an option ... only a condition to be lost! *
* John Birch Society: http://www.jbs.org *
****
* Roger Cravens *
* South East Signals Intelligence Group *
* Atlanta, GA *
****
* Signals Intelligence: "... and the truth will make you free"! *
****

2210. New Grove Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMke4yqz@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36a66207.14915024@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 130712

"Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>
>bfwinstead wrote:
>
>> Last week I sent 4 email inquiries to order@grove.net and failed
>> to get a response. Sorta blew my image of grove as a first-class outfit.
>
>I've had problems too, but try order@grove-ent.com and see if that
>works better. Grove is a first class outfit.
>
>Jon

That should do it! Grove has made some minor changes in their
address.

Roger Cravens
Atlanta, GA

2211. Re: CI-V / CI-5 protocol for scanners/radios ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <785of4$5ld@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The Opto-456/535 boards for the Radio Shack PRO-20xx scanners.
ICOM's R-8500, R10 scanners and some of there Amateur transceivers.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Mitch Martin wrote in message <36a625d2.11334627@news.mindspring.com>...
>Can anyone tell me exactly what radios/scanners have this CI-5 aka ?
>CI-V protocol.
>
>I hear that the new OptoCOMM has it, but do any others ?
>
>Mitch
>

2212. Re: What is the fastest search speed scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <785ov6$844@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130714

Have you tried computer controlled scanning? Under computer control you have your scanner search and log frequencies while your gone. Also under computer control I get about 60+ channels per second out of my PRO-2006 and Opto-456 combination. Also I can dump any found frequencies off to a second scanner and allow the first scanner to continue searching. One other thing that computer control scanning allows is the use of multiple search edges at the same time.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Doug Mason wrote in message ...
>I spend most of my time searching for new frequencies and do a lot of plain
>VFO range or edge searches (not 'scans' of set freqs).
>
>I'm from the Icom world where search and scan speed is dismal, my AOR 8K
>is a bit better at speed.
>
>What scanner (handheld or base) is just a super monster-fast scanner for
>doing stepped searches? I heard of these 300 steps/second scanners and
>when I tried some of the ones at Radio Shack that claim this speed it seems
>more like they are about 30/steps a second, about the same as my AOR.
>
>Is there some marketing tricks to make these claims or is it only in
certain
>frequency ranges that you can get this type of performance?
>
>My desire is to find a full-range scanner that I can put in my desired
>search edges and have it repeatedly search that range as fast as possible.
>I have a nice collection of scanners already, so this would be sort of
>purpose-made for searching.
>
>
>Any and all comments appreciated.
>
>
>--Doug
>
>--

>Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
>1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r
>

2213. Charlotte N.C. Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darrell <drayf@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <36A665B5.2B0530D1@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 130715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello,
Would appreciate a good list of frequencies for the Charl-
otte-Concord, N.C. area. Thank`s in advance......Darrell
Send to: drayf@roanoke.infi.net

2214. fs: u.s. aor 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: ibm <maclean02@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <785p5e$91q$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 130716
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

will sell for $450

2215. Re: Digital scanners, do they pick up digital celular signals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miller Liu <muller@tp.silkera.net>
Message-ID: <36A5AFC6.6A682832@tp.silkera.net>
Source record: 130717

If it's possible have such scanner with slot card running different
software to decode different digital signal, ex. MPT-1327 trunking
system, APCO-25 trunking system, Motorola DataDac mobile data system,
GSM900, GSM1800. These are current system running in Taiwan. All I to do
is just change optioal slot card like in AOR AR8200. Even downloading
decoding software to write into the card for versital use.
Do you think it works ?

> Ditto. I'm gonna put my chips on the table, too. I say that the first wave of
> digital scanners will be targetted at Motorola's ASTRO system, since that seems
> to be one of the most used digital systems in the USA. Where there's a market
> and demand, there shall be a supply, after clearing all legal issues. And,
> everybody is underestimating the demand for digital scanners, because no one
> really did a marketting survey, yet.
>
> talkyal

2216. Re: Mailing list ICOM-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF5vtzJ.5Ln@netcom.com>
Source record: 130718
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <783tcr$4oag$1@ns0.klm.nl>, Fabrizio <AvStrien@usa.net> wrote:

>where is the mailing list for IC-R2? I'm sure many readers of this NG
>would be interested to subscribe. Thanks in advance!

The only way I know how to join is to go to the web site that controls
the mailing lists:

http://www.onelist.com

The mailing list you are looking for is called "icomr2-owner". Once you
are joined you will get the messages, usually a handful a day. Lately the
discussion has been over alternative antenna choices for the R2.

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

2217. Re: What is the fastest search speed scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF5vtuE.4rz@netcom.com>
Source record: 130719

Thanks guys, computer control might be the way to go!

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

2218. Re: RD/LAP Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480002001991532040001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130720

In article <7856l6$e55$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Tom Jones wrote in message ...
> >Have you taken a look at the two level 4 FSK interfaces and the 9600/19.2
> >baud MDT proggie over on the Dutchman's Cape Canaveral site?
>
> Yes I did, I got an email a couple months ago about that one. The program
> doesn't work, it's basically MDT1234W coded for 19.2kbps. RD/LAP is an
> entirely different beast.
>
> I did print the pic's but I don't know how to prefab a board. Jack offered
> to send me a decoder, which I hope to receive soon, and then I can get
> things under way.

So THAT'S what the flaw was! Thanks for letting me know about that. The
reason was always a little vague as to why it wouldn't dcode properly. One
guy said one thing, and another guy would blame the interface, and all the
author would say was, "I dunno! I don't have anything to test it on."
(Which is probably true.)

Like I said to someone else, I didn't notice any of the source floating
around either - but I guess it wouldn't have done any good anyway, huh? Oh
well.
But, PUH-LEEZE let us all know when you get it written...and if there's
anything we can do to help.

There's sure plenty of 19.2 RD/LAP systems out here that need monitoring!

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2219. Re: 1.3GHz Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36A6773C.E5E29C48@ibm.net>
Source record: 130721

Ben wrote:
> I saw the Bearcat 3000xl, and was wonderin who in god's name
> broadcasts at anywhere's near 1.3GHz? is it worth even scanning
> these signals?

Surely you jest? The FCC has already allocated the full spectrum
up through 300 GHz at least! Read that again. 300 GHz!

As for the 1.3 GHz question, the 23cm ham band goes from
1.24 to 1.3 GHz. The high-end models from AOR and Yupiteru
go up to 2 GHz these days.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2220. Re: Computer Interface Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <36a6b314.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 130722

>Q: What does the scanner "look like" after it has been modified to
>interface with a computer? Is there a cable hanging off of the scanner, or
>is there a plug on the scanner to accept a cable??
>

What kind of scanner and computer interface?

If you're talking about an Opto board to use on a RS Pro scanner like the 2006, the only visible sign is a 9 pin serial connector on the back of the scanner where the battery cover was originally located. The battery is moved to inside of the scanner to make room for the serial connector. You attach the cable same as you would a printer or other external device. It looks very neat and "professional", and you even get a nifty Optoscan sticker to put across the top of the scanner if you so desire. The cable can be removed from the scanner at any time and function as though the board was never installed.
Other interfaces I am not so sure about...maybe others using them can enlighten both of us.

Dan

2221. Re: The Repair Shop at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <36a6b44c.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 130723

>
>> Anyone have any comments regarding Radio Shack's repair service? I have
an
>> out-of-warranty PRO-2006 scanner which needs work on the keypad. (you
have to
>> hammer the buttons to get them to work.) The scanner has been modified
for 800
>> mhz.

Their policy is not to do any repair work on a scanner that has been modified for cellular. Supposedly, they will return it untouched. Whether the **ACTUALLY** will repair it or not is another question. If you are dead set on returning the 2006 to them, then you might be best off installing (or resoldering,if possible) the diode that has been clipped before sending it to them. You can also order the parts directly from them and fix it yourself or find someone who can.

2222. Re: Does Probe 4.0 decode DTMF tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <36a6b7b6.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 130724

<nonspam@canada.com> wrote in message
news:36a38cbe.213853485@news.sprint.ca...
>That is the only question I have.

Works great on my full-version 456... The board does the actual decoding and Probe displays them nicely in their own little area on the screen. Works great with the PL tones also!
Remember Probe is a DOS program, so you don't get all the fancy little buttons and LED-style bargraphs, but it does everything it's supposed to do and does it quite well.

2223. MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <36A68EEF.55888DA8@INVALID.com>
Source record: 130725

I caught this in the national news section:

Mackey's allegedly involved in a civil litigation action against a Portland plastic surgeon.

It appears that Mackey and his boyfriend went prancing into the good doctor's office for some advice. It seems that Mackey's boyfriend wanted Mackey to get rid of his "love handles".

The doctor assured Mackey that it wouldn't be a problem and Mackey agreed to the elective surgery.

However, Mackey was less than thrilled to learn in post-op that his ears had been removed.

Don't that beat all? I SAID...DON'T THAT BEAT ALL!?!?!!?!?

hehe

2224. Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deepy@serv.net>
Message-ID: <36A6946C.F3032332@serv.net>
Source record: 130726

allsafe wrote:

> I caught this in the national news section:
>
> Mackey's allegedly involved in a civil litigation action against a
> Portland plastic surgeon.
>
> It appears that Mackey and his boyfriend went prancing into the good
> doctor's office for some advice. It seems that Mackey's boyfriend
> wanted Mackey to get rid of his "love handles".
>
> The doctor assured Mackey that it wouldn't be a problem and Mackey
> agreed to the elective surgery.
>
> However, Mackey was less than thrilled to learn in post-op that his ears
> had been removed.
>
> Don't that beat all? I SAID...DON'T THAT BEAT ALL!?!?!!?!?
>
> hehe

And what in God's Green Earth does this have to do with scanners?

Does anyone have frequencies for the television station news helicopters
in the Seattle Washington area?

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
--Central Control STS-11

2225. Re: Source for kits?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Hynde <ka8ddz@eaglequest.com>
Message-ID: <7869m9$7s8$1@smithers.eaglequest.com>
Source record: 130727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

opalko@usa.net wrote in message <785vhfbtd1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Ok, so I don't have my license yet, but I'm going to soon. My question is
>more general, however. Is there a source for receiver and transmitter kits
>like the old Heathkits? Does anyone make stuff like that anymore?
>
>On another note, is there a similar source for a scanner kit?
>
>Thanks,
>Bob

Ab-so-lutlely Bob, I don't have the url handy but do a search for NorCal QRP and go to the "commercial web pages" listing. You'll find a plethora of kits ranging from single conversion monobanders for $50 to the new K2 160-10 10 watt rig for about $550 or so. Probably a dozen or so kit manufactures.

73, Tim ka8ddz

2226. Re: TESLA everybody knows *that*!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul@geeky1.ebtech.net
Message-ID: <785qi0$188$1@geeky1.ebtech.net>
Source record: 130728
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

John Lundgren <NOlundgrejSPAM@mail.rsccd.org> writes:

>So basically what I'm saying is that if someone invents something, and
>doesn't patent it, and then someone else does patent it, the officially
>recognized inventor is the one with the patent.
>
But Tesla -DID- patent it, repeatedly. I have a book of his patents. He just
didn't patent any sparkgap stuff - which is what marconi was using. Tesla was
already into tuned circuits when Marconi was just getting started, but Tesla's
designs wheren't intended for transmitting data. Tesla wanted to transmit
power. I don't have a copy of Tesla's patents handy or I'd quote patent
numbers for you.

2227. Re: Lightning damage; was Attic Mount Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <36A6B0DB.28C788A0@globe-net.net>
Source record: 130729

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 20 Jan 1999 20:46:09 PDT

That's a VERY good point. Years ago I had a phone line run temporarily
between two rooms on the outside of the house (too hot in the summer
for attic ventures!) to allow hookup of a computer modem. Lightning
struck a nearby tree and the induced charge on the phone line exploded
every transistor in my external modem (just left the legs of the transistors),
burned the I/O chip in my printer and also in my computer. The computer
was unplugged from the wall but I had forgot about the phone line.
The modem was trashed but I was able to repair the other two items
myself. Lesson learned. Pull all the cables!

Robert in FL (lightning capital)
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

hurst_m@hotmail.com wrote:

> Your radio can still be damaged by a nearby lightning strike. While the
> antenna may be protected from a direct hit, strong electromagnetic fields
> created by nearby lightning will induce currents in your antenna, some of
> which can be strong enough to damage your radios. In fact, most equipment
> damaged by lightning, whether through power lines or antennas, occurs in this
> manner.

2228. Re: pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <36A6B2E7.C59A2D94@globe-net.net>
Source record: 130730
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 20 Jan 1999 20:54:50 PDT

You would have to bypass or fool the microprocessor.
All scanners produced now are required to be "not
easily modifiable for cellular reception". The companies
are doing a good job of meeting the Federal law.
Not saying it "can't" be done. Just it wouldn't be easy
and nothing has been published. Consider buying
a used older scanner that can be easily modified if
this is what you are trying to accomplish. Nothing says
you can only have just one scanner (well, maybe the
wife...).

To see which ones can be modified (with instructions),
visit this link:

http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods.html

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

jf wrote:

> anyone know how to unlock bands in the pro90? not possible?

2229. Re: Mailing list ICOM-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: james <mixer@usa.net>
Message-ID: <786htddgg1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 130731
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/icomr2

Here you go

James
Fabrizio <AvStrien@usa.net> wrote in message
news:783tcr$4oag$1@ns0.klm.nl...
>Hi Doug,
>
>where is the mailing list for IC-R2? I'm sure many readers of this NG
>would be interested to subscribe. Thanks in advance!
>
>Brgds, Fabrizio
>
>

2230. Uniden BC890XLT/BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan <bryan@epicentre.net>
Message-ID: <m2iue1gjyz.fsf@home.epicentre.net>
Source record: 130732

I was wondering what the exact differences were between
the models of Uniden BC890XLT and BC895XLT internally.
I know that the BC895XLT model is the trunk tracker, but
internally, are they similar? For academic reasons only, if
one were to 'modify' the 895XLT unit, and specifically not
the trunk ability, can it be done using the schematic of the
890XLT unit?

Thanks.

2231. Re: BC895 RF Demodulator Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Brooke <ken@ioip.com>
Message-ID: <36A6942A.B40E34D0@ioip.com>
Source record: 130733

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Ken Brooke wrote in message <36A64667.6984B5DF@mitretek.org>...
> >I'm puzzled about something re my Trunktracker. I've read the various
> >discriminator tap methods & looked at the several RF modem (dataslicers,
> >actually just the "dem," not the "modem" function) designs, and will
> >tackle a hookup this weekend.
> >
> >But then something struck me! The Trunktracker already has a modem
> >in it, for that's how it reads the control channel of the trunked
> >system, in order to track it. I don't know if the little beastie is
> >active all the time, or just during trunk tracking, but I'll bet it
> >already can do everything the homebrews can, and then some.
>
> Well, yes and no.
>
> Yes, the PC interface can do things the homebrews don't, namely control your
> scanner. Using this interface, you can hook up your PC to the scanner,
> program it, automate searches, log frequency hit, all sorts of things.
> There's plenty of different software packages to try - I even wrote one.
>
> But it doesn't do things like convert analog audio to digital data. That's
> where the homebrew interface comes in, and it's well worth the effort to
> install one.
>
> -Phil

Yes, I understand about the computer interface, and have also been playing around with rolling my own little Visual Basic program. I also have been inside

the case and got the discriminator tap all located,and have bought my parts to build and install my own dataslicer. I have had some success just working with the audio output, but it obviously is not the answer. Now that I have screwed up
my courage to chance voiding the warranty and screwing up some of those sensitive parts on the scanner's circuit board, I was hoping that someone might just

be able to ID where the scanner's demodulator was. Of course, it's just an educated guess on my part that such a thing exists.

Maybe some kind soul with the right schematics and some time on their hands can answer my question. I figured it was worth a shot, anyway.

-regards,

Ken

2232. Re: SATS??? & BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Brooke <ken@ioip.com>
Message-ID: <36A69B64.ABF1FEF5@ioip.com>
Source record: 130734

This reminded me of something in the Uniden software docs. The
listed possible responses to the "RM" command include AM, NFM,
and WFM, with a note that wideband FM is not supported. Seems
like a feature that was contemplated, but not implemented. But,
it might mean that there's a hidden feature in there. Or, that the
software will support it, but the hardware won't. I'd be curious to
learn more.

Ken

Tim Hynde wrote:

> SHAWN wrote in message <784ni6$2qe$1@news.inc.net>...
> >Ihave a bc895xlt with a external ant. can i pick up
> >sats.(weather.amatuer,whatever) on it?
>
> Well I'm not familiar with that particular scanner but thought I'd point out
> that most WX satellites are 15KHz wide and a "typical" NBFM (narrow band FM)
> scanner wont hear the entire signal and it won't decode. There is only one
> amateur satellite currently running FM so you'll only hear it for a few
> minutes at a time every 90minutes or so, the remainder of amateur satellites
> are CW, SSB, and digital and are not FM so there is not much there to hear.
> If your scanner has SSB capability however you may hear some of the amateur
> satellites on low passes, but to really hear much more you need relatively
> high gain antennas and pre-amps. Do a web search for amateur satellites,
> you'll find lots of info on freq's and required antennas.
>
> Tim ka8ddz

2233. Uniden BC890XLT/895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan <X@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7857ue$ml6@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 130735

I was wondering what the exact differences were between
the models of Uniden BC890XLT and BC895XLT internally.
I know that the BC895XLT model is the trunk tracker, but
internally, are they similar? For academic reasons only, if
one were to 'modify' the 895XLT unit, and specifically not
the trunk ability, can it be done using the schematic of the
890XLT unit?

Thanks.

2234. Re: Does Probe 4.0 decode DTMF tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36ad4455.427906174@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130736

On Mon, 18 Jan 1999 19:35:00 GMT, nonspam@canada.com wrote:

>That is the only question I have.

If you have the proper OS456 board or an OS535

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2235. Re: Digital Scanner,do they exist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36ab4272.427423429@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130737

On Tue, 19 Jan 1999 00:16:01 GMT, thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL
one!)) wrote:

>On 18 Jan 1999 18:28:40 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
>
>> No one sells digital scanners because they don't exist, and when they
>>eventually are made there is a specific prohibition in federal law that
>>prevents them from being able to convert digital cellular transmissions to
>>analog voice audio. In other words, it ain't gonna happen, so get a life.
>
>APCO25 digital (including current Motorola Astro systems) IS NOT ENCRYPTED,
>thus does NOT fall under that section of Federal law, and WILL not fall under it as
>it stands now.

You should have read the question more thoroughly...

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2236. Re: police Frequiencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36ae4508.428085189@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130738

On Tue, 19 Jan 1999 01:06:21 GMT, mm505@mindspring.com (505) wrote:

>"David Skinner" <dgskin@ibl.bm> wrote, and I quote:
>
>>Can any one Help,
>>I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
>>Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
>>I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
>>Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
>>I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
>>Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Frequencies, and I,m sure they
>>are also scrabbling it
>>Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
>>can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
>>transmissions.
>
>>, and I,m sure they
>>are also scrabbling it
>>Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
>>can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
>>transmissions.
>
>>, and I,m sure they
>>are also scrabbling it
>>Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment), that I
>>can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
>>transmissions.
>
>
>
>First, stop taking drugs. A true journalist would never let anything
>like this be published.

Well he is a photojurnolist...and with no picture he was stuck with
the thousand word deal...

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2237. Re: Soundcard Programs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36b04919.429126899@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130739

On Tue, 19 Jan 1999 21:55:31 GMT, alanmcferrin@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>Has anyone seen programs that decode Motorola or Ericsson Trunked systems or
>FLEX via the soundcard?? (I've seen this question, never saw the answer and
>can't find it! sorry)

Not yet...

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2238. Re: PRO-64 interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: foresterNOSPAM@usit.net
Message-ID: <36a748d9.107774631@news.usit.net>
Source record: 130740

On 19 Jan 1999 19:22:53 GMT, "tracy jones" <tracyjones@cinergy.com>
wrote:

>As usual, the salespeople at Radio Scraps were worthless in my attempt to
>get an interface kit for my PRO-64. What comes with this 'kit'? Does
>anyone know the part number?
>
>Please reply private, as I don't hang here very often.
>

Don't bother with RadioShack. Check out:

http://www.clark.net/~kplotkin/scanner.htm

Jack
--
Please remove the "NOSPAM" when replying by e-mail.

2239. MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: pmohazzabi@surfree.com
Message-ID: <783r0bflj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130741

Where can I find a software so can decode RD-Lap MDT?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2240. Re: Pro-2035/2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A55246.9138830@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130742

zaaap wrote:

> What happened to these scanners? Was there a problem with them? Why
> did RS discontinue them.

RS discontinued them not because of problems, but because of one PR blunder after another to the point that RS no longer sells ANY scanners capable of receiving the Mil-Air band (225-400 MHz). Like most of their sales droids, RS top management is fast becoming incompetent. Their once proud fleet of highly regarded scanners has been reduced to stripped-down, recycled Uniden and a few low-end GRE models.

The Pro-2035 did have some problems, which were addressed in the very capable ro-2042. The 2042 lives on not at Radio Shack, but in Optoelectronics Optocom which is essentially the guts from a 2042 and Opto OS-535 board integrated into one unit. Folks who have received their Optocoms (including me) have been raving about the RF performance, which kicks butt on everything else they've used. What else needs to be said about the 2042?

We can only hope that Radio Shack gets their heads out of their butts and starts selling scanners for serious hobbyists instead of for the uneducated masses, which is their policy now. The Pro-2050 is a good point. Since RS policy of excluding the 225-400 MHz band was enacted, they had Uniden build a mobile version of the Pro-90 which does not include that band or computer control. And for more money!

Also, their out-and-out press release lie about their meeting with the FCC and subsequent decision to not make service manuals available to the public for certain scanners which "might" be modified for cellular reception is the icing on the cake of insideous thinking. They are flat-out lying about the gist of that meeting. The FCC did not ask them to pull the service manuals from public access. RS top management cowers at the slightest suggestion from
the feds, as they did with the HTX-204 (ADI-600) which was keyboard modifiable to transmit out of band. They pulled the whole damn lot from shelves at who knows what
cost.

Radio Shack has managed to bewilder their faithful following of dedicated fans of the Pro-2004/05/06, 2035/2042, Pro-26 and Pro-43 handhelds and other highly regarded scanners in favor of stripped down products. In short, upper management's policy is: "money talks, bullshit walks".

I found one of the last Pro-2042's in the Western US for $199 last week to go along with my 2004, 2006 and Optocom. There won't be any more

decent offerings from RS for a looooong time, if ever.

Jon

2241. FS: Radio Shack DX-394

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: erikd@icehouse.net
Message-ID: <783s5e$gi9$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130743

Excellent condition general coverage receiver. Everything works. Asking $140 plus shipping. Email me for more details.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2242. FS: Radio Shack Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: erikd@icehouse.net
Message-ID: <783saoglp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130744

Excellent condition. covers 25Mhz - 1300Ghz (minus cellular). This one has the epoxy, so the VDC mod will be more difficult to do, if you so desire. Asking $160 plus shipping.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2243. Re: RD/LAP Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36bc637f.435885621@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130745

On Tue, 19 Jan 1999 16:26:13 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Haven't gotten any email or seen any posts on here in a while about it so I
>thought I'd rekindle the fire, so to speak.

Damn good idea!

>So far the only thing preventing me from writing this application is not
>knowing how to build the interface or not knowing what audio frequencies
>coming off the sound card make up the binary 0,1,2 or 3. So if anyone has
>info on the interface (please, no schematics - they are greek to me - dammit
>Jim, I'm a programmer, not a engineer! ;-) I require an actual parts list,
>pictures of the assembly process, and english instructions) please send
>away. Bill Cheek is offering these for $5, which is a good price, so if no
>one can help, no biggie. I'll get the details from him (I'm planning on
>doing so regardless).

If that's all stopping you from writing it, I will send you a working
four level interface for free.

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2244. Re: Astro / APCO25 Digital Scanner Project Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990120002854.02845.00001186@ng144.aol.com>
Source record: 130746

>From: apco25_scanner@hotmail.com

>Its comming along, I can just about parse all the raw data fields (Voice
>Logical Data Unit 1 and 2, Stand Alone Link Control Units, Confirmed Data
>Units, Unconfirmed Data Units). I can see what happens (Unit ID's,
>Status/Message Requests/Responses) but just cant hear Voice. I have the
>(144/88 bit) IMBE voice packets but need a DVSI IMBE vocoder board to turn
>the bits into audio (This is a project in itself).

1. Are you simulating the incoming transmissions by modulating your own ASTRO radio communications, or are you intercepting real-time police communications? Would you be compromising yourself if you told which ASTRO system you are intercepting?
(I'm just curious if it's Michigan State Police, heh heh)

2. How expensive do you estimate the IMBE Vocoder portion of the project ?

>But of course a Hp
>Communications Analyzer and Hp Signal Generator would cost me about $70,000,
>I had to borrow the equipment from my professors RF lab.

How much would the general public be willing to pay for an APCO-25 compliant digital scanner?
$500
$1000
$1500
$2000
$3000..... I say the cut-off is $3000, which would be ridiculous anyway, but what the hell.

talkyal

2245. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <783q23mdi0@208.207.68.9>
Source record: 130747

On Tue, 19 Jan 1999 08:38:39 -0800, "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:

>Good job John. You are really stepping up to the plate and finally taking
>responsibility for your actions. You must be commended, or your Doctor
>should for getting you back on medications. One step at a time John. The NG
>is behind you and your future recovery.
>
>
Absolutly, We are all proud of John, for admitting that he has been
the disruptive force in the newsgroup for such a long time. I have
also forwarded those admissions to some of the ISP's that he has
routinely complained to, so that they can see how much John is
improving with proper medication.

Lets just hope that he can continue the forward motion!

2246. Re: best scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <nospam@usa.net>
Message-ID: <783p1k$3vd$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 130748

>Jason Leland Plett wrote in message <28112-36A5521A-87@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
>What is the frequency of light?

What colour?

2247. Re: Code Grabbers RE; Garage Opened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: charly@xmission.com
Message-ID: <36a58204.3473023@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 130749

The other thing to do is order PPV movies for them when they go out to dinner.....

Right throught the window with my remote....Ha ..ha...ha....

A real gut buster ....

On Sat, 16 Jan 1999 22:14:23 GMT, Code Grabber <"Code Grabber"@yourgarage.com> wrote:

>Dino wrote:
>>
>> Doug Mason wrote:
>> >
>> > In article <34B7FA1F.58D6@swbell.net>, holtz <holtz2@swbell.net> wrote:
>> > >The reason I'm asking is someone opened my garage while I was out
>> > >of town.
>> > >
>> > >They must've intercepted the codes because it was supposedly a "secure"
>> > >automatic garage door opener.
>> >Just turn the power to the garage door opener off when you are away and turn it back on when you get back. Hello?
>>
>> Dino

2248. Re: Newbie Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <36A59B52.5700@compuserve.com>
Source record: 130750

Some questions you need to answer for us:

1. Are you scanning conventional or trunked systems?
2. Have you turned the squelch control so that the speaker just goes
silent (i.e. no static)? Have you then waited a while to see if a
signal appears and the speaker sounds?
3. Have you attached the antenna that came with the unit?
4. Are you attempting to monitor from inside a steel building?
5. Have you tried pressing the SVC key to receive National Weather
Service broadcasts? Can you receive those?

bharrin75@erols.com wrote:
>
> I was wondering if anyone out there could help me---i just
> purchased a Radio Shack "PRO-91 150 Channel handheld Truncking
> Scanner", my main motavation was to listen to ATC from Washington
> National, which is only about 10 miles from my house; however, I can't
> seem to pick anything up on this thing. I have the fewquencies that I
> need but all I get is static. I even tried to listen to the police
> and fire bands but to no avail---what am I doing wrong? Any help
> would be appreciated--
>
> Thanks in advance

2249. Re: New 8200 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: charly@xmission.com
Message-ID: <36a682b0.3644811@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 130751

You mean Listening Post......that doesn't work !!!!!

On Mon, 18 Jan 1999 19:03:29 GMT, neilxxbell@value.net (Neil) wrote:

>Anyone with an 8200 Scanner should try out Ben Saladino's "Radio
>Manager". His newly released 8200 support for memory management, scan
>bank editing, search ranges, etc. is FAR better than the AOR released
>crashware from Simon Collings.

2250. Re: Looking for source of paging frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36a875a5.440532346@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130752
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 20 Jan 1999 03:54:34 GMT, dolphinride@iname.com wrote:

>
>
>
> In Florida. How does one get them>

You scan from 929.0 - 932.0 MHz and you will find tons.
They can also be found in the 151.0 MHz and 450.0 MHz range

You could also search the many frequency databases around.

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2251. Re: Mailing list ICOM-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabrizio <AvStrien@usa.net>
Message-ID: <783tcr$4oag$1@ns0.klm.nl>
Source record: 130753
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Doug,

where is the mailing list for IC-R2? I'm sure many readers of this NG
would be interested to subscribe. Thanks in advance!

Brgds, Fabrizio

2252. Re: FS: Radio Shack DX-394

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990120012934.01071.00001532@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 130754

heheeh ya

2253. Re: Scanner for exclusively for Cell Phone use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me@harpoon.place
Message-ID: <36a677cc.7167757@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 130755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Mon, 18 Jan 1999 07:50:24 GMT, mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:

>I figured I was better off getting an affordable first scanner to make
>sure I enjoy it before I dive into something like the AR8200.
>
>So, I have ordered a BC235xlt to get me started and cover my trunking
>and regular scanning, but now I'm looking for a good scanner to use
>exclusively for cell scanning.
>
>Suggestions...? An old 200xlt or 220xlt that's still in good shape
>and pre ban?
>
>Everyone on here has been a tremendous help in my quest for knowledge.
>I'm just disappointed that it will be some time before I'm able to
>give back and contribute to the wealth of information available here!
>
>Thanks again!
>
>Mike

For my #2 radio I wanted something that would get cell so I bought a pro-34. Then I bought a 200xlt liked it better and sold the pro-34. Then a girl I worked with wanted her own cell scanner I found her a pro-46. It was better than my 200xlt. After using her radio I bought a pro-23 and sold the 200xlt to someone else at work.

My recomendation a RS pro-23 (50 channel), pro-46 (100 channel) or a pro-51 (200 channel). It you get one of these radios that can be modified all you have to do is hold down 3 buttons while turning it on and the cell block if foiled.

Please see http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods.html to find out which scanners can me "fixed"

2254. Re: alinco dr140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabrizio <AvStrien@usa.net>
Message-ID: <7840vk$42i$1@ns0.klm.nl>
Source record: 130756

Nel foro REC.RADIO.SCANNER si parla Inglese ... Saluti; Fabrizio

lucab wrote in message <77tncqbrm1@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>...
>come si allarga la banda rx del dr140Alinco??
>73 de iw4 dqx Luca

2255. Re: Query? yup 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabrizio <AvStrien@usa.net>
Message-ID: <7840vm$42i$2@ns0.klm.nl>
Source record: 130757

Depending on state and accessories, $150 (visibly used ones incl.
manual)
to $225 (unblocked, mint, all accessories plus manual and protective
case).

Brgds, Fabrizio.

CWest69521 wrote in message
<19990118153743.22661.00000420@ng95.aol.com>...
|does anyone know whats this unit is worth used?
|
|
|Thanks

2256. Re: What is the fastest search speed scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabrizio <AvStrien@usa.net>
Message-ID: <7841ep$2ofc$1@ns0.klm.nl>
Source record: 130758

AOR AR-3000A is definitely not the fastest base scanner (50 ch/s),
but when compared to the 100 ch/s Bearcats, it just never, *never*
misses a signal, whereas Bearcat may sometimes skip transmissions
which are definitely there. Remember that the squelch circuitry needs
some time to evaluate the presence of a valid signal. By shortening
this time (as done at turbo speeds) some transmissions may be
considered RF noise only, where it actually is a (possibly weak)
transmission.

I definitely stick to my AR-3000A to find new frequencies, then use
any other scanner to program these frequencies in.

However, one sure pity thing with the AR-3000A is that it lacks an
autostore facility, so you need to stay close to your receiver to catch
new frequencies.

Hope this helps?

Brgds, Fabrizio.

Doug Mason wrote in message ...
>I spend most of my time searching for new frequencies and do a lot of
plain
>VFO range or edge searches (not 'scans' of set freqs).
>
>I'm from the Icom world where search and scan speed is dismal, my AOR
8K
>is a bit better at speed.
>
>What scanner (handheld or base) is just a super monster-fast scanner
for
>doing stepped searches? I heard of these 300 steps/second scanners and
>when I tried some of the ones at Radio Shack that claim this speed it
seems
>more like they are about 30/steps a second, about the same as my AOR.
>
>Is there some marketing tricks to make these claims or is it only in
certain
>frequency ranges that you can get this type of performance?
>
>My desire is to find a full-range scanner that I can put in my desired
>search edges and have it repeatedly search that range as fast as
possible.
>I have a nice collection of scanners already, so this would be sort of
>purpose-made for searching.
>

>
>Any and all comments appreciated.
>
>
>--Doug
>
>--
>Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
>1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

2257. Re: Radio Shack 20-014 Base Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: fifty6k@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990120035351.09329.00001151@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 130759
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

<>

You got had I got mine for 6.95.

Another thing about these Shack close outs, you gotta ask, most RS's don't put the Close outs out on the sales floor any more.

3's Rob

2258. Regency touch k 100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gspri@aol.com
Message-ID: <784dnsusv1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130760

is there any mods for this old radio
regency touch k100
please please email me Gspri@aol.com

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2259. FS: Motorola SP50 VHF/Icom ICq7a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <36A5B77A.2DF571A1@bv.net>
Source record: 130761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,alt.private.investigator

I have a MINT, condition VHF SP50 unit, barely used, 10/10 condition, Model # P94YQT20A3AA, 2 channel, 5Watt with good
battery, drop in charger and antenna. I will sell for $200. plus shipping, let mek now if interested.

Additionally: I have a Mint condition, 2 week old, modified Icom IC-Q7A dual band Transceiver, receives from 30-1200MHz (less

cell), TX 130-174MHz & 400-470MHz, great 200 channel radio/Scanner. With antenna, clip, box and manual. Sell for $175.00. I
also have software to extend range to .5-2GHz approx. You'll need cable...or I just bought a VX-1R cable which should work,
I'll sell for $25 extra

GE Portamobils (2), with handsets, chargers and batteries. Low VHF (2 channel 46.8/46.9MHz) Appear to work, I have no
antennas, sell for $50 pair

Prepayment via Postal MO or COD preferred.

Questions or photos? Email me at:
mailto:mcguyver@bv.net

2260. Re: Regency MX-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <naA4LMAGrbp2Ew97@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 130762

In article <36A54BEA.3DC@bellatlantic.net>, Tom Rowan
<trowan@bellatlantic.net> writes

Hi Tom,

>I have a Regency MX 7000 , and I just noticed that the power supply is
>made by AOR . Was this radio made by AOL (duh) ? Are there any mods
>for it ??

Regency MX-5000 = AOR AR2001
Regency MX-8000 = AOR AR2002

The MX-7000 was a little "odd ball" and had the 800-1300MHz coverage
found on the MX-8000/AR2002 but in the MX-5000/AR2001 case and therefore
had the "touch keypad" rather than the buttons on the later version.

Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K.	AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Commtel 205/216/510, Icom IC-R2/R10/PCR1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627 \ Realistic PRO-26/2042, Standard AX400B
Clough@javiation.co.uk \ UBC-3000XLT/9000XLT, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000
http://www.javiation.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000
\--/

2261. Re: TESLA everybody knows *that*!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scotty <scotty@i-plus.net>
Message-ID: <01be446d29704460cf1564d1@oldies-105>
Source record: 130763
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

Matthew Davies <darkstars@earthlink.net> wrote in article
<77ruq7$4cd$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>
> Trust me, my mother the pidgeon told me so.
>
> Matthew

Agreed.

Nikola Tesla was the very first, by almost a decade, to invent radio.
Initially, he used multi-frequency magnetic oscillators to remote-control
motorized, battery-powered boats. This was in the 1890's! He also
invented the wireless telegraph, so he invented radio communication.

Marconi was NOT the inventor. He was a developer who got sponsors on-board
and popularized the technology. Several years ago, the United Nations, at
the behest of Tesla's surviving family members, issued a statement to the
effect that Tesla, Not Marconi, was responsible for the invention of radio.

Among the great inventors other achievements: Alternating Current, and
Flourescent Lighting.

--ScottyM
scottymatthewsNOSPAM@usa.net

2262. Re: antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison <ka8tok@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <36A5CE48.B8977048@four-way.net>
Source record: 130764

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 20 Jan 1999 04:39:07 PDT

1/4 wave ground plane.234/f(mhz)=ft *12 for inches. one vertical and 3 or 4
ground radials.

Michel De Kerpel wrote:

> How can I make the best antenna for 150mhz-170mhz for under roof mounting.
> I have a super discone now but is there a better type ant. for this specific
> freq.?
> Thanks

2263. Re: Astro / APCO25 Digital Scanner Project Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: andrew Eckman <andrew@eckmans.com>
Message-ID: <784l9o$c2a$1@news0-alterdial.uu.net>
Source record: 130765

>1. Are you simulating the incoming transmissions by modulating your own
ASTRO
>radio communications, or are you intercepting real-time police
communications?
>Would you be compromising yourself if you told which ASTRO system you are
>intercepting?
>(I'm just curious if it's Michigan State Police, heh heh)

LETS HOPE!!!

>
>2. How expensive do you estimate the IMBE Vocoder portion of the project ?
>
>>But of course a Hp
>>Communications Analyzer and Hp Signal Generator would cost me about
$70,000,
>>I had to borrow the equipment from my professors RF lab.
>
>How much would the general public be willing to pay for an APCO-25
compliant
>digital scanner?
>$500
>$1000
>$1500
>$2000
>$3000........ I say the cut-off is $3000, which would be ridiculous
anyway,
>but what the hell.

I'd be willing to pay a lot for this scanner, however I would hope it will
be able to receive better than their own Motorola crap radios! I've been
in search of a APCO-25 compliant scanner just for the MSP state wide
trunking system.

Andy.

2264. Re: MDT frequencies below 512 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <784m4o$12p$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 130766

http://home.earthlink.net/~viperssss/

2265. Re: Trunk II Q?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdgordon@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990120085041.18705.00000023@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 130767

I believe one of the reports from the CES was that the software that would allow the simultaneous reception of two trunked systems is still being developed and is not firm yet.

cdgordon@aol..com

2266. SATS???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <784ni6$2qe$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 130768

Ihave a bc895xlt with a external ant. can i pick up
sats.(weather.amatuer,whatever) on it?

2267. Re: Florida Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael & Laurie Holland <holland@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <36A59D0A.B2DD313A@magicnet.net>
Source record: 130769

In the area that you will be going to I can tell you that for the most part, your sheriff, city municipalities have indeed gone to 800mhz trunked systems of the Motorola variety with the exception of Hillsborough County and Tampa City PD who can't get a trunked system worth a darn to function properly for them due to the number of high rises in the downtown Tampa area and also due to the systems they have ordered keep getting messed up for one reason or the other. Charlotte county, Manatee County and Sarasota County though all have a fully functional system and yes it is a Motorola.
The Florida Highway Patrol uses the old 154.685, 154.695, 154.920, 154.790 mhz. range still but are due to change over to the trunked system by the year 2000 state wide whereas most of the other regions to include the regions east, north and south of the West Coast have already changed over theirs.
Hope this helps you and enjoy your journey and the choice of scanners was a good one.
Michael W. Holland Sr.

Bob Schoenleber wrote:

> I'm planning a trip to Florida in March and need to know if a
> Trunktracker scanner would be advantageous. I'll be spending most of
> the 3 weeks slowly working my way up the west coast (Naples to north
> of Clearwater). I'm aware that much of Florida has gone trunked but
> need to know if a majority of the agencies are Motorola. Any other
> thoughts would be appreciated.
>
> thanks.....bob

2268. Re: Rat Shack Discone scanner antena or HRO scanner antena for +30$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael & Laurie Holland <holland@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <36A59A86.AD3140EE@magicnet.net>
Source record: 130770

I happen to own the Radio Shack or Rat Shack (as you so refer to them as) and I am pleasantly pleased with it's construction, look and performance overall. I live down here in Florida where the humidity is high year round and I have had no problems with rust, or stuck bolts or screws on the entire assembly and I particularly enjoyed putting it together in 10 minutes and hoisting it up onto 5 4 foot sections of Radio Shack poles $4.99 each section and I am pleased to announce that I am able to hear on my Radio Shack PRO-2038 and PRO 26 scanners (base and handheld models respectively) all NOAA Weather frequencies from Jacksonville to Miami and a plethora of 800 Mhz trunked frequencies of Law Enforcement agencies from Tampa to Melbourne, Florida as well as many Amateur Radio frequencies from Sarasota to Cocoa Beach as well as the local frequencies and I am located in a town just 8 miles west of Orlando called Winter Garden, Florida and I use the antenna to also transmit on 2 meters, 10 meters and 6 meters as I am an Amateur Radio Operator myself.
Take this for what it is worth that you cannot nor will not go wrong with the Radio Shack Discone Scanner/Ham Base Station antenna RS Cat. No. 20-043 unless you are just partial to the other antenna you were discussing or the price just turns you off.
For the money I paid for it, $59.99/tax; it is and has been worth every penny and out performs the old Wilson I had and the Cushcraft as well. But this is only one person's opinion on the matter and I do hope you get more responses to this pressing issue of yours.
Good Luck and the best of scanning and DX to you.
Michael W. Holland Sr.
KA2NUB (waiting on new issued callsign for Region 4 since I just moved here last year)

Mark - N3XL wrote:

> In article <36997e46.45037837@netnews.worldnet.att.net>, sandman-
> 1nobots@worldnet.att.net. says...
> > I am going to buy a discone antena but I would like to know if the
> > Radio Shack antena is the same antena that HRO sells, It says its
> > stainless but I have a cheap RS antena now and it fell apart so the
> > discone is $59 at RS and $89 at HRO..
> We're only talking $30
>
> but NO ONE has elaborated why the Diamond is better!
>
> The stinger on the R/S is for 6 meters(50Mhz)
>
> Look for yourself. We agree on one thing, they are not the same price!
>
> Regards, Mark - N3XL

> n3xl@fast.net

2269. Re: Astro / APCO25 Digital Scanner Project Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: apco25_scanner@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <784tgb$cb6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130771

In article <19990120002854.02845.00001186@ng144.aol.com>,
talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal) wrote:
> >From: apco25_scanner@hotmail.com
>
> >Its comming along, I can just about parse all the raw data fields (Voice
> >Logical Data Unit 1 and 2, Stand Alone Link Control Units, Confirmed Data
> >Units, Unconfirmed Data Units). I can see what happens (Unit ID's,
> >Status/Message Requests/Responses) but just cant hear Voice. I have the
> >(144/88 bit) IMBE voice packets but need a DVSI IMBE vocoder board to turn
> >the bits into audio (This is a project in itself).
>
> 1. Are you simulating the incoming transmissions by modulating your own ASTRO
> radio communications, or are you intercepting real-time police communications?
> Would you be compromising yourself if you told which ASTRO system you are
> intercepting?
> (I'm just curious if it's Michigan State Police, heh heh)

Originally I was planning on sniffing a ASTRO system by just hooking up the demod out of my scanner to my DSP board that recovers the symbols. I realized that it was better to use a more precise receiver so that I could tune my receiving algorithms on my DSP board. So this is what I did, I tuned the Hp Comm Analyzer to the Tx freq of the astro saber (through a load of course...) and then feed the demod out into my DSP receiver board. Now that I have the DSP receiver board optimized I switched to my good old Radio Shack Pro-51 scanner. I tried both the Headphone jack and Demod out from th Pro-51. I seem to get about the same results on both (about -95dB sensitivity). I have been told by others in the newsgroups that I should use a Motorola Spectra Mobile and tap off the demod out. The Spectra has a -120dB sensitivity, and also have been told that I can feed my baseband signal back into the spectra's PA so that I could transmit. If I could find a cheap Analog Spectra mobile I will try this.

By the way I can intercept real-time radio transmissions. The State of Michigan Astro system is suppose to be completely digital. But currently the trunking control channels are Analog (3600bps, which is compatible with the trunking tracker, except you cannot hear any analog voice, you only hear astro 4-level). All the State of Michigan sites are being converted over to Digital 9600bps control channels, the voice channels are all Astro 9600bps LDU's encoded in IMBE.

I have been able to listen in on some of the new 9600bps digital control channels. The data is 1/2 rate trellis encoded data blocks. It seems that all they did was to convert the 3600 analog control channel signalling into 9600bps Link Control Data packets and then encode them with a rate 1/2 trellis encoding. my sniffer can listen to both Astro voice and Astro control

channels.

The DSP board I am using is the Motorola 56002EVM, it retails $149 and can be purchased from Arrow Electronics. You get a student discount if you tell them its being used in a class project. This is a nice eval board, it has a very clean crystal codec and has line in/out, and mic in jacks. All you have to do is to feed your scanners audio out into this DSP board. My program that runs on this DSP board will automatically start searching for the digital sync patterns, once the volume levels are tuned properly you will get a clean bitstream. If you feed the audio out into a scope you will see a 4 level FM signal, looks like 4 super imposed sine waves that bounce around 4 distinct levels. During the beginning and end of Astro transmissions there is a distinct pattern that can be both heard and seen on the scope. My software uses this pattern to tune itself.

I guess i will be shopping around for a Motorola Spectra mobile, like to see if I can really generate a baseband signal clean enough to me modulated by the spectra (at 50 watts...hee...hee..) and received by the Astro saber.

>
> 2. How expensive do you estimate the IMBE Vocoder portion of the project ?
>
> >But of course a Hp
> >Communications Analyzer and Hp Signal Generator would cost me about $70,000,
> >I had to borrow the equipment from my professors RF lab.
>
> How much would the general public be willing to pay for an APCO-25 compliant
> digital scanner?
> $500
> $1000
> $1500
> $2000
> $3000........ I say the cut-off is $3000, which would be ridiculous anyway,
> but what the hell.
>
> talkyal
>

This is how I can hear IMBE audio without DVSI's IMBE vocoder board. I first capture a Astro Voice channel and record it with my scanner/DSP platform. Then I play it back through a Hp signal generator that is tuned to the receive frequency of a astro saber. What i hear out of the Astro saber is what I originally recorded. Now I know this is kind of backwards, people say why not just program in the freq's into the Astro Saber and just listen in realtime... That isnt the point, the point is I wanted to develop a Astro protocol Sniffer, I can add IMBE voice decoding to this at a latter time.

Well the IMBE vocoder board costs about $1000, go to www.dvsi.com and see for yourself. The DSP board I used costs $149, and you need a good receiver (scanner or spectra mobile). And of course you need my software.

Note I am not selling anything, I would say If I were to sell this with IMBE voice the parts would cost me at least $1150, I would probably ask for $1500 (the user would provide there own receiver). But again I am not out to make money, this is a nice project that test my ability to intercept, decipher, and understand. I might just release the software for free, the user would provice the required hardware.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2270. Re: Florida Scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990120092328.01146.00000018@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 130772

>Hillsborough County and Tampa City PD who can't get a trunked system
>worth a darn to function properly for them due to the number of high
>rises in the downtown Tampa area and also due to the systems they have

While it's true Hillsborough County has a problem with their EDACS system the problem is in the eastern, rural part of the county, not downtown. The city of Tampa has never been a part of that system and is still using UHF conventional frequencies for police and VHF for fire.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

2271. Houston Area Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joshua Carroll <jcarroll@nol.net>
Message-ID: <36A5E853.869BEF82@nol.net>
Source record: 130773

I have alot of web pages for Houston Area scanning, but of course, there are some frequencies that are not published. If anyone that lives in Houston and has their frequency list on a word processor, please email it to me (MSWorks or text).

Thanks for the help,

--
Joshua Carroll
mailto:jcarroll@nol.net

During severe weather, seconds can save lives!
Buy a NOAA Weather radio to keep you and your family ahead of the storm.
For more information on this broadcast, visit http://www.nws.noaa.gov/nwr

(Sig-file updated 01/19/1999)
--

2272. Help to choose a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sotiris Antoniou <samant@ath.forthnet.gr>
Message-ID: <784qi2$6lm$2@medousa.forthnet.gr>
Source record: 130774

Hi,
Can someone help me to choose a scanner? I am new and I am going to buy one, but my problem, is what to buy. The ICOM IC-R10 or the ALINCO DJ-X10, the only difference for me is that the ICOM costs 225 GBP or 373 USD. And the ALINCO costs 325 GBP or 538 USD in my country.
Searching around I found out that the icom is more popular. But the ALINCO goes up to 2000 MHz, and has more things to give, but costs.
Any help would be very much appreciated.

Regards,
Sotiris Antoniou .
samant@ath.forthnet.gr

2273. Re: 900MHz "analog" frequencies? Bruce M. Marshall

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: brad8215@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7852cs$h47$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130775

Dear Mr. Marshall or anyone else,

Is it my understanding from your comments on the newsgroup that a VTECH phone with spread spectrum can be monitored easily ? I thought these phones were pretty secure. Is their regular digital phone easily monitored as well ?

I am considering buying a VTECH phone. Either the VT1721 spread spectrum or VT2910 regular digital. Perhaps you have other recommendations ?

I am look for a phone that has a headset jack, relatively secure, call waiting caller ID, one or two line operation, a total power off option to shut off phone to save battery.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Brad

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2274. Re: 900MHz "analog" frequencies? Bruce M. Marshall and VTECH security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: brad8215@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7852tqhch1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130776

Dear Mr. Marshall or anyone else,

Is it my understanding from your comments on the newsgroup that a VTECH phone with spread spectrum can be monitored ? I thought these phones were pretty secure. Is there regular digital phone easily monitored as well ?

I am considering buying a VTECH phone either the spread spectrum models or regular digital. Perhaps you have other recommendations ?

I am looking for one with security, headset jack, call waiting caller id, master power shut off switch to save battery.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Brad

In article <780b4bguk1@gaia.ns.utk.edu>,
bmm1@news.utk.edu (Bruce M Marshall) wrote:
> Randal (rand.knx@world.spam.att.net) wrote:
> : Anyone have the 900MHz analog telephone frequencies for base and
> : handset?
>
> narrow FM
> 902.100 - 903.870 59 channels of cordless phone - base , every 30 khz
> 902.300 - 905.000Vtech base channel 0 -9 wide band spresd spectrum digital
> - solid square wave pre WFM detector
> 902.300 Vtech base channel 0 - paired with 925.050 mobile - primary - base sends 3 second of data every 10 seconds
> 902.600 Vtech base channel 1 - paired with 925.350 mobile
> 902.900 Vtech base channel 2 - paired with 925.650 mobile
> 903.200 Vtech base channel 3 - paired with 925.950 mobile
> 903.500 Vtech base channel 4 - paired with 926.250 mobile
> 903.800 Vtech base channel 5 - paired with 926.550 mobile
> 904.100 Vtech base channel 6 - paired with 926.850 mobile
> 904.400 Vtech base channel 7 - paired with 927.150 mobile
> 904.700 Vtech base channel 8 - paired with 927.450 mobile
> 905.000 Vtech base channel 9 - paired with 927.750 mobile
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2275. Re: SATS???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken81145@rockisland.com
Message-ID: <78531t$hn8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130777

Shawn,
yes you can get the weather,Amateur,satelitte on your scanner.I have 2
scanners here and listen to what your asking all the time.

Ken

In article <784ni6$2qe$1@news.inc.net>,
"SHAWN" <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote:
> Ihave a bc895xlt with a external ant. can i pick up
> sats.(weather.amatuer,whatever) on it?
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2276. Re: TESLA everybody knows *that*!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lundgren <NOlundgrejSPAM@mail.rsccd.org>
Message-ID: <36A5FC9F.5EEB@mail.rsccd.org>
Source record: 130778
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

Scotty wrote:
[snip]

> Marconi was NOT the inventor. He was a developer who got sponsors on-board

Well, Edison was an inventor, but he wasn't the first to try making a
light bulb. But he was the first to make one that was successful, and
he patented it. And he is recognized for his invention. So is
Marconi.

So basically what I'm saying is that if someone invents something, and
doesn't patent it, and then someone else does patent it, the officially
recognized inventor is the one with the patent. Some guy, might have
been Stubblefield, did similar radio experiments a long time before
Marconi, but he didn't patent it, and so is not officially recognized.
I'm not disputing what Tesla did, I'm just stating an official fact.

--
Please remove NO and SPAM from my email addr to reply.
--
@@@@@@@@@@T@h@e@@I@n@t@e@r@n@e@t@@w@a@s@@c@o@o@l@@u@n@t@i@l@@@@@@@@
@ John Lundgren Elec. Tech, Info. Tech. Svcs.| lundgrej <AT> mail @
@ Rancho Santiago Community College District | .rsccd.org @
@ 17th St. at Bristol \ Santa Ana, CA 92706 | www.rsccd.org @
@ My opinions are mine, NOT my employer's. |PGP key avail on req@
@ "Everything is InterTwingled" - Ted Nelson in ComputerLib @
@@@@@@@@@@e@v@e@r@y@o@n@e@@f@o@u@n@d@@o@u@t@@a@b@o@u@t@@i@t@@@@@@@@@@
I am a California Resident and ALL California Junk Email laws apply.
You must meet _all_ Calif Anti-SPAM laws. See www.leginfo.ca.gov
and search for 17538.4 in the business and professions code.

2277. Re: Regency MX-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack@sgi.net
Message-ID: <36A62AD2.2E95@sgi.net>
Source record: 130779

I have both radios, Regency MX-7000 and AOR AR-2002 and they both operate exactly the same. I have had them for many years. The AOR is in the car and the MX is in my ham shack.

As mods, I haven't heard of any. I ask a simular question some time ago and had no response.

Jonathan Clough wrote:
>
> >I have a Regency MX 7000 , and I just noticed that the power supply is
> >made by AOR . Was this radio made by AOL (duh) ? Are there any mods
> >for it ??
>
> Regency MX-5000 = AOR AR2001
> Regency MX-8000 = AOR AR2002
>
> The MX-7000 was a little "odd ball" and had the 800-1300MHz coverage
> found on the MX-8000/AR2002 but in the MX-5000/AR2001 case and therefore had the "touch keypad" rather than the buttons on the later version.
>

2278. Scanner Database program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken81145@rockisland.com
Message-ID: <7856biklu1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130780

Looking for scanner database program to keep track of frequencies on scanners.If anyone can help please let me know as soon as possible.

Ken MacLeod

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2279. Re: MDT frequencies below 512 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: pmohazzabi@surfree.com
Message-ID: <7856q8$l66$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130781

In article <77u25rpco1@207.18.224.148>,
bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> On 17 Jan 1999 17:50:41 GMT, Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Can anyone tell me if MDT is only used with 800 MHz frequencies - or is
> >it used in the 380 - 512 MHz band also?
>
> MDT's are not frequency specific.
>
> I have systems on 800 Mhz, 450, and 155.
>
>
Majority are on 800 & 900 Mhz. bands

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2280. Re: RD/LAP Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480002001990947190001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130782

In article <7834am$mm3$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Haven't gotten any email or seen any posts on here in a while about it so I
> thought I'd rekindle the fire, so to speak.
>
> So far the only thing preventing me from writing this application is not
> knowing how to build the interface or not knowing what audio frequencies
> coming off the sound card make up the binary 0,1,2 or 3. So if anyone has
> info on the interface (please, no schematics - they are greek to me - dammit
> Jim, I'm a programmer, not a engineer! ;-) I require an actual parts list,
> pictures of the assembly process, and english instructions) please send
> away. Bill Cheek is offering these for $5, which is a good price, so if no
> one can help, no biggie. I'll get the details from him (I'm planning on
> doing so regardless).

Have you taken a look at the two level 4 FSK interfaces and the 9600/19.2
baud MDT proggie over on the Dutchman's Cape Canaveral site? If I remember
correctly, one of them is pretty much schematic-y, but I think the other
one - the one that is in two parts - has pics (drawing) and a good parts
list. The program itself, (I think - I haven't personally tried it) is
supposed to have a flaw or two in the coding, but NOT being a programmer -
I wouldn't know one if it bit me. Also, I don't remember seeing the source
up there - which is not to say it isn't - I just don't remember seeing it -
there's so much other stuff. It's kinda the mother lode/candy store, if ya
get my drift.

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2281. Re: TESLA everybody knows *that*!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Zachariasen <ronald-zachariasen@uiowa.edu>
Message-ID: <36A61918.741F6E6A@uiowa.edu>
Source record: 130783
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

John Lundgren wrote:

> Scotty wrote:
> [snip]
>
> > Marconi was NOT the inventor. He was a developer who got sponsors on-board
>
> Well, Edison was an inventor, but he wasn't the first to try making a
> light bulb. But he was the first to make one that was successful, and
> he patented it. And he is recognized for his invention. So is
> Marconi.
>
> So basically what I'm saying is that if someone invents something, and
> doesn't patent it, and then someone else does patent it, the officially
> recognized inventor is the one with the patent.

Nikola Tesla was issued, I believe, 11 various U.S. patents associated with "radio" fundamentals. These were issued to Nikola long before Marconi used these very same inventions in popularizing "the radio." In subsequent patent law suits, Nikola won and is "legally" considered to be the inventor of radio.

Nikola was a genius with an unusual combination of creativeness and photographic memory. No inventor, however, is an island, (although Nikola was somewhat of a recluse in his late-mid life). Nikola used much information from the many who went before him in reseaching electricity, in general. These have been well documented in this NG.

Cordailly,
Ron

Some guy, might have

> been Stubblefield, did similar radio experiments a long time before
> Marconi, but he didn't patent it, and so is not officially recognized.
> I'm not disputing what Tesla did, I'm just stating an official fact.
>
> --
> Please remove NO and SPAM from my email addr to reply.
> --
> @@@@@@@@@@T@h@e@@I@n@t@e@r@n@e@t@@w@a@s@@c@o@o@l@@u@n@t@i@l@@@@@@@@
> @ John Lundgren Elec. Tech, Info. Tech. Svcs.| lundgrej <AT> mail @
> @ Rancho Santiago Community College District | .rsccd.org @
> @ 17th St. at Bristol \ Santa Ana, CA 92706 | www.rsccd.org @
> @ My opinions are mine, NOT my employer's. |PGP key avail on req@

> @ "Everything is InterTwingled" - Ted Nelson in ComputerLib @
> @@@@@@@@@@e@v@e@r@y@o@n@e@@f@o@u@n@d@@o@u@t@@a@b@o@u@t@@i@t@@@@@@@@@@
> I am a California Resident and ALL California Junk Email laws apply.
> You must meet _all_ Calif Anti-SPAM laws. See www.leginfo.ca.gov
> and search for 17538.4 in the business and professions code.

2282. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya02348000200199095449000l@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130784

DAMN, Allsafe! Ya hit the nail right on the head, here! <again!>

Not only that ... I *think* I realised who you are! ;-)

And am I glad ta see yer smilin' face around! (If I'm right, that is.)

["That's okay Clark...I won't tell anyone who you really are." - Jimmy Olsen]

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2283. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480002001991000110001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130785

In article <36A54810.3C45B8BD@bewellnet.com>, Remove, *nospam*, to, reply wrote:

> RRLS10 wrote:
>
> > >As a radio reciever emits no RF power, there is no way to track one down.
> > >
> >
> > With a really good radio and a directional antenna, you could track the
signal
> > coming from the radio's oscillator.
> >
> > -Randy
>
> As a Sheriff's Office dispatcher, for training I often rode with county
deputies
> and the city police. Frequently the police cruiser's radio (was: 154.770 for
> their primary) would make this repetitive "Tthhrrrp, Tthhrrp," (like
those sound
> effects, eh???) as we passed certain residences. "Yup", they'd say, "Scanner
> people live there." Seemed pretty easy to identify, I thought...

He was pullin' yer leg, Jeff. They're always going Tthhrrrp,Thhrrrp.
He was just looking at the ScanTenna on the roof, between all those other
complex arrays, from the chimney to the eaves! ;-)

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2284. Re: BC200XLT Clear code key combination

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: hamwatch@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990119190147.27125.00000674@ng18.aol.com>
Source record: 130877

I used to have a BC200XLT, I believe it was hold down 2, 9, and manual turn it off and back on. I hope this helps. Darrell S.
Binary Files, Gun Files

2285. Re: best scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <6d9p2.336$q_.353@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 130878

Rey:

I'm not aware of any "scanner" available to the average consumer, that is capable of monitoring 7.1 GHz. I'd have to believe that signals at the frequency would be digital, and most likely encrypted.

As for which is better, AOR, or Yupiteru? I think they're both overpriced for what you get. I think there are other choices, for less money, that still deliver on performance.

Bill Crocker

JSORIANO wrote in message <783366$h0n@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
>Greetings!
>What 's the best scanner on the market ? AOR or Yupiteru and which one is
>the one that picks up to 7 gigs?? Recently read an article about phone
>companies carrying info on 7.10 gigs is this a fact??
>
>regards
>Rey soriano
>
>

2286. Re: Looking to add AOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: nowak720@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <783f38$5jj$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130879

In article <76j40k$3jq$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"dan baxter" <dandeb11@earthlink.net> wrote:
> javiation at www.javiation.com if you had bought in dec they would throw a
> computer connection in for free. If you tell him you couldnt get in touch
> with them they may give you the deall anyway 440.00 dollars
> Mark Thornton wrote in message <368C1911.3BF88292@usit.net>...
> >I am looking to add an AOR to my list of scanner equipment in the near
> >future. I am very interested in the AR8000. Can anyone give me a good
> >place to purchase this radio at the best price? Thanks for any replies.
> >
>
>

Check Bandercom

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2287. Re: Looking to add AOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: nowak720@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <783f3m$5ki$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130880

In article <76j40k$3jq$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"dan baxter" <dandeb11@earthlink.net> wrote:
> javiation at www.javiation.com if you had bought in dec they would throw a
> computer connection in for free. If you tell him you couldnt get in touch
> with them they may give you the deall anyway 440.00 dollars
> Mark Thornton wrote in message <368C1911.3BF88292@usit.net>...
> >I am looking to add an AOR to my list of scanner equipment in the near
> >future. I am very interested in the AR8000. Can anyone give me a good
> >place to purchase this radio at the best price? Thanks for any replies.
> >
>
>

Check Bandercom

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2288. Re: Scanner for exclusively for Cell Phone use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbrutis1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990119195128.21271.00005948@ngol07.aol.com>
Source record: 130881

If you can, try to frind a Realistic 200 Ch. Base , Model 2022 scanner. With mine, I can tune in to the range of 806.000 through 960.000 mhz. That's where 99.9% of all the cell phone freqs are any way. I scan in that range on a regular basis, & listen to both ends of the conversation crystal clear.

73's,
Tom
tbrutis1@aol.com
THE BINGHAMTON METS # 1 FAN HAS SPOKEN

2289. What is the fastest search speed scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF5u22H.D7G@netcom.com>
Source record: 130882

I spend most of my time searching for new frequencies and do a lot of plain VFO range or edge searches (not 'scans' of set freqs).

I'm from the Icom world where search and scan speed is dismal, my AOR 8K is a bit better at speed.

What scanner (handheld or base) is just a super monster-fast scanner for doing stepped searches? I heard of these 300 steps/second scanners and when I tried some of the ones at Radio Shack that claim this speed it seems more like they are about 30/steps a second, about the same as my AOR.

Is there some marketing tricks to make these claims or is it only in certain frequency ranges that you can get this type of performance?

My desire is to find a full-range scanner that I can put in my desired search edges and have it repeatedly search that range as fast as possible. I have a nice collection of scanners already, so this would be sort of purpose-made for searching.

Any and all comments appreciated.

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

2290. Re: Digital Scanner,do they exist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr RAT <rat@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <sUOGxmAWmSp2EwM3@rsm6.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 130883

>> No one sells digital scanners because they don't exist, and when they
>>eventually are made there is a specific prohibition in federal law that
>>prevents them from being able to convert digital cellular transmissions to
>>analog voice audio. In other words, it ain't gonna happen, so get a life.
>
>What you really want to say is, "it ain't gonna
>LEGALLY happen." There are lots of arm-chair electronic engineers out there,
>working in their basements, that WILL make it happen.

There are some European countries [the Netherlands] where the laws even apparently permit the cracking of *encrypted* digital signals! A dutch scanner page mentioned the only rule was that if you picked up someone using a radio set to commit a crime with [i.e organising a crime via cellphone etc] you should tell a police person. But as drugs and the sex trade are decriminalised in the Netherlands there is actually very little that can be classed as crime going on there apart from perhaps traffic violations, so the Dutch cops don't really have much to fear from people with scanners!

And what about the former Soviet Union countries, where there is no law, a large mafia who want to expand into other countries, plenty of out of work programmers - plus the makers of much of the new encrypted law enforcement radios have made *thousands* of people redundant in cost-cutting exercises to compete for these very lucrative government contracts. Now if just *ONE* of those people laid off is an engineer who knows of weaknesses in the crypto - what do you think will happen? Anyone can be bought. And no doubt there are some back doors built in police encryption schemes so government security forces of greater status than the civillian police could listen in

>Digital systems are just
>begging to be DAC'd. The end of the road is the encoding of digital, though.
> If they go full-time encoded, there's nobody gonna listen to anything, legally
>or illegally.

In the UK it is illegal to use a scanner to monitor the cops, and to prevent this many forces [especially those in areas of England where drugs and car crime are a matter of life for many people] routinely use frequency inversion with a double rolling bar point of over 600,000 possible combinations, which can be individually programmed for each system.

The Royal Ulster Constabulary recently spent a very large sum of money on such encrypted radio sets. According to reports on a uk scanner enthusiasts newsgroup, on the day the last RUC office received his encrypted set, a Dublin electronics store was selling decryption boxes.

[The various paramilitaries involved in the Irish civil conflict are now moving into drug dealing in a big way now there is a 'ceasefire' in operation, and with a lack of market demand for bomb detonators have moved into SIGINT]

Plus all the crypto kit must fit into a radio which can still be sold at an affordable price, and not drain too much power from the battery nor detract from the performance of a radio as a comms tool [all encryption schemes I have seen demand more channel bandwidth and reduce the radios effective range......]

You might be right that you won't be able to buy a radio out of Rat Shack to listen in to the cops, but give it a few years and sure as hell the major criminals *will* - whilst the cops all lulled into a false sense of security. Sort of an electronic equivalent of those cops who got nice new Glocks, were careless about the safety catch on the trigger and shot themselves in the foot with their own sidearms?

--
Mr R@T - " ï¿½ bon rat, bon rat..." - Extreme Paranoia Party, UK
Attention:To reply by e-mail replace the domain name "nospam" with "rsm6"
Warning to spammers: nospam.demon.co.uk is a *valid* domain!
You *will* be traced, and your ISP notified!

2291. Astro / APCO25 Digital Scanner Project Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: apco25_scanner@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <783jhi$9es$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130884

Its comming along, I can just about parse all the raw data fields (Voice Logical Data Unit 1 and 2, Stand Alone Link Control Units, Confirmed Data Units, Unconfirmed Data Units). I can see what happens (Unit ID's, Status/Message Requests/Responses) but just cant hear Voice. I have the (144/88 bit) IMBE voice packets but need a DVSI IMBE vocoder board to turn the bits into audio (This is a project in itself).

Being able to recover the data bits reliably and being able to understand and parse the data from public APCO25 documents was my main goal. It wasnt to listen in on converstations.

If I have some more time and resources maybe I will try to interface a DVSI IMBE vocoder board to my APCO25 sniffer so that I can hear audio.

Basically my project at this point is a logging/diagnostic tool (protocol analyzer) for APCO25 systems. All this data can be logged onto a hard drive and examined at any other time. I can even play it back to a Astor Saber(read further..)

The people on the net have been very helpful, I even was able to borrow someone's Astro Saber. I listen in while the Astro Saber was transmitting and was able to capture everything. I used a Hp Modulation Analyzer as my receiver, feed the demod out to my Motorola 56002 EVB ($149 for this DSP eval board) which turns the 4-level fm into binary data bits and then, feed the recovered symbols to my application.

And get this, the same bits I capture onto hard drive was played back to the Astro Saber. What I did was to feed the raw bits back into my DSP board which created a baseband 4-level FM signal. I then feed this baseband signal into a Hp Signal Generator tuned to the Rx frequency of the Astro Saber. And guess what.... I heard every word spoken into the Astro saber that I originally recorded. Wow..... I can record a police officer's conversation, and play it back over the air... only at lower power since the Hp Signal Generator can only generate about 0dB of RF (about 50 foot range). But of course a Hp Communications Analyzer and Hp Signal Generator would cost me about $70,000, I had to borrow the equipment from my professors RF lab.

Will keep everyone updated.

---
below is the original post for all that missed it

>My senior design project is to build a APCO-25 Digital Scanner that is
>capable of decoding the APCO-25 digital bitstream. I have gathered the
>necessary documents from PUBLIC sources. Since I am working off of public
>documents I should be safe. The only problem I have ran into is actually

>playing back the digital audio(IMBE Voice Coder), I can get everything
>else(Unit ID's, Talkgroup ID's, Infrastructure Signalling, Low Speed Data,
>Link Control Data, Data Packets, ...).
>
>I got all my info from public TIA (Telecommunications Industry Association,
>www.tiaonline.org) Project 25 / APCO-25 documents from my university library.
>Actually you have to pay a fee for copies of these standards but since my
>university library does that for me, its free....
>
>First off Here's what I have found out.
>
>- APCO25 modulation is a 4-level FM QPSK signal. If you tune your scanner to
>an APCO/Astro channel and hook up the discriminator out to a scope it looks
>like 4 sine waves that are constantly bouncing around. One thing I have
>noticed is that during the beginning and end of calls there looks like its a
>fixed pattern or at least a repeating pattern. It turns out that the pattern
>is link control frames that are repeated at the end of a call, you can even
>hear it, sounds like a buzzing pattern, normally it sounds like a random
>noise.
>
>- Does anyone know if there is a off the shelf IC that does this, I heard a
>professor mention a company called MXCOMM? For now I will stick with the
>DSP/Sound card method.
>
>- What needs to be done is to build a circuit that can reliably decode those
>4-level FM signals. The 4 levels are called di-bits (01, 00, 10, 11). Once
>you can decode those di-bits its a matter of assembling that data and parsing
>that protocol. (simple isn't it?). I am trying to use the FLEX decoder
>circuit that was released to the public (the FLEX modulation method is also
>4-level FM, dont ask me for a FLEX scanner since its already done and
>publically available).
>
>- To reliably decode the 4-level signal you need to do some pretty complex
>math on the data. The modulation sends 4800 symbols/sec (each di-bit symbol
>is 2 bits thus APCO-25 has a raw 9600bps data rate. First you need to send
>the scanner discriminator signal to a A/D converter then the digital data is
>sent to a Intergration and Dump filter routine followed by a Stochastic Clock
>recovery routine (sounds simple...) once that is done you get di-bits (the
>raw 4-level FM data). This can be done using a high quality A/D converter and
>then processing the data. I am thinking about using the Turtle Beach
>Pinacle/Fiji sound card since it have very clean A/D's and also has a
>Motorola 56000 DSP onboard that can run my code that does the precise 4-level
>FM QPSK bit recovery.
>
>From what I have heard, future public safely radio systems world-wide will be
>based on APCO-25, in europe its called the Tetra standard. The protocol was
>designed by Motorola back in the early 90's and released as a public standard
>in 1995 (very unusual for Motorola to make a public standard, they are
>usually proprietary). Since then quite a few manufactures are building
>APCO-25 radios (Motorola, Transcript, GE/Ericcson ...) The APCO25 system is

>used for both conventional and trunked systems. I believe the trunked systems
>are still partially analog and the rest is digital. What I mean is that the
>control channel is analog (can be decoded by the trunking tracker) but the
>voice and data channels are all digital. Maybe someone will interface my
>APCO-25 digital decoder to a trunking tracker, that way we will be able to
>follow trunking systems that are APCO-25 but still use the old analog control
>channel standard. But when the control channel goes digital I will be able to
>do all of it in my setup.
>
>To make my project simple I am not going to build a digital scanner that can
>work on trunking systems, since the control channel is currently analog. But
>that may change in the near future. And also because the calls will bounce
>around so much it will be hard for me to debug. For now I will monitor and
>try to decipher a conventional APCO-25 system. If I really wanted to monitor
>a APCO25 trunked system I would just program my scanner with all the digital
>voice channels and listen to those. Although the calls will bounce around..
>
>Ok to the protocol. If you have a clean capture of the 4-level fm signal the
>one thing you will see often is a frame synchronization pattern. According to
>the documents its 48bits in length and is hexidecimal (0x55, 0x75, 0xf5,
>0xff, 0x77, 0xff). Those frame sync's seperate the frames of data. This is a
>key element since if you have detected that pattern it means your 4-level FM
>recovery routines are functioning properly.
>
>- each APCO-25 voice call is composed of a series of data units: 1 Header
>unit followed by Logical Data Unit 1 and Logical Data Unit 2. Those LDU's
>repeat until the end of the voice call. At the end of the voice call there is
>something called a terminator data unit.
>
>- what distinguishes a voice frame from a data frame or a terminator frame is
>something called a network ID. This network id is 64 bits in length and is the
>very first piece of data after frame sync. It basically tells you what type of
>packet it is (Header, LDU1, LDU2, Terminator, Data).
>
>- within each LDU packet is the actual IMBE voice data, link control data
>(source ID, destination ID, manufacture ID, talkgroup ID, ..), Low speed data
>(dont know what this is for), and encryption sync(key ID, algorithm ID). I can
>basically get all this data with my parsing routine. I can tell what radio is
>keyed up, who they are talking to, and the various signalling messages sent
>(emergency, pages, messages,...). I have the raw IMBE voice data but dont know
>how to turn the IMBE packets into audio.
>
>- Each LDU contains 9 IMBE packets, each IMBE packet is 144 bits in length,
>88 of those bits are raw IMBE voice data. Each IMBE frame represents 20ms of
>audio. It seems like during the beginning and end of a call the IMBE frame is
>fixed.
>
>- There is only one header packet during the beginning of any APCO25 voice
>call. This header unit contains a encryption synchronization pattern,
>manufacture ID, encryption algorithm ID, Encryption Key ID, and Trunking

>talkgroup ID. It seems like the encryption related data is fixed where the
>call is not encrypted (the encryption sync pattern appears fixed and does not
>look random).
>
>- Data frames are trellis encoded, I have a TIA APCO-25 document that saids
>something about future trunking control packet being based on Data frames. I
>guess they encapsulate trunking signalling into data frames. There are
>basically 2 types of data frames 1/2 rate and 1/3 rate trellis encoded
>packets. The first data frame and all standalone data frames are always 1/2
>rate trellis encoded. Subsequent data frames can be 1/2 or 1/3 rate trellis
>encoded depending on what type of data call it is. The types are confirmed
>(acknowledged) or unconfirmed (unacknowledged). You see with 1/2 rate
>encoding 1/2 or your data is forward error correction data. With 1/3 rate 2/3
>is data and 1/3 is forward error correction. You loose alot of efficiency
>with 1/2 rate, but it is more reliable.
>
>I guess all we are interested in is to hear the Audio. Thats what I dont
>have. IMBE stands for Improved MultiBand Excitation. It is basically a Voice
>Coder. It is designed to take 20ms of 8Khz samples with 8 bit resolution
>voice and turn that into 144 bits with decent voice reproduction. Now thats
>pretty dam good compression (8Khz * 8bits / 1000bps * 20ms = 1280bits/20ms,
>for IMBE its only 144bits, that about a 9x compression).
>
>Note IMBE is designed for Voice, not Music or Tones. Its modeled after the
>english speaking male human vocal track. IMBE was developed by DVSI
>(www.dvsinc.com). The IMBE vocoder used in APCO-25 is a rate 4800bps vocoder.
>
>In APCO-25 all of the data is highly protected by forward error correction
>routines (hamming, reed-solomon, golay, trellis). I wont go into any detail
>here. But one must understand these error correction encoding methods to
>extract the raw elements. They are all there (the raw data), you dont really
>need to forward error correct the data. To do forward error correction codes
>would require alot of CPU power.
>
>Now DVSI sells a DSP board called the VC-20 that can decode/encode IMBE. It
>costs big bucks (between $1000-$2000). Its basically a TI DSP board with
>their IMBE vocoder onboard. You feed it IMBE frames and it generates analog
>audio, it can go the other way.
>
>Now if someone kindly donates one of these boards to me I will have a fully
>functional APCO25 scanner. please... please... please... :-) I might even get
>my other college associates to try and port the IMBE algorithm to a Windows
>95/NT multimedia audio codec. DVSI, were just kidding..... hate to image
>doing something illegal.... :-(
>
>Now if the IMBE voice is encrypted we are out of luck, sort of... APCO-25
>calls for a the DES encryption method with 56bit keys. I guess there are
>public domain DES rotines out there, anyone what to try and decode a DES
>encrypted call, might take years. I have a theory, since I have noticed that
>IMBE voice calls always begin and end with a fixed pattern we have

>information that makes it tons easier to decrypt. We can try different keys
>on the data until it matches this fixed pattern at the begining and end of
>the IMBE voice call... once we have the key its simple to run the DES decoder
>and get the raw unencrypted IMBE voice data.
>
>Image this, hook up your scanner to my 4-level FM recovery circuit, the PC
>will process the signal and produce di-bits, my parser program will display
>all the APCO-25 signaling data, and the IMBE will be routed to a Windows
>Multimedia Codec to be played out on the speaker... What a dream... Its
>possible, I am almost there...
>
>Maybe Bearcat will hire me when I graduate and buy my senior design
>project.... or maybe I will give it away for free to the public....
>
>Any comments or suggestions?
>
>Image if we build on this project, we could write this as a Active X
>component, that will let any windows programmer write around these routines.
>We could log all public safety calls, scan for radio Id's in our programs,
>record and playback audio for a specific unit id. Listen for emergency alarm
>signalling packets......
>
>More to follow..

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2292. Looking for source of paging frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolphinride@iname.com
Message-ID: <783k1m$9rf$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130885
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In Florida. How does one get them>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2293. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7886q4dvt1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130536

Poster Boy wrote in message <36ae8f81.11255057@news.uia.net>...
>On 12 Jan 1999 23:35:07 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
>
>>If you want to monitor military communications then you need to join
MilCom,
>>the military monitoring e-mail listserver,:
>
>Isn't there a way to do it without joining the list?

2294. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78871c$e5r$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130537

Phil Smalley wrote in message <7886q4dvt1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...

oops,

What I meant to say was:

Sure, you can listen without joining a mailing list, but the military (the government in general, actually) changes frequencies quite often. New ones are added and old ones go dead all the time. It's nice to open your email and see the new frequencies someone else found for you rather than searching for them yourself. That's all JT was trying to say.

-Phil

2295. Re: Commtel 216?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <36a7a328.794601@news.erols.com>
Source record: 130538

On Thu, 21 Jan 1999 07:40:08 GMT, mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:

>Anyone familiar with the Commtel 216?
>
>I just might opt for this one instead of the Euro version of the
>AR8200.
>
>Advice appreciated!
>
>Mike

Beware, it doesn't have an earjack to listen through headphones, in
case that matters to you.

2296. Re: Rogue Cancellation summary for rec.radio.scanner - 01/19/99 - 01/21/99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <36a8a446.1080932@news.erols.com>
Source record: 130539

I was wondering, how do you obtain a list of what posts have been cancelled?

2297. Tahoe Window Tint/Thru Glass Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <mbr3160@fwi.com>
Message-ID: <916938849.507153@newsreader-evv1.net.bms.com>
Source record: 130540
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In a nutshell:

99 Tahoe has tinted glass, and it's in the glass, not on the surface.
Anyone using a thru-the-glass scanner and/or CB antenna?
Performance affects?

Mark

2298. pl tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: lamano@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17288-36A79989-1@newsd-224.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130541

does any one know of pl tone in the san antonio texas area. thank you
juan.

2299. Re: FS: Icom R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A7A8AA.B4C813E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130542

Joe Gregg wrote:

> Icom IC-R8500 scanner/receiver for sale. 100Khz to 2Ghz coverage, less
> cellular. 1000 channels, search, store, all modes, banks, many features. It
> has a great receiver, both on HF and vhf/uhf. It has everything you'd need
> for a great swl receiver and everything you'd want for a great scanner. It
> even has a builtin computer interface, and I have the optional software pack
> for it, too. Includes all manuals and original box and computer control
> accessory. I will include instructions to restore full 800Mhz.
>
> New, lower price:
>
> $1250 shipped.

Joe,

Are you looking for any other gear to trade for?
I have a R8A, Pro-2004, Pro-2006 and Pro-2042
I would be willing to horse trade if that's of interest.

Otherwise, I'd have to sell them individually which
would take a few days at least. Are there any problems
with the 8500?

Regards,
Jon Van Allen
801-565-0095

Does the name Pavlov ring a bell?

2300. Re: Source for kits?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "BOYD S. MINER" <k4kep@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A7A4A6.5F6B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I'M NOT SHOUTING. I CAN READ AND EDIT ALL CAPS MUCH EASIER.

TRY TEN-TECH IN SEVERVILLE TN. THEY MAY SOME GOOD QUALITY KITS.

opalko@usa.net wrote:
>
> Ok, so I don't have my license yet, but I'm going to soon. My question is
> more general, however. Is there a source for receiver and transmitter kits
> like the old Heathkits? Does anyone make stuff like that anymore?
>
> On another note, is there a similar source for a scanner kit?
>
> Thanks,
> Bob
>
> email replies preferred
> opalko@usa.net
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2301. Re: DTMF and Knox Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "BOYD S. MINER" <k4kep@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A7A26A.C3C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130544

I'M NOT YELLING. I CAN READ AND EDIT MUCH EASIER IN ALL CAPS.

BELL SYSTEM PRACTISES CALLED IT DUAL TONE MULTI FREQUENCY.

73 SPENCE K4KEP

Chuck Rowe wrote:
>
> On Thu, 24 Dec 1998 14:46:00 -0600, n9jig@theramp.net (Rich Carlson)
> wrote:
>
> >>
> >> Correct me if I'm wrong, but in my phone phreak days, I was taught
> >> that DTMF was Dual Tone, Multi Frequency.
> >>
> >> omehegan@lynx.neu.edu! (Bad in Plaid)
> >
> >Well, my dad worked for Bell Labs when I was a kid, and he always
> >reffered to it as "Multi Function", since Bell Labs developed the whole
> >thing, I assumed that was correct. I have heard several references to it
> >as "Multi Frequency" recently, so maybe I am wrong....
> >
> >Since the "Dual Tone" part already refers to seperate tones, I would think
> >that "Muli Frequency" is duplicitive...
>
> OK my version of the story says Dual Tone Modulated Frequency

2302. Wacars question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A7AD19.890FD53B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130545

I've been trying to decode Acars messages with WACARS,
and after trying every conceivable setting, I get nothing but
raw data that looks like this hour after hour

[$'M*OL<DC2>V-P/P_o<DLE><EOT><EOT><EOT><EOT>
<EOT>z<ENQ>z{<VT><STX><DC2><DC3>s<DC3>z<NAK>
4<FS>4<DC4>B&1jV:B9%5Rjl[IQ)J_Z6hf3<SUB>ii~-*VRJ2U<GS>
dW~$++<SUB>Uod<FF>vR_/P/P/<DC4>hk{{{{{<ENQ>z<ENQ>
ZY9l|rJ+4l<ESC>#<DC4>*_><SOH> <ACK>
%U-jnRe6FH>%IT"<EM>i<SYN>~2-Vg&&'%
<SUB>d~[<FS>MLUNR<SYN><DC2>
P//ooo{{<ESC>z<ENQ>zuuu
rm<DC4>S[<VT><DC2>\S$x<DC4><HT><NAK>
ZZ=mKREUU9T[TiEU-**.Ri<SYN><SOH>

yada, yada, yada

(This data logged on 131.550 MHz near Salt Lake City)

Otherwise, no messages in message log, flights contacted or
aircraft contacted. Anyone have any ideas what's wrong?

Thanks,
Jon

2303. Re: antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: x88046@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36a7ae43.567090@news.auracom.com>
Source record: 130546

Sounds like the same antenna I have. One of the hoiz pieces blew away
- never to be found again! I am planning the "Damond"Discone next.

On Thu, 21 Jan 1999 16:44:30 GMT, denis28223@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>I installed a RS three element antenna on my roof and it was a piece of junk.
>It vastly increased my coverage, I could pick up 150mhz police signals from a
>couple hundred miles but it was so mechanically weak that wind blew it apart.
>It is the antenna with three vertical elements of various lenghts and three
>horizontal. The horizontal elements all broke within 6 months (they are just
>aluminum tubes-WEAK) and the u-bolt and mount broke next and it fell off the
>roof (my TV antenna is 15 years old and just fine). I hope the discone is a
>stronger.
>Denis
>
>
>
>In article <368E796D.687C5711@lor.net>,
> Skyler <Skyler@lor.net> wrote:
>> Mark, I have a 20-043 on a 20' telephone pole. I am using rg8u
>> coax....100ft. It works well. I can't really compare it to any other
>> antennas as its my first. I can tell you that I hear 800mhz load and
>> clear on freqs where my pro43 handheld with the duckie, just hears
>> static.
>>
>> MARC A MARTIS wrote:
>>
>> > looking for a new outdoor base antenna.
>> > I am thinking about a r.s. 20-043 discone ant.
>> > is it worth the 60.00$?
>>
>>
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2304. modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Watson <ciwatson@geneseo.net>
Message-ID: <36A7B43A.3215@geneseo.net>
Source record: 130547

Is there anyway to modify a scanner to transmit onto the frequency that
you are listening to?
thanks,
justin

2305. Icom PCR-100 Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Tim J. Neff" <magician@logancounty.com>
Message-ID: <788c5o$82u$1@shiva.direcpc.com>
Source record: 130548

I am in the need of the 800 unlock mod for the Icom PCR-100 Reciever. If you can help me out please send link or email direct to my email account.

Thanks

Tim

2306. Re: Hey! Air Freeks! (Air Freqs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990121182628.12664.00000797@ng136.aol.com>
Source record: 130549

>From: tbone107@aol.com (Tbone107)
>Date: 01-21-1999 15:34 EST
>
>This may be the last WebSite you'll ever need to go to in order to get
>airport frequency information.
>
SNIP
>
>http://www.airnav.com/airports
>

Hey, tbone, where ya' been? The rest of the world has known about this site,
like, forever, man.

JW

2307. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36adb8f1.71204424@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130550

On Thu, 21 Jan 1999 20:36:10 GMT, NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@rizzap.com
(Poster Boy) wrote:

>On 12 Jan 1999 23:35:07 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
>
>>If you want to monitor military communications then you need to join MilCom,
>>the military monitoring e-mail listserver,:
>
>Isn't there a way to do it without joining the list?

Scan from 138.-144.MHz AM and 225.-400.MHz AM
You will have a blast!

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2308. Re: Can you split a scanner ant. to feed 2 scanners ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ernie Stedman <n2ojq@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <788ef5$ajh@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130551

There are 1 GigHz splitters readily available. Loss at 1 GHz will be about 4 dB on each leg. You are literally sending half of the signal to each radio, hence the theoretical 3 dB loss, add another dB at 1 Gig for component losses in the splitter and you have 4 dB. This amount should not be critical if you have a decent antenna. If it is too much, try a preamp close to the antenna.

Ernie
N2OJQ

Poster Boy <NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@la-radio.com> wrote in message news:36ac8c3b.10416989@news.uia.net...
>Yes you can but of course it's a tradeoff. With any passive splitter
>there is a calculated signal loss involved. It's usually 3.5 db for a
>2-way splitter. Also, some splitters are not rated for freq's above
>600 MHz.
>
>Read the spec's before you spend that whopping $3.00 for your
>splitter.
>
>
>On 28 Dec 1998 01:07:27 GMT, sandman-1nobots@worldnet.att.net.
>(Mile-Hi) wrote:
>
>>I have 2 scanners and one external antena and instead of putting up
>>another antena could I tap into the one I have with out losing much
>>signal ?
>>
>>Thanks
>>Tim
>

2309. Re: Pro-2038 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <36A7BE31.7A2@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 130552

It looks to me like it's time for you to upgrade...Jerr1919
That's what I like about this hobby.....
>
> I have a Pro-2038 that has pre-programmed police and fire freqs plus the
> ability to add a few of your own (20 and 10) within these banks. There
> are 20 more in a private bank too.
>
> It seems silly to add police/fire freqs into these banks, freqs that are
> most likely in there already. The problem is that I live in the Chicago
> area and it takes so long for it to scan through all of the
> pre-programmed freqs (it stops on so many) that it's a useless
> "feature".
>
> Is there a way I can lockout/block all of the pre-programmed freqs
> (soldering iron solutions are acceptable ;-) then program the ones I
> want into the 10(fire) or 20(police) "extra" channels they provide?
>
> So far, the only way I can use this scanner is the private bank which
> gives me (only) 20 channels. That works, but there are 30 more channels
> that I would like to access and the radio insists on scanning the
> pregrammed freqs as well.
> --
>
> Rick Colombo@fnal.gov - Home Page: http://www-oss.fnal.gov/~colombo/

2310. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scan Man <scanner@aol.com>
Message-ID: <36A82FAB.F5C115D0@aol.com>
Source record: 130553

Watson wrote:
>
> Is there anyway to modify a scanner to transmit onto the frequency that
> you are listening to?
> thanks,
> justin

How can you even ask such a stupid question???!!!

2311. INFO ON COBRA SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: HFAST@PINENET.COM
Message-ID: <36a7be5d.6680407@news.pinenet.com>
Source record: 130554

I AM LOOKING FOR ANY INFO ON A COBRA SR 9000 SCANNER. HOW OLD,AND HOW
TO PROGRAM?
WADE GERVING
WIG@PINENET.COM

2312. Re: Wacars question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <788faq$87r$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 130555

Note that your sound card must be capable of sampling at 19.2Khz [if using the 'older' algorithm]. My card [an Ensonic PCI card] apparently only does either 16.0 or 22.05 [higher and lower too of course], but not 19.2. If it can't do 19.2 on the dot, it won't decode [apparently]. Your junk looks a lot like my junk. See:

http://www.mike.mcmail.com/acars.html

On the other hand, the 'new' algorithm is supposed to sample at 44.1 [which my sound card can do], but I don't get anything at all using the 'new' algorithm. [Note that my same radio with the same software and same antenna works just fine (old algorithm) on another machine that has a plain old ISA sb-16 in it, so I am pretty convinced it is the sound card itself -- though I couldn't get the 'new' algorithm to work on this other machine either...]

If you can, try your setup on a machine with an old SB card and see if it works... I'd be curious to hear.

Clay

Jon S. Van Allen wrote in message <36A7AD19.890FD53B@worldnet.att.net>...
>I've been trying to decode Acars messages with WACARS,
>and after trying every conceivable setting, I get nothing but
>raw data that looks like this hour after hour
>
>[$'M*OL<DC2>V-P/P_o<DLE><EOT><EOT><EOT><EOT>
><EOT>z<ENQ>z{<VT><STX><DC2><DC3>s<DC3>z<NAK>
>4<FS>4<DC4>B&1jV:B9%5Rjl[IQ)J_Z6hf3<SUB>ii~-*VRJ2U<GS>
>dW~$++<SUB>Uod<FF>vR_/P/P/<DC4>hk{{{{{<ENQ>z<ENQ>
>ZY9l|rJ+4l<ESC>#<DC4>*_><SOH> <ACK>
>%U-jnRe6FH>%IT"<EM>i<SYN>~2-Vg&&'%
><SUB>d~[<FS>MLUNR<SYN><DC2>
>P//ooo{{<ESC>z<ENQ>zuuu
>rm<DC4>S[<VT><DC2>\S$x<DC4><HT><NAK>
>ZZ=mKREUU9T[TiEU-**.Ri<SYN><SOH>
>
>yada, yada, yada
>
>(This data logged on 131.550 MHz near Salt Lake City)
>
>Otherwise, no messages in message log, flights contacted or
>aircraft contacted. Anyone have any ideas what's wrong?
>
>Thanks,
>Jon
>

2313. Scanner Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Rossi <roscoe18@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <ytPp2.232$l4.1437239@news2.voicenet.com>
Source record: 130556

I have a Regency handheld Scanner that is the same model as the Bearcat XL-200
I think the Power Switch has gone bad, as I can't turn it on, even with the power adapter plugged in. Where can I get Parts or Repair Service in the Philadelphia PA area?

2314. Re: Scanner Database program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: inciter <inciter@crl.com>
Message-ID: <788ihq$1ji$1@nnrp2.crl.com>
Source record: 130557

I use Excel to port my information into Access. Excel is a bit more than just a Spread sheet prg. It is a great tool for all the office program groups. I pull information from percon's website by clipping the text then pasting into Excel thus keeping the filed structure. Then I export the data out and then import it into Access and I have a fully searchable database, Access and other Database programs of quality are then only way to go there are many free dB prgs out there.

Good luck And if you get the free database from shoot forgot the name? There is a site with free freqs from 97 and most are still up to date. I was lucky to get the CA one before they dumped it for reasons unknowen.

<ken81145@rockisland.com> wrote in message
news:7856biklu1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
>Looking for scanner database program to keep track of frequencies on
>scanners.If anyone can help please let me know as soon as possible.
>
> Ken MacLeod
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2315. Request for information/recommendations: MGeraci973@aol.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <788kka$k6c$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 130558
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Has anyone done business with MGeraci973@aol.com? If so, I would appreciate any feedback (positive or negative) that you could provide.

Thanks!
Terry

2316. Re: best scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <788l45kdh1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 130559

Light ranges approximately from 1x10^14 to 1x10^15, approximately 900
purple-600 red nanometers.

Terry

Jim Wayda wrote in message <36A6CC98.27BF714C@home.com>...
>Jason Leland Plett wrote:
>>
>> What is the frequency of light?
>
>I don't know, but I know when I am receiving light because I don't
>have to use the cheesy backlight on my PRO-43.
>
>-jim

2317. FS: ICOM 706 with Tuner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE
Message-ID: <36a7a3ba.4808066@news.sprint.ca>
Source record: 130560
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

The price is lower again

ICOM706 with MSJ-949E tuner for sale. 100W HF (40W AM), 10W 2m (4W AM) all mode mobile tranceiver including WFM FM station receive. Front panel separation cable will be attached. Mint condition. You will not see a lower price. Please visit hamfests in your area and you will see that my price is very reasonable.

$600 US funds. For pick up, I will reduce $50US and attach 80m mobile vertical antenna. Serious inequiry only. Money order, bank draft, certified cheque or bank transfer only. Shipping + insurance included in the price. Will be shipped in original box + manual.

For more information or if you decide to take items at listed price, reply to: webmaster@no.no.spam.radiotelephone.net
Please do not forget to remove "no.no.spam." from above e-mail address when replying.

M a s a t a k a N o d a, Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada. N&#65533; d' ICQ: 24703041
--> http://www.radiotelephone.net <--
Infinite Communications&#65533; (TM): Il y a un coeur&#65533;. Il y a un esprit&#65533;. Il y a une voie&#65533;.
&#65533;tudient @ d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN & JP2CKG
Membre d'internationale aucun code Morse pour services radioamateur - NCI2014
C&#65533; : hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM (SVP enlever/please remove .PAS_DE_SPAM)
|| 24L ---------- 06R ||
Pilote Avion Priv&#65533; (jour & nuit): St-Hubert & Dorval INTL (dupuis 1998)
---:|| 24R ---------- 06L ||:

2318. Re: Giles Co., Va Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>
Message-ID: <36A7D20B.F96DDB7E@tidalwave.net>
Source record: 130561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Darrell wrote:

> Would like to have frequencies for Giles Co., Virginia. Thank`s in
> advance........Darrell (drayf@roanoke.infi.net)

I have scanned them from the Rat Shack guide and uploaded them here:
http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/giles.jpg

--
Check Out My WebCam!!

http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html

ICQ me 8309550

The first duty of love is to listen.

.

2319. FS Radio Shack DX-394

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raymond Bethel <n5rmo@web-access.net>
Message-ID: <36A7EB09.16D3@web-access.net>
Source record: 130562

For Sale a Radio Shack DX-394. Excellant condition. $150 plus shipping. Contact n5rmo@web-access.net or morris@web-access.net for more info. 73 Raymond N5RMO

2320. auto racing freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: somebody@erols.com
Message-ID: <36A7EC9B.7A627F2C@erols.com>
Source record: 130563

Is there a site where I can find the current frequiences for the race teams? I hope to go to a few races this year. Nascar /busch / Cart...Thanks

2321. Re: FS: ICOM 706 with Tuner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE
Message-ID: <36a7a643.5457788@news.sprint.ca>
Source record: 130564
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

De Thu, 21 Jan 1999 22:10:17 GMT,
<36a7a3ba.4808066@news.sprint.ca>,
hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE (Huggy)
a �crit dans le message (FS: ICOM 706 with Tuner)...

==========

>ICOM706 with MSJ-949E tuner for sale. 100W HF (40W AM), 10W 2m (4W
>AM) all mode mobile tranceiver including WFM FM station receive.
>Front panel separation cable will be attached. Mint condition. You
>will not see a lower price. Please visit hamfests in your area and
>you will see that my price is very reasonable.

Please note that this unit includes 6m as well... 100W or 40W AM.

M a s a t a k a N o d a, Montr�al, Qu�bec, Canada. N° d' ICQ: 24703041
--> http://www.radiotelephone.net <--
Infinite Communications° (TM): Il y a un coeur°. Il y a un esprit°. Il y a une voie°.
°tudient @ d'Universit° McGill
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN & JP2CKG
Membre d'internationale aucun code Morse pour services radioamateur - NCI2014
C° : hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM (SVP enlever/please remove .PAS_DE_SPAM)
|| 24L ---------- 06R ||
Pilote Avion Priv° (jour & nuit): St-Hubert & Dorval INTL (dupuis 1998)
---:|| 24R ---------- 06L ||:

2322. OPTO SCOUT WON'T BEEP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Long <longj@erols.com>
Message-ID: <36A7F176.2BFBFE8D@erols.com>
Source record: 130565
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey folks,

I've got an Optoelectronics Scout that no longer beeps when it captures a signal. Before I send it off to Optoelectronics to be fixed, I thought I'd see if anyone in the group had ever encountered this problem. I do have the switches in the correct positions, by the way.

Thanks,
Jim

2323. Re: Tahoe Window Tint/Thru Glass Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: sskadden@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <36A7F323.2411@pacbell.net>
Source record: 130566
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Mark
I have a 97 Tahoe with the same glass.I'm using single band,glass mount,antenna specialist models for 440mhz & 146mhz.They work ok...but I let the repeaters do all the work.Keep in mind that any glass mount is a compromise anyway...they all have loss through the glass.Take care,Shane,Ke6jz.

2324. Re: Have you been ripped off by Tom Kasey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <788uva$o0p$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 130567

Have YOU been ripped off by CASEY JONES ? You been whining about this since last fall ..... Give it a rest

fraudalert@iname.com wrote in message <785itims1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Have you been ripped off by Tom kasey or one of his alias at: 8108 lake
>terrace#10 louisville,kentucky 40222 We are starting a database of victims of
>this criminal:

2325. FS: Uniden UBC 3000 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shing Lin <shinglin@net1.net>
Message-ID: <36A805E4.8105997E@net1.net>
Source record: 130568

Euro Spec UBC 3000 XLT
Cell Freq not blocked.
Mint Condition no scratches anywhere. I have original box and soft carrying case. Looking for the manual. Only a few months old. Asking for $315. I'll throw in my A/C adaptor that I got to go with it. I'll pay COD fee, you pay shipping.

email me at shinglin@net1.net

2326. Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <GTTp2.4893$DB1.5067@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130569

Coincidence or ?????
John Mackey gets flamed by about 15 different people for his lack of ethics, morals and because he's about as useful as a puddle of goat urine..

Not a single person defends John.

Proven wrong for the 45th time this year, John shuts his mouth for 36 hours, possibly a record.

Newbie shows up raising crap about Bill Cheek. Who does that?

Newbie is posting out of where? When you examine the header you won't be surprised.

Hello John. Glad to see one of the voices in your head is on your side. Now if you could convince the other 8 voices you hear.

Newbie wrote in message <788q7nbca3@news.cyberhighway.net>...
>you are a liar. you have been the one causing the problems and we
>have seen the proof

>
>
>
>In article <785gr2hcm1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>, thumbs@meow.net
>says...
>>
>>I know for a fact that the all mighty self-proclaimed king of radio
>Mackey
>>has posted several thousand flames and off topic posts to this
>newsgroup.
>>
>>And the proof will be coming all too soon.
>>
>>What can you expect from a man in his mid-thirties who lives in
>Portland,
>>has no job, no friends, no love life, and who's father knew he would
>never
>>amount to a hill of beans.
>>
>>But then again, he wasn't good enough for his father's love, why should
>we
>>give him ours.
>>
>>Choco
>>

>>
>

2327. disregard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Justin B." <justinb@myisp.com>
Message-ID: <ltUp2.1731$202.815778@news1.teleport.com>
Source record: 130570

this is a test sorry....

BYE & 73

2328. Re: Picture or diagram of Pro-2045 discriminator tap mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpryor@pop3.utoledo.edu
Message-ID: <36a79566.175379760@news.utoledo.edu>
Source record: 130571

>Anyone have a good picture or diagram of this mod??

It doesn't really warrent a picture, it is pretty simple. Look in the board where it's labeled CTCCS Board, the 2nd jumper on the top set of four is the ground and the middle jumper on the bottom set of three in the discriminator data . . .

Jeff

2329. Policescanner Site in Sweden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: FAM Gr&#65533;ndevik <ac.grandevik@svenljunga.mail.telia.com>
Message-ID: <36a821dc.0@d2o35.telia.com>
Source record: 130572

Christer
http://w1.332.telia.com/~u33206204/hemsida.htm

2330. Re: Cloning Digital Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Jasper <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <36A82E23.21B506A@got.net>
Source record: 130573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

tvsjr wrote:

> iceberg@nxco.com wrote in message <77rqb1$o7f$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> <SNIPPAGE>
> >with the new phones comming wich will implement GPS data transmit for 911
> >use, tracking cloaners will be easy and make cellular communicatons a
> little
> >safer.
>
> Yep, and if the government or someone with the right technology wants to
> know exactly where you are down to 200 feet, it will be easily done.

So we could just smart bomb O.J. next time?
Cool!

2331. Re: Hey! Air Freeks! (Air Freqs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlackjackElliot@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6034-36A82406-7@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130574

Great sight!

Blackjack Elliot
Las Vegas, NV

Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
BlackjackElliot@webtv.net

www.BlackjackElliot.com

2332. Re: Wire Antenna not doing any good for shortwave freq's on my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Hynde <ka8ddz@eaglequest.com>
Message-ID: <787bgu$9dh$1@smithers.eaglequest.com>
Source record: 130586

Linus wrote in message <36abeea8.17727847@news.erols.com>...
>I am aware that scanners aren't the greatest for shortwave reception,
>but I don't know if this is my problem. I have a Yupiteru 7100
>handheld scanner and I tried boosing the signals by clipping on an
>insulated antenna wire. Granted I only extended it 15 feet, the most
>that would fit in the room, but I see barely any difference to nothing
>at all.

15 ft should make SOME difference but depending on what your house is built
of, and whether you have aluminum siding etc. you may not see a difference.
Putting the antenna outside will make a HUGE difference.
If it is a GOOD reciever you shouldn't hear that much difference just by
adding 15 ft. of wire inside, many of the "portable" shortwave recievers
that you buy for $30 have the front end all pre-amped up to hear weak
signals on a telescopic whip, the problem is if you add a quarter wave
shortwave antenna you overload the front end it doesn't work very well
because they have poor dynamic range.

>Or is it just that extra wire won't help a
>handheld scanner? I suppose I should try putting it on my roof at a
>longer length but if it is known not to even help scanners then I
>don't think I'll waste my time.

Hmmm not sure how experimenting with antennas to learn what will and wont
work is a waste of time but ok.
Just for kicks why not tie off to a tree and extend the whole role of wire,
hold the other end in your hand and stick the (insulation removed) end into
the antenna input. Should take about 5 min. If you then don't hear a big
difference you may have a problem with the reciever below 30MHz.

Tim

2333. Re: antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Hynde <ka8ddz@eaglequest.com>
Message-ID: <787c1d$9f7$1@smithers.eaglequest.com>
Source record: 130587

Yea but the discone (if designed properly) is broader and better than a quarterwave groundplane. About the only thing you could do to increase gain and keep the antenna broad enough to hear everything from say 50 to 400Mhz is to build or buy a log periodic but now you have to rotate it because it is directional. Typical TV antennas are log periodics very broad to cover all TV channels but also directional. I used a TV antenna (turned 90 deg for vertical polarization) for scanning before and built a small balun to convert from 300ohm to the 50ohm impeadance of the scanner. A HUGE difference for weak signals over a vertical groundplane, but again it has to be rotated.

Tim

Brad Kellison wrote in message <36A5CE48.B8977048@four-way.net>...
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 20 Jan 1999 04:39:07 PDT
>
>1/4 wave ground plane.234/f(mhz)=ft *12 for inches. one vertical and 3 or
4
>ground radials.
>
>Michel De Kerpel wrote:
>
>> How can I make the best antenna for 150mhz-170mhz for under roof
mounting.
>> I have a super discone now but is there a better type ant. for this
specific
>> freq.?
>> Thanks
>

2334. Re: Scanner Database program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: mirage33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36a739e7.67718573@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 130588

I agree with you Ken. I use MS Excell and find it is excellent way to
keep track of things.
Allen
On 21 Jan 1999 04:48:48 GMT, Ken <Kencaz@att.net> wrote:

>MS Excel works great!!!
>
>ken81145@rockisland.com wrote:
>
>> Looking for scanner database program to keep track of frequencies on
>> scanners.If anyone can help please let me know as soon as possible.
>>
>> Ken MacLeod
>>
>> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>
>
>--
>----------->Ken<------------
>
>http://home.att.net/~kencaz
>
>

2335. Re: Anyone live in connecticut know about Scanner rules in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <nospam@usa.net>
Message-ID: <786di3$3dm$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 130589

I don't live there but try these links anyhow, they should answer your question!
http://www.panix.com/~clay/scanning/Frequencies/handy-info/scan-law.txt or
http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

--
WW - kb9tmp<at>usa.net
home page http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/

Ben wrote in message <785ck5$932@news1.snet.net>...
>If anyone lives in CONNECTICUT, do you know if it's legal to have a handheld
>scanner operational in your car? it's legal to have radar detectors and all,
>but what about scanners? just wonderin, thanks
>
>-ben
>
>

2336. Re: antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: denis28223@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <787lhbpjh1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130590

I installed a RS three element antenna on my roof and it was a piece of junk. It vastly increased my coverage, I could pick up 150mhz police signals from a couple hundred miles but it was so mechanically weak that wind blew it apart. It is the antenna with three vertical elements of various lenghts and three horizontal. The horizontal elements all broke within 6 months (they are just aluminum tubes-WEAK) and the u-bolt and mount broke next and it fell off the roof (my TV antenna is 15 years old and just fine). I hope the discone is a stronger.
Denis

In article <368E796D.687C5711@lor.net>,
Skyler <Skyler@lor.net> wrote:
> Mark, I have a 20-043 on a 20' telephone pole. I am using rg8u
> coax....100ft. It works well. I can't really compare it to any other
> antennas as its my first. I can tell you that I hear 800mhz load and
> clear on freqs where my pro43 handheld with the duckie, just hears
> static.
>
> MARC A MARTIS wrote:
>
> > looking for a new outdoor base antenna.
> > I am thinking about a r.s. 20-043 discone ant.
> > is it worth the 60.00$?
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2337. LAFD ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe+@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <36A74871.60C197D6@pitt.edu>
Source record: 130591

Anybody know the new url for Los Angles City Fire Department ?? I have
it as www.lafd.org but when I use it I end up on GeoClock homepage.
Did a search but came up with same url. Thanks in Advance for any help
-Dan- KE3UC

2338. Re: BILL CHEEK POSTING AS 'ALLSAFE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John N. Tragesser" <asuwere@erols.com>
Message-ID: <36A76D8C.1CB3106B@erols.com>
Source record: 130592

JWiley wrote:

> x-no-archive: yes
> lkjdrp8ty7P(*$E^%&(*&YEApfOa[pf
>
> Its true........he he he

No. It isn't. Sorry to burst your bubble.

2339. Re: JOHN MACKEY POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <787jqp$82a$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130593

It's only obvious.

-Phil

2340. mods for pro-2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: rubbernec@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36a6d722.18237956@news.wans.net>
Source record: 130594

i'm looking for mods for the radio shack base scanner model
PRO-2040. if they exist, please post em or e-mail em to me. thanx

Paul
rubbernec@hotmail.com

2341. mods for pro-2038

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: rubbernec@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36a6d6ca.18149721@news.wans.net>
Source record: 130595

do mods exist for this radio. i met someone who had full 800
Mhz coverage restored with a little modification. If you can help,
post to the newsgroup, or e-mail me at address below

Paul
rubbernec@hotmail.com

2342. PRO-64 interface - Thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracy jones <tracyjones@cinergy.com>
Message-ID: <01be4564$be0223d0$884214ac@H1VFT4>
Source record: 130596

Thanks for all the replies.

I was aware of Ken Plotkins web page but wasn't comfortable making my own cable. I was thinking Rat Shack might be closing out the 'kit' for just a few bucks but no such luck....$129.99. I was ROTFLMAO as I was paying for the parts to make the cable.

Thanks
Tracy

2343. cellular reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeff watkins <buck69@mail.idt.net>
Message-ID: <36A75D85.C1B5AA04@mail.idt.net>
Source record: 130597

Can anyone tell me how to tune both sides of a cellular transmission on my MVT-7100? I receive one side very well but never the other side of the
conversation. Thanks in advance.

2344. WTB Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: JD <mhs@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <36A766CB.55C1A672@nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 130598
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: vcard.vcf

wanted to buy used scanner radio portable or base 200 chs. L/H/U.800
good condition please state model number and price

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2345. MODS FOR BC60XLT-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: frnknhookr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990121122701.21438.00000617@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 130599

ARE THERE ANY?

2346. FS: RS PRO 64 and PRO 2041 computer interface cable.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg <n3oyrREMOVE@home.net>
Message-ID: <36A765AB.645C@home.net>
Source record: 130600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a few cables for the Pro64/2041.
I'm asking $25.oo shipped in the US.
Shareware included.
-Greg \|||/
(0-0)
----------------------oOOO--(_)--OOOo--
My Email address is altered due to
the prevalence of Spamers. Remove the
REMOVE from my return .oooO
address to reply! ( ) Oooo.
------------------------\ (----( )----
_)) /
(_/

2347. vends scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Philippe <phil@caramail.com>
Message-ID: <787qntuo1@news0.skynet.be>
Source record: 130601

2348. Re: Soundcard Programs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: R Clark <rcclark@nospam.tenet.edu>
Message-ID: <787qpg$co8$1@mercury.hiline.net>
Source record: 130602

>>Has anyone seen programs that decode Motorola or Ericsson Trunked systems
or
>>FLEX via the soundcard?? (I've seen this question, never saw the answer
and
>>can't find it! sorry)

You can get a 4-level FSK decoder and run Trunker E-trunk or Pocflex.

I think Texas 2-Way still has 4 level decoders available. Their number is
(956)702-2481. Its called the DDM-4.

2349. WTT: Macintosh stuff for radio scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: aa186@freenet.akron.oh.us
Message-ID: <F5x913.lz@freenet.akron.oh.us>
Source record: 130603

*Mac LC (10/40)
*La Cie external hard drive (240 meg)
*extended keyboard and Kensington Turbo Mouse 4.0

Will trade for a used Pro 43, Pro 90, IC-R2, etc.
I also have a 12 in. RGB color monitor but I don't
want to ship it, but if you are local to Akron, OH
I can work that into the deal, perhaps.

Please email me directly at this address.
Thanks.

Michael

--
2

2350. FS: Pro-39 Scanner w/ cellular @ eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Ream <reamesq@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <36A7717E.6352B73E@swbell.net>
Source record: 130604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Link to eBay auction listing for Pro-39 scanner:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=58951322

2351. Re: The Repair Shop at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <36A77401.1BAD244C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130605

I had a problem with a PRO-2035 (manufactured by GRE) while it was under warranty and the unit could not be repaired because they needed parts were no longer available. Radio Shack replaced it with a brand new PRO-2042. I certainly didn't mind. The store manager advised me that Radio Shack will service all their old scanners as long as parts are available.

2352. Re: HAHA, power tip!! - powertip.jpg (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dino <thermo@nightowl.net>
Message-ID: <36A79B09.3C5E@nightowl.net>
Source record: 130606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Paul Beaverton wrote:
>
> kryppy@bellsouth.net (Jack) wrote:
>
> Those were for sale in a Damark catalog about a year ago. $8.99 each I believe
> I paid for 2. Full money back (with shipping) guarantee, so why not?
>
> My cordless phone was only good for most of my yard and that's it. With these
> things, I was able to go 2 houses over.
>
> I have no idea what they are or how they work, but they do.
>
> Anyone know how?
Hahaha. I know what they are. The military uses these on their full
size whip antennas
on the tanks,humvees and comm vehicles. They keep the antennas from
snagging trees and
power lines.As you probably know,the antennas are quite tall,especially
mounted on such high vehicles as these.I use a full size military
antenna on my truck EXACTLY like these antennas for maximum range on the
amateur radio bands.Mine is 15 FEET TALL! Believe
me when I say they work. But they don't increase range. They are also
used to stop
"the corona effect" when traveling at high speed and trying to receive.
Consult the
ARRL Amateur Radio Hand Book for further reading on "the corona effect".

They are effective for what they are designed for but they are a purely
passive device.
The ones on the tanks are olive drab to match the antennas of course.
Just like mine.
And yes,the antennas are very expensive as you probably imagine. They
are great tho.

Dino N0RJP

2353. Re: BC895XLT VDC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <36a7748e.2090689@news.bna.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130607

what is a VCD? I have the same scanner as you do and if there is
anything cool to do with it I would like to know

On Fri, 8 Jan 1999 16:54:49 -0600, "SHAWN" <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote:

>I am looking for somebody that has done a VDC on a bc895 xlt and can send
>instructions or pics
>EAGLE@EZ-NET.COM
>
>
>

2354. Digital cpable scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdcuddington@mmm.com
Message-ID: <787t6v$7dl$1@magnum.mmm.com>
Source record: 130608

Just checking in for an update. Has any manufacturer been discussing production of a scanner capable of receiving and decoding digital broadcasts?

Thanks

Cudd

Opinions expressed herein are my own and may not represent those of my employer.

2355. Re: Digital scanners, do they pick up digital celular signals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990121140217.23373.00001057@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 130609

>From: Miller Liu <muller@tp.silkera.net>

>If it's possible have such scanner with slot card running different
>software to decode different digital signal,

It's possible. Look at how UNIDEN upgraded the BC245XLT to trunk track both Motorola TRS and Ericsson EDACS. If anyone comes out with an APCO-25 scanner, there's no reason to believe it couldn't be upgraded (via a changeable card as you suggest) to do TETRA, MPT-1327, etc.....
because Digital-to-Analog conversion uses the same off-the-shelf--parts to convert any Analog-to-Digital system; it's the SOFTWARE that changes !

Basically, we all agree that the only thing stopping digital scanners is high-level encryption. If everyone goes to full-time encryption, BYE BYE PUBLIC SCANNING.

talkyal

2356. Re: LAFD ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: levys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <36a8755e.2697262@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 130610

Hi, Dan:

I don't know what to tell you, but it works fine for me.

You might check the properties in that bookmark and see what URL it shows.

-Larry

On Thu, 21 Jan 1999 10:32:01 -0500, "D. Ruhe" <ruhe+@pitt.edu> wrote:

>Anybody know the new url for Los Angles City Fire Department ?? I have
>it as www.lafd.org but when I use it I end up on GeoClock homepage.
>Did a search but came up with same url. Thanks in Advance for any help
>-Dan- KE3UC

2357. Re: Regency MX-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: levys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <36a7745f.2441482@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 130611

Hi,

My old MX-5000 was grey :)

Nice radio, but very slow scan rate.

I did the scan speed mod, but I would not recommend it as the gain in
speed is marginal (10 ch/sec) and pretty hard to do. You have to jump
a resistor in a very cramped spot. At the same time, you have to be
careful not to overheat the existing solder joint. Pain in the arse!

-Larry

On Wed, 20 Jan 1999 17:53:12 +0000, Jonathan Clough
<Clough@Javiation.co.uk> wrote:

>In article <36A62AD2.2E95@sgi.net>, Jack@sgi.net writes
>
>>I have both radios, Regency MX-7000 and AOR AR-2002 and they both
>>operate exactly the same. I have had them for many years. The AOR is
>>in the car and the MX is in my ham shack.
>
>Operating wise they were the same (key presses etc.) but the MX-7000
>were in the black cases, same as the MX-5000/AR2001. The MX-8000/AR2002
>were in beige coloured cases with the buttons and Signal strength meter.
>
>I havn't heard of/seen a beige MX-7000....a collectors piece :-) ?
>
>All the AR2515 (done by ACE) I have seen (modified AR2002/MX-8000) had
>AR2002 serial/FCC stickers on the back.
>
>>As mods, I haven't heard of any. I ask a simular question some time ago
>>and had no response.
>
>You could speed up the AR2001/MX-5000/MX-7000 slightly by varying the
>value of a capacitor but it isn't something I'd suggest as it also
>mucked up the delay.
>
>Cheers,
> /---\
>| Jonathan Clough | HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the |
>| Javiation, | leading manufacturers including: |
>| Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K. |AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10|
>| Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146 | Commtel 205/216/510, Icom IC-R2/R10/PCR1000 |
>| Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627 \ Realistic PRO-26/2042, Standard AX400B |
>| Clough@javiation.co.uk \ UBC-3000XLT/9000XLT, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000 |

```
>| http://www.javiation.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000 |
> \-------------------------------------------------------------------/
```

2358. Re: Scanner Database program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <787u70bcj1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130612

Allen wrote in message <36a739e7.67718573@news.sonic.net>...
>I agree with you Ken. I use MS Excell and find it is excellent way to
>keep track of things.
>Allen

Poor Excel. Doesn't anyone know what it's really for? It's a spreadsheet.
Not a word processor. Not a database. Not a presentation maker. It's a
spreadsheet.

Try Access instead. You can not only store your information, but it's a
simple task to build forms that search, automate entry and print reports.

Hell, I'll write one and sell it to ya for $20 a copy (includes the Access
runtime so you don't need Access on your machine) or $10 (Just the database)

Email me if you're interested and I'll get started!
-Phil

2359. Re: Anyone have GPS Mapkit by DeLorme for me to DEMO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <36a88674.8937518@news.uia.net>
Source record: 130613

Well, I want to test it for accuracy in an aviation application. I
don't mind buying it but I don't want to be stuck with it if it does
not.

On Thu, 14 Jan 1999 09:03:04 -0500, "Ivan Ivanovich"
<iivanovich@usf.com> wrote:

>I use this system at work what do you need to know?
>
>Ivan Ivanovich
>
>Poster Boy wrote in message <36a488c3.11740373@news.uia.net>...
>

2360. Re: atc freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <36a987a4.9241842@news.uia.net>
Source record: 130614

Tower freq's I know off hand are...

CNO (Chino) 118.5
EMT (El Monte) 121.2
FUL (Fullerton) 119.1
LAX 120.95
LGB (Long Beach) 119.4
ONT 120.6
POC (Brackett) 118.2
RAL (Riverside Muni) 121.0
SNA (John Wayne) 119.9

If you need a particular freq, e-mail me and I'll look it up on the LA
TAC.

On Thu, 7 Jan 1999 14:09:18 -0800, "david phillips"
<pmcdn@email.msn.com> wrote:

>I am looking for freqs for L.A. area air traffic control. Is there a website
>that has a wide range of freqs?
>Dave
>

2361. Re: Can I take my HF radio on a plane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <36ab8c1e.10387993@news.uia.net>
Source record: 130615
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The bottom line is it depends on the policy of that particular
airline. However, in my line of work I fly in a Cessna 172 at about
2,500 feet every day. I use my scanner and get terrific reception
from distant broadcasts. I would guess that at higher altitude the
reception would be even greater.

What I want to know is why nobody bats an eye at laptop computers on a
flight. Those things throw of more crap that would likely interfere
with navigation than anything I can imagine. No one seems concerned
about this. It makes me wonder that's all.

Does anyone know about using a GPS reciever on a commercial flight?

On Tue, 22 Dec 1998 00:40:16 GMT, kryppy@bellsouth.net (Jack) wrote:

>I'm going on a trip for Christmas and was wondering if I could take my
>shortwave on the airplane for some listening action. :p
>

2362. Orem, Utah PD on 800 MHz trunked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A78AC2.7E195A67@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130616

Hi all,

This morning at around 0800, the Orem PD had a helluva chase going
with a stolen car, the thief was shooting at the cops and then carjacked

another car when the first one blew it's radiator. It was an action
packed
chase, that's for sure.

If anyone can't hear Orem PD trunked system because the published
freqs are incomplete, let me know because I found the rest of the freqs
in use including the data channel. Signal strength is excellent, I hear
them
fine on my Pro-90 (even in my basement) with stock rubber ducky at my
house 30 miles north of Orem.

Regards,
Jon

2363. FS: Icom R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: gregg@plains.nodak.edu
Message-ID: <7881g8$ln0$1@node2.nodak.edu>
Source record: 130617

Icom IC-R8500 scanner/receiver for sale. 100Khz to 2Ghz coverage, less cellular. 1000 channels, search, store, all modes, banks, many features. It has a great receiver, both on HF and vhf/uhf. It has everything you'd need for a great swl receiver and everything you'd want for a great scanner. It even has a builtin computer interface, and I have the optional software pack for it, too. Includes all manuals and original box and computer control accessory. I will include instructions to restore full 800Mhz.

New, lower price:

$1250 shipped.

2364. Re: Can you split a scanner ant. to feed 2 scanners ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <36ac8c3b.10416989@news.uia.net>
Source record: 130618

Yes you can but of course it's a tradeoff. With any passive splitter there is a calculated signal loss involved. It's usually 3.5 db for a 2-way splitter. Also, some splitters are not rated for freq's above 600 MHz.

Read the spec's before you spend that whopping $3.00 for your splitter.

On 28 Dec 1998 01:07:27 GMT, sandman-1nobots@worldnet.att.net. (Mile-Hi) wrote:

>I have 2 scanners and one external antena and instead of putting up
>another antena could I tap into the one I have with out losing much
>signal ?
>
>Thanks
>Tim

2365. Re: cellular reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill conduit <myway@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36A78F7D.D7047154@netcom.com>
Source record: 130619

jeff watkins wrote:

> Can anyone tell me how to tune both sides of a cellular transmission on
> my MVT-7100? I receive one side very well but never the other side of
> the
> conversation. Thanks in advance.

Your admission here is going to trigger many
searhces to find you....what you are doing
is illegal. They have laws against this
kind of stuff and I am sure that what
you are doing is benign and wholesome
fun...but the feds will not view it this way.

any of you people who think try to THINK
better....

...what you are admitting to is a federal offense.

...best you get rid of that device and let the
world know it's been "goned" or "broken"
or "LOST" or ?

never post stupidity like this. IT's worse
than posting about Le Stinke.

bill conduit
etc.

2366. pro 2048 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: geeper <geeper@gateway.net>
Message-ID: <787n35$1e8$1@server2.wans.net>
Source record: 130620

i never see anything in the newsgroup on the radio shack pro 2048 scanner. i have one but does anyone have anything good or bad to say about this particular model?

2367. Re: DTMF and Knox Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <36ad8ec2.11063870@news.uia.net>
Source record: 130621

On Thu, 24 Dec 1998 14:46:00 -0600, n9jig@theramp.net (Rich Carlson)
wrote:

>>
>> Correct me if I'm wrong, but in my phone phreak days, I was taught
>> that DTMF was Dual Tone, Multi Frequency.
>>
>> omehegan@lynx.neu.edu! (Bad in Plaid)
>
>Well, my dad worked for Bell Labs when I was a kid, and he always
>reffered to it as "Multi Function", since Bell Labs developed the whole
>thing, I assumed that was correct. I have heard several references to it
>as "Multi Frequency" recently, so maybe I am wrong....
>
>Since the "Dual Tone" part already refers to seperate tones, I would think
>that "Muli Frequency" is duplicitive...

OK my version of the story says Dual Tone Modulated Frequency

2368. Hey! Air Freeks! (Air Freqs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990121153403.07512.00000279@ng51.aol.com>
Source record: 130622

This may be the last WebSite you'll ever need to go to in order to get airport frequency information. I found it a year ago and it's a blast. It has everything from tower, arrivals, departure, ground ATIS, ATC, unicom frequencies, airport maps and dimensional information, radio/navigational beacons, Long/Lats, runway length, how many times to key the mic to bring up the lights on some unmanned facilities, etc. If you want to get to know even the little municpal airports as well as the seriously and even dangerously busy ones, here you go:

http://www.airnav.com/airports

Have fun!

Tom KB2FIK
Tbone107@aol.com

2369. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <36ae8f81.11255057@news.uia.net>
Source record: 130623

On 12 Jan 1999 23:35:07 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>If you want to monitor military communications then you need to join MilCom,
>the military monitoring e-mail listserver,:

Isn't there a way to do it without joining the list?

2370. FS ALINCO DJ-G1 2 METER RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: angus <angus@blast.net>
Message-ID: <01be457e$907a90e0$33323232@fireball.blast.net>
Source record: 130624
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,nj.forsale,pa.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ALINCO DJ-G1 RADIO
2 METER TX/RX
440 RX
FULLY MODIFIED
W/CHARGER

2371. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <36af90c4.11577921@news.uia.net>
Source record: 130625

On Mon, 11 Jan 1999 23:42:43 -0500, "Rob Lang" <robertlang@nac.net>
wrote:

>And be happy they don't use it 100% of the time!
>
>When the police operate in secret . . .
>You have Secret Police!
>

No, I think that's called tactical information kept private for
officer safety. Vehicle stops and domestic squabbles are one matter,
meth lab busts where the dickhead drug cookers are listening is
another matter altogether.

2372. Re: LAFD ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill conduit <myway@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36A7929A.1C42FC82@netcom.com>
Source record: 130626

D. Ruhe wrote:

> Anybody know the new url for Los Angles City Fire Department ?? I have
> it as www.lafd.org but when I use it I end up on GeoClock homepage.
> Did a search but came up with same url. Thanks in Advance for any help
> -Dan- KE3UC

try this:

http://www.ci.la.ca.us

http://www.ci.la.ca.us/departments/lafd/index.html

you can always get in touch with
the PIO at LAFD...his name is
Humphries

that should do you

bill conduit
etc.

the www site also is

http://www.policecall.com
will have some

try this: http://www.li.net/~j4dice/links.html

http://www.bearcat1.com/bearcat

bill conduit
etc.

2373. Giles Co., Va Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darrell <drayf@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <36A79109.83327167@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 130627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Would like to have frequencies for Giles Co., Virginia. Thank`s in advance........Darrell (drayf@roanoke.infi.net)

2374. Re: New Grove Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry@star.net
Message-ID: <36A7BA6D.7093@star.net>
Source record: 130628

> "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> >I've had problems too, but try order@grove-ent.com and see if that
> >works better.

> > Grove is a first class outfit.

+++++ Opinions do vary.................
> >
> >Jon

2375. Re: Nextel Radios ?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill conduit <myway@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36A7935E.C60F1A4B@netcom.com>
Source record: 130629

Scape3000 wrote:

> Hi
> I was wondering why I can not get the tramsmicion of these radio (motorola i
> 370xl) the manual said the frequency range 806MHz to 866MHz I scann that but
> nothing.
> Please let me know THANK YOU
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> Rage is a gift.
> <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

could it have something to do with your spelling
prowess?

bill conduit
etc.

2376. Re: Uniden BC890XLT/BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7883if$d1v$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130630

SHAWN wrote in message <7872u3qik1@news.inc.net>...
>if you have a copy of the schematic for the 890xlt send me a copy and i
will
>have a freind look at it he should be able to tell you what you want to
>khow.
>EAGLE@EZ-NET.COM
>

I can tell you the answer without the schematic. The answer is no. They are
two entirely different units. One is dual conversion and one is triple
conversion.

-Phil

2377. Re: HOW is posible????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <36b092f5.12139365@news.uia.net>
Source record: 130631

If you post newsgroup articles like this...

>Hi I got me a cellular for my birthday an I'm worry about people that get the
>line or the number of cellulars how they do it an how can I detect them, wher
>do they get the things or instruments to get the numbers of cellular
>is there a way to stop that
>please help whit these
>
>one of my friends got a bill of $600.00 Dollars he is not on the phone all the
>time
>Thank you.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>Rage is a gift.
><<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

...you might just be a redneck!!!!

2378. IC-02AT open pots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Garrity <the65gto@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7883vg$fqf@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130632

Was just wondering if I am the only one experiencing pots (squelch and volume) controls that just open. Replacing the pots on these little HT's is no fun, and it takes ICOM a week to send your parts and besides that another day to get my eyes uncrossed!! TX.. Mike (N4ozc)

2379. Rogue Cancellation summary for rec.radio.scanner - 01/19/99 - 01/21/99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cancel Watch <cancelwatch@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A79412.1A12@usa.net>
Source record: 130633

The following is a summary of Rogue Cancellations in the
rec.radio.scanner newsgroup from 01/19/99 - 01/21/99

All of the Rogue Cancels are originating from OzEmail/Access One
Pty Ltd in Melbourne, Australia. If one of your articles was
Cancelled by this Rogue Canceller, then I suggest you send a
complaint to the Abuse Dept at OzEmail/Access One Pty Ltd at
abuse@aone.net.au and abuse@ozland.net.au along with the headers
to one of the Rogue Cancels listed below.

This is the break down of the articles that were cancelled:

maher@yahoo.com 2 Articles Cancelled
Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com 3 Articles Cancelled
jmackey@usa.net 3 Articles Cancelled

==========

Path:
gail.ripco.com!news.chaven.com!news.mayspeh.net!uunet!in4.uu.net!news.mel.aone.net.au!news
feed-in.aone.net.au!not-for-mail
From: Chip Bettlesprokiet <maher@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Cancel "STUPID POSTS Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey
Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)"
Control: cancel <36A76A8C.F1577D7@yahoo.com>
Date: Thu, 21 Jan 1999 18:27:39 GMT
Organization: Customer of OzEmail/Access One Pty Ltd, Melbourne,
Australia
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <36aa7199.900145@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
Reply-To: rob731@juno.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.23.169.249
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.mel.aone.net.au 916943336 24283 203.23.169.249 (21 Jan
1999 18:28:56 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 Jan 1999 18:28:56 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451

Path:
gail.ripco.com!news.chaven.com!news.mayspeh.net!uunet!in4.uu.net!news.mel.aone.net.au!news
feed-in.aone.net.au!not-for-mail
From: Chip Bettlesprokiet <maher@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Subject: Cancel "STUPID POSTS Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?"
Control: cancel <36A769B6.EC27ED6F@yahoo.com>
Date: Thu, 21 Jan 1999 18:27:07 GMT
Organization: Customer of OzEmail/Access One Pty Ltd, Melbourne,
Australia
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <36a97179.868201@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
Reply-To: rob731@juno.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.23.169.249
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.mel.aone.net.au 916943304 24283 203.23.169.249 (21 Jan
1999 18:28:24 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 Jan 1999 18:28:24 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451

Path:
gail.ripco.com!news.chaven.com!news.mayspeh.net!uunet!in4.uu.net!news.mel.aone.net.au!news
feed-in.aone.net.au!not-for-mail
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Cancel "Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)"
Control: cancel <36A53DA4.5FE2BD88@INVALID.com>
Date: Wed, 20 Jan 1999 04:24:49 GMT
Organization: Customer of OzEmail/Access One Pty Ltd, Melbourne,
Australia
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <36a75a8d.7414769@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1mda94.vic.ozland.net.au
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.mel.aone.net.au 916806365 8006 203.23.169.224 (20 Jan 1999
04:26:05 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: 20 Jan 1999 04:26:05 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451
Xref: gail.ripco.com control:27899901

Path:
gail.ripco.com!news.chaven.com!news.mayspeh.net!uunet!in4.uu.net!news.mel.aone.net.au!news
feed-in.aone.net.au!not-for-mail
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Cancel "Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)"
Control: cancel <36A443F9.1010@usa.net>
Date: Tue, 19 Jan 1999 09:26:29 GMT
Organization: Customer of OzEmail/Access One Pty Ltd, Melbourne,
Australia
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <36a74fa3.19206424@news.vic.ozland.net.au>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 1mda47.vic.ozland.net.au
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.mel.aone.net.au 916738067 11663 203.23.169.176 (19 Jan
1999 09:27:47 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Jan 1999 09:27:47 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451

Path:
gail.ripco.com!news.chaven.com!news.mayspeh.net!uunet!in4.uu.net!news.mel.aone.net.au!news
feed-in.aone.net.au!not-for-mail
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Cancel "Re: Repeaters"
Control: cancel <36A43FDE.6226@usa.net>
Date: Tue, 19 Jan 1999 09:26:27 GMT
Organization: Customer of OzEmail/Access One Pty Ltd, Melbourne,
Australia
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <36a64f96.19194147@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1mda47.vic.ozland.net.au
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.mel.aone.net.au 916738065 11663 203.23.169.176 (19 Jan
1999 09:27:45 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Jan 1999 09:27:45 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451

Path:
gail.ripco.com!news.chaven.com!news.mayspeh.net!uunet!in4.uu.net!news.mel.aone.net.au!news
feed-in.aone.net.au!not-for-mail
From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Cancel "Re: Repeaters"
Control: cancel <36A441D0.4EF8@usa.net>
Date: Tue, 19 Jan 1999 09:26:30 GMT
Organization: Customer of OzEmail/Access One Pty Ltd, Melbourne,
Australia
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <36a84fb5.19224195@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1mda47.vic.ozland.net.au
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.mel.aone.net.au 916738068 11663 203.23.169.176 (19 Jan
1999 09:27:48 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Jan 1999 09:27:48 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451

Path:
gail.ripco.com!news.chaven.com!news.mayspeh.net!uunet!in4.uu.net!news.mel.aone.net.au!news
feed-in.aone.net.au!not-for-mail
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Cancel "Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)"
Control: cancel <36A29F08.D904432B@INVALID.com>
Date: Mon, 18 Jan 1999 05:23:33 GMT
Organization: Customer of OzEmail/Access One Pty Ltd, Melbourne,
Australia
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <36abc553.3510296@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1mda107.vic.ozland.net.au
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.mel.aone.net.au 916637089 18005 203.23.169.237 (18 Jan
1999 05:24:49 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Jan 1999 05:24:49 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451

Path:
gail.ripco.com!news.chaven.com!news.mayspeh.net!uunet!in4.uu.net!news.mel.aone.net.au!news
feed-in.aone.net.au!not-for-mail
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Cancel "Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)"
Control: cancel <36A2695B.9903D053@INVALID.com>
Date: Mon, 18 Jan 1999 05:23:24 GMT
Organization: Customer of OzEmail/Access One Pty Ltd, Melbourne,
Australia
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <36aac548.3499254@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1mda107.vic.ozland.net.au
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.mel.aone.net.au 916637080 18005 203.23.169.237 (18 Jan
1999 05:24:40 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Jan 1999 05:24:40 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451

2380. Re: BC895 RF Demodulator Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: gknox@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36a79cc8.2122157@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 130634

On Wed, 20 Jan 1999 21:42:51 -0500, Ken Brooke <ken@ioip.com> wrote:

>
>
>Phil Smalley wrote:
>
>> Ken Brooke wrote in message <36A64667.6984B5DF@mitretek.org>...
>
>> >But then something struck me! The Trunktracker already has a modem
>> >in it, for that's how it reads the control channel of the trunked
>> >system, in order to track it. I don't know if the little beastie is
>> >active all the time, or just during trunk tracking, but I'll bet it
>> >already can do everything the homebrews can, and then some.
>>
>> Well, yes and no.
>>

>
>Yes, I understand about the computer interface, and have also been playing
>around with rolling my own little Visual Basic program. I also have been inside
>
>
>be able to ID where the scanner's demodulator was. Of course, it's just an
>educated guess on my part that such a thing exists.
>
>Maybe some kind soul with the right schematics and some time on their hands
>can answer my question. I figured it was worth a shot, anyway.
>
>-regards,
>
>Ken

Ken,

There's no modem per se in the BC895. The demodulation of the FSK control
channel data is accomplished by the discriminator. That gets you your 0s
and 1s out of the IF waveform. The microprocessor looks at the
discriminator output and reads in the binary data. After that it's all
internal data massage to find sync bits, etc. There's really nothing you
can get at short of decompiling the micro's ROM.

Greg Knox

2381. Pro-2038 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <36A79C16.1BE26808@fnal.gov>
Source record: 130635

I have a Pro-2038 that has pre-programmed police and fire freqs plus the ability to add a few of your own (20 and 10) within these banks. There are 20 more in a private bank too.

It seems silly to add police/fire freqs into these banks, freqs that are most likely in there already. The problem is that I live in the Chicago area and it takes so long for it to scan through all of the pre-programmed freqs (it stops on so many) that it's a useless "feature".

Is there a way I can lockout/block all of the pre-programmed freqs (soldering iron solutions are acceptable ;-) then program the ones I want into the 10(fire) or 20(police) "extra" channels they provide?

So far, the only way I can use this scanner is the private bank which gives me (only) 20 channels. That works, but there are 30 more channels that I would like to access and the radio insists on scanning the pregrammed freqs as well.
--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov - Home Page: http://www-oss.fnal.gov/~colombo/

2382. Source for kits?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: opalko@usa.net
Message-ID: <785vhfbtd1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Ok, so I don't have my license yet, but I'm going to soon. My question is more general, however. Is there a source for receiver and transmitter kits like the old Heathkits? Does anyone make stuff like that anymore?

On another note, is there a similar source for a scanner kit?

Thanks,
Bob

email replies preferred
opalko@usa.net

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2383. interface for rs scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis Bidulock <bidulock@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ptup2.9148$Kg6.66248@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 130641
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,atl.radio.scanner,news.admin.radio.scanner,news.groups.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,scanner
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Curtis James Bidulock.vcf

I have a pro46,pro90,pro2045,pro2023, they all have a pin connector on the main board to the keypad and display. Does anyone know if this connector can be wired to a pc and then controlled by software?

--
Later Curtis Bidulock
Web Site: http:\\www.geocitites.com\area51\3522\
ICQ#:6431823

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Curtis James Bidulock.vcf]

2384. Any mods available for the RS Pro-91?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougd@cros.net
Message-ID: <36a67464.8748663@news.cros.net>
Source record: 130642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Any mods available for the RS Pro-91?

Doug

2385. Dallas Area Freq Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@all.com
Message-ID: <36a67731.777865@news.iglobal.net>
Source record: 130643

Anyone know the freqs for Southwestern Medical Center Police
Department? Please post.
Thanks in advance.

2386. FS Pro 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: aciesynski@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990120200254.21443.00000383@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 130644

For sale or trade one PRO 43 with cell mod and low band restore. Have extended receive mod. Also have upgraded speaker new in box not yet installed and some extra electronics parts to do some other mods as i have the rs numbers for these. Also have a service manual. The radio is a 9 on scale of 1-10 If interested please email with an offer.
al

2387. FS YUPITERU MVT 7200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: aciesynski@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990120195939.21443.00000380@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 130645

Yupi Mvt 7200 as new with yupi soft case. Have all accesorys like manual, antenna, earphone, cig adaptor.$400 Javiation sells the radio for 500. Email if any questions
al

2388. Antenna Choice!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: TKD <rt12@montrose.net>
Message-ID: <01be44d9$867bb9c0$58c385cc@default>
Source record: 130646
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Flash distributing has the Best Antenna for all bands!
This is a Loaded coil type and can be extended and quickly rolled back up.
Works great indoors or outdoors!
Ive tried all kinds from Discone to large Beam and this one works the best!
They have a super price and a secure server you can order over the net.
see
http://flashdistributor.com
and its Guaranteed!!!

2389. Nextel Radios ?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: scape3000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990120201835.05217.00000324@ng37.aol.com>
Source record: 130647

Hi
I was wondering why I can not get the tramsmicion of these radio (motorola i 370xl) the manual said the frequency range 806MHz to 866MHz I scann that but nothing.
Please let me know THANK YOU
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
Rage is a gift.
<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

2390. Re: Nextel Radios ?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <6nvp2.406$q_.541@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 130648

Their signal is digital.

Bill Crocker

Scape3000 wrote in message <19990120201835.05217.00000324@ng37.aol.com>...
>Hi
>I was wondering why I can not get the tramsmicion of these radio (motorola
i
>370xl) the manual said the frequency range 806MHz to 866MHz I scann that
but
>nothing.
>Please let me know THANK YOU
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>Rage is a gift.
><<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

2391. Radio Shack is GREAT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36b08504.510014082@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130649
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

just stopped into my local RadioShack today and picked up 3 of the
20-014 antennas on clearance for $6.97.
Gave one to a friend who stuck it on the tv pole at about 15 feet with
RG/6 and it's pulling in everything. There are quite a few in my
district so I'm sure they are widely available.

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2392. WTB BC 400XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: C W <CW4EVER@penn.com>
Message-ID: <36A6F92B.B31531DF@penn.com>
Source record: 130650

I am looking to buy a BC 400XLT.

Tnx
Walt.

2393. Re: Radio Shack 2037 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: chrisk1111@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990120205310.05219.00000324@ng37.aol.com>
Source record: 130651

still available?

2394. Re: scanners f.s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: chrisk1111@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990120205750.05219.00000328@ng37.aol.com>
Source record: 130652

prices?

2395. Re: police Frequiencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <01be44e2$c2f9c8c0$d5685ed1@oemcomputer>
Source record: 130653

Not nice to make fun of stutterers!

bill conduit <myway@netcom.com> wrote in article
<36A431BC.D925A881@netcom.com>...
>
>
> David Skinner wrote:
>
> > Can any one Help,
> > I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
> > Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
> > I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
> > Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Can any one Help,
> > I'm a photojournalist whose world has been shattered .As of today out
> > Police Department in Bermuda has changed its Frequencies, and I,m sure
they
> > are also scrabbling it
> > Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment),
that I
> > can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
> > transmissions.
> >
> > , and I,m sure they
> > are also scrabbling it
> > Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment),
that I
> > can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
> > transmissions.
> >
> > , and I,m sure they
> > are also scrabbling it
> > Is there any quick way (tricks or by using any other equipment),
that I
> > can find the new frequency and if I do how can I descramble their
> > transmissions.
>
> me thinks they scrabbled your mind too...
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
>

2396. Re: CI-V / CI-5 protocol for scanners/radios ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36b18bfc.511797953@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 20 Jan 1999 18:53:11 GMT, moverlaw@mindspring.com (Mitch Martin) wrote:

>Can anyone tell me exactly what radios/scanners have this CI-5 aka ?
>CI-V protocol.
>
>I hear that the new OptoCOMM has it, but do any others ?

Icom developed it. I would guess most of their computer controlled scanners use it. Opto stuff uses an extended CI-V set.

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2397. Yup MVT 7100 @ 250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990120214505.19996.00000320@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 130655

1000 ch scanner Mulitband receiver handheld

250.00 shipped

Prepayment
Postal Money Order Only

Thanks

2398. Re: WTB: Frequency counter - $ 75.00 /less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: joegizjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990120213917.17028.00000267@ng31.aol.com>
Source record: 130656

Dont bother with the RS Unit. I picked one up last week and just returned it on Monday.

It could not even "count" my 900mhz cordless (or my cell phone) within five feet of it.

I am told the Opt. line is better.

If anybody has any experience with the Scout/M1/Cub please email me. I need somethign that will count within a fair distance.

Joe

2399. FS: ICOM R71A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: pat brady <pbrady@ctaz.com>
Message-ID: <36A696E0.3E6712A6@ctaz.com>
Source record: 130657

```
2 days left to bid.....Current bid of $380.00 is $20.00 away from
reserve price.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=57401558
```

2400. Target Store freq.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990120224322.07618.00000400@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 130658

I know there was a gentleman out there that wanted frequencies such as this but I forgot his e-mail. I also posted to him Pride Patrol and North State Security frequencies which he wanted. The Target handheld radio frequency for Redding, Ca.is 151.625 mhz.

2401. F.S. Yaesu Ft50R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: digino@flash.net
Message-ID: <36A6ABCA.617E@flash.net>
Source record: 130659

For sale Yaesu Ft50R 5W battery, ADMS programming software, all mods.
Will COD if neccessary. $ 275 shipped.

digino@flash.net

2402. Re: Scanner Database program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken <Kencaz@att.net>
Message-ID: <36A40BA6.50D28AC1@att.net>
Source record: 130660

MS Excel works great!!!

ken81145@rockisland.com wrote:

> Looking for scanner database program to keep track of frequencies on
> scanners.If anyone can help please let me know as soon as possible.
>
> Ken MacLeod
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

--
----------->Ken<------------

http://home.att.net/~kencaz

2403. Re: Computer Interface Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <36A6B71A.6FB63728@globe-net.net>
Source record: 130661

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 20 Jan 1999 21:12:46 PDT

Ken,

With a CE-232 or Optoelectronics interface, you end up
with a DB-9 type connector on the back of your radio.
This attaches directly to the comm port on your computer
via a DB-9 cable. Since the CE-232 primarily comes as
a kit, you have the option of hardwiring a cable directly to
the interface board so you have a DB-9 cable hanging
out the back rather than a connector. With a few basic
skills, any of the above setups can be made to look like
it was a factory option.

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Ken Haas wrote:

> I have a question regarding the coupling of my scanner to my computer.
>
> Q: What does the scanner "look like" after it has been modified to
> interface with a computer? Is there a cable hanging off of the scanner, or
> is there a plug on the scanner to accept a cable??
>
> Thanks,
>
> Ken

2404. Re: BC235XLT NiCad Battery "Memory"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <36A6B9B6.A6213EE8@globe-net.net>
Source record: 130662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 20 Jan 1999 21:23:54 PDT

Years ago, Nicads suffered somewhat from voltage depression
(sometimes called "memory"). The batteries of today don't have
this problem. Gobs of info on the www. One good source to
get you started (if you want all the juicy details):

http://www.bath.ac.uk/~bspahh/bikelights/node11.html
Make sure to read all three attachments

Just don't overcharge your batteries and you'll be fine.

Robert

Randal wrote:

> Typically, NiCad batteries have what is known as a memory effect, that
> significantly reduces their usable life if recharged before they are
> fully discharged.
>
> Does anyone know if this holds true for the NiCads that come with the
> BC235XLT? Can I recharge them every night or should I wait until the
> Battery Low indicator flashes?
>
> Thanks.

2405. Re: Charlotte N.C. Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A6BF0A.6D58@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Darrell wrote:
>
> Hello,
> Would appreciate a good list of frequencies for the Charl-
> otte-Concord, N.C. area. Thank`s in advance......Darrell
> Send to: drayf@roanoke.infi.net

Check out the following URLs:

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/nc.html
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/nc/charlotte.txt
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/nc.html

2406. Re: Pro-2035/2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <36A6BF4B.195896E@globe-net.net>
Source record: 130664

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 20 Jan 1999 21:47:43 PDT

Newer models came out. As much as I like my PRO-2042 with
the OS535 interface, it still doesn't trunktrack like the newer
and MUCH cheaper models (unless you hang another
scanner, a computer, and software on it). The 2042 sold for
$499 new. I'm glad companies keep building new
models. Maybe someday they'll get one right! Got my sights set on
the BC245XLT when it hits the market. OBTW, still ain't selling
my 2042! Remember, Lamborghini stopped making the Countach.
Does this make something wrong with it? If so, send either a
black or red model my way! ;-)

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

zaaap wrote:

> What happened to these scanners? Was there a problem with them? Why
> did RS discontinue them.

2407. Re: Any mods available for the RS Pro-91?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: teal9425@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990121010753.19994.00000539@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 130665

there are none currently available

2408. Re: WTB: Frequency counter - $ 75.00 /less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan B <kd4lyp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A6C39B.47@usa.net>
Source record: 130666

JOEGIZJR wrote:
>
> Dont bother with the RS Unit. I picked one up last week and just returned it on
> Monday.
>
> It could not even "count" my 900mhz cordless (or my cell phone) within five
> feet of it.
>
> I am told the Opt. line is better.
>
> If anybody has any experience with the Scout/M1/Cub please email me. I need
> somethign that will count within a fair distance.
>
> Joe

http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp/opto.htm

--
http://www.webdeals.net
Web design and hosting for $5 a month!
Made for small businesses in the USA.

2409. Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <36a6c473.2342618@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 130667

On Wed, 20 Jan 1999 18:43:56 -0800, George White <deepy@serv.net>
wrote:

>allsafe wrote:
>
>> I caught this in the national news section:
>>
>> Mackey's allegedly involved in a civil litigation action against a
>> Portland plastic surgeon.
>>
>> It appears that Mackey and his boyfriend went prancing into the good
>> doctor's office for some advice. It seems that Mackey's boyfriend
>> wanted Mackey to get rid of his "love handles".
>>
>> The doctor assured Mackey that it wouldn't be a problem and Mackey
>> agreed to the elective surgery.
>>
>> However, Mackey was less than thrilled to learn in post-op that his ears
>> had been removed.
>>
>> Don't that beat all? I SAID...DON'T THAT BEAT ALL!?!?!!?!?
>>
>> hehe
>
>And what in God's Green Earth does this have to do with scanners?

About as much as anything Mackey ever posts here.

2410. Re: Any mods available for the RS Pro-91?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>
Message-ID: <36A6C905.3BB7B6CC@tidalwave.net>
Source record: 130668

Teal9425 wrote:

> there are none currently available

I hope some one is working on a light mod.

I could also use a light mod for my BC200XLT

--
Check Out My WebCam!!

http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html

ICQ me 8309550

I was skydiving horizontally.

2411. Re: best scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <36A6CC98.27BF714C@home.com>
Source record: 130669

Jason Leland Plett wrote:
>
> What is the frequency of light?

I don't know, but I know when I am receiving light because I don't
have to use the cheesy backlight on my PRO-43.

-jim

2412. Re: F.S. Yaesu Ft50R, BUSTED BY PRICE POLICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <36A6CD67.56F3FFFC@home.com>
Source record: 130670

You can get a new FT50R for $275.00 from HRO. You should lower your
price to $220.00.

Jim Wayda
Captain, Scanner Price Police

digino@flash.net wrote:
>
> For sale Yaesu Ft50R 5W battery, ADMS programming software, all mods.
> Will COD if neccessary. $ 275 shipped.
>
> digino@flash.net

2413. Commtel 216?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36a6d804.341816426@news.value.net>
Source record: 130671

Anyone familiar with the Commtel 216?

I just might opt for this one instead of the Euro version of the AR8200.

Advice appreciated!

Mike

2414. Re: TESLA everybody knows *that*!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: chriscom@nvinet.com
Message-ID: <36a6cc76.16802157@news.nvinet.com>
Source record: 130672
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

If your Looking for "Official" .... maybe the info offered in the
original thread, by John L Forbes is the ultimate in "Official fact"

>Marconi is credited with the first practical and commercial use of
>wireless, but a U.S. Supreme Court decision in 1943 (Marconi Wireless
>Telegraph Co. of America v United States) decided that Nikola Tesla was
>the inventor of radio.
>--
>jlforbes@eskimo.com
>http://www.eskimo.com/~jlforbes/
>http://www.broadcast.net/~sbe16/

Then there is this from ... The ABC of Wireless Telegraphy / Edward Trevert /
Bubier , 1906 page 23 "Professor A. Shably refers to Mr. Tesla as the
'father of wireless telegraphy,' .... [and] ascribes the origin of wireless
telegraphy to the New York inventer. [Tesla]" Shably was a German Radio
inventor/ engineer of the era.

Even though the 'common' folk believe/teach that Marconi was our man,
those in the field then and now say Tesla was the one.

Then again ..... what do they know, the supreme court, Shably and all the rest ?

In the same book on page 17 .... we find : "In 1853 J. B. Linsay demonstrated
that a message could be transmitted across water at points 500 yards apart
without continuous wires, and patented this invention in 1854"

If a "patent" is what what ya gota have for them "Official facts" munch on that
one for a spell ;-)

There is more ....quite a bit more to this saga but I don't have the material
here at this time to continue this jot down memory lane. When all is said
and done, we will probably never know who was really first in radio.
One thing seems pretty clear .... Both Tesla and Marconi were the dudes
that gave us radio as we know it today. Rest asured that they too ....
"stood on the Shoulders of Giants". I still can't help from wondering
if there were not Cave Men using words like ...... 10-4 good buddy and
what's your 10-20 OM and this is the Bad Boy143 comun back at ya
and QRZed the frequency and QRM and .....73'ssssssss ?????? :-)

\\///.............. From the mind of the Tube's ..... and we're QRT ....
and gona hit the "BedRock" .... Now where's Wilma, I feel some
88's coming on !?!

On Wed, 20 Jan 1999 07:56:15 -0800, John Lundgren
<NOlundgrejSPAM@mail.rsccd.org> wrote:

>Scotty wrote:
>[snip]
>
>> Marconi was NOT the inventor. He was a developer who got sponsors on-board
>
>Well, Edison was an inventor, but he wasn't the first to try making a
>light bulb. But he was the first to make one that was successful, and
>he patented it. And he is recognized for his invention. So is
>Marconi.
>
>So basically what I'm saying is that if someone invents something, and
>doesn't patent it, and then someone else does patent it, the officially
>recognized inventor is the one with the patent. Some guy, might have
>been Stubblefield, did similar radio experiments a long time before
>Marconi, but he didn't patent it, and so is not officially recognized.
>I'm not disputing what Tesla did, I'm just stating an official fact.
>
>
>
>--
>Please remove NO and SPAM from my email addr to reply.
>--
>@@@@@@@@@@T@h@e@@I@n@t@e@r@n@e@t@@w@a@s@@c@o@o@l@@u@n@t@i@l@@@@@@@@@
>@ John Lundgren Elec. Tech, Info. Tech. Svcs.| lundgrej <AT> mail @
>@ Rancho Santiago Community College District | .rsccd.org @
>@ 17th St. at Bristol \ Santa Ana, CA 92706 | www.rsccd.org @
>@ My opinions are mine, NOT my employer's. |PGP key avail on req@
>@ "Everything is InterTwingled" - Ted Nelson in ComputerLib @
>@@@@@@@@@@e@v@e@r@y@o@n@e@@@f@o@u@n@d@@o@u@t@@a@b@o@u@t@@i@t@@@@@@@@@@
>I am a California Resident and ALL California Junk Email laws apply.
>You must meet _all_ Calif Anti-SPAM laws. See www.leginfo.ca.gov
>and search for 17538.4 in the business and professions code.

2415. Re: 1.3GHz Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkf435@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990121032041.02107.00000555@ng05.aol.com>
Source record: 130673

1280 area is ham repeaters output

2416. Re: Astro / APCO25 Digital Scanner Project Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: donaldt@ipars.sds.com
Message-ID: <916906592.81115@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 130674

apco25_scanner@hotmail.com wrote:
: Its comming along, I can just about parse all the raw data fields (Voice
: Logical Data Unit 1 and 2, Stand Alone Link Control Units, Confirmed Data
: Units, Unconfirmed Data Units). I can see what happens (Unit ID's,
: Status/Message Requests/Responses) but just cant hear Voice. I have the
: (144/88 bit) IMBE voice packets but need a DVSI IMBE vocoder board to turn
: the bits into audio (This is a project in itself).

: Being able to recover the data bits reliably and being able to understand and
: parse the data from public APCO25 documents was my main goal. It wasnt to
: listen in on converstations.

: If I have some more time and resources maybe I will try to interface a DVSI
: IMBE vocoder board to my APCO25 sniffer so that I can hear audio.

: Basically my project at this point is a logging/diagnostic tool (protocol
: analyzer) for APCO25 systems. All this data can be logged onto a hard drive
: and examined at any other time. I can even play it back to a Astor Saber(read
: further..)

: The people on the net have been very helpful, I even was able to borrow
: someone's Astro Saber. I listen in while the Astro Saber was transmitting and
: was able to capture everything. I used a Hp Modulation Analyzer as my
: receiver, feed the demod out to my Motorola 56002 EVB ($149 for this DSP eval
: board) which turns the 4-level fm into binary data bits and then, feed the
: recovered symbols to my application.

: And get this, the same bits I capture onto hard drive was played back to the
: Astro Saber. What I did was to feed the raw bits back into my DSP board which
: created a baseband 4-level FM signal. I then feed this baseband signal into a
: Hp Signal Generator tuned to the Rx frequency of the Astro Saber. And guess
: what.... I heard every word spoken into the Astro saber that I originally
: recorded. Wow..... I can record a police officer's conversation, and play it
: back over the air... only at lower power since the Hp Signal Generator can
: only generate about 0dB of RF (about 50 foot range). But of course a Hp
: Communications Analyzer and Hp Signal Generator would cost me about $70,000,
: I had to borrow the equipment from my professors RF lab.

: Will keep everyone updated.

: ---------------------------------
: below is the original post for all that missed it
: ---------------------------------
: >My senior design project is to build a APCO-25 Digital Scanner that is
: >capable of decoding the APCO-25 digital bitstream. I have gathered the
: >necessary documents from PUBLIC sources. Since I am working off of public

: >documents I should be safe. The only problem I have ran into is actually
: >playing back the digital audio(IMBE Voice Coder), I can get everything
: >else(Unit ID's, Talkgroup ID's, Infrastructure Signalling, Low Speed Data,
: >Link Control Data, Data Packets, ...).
: >
: >I got all my info from public TIA (Telecommunications Industry Association,
: >www.tiaonline.org) Project 25 / APCO-25 documents from my university library.
: >Actually you have to pay a fee for copies of these standards but since my
: >university library does that for me, its free....
: >
: >First off Here's what I have found out.
: >
: >- APCO25 modulation is a 4-level FM QPSK signal. If you tune your scanner to
: >an APCO/Astro channel and hook up the discriminator out to a scope it looks
: >like 4 sine waves that are constantly bouncing around. One thing I have
: >noticed is that during the beginning and end of calls there looks like its a
: >fixed pattern or at least a repeating pattern. It turns out that the pattern
: >is link control frames that are repeated at the end of a call, you can even
: >hear it, sounds like a buzzing pattern, normally it sounds like a random
: >noise.
: >
: >- Does anyone know if there is a off the shelf IC that does this, I heard a
: >professor mention a company called MXCOMM? For now I will stick with the
: >DSP/Sound card method.
: >
: >- What needs to be done is to build a circuit that can reliably decode those
: >4-level FM signals. The 4 levels are called di-bits (01, 00, 10, 11). Once
: >you can decode those di-bits its a matter of assembling that data and parsing
: >that protocol. (simple isn't it?). I am trying to use the FLEX decoder
: >circuit that was released to the public (the FLEX modulation method is also
: >4-level FM, dont ask me for a FLEX scanner since its already done and
: >publically available).
: >
: >- To reliably decode the 4-level signal you need to do some pretty complex
: >math on the data. The modulation sends 4800 symbols/sec (each di-bit symbol
: >is 2 bits thus APCO-25 has a raw 9600bps data rate. First you need to send
: >the scanner discriminator signal to a A/D converter then the digital data is
: >sent to a Intergration and Dump filter routine followed by a Stochastic Clock
: >recovery routine (sounds simple...) once that is done you get di-bits (the
: >raw 4-level FM data). This can be done using a high quality A/D converter and
: >then processing the data. I am thinking about using the Turtle Beach
: >Pinacle/Fiji sound card since it have very clean A/D's and also has a
: >Motorola 56000 DSP onboard that can run my code that does the precise 4-level
: >FM QPSK bit recovery.
: >
: >From what I have heard, future public safely radio systems world-wide will be
: >based on APCO-25, in europe its called the Tetra standard. The protocol was
: >designed by Motorola back in the early 90's and released as a public standard
: >in 1995 (very unusual for Motorola to make a public standard, they are
: >usually proprietary). Since then quite a few manufactures are building

: >APCO-25 radios (Motorola, Transcript, GE/Ericcson ...) The APCO25 system is
: >used for both conventional and trunked systems. I believe the trunked systems
: >are still partially analog and the rest is digital. What I mean is that the
: >control channel is analog (can be decoded by the trunking tracker) but the
: >voice and data channels are all digital. Maybe someone will interface my
: >APCO-25 digital decoder to a trunking tracker, that way we will be able to
: >follow trunking systems that are APCO-25 but still use the old analog control
: >channel standard. But when the control channel goes digital I will be able to
: >do all of it in my setup.
: >
: >To make my project simple I am not going to build a digital scanner that can
: >work on trunking systems, since the control channel is currently analog. But
: >that may change in the near future. And also because the calls will bounce
: >around so much it will be hard for me to debug. For now I will monitor and
: >try to decipher a conventional APCO-25 system. If I really wanted to monitor
: >a APCO25 trunked system I would just program my scanner with all the digital
: >voice channels and listen to those. Although the calls will bounce around..
: >
: >Ok to the protocol. If you have a clean capture of the 4-level fm signal the
: >one thing you will see often is a frame synchronization pattern. According to
: >the documents its 48bits in length and is hexidecimal (0x55, 0x75, 0xf5,
: >0xff, 0x77, 0xff). Those frame sync's seperate the frames of data. This is a
: >key element since if you have detected that pattern it means your 4-level FM
: >recovery routines are functioning properly.
: >
: >- each APCO-25 voice call is composed of a series of data units: 1 Header
: >unit followed by Logical Data Unit 1 and Logical Data Unit 2. Those LDU's
: >repeat until the end of the voice call. At the end of the voice call there is
: >something called a terminator data unit.
: >
: >- what distinguishes a voice frame from a data frame or a terminator frame is
: >something called a network ID. This network id is 64 bits in length and is the
: >very first piece of data after frame sync. It basically tells you what type of
: >packet it is (Header, LDU1, LDU2, Terminator, Data).
: >
: >- within each LDU packet is the actual IMBE voice data, link control data
: >(source ID, destination ID, manufacture ID, talkgroup ID, ..), Low speed data
: >(dont know what this is for), and encryption sync(key ID, algorithm ID). I can
: >basically get all this data with my parsing routine. I can tell what radio is
: >keyed up, who they are talking to, and the various signalling messages sent
: >(emergency, pages, messages,...). I have the raw IMBE voice data but dont know
: >how to turn the IMBE packets into audio.
: >
: >- Each LDU contains 9 IMBE packets, each IMBE packet is 144 bits in length,
: >88 of those bits are raw IMBE voice data. Each IMBE frame represents 20ms of
: >audio. It seems like during the beginning and end of a call the IMBE frame is
: >fixed.
: >
: >- There is only one header packet during the beginning of any APCO25 voice
: >call. This header unit contains a encryption synchronization pattern,

: >manufacture ID, encryption algorithm ID, Encryption Key ID, and Trunking
: >talkgroup ID. It seems like the encryption related data is fixed where the
: >call is not encrypted (the encryption sync pattern appears fixed and does not
: >look random).
: >
: >- Data frames are trellis encoded, I have a TIA APCO-25 document that saids
: >something about future trunking control packet being based on Data frames. I
: >guess they encapsulate trunking signalling into data frames. There are
: >basically 2 types of data frames 1/2 rate and 1/3 rate trellis encoded
: >packets. The first data frame and all standalone data frames are always 1/2
: >rate trellis encoded. Subsequent data frames can be 1/2 or 1/3 rate trellis
: >encoded depending on what type of data call it is. The types are confirmed
: >(acknowledged) or unconfirmed (unacknowledged). You see with 1/2 rate
: >encoding 1/2 or your data is forward error correction data. With 1/3 rate 2/3
: >is data and 1/3 is forward error correction. You loose alot of efficiency
: >with 1/2 rate, but it is more reliable.
: >
: >I guess all we are interested in is to hear the Audio. Thats what I dont
: >have. IMBE stands for Improved MultiBand Excitation. It is basically a Voice
: >Coder. It is designed to take 20ms of 8Khz samples with 8 bit resolution
: >voice and turn that into 144 bits with decent voice reproduction. Now thats
: >pretty dam good compression (8Khz * 8bits / 1000bps * 20ms = 1280bits/20ms,
: >for IMBE its only 144bits, that about a 9x compression).
: >
: >Note IMBE is designed for Voice, not Music or Tones. Its modeled after the
: >english speaking male human vocal track. IMBE was developed by DVSI
: >(www.dvsinc.com). The IMBE vocoder used in APCO-25 is a rate 4800bps vocoder.
: >
: >In APCO-25 all of the data is highly protected by forward error correction
: >routines (hamming, reed-solomon, golay, trellis). I wont go into any detail
: >here. But one must understand these error correction encoding methods to
: >extract the raw elements. They are all there (the raw data), you dont really
: >need to forward error correct the data. To do forward error correction codes
: >would require alot of CPU power.
: >
: >Now DVSI sells a DSP board called the VC-20 that can decode/encode IMBE. It
: >costs big bucks (between $1000-$2000). Its basically a TI DSP board with
: >their IMBE vocoder onboard. You feed it IMBE frames and it generates analog
: >audio, it can go the other way.
: >
: >Now if someone kindly donates one of these boards to me I will have a fully
: >functional APCO25 scanner. please... please... please... :-) I might even get
: >my other college associates to try and port the IMBE algorithm to a Windows
: >95/NT multimedia audio codec. DVSI, were just kidding..... hate to image
: >doing something illegal.... :-(
: >
: >Now if the IMBE voice is encrypted we are out of luck, sort of... APCO-25
: >calls for a the DES encryption method with 56bit keys. I guess there are
: >public domain DES rotines out there, anyone what to try and decode a DES
: >encrypted call, might take years. I have a theory, since I have noticed that

: >IMBE voice calls always begin and end with a fixed pattern we have
: >information that makes it tons easier to decrypt. We can try different keys
: >on the data until it matches this fixed pattern at the begining and end of
: >the IMBE voice call... once we have the key its simple to run the DES decoder
: >and get the raw unencrypted IMBE voice data.
: >
: >Image this, hook up your scanner to my 4-level FM recovery circuit, the PC
: >will process the signal and produce di-bits, my parser program will display
: >all the APCO-25 signaling data, and the IMBE will be routed to a Windows
: >Multimedia Codec to be played out on the speaker... What a dream... Its
: >possible, I am almost there...
: >
: >Maybe Bearcat will hire me when I graduate and buy my senior design
: >project.... or maybe I will give it away for free to the public....
: >
: >Any comments or suggestions?
: >
: >Image if we build on this project, we could write this as a Active X
: >component, that will let any windows programmer write around these routines.
: >We could log all public safety calls, scan for radio Id's in our programs,
: >record and playback audio for a specific unit id. Listen for emergency alarm
: >signalling packets......
: >
: >More to follow..

: ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
: http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2417. Re: BC9000XLT Cell Images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: rock56@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21383-36A6E962-70@newsd-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130675

I get plenty of cell images from 1005.000 to 1020.000 on my 9000. Don't know why tho. Think I'll try the 360. to 390. too. Does anybody know the best step size to use?

2418. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: rock56@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21383-36A6EBB0-71@newsd-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130676

Well, I'm really glad I saw the post about two scanners in the same room. I have a pro 2050 sitting on top of a BC9000 and was starting to get pissed off with the funny things that were going on. Thanks

2419. Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <6mDp2.2853$Ot4.4262@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 130677

ROTFLMAF ... very good ...

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com
allsafe wrote in message <36A68EEF.55888DA8@INVALID.com>...
>I caught this in the national news section:
>
>Mackey's allegedly involved in a civil litigation action against a
>Portland plastic surgeon.
>
>It appears that Mackey and his boyfriend went prancing into the good
>doctor's office for some advice. It seems that Mackey's boyfriend
>wanted Mackey to get rid of his "love handles".
>
>The doctor assured Mackey that it wouldn't be a problem and Mackey
>agreed to the elective surgery.
>
>However, Mackey was less than thrilled to learn in post-op that his ears
>had been removed.
>
>Don't that beat all? I SAID...DON'T THAT BEAT ALL!?!?!!?!?
>
>hehe
>

2420. Re: TESLA everybody knows *that*!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Martin Evans <martin@paladio.TAKE-THIS-BIT-OUTdemon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <SDVFqyAPYwp2Ewqh@paladio.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 130678
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

In article <36A5FC9F.5EEB@mail.rsccd.org>, John Lundgren <NOlundgrejSPAM
@mail.rsccd.org> writes
>Well, Edison was an inventor, but he wasn't the first to try making a
>light bulb. But he was the first to make one that was successful, and
>he patented it.

well . . . alongside Swan in the UK, so creating another of those long-
running patent battles that kept happening to him, resolved by creating
Swan-Edison in the UK (and British Empire).
Edison was rarely 'cutting-edge', but worked on stuff that others
were, however he was extremely exhaustive in his research and
development. Terrible marketing, though.

--
Martin Evans @ The Really Big Video Company, UK
(Remove anti-spam to reply)

2421. Re: SATS??? & BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <7871g4$p2d$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 130679

Ya,me to .Any ideas on how to unlock the WFM mode on the 895xlt if it is there.

2422. Re: BC895 RF Demodulator Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <7872o3$q9h$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 130680

I have been looking for a service manual for my 895xlt from the day i got it about a 11\2years ago with no luck at all.There has to be some hidden fetures on the 895 most of the other uniden products have them like the BC 9000 and others.we just have to figure them out if you have any luck please let me know.

2423. Re: Uniden BC890XLT/BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <7872u3qik1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 130681

if you have a copy of the schematic for the 890xlt send me a copy and i will have a freind look at it he should be able to tell you what you want to khow.
EAGLE@EZ-NET.COM

2424. WACARS???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <78732d$qj1$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 130682

Why does the wacars program keep crashing my computer,i keep getting a error message if i let it run for more than 10 min.my computer locks up and i must restart the computer.

2425. Re: Anyone live in connecticut know about Scanner rules in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison <ka8tok@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <36A722AE.1A4DBFE2@four-way.net>
Source record: 130683

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 21 Jan 1999 04:51:32 PDT

go to this site and find out.

http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/#scanner

Ben wrote:

> If anyone lives in CONNECTICUT, do you know if it's legal to have a handheld
> scanner operational in your car? it's legal to have radar detectors and all,
> but what about scanners? just wonderin, thanks
>
> -ben

2426. Re: best scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990121083841.28980.00000632@ng09.aol.com>
Source record: 130684

>From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>

>Jason Leland Plett wrote:
>>
>> What is the frequency of light?
>
>I don't know, but I know when I am receiving light because I don't
>have to use the cheesy backlight on my PRO-43.

Did you know light will soon go digital, too ?

talkyal

2427. Re: Getting "caught"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Hynde <ka8ddz@eaglequest.com>
Message-ID: <787ak1$9d2$1@smithers.eaglequest.com>
Source record: 130685

Blondeau wrote in message <36A54810.3C45B8BD@bewellnet.com>...
>RRLS10 wrote:
>
>> >As a radio reciever emits no RF power, there is no way to track one
down.
>> >
>>
>> With a really good radio and a directional antenna, you could track the
signal
>> coming from the radio's oscillator.
>>
>> -Randy
>
>As a Sheriff's Office dispatcher, for training I often rode with county
deputies
>and the city police. Frequently the police cruiser's radio (was: 154.770
for
>their primary) would make this repetitive "Tthhrrrp, Tthhrrp," (like those
sound
>effects, eh???) as we passed certain residences. "Yup", they'd say,
"Scanner
>people live there." Seemed pretty easy to identify, I thought...
>
>-Jeff

Absolutely, especially if the reciever is poorly designed, you can hear the
IF, local occilators, all kinds of groovy stuff. Don't think for a minute
that because your just "listening" no one knows you have a reciever on

Tim

2428. Cal-Trans Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: neilxxbell@value.net
Message-ID: <36a8a275.1002225@news.value.net>
Source record: 130386

Does anyone know the freqs used by Cal-Trans crews when they are directing traffic around construction areas on California Highways? They use small hand-helds to talk to the flagman on the other end of the back-up.

2429. Re: W and W manufacturing ripped me off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: clintbrad4d@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <78ad78$64a$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

In article <788m4rgtb1@forge.sbt.net>,
spamkill@interl.net wrote:

> I hate posting one of these messages to any kind of forum but these
> folks leave me no choice.

Yeah, right. Waste your time posting this to several newsgroups, instead of handling your communications problem yourself on your own.

- Clint Bradford, KE6LCS

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2430. Re: Huron Twp Mich PD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <01be4623$aeab1580$a352d6d8@hp-customer>
Source record: 130388
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Someone a while back posted a request for info on where the Huron Twp Mi. Pd had gone. I've just learned that they have gone on with Michigan State Police's digital 800 mhz system. Why they did this no one knows?

Chris

2431. Re: W and W manufacturing ripped me off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc5egg@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <78a9d3$i6n@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 130389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I find this very hard to believe. In all my dealings with W & W they
have gone out of their way to accomodate me even when to my
embarassment I found I was truly in the wrong. These people are
good people selling quality stuff. I buy lots of batteries for
a local public safety organization W & W has been my supplier of
choice for several years.

In <788m4rgtb1@forge.sbt.net> spamkill@interl.net writes:
>
>
>Hello folks,
>
>I hate posting one of these messages to any kind of forum but these
>folks leave me no choice.
>Their representative hung up on my xyl when she was trying to resolve
>the matter to the satisfaction of myself and the company. Hence, I
>have no choice but to post it here. I hope it serves as a warning to
>others who might consider doing business with these people.
>
>Last Thursday I ordered a rapid charger for my high capacity battery
pack for
>my Alinco dj-582th. The batteries I have are ebp-28n.
>
>Since I'd heard about this company and heard that I may be able to get
>the charger I need from them at lower cost than the Alinco charger, I
called
>them. I asked them which of their models I need for the battery pack
>I have. The lady told me I need model number, MC1a/12/ebp28n I called
back
>and ordered one the next day.
>
>Well, today (a week later almost) I received a charger which is good
>for an ebp-10n to ebp-22n, not a 28n.
>The batteries I own have three contacts for the charging cup. This
>charger has two. Also, if I'm correct, the 22n and smaller numbers
>are smalller packs, right?
>
>
>
>Since they want me to send them the battery---I have no receipt, just
>the receipt for a money order which could have gone anywhere, I will
>have no working charger and I don't believe these folks will actually
>pay me---can't blame me after my experience, I don't know how to

>resolve the situation, but I'm not impressed with the way they do
>business. Had I known I would be lied to when I placed my original
>call I would have dealt with my friendly Alinco products dealer for an
>OEM charger.
>
>All I want is a rapid charger that will work with my packs. Since
>these folks told me they had one that works, they should provide one
>that will, and I should get it shipped air freight to my door at no
>additional charge. Their representative hung up on my lady this
>evening as I stated earlier, and they don't have the guts to answer
>their emails.
>
>I am prepared to contact the New York state better business bureau as
>well as file court action. It's not about the $79 anymore, it's about
>just being plain mad as hell at getting ripped off.
>
>
>
>73 and sorry to have to post this here guys.
>
>
>
>
>
>Richard Webb
>
>Electric Spider Productions
>Usenet spambuster, replace any characters before the at with elspider
>If you hate spam call cyber advertising in New York city:
>(800) 409-8302 extension 1284 and leave
>a detailed message telling them what you think of their marketing
policies.
>
>
>amateur station kb0ruu
>

2432. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <36A8AB7D.2365701C@mich.com>
Source record: 130390

andrew Eckman wrote:

> <Snip>
>
> decides to invest in full-time or part-time crypto, switch to
> >the potentially more efficient digital Astro or Aegis systems...
>
> <Snip>
>
> You think the Astro and other digital trunking systems (like the one the MSP
> uses) is MORE EFFICIENT?

Gee, whatever would have given you that notion? ;)

> I wish more public safety entities would realize that these systems may be
> efficient, but hardly realiable. If you like the 20-30 percent chance that
> your raido might not receive or transmit, then these systems are for you!

They (the command officers & city leadership, whose lives generally aren't dependent on the systems) get all worked up over the presentation & don't seem to do a good just in getting a totally unbiased consulting engineer to evaluate the proposals and shoot down the pathological liars in Motorola's (or GEricsson's) sales dept.

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2433. Re: BILL CHEEK POSTING AS 'ALLSAFE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480002201990924090001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130391

strekker@comdex.com (JWiley) wrote:
> Its true........he he he

I thought so, too. However, I have since had it on the
best authority that such is *not* the case!

Too bad.

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2434. Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36a8b397.463568065@news.value.net>
Source record: 130392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I just ordered a 235XLT and now I'm seeing that in a couple of months
the 245XLT will be out and will be SOOO much better!

Support for EDACS systems
multi-trunk monitoring
simultaneous trunk & conventional monitoring
etc.

Should I just send the 235 back and wait for the 245?

Mike

2435. Re: mods for pro-2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya02348000220199093201000l@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130393

rubbernec@hotmail.com (rubbernec) wrote:

> i'm looking for mods for the radio shack base scanner model
> PRO-2040. if they exist, please post em or e-mail em to me. thanx

ï¿½ï¿½ Pro-2040 MOD ï¿½ï¿½

1. Drill 5/8" hole in top, near back panel.
2. Drill another hole on back panel, under first hole.
3. Carefully remove top-mount antenna.
5. Feed one end of 1/2" 3-strand nylon rope thru holes.
6. Secure other end of rope to eye on stern of boat.
7. When drift fishing, toss overboard.Great kedge anchor.

I dunno WHY I bought this worthless pile of excrement.
I guess 'cause it was on sale.

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2436. Re: Scanner for exclusively for Cell Phone use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbrutis1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122123907.24787.00000498@ngol04.aol.com>
Source record: 130394

For listening to Cell Phoe calls, I've found that the PRO 2022 Realistic 200 Ch. Base scanner receives them great. Crystal Clear.

Anyone know if there are any mods that can be done?

Thanks,
Tom
tbrutis1@aol.com
THE BINGHAMTON METS # 1 FAN HAS SPOKEN

2437. Re: John Mackey - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <qJ2q2.4974$DB1.5121@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130395

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <789i4pejt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Choco Boco" <thumbs@meow.net> wrote:
>> I know for a fact that the all mighty self-proclaimed king of radio
Mackey
>> has posted several thousand flames and off topic posts to this newsgroup.
>> And the proof will be coming all too soon.
>
>If this is indeed a "fact" as you claim, you would have the proof
>NOW, rather than say it will be coming "soon"!

Facts can exist without the presentation of proof. Many people have gone to DeJaNews and seen the several thousand post under your various names. It isn't hard and takes little time. I have and close to 20 others have stated the same findings. Call it a FACT John.

>
>BTW - I am not the "King of Radio", that is Jeff Potter, K7OP!
>

That's right. You are the all mighty self-proclaimed king of several thousand flames and off topic posts to this newsgroup. Indeed it is a FACT.

2438. Re: Rogue Cancellation summary for rec.radio.scanner - 01/19/99 - 01/21/99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480002201990939530001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130396

In article <36A79412.1A12@usa.net>, cancelwatch@usa.net wrote:

> The following is a summary of Rogue Cancellations in the
> rec.radio.scanner newsgroup from 01/19/99 - 01/21/99
>
> All of the Rogue Cancels are originating from OzEmail/Access One
> Pty Ltd in Melbourne, Australia. If one of your articles was
> Cancelled by this Rogue Canceller, then I suggest you send a
> complaint to the Abuse Dept at OzEmail/Access One Pty Ltd at
> abuse@aone.net.au and abuse@ozland.net.au along with the headers
> to one of the Rogue Cancels listed below.
>
> This is the break down of the articles that were cancelled:
>
> maher@yahoo.com 2 Articles Cancelled
> Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com 3 Articles Cancelled
> jmackey@usa.net 3 Articles Cancelled
>
> ==

So what's the problem?

I mean, insofar as Mackey's posts, at least, we *know* that we aren't losing any content. Too bad about losing Allsafe's comments, though. But all-in-all, it's probably a wash, and I doubt he'd mind.

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2439. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480002201990945220001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130397

me@me.com wrote:
> you are a liar. you have been the one causing the problems and we
> have seen the proof

thumbs@meow.net says...
> > I know for a fact that the all mighty self-proclaimed king of radio
> > Mackey has posted several thousand flames and off topic posts to this
> > newsgroup.
> > ...<snip>...

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2440. Re: JOHN MACKEY POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <MX2q2.4975$DB1.5166@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130398

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <789ij4$f1i$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> It's only obvious.
>
>Only to the psychotic ones Reality states you are wring, again!

Is this a mental slip or what? ^^^^

That is correct John. We are "wring", you are wrong. Hell your your brain
is being eaten away with lies and troublemaking you can't even communicate.

I notice you are hiding behind DeJaNews. Sissy girl! Did they take away your
newsreader?

I notice you ignored my challenge to reveal your true ISP and E-mail
address.

Those are facts.

I know. The voices won't let you.

2441. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480002201990955420001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130399

me@me.com wrote:
> you are a liar. you have been the one causing the problems and we
> have seen the proof

thumbs@meow.net says...
> > I know for a fact that the all mighty self-proclaimed king of radio
> > Mackey has posted several thousand flames and off topic posts to this
> > newsgroup.
> > ...<snip>...

[Sorry for the last, blank, reply/post. My finger slipped}
I SURELY DO HOPE THAT YOU ARE NOT INSINUATING THAT THUMBS@MEOW IS IN ERROR HERE, OR LYING ABOUT TOILET BOY'S RECORD OF OFF-TOPICALITY AND FLAMERY!

Because it's all true. Think not? Check Deja News. Mackey holds the freakin' RECORD, fer chrissake! He's posted more off-topic posts and flames that anyone in any newsgroup throughout the worldwide USENET system!

Don't take MY word for it... count 'em yourself!

21,378 off-topic posts...
57,660 Flames
4 Topical posts

Source: Deja News
Cretinsearch Database 1/22/99

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2442. Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <l23q2.4976$DB1.5124@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130400

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <789icjeuj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...

>What a sad reality that you point out, "allsafe" & a few others
>have demonstrated their desire to do nothing other than cause problems
>on this NG as demonstrated by thier actions for the past several days.

If what you say is true it pales in comparison to you doing exactly what you accuse others of for the past five or six years. You are truly sick John. Please get back on your medication.

2443. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480002201991004050001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130401

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> >> Absolutly, We are all proud of John, for admitting that he has been
> >> the disruptive force in the newsgroup for such a long time. I have
> >> also forwarded those admissions to some of the ISP's that he has
> >
jmackey@usa.net whines:
> >Of course Blake as is normal for you, you can only get ahead by

bbowers retorts:
> John, we hate to see you backsliding. Please, get back on your
> medication, and stand by your admisions of guilt. So many were
> proud of you for your admissions that you are the disruptive force
> in the newsgroups.

Not me!
I knew all along he was faking his sorrow and admission of guilt in another
pitiful ploy for sympathy. This is the same story all over again as when he

was 'outed' by that Porn Star, what was the name? Oh yeah - Long Dong
Silver.

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2444. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <083q2.4977$DB1.5101@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130402

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <789j6cfge1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...

> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>SNIP
>> Proven wrong for the 45th time this year, John shuts his mouth for 36
>> hours, possibly a record.
>
>I was never proven wring Infact, I posted FCC data which proved
>me correct!

Correct, you have never been proven "wring" but yes you have been proven wrong many times.

>Actuallly, I was VERY busy this week so I stayed on the newsgroups

You stayed on. OK

>a couple days to let you guys prove that you all are the problems on this
>NG.

You are right, the s/n ratio dropped considerably the last day plus a little. If you had stayed away it would have dropped to zero.

> You ALL did it VERY WELL!!!!

Without you, yes.

I am glad to see you didn't deny being JWILY (sp) and Newbie. By you standards they now become fact.

2445. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78aees$788$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130403

Newbie wrote in message <788q7nbca3@news.cyberhighway.net>...
>you are a liar. you have been the one causing the problems and we
>have seen the proof

Hello, John. I knew you couldn't shut up for more than 2 days.

As for "Choco" I don't know who that is. The headers show he works here at Allied, like me, but he's not me. Go figure - someone else who thinks you're a twit. That's actually possible John.

-Phil

2446. Re: JOHN MACKEY POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78aej5$7bq$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130404

Newbie wrote in message <788pnbbca1@news.cyberhighway.net>...
>Remember, a Cheek is nothing more than an asshole in
>disguise!

>

Shut up John.
-Phil

2447. Re: LAFD ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: levys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <36a9bd12.1096780@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 130405

Hi, Bill:

Humphrey, Brian Humphrey...or is that Bond, James Bond..I always get
those guys confused! :)

-Larry

On Thu, 21 Jan 1999 12:48:27 -0800, bill conduit <myway@netcom.com>
wrote:

you can always get in touch with
> the PIO at LAFD...his name is
> Humphries
>
> that should do you
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
>
> the www site also is
>
> http://www.policecall.com
> will have some
>
> try this: http://www.li.net/~j4dice/links.html
>
> http://www.bearcat1.com/bearcat
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

2448. Re: Rogue Cancellation summary for rec.radio.scanner - 01/19/99 - 01/21/99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <ac3q2.4978$DB1.5121@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130406

Tom Jones wrote in message ...
>In article <36A79412.1A12@usa.net>, cancelwatch@usa.net wrote:
>
>> The following is a summary of Rogue Cancellations in the
>> rec.radio.scanner newsgroup from 01/19/99 - 01/21/99
>>
>> All of the Rogue Cancels are originating from OzEmail/Access One
>> Pty Ltd in Melbourne, Australia. If one of your articles was
>> Cancelled by this Rogue Canceller, then I suggest you send a
>> complaint to the Abuse Dept at OzEmail/Access One Pty Ltd at
>> abuse@aone.net.au and abuse@ozland.net.au along with the headers
>> to one of the Rogue Cancels listed below.
>>
>> This is the break down of the articles that were cancelled:
>>
>> maher@yahoo.com 2 Articles Cancelled
>> Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com 3 Articles Cancelled
>> jmackey@usa.net 3 Articles Cancelled
>>
>> ==
>So what's the problem?
>
>I mean, insofar as Mackey's posts, at least, we *know* that we aren't
>losing any content. Too bad about losing Allsafe's comments, though. But
>all-in-all, it's probably a wash, and I doubt he'd mind.

The difference is most all would rather see Allsafe continue to post and
Mackey not. That would be a win win situation.

2449. Re: Huron Twp Mich PD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: andrew Eckman <ajeckman@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78affd$83v$1@news0-alterdial.uu.net>
Source record: 130407
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Chris wrote
>Someone a while back posted a request for info on where the Huron Twp Mi.
>Pd had gone. I've just learned that they have gone on with Michigan State
>Police's digital 800 mhz system. Why they did this no one knows?
>
>
>Chris

And I DO feel sorry for them as they will now get to experience the pathological liars Tim Tyler referenced in the RE: Go Private thread. This system is not as great as Motorola whould have you believe!

Livingston County was "elected" to be the test site for this new statewide concept. Its surprising that any other departments would go to it after the results experienced in the Livingston community (at least without substantial changes!).

2450. Re: Can I take my HF radio on a plane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tad Gulley <tadg@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <78af9m$nh1@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 130408
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <36ab8c1e.10387993@news.uia.net>, NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@la-radio.com (Chuck Rowe) wrote:

>The bottom line is it depends on the policy of that particular
>airline. However, in my line of work I fly in a Cessna 172 at about
>2,500 feet every day. I use my scanner and get terrific reception
>from distant broadcasts. I would guess that at higher altitude the
>reception would be even greater.
>
>What I want to know is why nobody bats an eye at laptop computers on a
>flight. Those things throw of more crap that would likely interfere
>with navigation than anything I can imagine. No one seems concerned
>about this. It makes me wonder that's all.
>
>Does anyone know about using a GPS reciever on a commercial flight?
>
>
>On Tue, 22 Dec 1998 00:40:16 GMT, kryppy@bellsouth.net (Jack) wrote:
>
>>I'm going on a trip for Christmas and was wondering if I could take my
>>shortwave on the airplane for some listening action. :p
>>
>

I use my Garmin GPS III all the time on pretty much every commercial flight I take and never have a problem with it. As a pilot myself, I've always felt it fair to mention it to the guys up front who are usually more enthusiastic about it than I could ever be. I know delta for one currently accepts scanners, not sure about shortwaves though...

2451. PRO-90 Antenna - what to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carolyn <cmclelan@fox.nstn.ca>
Message-ID: <36A8C136.D22BCD63@fox.nstn.ca>
Source record: 130409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

My first ever scanner, a PRO-90 is about 2 weeks old now!! I'm hooked!! Now I want a more powerful antenna than what came with the unit. I'd like something for the car and the house. Can anyone make some recommendations? I would like something portable for the house as well, as I tend to listen when I'm downstairs, and I listen while lying in bed reading!.

I'd appreciate any suggestions as to tips and tricks, what I should look for, specifications or even a model that I might get at the local Radio Shack.

Thanks

Carolyn

2452. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Choco Boco <thumbs@meow.net>
Message-ID: <78af4e$7e9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130410

Phil Smalley wrote in message <78aees$788$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
RRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRIPPPPPPPPPPPPPP!
>As for "Choco" I don't know who that is. The headers show he works here at
>Allied, like me, but he's not me. Go figure - someone else who thinks
you're
>a twit. That's actually possible John.
>
>-Phil

Well, Mr. Smalley is right. I do work at Allied. Unfortunately, I have yet to meet this gentleman, which I would like to do sometime to thank him for all of the knowledge that he posts to this newsgroup. I have learned alot from Phil, which is more that I can say for the worthless John Mackey (who's father not only didn't love him, but also was a homosexual in the Marines. After all, that is the only way a Mackey can get on top, is to blow is way up....)

Meow.

Choco

2453. Re: RD/LAP Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78af4u$7ea$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130411

mdf@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
>I would expect some problems with the op-amp interfaces at the speeds being
>mentioned. Heck, most of these little boards don't even include receive
>filters, and probably produce woefully bad results on less than front-end
>melting signals. So unless you have a very strong signal, I suspect you
will
>have a depressingly high BER off such an interface.

I'm going to be using an inteface that has been tested and proven decoding
9600 baud FLEX messages. I think it should work well for RD-LAP, but time
will tell.

>I can provide some "C" source which implements a 2FSK based on the above
>description, and even a DSP56k version as well.
>
>[I'd write a 4FSK modem, but I don't know of any such signals near where
>I live. If you make a sample file (48000 samples/sec, 16b, 1 second worth
>containing the channel idle, packet header, data) available on the net, I
can
>probably send you a C program which decodes it.]
Sounds great. I'd like to have a look. Send it to my email account, and I'll
send you back a wave file of the RD-LAP data.

>What, are you a Motorola employee? Have you signed an NDA from Motorola?
>If not, they have zero claim to any code you write.
They seem to think otherwise. They list all their protocol information as
"open" that is public domain except RD/LAP. They are real protective of that
one.

> They can't hassle you if
>you reverse engineer their protocols from "public" sources. If this was
>possible, they would be on your doorstep right now. If it was possible,
>they would be on your ass for releasing even an executable. If I was you,
>I wouldn't be losing sleep over this. Rather I would stand up, be proud
and
>flat out apply the GNU copy-left to the sucker.

Even so, I don't have the money to defend against such litigation, and I
damn sure don't have the time. If they get pissy about it, I'm going to do
what I need to do to avoid legal proceedings.

-Phil

2454. Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78afmj$7k8$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130412

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message
>What a sad reality that you point out, "allsafe" & a few others
>have demonstrated their desire to do nothing other than cause problems
>on this NG as demonstrated by thier actions for the past several days.

The few others:

John Mackey
Newbie (Also John, but in a clever disguise!)
Peter J. Boe (back again as JWiley)

-Phil

2455. Re: Regency MX-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: levys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <36abbf1e.1619969@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 130413

Hi, Jonathan:

Yes, very nice little receiver. I loved that radio.

The only problem I had, aside from the slow scan rate, was a drift problem on frequenices below 225 Mhz. Every once in a while, signals would get scratchy and sound off freq and then eventually, the problem would clear up. I always suspected a faulty voltage regulator, or something.

-Larry
On Fri, 22 Jan 1999 11:56:25 +0000, Jonathan Clough
<Clough@Javiation.co.uk> wrote:

>In article <36a7745f.2441482@news.earthlink.net>, Larry Levy
><levys@earthlink.net> writes
>
>Hi,
>
>>My old MX-5000 was grey :)
>
>Yup, I think my mind is going (gone) !
>
>The AR2001 were black and now I've been reminded the MX-5000/7000 were a
>grey case with the black keypad surround.
>
>As you say, excellent receiver - still.
>Cheers,
>JC
>http://www.javiation.co.uk

2456. Re: BC 100XLT - Modification?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: levys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <36aabdc7.1277101@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 130414

Hi,

Nope, sorry, won't work. The BC-100 does not have the small add-on
board in it for 800 Mhz reception that the 200 does.

-Larry

On Fri, 22 Jan 1999 01:37:32 -0800 (PST), BlackjackElliot@webtv.net
(Blackjack Elliot) wrote:

>I have the BC 100XLT. Would the moification for the 200xlt also work on
>the 100xlt? Isn't it the same radio other than the fact mine has 100
>fewer channels?
>
>I need to know BEFORE I cut that resistor.
>
>Anyone know? Please help!
>
>Blackjack Elliot
>Las Vegas, NV
>
>Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
>BlackjackElliot@webtv.net
>
>www.BlackjackElliot.com

2457. Re: JOHN MACKEY POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78ah5b$83l$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130415

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <789ij4$f1i$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> It's only obvious.
>
>Only to the psychotic ones Reality states you are wring, again!
>

OK, that stuff about John being Jwiley was wrong - I am big enough to admit that. It's really Peter J. Boe, who is just like John except he doesn't have the guts to post under his own name. Two of a kind and for all intents and purposes, are the same person while on these groups.

Just proves that the only thing weirder than a weirdo is his friends....

-Phil

2458. Re: What I've noticed (OFF-TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skeeziks <SkizzikS@NOSPAM.ASUdotEDU>
Message-ID: <36A8CADF.19560E30@NOSPAM.ASUdotEDU>
Source record: 130416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yup.

I check back every few months, too. Mackey keeps trying to blame
others, but the thing that I have noticed is that no matter who is
arguing or who else is contributing to the flames, Mackey is ALWAYS
involved.

I guess I'll check back in a few months, too. I don't hold out too much
hope that it'll end, though.

Oh, I almost forgot. I thought I'd pre-write Mackey's response, since I
won't be around to read it.

"FOOL! Anyone can clearly see that YOU are the problem here! How sad
that YOU must continue these flames! :("

Now all he has to do is hit reply and edit out the quotation marks.

Tom Jones wrote:
>
> I don't freakin' BELIEVE it!
> I check back in, after several months, only to find that, while BC had
> obviously tired of all the purile gamesmanship,faction-mongering, lies and
> bullshit that John <if he's talkin', he's lyin'> Mackey spread around, and
> had dropped out of the 'race', MACKEY is still here pulling the same shit?
>
> Un*fucking*believable!
> Hasn't anybody ever suggested to this cretin that he get a life?
>
> I'll see ya in a few months...just ta check, dig? ;-)
>
> -tj
>
> .....
>
> In article <Sdto2.3887$DB1.3746@news.ntr.net>, "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>
> > John wrote in message <36A23DC6.55C3@usa.net>...
> > >Steve wrote:
> > >> He was correct imbecile, but it had nothing to do with Phil he was
> > talking
> > >> about you.
> > >
> > >If George ment something something different, then he is fully capable
> > >of correcting himself or stating his position. He doesn't need YOU

> > >to state his opinions or thoughts for him.
> >
> > It has nothing to do with what George meant (learn to spell or use a spell
> > checker) Chief! It has to do wit you misquoting and twisting peoples words.
> > I didn't state his opinions or thoughts for him John. This habitual lying
> > problem of yours deserves attention. Seek help John.
> >
> > Here's what George wrote in full John. ALL ABOUT YOU. Not Phil, YOU, John -
> > Feces for Brains - Mackey.
> >
> >
> > On Thu, 14 Jan 1999 21:42:11 -0800, John - KAOSSF <jmackey@usa.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >WELL SAID!
> > >
> > >I intentially held off any response to Phil just to allow him the prove
> > >HIMSELF that HE is the instigator of the problems here!
> >
> > It's unfortunate that you do not hold off ALL responses. Your failure
> > to do so proves that YOU are the instigator of ALL problems here.
> >
> > How sad :(
> >
> >
> > --
> >
> > George "Hollywood" Vetterle
>
> --
> If you wish to write back to me,
> Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
> And if this code you cannot crack,
> Remember that if morons could fly,
> The sky would be black!

--
--Patrick D. Lukens

Format Advisor
ASU Graduate College - Dept. 1003
(602) 965-3521
gradformat@asu.edu

2459. BC895XLT Computer cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan <X@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78aigv$3a5@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 130417

Would anyone know where I might be able to buy that
silly computer cable for the Uniden BC89XLT unit? I think
the part number is ACC15.

Thanks.

2460. Re: pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <36A8D211.F18F7DDF@fnal.gov>
Source record: 130418

Robert wrote: Nothing says

> you can only have just one scanner (well, maybe the
> wife...).

Oh oh, I just bought my fourth (a Pro-90) yesterday, now what do I do?
;-)

--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov - Home Page: http://www-oss.fnal.gov/~colombo/
'87 Bronco Grey Phoenix 302EFI, AOD, Ford 9"/4.11/LSD, General/AP-33"
Registry: http://www.thewowfactor.com/bigbroncos/detail.htm?detailid=175

2461. Re: Source for kits?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A8DB46.D1DFBA25@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Kit building is alive and well.

Go to http://www.fix.net/jparker/norcal.html. Follow the
links to the kits. I have built most of them, as well as
the majority of us on the qrp-l net. In fact, I have the
Oak Hills Research 20M and 40M kits for sale, which are
surplus to my needs.

Hope this helps.

72,
Norm/KQ6SD

>opalko@usa.net wrote:
>
> Ok, so I don't have my license yet, but I'm going to soon. My question is
> more general, however. Is there a source for receiver and transmitter kits
> like the old Heathkits? Does anyone make stuff like that anymore?

2462. Re: Astro / APCO25 Digital Scanner Project Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neal Fildes <n.r.fildes@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <78am1r$3pt@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130420

would using the patent to make a scanner be an infringement if the patent stated that the invention was a two-way radio system?

this may be the loophole that Uniden used...

nrf

2463. Re: Hey! Air Freeks! (Air Freqs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@spam.com
Message-ID: <36a8deb9.44065928@news.ntwrld.com>
Source record: 130421

On 22 Jan 1999 14:18:42 GMT, tbone107@aol.com (Tbone107) wrote:

>> Hey, tbone, where ya' been? The rest of the world has known about this
>>site,
>>like, forever, man.
>>
>
>Hey, like, man...I keep seeing "where can I get airport frequency" posts every
>few days, I'm terribly sorry if I wasted your time by posting this for those
>who were not as worldly as you. Sorry also that I've only known about it for a
>year, as opposed to forever. My head will forever hang in shame for not having
>been born with http:// typed across my butt.

GREAT FOLLOW-UP POST!! I know... This was the first time i've seen
this site posted and I find it quite useful.. Thanks for the mention
tbone!

2464. Re: Silent Key List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: W3LAP <w3lap@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <36A8DF48.D38A79F@qsl.net>
Source record: 130422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Check out http://www.fcc.org/all_silent_keys/1928-1999

2465. Re: Silent Key List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: rosenberry@chesco.nospam.com
Message-ID: <36a9e09f.26930663@news.chesco.com>
Source record: 130423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 22 Jan 1999 15:27:52 -0500, W3LAP <w3lap@qsl.net> wrote:

>Check out http://www.fcc.org/all_silent_keys/1928-1999
I did but "the page is not found"

2466. Re: cellular reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <ubP$m7jR#GA.196@nih2naaf.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 130424

rock56@webtv.net (Rocky Sloan)
> I wish someone would post the address to the feds law books so I could
> see for myself. Top personal at bell south told me people could do this
> as long as they didn't repeat or use what was heard.

The questions keep coming up, different laws are mentioned, and most replies are of the form "I'll do anything I bloody well please and no law can stop me". The following is a re-post of a short disertation on the various laws and their effect.

The laws of the United States, passed by Congress, are codified as the United States Code (USC). It is divided into titles covering various subjects. Title 18 includes the criminal laws and penalties; Title 47 covers telecommunications. A law can be refered to by its original name (i.e. "The Communication Act of 1934") or its entry in the USC. A specific section would be refered to by title/USC/part/section, i.e. 47 USC 605.
To carry out the law, the various government agencies create regulations, which are codified as the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is likewise divided into titles, with the FCC's rules in 47 CFR.

18 USC 119 bars the disclosure of any electronic communications to which you are not a party (with certain legal exceptions), and sets the penalties. 47 USC 605 (the Communications Act of 1934) also bans the disclosure or use of third-party communications. With minor changes, this has been the law for 60 years.

In 1986 Congress passed the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (the ECPA), which added definitions to the law that banned listening to cellular telephones. It also banned the monitoring of broadcast auxiliary, SCA and scrambled signals.

In 1992 Congress passed the Telephone Disclosure and Dispute Resolution Act (TDDRA), which instructed the FCC to ban the manufacture or import of scanners capable of receiving cellular. In accordance with this law, the FCC modified 47 CFR Parts 2 & 15, effective 26 April 1993. Note that this does not affect the sale of such scanners, so long as they were made or imported before the cutoff date. It also does not bar individuals from modifying their receivers.

On 25 Oct 94, the Digital Telephony act was signed. Buried in one of the additional sections was a change to 18 USC 2510 & 2511 that added cordless phones to the original ECPA ban on receiving cellular.

In short:
It is illegal to manufacture or import cellular-capable scanners.
It is illegal to listen to cellular (and cordless) transmissions.

It is illegal to divulge any communication to which you are not a party.

Now, please - we all know the first two are: 1) stupid; 2) unenforceable; and 3) widely ignored. It is not really necessary to post the obvious to the whole world (unless you have an irresistable urge to demonstrate even less sense than the legislators who enacted them).

2467. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A8E435.D25553E8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:

> I just ordered a 235XLT and now I'm seeing that in a couple of months
> the 245XLT will be out and will be SOOO much better!
>
> Support for EDACS systems
> multi-trunk monitoring
> simultaneous trunk & conventional monitoring
> etc.
>
> Should I just send the 235 back and wait for the 245?
>
> Mike

Here's why I would keep the BC-235: For the money, it's a great scanner with computer control and mil-air band. It will always be a useful scanner even if the 245 proves to be as good.

The 245 may have early production bugs, you might kick yourself in the butt for passing up a proven performer for one that may take awhile to be as good. Look at it this way, unless you only listen to one trunked system, it can't hurt having another trunktracker around, right?

The 245 likely won't be out for months, maybe late summer. So why not enjoy the 235 now? You could always sell it for at least 75% of what you paid for it, meaning it might cost you $50 to use it until the 245 comes out. That's how I would do it.

Regards,
Jon

2468. Re: BC235XLT NiCad Battery "Memory"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <36A8EAFC.EB8CC370@fnal.gov>
Source record: 130426

Robert wrote:

>
> Just don't overcharge your batteries and you'll be fine.
>
> Can I recharge them every night or should I wait until the
> > Battery Low indicator flashes?

I run mine down to nothing then use a timer to recharge them (15hrs).
Consistency seems to be the key. I've had my BC235 since it came on the
market and I've been charging one or both batteries everyday since.

--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov - Home Page: http://www-oss.fnal.gov/~colombo/

2469. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: andrew Eckman <ajeckman@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78aq8h$h0f$1@news0-alterdial.uu.net>
Source record: 130427

If you are referring to an incident where an officer said on the radio "Unit XXX, can you go private..." - That is usually not referring to private as in incripted, rather just a clean channel to get traffic off of the main dispatch frequency. Some police departments have special talk groups set up for just car to car transmissions. Also, certain systems allow a car to direct dial another car and hold a private conversation (Motorola Digital...). These are REAL funny to listen to sometimes!

The officers main intent isn't usually going private from the public, rather other officers.

Andy.

Poster Boy wrote in message <36af90c4.11577921@news.uia.net>...
>On Mon, 11 Jan 1999 23:42:43 -0500, "Rob Lang" <robertlang@nac.net>
>wrote:
>
>>And be happy they don't use it 100% of the time!
>>
>>When the police operate in secret . . .
>>You have Secret Police!
>>
>
>No, I think that's called tactical information kept private for
>officer safety. Vehicle stops and domestic squabbles are one matter,
>meth lab busts where the dickhead drug cookers are listening is
>another matter altogether.

2470. Re: Antennae Help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Moss <enzo@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36A8F0F4.4DB1@ibm.net>
Source record: 130428

dolph wrote:
>
> Hi All,
> As an active member of this group I'll kindly ask for anyone not to
> flame me for this dumb question please!!! I have a question. I live in a
> community that absolutely does not allow antenna's,but!!! I have a tree in
> my backyard that I could install it in and it would be invisible!
> Now...would the tree act as an obstruction or not ? I'm looking at the Radio
> Shack (You know... You've got questions? We've got blank looks!) Discone.
> Any replies greatly appreciated!
> dolph@qnet.com
> BTW I've got- (2) BC200XLT's,(1) Pro-43,(1) BC2500XLT and (1) Pro-2006

Generally speaking, the higher in frequency you go the more foliage and
humidity effect the received signal. However, in your case I suspect
the antenna in the tree will work quite well indeed. Be sure to mount
it in such a manner that high winds won't damage the aluminum rods -
this could be tricky with a discone! 8-)

2471. Re: WACARS???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Simon Fothergill <bin@fothgill.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <aJIw0CARvNq2EwDr@fothgill.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 130429

In article <78732d$qj1$1@news.inc.net>, SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com> writes
>Why does the wacars program keep crashing my computer,i keep getting a error
>message if i let it run for more than 10 min.my computer locks up and i must
>restart the computer.
>
>
I have nearly the same problem. Wacars appears to be using memory at the
rate of about 16kb/second if I don't exit the program after a short
period I have to restart.

Sorry I don't have a solution, but at least you know your not alone!
--
Simon Fothergill
Please replace bin with simon for e-mail reply

2472. !!! 8108 Lake Terrace#10,KY 40222

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiocall@usa.net
Message-ID: <78avfqmop1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130430

ADDRESS WARNING !!! THIS IS TOM KASEY's ADDRESS DO NOT BUY ANYTHING FROM THIS OR SIMILAR ADDRESSES. TOM KASEY IS A KNOWN ACTIVE RIPPER. HE IS ALSO USING THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS : 1048 E KENTUCKY STR., KY 40202

Stay Safe!!
Tom Jefferson
radiocall@usa.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2473. Mod for AOR-3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdizzy@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <78aqng$2hg$1@earth.superlink.net>
Source record: 130431

I have an AOR-3000A wideband receiver with the cell frequencies restricted.

Does anyone know of a mod to solve this?

Many Thanks.

John

2474. !!! 1048 E Kentucky Str. ,KY 40202

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiocall@usa.net
Message-ID: <78b068nht1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130432

ADDRESS WARNING !!! THIS IS TOM KASEY's ADDRESS DO NOT BUY ANYTHING FROM THIS OR SIMILAR ADDRESSES. TOM KASEY IS A KNOWN ACTIVE RIPPER. HE IS ALSO USING THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS : 8108 Lake Terrace #10 , KY 40222

Stay Safe!!
Tom Jefferson
radiocall@usa.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2475. Re: Silent Key List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: firewave@REMOVE.videotron.ca
Message-ID: <firewave-2201991648120001@192.168.1.4>
Source record: 130433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <36A8DF48.D38A79F@qsl.net>, w3lap@qsl.net wrote:

> Check out http://www.fcc.org/all_silent_keys/1928-1999

error 404...?

alex

2476. Yaesu FT-50RD WFM Filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: colton@patlanta.com
Message-ID: <78api5$hni@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 130434

I understand that when the FT-50RD first came out, the WFM filter wasn't quite right and the rig didn't do well picking up FM broadcast and TV broadcast (or at least didn't filter them well enough to make it worth listening to). Is this still the case with the newer FT-50RDs? Or has Yaesu changed the filter? I'm interseted in getting one radio to do everything (yeah, right, I'm dreaming) - transmitting and receiving - so I don't have to carry around more than one unit. The FT-50RD nearly fits the bill. So does the Icom IC-T8A and the IC-Q7A. The Q7A probably is closest, but the 50RD is so physically strong - I don't want to have to worry about bumps and showers.

Any thoughts? (Yes, I've been to Rich Wells page)

2477. Can't Find Scanner Store/Shop In Las Vegas! Believe It Or Not!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlackjackElliot@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7868-36A8F53F-1@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130435

Does ANYONE know of a store or shop that sells scanners and accessories anywhere in Las Vegas? There isn't a single one in the Las Vegas phone book! I can't believe it! (other than Rat Shack), they don't have what I need.... a new batter pack for a BC100XLT.

Blackjack Elliot

Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
www.BlackjackElliot.com

2478. Re: RD/LAP Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdf@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78b2b6pcm1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130436

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >What, are you a Motorola employee? Have you signed an NDA from Motorola?
> >If not, they have zero claim to any code you write.
>
> They seem to think otherwise. They list all their protocol information as
> "open" that is public domain except RD/LAP. They are real protective of that
> one.

I don't want to sound snarky or anything, but what compells you to draw these conclusions? Where is this "list" of "all their protocol information" that is in the "public domain"? Does this mean I can ask Motorola for the gory details on their analog trunking control channel protocol and they will happily tell me? (For I do have questions!) When/where did they make the "protective" statement(s) regarding RD/LAP? How does all this fit in with the detailed information found at the MXCOMM web page re the MX929B RD/LAP compatible modem?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2479. Re: BC 100XLT - Modification?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <simmont@extremezone.com>
Message-ID: <36A8FA42.1C066E3C@extremezone.com>
Source record: 130437

Well if you are referring to the "Cell Mod" that everyone raves about, it would be a good idea to choose a scanner that recieves the 800Mhz band.

Blackjack Elliot wrote:

> I have the BC 100XLT. Would the moification for the 200xlt also work on
> the 100xlt? Isn't it the same radio other than the fact mine has 100
> fewer channels?
>
> I need to know BEFORE I cut that resistor.
>
> Anyone know? Please help!
>
> Blackjack Elliot
> Las Vegas, NV
>
> Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
> BlackjackElliot@webtv.net
>
> www.BlackjackElliot.com

--

Charles Simmont - ICP509 ICQ# 19943355
http://www.extremezone.com/~simmont/
Incident Command Page of Arizona Dispatcher & National Coordinator
http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp.html

*** The views expressed here are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated.***

2480. Pro-43 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <36A9239A.719D@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 130438

I know I have seen this before but could someone tell me how you can tell if a Pro43 Scanner can be cell modified or not.

I know it has something to do with either the FCC ID number and/or the SN.

Thanks
Andy

2481. OptoCOMM and Trunker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: moverlaw@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36a8fbae.10338681@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 130439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I got to see yesterday a friend's new Optocomm from Optoelectronics.
He downloaded Trunker.exe and was able to run it flawlessly by tuning
his OptoCOMM to the data channel and then just simply running trunker
from a dos prompt in windows.

Was really neat.

My question is, isn't it true that you can get a 2nd radio to plug
into the back of the OptoCOMM to have it follow the program using this
CI-5 port.

If yes, exactly what kind of radios will work to do this ?

Thanks,
Mitch

2482. Re: Hey! Air Freeks! (Air Freqs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990122181656.19208.00001009@ng135.aol.com>
Source record: 130440

>From: tbone107@aol.com (Tbone107)
>Date: 01-22-1999 09:18 EST
>
> I'm terribly sorry if I wasted your time by posting this for those
>who were not as worldly as you.

Aw, that's alright, man. I'll forgive you this time.

Glad you can take a little teasing. Too many folks around here get their dander up way too quickly. Airnav.com is a great site and I refer folks to it frequently. If you haven't discovered it yet, www.landings.com is also a great aviation site.

JW

2483. Re: Yaesu FT-50RD WFM Filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ernie Stedman <n2ojq@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <78b176$j76@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130441

Yaesu never fixed the WFM problem on the FT-50... seems the marketing types
let their mouths get ahead of the design.
They got it right in the VX-1R, and I think in the VX-5R.
I own 2 FT-50's and love them, but I really don't care to listen to TV on my
HT-
Good Luck-
Ernie

Larry Colton <colton@patlanta.com> wrote in message
news:78api5$hni@news1.newsguy.com...
>I understand that when the FT-50RD first came out, the WFM filter wasn't
>quite right and the rig didn't do well picking up FM broadcast and TV
>broadcast (or at least didn't filter them well enough to make it worth
>listening to). Is this still the case with the newer FT-50RDs? Or has
>Yaesu changed the filter? I'm interseted in getting one radio to do
>everything (yeah, right, I'm dreaming) - transmitting and receiving - so
>I don't have to carry around more than one unit. The FT-50RD nearly
>fits the bill. So does the Icom IC-T8A and the IC-Q7A. The Q7A
>probably is closest, but the 50RD is so physically strong - I don't want
>to have to worry about bumps and showers.
>
>Any thoughts? (Yes, I've been to Rich Wells page)
>

2484. Re: Cal-Trans Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@Juno.com
Message-ID: <WM7q2.141$at2.418@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 130442

In article <36a8a275.1002225@news.value.net>, neilxxbell@value.net (Neil) wrote:
>Does anyone know the freqs used by Cal-Trans crews when they are
>directing traffic around construction areas on California Highways?
>They use small hand-helds to talk to the flagman on the other end of
>the back-up.

Up til recently, they have been using the Lowband construction frequency of 47.08 MHz. Most of the state maintenance yards have been issued 800 MHz HTs with lots of channels, so if they are using these, you will have a hard time finding them.

Ed

2485. ^^^^ Cases Cases & More Cases Custom Made designed by You !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <cops@cops911.com>
Message-ID: <36A90AA8.7419@cops911.com>
Source record: 130443

Custom Cases made for just about anything you can think of

Hand Held CB's
GPS
Scanners
Ham Radios
Cellular Phone
Pagers
2 Way Radio
PCS
Palm Computers

Belt Loop, Belt Clip, Choice of Colors and Styles

You Design it and we Manufacture it.

Also Back Packs, Duffle Bags, Vests, Holsters, Police Equip

http://cops911.com

Robert

--

C.O.P.S. - Custom Oregon Product Supplies
Robert Daugherty
1203 12th St. #E Hood River, OR 97031 USA
541.387.2677 Fax 541.387.2675
E-Mail cops@cops911.com http://cops911.com

2486. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Graves <alankg@siscom.net>
Message-ID: <K78q2.102$Ut.7542@news.siscom.net>
Source record: 130444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Uniden says the 245 will be available in June 1999. But since they are notorious for being late to deliver new models, dont count on it being available until late summer.

<mikestuber@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:36a8b397.463568065@news.value.net...
>I just ordered a 235XLT and now I'm seeing that in a couple of months
>the 245XLT will be out and will be SOOO much better!
>
>Support for EDACS systems
>multi-trunk monitoring
>simultaneous trunk & conventional monitoring
>etc.
>
>Should I just send the 235 back and wait for the 245?
>
>Mike

2487. Re: Cloning Digital Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <78b34b$og3$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 130445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mark Jasper wrote in message <36A82E23.21B506A@got.net>...
>
>
>tvsjr wrote:
>
>> iceberg@nxco.com wrote in message <77rqb1$o7f$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>> <SNIPPAGE>
>> >with the new phones comming wich will implement GPS data transmit for
911
>> >use, tracking cloaners will be easy and make cellular communicatons a
>> little
>> >safer.
>>
>> Yep, and if the government or someone with the right technology wants to
>> know exactly where you are down to 200 feet, it will be easily done.
>
>So we could just smart bomb O.J. next time?
>Cool!
>
>

Yep, that wouldn't be a problem. However, with the 100 foot inaccuracy..
hmmm- that could cause a few problems (namely destroyed buildings, dead
people, dead cops, the usual- you know) ;-)

Terry

2488. Re: Yaesu FT-50RD WFM Filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <78b3d8$ok5$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 130446

The WFM filter is still broke. However, the benefits of the radio greatly outweigh the WFM stuff. Unless you do SERIOUS WFM monitoring, the 50 is still the absolute best (much better than the Q7!) You also might look at the VX-5

Terry

Larry Colton wrote in message <78api5$hni@news1.newsguy.com>...
>I understand that when the FT-50RD first came out, the WFM filter wasn't
>quite right and the rig didn't do well picking up FM broadcast and TV
>broadcast (or at least didn't filter them well enough to make it worth
>listening to). Is this still the case with the newer FT-50RDs? Or has
>Yaesu changed the filter? I'm interseted in getting one radio to do
>everything (yeah, right, I'm dreaming) - transmitting and receiving - so
>I don't have to carry around more than one unit. The FT-50RD nearly
>fits the bill. So does the Icom IC-T8A and the IC-Q7A. The Q7A
>probably is closest, but the 50RD is so physically strong - I don't want
>to have to worry about bumps and showers.
>
>Any thoughts? (Yes, I've been to Rich Wells page)
>

2489. Re: BC895XLT Computer cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <Tw8q2.147$WR1.1568@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 130447

It's a standard 9-pin serial cable. Just note the genders you'll need on both ends and go to a place like Comp-USA, Rat Shack or whatever and you'll find what you need there. A standard serial cable should do fine, although some manufacturers get weird, especially if you have a 25-pin serial port or some such stuff.

Cheers!

Paul

Bryan wrote in message <78aigv$3a5@chronicle.concentric.net>...
>Would anyone know where I might be able to buy that
>silly computer cable for the Uniden BC89XLT unit? I think
>the part number is ACC15.
>
>Thanks.
>
>

2490. Re: WACARS???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@nightowl.net>
Message-ID: <36A91569.BF3C2387@nightowl.net>
Source record: 130448

I had the same problem and here is how i fixed it, so far. Wacars ran fine for weeks then all of a sudden after about 10 or 15 minutes it would lock up. So i did what anyone would do and just deleted it off my machine and reinstall it. Nope still locked up. So i got a registry cleaner from microsoft. (search microsoft's website for regclean) So after deleting Wacars for the second time i ran the registry cleaner and also uninstalled my sound card, i removed all the drivers and removed it's profile from the device manager. Restarted the computer and let Win95 reinstall my sound card, ran the registry cleaner, and reinstalled Wacars. Well it's been running fine ever since. Now i'm am by far no computer expert, i just ran a process of elimination. Someone might have a much better way of fixing it but that is the only one my tiny brain could figure out. Oh yeah i forgot to mention i also ran disk defragmenter after restarting the computer, after the sound card was reinstalled, i don't know if that made a difference but that is exactly how i did it. Hope it helps

Todd

SHAWN wrote:
>
> Why does the wacars program keep crashing my computer,i keep getting a error
> message if i let it run for more than 10 min.my computer locks up and i must
> restart the computer.

2491. RS Scanner Antennas (20-014)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <78b63q$bneu$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130449
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

There are three left at the RS store on Union and Foxworthy in San Jose, CA.
The price is $6.97 on closeout.

2492. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78b64nevl1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130450

Interesting reading, your post. Specifically the parts where you slam "scanner people" for driving to the scene of an incident they heard. Here's some stories from my own personal experience doing just that.

Once I heard a call go out that a rather violent teen escaped from custody in a suburban residential neighborhood. Having nothing better to do at the moment I drove to the area and pointed him out of the crowd as he was waiting at the bus stop to catch a bus. (The one place no one else had bothered to look.)

Then there was the time when a serious car accident occured near my location. Upon my arrival I noticed that the fire and police were already on scene, but there was no media presence at the event - the closest news truck was 15-20 minutes out. My friend who was along for the ride subsequently videotaped the event which made the 10 o'clock news. The news guy said it was some of the best home-video footage he ever saw.

There was a reverse-prostitution sting operation going on here in Arizona a while back. Female officers dressed as prostitutes were wearing body mikes that looked like beepers. I had the freq's for the operation, but not the body mike's. So I dressed myself up like one of the locals (this wasn't the best neighborhood, so some baggy jeans, t-shirt and flannel were the order of the day, not my usual business-casual-cop-with-radio's everywhere look) hopped on my bicycle and rode right past them with my frequency counter on. Then, I simply plugged the frequency into my scanner, put on some headphones and watched the fun. People thought I was just jamming to some tunes - a guy even approached me trying to sell me narcotics. Seizing the moment I said "No I don't have any money, but I bet those ho's will do you for it." Sure enough the dumb ass walked right over and got busted - funniest damn thing I ever saw.

Tonight I will try something new. I'm going to go out armed with scanner and cell phone and get some of these damn drunks off the road. Using the phone and scanner, I will be able to coordinate with local law enforcement and put 'em in jail where they belong. If it proves to be a success, I will do it every weekend, so if you live in Phoenix and like to drive drunk, you'll be seeing me soon. I hear too many DUI related accident calls in my area to ignore it anymore.

So even us horrible call chasing people can and do exercise some caution and finesse while following along with our local law enforcement. Some cops don't like it too much, but most of them appreciate an extra set of eyes and ears watching their back. And yeah, the bad guys might be listening too, but you know what I've noticed about crooks? They ain't the brightest bunch.

Just last night, I was at home listening to a call go out where some thugs robbed a woman at gunpoint and then drove away with their loot - $1 in cash. Further, they failed to notice and demand her cell phone, which she used to call the police, who captured these idiots at the nearest circle K - no doubt while they were deciding what to spend their newly aquired "wealth" on. No brains, and no scanners found on these clowns.

Just my 2 cents, it doesn't mean I advocate being Emilio Goldstein and pestering the police. You and I both know we really shouldn't do it but the truth is just about all of us have at one time or another. After all, it can be downright exciting and I always have fun doing it. So if you must, take it from a guy who knows, and follow thse basic guidelines:

If you're on the scene of an incident, and the cops tell you to leave, then leave.

Don't cross police lines, and don't go around playing "cop" by harassing gang members and kids with your scanner.

Use your head - if you know that someone in a cop-car-looking vehicle could jeopardize an undercover operation, either don't show up, or do as I do and blend in. I've sat back and watched many undercover ops go down - and no one even knew I was there.

Don't let others hear your scanner - they might assume you're a cop and then all hell can break loose. Use headphones if at all possible.

And, last but not least, when driving to an incident, obey the traffic laws and speed limits. The incident will be there for a while, especially if it's a fire or traffic accident. You don't want the last call you hear on your scanner to be your own....

-Phil

2493. Re: LAFD ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Humphrey <brian.humphrey@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <78b6ng$4o66$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130451

D. Ruhe wrote...

>Anybody know the new url for Los Angles City Fire Department ??

Dan,

The URL for the LAFD is still http://www.lafd.org

Stay Safe!

Brian Humphrey
Firefighter/Paramedic
Public Information Officer
Los Angeles Fire Department

2494. Re: RD/LAP Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78b6m9$f5h$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130452

mdf@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <78b2b6
>I don't want to sound snarky or anything, but what compells you to draw
>these conclusions? Where is this "list" of "all their protocol
>information" that is in the "public domain"? Does this mean I can ask
>Motorola for the gory details on their analog trunking control channel
>protocol and they will happily tell me? (For I do have questions!)
A lot of this information is available on the web, directly from mot.com
itself, if you know where to look. The statement I made is true of the
Datatac protocols, I'm not sure about the others. I would go ahead and ask,
the worst they will say is no.

>When/where
>did they make the "protective" statement(s) regarding RD/LAP?

Page 16 of the document entitled "Datatac Open Protocol Specifications -
User's Guide" states the following (you be the judge):

2.1.1 Licensing of Documentation
The DataTAC Open Protocol Specifications define a set of open protocols
used on the DataTAC systems. All the protocols are "open", which means
they are freely available, and no royalties are due for using the protocols.
All
protocols are license-free, with the following exception:

The Radio Data-Link Access Procedure (RD-LAP) air interface protocol
specification is distributed only to third parties who have signed and fully
executed an RD-LAP License Agreement with Motorola. A copy of this
license may be obtained from the contact listed in Section 2.2.3, Licensing
and Distribution Contact, and must be signed by an authorized signatory
of the third-party company.

--end quote--

>How does all
>this fit in with the detailed information found at the MXCOMM web page re
>the MX929B RD/LAP compatible modem?

I really don't think they were supposed to show that diagram. When I called
about it I was told that they could not disclose any further information
about it. The diagram also leaves out the bit interleave process, which is
critical to decoding the protocol (but they give details on that elsewhere.)
I really think it's a goof that Motorola hasn't caught wind of yet, but I
could be wrong.

-Phil

2495. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <6yaq2.157$WR1.1672@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 130453

A very valid point. I do agree certain tactical communications and sensitive/private information deserves to be kept secure. Not however, routine dispatches and communications. I do not support the police hiding their routine operations with encryption.

Rob Lang

Poster Boy wrote in message <36af90c4.11577921@news.uia.net>...
>On Mon, 11 Jan 1999 23:42:43 -0500, "Rob Lang" <robertlang@nac.net>
>wrote:
>
>>And be happy they don't use it 100% of the time!
>>
>>When the police operate in secret . . .
>>You have Secret Police!
>>
>
>No, I think that's called tactical information kept private for
>officer safety. Vehicle stops and domestic squabbles are one matter,
>meth lab busts where the dickhead drug cookers are listening is
>another matter altogether.

2496. Re: Radio Shack 2037 fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rod Peeters <bates@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <78bctpmcv1@nntp2.uunet.ca>
Source record: 130454

Scanner For Midi Keyboard?? I guess your changing hobbies huh?

Rod

>I have a Radio Shack 2037 scanner for sale. It's a desktop model with
>200 ch., triple conversion, hyperscan and so on. Will take best offer
>over $100 or trade for midi compatable keyboard.

2497. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <D8bq2.159$WR1.2047@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 130455

Very thought provoking post Tim!

I agree and disagree on a myriad of levels.

>You & 1000 other people in the area might be perfectly content to sit at
home &
>just enjoy hearing the drama over the scanner, but all it takes is one
idiot who
>prefers 'television to radio', and decides he needs to WATCH as well as
listen to
>the scanner traffic. So because of that one idiot --not to mention the
>sophisticated criminals-- public safety agencies don't just have the right,
they
>have the DUTY to protect the integrity of their operations -- namely,
>communications circuits.

This line of thinking is where I have most of my disagreement. The "one
idiot" idea. Restricting the many for the possible actions of the one, in
the final
analysis, is Bad policy with anything.

> Most humans aren't criminals, but I still take strong measures to protect
&
>defend my property against the minority criminal segment. The police have
every
>right to protect their communications against the small segment of
criminals with
>scanners, *and* the idiots out there who will be drawn to a scene to gawk
at
>something they heard on the scanner.

Valid point, but IMHO, the body of good that comes from _most_ public safety
communications being open outweighs this. A scanning incident that made an
enormous impression on me was a major accident involving an overturned
gasoline truck. Gasoline flowing into a creek created a serious explosion
hazard. I tuned in to this after it happened, and was convinced for many
minuets I was listening to a drill. The people on the radio were operating
in such a cool level headed manor. I was very impressed with our PD, VFD
and other public safety people that day.

>Kinda funny how many of us with scanners seem so interested in making
>sure we get encrypted cordless phones -- do you suppose it is merely
>coincidental?

Not in the least. We all know about communications security here! But
you're cheating comparing personal communications to public service

agencies.

> I'm not necessarily in favor of 100% crypto, I'm simply against the
>people who think that they have the 'right' to monitor public safety
>agencies and
>argue that it is
>illegal/immoral/un-Constitutional/unfair/un-American/conspiratorial/mean if
>a public safety agency decides to invest in full-time or part-time crypto .
. .

Yep. I'm one of them. (ouch! That hurt Tim.)

Perhaps not so much a "right" (people invent far too many "rights" these days) - but I do demand more accountability from LEOs and 100% encryption makes it too easy to hide illegal or just plain poor police work. But my Constitutional paranoias are another debate . . .

> I have about 20 years experience with scanners (including the use of
>communications intelligence to avoid detection & capture by local
>constabulary during various minor acts of mayhem and Hooliganism
>in my days of youthful,
>creative exuberance)

I can plead guilty to the exact same thing. I want you to know I hold my opinions with firsthand knowledge of the abuses that WILL occur.

>I really wish people in this hobby could stop thinking so much about their
>damn personal entertainment and give sincere consideration to the safety &
>effectiveness of the officers, which in case you weren't aware, is directly
related
>to the safety of our communities. Maybe instead of thinking how 'cool' it
is to
>monitor the surveillance or impending raid, spend a little time thinking "I
hope
>those bad guys don't have a scanner."

I fully support encrypting this kind of stuff. But you've gotten me to examine how much of my opinion is principle, and how much is really just indignation that my hobby is being cramped. Thanks for keeping me honest.

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

2498. Re: BC895XLT Computer cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <rgbq2.160$WR1.2047@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 130456

Did Uniden change the connector? The BC895XLT has (or had?) a cutout for card edge pins in the back of the radio, not a serial connector. I built my cable but I'd be interested in any sources that sell them. An acquaintance of mine who doesn't know which end of a soldering iron to hold has asked me for a cable, and I'm not quite friendly enough with him to bother building one for him!

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

Paul wrote in message ...
>It's a standard 9-pin serial cable. Just note the genders you'll need on
>both ends and go to a place like Comp-USA, Rat Shack or whatever and you'll
>find what you need there. A standard serial cable should do fine, although
>some manufacturers get weird, especially if you have a 25-pin serial port
or
>some such stuff.
>
>Cheers!
>
>Paul

2499. Moto SP50 VHF FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <36A94179.A0F625D9@bv.net>
Source record: 130457
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Mint condition, no scratches, Motorola SP50, 2 channel/5 Watt Transceiver, Model # P94YQT20A3AA with drop in
charger and battery. Hardly used. programmable by dealer/software. Will sell to highest reasonable offer. As in last posts on
this item, I'd like to trade for GP68 VHF.

Info
mailto:mcguyver@bv.net

2500. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <gubq2.5098$DB1.5290@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130458

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bf1f$3td$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>> John, we hate to see you backsliding. Please, get
>
>Blake -
>
>Please start acting honest again

John, Unlike you honest people don't act honest, they are. Sadly any
concept of honesty, right or wrong (wring) is beyond your limited IQ.

Why you hiding behind DeJaNews John. That's right, ISPs are getting hard to
find. So are USA.NET accounts with anything that indentifies you.

> lies & manipulation are tiring.

That is you down to the drool on your malignant face Chief.

2501. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: JLP001@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6953-36A93B63-5@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130459

Keep the 235 and get the 245 for your "Birthday" , works everytime in my house!!!

2502. Re: BC895XLT Computer cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36A94D8C.1318670@ibm.net>
Source record: 130460

Rob Lang wrote:
> Did Uniden change the connector? The BC895XLT has (or had?) a cutout
> for card edge pins in the back of the radio, not a serial connector.
> I built my cable but I'd be interested in any sources that sell them.
> An acquaintance of mine who doesn't know which end of a soldering iron
> to hold has asked me for a cable, and I'm not quite friendly enough
> with him to bother building one for him!

Go to my BC895 page and see the link for the schematic as well as
the connector to buy for the radio end from Tech America:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/bc895.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2503. Re: JOHN MACKEY POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <36A95498.27F5328@INVALID.com>
Source record: 130461

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> > That is correct John. We are "wring",
>
> What a FOOL you are! OBVIOUSLY, you know NOTHING about being a touch
> typist. Look at your keyboard, the "i" & the "o", making a mistaken
> keystroke very easy.
>
> > I notice you are hiding behind DeJaNews.
>
> Due to the recent harassment & canceled messages, I have starting using
> dejanews to prevent false postings & rogue cancels. So it is NOT hiding,
> rather it is using the modern convience of dejanews to uphold integrity
> rather than using the old type of news server which has inherant flaws
> & poor security.....

.....& a SYSOP with Mackey's name on his sh*t-list.

You friggin' loon.

2504. Yaesu Vx5 Icom R2 & Yaesu VX1R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: james <mixer@usa.net>
Message-ID: <78bkm7pkq1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 130462
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,att.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Visit :

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/YaesuVX1

To subscribe to the Yaesu VX1R mail list

sofar 37 users strong!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/icomr2

To subscribe to the ICOM R2 mail list

sofar 84 users strong!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/YAESUVX5

To join the Yaesu Vx5r Mailing list

sofar 109 users strong!

Don't forget to visit one of the Best scanning LINKS pages in the world at:

http://www.primenet.com/~confused/scan.html

73 de W6JHC James

2505. Download Uniden radio programmer plus new type data slicer circuit !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Craig-N5XNQ <cpclark@pca.net>
Message-ID: <29500E1099D7F0F5.71FF3DDD59059258.B8EABF76B84C03A5@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 130463

I have just added a Uniden trunking radio programmer drawing to my web page. You will also find drawings for other radio programmers. I also have a drawing for a new type data slicer circuit I designed and am currently using. The data slicer work very well up to 2400 baud data. Enjoy!

Craig - N5XNQ

http://www.GeoCities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hall/3252

2506. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <13fq2.5168$DB1.5347@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130464

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bg3d$4ri$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...

>Both those identies are seperate & not me.

No they aren't and that is a fact because you didn't post any proof. That is your benchmark. Thanks for admitting you are a liar and guilty as charged.

>You simply can't face the
>facts that there are others who are willingly to stand & oppose you.

The only opposition is toward you John. If you want to count hands then take a vote.

It will end up

Pro John - 3
AntiJohn - 55

Call a vote John or get back on your medications.

2507. Re: JOHN MACKEY POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <rgfq2.5169$DB1.5345@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130465

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bhp4$614$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>> That is correct John. We are "wring",
>
>What a FOOL you are! OBVIOUSLY, you know NOTHING about being a touch
>typist. Look at your keyboard, the "i" & the "o", making a mistaken
>keystroke very easy.

Your mother learned the hard way about mistakes when you were concieved.
Poor sad woman.

>> I notice you are hiding behind DeJaNews.
>
>Due to the recent harassment & canceled messages, I have starting using
>dejanews to prevent false postings & rogue cancels.

What you are really saying Liar-man is due to your harassment, false
postings & rogue cancels you are having a hell of a time keeping an ISP.

>So it is NOT hiding,

Yes it is Liar-John. Good God, you aren't fooling anyone.

>rather it is using the modern convience of dejanews to uphold integrity

You couldn't define integrity if your life depended on ot Liar-John.

>rather than using the old type of news server which has inherant flaws
>& poor security.

What utter nonsense Mackey. Either you are clueless about news servers or
just lying as usual but in any case you are full of crap.

Liar John the clueless can't admits to being net-illiterate.

Take you medication John.

2508. Mackey is Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <pifq2.5170$DB1.5368@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130466

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bgfm$51b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
>Wrong again, I am NOT Newbie.
>

It isn't a fact because you failed to post proof John. You are Newbie. No proof it is a lie Newbie Mackey.

2509. Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <7kfq2.5171$DB1.5258@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130467

You posted no proof John so what you say is not fact. If it isn't fact it is
a lie.

Mackey - Lie.....all the same.

You are wrong, you lie Mackey.

Take your medication John.

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bgm4$5bl$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>> If what you say is true it pales in comparison to you doing exactly what
you
>> accuse others of for the past five or six years.
>
>1 I nave never caused problems on this NG, I have helped resolve them
>& rid this NG of trouble makers Several of the trouble makers are
>GONE thanks to the work of myself & a few others.
>
>2 I have only been on this NG for barely over 2 years, NOT
>5 or 6 like you are attempting to say.
>
>
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2510. Re: BC895XLT Computer cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "P.Mohazzabi" <pmohazzabi@surfree.com>
Message-ID: <36a915ba@news.spamkiller.net>
Source record: 130468

Bryan wrote in message <78aigv$3a5@chronicle.concentric.net>...
>Would anyone know where I might be able to buy that
>silly computer cable for the Uniden BC89XLT unit? I think
>the part number is ACC15.
>
>Thanks.
>
>Try Scanner world. their web site is www.scannerworkd.com

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com/ The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------------== Over 66,000 Groups, Plus a Dedicated Binaries Server ==----------

2511. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990121212635.18722.00000940@ng26.aol.com>
Source record: 130486

>From: NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@la-radio.com (Poster Boy)

>No, I think that's called tactical information kept private for
>officer safety. Vehicle stops and domestic squabbles are one matter,
>meth lab busts where the dickhead drug cookers are listening is
>another matter altogether.

I couldn't agree with you more. I support 100% the need for encryption. But, there is information that the police should let the public hear on their scanners:

1. Hearing a high speed hot pursuit in progress would allow you to get the hell out of the way.
2. Hearing an armed bank robbery suspect fleeing on foot or by vehicle might be an area to stay the hell away from.
3. Hearing a report of a hit-and-run vehicle that the police are searching for might assist them.
4. Hearing you're approaching a 50-car pile up at mile marker 107 might be a good idea to slow down or exit or try to warn the vehicles behind you.
5. Hearing a tornado being sited by a county deputy might be a good reason to get to the basement immediately.
6. Hearing about domestic violence in your apartment complex might be a good idea to keep your eyes open for the sake of your children playing nearby.
7. Hearing a fire on your way to work might be a good idea to choose an alternate route.

All scanner people are not criminals.

talkyal

2512. WTB: Dead Pro 2035 / Pro 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoSpamPlease!@here.SeeMessage
Message-ID: <788o38$sk9@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 130487
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'd like to purchase a dead pro 2035 or 2042. Specifically one that has had the logic board blown out. Please email me at

mwaldron1@yahoo.com

I will pay a reasonable anount in addition to shipping, etc.

Thanks!

73's de Mike KB8VKF

2513. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990121213406.18722.00000947@ng26.aol.com>
Source record: 130488

>From: kryppy@bellsouth.net (Jack)

>Scan from 138.-144.MHz AM and 225.-400.MHz AM
>You will have a blast!

I was watching "The Learning Channel TLC" last night and there was a program on called "Night Fighters", I think. It was about F-16 pilots completely turning off all of their lights and navigating less than 1000 ft through the California canyons using only their night-vision goggles and HUD (Heads-Up Display) LANTIRN (low altitude night time infrared navigation) radar. They showed a picture of what the pilot sees at nighttime through LANTIRN looking at the HUD through the cockpit window. Sure enough, the information on the HUD read at the bottom: UHF : 304.8 VHF: 124.5.

You see, even the pilots' LANTIRN system gives him a HUD frequency readout display, so he doesn't have to look down at the radio !!!!!!

talkyal

2514. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36b0e823.83288400@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130489

On 22 Jan 1999 02:34:06 GMT, talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal) wrote:

>>From: kryppy@bellsouth.net (Jack)
>
>>Scan from 138.-144.MHz AM and 225.-400.MHz AM
>>You will have a blast!
>
>I was watching "The Learning Channel TLC" last night and there was a program on
>called "Night Fighters", I think. It was about F-16 pilots completely turning
>off all of their lights and navigating less than 1000 ft through the California
>canyons using only their night-vision goggles and HUD (Heads-Up Display)
>LANTIRN (low altitude night time infrared navigation) radar. They showed a
>picture of what the pilot sees at nighttime through LANTIRN looking at the HUD
>through the cockpit window. Sure enough, the information on the HUD read at
>the bottom: UHF : 304.8 VHF: 124.5.

I was listening to some F-16's out of Homestead practicing at night,
the descriptions of the nightvision equipment's performance was
nothing short of amazing! From what I got, it is like being out at
12:00 noon!

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2515. Re: BILL CHEEK POSTING AS 'ALLSAFE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@some.com
Message-ID: <788pnbbca1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 130490

strekker@comdex.com says...
>
>Its true........he he he

Remember, a Cheek is nothing more than an asshole in
disguise!

2516. Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@some.com
Message-ID: <788q23bca2@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 130491

hey derryberry -

are the the king butt around here or are you the runner up?

In article <6mDp2.2853$Ot4.4262@newsfeed.slurp.net>, mderryberry@netxn.com says...
>
>ROTFLMAF ... very good ...
>
>
>--
>Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!
>
>michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com
>allsafe wrote in message <36A68EEF.55888DA8@INVALID.com>...
>>I caught this in the national news section:
>>
>>Mackey's allegedly involved in a civil

2517. Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@some.com
Message-ID: <788q7nbca3@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 130492

you are a liar. you have been the one causing the problems and we
have seen the proof

In article <785gr2hcm1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>, thumbs@meow.net
says...
>
>I know for a fact that the all mighty self-proclaimed king of radio
Mackey
>has posted several thousand flames and off topic posts to this
newsgroup.
>
>And the proof will be coming all too soon.
>
>What can you expect from a man in his mid-thirties who lives in
Portland,
>has no job, no friends, no love life, and who's father knew he would
never
>amount to a hill of beans.
>
>But then again, he wasn't good enough for his father's love, why should
we
>give him ours.
>
>Choco
>
>

2518. WTB:Opto 456 or 456 lite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ernie Stedman <n2ojq@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <788t25$7h6@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130493

Anybody got one for sale?

--
Ernie
N2OJQ
Roswell GA

WARNING TO UNSOLICITED SPAMMERS !!!

Pursuant to U.S. Code, title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II,
"Any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail (SPAM) sent to
this address is subject to a download and archival fee of
$500.00 U.S."!!
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2519. Re: auto racing freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A805B6.6409@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130494

somebody@erols.com wrote:
>
> Is there a site where I can find the current frequiences for the race
> teams? I hope to go to a few races this year. Nascar /busch /
> Cart...Thanks

Check out the following URLs:

http://www.speedworld.net/frequencies/frequencies_index.html
http://www.racescan.com/

2520. Re: RD/LAP Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdf@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7895ks$42j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130495

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> So far the only thing preventing me from writing this application is not
> knowing how to build the interface or not knowing what audio frequencies
> coming off the sound card make up the binary 0,1,2 or 3.

I would expect some problems with the op-amp interfaces at the speeds being mentioned. Heck, most of these little boards don't even include receive filters, and probably produce woefully bad results on less than front-end melting signals. So unless you have a very strong signal, I suspect you will have a depressingly high BER off such an interface.

I recommend a dedicated DSP unit (I use a Motorola EVM56002 board), or, as a last resort, the sound board thing of some kind.

> not knowing what audio frequencies coming off the sound card make up the
> binary 0,1,2 or 3.

The discriminator tap from your scanner -- assuming it has the wherewithall to pass a 9.6baud (19.2kbps) signal without mangling it at the IF -- is baseband. That is, there are no frequencies for "0", "1", "2", or "3". Just different levels. The "4" in the "4FSK" refers to >RF< 'tones', not demodulated audio ones.

If you have an oscilloscope, you can "see" the 4 levels by hooking the probe to the discriminator output. Without clock recovery though, it'll look mainly like a mess, as opposed to the nice clean eye patterns you see in the MXCOMM doco.

> For using a soundcard, I thought of just writing a quick and dirty little
> program to simply report the audio frequency of each sample.

The program consists of 4 parts, run in sequence:

0. Input "matched" filter. The RD/LAP doco provides the details, and there are various filter design tools on the internet. The one you probably want to use is at http://www.cs.york.ac.uk/~fisher/mkfilter. Select root-raised cosine, and set the 3dB cut-off to 1/2 the signalling rate (4800Hz), and a "beta" of 0.2. (These values come from the MXCOMM datasheets).

1. Level tracking. Unless you like fiddling with knobs for best results, the program must track the peak levels and compute slicings for the purposes of making symbol decisions. If you are AC coupled from discriminator to ADC, you have the further problem of handling the lack of DC from the NRZ coded datastream. [If the bitstream goes to 11 for about 10 symbol intervals, it is effectively a DC signal, and the coupling capacitor will block it. This is manifested as a slow decay in the level ...]

2. Symbol decision. With the help of a locally generated bit clock (see next step), pick a sample near the maximum eye opening, and use the previously computed levels to make a symbol decision. Better is to run an integrate&dump process -- add up ("integrate") samples until a bit-clock tick occurs, then make decision based on the sum, and reset the sum to zero ("dump").

3. Clock recovery. Implement a simple numerical oscillator (counter&lookup table), and phase-lock it to the received signal. I use a very simple exponentially smoothed level-crosser for phase lock; there are entire books written on the problem of carrier/clock recovery.

I strongly recommend you snarf some samples of the a signal and write an off-line (ie, non real-time) "C" program to confirm you have a working modem. Then hack it into a thing which can run at real-time. (Assembler almost certainly required, unless you have a nice DSP library).

I can provide some "C" source which implements a 2FSK based on the above description, and even a DSP56k version as well.

[I'd write a 4FSK modem, but I don't know of any such signals near where I live. If you make a sample file (48000 samples/sec, 16b, 1 second worth containing the channel idle, packet header, data) available on the net, I can probably send you a C program which decodes it.]

> Well, that's all I have so far. I'll keep the group posted, and yes, when
> it's done, it'll be freeware ala MDT Monitor. Due to copyright issues with
> Motorola however, I cannot legally provide source code. Also, if the big M
> sends a bunch of lawyers to my door, I'll be forced to pull the plug. Other
> than that, we look good to go.

What, are you a Motorola employee? Have you signed an NDA from Motorola? If not, they have zero claim to any code you write. They can't hassle you if you reverse engineer their protocols from "public" sources. If this was possible, they would be on your doorstep right now. If it was possible, they would be on your ass for releasing even an executable. If I was you, I wouldn't be losing sleep over this. Rather I would stand up, be proud and flat out apply the GNU copy-left to the sucker. But, as you know, I am not you ...

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2521. Re: Go Private?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan B <kd4lyp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36A810C4.4282@usa.net>
Source record: 130496

Poster Boy wrote:
>
> On Mon, 11 Jan 1999 23:42:43 -0500, "Rob Lang" <robertlang@nac.net>
> wrote:
>
> >And be happy they don't use it 100% of the time!
> >
> >When the police operate in secret . . .
> >You have Secret Police!
> >
>
> No, I think that's called tactical information kept private for
> officer safety. Vehicle stops and domestic squabbles are one matter,
> meth lab busts where the dickhead drug cookers are listening is
> another matter altogether.

You got to be kidding? Our local police still use simple inversion and
they talk about the most stupid shit. Most have no idea that they can be
heard! Every once in a while they use it for the purpose it was designed
for.

--
http://www.webdeals.net
Web design and hosting for $5 a month!
Made for small businesses in the USA.

2522. Re: OPTO SCOUT WON'T BEEP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122010325.26631.00001077@ng122.aol.com>
Source record: 130497

>
>I've got an Optoelectronics Scout that no longer beeps when it captures
>a signal. Before I send it off to Optoelectronics to be fixed, I
>thought I'd see if anyone in the group had ever encountered this
>problem. I do have the switches in the correct positions, by the way.
>
>Thanks,
>Jim
>
>
>

Sorry, mine is working fine (fingersX).

2523. Re: Astro / APCO25 Digital Scanner Project Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: gknox@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36a8161b.3548028@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 130498

On Fri, 22 Jan 1999 01:44:11 GMT, mdf@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>
>Gag me with a spoon.
>
>Paying $1000 is quite irrational; DVSI is pricing their products for the LENS
>(Law Enforcement/National Security) apparatus, who have a history of spending
>thousands of dollars for doorknobs and screwdrivers.
>
>The main problems a vocoder has are (a) voice quality, (b) ultimate
>compression, and (c) being able to *encode* in real-time. Decoding is a
>non-issue because it is invariably *MUCH* faster and easier since the encoder
>has done all the hard work for you.
>
>Since your project is strictly a receive-only affair, I would be surprised
>if your current DSP board could not do the 4FSK demodulation and the IMBE
>decode as well.

It could do it easily. But then you would need a license from DVSI to use
the IMBE patents. That will cost you $125,000, plus per unit royalties.

DVSI's IMBE vocoder is used in LOTS of different products:Therefore, they
have LOTS of money and will certainly, in fact must, come after any
infringers.

Greg Knox

2524. Re: OPTO SCOUT WON'T BEEP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabrizio <AvStrien@usa.net>
Message-ID: <789arr$2a00$1@ns0.klm.nl>
Source record: 130499

WilRobnson wrote in message
<19990122010325.26631.00001077@ng122.aol.com>...
|>
|>I've got an Optoelectronics Scout that no longer beeps when it
captures
|>a signal. Before I send it off to Optoelectronics to be fixed, I
|>thought I'd see if anyone in the group had ever encountered this
|>problem. I do have the switches in the correct positions, by the way.

Hi, I've had the same problem, but have fixed it myself.
First check following: Does the vibrator alarm work, or
isn't that working either? If it does work, I don't know the
source of your trouble. If it fails too, the source of your
problem is found in a small logic board with loose
conections.

1) Remove 4 small black screws of the front half case
2) Carefully tear halves apart
3) You'll see a large print and a small piggyback board
near the top of the device, with the led on its left side.
4) Check, and eventually fix, the connections of this
small piggyback board with the main print: 1 pin at
the left side, and a whole bunch of connectors in a
straight row on the right side.
5) Check connection of the 2 wires to the beeper as
well as the 2 wires to the vibrator engine (the silver
roll sticked to the top of the case)
6) Place front half case over the Scout
7) Fix 4 screws

This fixed mine; hope it fixes yours too.

Brgds, Fabrizio.

|>
|>Thanks,
|>Jim
|>
|>
|>
|
|Sorry, mine is working fine (fingersX).

2525. Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Jasper <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <36A8350F.812ABBB2@got.net>
Source record: 130500

Newbie wrote:

> hey derryberry -
>
> are the the king butt around here or are you the runner up?

And all you kids get the hell off my lawn!

2526. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <789hteega1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130501

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> Absolutly, We are all proud of John, for admitting that he has been
> the disruptive force in the newsgroup for such a long time. I have
> also forwarded those admissions to some of the ISP's that he has

Of course Blake as is normal for you, you can only get ahead by
false statements & corrrupting the truth. You have proven that
YOU are "the disruptive force in the newsgroup for such a long time"!

I pity you!

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2527. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <36A85DA8.18C2@compuserve.com>
Source record: 130502

Al Rooney wrote:
>
> Scan Man wrote:
> >
> > Watson wrote:
> > >
> > > Is there anyway to modify a scanner to transmit onto the frequency that
> > > you are listening to?
> > > thanks,
> > > justin
> >
> > How can you even ask such a stupid question???!!!
>
> Very similiar to:
> Can you modify my black & white tv to make it a color tv?

Even more similar to:
"Can you modify my color TV to make it a camcorder?"

2528. Re: John Mackey - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <789i4pejt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130503

"Choco Boco" <thumbs@meow.net> wrote:
> I know for a fact that the all mighty self-proclaimed king of radio Mackey
> has posted several thousand flames and off topic posts to this newsgroup.
> And the proof will be coming all too soon.

If this is indeed a "fact" as you claim, you would have the proof
NOW, rather than say it will be coming "soon"!

BTW - I am not the "King of Radio", that is Jeff Potter, K7OP!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2529. Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <789icjeuj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130504

George White <deepy@serv.net> wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
> > I caught this in the national news section:
> > Mackey's allegedly involved in a civil litigation action against
SNIP
> > hehe

> And what in God's Green Earth does this have to do with scanners?

George-

What a sad reality that you point out, "allsafe" & a few others
have demonstrated their desire to do nothing other than cause problems
on this NG as demonstrated by thier actions for the past several days.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2530. Re: Go Private?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: rock56@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26774-36A82EA2-14@newsd-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130505

Yea, I agree also. Officers do need a private channel for drug bust and so on. Very good point indeed. Officer safety is a must. A Ga, state trooper was shot and killed two days ago doing a traffic stop not far from here. Just a point to say we live in a world full of sh__. I just think that soon it will all be PRIVATE. Sure, law enforcement needs a private channel for things like you say but some don't use it for that. A cop in this state forgot to go private a few weeks back and said I'm going to get that n____r, well I would hate to be in his shoes now. I say there should be a channel for them to use when its needed, other than that I like to know whats going on around me and how my tax dollars are being spent. When they go private to talk about non tactical info. I think its to cover up some things they shouldn't be talking about anyway. If it involves someone in my family I just hope I can get to that recorder in time. I support our law and have friends and some family in law enforcement,but not around here, and I hear about some things that just aren't right. So my goal to go private with the locals will remain. Thanks for the comments.

2531. Re: JOHN MACKEY POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <789ij4$f1i$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130506

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> It's only obvious.

Only to the psychotic ones Reality states you are wring, again!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2532. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <789j6cfge1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130507

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
SNIP
> Proven wrong for the 45th time this year, John shuts his mouth for 36
> hours, possibly a record.

I was never proven wring Infact, I posted FCC data which proved
me correct!

Actuallly, I was VERY busy this week so I stayed on the newsgroups
a couple days to let you guys prove that you all are the problems on this
NG. You ALL did it VERY WELL!!!! While I was gone you all contuinued to
make posts on this NG to cause trouble & flames (YOU, allsafe, Blake Bowers,
Phil Smalley, etc). There is no one to blame but yourselves!

> Newbie shows up raising crap about Bill Cheek. Who does that?
>
> Newbie is posting out of where? When you examine the header you won't be

I think I have seen Newbie on here several times before, check his history
on dejanews

> Newbie wrote in message....
> >you are a liar. you have been the one causing the problems and we

How do you respond to newbies statement of YOU?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2533. Re: cellular reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: rock56@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26774-36A83516-16@newsd-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130508

I wish someone would post the address to the feds law books so I could see for myself. Top personal at bell south told me people could do this as long as they didn't repeat or use what was heard. Cell questions are asked here all the time. Sure, make folks aware of laws but don't jump down their neck!!!! If you can't answer a question don't be an ass. Someone did me this way one time and I felt like , well you know.

2534. Re: Need Las Vegas Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlackjackElliot@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6108-36A83111-5@newsd-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130509

Thanks Robert! You were a MAJOR help!

Blackjack Elliot
Las Vegas, NV

Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
BlackjackElliot@webtv.net

www.BlackjackElliot.com

2535. Radio Shack 2037 fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikerab@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36a85e49.1219853@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 130510

I have a Radio Shack 2037 scanner for sale. It's a desktop model with 200 ch., triple conversion, hyperscan and so on. Will take best offer over $100 or trade for midi compatable keyboard.

2536. NEW Scanner Mailing list for Central Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwilkers@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <789ngminp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,oh.general

Check out:

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/cmhscan

Scanner mailing list for scanner hobbyists in Central Ohio

Enjoy!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2537. Re: digital cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: charlie@accesspro.net
Message-ID: <36a85075.982862@news.gate.net>
Source record: 130512
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Some 900 mhz phones are analog but I have been unable to hear any on the scanner. I wonder if there is a way to have a 900 mhz phone go in test mode and receive the signals in the area.

"st&#65533;phane th&#65533;berge" <stef_theberge@videotron.ca> wrote:

>do you know if all digital cordless phones have screambling frequency? (that
>we can't heard on scanner, only bird sound?)
>
>--
>St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge
>Beauport,Qc
>Canada
>
>
>

2538. Re: W and W manufacturing ripped me off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <789khj$jt9$8@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 130513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

spamkill@interl.net wrote:

: Hello folks,

: I hate posting one of these messages to any kind of forum but these
: folks leave me no choice.
: Their representative hung up on my xyl when she was trying to resolve
: the matter to the satisfaction of myself and the company. Hence, I
: have no choice but to post it here. I hope it serves as a warning to
: others who might consider doing business with these people.

: Last Thursday I ordered a rapid charger for my high capacity battery pack for
: my Alinco dj-582th. The batteries I have are ebp-28n.

: Since I'd heard about this company and heard that I may be able to get
: the charger I need from them at lower cost than the Alinco charger, I called
: them. I asked them which of their models I need for the battery pack
: I have. The lady told me I need model number, MC1a/12/ebp28n I called back
: and ordered one the next day.

: Well, today (a week later almost) I received a charger which is good
: for an ebp-10n to ebp-22n, not a 28n.
: The batteries I own have three contacts for the charging cup. This
: charger has two. Also, if I'm correct, the 22n and smaller numbers
: are smalller packs, right?

: Since they want me to send them the battery---I have no receipt, just
: the receipt for a money order which could have gone anywhere, I will
: have no working charger and I don't believe these folks will actually
: pay me---can't blame me after my experience, I don't know how to
: resolve the situation, but I'm not impressed with the way they do
: business. Had I known I would be lied to when I placed my original
: call I would have dealt with my friendly Alinco products dealer for an
: OEM charger.

: All I want is a rapid charger that will work with my packs. Since
: these folks told me they had one that works, they should provide one
: that will, and I should get it shipped air freight to my door at no
: additional charge. Their representative hung up on my lady this
: evening as I stated earlier, and they don't have the guts to answer
: their emails.

: I am prepared to contact the New York state better business bureau as
: well as file court action. It's not about the $79 anymore, it's about
: just being plain mad as hell at getting ripped off.

: 73 and sorry to have to post this here guys.

: Richard Webb

: Electric Spider Productions
: Usenet spambuster, replace any characters before the at with elspider
: If you hate spam call cyber advertising in New York city:
: (800) 409-8302 extension 1284 and leave
: a detailed message telling them what you think of their marketing policies.

: amateur station kb0ruu

Your problem sounds like a series of errors..any company can have a bad
day(so to speak).
I've dealt with W&W for a number of years , and I've NEVER had a problem
with them-and with one minor exception, several other people that I know
are happy with W&W as well.
BTW, the minor exception was a molding error on one pack that was so easy
to fix at home, I solved the problem in about 1 minute with hand tools.
Contact them again-I suspect the problem will be resolved easily.
Good luck.

2539. ?: South Florida mil. aero frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markus Buttinger <m.buttinger@salzburg.co.at>
Message-ID: <36A8556D.2B370231@salzburg.co.at>
Source record: 130514

hi all,

I will be flying to Ft.Lauderdale/Fla. for 2 weeks on Monday
and taking my Icom R10 with me.

Can anybody on here supply me with military aeronautical
frequencies for the area that are worth punching in to the
scanner, i.e. known to be very 'active'?

thanks and regards,

Markus Buttinger
Salzburg,Austria

2540. Re: Pro-2035/2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: n3xl@fast.net
Message-ID: <MPG.111223f8d5e2d8319896d5@news.fast.net>
Source record: 130515

In article <36A6BF4B.195896E@globe-net.net>, rc123@globe-net.net
says...
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 20 Jan 1999 21:47:43 PDT
>
> Newer models came out. As much as I like my PRO-2042 with
> the OS535 interface, it still doesn't trunktrack like the newer
I have read the documentation with some of the computer tracking
software Trunker, Etrunk ect. They speak of supporting the AR scanner
interface and a version being able to talk to the OS-456 (I guess for
the Pro2006) but none indicated that they work with the OS-535 (Pro
2042)? Do you know if any work with the OS-535?
--
Regards, Mark - N3XL
n3xl@fast.net

2541. BC 100XLT - Modification?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlackjackElliot@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6440-36A846DC-1@newsd-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130516

I have the BC 100XLT. Would the moification for the 200xlt also work on the 100xlt? Isn't it the same radio other than the fact mine has 100 fewer channels?

I need to know BEFORE I cut that resistor.

Anyone know? Please help!

Blackjack Elliot
Las Vegas, NV

Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
BlackjackElliot@webtv.net

www.BlackjackElliot.com

2542. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <36A85DB8.F0CBA435@mich.com>
Source record: 130517

Talkyal wrote:

> >From: NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@la-radio.com (Poster Boy)
>
> >No, I think that's called tactical information kept private for
> >officer safety. Vehicle stops and domestic squabbles are one matter,
> >meth lab busts where the dickhead drug cookers are listening is
> >another matter altogether.
>
> I couldn't agree with you more. I support 100% the need for encryption. But,
> there is information that the police should let the public hear on their
> scanners:
>
> 1. Hearing a high speed hot pursuit in progress would allow you to get the hell
> out of the way.

And could let the suspect get the hell away if he or she exercised that same 'right' to tune in. If you want to get the hell out of the way of a high speed pursuit, always drive defensively and look & listen for flashing lights & sirens. If you get pegged anyway, always hold the criminal responsible, and hold the police agency civilly *and* criminally responsible IF any pursuit policies were violated by their agent(s). If you're dead, sorry, get your estate to do it. RIGHT NOW, start a local grass-roots campaign calling for fleeing & eluding/reckless drivers to be treated the same as if they fired shots at people. Even the courts don't seem to realize that reckless endangerment with motor vehicle is just as bad as brandishing a firearm during a crime. Put people's lives in jeopardy with a gun & you go to prison. Drive at 100MPH down the busy road and you get points on your drivers license & *maybe* spend a day or two in jail! The public just thinks of you as a bad driver, and not some &^$# that could have killed a bunch of people...

> 2. Hearing an armed bank robbery suspect fleeing on foot or by vehicle might be
> an area to stay the hell away from.

Or might be the area to speed to if you're media, or 'police-buff.' Also the bank robbery suspect/accomplices could use the same communications intelligence to their advantage.

> 3. Hearing a report of a hit-and-run vehicle that the police are searching for
> might assist them.

"Them" could be the suspect. Police can & should have memorandums of understanding with local broadcast media to get 'be on the lookout' broadcasts disseminated to the public.

> 4. Hearing you're approaching a 50-car pile up at mile marker 107 might be a
> good idea to slow down or exit or try to warn the vehicles behind you.

Or to swarm to the scene if you're the media, or to decide it might be a good opportunity to go rob that liquor store on the other side of town... Maybe that 50 car pile-up occurred in the first place because some do-gooder was trying to "warn" the vehicles behind him or her about something...

> 5. Hearing a tornado being sited by a county deputy might be a good reason to
> get to the basement immediately.

Yes.

> 6. Hearing about domestic violence in your apartment complex might be a good
> idea to keep your eyes open for the sake of your children playing nearby.

Wow, that's uh, very creative! I suppose if you're the one being abused by your spouse, or even if you're the abuser, and your neighbor later comes up to you & says "Hey! I hear ya got your ass kicked by that wife of yours the other day! Hahaha!" you might be a little incensed that your 'privacy was violated' when the police spoke your name in conjunction with the incident over the air and your neighbor(s) with the scanner heard it. Or how about your neighbor being fed up with your loud stereo, so to get the police over right away, he calls them anonymously and says that YOU are abusing your kid, drowning out her screams by having the stereo up loudly... Some other neighbor hears that run on the scanner, and next thing you know, for some reason, kids start bypassing your home on Halloween! I don't think criminals have any rights, but I think suspects do...

> 7. Hearing a fire on your way to work might be a good idea to choose an
> alternate route.

If you're close enough to "hear a fire," it's probably too late to take an alternate route. Again though, if it is a major scene with roads closed, hopefully your local broadcast radio outlet will be talking about it periodically.

> All scanner people are not criminals.

No one said they are. All criminals are not scanner people. But some are. Some scanner people become criminals.

Most humans aren't criminals, but I still take strong measures to protect & defend my property against the minority criminal segment. The police have every right to protect their communications against the small segment of criminals with scanners, *and* the idiots out there who will be drawn to a scene to gawk at something they heard on the scanner.

If you're really motivated to have the public warned, work to ensure the public safety agencies have a good working relationship with any local broadcast media outlets, and encourage that local AM radio station or whatever to immediately broadcast the info out whenever the authenticated public safety agency calls 'em up and asks if they'd make an announcement!

I have about 20 years experience with scanners (including the use of communications intelligence to avoid detection & capture by local constabulary during various minor acts of mayhem and Hooliganism in my days of youthful, creative exuberance), and over 10 years of public safety field experience with special operations & investigations (I'm not a police officer). So I've kinda been on both sides of the radio traffic, and I suppose that as long as I continue to

slow down to the speed limit if I hear there's a police officer on the highway up ahead of me, I'm STILL on both sides of the matter!

I have personally demonstrated to a variety of agencies how not only are their communications channels (including "Secret frequencies that the FCC told us won't be publicized" -- You're in Michigan, ever hear MSP CID refer to the "SUPPRESSED" channel? Now you know how it got that stupid name!) monitored, but also how *some* media & individual scanner-geeks are reckless. On several occasions I have been involved with broadcasting interesting, fake scenarios over the airwaves (sometimes dispatch, sometimes tactical channels), and then all of us sit back & watch the inevitable media crews and/or scanner geeks show up. Some of the canned scenarios generated were high-risk undercover type operations for which operatives and the public could be put at risk if the hypothetical bad-guy got spooked, yet that didn't stop some scanner geeks from coming into the area and driving around slowly, looking for the event. In several cases, the scanner antennas on the car & they way morons were constantly driving around or conspicuously parking in the area could reasonably have been expected to spook the bad-guy & blow the operation. I'll also point out that in one of the scenarios, a marked patrol car from a department that technically also had jurisdiction in the area was poking around the scene. We 'busted' him, he denied everything at first, until I looked at the scanner in his car & saw he had the it locked on the surveillance channel we were using, with the local body-bug channel running as the priority! Once he realized we were seriously displeased with him, he admitted that he thought the body bug freq he had for the department in his scanner would have been in use for that (fake) operation we had going. Because he didn't hear the body-bug, he "assumed" he wasn't close enough to the scene to cause problems! He was reprimanded by his department's Road Patrol Commander, but I then had to virtually beg the undersheriff to not order all scanners pulled from the patrol cars!

You & 1000 other people in the area might be perfectly content to sit at home & just enjoy hearing the drama over the scanner, but all it takes is one idiot who prefers 'television to radio', and decides he needs to WATCH as well as listen to the scanner traffic. So because of that one idiot --not to mention the sophisticated criminals-- public safety agencies don't just have the right, they have the DUTY to protect the integrity of their operations -- namely, communications circuits.

Hundreds of thousands of police have never been shot at, yet they still wear those inconvenient vests each day. Do you think that's dumb, since odds are they'll never be shot, let alone shot at?

I really wish people in this hobby could stop thinking so much about their damn personal entertainment and give sincere consideration to the safety & effectiveness of the officers, which in case you weren't aware, is directly related to the safety of our communities. Maybe instead of thinking how 'cool' it is to monitor the surveillance or impending raid, spend a little time thinking "I hope those bad guys don't have a scanner."

I'm not necessarily in favor of 100% crypto, I'm simply against the people who think that they have the 'right' to monitor public safety agencies and argue that it is illegal/immoral/un-Constitutional/unfair/un-American/conspiratorial/mean if a public safety agency decides to invest in full-time or part-time crypto, switch to

the potentially more efficient digital Astro or Aegis systems, or otherwise 'disrupt' someone's hobby by simply switching to a trunked system. I'm also against the scanner buffs (with no operational-security or law enforcement field experience) who think they're capable generating a comprehensive list of types of information & events that the police should & should not secure over the air.

Kinda funny how many of us with scanners seem so interested in making sure we get encrypted cordless phones -- do you suppose it is merely coincidental?

We (in the USA) have the priviledge to listen, they have the right to secure. Ying, and Yang.

Deal with it.

> talkyal

Tim

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2543. ACARS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <789mf8snn1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 130518

I am looking for a list of US ground stations.
eagle@ez-net.com

2544. Re: W and W manufacturing ripped me off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiobufff@aol.com.rfi.tvi
Message-ID: <19990122063040.20586.00001018@ng103.aol.com>
Source record: 130519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I too have dealt with W&W for years, bought tens of packs including ones for camcorders and cordless phones with very good results, minor problems were remedied with much satisfaction, you should approach them again and try to resolve this, but do what you must, remember you get more flies with sugar

Radio in the Bufff
Co-Ed Naked Radio
Support your local Oscillator

Please remove<.rfi.tvi> to reply

2545. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <789q3j$3qb$0@207.18.224.131>
Source record: 130520

On Fri, 22 Jan 1999 09:54:57 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>> Absolutly, We are all proud of John, for admitting that he has been
>> the disruptive force in the newsgroup for such a long time. I have
>> also forwarded those admissions to some of the ISP's that he has
>
>
>
>Of course Blake as is normal for you, you can only get ahead by

John, we hate to see you backsliding. Please, get back on your
medication, and stand by your admisions of guilt. So many were
proud of you for your admissions that you are the disruptive force
in the newsgroups.

2546. Re: W and W manufacturing ripped me off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <789qat$3qb$1@207.18.224.131>
Source record: 130521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

On 22 Jan 1999 02:00:59 GMT, spamkill@interl.net wrote:

>
>Hello folks,
>
>I hate posting one of these messages to any kind of forum but these
>folks leave me no choice.
>Their representative hung up on my xyl when she was trying to resolve
>the matter to the satisfaction of myself and the company. Hence, I
>have no choice but to post it here. I hope it serves as a warning to
>others who might consider doing business with these people.
>

I have dealt with W & W for YEARS, and never, NEVER had any problem
with them at all. NEVER.

I heartily recommend them to anyone needing batteries and associated
items.

2547. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <789qjr$3qb$2@207.18.224.131>
Source record: 130522

On Thu, 21 Jan 1999 21:19:02 -0800, "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:

>Newbie is posting out of where? When you examine the header you won't be
>surprised.
>
>
>Hello John. Glad to see one of the voices in your head is on your side. Now
>if you could convince the other 8 voices you hear.
>
>

Now Steve, it is evident that the multiple personalities that John has appear to be prevalent when John has started back on his medications.

His posts start to contain admissions about him being responsible for many of the problems on the newsgroups, and then the multiple personalities start.

I think we all should have sympathy for John, and support him everytime that he starts his admissions again. It has to be tough to be as sick as he is, and the MD's not being able to keep him on his medication.

2548. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: petermaus@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122075429.27212.00000859@ng147.aol.com>
Source record: 130523

Tim Tyler wrote:>Police can & should have memorandums of
>understanding with local broadcast media to get 'be on the lookout'
>broadcasts
>disseminated to the public.

You make some interesting points, here. However, (and being media myself, I have to point out some important distinctions to be made) the independence of the media from authority is Constitutionally guaranteed. Cooperation with authority is voluntary at best. But more is the case, that media simply run rampant over public and authority for the sake of the story. The relationship between media and civil authority is at best uneasy, and at worst, adversarial. In some cases, even belligerent.

Now, that is not to say that many media outlets are not cooperative with civil authority, and have unwritten understandings between them, (I have worked for several such outlets) but the times have changed since media took its role and responsibility seriously. With the intense competition for revenues, when a big story breaks, all bets, and most agreements are off. And the priorities become very self centered. A clued in outlet with self centered priorities will in most cases work against police and civil interests, instead of with them. Often to the advantage of criminal interests. And then the outlet will accept kudos and any applicable awards for covering both sides of the story, while simultaneously exposing police "incompetence" for having released to the media in the first place.
>> 4. Hearing you're approaching a 50-car pile up at mile marker 107 might be
>a
>> good idea to slow down or exit or try to warn the vehicles behind you.
>
> Or to swarm to the scene if you're the media,

Directly related to teh point I have made.

Cooperation between police and media often results in a conflict of interests. And tragically, media tends to be more in the way than of help.

2549. Re: Regency MX-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <f3ImA2ApdGq2EwwY@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 130524

In article <36a7745f.2441482@news.earthlink.net>, Larry Levy
<levys@earthlink.net> writes

Hi,

>My old MX-5000 was grey :)

Yup, I think my mind is going (gone) !

The AR2001 were black and now I've been reminded the MX-5000/7000 were a
grey case with the black keypad surround.

As you say, excellent receiver - still.
Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2550. Re: Commtel 216?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <iWX545AXjHq2EwlV@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 130525

In article <36a7a328.794601@news.erols.com>, Chip S. Ahoy
<nospam@nospam.com> writes

>Beware, it doesn't have an earjack to listen through headphones, in
>case that matters to you.

There is a "standard" 3.5mm Mono Earpiece/External Speaker output.

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2551. Antennae Help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolph <dolph@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <36a84e5c.0@news.qnet.com>
Source record: 130526

Hi All,
As an active member of this group I'll kindly ask for anyone not to flame me for this dumb question please!!! I have a question. I live in a community that absolutely does not allow antenna's,but!!! I have a tree in my backyard that I could install it in and it would be invisible!
Now...would the tree act as an obstruction or not ? I'm looking at the Radio Shack (You know... You've got questions? We've got blank looks!) Discone.
Any replies greatly appreciated!
dolph@qnet.com
BTW I've got- (2) BC200XLT's,(1) Pro-43,(1) BC2500XLT and (1) Pro-2006

2552. Re: Source for kits?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36A87B69.4615@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 130527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

opalko@usa.net wrote:
>
> Ok, so I don't have my license yet, but I'm going to soon. My question is
> more general, however. Is there a source for receiver and transmitter kits
> like the old Heathkits? Does anyone make stuff like that anymore?
> On another note, is there a similar source for a scanner kit?
> Thanks,
> Bob

Howdy Bob
Fifty + kit makers at URL:

http://www.ac6v.com/pageay.html

--
73 from Rod in San Diego

AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 88 PAGES, 400 INDEXED TOPICS, AND 3000 LINKS
Over 275,000 visits on the counter
http://www.ac6v.com/pageas.html -- Alphabetical Index
http://www.ac6v.com/ -- Home Page

2553. Re: auto racing freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122091308.06661.00000378@ng52.aol.com>
Source record: 130528

>Is there a site where I can find the current frequiences for the race
>teams? I hope to go to a few races this year. Nascar /busch /
>Cart...Thanks

This site will have a lot. Go to it then drag down to "Racing".....

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/links.html

2554. Re: Hey! Air Freeks! (Air Freqs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122091842.06661.00000380@ng52.aol.com>
Source record: 130529

> Hey, tbone, where ya' been? The rest of the world has known about this
>site,
>like, forever, man.
>

Hey, like, man...I keep seeing "where can I get airport frequency" posts every few days, I'm terribly sorry if I wasted your time by posting this for those who were not as worldly as you. Sorry also that I've only known about it for a year, as opposed to forever. My head will forever hang in shame for not having been born with http:// typed across my butt.

2555. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122092329.06661.00000381@ng52.aol.com>
Source record: 130530

>Watson wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Is there anyway to modify a scanner to transmit onto the frequency that
>> > > you are listening to?
>> > > thanks,
>> > > justin
>> >
>> > How can you even ask such a stupid question???!!!
>>
>> Very similiar to:
>> Can you modify my black & white tv to make it a color tv?
>
>Even more similar to:
>"Can you modify my color TV to make it a camcorder?"
>
>
>
>
>
>

Can I modify my '72 Pinto into a 1998 Lincoln Town car?

Can I modify my toaster to be able to boil water in less than a minute?

Can I modify my wife into Christy Brinkley?

Can anyone modify Bill "Bubba" Clinton into a faithful, non-joy juice spewin',
blue dress dry cleanin' President we can all be proud of kind of a husband?

2556. Alinco DJX10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
Message-ID: <36A88C1D.5A86@wwd.net>
Source record: 130531

Anyone using this radio care to comment about good/bad qualities?

The X10 hasn't seemed to attract as much attention as the AR8000 when it
was released. THere were literally hundreds of web pages for the 8K but
I've only found a few for the X10.

How about sharing frequency files useing Bruce Popes' software?

Any mods?

tia
--
73

Nick Marsh
WB4SQI

2557. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: andrew Eckman <ajeckman@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78a2t6$q15$1@news0-alterdial.uu.net>
Source record: 130532

<Snip>

>Talkyal wrote:
>
> I'm not necessarily in favor of 100% crypto, I'm simply against the
people who
>think that they have the 'right' to monitor public safety agencies and
argue that
>it is
illegal/immoral/un-Constitutional/unfair/un-American/conspiratorial/mean if
a
>public safety agency decides to invest in full-time or part-time crypto,
switch to
>the potentially more efficient digital Astro or Aegis systems...

<Snip>

You think the Astro and other digital trunking systems (like the one the MSP
uses) is MORE EFFICIENT?
I wish more public safety entities would realize that these systems may be
efficient, but hardly realiable. If you like the 20-30 percent chance that
your raido might not receive or transmit, then these systems are for you!

2558. Re: Antennae Help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Heath <jimheath@foothill.net>
Message-ID: <78a4i6hkg1@ns2.foothill.net>
Source record: 130533

Go ahead and mount it in the tree. You won't notice the difference.

Have fun, Jim Heath

2559. NEW Optoelectronics Mini Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <opto@gate.net>
Message-ID: <78a67vfou1@news.gate.net>
Source record: 130534

Introducing the NEW Mini Scout

www.optoelectronics.com

2560. NY Column For January 1999 -- Oneida County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: watnny@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122110724.22195.00001674@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 130535

Is anyone interested in a monthly column for New York State dedicated to radio and scanning ??? Iï¿½ve seen on this newsgroup that a couple of other people are doing it for other states -- letï¿½s see if itï¿½s as popular for New York State.

Iï¿½ve been a scanner enthusiast for just over 10 years, and my main interests are police, fire and Federal communications. Iï¿½m also an amateur radio operator, holding a ï¿½No Code Techï¿½ license for the past 8 years. I have my name featured in the front of Police Call, and I am a contributor to Warren Silvermanï¿½s ï¿½New York Metro/Northern New Jersey Communicationï¿½s Guideï¿½ and Rich Barnettï¿½s ï¿½Monitor Americaï¿½ guide. I donï¿½t profess to know everything, and a lot of my personal lists start out from books such as Police Call, Warrenï¿½s book, Monitor America, and Dave Starkï¿½s ï¿½Upstate New Yorkï¿½ radio guide. Then when I go to these areas I do a lot of monitoring and make corrections. I am an old member of the RCMA, and was even going to be the editor of the NY column (after a dear old friend Joe Nooney -- where are you Joe ???) but they went out of business before I could take the column over.

Iï¿½m from NORTHERN New York -- Watertown to be exact. But I do have a lot of
info for other parts of the State, and hopefully if this column gets going people will write and tell us about their area. The newsgroup seems to be full of requests for freqs, pl tones, unit numbers, etc -- but few post the information on the newsgroup. I will never post super-secret tactical freqs, so donï¿½t ask and please donï¿½t send.

Since I have been spending a lot of time in Oneida County lately (since thatï¿½s where Iï¿½m working now), letï¿½s start out with that County:

155.430r 110.9 Boonville PD
NYSP freqs Boonville PD
155.430r 110.9 Camden PD
154.815 Kirkland, Town PD
154.725 110.9 New Hartford, Town PD
159.090 110.9 New Hartford, Town PD ï¿½Metroï¿½
Utica 800Mhz New Hartford, Town PD
159.090 110.9 New York Mills PD
800MHz trunked Oneida Indian Nation PD
861-863.7375, 864.8125, 865.6875 (control ch always 861.7375) low to high
155.430r 110.9 Oriskany PD
856-860.5375 Rome PD - Trunked system
can also use Oneida Indian Nation 800MHz trunked system
155.430r 110.9 Sherrill PD
159.240/156.150, 155.130 (Madison Co SO freqs)
800MHz trunked Utica PD - new system

851.5375, 851.8125, 852.3125, 853.1375, 853.4625, 861-865.2375
Old System (1) 155.310/155.970 (100.0), (2) 155.025 (100.0), (3) 155.850
155.430r 110.9 Vernon PD
154.800 CSQ/DPL? Whitesboro PD (channel 21 is ???)
154.800 CSQ/DPL? Whitestown, Town PD ï¿½Whitestown Channelï¿½
155.925??? Yorkville PD (corï¿½s Calder & 6th)

155.430r 110.9 Oneida County Sheriffs - dispatch (159.390 input)
155.010r 110.9 Oneida County Sheriffs - ch 1?/ car-car (158.790 in)
155.850 110.9 Oneida County Sheriffs - ch 2 / car-car simplex
155.715 110.9 Oneida County Sheriffs - ch 5 / information
154.845 110.9 Oneida County Sheriffs - administrative ???
154.115 Oneida County Sheriffs - Jail
800MHz trunked Oneida County Sheriffs
Oneida Indian Nation, Rome & Utica 800MHz trunked systems

155.505 CSQ NYS Police - Troop D - ch 1 - Base to cars
154.920 110.9 NYS Police - Troop D - ch 1 - Cars to base
154.665 110.9 NYS Police - statewide - ch 2 - car to car
154.695 CSQ NYS Police - statewide - ch 3 - "State Frequency"
155.565 CSQ NYS Police - statewide - ch 4 - tactical
155.370 CSQ NYS Police - statewide - ch 5 - Police Interagency
155.475 CSQ NYS Police - statewide - ch 6 - NLEEF
453.525r 97.4 NYS Police - Troop T / Thruway Authority - "Syracuse"
exit 42-Geneva to exit 33-Verona
453.425r 97.4 NYS Police - Troop T / Thruway Authority - "Syracuse"
exit 32-Westmoreland to exit 29a-Little Falls

453.875r 127.3 NYS DOCS - Marcy CF
460.150/465.150 NYS DOCS - Marcy CF PAS
453.975r 110.9 NYS DOCS - Mid-State CF
453.775/458.775 NYS DOCS - Midstate CF PAS
453.475r 141.3 NYS DOCS - Mohawk CF
460.275/465.275 NYS DOCS - Mohawk CF PAS
453.400r 123.0 NYS DOCS - Oneida CF
460.225/465.225 NYS DOCS - Oneida CF PAS
159.465r 94.8 NYS EnCon Police - Region 6 - "Watertown"
155.070 DPL NYS OMH - Central NY Psych Ctr DPS - Marcy
155.070 DPL NYS OMH - Mohawk Valley Psych Ctr DPS - Utica
151.340 100.0 NYS Parks Police - ch 1 Central Region - Region 5
151.145 100.0 NYS Parks Police - ch 2 Central Region - Region 5
158.835r DPL SUNY Utica-Rome DPS (155.745 in)

163.4875 CSQ US Air Force - Griffiss Airfield Security - ch 1
165.2375r 100.0 US Customs - Utica
165.2375r 100.0 US Dept of Defense Investigators - Rome & Utica

Oneida County Police Unit Numbering

New York State Police Zone 1
7D11, 7D26, 7D27, 7D33, 7D35, 7D57, 7D79 - unknowns
7D90 - 91 SUNY Utica/Rome DPS

7D101 -103 SUNY Morrisville DPS ??? (Madison Co)
7D125 - 128 Boonville PD (Oneida Co)
7D140 - 142 Webb, Town of PD (Herkimer Co)
7D110, 7D130, 7D131, 7D148, 7D160, 7D167, 7D171, 7D190, 7D191 - unknowns
(Town Frankfort PD (Herkimer Co) is on NYSP system)

NYS OMH - Central NY Psych Center DPS
ï¿½50ï¿½ 52, 56, 57, 58, 61 (car?), 64 car 62

NYS OMH - Mohawk Valley Psych Center DPS
"Base 30" 31, 32

Oneida Co Sheriffs "Oneida" / "Oneida Control"
HQ: 6065 Judd Road, Oriskany
Substations: Barneveld, Camden, Waterville, Verona
Civil Offices: Rome & Utica
County Office Bldg Security: 800 Park Ave, Utica ???
Jail: Judd Road, Oriskany

K9-3, 400, 404, 409, 411, 412, 422, 423, 447
450 - 459 Sheriff Patrols
462 - 469 Sheriff Patrols
470, 471, 473, 476, 479, 480, 481

Village PDï¿½s
505 - 509 Boonville PD
510 - 519 Oriskany, Whitesboro, and New York MillsPD's 511, 512, 516, 517,
518
520ï¿½s Town of New Hartford PD
530ï¿½s Town of Whitestown PD
560ï¿½s Town of Kirkland PD
573 & 574 Vernon PD
576 - 578 Sherrill PD
581 & 582 Camden PD
622, 623, 629 NYS Park Police ???

545 (New Hartford ???), 551, 571

Rome PD
100 series units 125

SUNY Utica/Rome DPS
"Base" 79 ï¿½Controlï¿½ w/ unit-8, unit-10

USAF Griffiss Airfield Security
ï¿½Controlï¿½ 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 Romeo-2, Romeo-3, Romeo-4 (cars?)

All of the 800 MHz trunked public safety radio systems in Oneida County
are
privately owned by a communicationï¿½s company (am I allowed to say their name on
here?) and are Erricson/GE systems. The Uniden trunktrackers, unfortunately
will not follow them.

If anyone has anything to add, or to correct, please donï¿½t be shy -- send
it to me, and I will include it in the February 1999 New York column. If

response is good after these two months -- I will continue to write this column on a monthly basis.

Until next time, stay safe, and Iï¿½m all secure.

Mike

2561. Astro / APCO25 Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: apco25_scanner@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <788i06$k4t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130575

The apco25 scanner symbol recovery/generator board is programmed in Motorola 56000 DSP Assembler. It has a very clean audio codec on it with Audio Line In/Out, Mic In, and a rs-232 serial port. The DSP code is loaded into the EVB via the serial port from a PC. The board is standalone and requires a 5v DC power supply.

Implementing, filters, correlators, integrators, decimators,... is very theory intensive. I had to use MatLAB to develop the digital filter coefficients/taps, its too difficult do develop by hand. You also need to understand signals in terms of the frequency domain (phase and magnitude) normally we think of signals in the time domain (time and amplitude). I also used a Sun Workstation to simulate, model, and verify my signal recovery/generation routines.

Yes most all future radios (Digital Cellular/CDMA/GSM/TDMA, iDEN/Nextel, Astro/APCO25, Tetra) apply digital signalling processing in the RF front end. DSP's are also heavily used to process forward error correction of digital bits. Also most all digital radios use some form of digital audio compression (Vocoding). APCO25 uses IMBE, GSM uses CELP/g.728, iDEN and TDMA uses VSELP,CDMA uses EVRC. All of this requires a DSP. Or at least a processor with MMX/3D-Now.

From you message it sounded like you had all the hardware except the 56002 DSP EVB board, do you have a DVSI IMBE vocoder board?

No I am not releasing the software at this time, I have to carefully examine the possible outcomes.

--

On Thu, 21 Jan 1999 04:22:57 Jack wrote:
>On Wed, 20 Jan 1999 15:42:05 GMT, in rec.radio.scanner you wrote:
>
>I would like your software, and have all the hardware except the dsp
>board and now really want one. Is programming it hard? With the way
>radio is turning, I guess it is time to learn.
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2562. trunktracker >800 Mhz???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: khaas <haask@flash.net>
Message-ID: <TcPp2.338$M27.559@news.flash.net>
Source record: 130576

Why is it that my Pro-90 cannot trunk track on systems >800Mhz? There is a Motorola system in my area with some frequencies in the low 900s. When I input the frequencies in "trunked" mode, I get an error message.

Is Uniden deliberately locking these frequencies so that they can come out with an improved trunk tracker at a later date?

Ken

2563. fraudalert is GOOD guy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiocall@usa.net
Message-ID: <788kfu$mg4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130577

To whom it may concern : I want to inform everybody that behind the name fraudalert@iname.com is a GOOD GUY. I know him i have speaked to him. He is honest and of course he is not ... Tom Kasey! He is also in direct contact with the FBI officer who took the Kasey case. Help us to collect all the necessary information in order to put this asshole Tom Kasey (and known drug user) out of the business. Email your info to : fraudalert@iname.com Thank you for your time.

Tom Jefferson
radiocall@usa.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2564. Re: Astro / APCO25 Digital Scanner Project Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdf@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <788l59mvs1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130578

apco25_scanner@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Well the IMBE vocoder board costs about $1000, go to www.dvsi.com and see for
> yourself. The DSP board I used costs $149, and you need a good receiver
> (scanner or spectra mobile). And of course you need my software.
>
> Note I am not selling anything, I would say If I were to sell this with IMBE
> voice the parts would cost me at least $1150, I would probably ask for $1500
> (the user would provide there own receiver).

Gag me with a spoon.

Paying $1000 is quite irrational; DVSI is pricing their products for the LENS (Law Enforcement/National Security) apparatus, who have a history of spending thousands of dollars for doorknobs and screwdrivers.

The main problems a vocoder has are (a) voice quality, (b) ultimate compression, and (c) being able to *encode* in real-time. Decoding is a non-issue because it is invariably *MUCH* faster and easier since the encoder has done all the hard work for you.

Since your project is strictly a receive-only affair, I would be surprised if your current DSP board could not do the 4FSK demodulation and the IMBE decode as well.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2565. FA: AR2700 SC150B PRO-38 Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John in Roseville CA 95678 <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <zWPp2.648$3u.8494083@nnrp2.ni.net>
Source record: 130579
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scanners for auction...

http://cgi3.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=john01999

2566. Re: trunktracker >800 Mhz???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Kuziv <kuziv@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <36A7CD18.7B9D@magicnet.net>
Source record: 130580

khaas wrote:
>
> Why is it that my Pro-90 cannot trunk track on systems >800Mhz? There is a
> Motorola system in my area with some frequencies in the low 900s. When I
> input the frequencies in "trunked" mode, I get an error message.
>
> Is Uniden deliberately locking these frequencies so that they can come out
> with an improved trunk tracker at a later date?
>
> Ken
The new series TrunkTracker 2 BC245XLT'S will trunk in 400,800 and
900MHZ both Motorola and Edacs systems!!!!!!!!!!
--
****
Mark Kuziv
Ask me about anything but be
prepared for a really long answer....

****

2567. Re: mods for pro-2038

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990121200854.04166.00000815@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 130581

>Subject: mods for pro-2038
>From: rubbernec@hotmail.com (rubbernec)
>do mods exist for this radio. i met someone who had full 800
>Mhz coverage restored

The Pro2038 is very similar to the BC700A. Some BC700As can be modded for cell.
(I did it to my BC700A)
I don't know if this mod would work on the 2038. Maybe some has tried it ?????

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

2568. ADMS-1 Software for YAESU FT-50r Transiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asswiper <Asswiper@Get2net.dk>
Message-ID: <rpQp2.57$be7.365@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 130582

Does anyone have the software, then plz mail it to me....

2569. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Rooney <"n2nkbREM@n2nkb"@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <788kaf$be4@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130583

Scan Man wrote:
>
> Watson wrote:
> >
> > Is there anyway to modify a scanner to transmit onto the frequency that
> > you are listening to?
> > thanks,
> > justin
>
> How can you even ask such a stupid question???!!!

Very similiar to:
Can you modify my black & white tv to make it a color tv?

2570. Re: best scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Rooney <"n2nkbREM@n2nkb"@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <788krd$be4@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130584

```
Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> Jason Leland Plett wrote:
> >
> > What is the frequency of light?
>
> I don't know, but I know when I am receiving light because I don't
> have to use the cheesy backlight on my PRO-43.
>
> -jim

Light is simply pi radians, or 180 degrees away from dark.
Just call up someone in China, and verify it yourself.
Al
```

2571. W and W manufacturing ripped me off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: spamkill@interl.net
Message-ID: <788m4rgtb1@forge.sbt.net>
Source record: 130585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Hello folks,

I hate posting one of these messages to any kind of forum but these folks leave me no choice.
Their representative hung up on my xyl when she was trying to resolve the matter to the satisfaction of myself and the company. Hence, I have no choice but to post it here. I hope it serves as a warning to others who might consider doing business with these people.

Last Thursday I ordered a rapid charger for my high capacity battery pack for my Alinco dj-582th. The batteries I have are ebp-28n.

Since I'd heard about this company and heard that I may be able to get the charger I need from them at lower cost than the Alinco charger, I called them. I asked them which of their models I need for the battery pack I have. The lady told me I need model number, MC1a/12/ebp28n I called back and ordered one the next day.

Well, today (a week later almost) I received a charger which is good for an ebp-10n to ebp-22n, not a 28n.
The batteries I own have three contacts for the charging cup. This charger has two. Also, if I'm correct, the 22n and smaller numbers are smalller packs, right?

Since they want me to send them the battery---I have no receipt, just the receipt for a money order which could have gone anywhere, I will have no working charger and I don't believe these folks will actually pay me---can't blame me after my experience, I don't know how to resolve the situation, but I'm not impressed with the way they do business. Had I known I would be lied to when I placed my original call I would have dealt with my friendly Alinco products dealer for an OEM charger.

All I want is a rapid charger that will work with my packs. Since these folks told me they had one that works, they should provide one that will, and I should get it shipped air freight to my door at no additional charge. Their representative hung up on my lady this evening as I stated earlier, and they don't have the guts to answer their emails.

I am prepared to contact the New York state better business bureau as well as file court action. It's not about the $79 anymore, it's about just being plain mad as hell at getting ripped off.

73 and sorry to have to post this here guys.

Richard Webb

Electric Spider Productions
Usenet spambuster, replace any characters before the at with elspider
If you hate spam call cyber advertising in New York city:
(800) 409-8302 extension 1284 and leave
a detailed message telling them what you think of their marketing policies.

amateur station kb0ruu

2572. John - KING LIAR - MACKEY LIES again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <T8Aq2.5494$DB1.5457@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130186

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e5lg$20k$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>> One post. HAHAHAHAHA! Pretty desperate John. Just the number of posts
asking
>> you to take your medication out numbers the one complaint about me. If
one
>
>No FOOL I only qouted one complaint rather than spam this NG with
>things that most people already know.

LIAR. Once again you can't prove your claims because your claims are lies. Only one person has directly complained about me John LIAR-BOY. Hundreds have complained about you and in just the past 2 days 8 different people asked you to get back on your MEDS.

Take your medication John or NEWBIE. Same head different voice.

2573. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <udAq2.5552$DB1.5555@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e35f$b5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> bpk@home.com wrote:
>> I know Bill Cheek gave us some helpful information about scanners with
>> his faq.John-where are your helpful hints about scanning? Oh that's
>
>Who cares?

The hundreds that have asked you to shut up and go away John. That's who cares idiot.

>I haven't seen YOU post any helpful hints about scanning either.
>
>Actually, I have posted several articles

Several out of thousands. What a joke Liar-Boy.

>about the UV loss of coax,

WOW! and wrong to boot.

>how to scan amateur repeater freqs

WOW again. Wrong NG.

You aren't squat. Bill makes you look like the mental midget you are. He kick your butt off a Fido group and you have been crying like a baby ever since. WAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA goes baby liar John.

You lose and are wrong again Mackeloon.

2574. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <HeAq2.5553$DB1.5449@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ah come on Bob. It's all he has. Don't burst little John Liar-Boy's bubble.

Bob Reeder wrote in message <36AA9742.D584A641@NOSPAMuswest.net>...
>Oh please, let me guess, Is this the world famous theory that light in the
UV
>spectrum attacks and breaks down the PVC covering of coax, and in turn
changes
>the conductive properties of the copper conductors?
>
>Anyone with an I.Q. above room temperature knows better.....
>
>
>
>jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>>
>> bpk@home.com wrote:
>> > I know Bill Cheek gave us some helpful information about scanners with
>> > his faq.John-where are your helpful hints about scanning? Oh that's
>>
>> Who cares?
>>
>> I haven't seen YOU post any helpful hints about scanning either.
>>
>> Actually, I have posted several articles about the UV loss of coax,
>> how to scan amateur repeater freqs, etc.
>>
>> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>--
>To reply remove NOSPAM from address

2575. Who the hell is Mackey?!? FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <viAq2.5554$DB1.5590@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130189

AJMedia wrote in message <19990124003602.18972.00002075@ng15.aol.com>...
>I'm not a "newbie", but rather an occasional visitor to this newsgroup.
>Although I agree with you, I do have one question: Who the hell is
Mackey?!?

The reason you should always flush the toilet.

2576. Re: PHIL SMALLEY POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <nmAq2.5555$DB1.5566@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130190

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e3ovnu1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>I only responded to ask who you were talking to, or do you have invisible
>friends that only you can see?
>

No John the Liar, you are still the only one on here with invisible friends.

Please, get back on your MEDS or at least call your doctor and tell him what
is going on again.

2577. Re: PHIL SMALLEY POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <RnAq2.5556$DB1.5492@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130191

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e5qo$21r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> This is too funny. Yes I responded to "Newbie" accusing him of being you.
>> Did Newbie deny it? No, he's willing to
>
>Since we haven't seen ANY ppossts from Newbie in the last few days,
>it would appear that he isn't here to respond, FOOL!
>

ppossts John the Liar? The fits seem to be getting longer and worse.

Please get back on your meds.

2578. Re: Phil LIES again!! Proof provided!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <36AA39AA.3BF780DB@parg.org>
Source record: 130192

Phil Smalley wrote:

LIE!!! LIE!!!! LIE!!!!

> Here are just a FEW of the many many email addresses you have given on this
> group:
>
> jmackey@usa.net kaossf@parg.org

SNIP

Those are valid e-mail addresses for me that I have used for a long time. So
what is
you point & how exactly are they a lie

> You are so full of it John. I could sign on to dejanews right now and post
> a message as jmackey@usa.net if I so desired. If anything, your posts are

Go for it, I'ld like to see that!

> Since when does McDonald's send it's fry cooks to business trips? You are
> lying again John.

hahahahaha, so you do have a sense of humor Phil!!! But yiou are close,
McDonalds Restaurants are one of our largest clients!

2579. Re: John Mackey LIES again!! Proof provided!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA21A8.9130E38F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130236

Phil Smalley wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote:

<SNIP>

Yada, Yada, Yada, for CHRIST'S SAKE, we are all tired of this public pissing contest. Take it private and get it the hell off of this newsgroup!!! This mindless crap will go on forever, nobody will admit they are wrong as long as they're sitting behind their keyboards being macho. Enough is enough already. Ignore Mackey, he'll go away if nobody acknowledges him. Come one people, get a life and talk about scanners for a change.

Jon

2580. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA2200.38D3C472@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mike Fairleigh wrote:

> No, it isn't computer controlled, nor does it cover military air.
>

Ooops, you're right, I was thinking 895 instead of 235.
Brain cramp...

Jon

2581. Re: mcdonalds freq.'s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA2327.5643@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130238

PDeveau615 wrote:
>
> i'm looking for any and all mcdonalds freq.'s, i've tried about 20 diffrent
> ones so far and i can't seem to get the head sets or drive thru, i used to use
> 35.020, but this doesn't work anymore.
>
> thanks
> Paul
> PDeveau615@aol.com

They may be operating in the 902-928 Mhz range. If they're using the
3M (sometimes marked GM) headset, then you'll have to switch to Wide
FM mode and search the 902-928 Mhz band:

ISM Band 902 - 928 Mhz (3M and GM Style headsets)

920.0000 - 922.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Speaker)
903.0000 - 905.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Headset)

McDonalds has been confirmed on the following frequencies:

RESTAURANT CUSTOMER (R) CLERK (I)

McDonalds 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
33.1400 151.8950
* 33.1400 170.3050
33.4000 154.5400
* 33.4000 154.5700
* 35.0200 151.8950
* 35.0200 154.4900
35.0200 154.6000
151.7150 169.4450
151.7450 UNKNOWN
151.7750 171.9050
151.8650 UNKNOWN
154.5700 170.2450
154.6000 171.1050
* 457.5375 461.0875
457.5500 467.7750
457.6000 467.8250
460.8875 465.8875
461.0375 466.0375
461.0875 466.0875
461.3125 466.3125
462.1625 467.1625

463.2875 468.2875
464.5125 469.5125
469.0125 464.0125
469.0625 464.0625
469.1125 464.1125
469.1375 464.1375
469.1625 464.1625
469.1875 464.1875
469.3125 464.3125
469.3375 464.3375
469.3875 464.3875
920.2000 WFM 903.2000 WFM
920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM
920.5000 WFM 903.5000 WFM
920.9625 WFM 903.9625 WFM

Check out the following URL for a complete list of Fast Food Drive
Thru frequencies:

http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/fastfood.txt

Hope This Helps

Bob

2582. Re: jax.fla

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA2372.5BA4@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130239

jimmy chaney wrote:
>
> i need good frequencies for the jacksonville fla. area i especially like
> cordless phone -ambulances-fbi-pol.

Check out the following URLs:

http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanner/jaxfreqs.txt
http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanner/jaxfreqs2.txt
http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanner/jax-fire.txt
http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/7108/jaxfreq.html

2583. Re: Looking for ONTARIO Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA23B1.B8A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130240

NoFixxxer wrote:
>
> Is there a web site out there with a large display of frequencies for
> Ontario Canada?
>
> Anyone having such information, could they please pass it on. Much
> appreciate it, thanks in advance.

Check out the following URLs:

http://members.home.net:80/ontscan/
http://webhome.idirect.com/~dkwood/
http://www.algonquinc.on.ca/~bowl0003/
http://www.nf2g.com/scannist/ontario_index.html
http://www.achilles.net/~dhoisak/freq/eofl.html
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/7389/saultonfreqpage.html
http://www.interlog.com/~jmckay/

2584. Re: Frequency Lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA2415.A453FC0@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130241

MASTERDH wrote:

> CAN YOU PLEASE SEND ME CELLULAR PHONE FREQUENCIES

OKAY ONLY IF YOU PROMISE NOT TO SHOUT ANYMORE

Output (towers): 869.000 to 894.000 in 30 KHz steps.
Input (Mobiles): 824.000 to 849.000

Don't let the U.S. Feds catch ya spying on your fellow citizens
cellphone
calls with your scanner tho... It gets them busy body politicians all
uppety.

2585. Re: Hey! Air Freeks! (Air Freqs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990123143828.08198.00000712@ng50.aol.com>
Source record: 130242

>Glad you can take a little teasing. Too many folks around here get their
>dander
>up way too quickly.

"A little snot and sarcasm can always be removed with a lil spit n polish"

2586. REC.RADIO.SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken81145@rockisland.com
Message-ID: <78ddcvhgm1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130243

NEED HELP AS I AM LOKING FOR A DATABASE OR SPREAD SHEET TO USE TO KEEP TRACK OF FREQUENCIES i HEAR ON THE SCANNER AND SHORTWAVE RECEIVERS.CAN ANYONE HELP ME PLEASE.

KEN

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2587. Icom IC-R1 Handheld Scanner Internal Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimdpeters@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990123144712.05422.00001974@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 130244

I have one of these radio's and it is in need of a internal battery. I have a couple of questions concerning this:

1. Where can I get one of these batteries and what do they cost?
2. Can the installation be done by me or does it have to be sent in?

Jim, thanks for any help offered.

2588. DATABASE OR SPREAD SHEET FOR TRACKING SCANNER FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken81145@rockisland.com
Message-ID: <78ddonhqh1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130245

Need help for a program in database or spreadsheet to use for scanner and short-wave frequencies.

KEN

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2589. Re: Go Private?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <78d9hdcbk1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 130246

Yeah, and maybe the cop didn`t have any probable cause for stopping the guy, and that is why he didn`t call the stop in . Maybe it wasn`t a "stop" at all..... Maybe it was a little drug deal/debt gone wrong. appearances can be decieving ..... Seems to me, a seasoned cop doesn`t make a stop without calling it in..... Seems kind of fishy, doesn`t it ? With the video camera off and all.....

505 wrote in message <78ctg7kft1@camel25.mindspring.com>...
>thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL one!)) wrote, and I quote:
>
>>On Fri, 22 Jan 1999 02:54:10 -0500 (EST), rock56@webtv.net (Rocky Sloan) wrote:
>
>>>Yea, I agree also. Officers do need a private channel for drug bust and
>>>so on. Very good point indeed. Officer safety is a must. A Ga, state
>>>trooper was shot and killed two days ago doing a traffic stop not far
>>>from here.
>
>>And the suspect wouldn't have known the Cop was stopping him
>>if the Cop had an encrypted radio?
>
>Unfortunately, it was the officer's fault. The Captain who was a drug
>interdiction speciallist DID NOT call in on his traffic stop. He was
>found inside his car with a gsw to the right side of his head. His
>video camera was also not on. It is unknow what happened during this
>stop. Encryped or not, the office is dead. If he had called in on
>his stop, maybe another officer would have been enroute to his
>location and this tragedy could have been prevented.
>
>Michael Martin
>Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems
>www.mindspring.com/~mm505
>
>
>

2590. Re: BC9000XLT Cell Images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Augelli <someplace@anywhere.com>
Message-ID: <78d9p2$f9a$1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 130247

It is unlawful for unauthorized persons to monitor cellular
telephone communications. This information is intended for authorized
persons and reference purposes only.

To receive cell phone comms' refer to owner's manual:

1. (pg.29) Set frequency search limits from 360 to 385 mHz.
2. (pg.35) Set frequency step to 5.0 kHz.
3. (pg.36) Set signal mode to narrow FM (NFM).
4. Begin search by pressing "SRC" button.

You should see "NFM" and "5.0 kHz" flashing on the top of the freq'
display window during the search. You will pick-up cell' 'phone comms
soon after pressing the "SRC" button.

Rocky Sloan wrote in message
<21383-36A6E962-70@newsd-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
I get plenty of cell images from 1005.000 to 1020.000 on my 9000. Don't
know why tho. Think I'll try the 360. to 390. too. Does anybody know the
best step size to use?

2591. SEND INFO TO FBI:(TOM KASEY SITUATION)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: fraudalert@iname.com
Message-ID: <78dgfb$jl5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130248

Louisville,Kentucky Fbi office:
600 Martin Luther King Junior Place
SUITE 500
Louisville,Kentucky,40202
(502)-583-3941

Send your copies of evidence to this address:Attn Tom Kasey situation
C/O FBI Agent Carter Hick

Thanks FRAUDALERT

Have you been defrauded by: Tom Kasey 8108 lake terrace#10 Louisville,ky
Fraudalert is a new database to collect victim information for the Fbi.
If you have been defrauded please send your name,tele#,email(isp).And
amount of loss.Please send email to fraudalert@iname.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2592. Re: allsafe POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <36AA3374.7BC25F5B@INVALID.com>
Source record: 130249

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
> > .....& a SYSOP with Mackey's name on his sh*t-list.
>
> Unlike yourself allsafe, I get along with all sysop's & admins
> just fine. You on the other hand, have to constantly
> use a FAKE ID on this NG & sysop's have made postings
> on this NG claiming you are NOT associated with their
> system & dis-owning any relationship to your on-line access!

Never met that Sysop. I heard that he was getting quite a bit of harrassment via E-mail from you and your alter egos. That wasn't nice to do loony boy. You shouldn't do stuff like that; harrassment, forges, cancels. That's the really bad stuff. You should knock that off. Then you could get off that "ISP of the month" plan you're on. (Have you noticed that it's taking ISP's less and less time to figure out they don't want your business?)

(insert loony boy's inane denial here)

How come......

Everytime a batch of FORGED CANCELS show up, you show up a couple of days later with a new ISP?

You ever notice that loony boy?

...Here comes a batch of FORGED CANCELS
...And like clockwork, Loony boy's got a new ISP
CANCELS!
And another ISP for El Loco Loony
CANCEL
Yet another ISP for Loony

Why is that?

You friggin' loon.

2593. FS: ICOM R71A 1 HOUR LEFT TO BID ON EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: pat brady <pbrady@ctaz.com>
Message-ID: <36AA3958.BF80C7C4@ctaz.com>
Source record: 130250

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=57401558

2594. Re: Steve POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78de0a$85f$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130251

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78d5k1$c4e$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> Hell, Phil lost his job & his
>AT&T access due to his online postings.

BZZZT! WRONG!!!!!

Prove it puppet. I can supply proof of my story:

Fact: I was never reprimanded, spoken to or even heard mention of my NG activities while employed at Hughes. My boss knew and could've cared less.

Fact: I was dissastified with the work I was doing at Hughes and opted to end the contract because the job was boring (notice how often I posted on the NG back then) and it was 2 hours away from my home. I also had offers back in Arizona for more money.

Fact: Upon returning to Arizona, I stayed with family while I saved up some cash to move into a townhome here in Phoenix. While there, I used their ISP account - getting my own would've been a frivolous waste of money. Now that I am no longer staying with them, I do not use their account. And, since I have virtually unlimited access at work, I see no need to throw $20 a month in the toilet for a cheesy dial up connection at home.

So go ahead puppet. Post your proof. Oops! I forgot - YOU HAVE NO PROOF THAT I WAS FIRED BECAUSE OF YOU!!!! Guess that makes you..... a liar. Again.

>What I am really saying is this:
>
>Due to the recent harassment & canceled messages, I have starting using
>dejanews to prevent false postings & rogue cancels. So it is NOT hiding,
>rather it is using the modern convience of dejanews to uphold integrity
>rather than using the old type of news server which has inherant flaws
>& poor security.

And what I'm saying is this:

You are a worthless liar and always will be. Give it up. No one believes the lies anymore.

-Phil

2595. Re: Compton, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bayareascan@usa.net
Message-ID: <36AA3FE5.4A97@usa.net>
Source record: 130252
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

JStanfi782 wrote:
>
> Does anybody know the police and fire freq's for Compton Ca
> Joel

City of Compton:

(F-1 FIRE) COMPTON 154.0700 F
(F-1) (DOWNEY DISPATCH) COMPTON 154.2500 F 2 30 KMF467
(F-2) COMPTON 154.2650 F 20 KMF467
(F-3) COMPTON 154.2800 F 2 30 KMF467
(F-4 FIRE) COMPTON 154.2950 F
(F-2 FIRE) COMPTON 154.3100 F
(PUBLIC WORKS) COMPTON 151.1150 L 1 50 WNNQ981
(PUBLIC WORKS) COMPTON 153.8600 L
COMPTON 154.1150 L 1 50 WNNQ981
(F-1 POLICE OLD?) COMPTON 155.8500 L 2 100 KNAR940
(PUBLIC WORKS) COMPTON 158.7600 L 1 75 KMK404
COMPTON 39.0600 P 1 KNAR940
(F-2 POLICE OLD?) COMPTON 155.7450 P 2 100 KVV655
(F-1) COMPTON 506.1625 P
(F-2/F-4 TACTICAL) COMPTON 506.2125 P
(F-3/F-5 DETECTIVES) COMPTON 506.3125 P
(SCHOOL SECURITY REPEATER) COMPTON 470.1625 P 2 100 1-BR WIL353
(SCHOOL SECURITY SIMPLEX) COMPTON 471.0375 P 100 WIL353
COMPTON 473.1625 P 100 1-CO WIL353

2596. Re: PHIL SMALLEY POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78degr$8bb$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130253

jmackey@usa.net swallowed another handful of assorted pills, fell from his chair and purveyed the following nugget of mis-truth:
<78d8qf$e8t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>No Phil, YOU responded to Newbie, NOT me! I said NOTING to Newbie.

^^^^^^^^ learn how to type Mr. "Touch typist" - another Mackey lie....

This is too funny. Yes I responded to "Newbie" accusing him of being you. Did Newbie deny it? No, he's willing to do what no one in their right mind will do: Allow YOU to speak for him and stand back and say nothing. That right there proves it to me - you are "Newbie" and you are nothing more than a puppet. I can make you dance a jig whenever I want - you just keep coming back for more.

You are so predictable. You are playing Phil Smalley's Little Game like a pro. I know exactly what you will say and when you will say it. Its typical stimulus and response, stimulus and response, stimulus and response. I could play with your mind like a drunken kitten and you'd never know the difference.

Ain't that right Pinnochio??
-Phil

2597. Arkansas Freqs? First Electric?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@email.4.me
Message-ID: <36aa43b9.3892638@news3.newscene.com>
Source record: 130254

anyone out there collect freqs for Arkansas?

I have been out of the scanning hobby for about 3 years....but when a storm blew through and knocked out the lights for 2 days....I decided it would be nice to have a scanner to listen to the electirc company.

I would really like to have the freq. for First Electric. can anyone help?

I would also appreciate comments (please be civil) about the pro 90.

Thanks!

it feels good to be back in the hobby!

2598. *******MACKEY COMES CLEAN*********

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <XBrq2.5401$DB1.5524@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130255

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78d7i0$de4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>> Thanks for admitting you are a liar and guilty as charged.
>
>
>No problem

Now that that is settled, go take your medication and maybe the NEWBIE voice
in your head will go away.

2599. Re: Complaints about steve! Re: Mackey is NOT Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <%Grq2.5402$DB1.5518@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130256

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78d7s0dhk1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
>I found this interesting message complainiing about YOU!
>
>Subject:
> STUPID POSTS Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER
> Do. (OFF TOPIC)
> Date:

One post. HAHAHAHAHA! Pretty desperate John. Just the number of posts asking you to take your medication out numbers the one complaint about me. If one were to repost the complaints about you it would overload USENET and shut most servers down. Now please go take your medications John.

2600. Re: mcdonalds freq.'s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry@star.net
Message-ID: <36AA70D8.4A30@star.net>
Source record: 130257

If your placing an order: I will have a large order of non-greasy fries,
low fat big mac and small cup of non-burning coffee.

Robert H. Eisner wrote:
>
> PDeveau615 wrote:
> >
> > i'm looking for any and all mcdonalds freq.'s, i've tried about 20 diffrent
> > ones so far and i can't seem to get the head sets or drive thru, i used to use
> > 35.020, but this doesn't work anymore.
> >
> > thanks
> > Paul
> > PDeveau615@aol.com
>
> They may be operating in the 902-928 Mhz range. If they're using the
> 3M (sometimes marked GM) headset, then you'll have to switch to Wide
> FM mode and search the 902-928 Mhz band:
>
> ISM Band 902 - 928 Mhz (3M and GM Style headsets)
>
> 920.0000 - 922.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Speaker)
> 903.0000 - 905.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Headset)
>
> McDonalds has been confirmed on the following frequencies:
>
> RESTAURANT CUSTOMER (R) CLERK (I)
>
> McDonalds 30.8400 154.5700
> 31.0000 170.3050
> 33.1400 151.8950
> * 33.1400 170.3050
> 33.4000 154.5400
> * 33.4000 154.5700
> * 35.0200 151.8950
> * 35.0200 154.4900
> 35.0200 154.6000
> 151.7150 169.4450
> 151.7450 UNKNOWN
> 151.7750 171.9050
> 151.8650 UNKNOWN
> 154.5700 170.2450
> 154.6000 171.1050
> * 457.5375 461.0875

> 457.5500 467.7750
> 457.6000 467.8250
> 460.8875 465.8875
> 461.0375 466.0375
> 461.0875 466.0875
> 461.3125 466.3125
> 462.1625 467.1625
> 463.2875 468.2875
> 464.5125 469.5125
> 469.0125 464.0125
> 469.0625 464.0625
> 469.1125 464.1125
> 469.1375 464.1375
> 469.1625 464.1625
> 469.1875 464.1875
> 469.3125 464.3125
> 469.3375 464.3375
> 469.3875 464.3875
> 920.2000 WFM 903.2000 WFM
> 920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM
> 920.5000 WFM 903.5000 WFM
> 920.9625 WFM 903.9625 WFM
>
> Check out the following URL for a complete list of Fast Food Drive
> Thru frequencies:
>
> http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/fastfood.txt
>
> Hope This Helps
>
> Bob

2601. Re: Formula For J-Pole Antenna made from 300 OHM wire to receive low and high VHF????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <TSrq2.5403$DB1.5524@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130258
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Do a WWW search on J-pole. Worked for me when I built a few J-poles for 2 and 6 meters. They work fairly well for the amount of effort and materials involved.

Dad#1 wrote in message <36A9E11B.65146839@javanet.com>...
>Can anyone tell me the formula for cutting a j-pole antenna to match a
>frequency in low VHF? I'm interested in making one from 300 OHM TV wire
>to string up in a tree, and attach it to coax to my scanner.

2602. Re: John Mackey LIES again!! Proof provided!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <78dh4k$ol0$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 130259

I could not possibly agree with you more! Since I gave up trying to reason
with John, I have started to enjoy this NG much more. You know darn well he
will tell you black is white or up is down. So, relax and blow him off if he
bothers you.
Jon S. Van Allen wrote in message <36AA21A8.9130E38F@worldnet.att.net>...
>
>
>Phil Smalley wrote:
>
>> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
><SNIP>
>
>Yada, Yada, Yada, for CHRIST'S SAKE, we are all tired of this public
>pissing contest. Take it private and get it the hell off of this
newsgroup!!!
>This mindless crap will go on forever, nobody will admit they are wrong
>as long as they're sitting behind their keyboards being macho. Enough is
>enough already. Ignore Mackey, he'll go away if nobody acknowledges
>him. Come one people, get a life and talk about scanners for a change.
>
>Jon
>
>
>
>

2603. Re: Regency MX-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack@sgi.net
Message-ID: <36AA743A.76C2@sgi.net>
Source record: 130260

Thanks DAN, I will give that a shot in my MX-7000, I may even go for it in the AR-2002. Now we need way to expand the number of channels. At one time I believe AOR offered a special for the upgrade from 20 to around 200 channels.

Jack

RSMTMN wrote:
>
> No wonder you all have had problems with speeding up the MX5000/7000
> scanners..You change the value of a Resister, not a Capacitor.
>
> Replace R6 which is a 150K, with a 33K. The scan rate will be faster plus the beep volume will now also be reduced.
>
> Here is a neat mod I found that can be done with the keyboard.
> The Regency MX-5000/7000 does not have a Search Hold Feature unless you do the following. This will hold an active frequency on your display and is great for finding new frequencies when you are at work, and or leaving the radio unattended.
> Search/Hold Feature
> ---------------------------
> 1) Turn the radio on
> 2) Hit Clk Set.
> 3) Enter zero's all the way across the display.
> 4) Turn the radio Off
> 5) Turn the radio back on and you will notice that in the Search or Scan mode, anything that is now received on the scanner will hold on the display and the radio will not continue its normal function.
> 6) To resume Search or Scan, just hit any numbered buttion such as; 1-0 on the keyboard. The radio will now resume in the normal fashion.
>
> Put Radio Back to Normal
> ----------------------------------
> 1) Turn radio ON
> 2) Hit Clk Set
> 3) Enter the correct time
> 4) Hit Enter
> 5) Your now back to Normal Operations/Functions
>
> I have found many new frequencies this way and plus its fun to know that the radio can do something that isn't listed in the operators manual. Have fun. ->Dan

2604. Re: John Mackey FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <M2sq2.5404$DB1.5475@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John - Feces for Brains - Mackey is here cause he has no place to go and nobody that cares to see him. No family or friends. This is a guy who spends the better part of Thanksgiving and Christmas Day on the net causing trouble. He is a sad sorry creature.

bpk@home.com wrote in message <36A9841A.65FDDF57@home.com>...
>I know Bill Cheek gave us some helpful information about scanners with
>his faq.John-where are your helpful hints about scanning? Oh that's
>right you know nothing about scanners and you just hang around here
>because you can't get the hint that most people want your pain in the
>ass ego out of here.Maybe your for answers to questions would be "how to
>be a pain in the ass"!

2605. Re: trouble with cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry@star.net
Message-ID: <36AA7550.411D@star.net>
Source record: 130262

ScanShack wrote:
>
> >Subject: trouble with cell
> >From: "Seth Tucker" <mensch@systec.com>
> >iam getting it
> >but i am only grtting one way conversations. and what i do get is very
> >static does any body help me with some advice.
>
> When I did the mod to my BC200XLT it was only capable of 12.5 kHz steps in that
> range where the normal spacing between cell freqs is 30kHz. It received the
> cell calls but off freq. This may be one of many reasons you seem to be
> hearing a distorted conversation.
> Hope that helps.
>
> Another scanner information web page
> Including cell mods with illustrations,
> virtual down conversion info & more at:
>
> http://members.aol.com/scanshack +++ aka spamshack
>
> Happy Spamming !

2606. Re: Pl tone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA4F38.5978@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130263
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pierre Vachon wrote:
>
> Can someone tell me what is a PL tone and why does it have it's own freq.?

PL, stands for Private Line, a Motorola name for CTCSS

CTCSS stands for Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System. It's a system
in which a subaudible tone is broadcast along with the voice carrier,
which opens up the receivers squelch. This receiver can be a handheld
radio operating on simplex, or a repeater's squelch or CTCSS equipped
scanner.

It's a system used typically when multiple organizations want to share
the same frequency within the same area.

There are standard tones, but there are also non-standard tones in use.

These are the 38 standard CTCSS tones used by Motorola systems:

Tone 01 67.0 Hz Tone 20 131.8 Hz
Tone 02 71.9 Hz Tone 21 136.5 Hz
Tone 03 74.4 Hz Tone 22 141.3 Hz
Tone 04 77.0 Hz Tone 23 146.2 Hz
Tone 05 79.7 Hz Tone 24 151.4 Hz
Tone 06 82.5 Hz Tone 25 156.7 Hz
Tone 07 85.4 Hz Tone 26 162.2 Hz
Tone 08 88.5 Hz Tone 27 167.9 Hz
Tone 09 91.5 Hz Tone 28 173.8 Hz
Tone 10 94.8 Hz Tone 29 179.9 Hz
Tone 11 97.4 Hz Tone 30 186.2 Hz
Tone 12 100.0 Hz Tone 31 192.8 Hz
Tone 13 103.5 Hz Tone 32* 203.5 Hz
Tone 14 107.2 Hz Tone 33* 210.7 Hz
Tone 15 110.9 Hz Tone 34* 218.1 Hz
Tone 16 114.8 Hz Tone 35* 225.7 Hz
Tone 17 118.8 Hz Tone 36* 233.6 Hz
Tone 18 123.0 Hz Tone 37* 241.8 Hz
Tone 19 127.3 Hz Tone 38* 250.3 Hz

2607. Re: DATA INTERFACE WANTED IN SOUTH AUSTRALIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rev@newave.net.au
Message-ID: <36aa4f23.4084876@news.newave.net.au>
Source record: 130264
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 23:48:27 +1030, "Beanstalk"
<Beanstalk@bigpond.com> wrote:

>Howdy,
>
>I am wanting to know if anyone has info on where I can purchase a 2 level
>FSK interface (data interface to connect my scanner discriminator output to
>the com port of my PC) to decode data signals in Australia.
>
>I would prefer the unit to be pre-constructed but will be interested in any
>build-your-own kits available as well.
>
>Please send price to me via email with any postage charges if needed to be
>sent to South Australia.
>
>Thanks,
>Richard
>
>Beanstalk@bigpond.com
>
>

I think there may be a shop that does this type of thing in the
Prospect or Enfield area. Look in yelow pages under radio comms and
look for some in these areas.

Possibly DJM Electronics is the name but I cant remember properly.
Try them too.

--
Matthew Volkmer (Matty)
rev@newave.net.au
www.newave.net.au/~rev SA Radio Scanning Page
ICQ 26709963

2608. Re: COLES frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rev@newave.net.au
Message-ID: <36aa4fa2.4212171@news.newave.net.au>
Source record: 130265
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 23:08:14 +1030, "Miss K." <outabreath@iname.com>
wrote:

>Manufactured By SONTEC, Torrens road, torrensville.
>

Thankyou.

--
Matthew Volkmer (Matty)
rev@newave.net.au
www.newave.net.au/~rev SA Radio Scanning Page
ICQ 26709963

2609. FA: AOR AR2700 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John in Roseville CA 95678 <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <dbsq2.57$Oa3.925912@nnrp2.ni.net>
Source record: 130266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=57492126

Ends 01/23/99 18:10:14 PST

2610. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <Xosq2.5405$DB1.5470@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130267

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78d8gh$e5m$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Hee, hee.... You friggin loon, it AIN'T ME!!!!
>
>Then do exactly what you expected ME to do, POST YOUR PORRF that we
>may verify!

PORRF? WRING? Are these constant typos caused by withdrawals from your
medication or were you so excited you got some attention your own drool
blinded you momentarily?

2611. FA: Bearcat Sportcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John in Roseville CA 95678 <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <3msq2.58$Oa3.931721@nnrp2.ni.net>
Source record: 130268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=57483838

Ends 01/23/99 17:51:48 PST

2612. Re: PHIL SMALLEY POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <Awsq2.5406$DB1.5347@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130269

Phil Smalley wrote in a message responding to John "AKA Puppet-Boy" Mackey

>jmackey@usa.net swallowed another handful of assorted pills, fell from his
>chair and purveyed the following nugget of mis-truth:
><78d8qf$e8t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>>No Phil, YOU responded to Newbie, NOT me! I said NOTING to Newbie.

>
>^^^^^^^^ learn how to type Mr. "Touch typist" - another Mackey lie....
>
>This is too funny. Yes I responded to "Newbie" accusing him of being you.
>Did Newbie deny it? No, he's willing to do what no one in their right mind
>will do: Allow YOU to speak for him and stand back and say nothing. That
>right there proves it to me - you are "Newbie" and you are nothing more
than
>a puppet. I can make you dance a jig whenever I want - you just keep coming
>back for more.
>
>You are so predictable. You are playing Phil Smalley's Little Game like a
>pro. I know exactly what you will say and when you will say it. Its typical
>stimulus and response, stimulus and response, stimulus and response. I
could
>play with your mind like a drunken kitten and you'd never know the
>difference.
>
>Ain't that right Pinnochio??

BAWAHAHAHAHA! Smalley you are killing me. The only thing funnier is
Mackeloon. I can not believe he hasn't caught on. BAWAHAHAHAHA! Candy to a
baby.

2613. Q7A sold!! SP50 UHF for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <36AA55D0.4073E97A@bv.net>
Source record: 130270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner

ICOM Q7A Sold, thanks

Mitchell Katz wrote:

> VHF unit is sold!! Thanks to all...
>
> I have one more UHF SP50 , Mint condition programmable radio with charger and battery.
> Motorola Model # P94YQT20A3AA, 2 channel/4W set now at ~476.813MHz. I sold the
> VHF transceiver, I will sell this one for $200.00 plus shipping <<OR best offer>>
>
> I have a Pro34 (cat #20-135/9135) Service Manual, sell for $15
>
> ALSO, CRB research Scanner Mod Book
> Mods for:2004/5/6/34/2021/BC-950/bc200/205xlt/bc760xlt... $15
>
> Additionally: I have a Mint condition, 2 week old, modified Icom IC-Q7A dual band
Transceiver, receives from 30-1300MHz (less
>
> cell), TX 130-174MHz & 400-470MHz, great 200 channel radio/Scanner. With antenna, clip,
box and manual. Sell for $175.<<OBO>>
> I
> also have software to extend range to .5-2GHz approx. You'll need cable... But new
software works great now that kinks are
> out of it...
>
> mailto:mcguyver@bv.net
>
> Mitch

2614. Re: STEVE IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <e5tq2.5424$DB1.5451@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130271

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78d622$c97$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...

> I have only been on this NG for barely over 2 years, NOT
> 5 or 6 like you are attempting to say.
>
>Do a search of my posts on dejanews for this NG You will find NO posts
>from me prior to July 1996.

LIAR LIAR LIAR. John lies again. OK. No big shock. Nothing new.

I never said this news group. You assumed or made it. LIAR JOHN!

You have been causing trouble on USENET for YEARS. long before July 1996
Liar John.

Just a couple of searches with Dejanews shows that John Mackey, or Traveler
or JEMJ among other names has a long history of causing trouble. In 1995 you
are causing all sorts of problems in the rec.radia ham type NGs. You are
swearing, accusing people of being gay and causing all sorts of trouble.

Proof? or PROFF or however you type it? Paste this long boy in your browser
and there is a look at vintage 1995 John - Liar-Boy - Mackey cussing cursing
and causing trouble. How sad :-(

http://www.dejanews.com/dnquery.xp?search=word&defaultOp=and&query=%7ea%20(J
EMJ@p234.f40.n105.z1.fidonet.org)%20%26%20%7eg%20(rec.radio.swap)&svcclass=d
nserver&ST=PS

2615. Re: Icom IC-R1 Handheld Scanner Internal Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36AA731E.BEF00E23@ibm.net>
Source record: 130272

Jimdpeters wrote:
> I have one of these radio's and it is in need of a internal battery.
> I have a couple of questions concerning this:
>
> 1. Where can I get one of these batteries and what do they cost?

You can try some of the ham radio dealers that still carry the
R1 but chances are you'll have to go directly to iCOM. When I
purchased one...about 1 year ago...it cost $65 I believe.

> 2. Can the installation be done by me or does it have to be sent in?

It's easy to do although things are packed tightly. Be careful when
opening up as the spring mechanism will want to go flying on you!
;-)

Good luck!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2616. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Augelli <someplace@anywhere.com>
Message-ID: <78dshpjkg1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 130273

Watson wrote in message <36A7B43A.3215@geneseo.net>...
|Is there anyway to modify a scanner to transmit onto the frequency that
|you are listening to?
|thanks,
|justin
http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/2888/freq6.html
Sure...Just go to this URL I posted......Take all the frequencies you see there, program them into your scanner. If the scanner won't accept them ...., even if they says out of range, keep deducting 11.4mhz from each freq till it accepts them. (eventually it will)...This is the only way it will work!!...post again when you need info on how to hook the mike up.

2617. Oregon frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karyn Schultz <karyns@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <HBuq2.3919$202.1902128@news1.teleport.com>
Source record: 130274

Does anyone have a source for Oregon frequencies. Portland area.

2618. F/S Icom R-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeffrey Darche <jdarche@wsii.com>
Message-ID: <36AA7AEC.B936D7F5@wsii.com>
Source record: 130275

For Sale ;
Icom R-7100 allmode receiver, unblocked.
This radio is in absolute perfect condition, includes all packaging,
manuals and service manual which itself is worth $50

$875

--
Jeff :->
Rhode Island, USA

2619. Re: Icom IC-R1 Handheld Scanner Internal Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <tthomps@erols.com>
Message-ID: <36AA9672.410D@erols.com>
Source record: 130276

Jimdpeters wrote:
>
Mine had the same problem. I bought a battery for mine from
W & W Associates at a hamfest. It cost about $35 or so and attached
directly to the bottom of the radio (after removing the cover). It
isn't
exactly a replacement since the internal battery is still present, but
it solved the problem for me. The radio is about 3" longer with this
battery.

W&W's website is at:

http://wwassociates.com/

regards, Tim

> I have one of these radio's and it is in need of a internal battery. I have a
> couple of questions concerning this:
>
> 1. Where can I get one of these batteries and what do they cost?
> 2. Can the installation be done by me or does it have to be sent in?
>
> Jim, thanks for any help offered.

2620. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <36AA8E4A.5E13DA30@mich.com>
Source record: 130277

Talkyal wrote:

> Your "solution" is a very typical bureaucratic response, mostly found in
> politicians and law enforcement agencies, i.e., "Now that we have criminals,
> and the criminals have scanners, let's take away the ability for everyone to
> receive our signals as the final solution."

That's idiotic! I never indicated encryption would be a "final solution" or
panacea cure to crime.

> The best analogy of your
> statement that immediately comes to my mind is like this:
> "The dam is leaking water and we are in danger of the dam failing and causing a
> catastrophic flood; therefore, let's drain all the water out of the reservoir
> so that there's 'simply' no more water to worry about."

I think that analogy speaks for itselt!

[much ideological rambling which didn't address my prior rebuttal to his post
deleted]

> So, let me conclude with a rebuttal
> to Tim Tyler:
>
> If you care so much about protecting the lives of law enforcement officers on
> duty, against the negligent acts of criminals, whether those criminals are
> equipped with scanners or not, then you should do your part in counseling and
> teaching and helping the misguided citizens of your community from falling into
> the evils of crime. You should help your community develop programs and care
> for those individuals who have no guidance, no hope, no future, and no
> direction to follow, except for the lure of criminal activity. That is where
> the solution is located.

I don't accept lectures from a scanner geek who is simply worried that he'll lose
a major source of entertainment if public safety
agencies go digital for privacy/efficiency purposes, especially from someone like
you who has demonstrated quite a propensity for reckless statements (e.g. your
prior posts on why Michigan State Police are using Astro).

I doubt you'd preach that same gentle philosophy if you were a crime victim --
telling the police to forget about the specific incident that occured to you, and
merely try to 'save' people from turning to a life of crime & all the other sad
prose of yours. Your vague idea is a long-term strategy which actually has been
occuring for decades with questionable success. However, it holds no relevency to
the debate here regarding the right to secure public safety communications vs. your
perceived rights of hobbyists to listen in.

> Close the path that leads to criminal activity,
> but keep open the path that leads to scanning.

Why, out of consideration for your entertainment?

> talkyal

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2621. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Reeder <reeder@NOSPAMuswest.net>
Message-ID: <36AA9742.D584A641@NOSPAMuswest.net>
Source record: 130278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Oh please, let me guess, Is this the world famous theory that light in the UV spectrum attacks and breaks down the PVC covering of coax, and in turn changes the conductive properties of the copper conductors?

Anyone with an I.Q. above room temperature knows better.....

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> bpk@home.com wrote:
> > I know Bill Cheek gave us some helpful information about scanners with
> > his faq.John-where are your helpful hints about scanning? Oh that's
>
> Who cares?
>
> I haven't seen YOU post any helpful hints about scanning either.
>
> Actually, I have posted several articles about the UV loss of coax,
> how to scan amateur repeater freqs, etc.
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

--
To reply remove NOSPAM from address

2622. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB <brenda131@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78e8bjlcc1@news.iag.net>
Source record: 130279

I am truly sorry to hear this post from someone who supposedly is involved
in "public safety".
I agree that the social engineering prospects of crime prevention
through education are ridiculously optomistic.
What I found truly frightening was the statement "percieved rights of
hobbyists to listen in". I mean I thought that the numerous "public safety"
entities existed to serve the public. I guess our poster here feels like
they merely exist so he can play with cool toys paid for by the public which
he serves. I mean we paid for it so why can't we listen to it for
entertainment purposes ?
It is unfortunate that the us vs them attitude is so prevalent in
law enforcement circles today. If you feel like you need to hide what you
are doing from the public maybe you should consider a life of crime.
There is a saying that came from Rome and I apologize for not recalling
the author but he said : "Once we had criminals that opressed us, now we
have laws that opress us".
BB

Tim Tyler wrote in message <36AA8E4A.5E13DA30@mich.com>...
>
>

>
> I don't accept lectures from a scanner geek who is simply worried that
he'll lose
>a major source of entertainment if public safety
>agencies go digital for privacy/efficiency purposes, especially from
someone like
>you who has demonstrated quite a propensity for reckless statements (e.g.
your
>prior posts on why Michigan State Police are using Astro).
>
> I doubt you'd preach that same gentle philosophy if you were a crime
victim --
>telling the police to forget about the specific incident that occured to
you, and
>merely try to 'save' people from turning to a life of crime & all the other
sad
>prose of yours. Your vague idea is a long-term strategy which actually has
been
>occuring for decades with questionable success. However, it holds no
relevency to
>the debate here regarding the right to secure public safety communications
vs. your
>perceived rights of hobbyists to listen in.

>
>> Close the path that leads to criminal activity,
>> but keep open the path that leads to scanning.
>
> Why, out of consideration for your entertainment?
>
>
>> talkyal
>
>--
>Tim Tyler
>ttyler@mich.com
>http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/
>
>

2623. to trade bc235xlt for trunkbase unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: JP <ishyguy@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <36aaa6b7.0@nemo.idirect.com>
Source record: 130280

is anyone interested in trading their trunktracker mobile or
base unit for a portable uniden 235xlt. preferably in the greater
toronto area?

please e-mail ishyguy@idirect.com

2624. Re: Oregon frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg <greg@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <36aaacbd.0@news.cvc.net>
Source record: 130281

Karyn Schultz wrote in message ...
>Does anyone have a source for Oregon frequencies. Portland area.
>
>

Try this one for a good start;
http://www.panix.com/clay/scanning/frequencies/states/or/

Greg

2625. Re: PRO-67

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpryor@pop3.utoledo.edu
Message-ID: <36aa42a0.89583437@news.utoledo.edu>
Source record: 130282

>I don't see any chatter about it, in any of the scanner NG's.
>Anybody have any good tips on it? Full coverage mod? Audio mod?
>(the output is miserable)

I've got one and over the past year and a half I haven't really seen anything about it. I've been looking into doing a discriminator mod though . . . I doubt there would be any full coverage mods . . .

Jeff

2626. Re: WTB: BC895 Serial Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpryor@pop3.utoledo.edu
Message-ID: <36aa4307.89686452@news.utoledo.edu>
Source record: 130283

>Anyone know of any place that has a good deal on a serial cable for the
>BC895, like about $20 or less? If so, e-mail a list of stores to me and
>be sure to include the stores' website URLs if they have any.

Make your own, the parts at Radio Shack shouldn't run over $5 . . .

2627. Re: CHP, new channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <KUzq2.13227$at2.34009@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 130284

Riverside is now on the Turquoise-1 (39.68 Disp/42.02 Car). Rancho Cucamonga is on the Beige-1 (39.88 Disp/42.08 Car)

Hope this helps ...

Mike Derryberry

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

Aaron wrote in message ...
>Does anyone have the new beige channel that was created in November? It was
>created to split the Riverside & Rancho station which use to share the same
>frequency. Riverside is now on Turquoise.
>
>

2628. Re: Newbie Request: Mackey FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <36AAC80D.DE096407@pacbell.net>
Source record: 130285

AJMedia wrote:

> >I recently became a subsciber and find this group cluttered with
> >endless bickering....
>
> I'm not a "newbie", but rather an occasional visitor to this newsgroup.
> Although I agree with you, I do have one question: Who the hell is Mackey?!?
>
> AJMedia@nospam.com

Reposted without permission - Original author is k4cc@aol.com (K4CC)
and was originally posted 6/20/98 in the alt.scanner usenet newsgroup.

Frequently Asked Questions about Cheek and Mackey:

1. Who is Cheek?
Bill Cheek is a fairly well known author, experimenter, engineer who
has contributed a great deal to the scanner hobby for a number of years
through his books, magazine columns, newsletters and newsgroup postings.

2. Who is Mackey?
John Mackey is the self-appointed guardian of the entire NG who has
the need to be noticed by posting messages that have no bearing on the scanner
hobby and which are factually and gramatically suspect.

3. Why don't they like each other?
Mackey feels that Cheek is a demon, a liar and general miscreant if
he writes anything that is in even faint disagreement with anyone, be it
Mackey or anyone else. Cheek feels sorry for Mackey and has attempted to help
him
by indulging Mackey's need to post meaningless sludge.

4. What are the "problems" in the NG to which Mackey keeps referring?
No one is quite sure, as they seem to be problems only to Mackey, who
seems to feel that they are problems for everyone else, whether they are or
not.

5. How can I keep myself from being subjected to Cheek's and Mackey's
posts?
There are any number of sophisticated techniques available including,
but not limited to: filtering, skipping or not reading them.

6. What is smegma?
Check the dictionary definition for snot.

Hope this helps.

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

2629. FS: Q7A, scanner books....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <36A9D636.F65D62E2@bv.net>
Source record: 130286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner

VHF unit is sold!! Thanks to all...

I have one more UHF SP50 , Mint condition programmable radio with charger and battery. Motorola Model # P94YQT20A3AA, 2 channel/4W set now at ~476.813MHz. I sold the VHF transceiver, I will sell this one for $200.00 plus shipping <<OR best offer>>

I have a Pro34 (cat #20-135/9135) Service Manual, sell for $15

ALSO, CRB research Scanner Mod Book
Mods for:2004/5/6/34/2021/BC-950/bc200/205xlt/bc760xlt... $15

Additionally: I have a Mint condition, 2 week old, modified Icom IC-Q7A dual band Transceiver, receives from 30-1300MHz (less

cell), TX 130-174MHz & 400-470MHz, great 200 channel radio/Scanner. With antenna, clip, box and manual. Sell for $175.<<OBO>>
I
also have software to extend range to .5-2GHz approx. You'll need cable... But new software works great now that kinks are
out of it...

mailto:mcguyver@bv.net

Mitch

2630. Re: Yaesu FT-50RD WFM Filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <36a9d6b6.7602739@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 130287

colton@patlanta.com (Larry Colton) wrote:

:I understand that when the FT-50RD first came out, the WFM filter wasn't
:quite right and the rig didn't do well picking up FM broadcast and TV
:broadcast (or at least didn't filter them well enough to make it worth
:listening to).

I use my FT-50RD to listen to TV sound. FM broadcast stations use wider
FM than TV sound.

:Is this still the case with the newer FT-50RDs? Or has
:Yaesu changed the filter? I'm interseted in getting one radio to do
:everything (yeah, right, I'm dreaming) - transmitting and receiving - so
:I don't have to carry around more than one unit. The FT-50RD nearly
:fits the bill. So does the Icom IC-T8A and the IC-Q7A. The Q7A
:probably is closest, but the 50RD is so physically strong - I don't want
:to have to worry about bumps and showers.
:
:Any thoughts? (Yes, I've been to Rich Wells page)

There was a lot of talk about changing the NFM filter in the AR8000 to
avoid clipping on cellular, but I have not seen any talk about replacement
WFM filters for the FT-50RD.

2631. Re: trouble with cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990123091804.14197.00001620@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 130288

>Subject: trouble with cell
>From: "Seth Tucker" <mensch@systec.com>
>iam getting it
>but i am only grtting one way conversations. and what i do get is very
>static does any body help me with some advice.

When I did the mod to my BC200XLT it was only capable of 12.5 kHz steps in that range where the normal spacing between cell freqs is 30kHz. It received the cell calls but off freq. This may be one of many reasons you seem to be hearing a distorted conversation.
Hope that helps.

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

2632. FS: Realistic pro 43 UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: melv956@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990123093341.29227.00001442@ng111.aol.com>
Source record: 130289

200 ch covering military air am fm programmable
1.3ghz ï¿½100

2633. Formula For J-Pole Antenna made from 300 OHM wire to receive low and high VHF????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dad#1 <deadeye@javanet.com>
Message-ID: <36A9E11B.65146839@javanet.com>
Source record: 130290
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone tell me the formula for cutting a j-pole antenna to match a frequency in low VHF? I'm interested in making one from 300 OHM TV wire to string up in a tree, and attach it to coax to my scanner.

2634. Regency Turbo Scan TS2 Manuel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <36A9E6CD.6CD@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 130291

I need a copy of the owners manuel for this Regency TS2 Turbo scan.
Will pay cost plus for it. I appreciate your effort to help me.

Regards Jerr1919

2635. Listen to GSM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Olive <olive@2.sbbs.se>
Message-ID: <36A9E8B9.F6EFDC14@2.sbbs.se>
Source record: 130292

I need equipment to decode cellular phones,cordless phones,
into voice audio,are there any scanner on the market that can
deal with that,or does it take a separat decoder or a computer
with software,
If anyone have equipment for sale,or know were it can be
bought I would like to get in touch with you.

2636. Re: Blake Bowers - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78crhcitq0@207.18.224.132>
Source record: 130293

On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 09:43:06 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>> It is obvious that being off your medication for 3 days, as
>> you have posted, causes your multiple personality
>
>Blake, speaking of yourself as teh 3rd person is a sign of
>serious mental problems. You should seek help.
>
Evidently, being off your meds John, leads you to not be
able to follow a thread also. Please John, get back on your meds.

2637. CAUTION**** Dennis Weal**W3EAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dbbadge@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990123110732.15281.00001272@ng153.aol.com>
Source record: 130294

Please be very cautious when dealing with this person. He is a HAM from Baltimore Maryland, he will promise you a deal, but will not live up to his promises,. Unfortunately another person to watch out for.

2638. Re: Go Private?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <78ctg7kft1@camel25.mindspring.com>
Source record: 130295

thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL one!)) wrote, and I quote:

>On Fri, 22 Jan 1999 02:54:10 -0500 (EST), rock56@webtv.net (Rocky Sloan) wrote:

>>Yea, I agree also. Officers do need a private channel for drug bust and
>>so on. Very good point indeed. Officer safety is a must. A Ga, state
>>trooper was shot and killed two days ago doing a traffic stop not far
>>from here.

>And the suspect wouldn't have known the Cop was stopping him
>if the Cop had an encrypted radio?

Unfortunately, it was the officer's fault. The Captain who was a drug interdiction speciallist DID NOT call in on his traffic stop. He was found inside his car with a gsw to the right side of his head. His video camera was also not on. It is unknow what happened during this stop. Encryped or not, the office is dead. If he had called in on his stop, maybe another officer would have been enroute to his location and this tragedy could have been prevented.

Michael Martin
Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems
www.mindspring.com/~mm505

2639. Re: Can't Find Scanner Store/Shop In Las Vegas! Believe It Or Not!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <DanR@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <36A9FA59.8761E36@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 130296

There is a store called Fox's Spy Outlet on East Tropicana Avenue which sells a good local frequency book, not sure if they have other accessories though.

Dan

Blackjack Elliot wrote:

> Does ANYONE know of a store or shop that sells scanners and accessories
> anywhere in Las Vegas? There isn't a single one in the Las Vegas phone
> book! I can't believe it! (other than Rat Shack), they don't have what
> I need.... a new batter pack for a BC100XLT.
>
> Blackjack Elliot
>
> Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
> www.BlackjackElliot.com

2640. WARNING..See what modifying a scanner to receive cellular can do to you.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990123113917.05218.00001654@ng37.aol.com>
Source record: 130297

Don't look if you have a weak heart !
Visit my site to see what happened to me after I did my first cell mod....

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

2641. CHP, new channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron <no@way.net>
Message-ID: <qwemedkoa.pminews@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 130298

Does anyone have the new beige channel that was created in November? It was created to split the Riverside & Rancho station which use to share the same frequency. Riverside is now on Turquoise.

2642. Re: Anyone live in connecticut know about Scanner rules in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: laptopjohn@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990123120230.09494.00001399@ng156.aol.com>
Source record: 130299

I used to live in CT, and they have no problem with scanners in a car as long as you don't use them to help commit a crime. (This is true in most states.)
Hope this helps!
To reply to me by e-mail, remove "nospam" from my address.

2643. Re: OptoCOMM and Trunker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: lodrunner@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36AA0062.94F842E9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 130300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anything that uses the CI-V/CI-5 interface. Using Scan-Star software, you can cascade 10 radios on the same front end. Pretty powerful stuff. Data Slicer output on pin 6 of the RS 232 port so software can directly address digital signals and there is a second discriminator output. Needs another program called E-Trax for EDACS though.

2644. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78d0ab$3si$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130301

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bibi$6fc$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Phil, stop your lying.

Hee, hee.... You friggin loon, it AIN'T ME!!!! Do you have any idea how many people work in this building, and use the exact same proxy server? Is it so hard for you to believe that I am not the only person who works here and thinks you're the bottom of the reject pile? Hoo hoo, now THAT'S FUNNY!!!!

You friggin loon! You should have never come back.....

-Phil

2645. Re: PHIL SMALLEY POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78d04j$3p1$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130302

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bfoe$4el$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Shut up John.
>
>who are you talking to Phil?
>
You. You responded, didn't you? Who did you think I was talking to, puppet
boy?

tsk, tsk, so predictable... (pulling strings) Dance, puppet, dance!

HA HA HA HA HA HA.......

-Phil

2646. Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78d01c$3ov$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130303

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bgfm$51b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Wrong again, I am NOT Newbie.

Oh yes you are. And you know it. If it ain't so then POST YOUR PROOF!!!!!!

BWAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Any way you slice it Mackey, you lose. My friend is right, you are a friggin' mirror. It's fun to play with that little psychological quirk of yours.

Reply and deny, my little puppet - I love pulling your strings!

You friggin loon!

-Phil

2647. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@wans.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1113b1099bef67d9897b9@news.wans.net>
Source record: 130304

You can get all the WinRadios you want. I do not however feel that they are very good, or in all fairness any better then say a PCR1000. They all do well on Vhf-Uhf, but suck on HF. The the price you could have a top rated handheld and a better unit overall. I am sorry to say that the wide band receivers out there so far that are of the computer controlled types have a ways to go before they get to the level of overall performance they ought to be.

2648. Re: allsafe POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78d56abpp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130305

allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
> ....& a SYSOP with Mackey's name on his sh*t-list.

Unlike yourself allsafe, I get along with all sysop's & admins
just fine. You on the other hand, have to constantly
use a FAKE ID on this NG & sysop's have made postings
on this NG claiming you are NOT associated with their
system & dis-owning any relationship to your on-line access!

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2649. Re: Frequenties

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA0736.9B20DE0F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

ScanMan wrote:

> Voor frequenties van
>
> politie/brandweer/ambulance Gouda e.o.
> luchtvaart
> telefoons
> buzzers
> acars
> circuitpark zandvoort / Formule1
>
> kun je terrecht op:
> http://www.fortunecity.com/silverstone/chevy/301/
>
> Als je denkt dat er foute of verouderde freqs zijn, zou ik het graag van je
> horen.
> De pagina is nog lang niet af (alleen de scanner gedeelte), dus stuur een
> mailtje als je wilt horen waneer het af is.
>
> Groeten,
> Art
> --
> Chevrolet@ThePentagon.com

Nice page Art!

Proost,
Jon

2650. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78d0l5$3ut$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130307

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bi7q$6el$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>I waws off for 3 days Phil! I guess you are wrong again!
"waws"? Must've had the shakes or something after not posting for THREE DAYS! NG withdrawals or something, eh? How about you don't post for 3 weeks John? Then I might be impressed...

>Yea, he thinks like you, works at the same place as you, hangs on the same NG
>as you, & he does everything like you, but you still say it isn't you
>Phil, I don't think the members of this NG are stupid enough to believe your
>lies.

Hee, hee, no you just think they're stupid enough to believe yours..... ha ha ha...

>
>If it walks like a duck, & it smells like a duck, & it quacks like a duck,
>then it's a duck. Right now Phil you are waddling & quacking in your lies!

And if it looks like a rat faced loon, and lies like a rug and cleans bedpans for a living it must be John Mackey, and I won't say what you're waddling in....You friggin LOON!!!!! (horselaughing)

-Phil

2651. Re: Steve POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78d5k1$c4e$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130308

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> Your mother learned the hard way about mistakes when you were concieved.
> Poor sad woman.

You must be looking in the mirror when you said that!

> What you are really saying Liar-man is due to your harassment, false
> postings & rogue cancels you are having a hell of a time keeping an ISP.

No! I have never done false postings or rogue cancels, but I have had
then done to me. I ahve never lost an ISP yet, unlike your hero & some
of your other comrades on this NG. Hell, Phil lost his job & his
AT&T access due to his online postings.

What I am really saying is this:

Due to the recent harassment & canceled messages, I have starting using
dejanews to prevent false postings & rogue cancels. So it is NOT hiding,
rather it is using the modern convience of dejanews to uphold integrity
rather than using the old type of news server which has inherant flaws
& poor security.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2652. Re: STEVE IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78d622$c97$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130309

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> You posted no proof John so what you say is not fact. If it isn't fact it is
> a lie.

OK, here is the proof for each issue:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bgm4$5bl$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >1 I nave never caused problems on this NG, I have helped resolve them
> >& rid this NG of trouble makers Several of the trouble makers are
> >GONE thanks to the work of myself & a few others.

Old "what's his name" down in San Diego who posts the FAQ's on here
all the time lost his internet access due to the complaints his
ISP received about his behavior online and I was one of the main
people making the complaints. This resluted in "what's his name" & Bud
blaming me for the poor sap losing his access, rather than blaming the
individual who actually committed the offensive acts.

> >I have only been on this NG for barely over 2 years, NOT
> >5 or 6 like you are attempting to say.

Do a search of my posts on dejanews for this NG You will find NO posts
from me prior to July 1996.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2653. Re: JOHN MACKEY POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78d1vs$4ai$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130310

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bhp4$614$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>> That is correct John. We are "wring",
>
>What a FOOL you are! OBVIOUSLY, you know NOTHING about being a touch
>typist. Look at your keyboard, the "i" & the "o", making a mistaken
>keystroke very easy.

Especially when typing with one hand, right John?? HA HA HA HA.....

>> I notice you are hiding behind DeJaNews.
>
>Due to the recent harassment & canceled messages, I have starting using
>dejanews to prevent false postings & rogue cancels. So it is NOT hiding,
>rather it is using the modern convience of dejanews to uphold integrity
>rather than using the old type of news server which has inherant flaws
>& poor security.

Bull John. It's hiding. People can cancel your messages regardless of what server you post on. Obviously you know nothing about NNTP or the Internet in general. If you can post it, it can be cancelled too. Everyone knows this, except, obviously, you. Or that's what you'd like everyone to believe.

Here's the actual truth: I think enough complaints finally got to your ISP that if the crap didn't stop, they were gonna pull the plug. I bet teleport.com AKA parg.org got sick of all the complaints and told you to beat it. I'm right aren't I John? Oh, sure, you'll reply and say I'm not, but deep down, you know I know something... and that bothers ya don't it Pinnochio?

It all stems towards your rift with your father, doesn't it John? You weren't good enough for him, so now you seek validation with us. Isn't that so? Instead of doing the right thing and patching things up with your old man, you would rather come on here and raise hell with us, huh John? See, I know more about you than you thought. And there's more... LOTS more... Shall I go on?

This is gonna get ugly real fast John. You'd do best to just drop it. I will if you do.

-Phil

2654. Re: John Mackey FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78d2fk$4gd$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

bpk@home.com wrote in message <36A9841A.65FDDF57@home.com>...
>I know Bill Cheek gave us some helpful information about scanners with
>his faq.John-where are your helpful hints about scanning? Oh that's
>right you know nothing about scanners and you just hang around here
>because you can't get the hint that most people want your pain in the
>ass ego out of here.Maybe your for answers to questions would be "how to
>be a pain in the ass"!

No, loon-boy is here because his old man hates his guts, and he wants to feel respected and admired, so he goes around picking fights on usenet. He's a known usenet abuser and makes the top 100 list on a regular basis. He's basically a low-life troll who knows more about being a jackass in public than anything else. A regular retard. He wouldn't know a straight line if someone showed him an E.K.G. of his own brain waves. He's ugly, and his mommy dresses him funny. Remember the kid in school that everyone picked on? Yeah, that's him, except without the brains to do something about it. And last but not least, he has severe multiple personality disorder, causing him to flip-flop from one lie/alias to another like a fish out of water.

Did I miss anything? ;-)

-Phil

2655. Re: John Mackey - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78d2h6$4ge$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130312

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78c5fclsq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>You must be looking in the mirror again George!
>
>How sad :(
>

John you ARE a mirror. Would you like a demonstration?

-Phil

2656. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78d7i0$de4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130313

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bg3d$4ri$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >Both those identies are seperate & not me.

> No they aren't and that is a fact because you didn't post any proof. That is
> your benchmark. Thanks for admitting you are a liar and guilty as charged.

No problem, all you had to do was ASK for the proof ANYTING I say I can
back with proof when asked! See Below headers.

Notice the "NNTP Posting Hosts" are totaly different, meaning they
came from different computers & different systems.

From: you@some.com (Newbie)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the
judge...
Date: 22 Jan 1999 03:10:47 GMT
Organization: Your Organization
Reply-To: me@me.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: ts2-25.pdx.cyberhighway.net

From: John <jmackey@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do.
(OFF TOPIC)
Date: Tue, 19 Jan 1999 00:46:40 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.26.13.217

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2657. Complaints about steve! Re: Mackey is NOT Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78d7s0dhk1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130314

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> It isn't a fact because you failed to post proof John. You are Newbie. No

See my other message where I post proof that I am NOT Newbie

I found this interesting message complainiing about YOU!

Subject:
STUPID POSTS Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER
Do. (OFF TOPIC)
Date:
Thu, 21 Jan 1999 09:57:32 -0800
From:
Chip Bettlesprokiet <maher@yahoo.com>
Organization:
Excite Services
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.scanner

have you considered how your STUPID POSTS detract from the radio hobby and
make us all look bad. stop causing trouble and go to alt.flame where your
type is appreciated.

Steve wrote:

> THE TOP FIVE THINGS MACKEY WILL NEVER DO!
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2658. Pro-90 Light Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990123130929.20430.00001831@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 130315

I talked with a handfull of people in regards to the light mod for the Pro-90. I have lost their e-mail addresses so I hope they all see this post. I did the mod myself but inseted of using a small switch, i only used one wire with the two ends each connected to one leg. This way the light is on all of the time. There is no real place to put a swicth. Some one at RS did suggest taking out the earphone jack and feeding a switch through there, but I am going to leave it always on.

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/stuff/light.txt

In case any of you are wondering if I am taking cedit for this mod, I HAVE NOT had any assistance, incluence, or help in anyway on the making of this mod. I am just suppling the link!

-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

2659. Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78d34k$4mc$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130316

Steve wrote in message <7kfq2.5171$DB1.5258@news.ntr.net>...
>You posted no proof John so what you say is not fact. If it isn't fact it
is
>a lie.

That is true, Mackey did lie. He has been on this NG spewing more shit than
a salad shooter in a manure pile for 3 years, NOT 2.
>
>Mackey - Lie...........all the same.
This is also true. Liar Mackey couldn't tell the truth if his life depended
on it. The only thing he knows about integerity and honesty is that he has
none of either.
>
>You are wrong, you lie Mackey.
Yes he does, but the sad thing about it is he, like others who share his
aliments, doesn't realize it. Stranger still, I've noticed the harder you
hammer him, the more he posts - almost like he has some kind of punishment
fetish. He somehow feels vindicated by being abused - it's all very strange.
>
>Take your medication John.
This I don't think he can do. Ever since he got canned from the looney bin,
he can't pilfer any of the good drugs anymore. So now he's unmedicated,
locked up in his studio apartment, staring at his nude posters of Ernest
Borgnine and posting his anguish and dejection on this NG. How sad :(

ROTFL!!!!

-Phil

2660. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Fairleigh <mikef@sky.net>
Message-ID: <zioq2.65$Hm1.2228163@alpha.sky.net>
Source record: 130317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

No, it isn't computer controlled, nor does it cover military air.

--
Mike Fairleigh
mikef@sky.net
...Always remembering our veterans.

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote in message
<36a98cc5.519167563@news.value.net>...
>I wasn't aware that the 235 could be computer controlled?!
>
> <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>>
>>Here's why I would keep the BC-235: For the money, it's a great
>>scanner with computer control and mil-air band.

2661. Re: Blake Bowers - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78d84ndrc1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130318

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> Evidently, being off your meds John, leads you to not be
> able to follow a thread also. Please John, get back on your meds.

Blake, When did you start calling yourself John?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2662. Re: SMALLEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78d8cbdtr1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130319

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bgfm$51b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >Wrong again, I am NOT Newbie.

> Oh yes you are. And you know it. If it ain't so then POST YOUR PROOF!!!!!!

See my reply with proof to Steve.

Then go cry your eyes out, Son!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2663. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78d8gh$e5m$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130320

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Hee, hee.... You friggin loon, it AIN'T ME!!!!

Then do exactly what you expected ME to do, POST YOUR PORRF that we
may verify!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2664. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerryharker <Jerryharker@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01be46fc$efaabe80$c6ce2581@default>
Source record: 130321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The BC235XLT can not be controlled by computer. The BC895XLT base can be computer controlled though. Mike

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote in article <36a98cc5.519167563@news.value.net>...
> I wasn't aware that the 235 could be computer controlled?!
>
> I haven't gotten through the entire manual yet, but haven't seen
> anything about computer control.
>
> Is this correct?
>
> <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> >Here's why I would keep the BC-235: For the money, it's a great
> >scanner with computer control and mil-air band. It will always be
> >a useful scanner even if the 245 proves to be as good.
> >
> >The 245 may have early production bugs, you might kick yourself
> >in the butt for passing up a proven performer for one that may take
> >awhile to be as good. Look at it this way, unless you only listen to
> >one trunked system, it can't hurt having another trunktracker around,
> >right?
> >
> >The 245 likely won't be out for months, maybe late summer. So why
> >not enjoy the 235 now? You could always sell it for at least 75% of
> >what you paid for it, meaning it might cost you $50 to use it until the
> >245 comes out. That's how I would do it.
> >
> >Regards,
> >Jon
> >
>
>

2665. Re: Rogue Cancellation summary for rec.radio.scanner - 01/19/99 - 01/21/99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78d3kf$4sb$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130322

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bg93$4tb$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Do you have multiple personality disorder or were you apppointed to
>speak for the group? If you claim you were appointed then post
>the supporting proof (for verification) or be found to be a liar.

I wonder if you stutter just like you type? "were you a-p-p-p-ointed to speak for the group?"

This is the thing that just kills me about you John. You can post all sorts of "we think this" and "we want that" kind of messages, but yet when someone else does it, you fire right back with the same flames you get daily about multiple personality disorder. Plus, now that everyone has demanded proof when you post your lies, now you say that if we don't post proof, we are lying. Well, where's YOUR proof? Who appointed YOU? Who authorized you to post 2000+ off topic messages to this NG?

You use the term "we" all the time to tell us what YOU want. So who's the "we" John? Name names. And I want to see proof too, or, as you outline above, you are lying.

Of course, you could just admit now that you are a liar. At least then you'd have SOME dignity left. But that just makes too much sense, right puppet boy Pinnochio?

Mackey the puppet. Pull his strings! Watch him dance!

-Phil

>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2666. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78d8ha$e5v$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130323

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Hee, hee.... You friggin loon, it AIN'T ME!!!!

Then do exactly what you expected ME to do, POST YOUR PROOF that we
may verify!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2667. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78d8me$e88$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130324

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bi7q$6el$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >If it walks like a duck, & it smells like a duck, & it quacks like a duck,
> >then it's a duck. Right now Phil you are waddling & quacking in your lies!

> And if it looks like a rat faced loon, and lies like a rug and cleans
> bedpans for a living it must be John Mackey, and I won't say what you're

Phil-

Do you have to copy everyone else? Can't you come uyp with something
original?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2668. Re: PHIL SMALLEY POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78d8qf$e8t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130325

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bfoe$4el$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >who are you talking to Phil?

> You. You responded, didn't you?

No Phil, YOU responded to Newbie, NOT me! I said NOTING to Newbie.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2669. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78d4la$57v$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130326

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bg3d$4ri$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>No one saw a difference, you & your buddies were still on here harassing
>& flaming as evidenced by your posts.

Tug, tug... Dance a jig, puppet boy....
>
>
>> I am glad to see you didn't deny being JWILY (sp) and Newbie.
>
>Both those identies are seperate & not me. You simply can't face the
>facts that there are others who are willingly to stand & oppose you.

Well then why did you wait so long to claim it, John? Where's your proof Pinnochio? I know for a fact that you are Newbie, and Peter J. Boe is JWiley. Here's my proof too, since I know you'll ask:

Here's the message from Peter AKA JWiley:
Path:
tor-nn1.netcom.ca!tor-nx1.netcom.ca!chippy.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!nntp.teleport.com!news1.teleport.com!strekker
From: strekker@comdex.com (JWiley)Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: BILL CHEEK POSTING AS 'ALLSAFE'
Message-ID: <strekker-2101990128200001@i48-08-13.pdx.du.teleport.com>
X-Newsreader: MT-NewsWatcher 2.4Lines: 4Date: Thu, 21 Jan 1999 01:28:19 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.26.3.205X-Complaints-To: news@teleport.com
X-Trace: news1.teleport.com 916910941 216.26.3.205 (Thu, 21 Jan 1999 01:29:01 PDT)NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 21 Jan 1999 01:29:01 PDT
Xref: tor-nx1.netcom.ca rec.radio.scanner:164427x-no-archive: yes
lkjdrp8ty7P(*$E^%&(*&YEApfOa[pf
Its true........he he he

Here is "Newbie's" post
Path:
phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com!news-w.ans.net!news.lava.net!news.Hawaii.Edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news-feed.fnsi.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!209.161.0.18!sat.cyberhighway.net!news.cyberhighway.net!not-for-mail
From:
you@some.com (Newbie)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.scanner
Subject:
Re: BILL CHEEK POSTING AS 'ALLSAFE'
Date:
22 Jan 1999 03:02:03 GMT

Organization:
Your Organization
Lines:
8
Message-ID:
<788pnbbca1@news.cyberhighway.net>
References:
<strekker-2101990128200001@i48-08-13.pdx.du.teleport.com>
Reply-To:
me@me.com
NNTP-Posting-Host:
ts2-25.pdx.cyberhighway.net
Mime-Version:
1.0
Content-Type:
Text/Plain; charset=US-ASCII
X-Trace:
news.cyberhighway.net 916974123 11658 209.151.48.131 (22
Jan 1999 03:02:03 GMT)
X-Complaints-To:
news@cyberhighway.net
NNTP-Posting-Date:
22 Jan 1999 03:02:03 GMT
X-Newsreader:
WinVN 0.99.9 (Released Version) (x86 32bit)
Xref:
phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com rec.radio.scanner:130664

which matches posts you used to make on this group from Cyberhighway.net

If you want further proof, I can give it.

-Phil

2670. Re: Pro-90 Light Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8ewq@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990123134145.04350.00001508@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 130327

I did the mod to my pro-90 and I put the switch right next to the earphone jack. You have to find a very small toggle switch and you have to line it up just right when you drill the hole. I had no trouble at all.

Tony

2671. Frequency Lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edwards <sed.wards@sk.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <36AA25B0.504F@sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 130328

Hello I'm looking for freq lista so while I travel from Canada to
Arizona I can use my scanner in the car as I travel

Is there anywhere I download or get a copy

thanks for any help

2672. Re: Steve - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78d53c$5dq$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130329

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bfie$4bo$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>YOU stated the facts were coming soon, yet have been unable to
>present one single fact. Any statement without fact is FICTION
>(a lie)!
No HE didn't. "Choco" said the proof is coming soon. Are you saying that Steve is "Choco" or that I am "Choco" John? Make up your mind.

>
>(Have you been taking honesty lessons from Bill Clinton?)
Have you? You must be in hog heaven John, knowing that someone with the same ailment as you is in the White House right now.

>
>That's funny, I went several days without posting on this NG while YOU
>were still posting your flames & harassment. Plus during the
>troubled times on this NG, your hero old what's his name
HIS NAME IS BILL CHEEK, JOHN. BILL CHEEK. YOU KNOW, THE GUY WHO YOU OBSESS OVER EVERY DAY OF YOUR LIFE.

The guy you posted or replied to 275 messages about. By your own admission (the lack of a reply to this charge) you do not deny it's truth. Even if you deny it now John, it's too late. You already admitted to this fiendish obsession by not posting proof to the contrary.

>(scamist extradionaire) in San Diego posted hundreds of more
>messages than ME!

Of course he did. He was answering technical questions, giving scanning related advice, running a scanner related business and responding to you at the same time. How many on topic posts did YOU make during those "troubled times" John?

-Phil

2673. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78d5as$5dv$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130330

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bfs2$4gd$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> txjones@tyransportz.com (Tom Jones) wrote:
>> what was the name? Oh yeah - Long Dong
>> Silver.
>
>No Tom, that is what my girlfriends call ME!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

What girlfriends, John? Post your proof. I demand to see names and valid email addresses for verification. I want to be able to ask each one of these women if they are dating you as of this writing, and if they indeed call you that. Post your proof or be proven a liar - again.

Checkmate. You lose. NEXT!
-Phil

2674. Re: Frequency Lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA1B3C.5546@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130331

Edwards wrote:
>
> Hello I'm looking for freq lista so while I travel from Canada to
> Arizona I can use my scanner in the car as I travel
>
> Is there anywhere I download or get a copy
>
> thanks for any help

There are several online sources that you can run a search
for yourself. Have a look at the following URLs:

Frequency lists for radio systems in Canada

http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/tafl/tafl-e/tafledat.html

The FCC Database

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

ScanWare Associate's FCC Frequency Retrieval System

http://www.oz.net/~gmcavoy/sw_frqsmap.html
http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html

PerCon Frequency Database

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm

Links to Scanning-Related Pages

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/links.html
http://www.panix.com/~clay/scanning/frequencies/states/
http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/

National Frequency Database

http://www.911scanner.8m.com/

Trunked Radio Systems in the US

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/trs.html
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/data8.html
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm

2675. Re: Frequency Lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: masterdh@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990123135902.24021.00001673@ng03.aol.com>
Source record: 130332

CAN YOU PLEASE SEND ME CELLULAR PHONE FREQUENCIES

2676. Re: John Mackey LIES again!! Proof provided!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78d5rn$5jp$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130333

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78c56k$li6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>> Why you hiding behind DeJaNews John.
>Your above should have ended with a question mark.
Your mother should have ended you with Massengil. But then, the whole tribe at once in the back of that car, well, what are ya gonna do?

Anyway, I am
>not hiding, I am using the same e-mail address I have used for
>over 2 years, a record that few, if any on this NG can match.

LIE!!! LIE!!!! LIE!!!!

Here are just a FEW of the many many email addresses you have given on this group:

jmackey@usa.net kaossf@parg.org
anyplace@nowhere.mil no-place@anywhere.com
No-place@anywhere.org nowhere@anyplace.net
jomackey@hotmail.com mackey@idiots.org
nothing@anywhere.edu nowhere@anyplace.net
no_adress@anywhere.com no.address@anywhere.gov
No-address@No-where.com no_place@any-where.com
no--place@any__where.com no-place@anywhere.net
No--place@any-where.org no-where@no-place.com
nothing@anywhere.edu

A dejanews search on any of the above addresses and "*.scanner" proves that I am correct, should anyone care to try.

>I am using dejanews due to the recent problems with security
>(rogue cancels & bogus messages) which is VERY hard to commit
>to a person using dejanews. In essence, I am using the more recent
>techonology to of the availability of dejanews to strengthen the
>integrity & reliability of my messages and lessen the possibility of
>someone using foul tactics to commit wrong doings
You are so full of it John. I could sign on to dejanews right now and post a message as jmackey@usa.net if I so desired. If anything, your posts are now easier to forge and cancel than ever.

> That's right, ISPs are getting hard to
>> find. So are
>
>I'll take your word for it. I have only had a few ISP's in the
>last 2.5 years. Usually I stay with nationwide ISP's so I
>have coverage when I am traveling, otherwise my employer has to use
>their calling card to keep me in touch with local ISP's.

Since when does McDonald's send it's fry cooks to business trips? You are lying again John.

-Phil

2677. Re: CHP, new channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA1CD1.6DD@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130334

Aaron wrote:
>
> Does anyone have the new beige channel that was created in November? It was
> created to split the Riverside & Rancho station which use to share the same
> frequency. Riverside is now on Turquoise.

Beige-1 Base - 39.88 Car - 42.08

2678. Re: ACARS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA21B8.2642@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130335

SHAWN wrote:
>
> I am looking for a list of US ground stations.
> eagle@ez-net.com

Check out the following URL:

http://www.mike.mcmail.com/acars.html

2679. Re: Rogue Cancellation summary for rec.radio.scanner - 01/19/99 - 01/21/99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78bgcq$50r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130336

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> The difference is most all would rather see
SNIP

Have you been appointed to speak for "most all" of this
newsgroup or are you lying again?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2680. Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78bgfm$51b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130337

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Newbie (Also John, but in a clever disguise!)

Wrong again, I am NOT Newbie.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2681. Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78bgm4$5bl$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130338

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> If what you say is true it pales in comparison to you doing exactly what you
> accuse others of for the past five or six years.

1 I nave never caused problems on this NG, I have helped resolve them
& rid this NG of trouble makers Several of the trouble makers are
GONE thanks to the work of myself & a few others.

2 I have only been on this NG for barely over 2 years, NOT
5 or 6 like you are attempting to say.

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2682. Re: Silent Key List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bubba_the_fat_ham@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78bhp2$613$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <36a9e09f.26930663@news.chesco.com>,
rosenberry@chesco.nospam.com (Will Rosenberry) wrote:
> On Fri, 22 Jan 1999 15:27:52 -0500, W3LAP <w3lap@qsl.net> wrote:
>
> >Check out http://www.fcc.org/all_silent_keys/1928-1999
> I did but "the page is not found"
>

I wish someone would invent a Silent Key, my YL keeps waking up in the middle
of the night with all that noise...

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2683. Re: JOHN MACKEY POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78bhp4$614$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130340

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> That is correct John. We are "wring",

What a FOOL you are! OBVIOUSLY, you know NOTHING about being a touch typist. Look at your keyboard, the "i" & the "o", making a mistaken keystroke very easy.

> I notice you are hiding behind DeJaNews.

Due to the recent harassment & canceled messages, I have starting using dejanews to prevent false postings & rogue cancels. So it is NOT hiding, rather it is using the modern convience of dejanews to uphold integrity rather than using the old type of news server which has inherant flaws & poor security.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2684. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78bi7q$6el$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130341

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Hello, John. I knew you couldn't shut up for more than 2 days.

I waws off for 3 days Phil! I guess you are wrong again!

> As for "Choco" I don't know who that is. The headers show he works here at
> Allied, like me, but he's not me.

Yea, he thinks like you, works at the same place as you, hangs on the same NG as you, & he does everything like you, but you still say it isn't you Phil, I don't think the members of this NG are stupid enough to believe your lies.

If it walks like a duck, & it smells like a duck, & it quacks like a duck, then it's a duck. Right now Phil you are waddling & quacking in your lies!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2685. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78bibi$6fc$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130342

"Choco Boco" <thumbs@meow.net> wrote:
> Well, Mr. Smalley is right. I do work at Allied. Unfortunately, I have yet
> to meet this gentleman, which I would like to do sometime to thank him for
SNIP

Phil, stop your lying.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2686. Re: Light Mode Pro-91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: teal9425@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122215735.03421.00001640@ng115.aol.com>
Source record: 130343

i would like to know the same thing. please drop me a line if you find out anything

2687. Re: Pro 2046

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: teal9425@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122215816.03421.00001642@ng115.aol.com>
Source record: 130344

no it cant

2688. Re: W and W manufacturing ripped me off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert G. Schaffrath, N2JTX" <rschaffrath@acm.org>
Message-ID: <36A93EA7.2EE6@acm.org>
Source record: 130345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I have purchased from them before (walk-in as they are only about 6
miles away) and never had any problems. In fact, they once gave me a
supurb deal on a 7.2V 1800mAH FT-530 battery pack for $35 based on a
competitors advertised price for a 1500mAH pack!

Sorry to hear about your troubles. Must have been a bad day. The
weather around here has been lousy...

spamkill@interl.net wrote:
>
> Hello folks,
>
> I hate posting one of these messages to any kind of forum but these
> folks leave me no choice.
> Their representative hung up on my xyl when she was trying to resolve
> the matter to the satisfaction of myself and the company. Hence, I
> have no choice but to post it here. I hope it serves as a warning to
> others who might consider doing business with these people.
>
> (snip)
--
Robert G. Schaffrath, N2JTX (RGS71)
+1.516.759.4313
mailto:rschaffrath@acm.org
http://tonto.netsurftech.com/rgs

2689. Re: Hey! Air Freeks! (Air Freqs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122222440.18008.00001287@ng16.aol.com>
Source record: 130346

I posted this already, but I thought I'd re-post it again to put it in this thread since there seems to be a lot of "Air Freeks" in here all of a sudden (I'm one too). Sorry, if everybody already knows about this site:

Any civil air scanner fans out there? Did you know that after you hear your flight
on the scanner, you can enter the flightnumber on the Web and actually see your flight in progress, graphically, over the
continental United States, its position,heading, air speed, and altitude at:

http://www.thetrip.com/usertools/flighttracking/
graphical/find/form/0,1346,1-1,00.html

2690. Re: New/ Pro2006/"equivalent" = Commtel 205

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: aciesynski@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122223054.23916.00001341@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 130347

All you have to do is buy a power supply for the us version 2006 and replace that with the 240 volt one, as the 120volt version is around $20.

2691. RE: WHAT IS BEST DEMOD FOR VHF/UHF PAGERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: RAMSTA <ramsta@cts.com>
Message-ID: <01be4681$31390ae0$330878d8@ramsta.inetworld.net>
Source record: 130348

@cts.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for the best unit to demod pagers on the VHF/UHF freqs.
Thanks,

Mike/KC8CU/San Diego ramsta@cts.com

2692. FA: AOR AR2700 Scanner & others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John in Roseville CA 95678 <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <AEbq2.50$Oa3.475305@nnrp2.ni.net>
Source record: 130349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scanners for auction...

http://cgi3.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=john01999

2693. Re: cellular reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Smith <bs@BSartist.com>
Message-ID: <78bgpo$jqc@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130350

Can you be sure the original poster is in the US?

--
Bill Smith | n2asa
bs@BSartist.com | http://bsartist.com

bill conduit wrote in message <36A78F7D.D7047154@netcom.com>...
>
>
>jeff watkins wrote:
>
>> Can anyone tell me how to tune both sides of a cellular transmission on
>> my MVT-7100? I receive one side very well but never the other side of
>> the
>> conversation. Thanks in advance.
>
> Your admission here is going to trigger many
> searhces to find you....what you are doing
> is illegal. They have laws against this
> kind of stuff and I am sure that what
> you are doing is benign and wholesome
> fun...but the feds will not view it this way.
>
> any of you people who think try to THINK
> better....
>
> ...what you are admitting to is a federal offense.
>
> ...best you get rid of that device and let the
> world know it's been "goned" or "broken"
> or "LOST" or ?
>
> never post stupidity like this. IT's worse
> than posting about Le Stinke.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
>
>

2694. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: petermaus@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122225818.13621.00001285@ng18.aol.com>
Source record: 130351

>> Watson wrote:
>> >
>> > Is there anyway to modify a scanner to transmit onto the frequency that
>> > you are listening to?
>> > thanks,
>> > justin
>>
>> How can you even ask such a stupid question???!!!
>
>It's evident that the phrase ,"the dumbing down of America" has it's roots in
>the American educational system.

No...just too much Gilligan's Island in the culture.

2695. Uniden BC 144XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: atukolm@www.xoommail.com
Message-ID: <36a94825.7935359@news.toolcity.net>
Source record: 130352

A friend gave me their 144Xl to program for them. but when I enter
the freq. for each channel, all channels become that freq. I cannot
program just one channel at a time.
Any ideas or help?
thanks,
Mike

2696. Re: Cordless phones all bad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36A947BF.39C9B1F7@flash.net>
Source record: 130353

Go with 2.4 ghz. 3 times the range with nice signal

Danny wrote:

> I am in the market for a 900 MHz dss phone with a digital answer
> machine. I was looking at a Sony SPPA 967 and herd that there were
> problems with the Sonys switching channels too much which produces
> continuous clicking. Others talk about echo problems with other
> brands and Unidens with bad batteries. I was looking at a Uniden EXS
> 9800. Does anyone have any opinions on these phones are a telephone
> with answer machine that they really like?

2697. Kentucky RIPOFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36A947EE.B2AF1116@flash.net>
Source record: 130354

radiocall@usa.net wrote:

> ADDRESS WARNING !!! THIS IS TOM KASEY's ADDRESS DO NOT BUY ANYTHING FROM THIS
> OR SIMILAR ADDRESSES. TOM KASEY IS A KNOWN ACTIVE RIPPER. HE IS ALSO USING
> THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS : 1048 E KENTUCKY STR., KY 40202
>
> Stay Safe!!
> Tom Jefferson
> radiocall@usa.net
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2698. WTB: Pro 2006 with all mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36A94919.CB888F28@flash.net>
Source record: 130355

I have a Motorola HT1000 uhf 16 channel that I would like to trade. You must throw in some cash but I will make a deal. Must be clean and work perfect as my portable is nice. I will program or give you a copy of rss

I have 2 of these portables and will work a deal for one with the Opto board

2699. Re: FS: Sporty's JD100 aviation scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36A94991.A669FEF@flash.net>
Source record: 130356

How about a trade for a portable Kodak PhotoCD and audioCD player with
all the extras?

Ken Guttman wrote:

> I am selling my Sporty's JD-100 airband scanner. It has about 40 hours
> of use and is in excellent condition. Original box, manual, etc.
> 20-Channel memory, range: civilian 118.000 - 142.975 MHz, military
> 220.000 - 399.975 MHz. Asking $80 including shipping to US/Canada, or
> best offer. Email kguttman@ibm.net if interested.

2700. Re: RS Scanner Antennas (20-014)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36A95ED4.D05DD1B8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130357
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

HOUNDDOG wrote:

> There are three left at the RS store on Union and Foxworthy in San Jose, CA.
> The price is $6.97 on closeout.

Helluva deal, I just picked up the last two in Salt Lake City.
In fact I'm putting one together now... Great fun..

Jon

2701. Re:John Mackey - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78bn88$5rs$0@207.18.224.63>
Source record: 130358

On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 04:12:48 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>I waws off for 3 days Phil! I guess you are wrong again!
>

John,

It is obvious that being off your medication for 3 days, as
you have posted, causes your multiple personality
disorder. Please John, get back on your meds.

2702. trouble with cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Seth Tucker <mensch@systec.com>
Message-ID: <01be4688$2468d820$2186cea7@default>
Source record: 130359

i just bought a bc200xlt i was told it was cell modified. iam getting it but i am only grtting one way conversations. and what i do get is very static does any body help me with some advice. i hear people say that they can here theese freq. clear as a bell please e mail me thank you

2703. Re: John Mackey - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <36a95c1f.6335791@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 130360

On Fri, 22 Jan 1999 09:58:53 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

<snip>

>BTW - I am not the "King of Radio", that is Jeff Potter, K7OP!

No, you are only the Court Jester and resident simpleton of the news
group.

How sad :(

2704. January Issue of CB Radio Story Ezine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougd@cros.net
Message-ID: <36a971c5.22563504@news.cros.net>
Source record: 130361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello everyone,

The January 1999 Issue of CB Radio Story Ezine has been transferred to
the archives. Read all the back issues there.
http://www.cros.net/dougd/cb.htm

#

--
Sex Sites Are Not The Only Ones That Can Pull In
$1,000 to $5000 a Week Using The Power Of
Instant Access! FREE Report mailto:alive@profitsecrets.com

2705. Data Decoding FAQ and Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@cts.com
Message-ID: <36a96f98.132708462@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 130362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jan 22, 1999 SCANDATA.FAQ 01/22/1999

This article/file is for the benefit of all.

(c) 1998 (All Rights Reserved) by:
Bill Cheek and -COMMtronics Engineering-

This file is for personal use only and may NOT be placed on
a CD-ROM nor any other media that conveys, stores, or
transports for any monetary cost including shipping &
handling, without expressed permission of the author. This
file can only be given away, absolutely free of charge, no
strings attached. If given away, it MUST be conveyed intact,
word-for-word with no editing, additions or deletions,
except as may be authorized in writing by the author.

-Bill Cheek-
Author

NOTE: This Frequently-Asked-Questions (FAQ) file or article
is one of a series of FAQs that I regularly publish.
The complete list of FAQs is given below. If this one
doesn't answer your questions, try one or more of the
following additional FAQs:

1. Scanner Modifications FAQ: SCANMODS.FAQ
2. Scanner Data Decoder FAQ: SCANDATA.FAQ <--- This FAQ
3. Scanner Performance FAQ: SCANPERF.FAQ
4. Scanner S-Meter FAQ: SCANSMTR.FAQ
5. Scanner Radios FAQ: SCANRADS.FAQ
6. Administrative FAQ: ADMIN.FAQ

You can download the latest of any of my FAQs directly from my
FTP site as follows:

ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek

==========
Bill Cheek's Scanner Data Decoding
Frequently Asked Questions File
==========

Contents
=========

01: What is a 'Discriminator Output' and 'baseband audio'?
02: How can I find the Discriminator Output in my scanner?

03: How do I connect to the Discriminator Output?
04: How do I build or acquire a SIMPLE decoder interface?
05: How do I build or acquire a BETTER decoder interface?
06: So how do I decode digital data from the airwaves?
07: How can I decode CTCSS, DPL, and DTMF from the airwaves?
08: Is it possible to "TrunkTrack" without a TrunkTracker?
09: What if I don't want to build my own Data Decoder Interface?

Questions and Answers

==========
01: What is a 'Discriminator Output' and 'baseband audio'?

There is a growing interest in tapping the baseband audio out of various scanners for decoding of a variety of esoteric signals, including CTCSS, SCA, FSK, RTTY, FAX, Pager data, and trunked system control data, etc. By and large, such signals cannot be taken from TAPE REC jacks, headphone jacks, and EXT SPEAKER jacks because of the voice-band filters that are between the signal source and these output jacks.

Therefore, it is necessary to tap the "baseband audio" directly at the output of the discriminator chip for your scanner.

==========
02: How can I find the Discriminator Output in my scanner?

Table 1 shows a list of scanners, the discriminator chip(s) used in the scanner, circuit symbols of those chips, and the baseband audio (discriminator output) pin.

If your scanner isn't listed in Table 1, then refer to Table 2 to find its discriminator chip. The chips listed in Table 2 are all known Discriminators used in scanners and wide coverage receivers.

Between Tables 1 and 2, you should be able to find the discriminator and its output pin for any reasonably modern scanner.

Corrections and additions to these lists are requested. See my email and other addresses at the end of this file.

TABLE 1: SCANNER DISCRIMINATOR CHIP PINS OF INTEREST
Updated 11/28/98

SCANNER	DISCR/DET CKT CHIP TYPE	BASEBAND SYMBOL	AUDIO PIN
unknown	MPS5071	n/a	9
AR-1000	TA-7787AF	IC-4	9
AR-2002	MC-3357P	IC-4	9

AR-2500 TA-7761P IC-13 9
AR-3000 MC-3357P ? 9
AR-800 MC-3361N IC-200 9
AR-900 MC-3361N IC-201 9
AR-950 MC-3361N IC-201 9
AR-8000 NFM TK10489M or-85M U1 11
AR-8000 WFM/AM TA7792F U3 8
BC-80XLT MC3361B0 IC-101 9
BC-100XL MC-3359P IC-1 10
BC-100XLT TK-10421M-2 IC-401 11
BC-140XLT MC-3359P IC-1 10 *
BC-200XLT TK-10421M-2 IC-401 11
BC-205XLT TK-10421M-2 IC-401 11
BC-235XLT MC3361CDR2 IC-2 9
BC-250 ? IC-3 9
BC-2500XLT TK-10930VTL IC-201 12-FM 13-AM
BC-3000 NFM/AM TK-10930V IC-202 12-NFM 13-AM
BC-3000 WFM TK-10489M IC-203 11
BC-350A NJM-3359D-A IC-3 10
BC-400XLT NJM-3359D-A IC-1 10
BC-560XLT NJM-3359D-A IC-1 10
BC-700A NJM-3359D-A IC-3 10
BC-760XLT NJM-3359D-A IC-2 10
BC-800XLT MC-3359P IC-1 10
BC-235XLT MC3361CDR2 IC-2 9 *
BC-8500XLT MC-3361BP IC-9 9
BC-855XLT TK-10421M-2 IC-401 11
BC-890XLT NJM-3359D-A IC-3 10
BC-895XLT MC13371 IC-3 9 *
BC-950XLT NJM-3359D-A IC-2 10
BC-9000XLT MC3361B0 IC-8 9
BC-9000XLT WFM TK10489-MTL IC-9 11
BC-9000XLT AM LA1600A IC7 8 or 9 (?)
BCT-7 MC3361BD IC-2 9
HX-1000 TK-10420 U-201 9
ICF-SC1PC TA3116FN IC-401 9
ICF-SC1 TA3116FN IC-401 9 *
Icom IC-2SRA MC-3372 ? 9 *
Icom R-1 NFM TK-10487 DET-A IC-1 11
Icom R-1 WFM TA-7787AF DET-B IC-1 9
Icom R7100 Said to be "top of R230 on main PCB" *
MR-8100 NJM-3359D-A IC-3 10
MX-5000 MC-3357P IC-4 9
MX-7000 MC-3357P IC-4 9
PRO-2002 MC-3357P IC-101 9
PRO-2003 MC-3357P IC-104 9
PRO-2004 NFM/AM TK-10420 IC-2 9 (TP4)
PRO-2004 WFM KB4419A IC-1 6 (TP3)
PRO-2005 NFM/AM TK-10420 IC-2 9 (TP2)
PRO-2005 WFM KA2243N/HA12413 IC-1 10 (TP1)

PRO-2006 NFM/AM TK-10420 IC-2 9 (TP2)
PRO-2006 WFM KA2243N/HA12413 IC-1 10 (TP1)
PRO-2011 TK-10420 IC-1 9
PRO-2020 MC-3357P IC-101 9
PRO-2021 TK-10420 IC-2 9
PRO-2022 MC-3361N IC-1 9
PRO-2023 NJM-3359D-A ? 10
PRO-2024 MC-3361N IC-2 9
PRO-2025 NJM-3359D-A IC-1 10
PRO-2026 NJM-3359D-A IC-7 10
PRO-2027 MC-3361N IC-2 9
PRO-2028 NJM-3359D-A IC-2 10
PRO-2030 NJM-3359D-A IC-3 10
PRO-2032 MC-3361 IC-2 9 (TP5)
PRO-2035 NFM/AM TK-10420 IC-2 9 (TP2)
PRO-2035 WFM KA2243N/HA12413 IC-1 10 (TP1)
PRO-2040 MC3361BP IC-2 9
PRO-2041 MC3361N IC-301 9 *
PRO-2042 NFM/AM TK-10420 IC-2 9 (TP2)
PRO-2042 WFM KA2243N/HA12413 IC-1 10 (TP1)
PRO-2050 MC3361CDR2 IC-2 9 *
PRO-23 MC-3361BD IC-1 9
PRO-24 MC3361BP IC-2 9 *
PRO-26 NFM/AM TK-10930V IC-14 12-NFM 13-AM
PRO-26 WFM TK-10489M IC-16 11
PRO-31 TK-10420 IC-1 9
PRO-32 TK-10420 IC-101 9
PRO-34 TK-10420 IC-101 9
PRO-35 TK-10421M-2 IC-401 11
PRO-36 TK-10420 IC-101 9
PRO-37 TK-10420 IC-101 9 (TP103)
PRO-38 MC-3359P IC-1 10
PRO-39 MC-3361N IC-201 9
PRO-41 MC-3359P IC-1 10
PRO-42 MC-3361N IC-2 9
PRO-43 TK-10427/-10420 IC-301 9
PRO-44 MC-3361N IC-201 9
PRO-46 TK-10421M-3LT IC-401 11
PRO-49 MC3361BP IC-2 9
PRO-51 MC-3361BD IC-1 9
PRO-60 ? IC-301 9
PRO-62 KA3361 IC-301 9 *
PRO-64 MC3361N IC-301 9
PRO-66 MC3361N IC-2 9 (TP3) *
PRO-90 MC3361CDR2 IC-2 9
R-1600 NJM-3359D-A IC-2 10
R-4030 TK-10421M-2 IC-401 11
SC1PC TA3116FN IC-401 9
SC1 TA3116FN IC-401 9 *
SR-15 TK-10421D-2 IC-1 9

StandardCCR708A TK-10420D Q602 9 *
TurboScan 2 3130-6056-502 U-201 10 or 16
WiNRADiO NFM MC-3372D U2 9 TP20
WiNRADiO AM/WFM TA-7640AP U5 9 TP29
WiNRADiO SSB LM324M U6 1
Yaesu FRG-9600 MC-3357P ? 9 *
==========
* Not personally verified by me

TABLE 2
DISCRIM OUTPUT
CHIP PIN
========= ======
KA3361 9
MC13371 9
MC3357P 9
MC3359P 10
MC3361 9
MC3372D 9
MPS5071 9
NJM3359DA 10
TA3116FN 9
TA7640AP 9
TA7761P 9
TA7787AF 9
TA7792F 8
TK10420 9
TK10421D 9
TK10421M 11
TK10427 9
TK10485M 11
TK10487 11
TK10489M 11
TK10930V 12
CXA1111N 21 AM/WFM Only
HA12413 9 WFM Only
KA2243N 9 WFM Only
KB4419A 6 WFM Only

Once you locate the discriminator in your scanner, you have to make its output conveniently available on the exterior chassis, much like a headphone or tape recorder jack.

Figure 1 below shows how to determine the pin numbering system for most integrated circuits. Looking at the marking side of the chip, there is either a notch or nook at one end, and/or an embedded "dot" off to one side at one end. The notch, nook, or dot signifies the end that starts with Pin #1 and ends with whatever the highest numbered pin happens to be. Most IC's have 8, 14, 16, or 18 pins.

FIGURE 1
TYPICAL NFM IC CHIP PINOUT



==========
03: How do I connect to the Discriminator Output?

HOOKUP PROCEDURE
==========

If you need the raw Discriminator baseband audio signal for external purposes, the best way to access it is via a jack installed in a convenient, unobtrusive location on the scanner.

1A BASE SCANNERS: Install an RCA or other jack in a desired place on the scanner's external case, typically the rear panel.

1B HANDHELD SCANNERS: If you can find the space, use a standard monaural 1/8" phone jack or a 3/32" mini phone jack. Some scanners are so cramped inside that even these won't fit. In that case a strip of 3 pinline sockets can be melted into the plastic case and wires soldered to the inside protrusions. The middle pinline socket is not connected to anything; it's just there as strength and support for the pinline plug you make, with the shield ground soldered to one end and the audio-center soldered to the other end:

GND---o o o---NFM DSCR (audio)

"Break-apart" pinline plugs/sockets are available from Mouser, DigiKey, and Hosfelt. These little puppies are good for a lot of hacker needs, so get a supply!

2. Connect the shell or outer frame part of the jack to scanner chassis ground. (Use one end socket for pinline connections)

3. Connect the (+) leg of a 2.2-uF to 10-uF tantalum capacitor to the Discriminator output Pin. (Capacitor

should be tantalum, but can vary in value from 1.0-uF to
10-uF at a voltage rating of 16-35 vdc.)

4. Connect the center conductor of a shielded coax (RG-174,
etc) or shielded mic cable to the (-) leg of the
capacitor. NOTE: if the distance from the NFM tap to the
jack is less than 3", then a single unshielded hookup
wire will do fine.

5. Connect the shield of the cable at this end to a nearby
PCB ground trace or spot.

6. Connect the center conductor of the other end of this
cable (or single hookup wire) to the center or hot lug
of the jack.

7. Connect the shield of the cable at that end to the
ground lug of the jack.

8. Fabricate or buy a shielded patch cable with one end to
mate with the new jack on the rear of the scanner at
that end....and the other end to mate with whatever jack
is on the tape recorder, processor, decoder, or whatever
device is to be connected at the other end.

Now you are ready to connect a data decoder interface to the
baseband audio output jack.

==========
04: How do I build or acquire a simple decoder interface circuit?

If you want to decode trunk control, MDT, some pager, fax and/or
other digital signals, you will need to build or buy a simple
2-Level FSK Interface to go between the NFM Discriminator/
Baseband Audio of the scanner and a standard PC serial port.

See Question #5 for a more sophisticated 4-Level FSK Interface.

If you are not capable of building your own, or if you
don't have the time to mess with it, I can supply either
a Kit of all essential parts and detailed instructions,
and you build it yourself, OR I can supply an assembled/
tested Decoder Interface, built inside a DB-25 shell and
connector with a 3 ft shielded cable terminated with an
RCA plug. See Question #9 for details.

The DB-25 shell connects directly to a DB-25 comport on the
PC, or, if your comports are DB-9's, you can get a
DB25-to-DB9 adapter for it. You should, however, be able to
make your own following the below instructions.

This is a tried and true Data Interface circuit that's good
for everything we've tried, including a wide variety of
scanners. External power is not required. This circuit

draws its power from the comport. It is similar to the
"Hamcomm" and "data slicer" interfaces, but is optimized for
more scanners and more applications.

2-LEVEL DATA DECODER INTERFACE SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM
Copyright (c) 1998 Bill Cheek <Revised 12/04/98>



LEGEND:

|
--o-- = soldered connection/junction

--o = turn of the trace in the drawing
|

--|-- = crossover (no connection)
+|[= capacitor, polarized (note the +)
>| = diode (anode->|-cathode)
|< = diode (cathode-|<-anode)
-/\/\/- = resistor
-->>> = ground

NOTES:
1. Use tantalum capacitors, +|[polarity as shown

2. Radio Shack's LM-741 op-amp works fine. Others might not!

3. Use 1/4 or 1/8 watt resistors to conserve space

4. Build circuit on a trimmed and fitted piece of perf board inside a DB-25 shell for max efficiency and space savings. DB-25 connects to PC's comport and a shielded cable from the scanner goes to the input; shield is ground and center is audio.

Printed plans available with precise schematics, drawings, perfboard layout, graphics, wiring, and solder points. Inquire: bcheek@cts.com

5. Many handheld scanners have no space to install a phone jack for the NFM Discriminator Output. For such tight spaces a strip of 3 pinline sockets can be melted into the plastic case and wires soldered to the inside protrusions. The middle pinline socket is not connected to anything; it's just there as strength and support for the pinline plug you make, with the shield ground soldered to one end and the audio-center soldered to the other end:

GND---o o o---NFM DSCR (audio)

6. For base scanners, install a female RCA jack on rear panel.

7. For handheld scanners where there is space, use a 3/32" mini or a 1/8" standard monaural phone plug.

8. 3.3k is an optimized value for most applications. If the baseband audio output is less than 400-mV AC, you can decrease the 3.3k resistor to 2.2k or even 1k if needed.

9. Connect the interface to a comport on the PC. Plug the input cable in to the scanner's NFM Discriminator Output Jack. Tune to a desired signal and run the decoder software of your choice.

10. If you are interested in using the "data decode"
scanner to control or "TrunkFollow" a second scanner,
then run a pair of wires off the Data Decoder Interface
from unused TxD (Pin 2) and Ground (Pin 7) out the back
of the circuit. This pair of wires will feed the RxD
and Ground pins of another COMport on the PC.

==========
05: How do I build or acquire a BETTER decoder interface?

First, understand that you may not need or want a "better"
decoder interface. The 2-Level Data Decoder Interface given
just above is ample for most everything except "FLEX" and
ReFLEX" pager signals. There may be other exceptions, but
they are few and far between. In most cases, the simpler
2-Level FSK Data Decoder Interface will be all you need.

Secondly, understand that the more sophisticated 4-Level
FSK Data Decoder Interface cannot and does not replace the
simpler 2-Level version. If you want the 4-Level interface,
that's fine, but you'll need the 2-Level, too.

If you are not capable of building your own, or if you
don't have the time to mess with it, I can supply either
a Kit of all essential parts and detailed instructions,
and you build it yourself, OR I can supply an assembled/
tested 4-Level Data Decoder Interface, built inside a
project box with a DB-9 output jack on one end and an RCA
jack on the other end. You will need to provide your own
shielded cable terminated with an RCA plug on one end to
mate to the box and whatever connector on the other end to
connect to the NFM Discriminator Baseband Audio Output on
your scanner. You will also need to provide a shielded
straight-thru 9-cond serial cable with a male DB9 plug on
one end to mate to the 4LFSKDDI box and whatever connector
on the other end to mate to the chosen PC serial port. You
may also have to provide your own +/- dual polarity power
supply. (Two 9v batteries can suffice.) See Question #9
for more details.

I'm not sure yet how to present the 4-Level FSK Data Decoder
Interface circuit as a plain ASCII schematic like shown above
for the simpler 2LFSKDDI circuit. So for now, I have to refer
you to the Web Sites that support this circuit with graphics,
text, and/or software support. You should research these sites:

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/6063/
http://www.qsl.net/pa3eik/4lev_fsk.htm
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/4039/
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/4039/PINFO.HTM
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/4039/IINFO.HTM
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/4039/POCFLEX.ZIP
http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/9339/

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/4levelm.gif

Meanwhile, I am working up a detailed Instruction Set for
those who find the above sites a little too technical to
handle. Stay tuned and see Q&A #9.

==========
06: So how do I decode digital data from the airwaves?

First, you tap the Discriminator Output in your scanner.
Then build the desired Data Decoder Interface and connect
it to the Discriminator Output. Then connect the other
side of the Decoder Interface to a com/serial port on your
PC. Lastly, run the software that decodes the particular
type of signal that you want to decode.

In general, it takes different software for different
kinds of signals, and I can't possibly cover them all
here. I will suggest that you start out with a free
program called TRUNKER that decodes the control data of
Motorola trunking systems. This is one of the easiest
to set up and get working; therefore, it makes a good
test "platform" to prove up all your work before you
venture into bigger and better things. Motorola trunk
systems are fairly common now.

TRUNKER and a good set of documentation and limited
support for it can be found at the following Web Sites:

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/beta.htm

or

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/digital.htm

Apparently it is possible to decode certain kinds of data
from the airwaves without a decoder interface - just
software! For info on decoding MDT signals with nothing
more than a Windows PC and a sound card, check out:

http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646/

==========
07: How can I decode CTCSS, DPL, and DTMF from the airwaves?

That is strictly up to specialized hardware and/or
software that kind of goes beyond the scope of this FAQ.
Lots of software is out and about that will do it, and
there are even possibilities for "roll your own"
hardware decoders.

But the first thing you'll need is the "baseband audio"
output that is described in Questions/Answers #1-3
above. The Data Decoder Interface described in Q/A #4 is

good ONLY for trunking and pager types of data; not CTCSS, DPL, and DTMF, unfortunately.

In fact, it takes specialized hardware and/or software to decode each of CTCSS, DPL, and DTMF; and each is as different as night and day.

Some scanners come equipped for CTCSS decoding, but most do not. And none come equipped for DPL or DTMF that I know of.

For more information about retrofitting CTCSS and DPL decoders to your scanner, contact:

Communications Specialists, Inc.
426 West Taft Avenue
Orange, CA 92865-4296
(800) 854-0547 Fax (800) 850-0547
(714) 998-3021 Fax (714) 974-3420
WEB: http://www.com-spec.com/

For a low-cost "roll-your-own" DTMF Decoder (hardware) there is a nice project done up in great detail in one of the back issues of the monthly WORLD SCANNER REPORT newsletter, V3N10. Backissues are always available at a nominal cost. See:

ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek/products/prodinfo.zip

There are other hardware and software solutions for decoding DTMF that I may include here later as I get the information verified and added.

The WiNRADiO Digital Suite is awesomely capable of decoding CTCSS and DTMF. See: http://www.winradio.com

For scanners in general, though, it all starts with a tap to the "baseband audio" point, so read over Q&A 1-3 above for the details.

==========
08: Is it possible to "TrunkTrack" without a TrunkTracker?

It didn't used to be, but times do change and YES! It is now possible to track the trunks without a 'real' TrunkTracker Scanner, and better, for that matter!!!

Like the other subjects in this FAQ, it all begins with a Data Decoder Interface. See Q&A #4 and Note 10 in that answer for the tiny extra addition you need to make to the Decoder Interface.

Next, you need a PRO-2004, PRO-2005, or PRO-2006 scanner, although we hope to add other scanners to the

list in due time. For now, it's one of those three.

NOTE: It is possible to track the trunks with a
AR-8000 and perhaps with one of the Opto
Interfaces, but these are specialized situations
where detailed info must be found elsewhere.

Then you need a CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface (or
its predecessor, the HB-232). You will also need the
hot, new Windows program for the CE/HB-232 called
Pro-Turbo.

Lastly, you need one fairly modern computer, Pentium 133
or better, for the easiest way to track the trunks, but
there is another way almost as easy, if you have two
computers, one a 486DX2/66 or better and the other, a
PC-386 or better.

There are other requirements, too, but the above are the
main ones. It is beyond the scope of this FAQ to spell
out all the details, but the V8N9 issue of the monthly
World Scanner Report tells it all. You can order this
or any other back issue of the World Scanner Report
since Jan-91, including 6-mo and 1-yr subscriptions.

You can also download a more detailed text file on
TrunkFollowing with the PRO-2004/5/6 from my FTP site at:

ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek/ce-232/trnkscan.txt

For more information on the Pro-Turbo software for the
HB/CE-232, contact:

Paul E. Turton
RR # 3; Wainfleet, Ontario Canada L0S 1V0
E-mail: pturton@iaw.on.ca or jabba@iaw.on.ca
Web: http://www.iaw.on.ca/~jabba/pro-turbo.htm

For more information on the CE-232 Interface, you can
download a file called PRODINFO.ZIP from:

ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek/products

or you can view and download all the individual files
from the \CE-232 directory. Or, you can ask for it as
an e-mail file attachment from: bcheek@cts.com

or see the information in my signature box below.

But yes, it is now possible to track the trunks without
a TrunkTracker scanner, and do it better than any of the
"real" TrunkTracker scanners! The cost can be a lot
less than the cost of a real TrunkTracker, too. The cost
largely depends on what you already have, or can

scrounge up, but at worst, it's well within the budget
of many scannists.

==========
09: What if I don't want to build my own Data Decoder Interface?

See further below if you are intested in a 4-Level Data
Decoder Interface. First is info on the 2LFSKDDI:

There isn't much of a technical obstacle to "rolling your
own 2-Level Data Decoder Interface, but if lack of time,
tools, or access to the parts is an obstacle, then you can
acquire one in either of two ways:

1. Kit of essential parts (you build it): $15 + $8 S&H

Includes:
3 ea Capacitor; tantalum; 10-uF/16v:
1 ea D-sub Plastic Hood/shell; DB25
1 ea DB25 connector; female; solder pin
2 ea Resistor; 100-k; 1/4-watt
1 ea Resistor; 3.3-k; 1/4-watt
4 ea Diode; silicon; 1N4148
1 ea Op-amp; LM741CN; 8-pin DIP
1 ea Perfboard; pre-cut, notched, trimmed to size
1 ea Shielded cable; 3-ft; w/RCA plug
1 ea Detailed printed instruction set, complete with
graphics, photos, tables, and "what if's"...
1 ea 3.5" floppy disk w/shareware & freeware decoder
programs and utilities.

Optional upgrade or add-on items:

* A. Shielded cable; 6-ft; w/RCA plug + $2.00
* B. Shielded cable; 12-ft; w/RCA plug + $4.00
C. Port Adapter; DB25 male-to-DB9 female + $7.50
(adapts 25-pin Interface to 9-pin comport)

* Instead of the standard 3-ft cable w/RCA plug

-=OR=-

2. Assembled/tested Decoder Interface $40 + $8 S&H

Includes:
1 ea Data Decoder Interface built into a DB25 shell
with standard 3-ft shielded cable w/RCA plug.
1 ea Detailed printed instruction set, complete with
graphics, photos, tables, and "what if's"...
1 ea 3.5" floppy disk w/shareware & freeware decoder
programs and utilities.

Optional upgrade or add-on items:

A. Built with 6-ft shielded cable and RCA plug + $2.00
B. Built with 12-ft shielded cable and RCA plug + $4.00
C. Port Adapter; DB25 male-to-DB9 female + $7.50
(adapts 25-pin Interface to 9-pin comport)
D. Custom enhancements/designs per your specs +$10/up

TO ORDER: Use e-mail, fax, or postal mail per the
information in my signature box below.
MC/VISA or M.O. preferred. Personal and
company checks okay, but shipment delayed
until bank clearance. No COD's and no
shipments to PO Boxes or mail drops. Alaska,
Hawaii and foreign shipping extra.

TERMS: All sales are final; no returns-no refunds.
30-day Performance Warranty on the Assembled/
Tested Decoder Interface is strictly limited to
Motorola SmartNet trunked data signals using
TRUNKER.EXE despite that it will work on many
other kinds of data, too. We just can't support
it all. Repair or replacement at our option
during that 30-day period. No warranty on Kits.

If you are intested in a 4-Level FSK Data Decoder Interface
contact me privately for more information. I'm not ready to
go public with Instruction Sets, Kits, and/or Assembled and
Tested units yet, but.....I may have available a prototype
unit or two that have been proved fit for consumption. I might
also have partial Kits or bags of most of the essential parts.

Soon, I plan to make available one or more of the following:

1. Instruction Set Only - you work from it. Price: TBA
2. Kit of Essential Parts, less power supply. Price: TBA
3. Kit of Essential Parts, incl power supply. Price: TBA
4. Assembled/Tested 4LFSKDDI, less power supply. Price: TBA
5. Assembled/Tested 4LFSKDDI, incl power supply. Price: TBA

==========
That's it for now. I will keep this FAQ updated. If you
see things that need to be added to it, by all means, let me
know. If this FAQ fails to address your question(s), then
hit me with 'em again, but be specific. And be detailed
with symptoms and observations if you need tech support on
mods or troubleshooting and diagnosis. Use e-mail.

Copyrighted (c) 1999 (All rights reserved) Revised 01/22/99

---------- --
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \ /
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 \ /
Voice: 619-578-9247 (1:30-5:30pm, PST, weekdays \/
Fax: 619-578-9247 (any time) /\

```
E-mail: bcheek@cts.com / \
ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- --

========================END OF FILE============================
```

2706. Scanner Modifications FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@cts.com
Message-ID: <36aa70f4.133056517@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 130363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jan 22, 1999 SCANMODS.FAQ 01/22/1999

This article/file is for the benefit of all.

Copyrighted (c) 1995-98 (All Rights Reserved) by:
Bill Cheek and -COMMtronics Engineering

This file is for personal use only and may NOT be placed on
a CD-ROM nor any other media that conveys, stores, or
transports for any monetary cost including shipping &
handling, without expressed permission of the author. This
file can only be given away, absolutely free of charge, no
strings attached. If given away, it MUST be conveyed intact,
word-for-word with no editing, additions or deletions, except
as may be authorized in writing by the author.

-Bill Cheek-
Author

NOTE: This Frequently-Asked-Questions (FAQ) file or article is one of a
series of FAQs that I regularly publish. The complete list of FAQs
is given below. If this one doesn't answer your questions, try
one or more of the following additional FAQs:

1. Scanner Modification FAQ: SCANMODS.FAQ <--- This FAQ
2. Scanner Data Decoder FAQ: SCANDATA.FAQ
3. Scanner Performance FAQ: SCANPERF.FAQ
4. Scanner S-Meter FAQ: SCANSMTR.FAQ
5. Scanner Radios FAQ: SCANRADS.FAQ
6. Administrative FAQ: ADMIN.FAQ

You can download the latest of any of my FAQs directly from my
FTP site as follows:

ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek/faqs

==========
Bill Cheek's Scanner Modifications
Frequently Asked Questions File
==========

Contents
==========

01: How do I restore cellular in my scanner ?
02: What about cellular restoration ads scanners after 3/94?
03: Are any mods available for my scanner

04: What mods do you have for my scanners?
05: Can you send me "the mod" for my scanner?
06: Where can I find mods for my scanner ?
07: So you mean there are no mods for my scanner ?
08: So how can I do some of these mods?
09: Memory mods? How can I increase the channels?
10: How do I tell a SRAM chip from an EEPROM from CPU RAM?
11: My scanner has an SRAM; how do I do the mod?
12: Do you do scanner modifications like memory mods?
13: I'm not interested in all your mods - just one. Will
you photocopy the information for me?
14: So how do I restore cellular in the PRO-2006?
15: How can I improve my reception capabilities?
16: How can I install the military aero band in my scanner?
17: How can I modify my scanner to follow trunked systems?
18: My PRO-2004 has problems, first mild and now severe. It
has been repaired several times. Is it fixable?
19: Can my scanner be interfaced to a computer for remote
and automated control, as well as for programming of
the memory channels by the computer? Can the
interface acquire data from the scanner and send it to
the computer?
20: What's a 'discriminator output' and a 'data slicer'
21: What books have you written, generally what topics do
they cover, are they still in print, what do they cost,
and are they available to order at any web site?

==========
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
==========

01: How do I restore cellular in my scanner ?

Forget it, pal. It ain't going to happen. The TDDRA of 1994
(an Act of Congress) expressly forbids the manufacture or
importing into the USA of "easily modified for cellular" scanners
after April, 1994. Manufacturers were required to remove cellular
capability from the scanners' CPUs altogether. Sorry, cellular
coverage is not possible if your scanner was made or imported after
March, 1994.

But here is a list of all those pre-1994 scanners of which I am
aware that ARE capable of cellular restoration:

PRO-2004 BC-855XLT *3 Regency 4030
PRO-2005 BC-200XLT Regency 1600
PRO-2006 BC-205XLT
PRO-2022 BC-760XLT *4
PRO-2026 *2 BC-700XLTA *4
PRO-2027 BC-890XLT *4
PRO-2030 BC-950XLT
PRO-2032 BC-2500XLT *3

PRO-34
PRO-37
PRO-39
PRO-43 *1
PRO-46 *2 *5
PRO-51 *2
PRO-23 *2

Note 1: Date of Mfg code 1A4, 2A4, xA3, xA2 (label in battery compment), or no "A" in the serial no.

Note 2: Date of mfg code 1A4, 2A4, -A3, -A2 (label on back).

Note 3: Date of mfg code must not end in the letter "D", except for OAID.

Note 4: Date of mfg code must not end in the letter "D".

Note 5: Units with date code of 5A4 can tune cellular in 30 kHz Steps using a keyboard entry sequence.

For instance, PRO-43's imported before March, 1994, are modifiable, while some brought in during March and all thereafter are impossible to modify. Date codes of any -A2 or -A3 and only 1A4 and 2A4 are assured of being modifiable. 3A4 and up probably aren't.

The above list does not include those scanners that were naturally capable of receiving the full 800 MHz band, without modification. The below list of radios had relatively continuous coverage that includes cellular:

J.I.L. SX-400 Yaesu FRG-9600 AOR-8000 *
Regency MX-4000 AOR-950 Icom R-7100 *
Regency MX-5000 AOR-1000 Icom R-1
Regency MX-7000 AOR-1500 Icom R-100
Yaesu FRG-9600 AOR-2500 Icom R-9000
Kenwood RZ-1 AOR-3000 * Icom R-7000
Uniden BC-800XLT

* pre-1994 only

Some of these radios, if still current, had the cellular removed by the factory after March, 1994. There may be possibilities of restoring cellular in later models of the AR-8000, AR-3000, and ICOM radios by replacing an EEPROM or reprogramming one, but that is beyond the scope of this FAQ, and in general, should not be considered feasible.

==========
02: What about cellular restoration ads for post 3/94 scanners?

Yes, I used to see magazine ads to "restore" cellular or to "unlock 800 MHz" in newer scanners. Gasp! This is almost a deception. See, these ads were for the "VD" mod.

Virtual Downconversion - better than a venereal disease, but not by much. To my way of thinking, it is an inferior method of "unlocking" the cellular bands because:

A. They're not really unlocked. VD is a trick of turning off one oscillator and turning on another. Typically, they set the scanner to the 400 MHz band, and then turn off the normal 400 MHz Local Oscillator and turn on the one for 800 MHz to make cellular receivable on the 400 MHz display.

B. There is no logical correlation between the actual cellular frequency and the displayed frequency. It varies from one radio to the next, but suppose 880.000 MHz comes in. It might be received/ displayed on 432.6775 MHz. Duh....??

C. The full cellular bands of 823.950-849.000 and 868.950-894.000 are not available by this method. In fact, only a small part of one or the other (often not both) is available, like maybe 10-20 MHz of the total.

D. There is no 30 kHz stepping. You're limited to the default CPU "rules" for the band in which the cellular appears, typically 5 kHz or 12.5 kHz.

E. The exact results of the VD mod, generally described above, depend on the scanner. Results vary from one to the next. None are what I'd call "good" or even acceptable.

The good thing that can be said for the VD mod is that it is the ONLY way to get any cellular at all from post March-1994 scanners. So, the advertisements that you may have seen are not exactly "fraud".....but you sure don't get much.

==========
03: Are any mods available for my scanner ?

You may have the wrong impression about scanner modifications and hacking. Mods are not "available" in the sense that they can be found on a store shelf, or laying on the top of someone's mind who can tell you in so many words or less how to turn that a Snakebite X101 scanner into a fire-breathing, rip-snorting behemoth of a TurboWhopper.

All scanners can be modified for something; generally a dozen or more things. But only a few scanners have anything written about them in detail. And you have to know where to LOOK. You can't just up and ask for "mods".

Well, you *can*, but you won't get a substantive reply.

It takes me several days to several weeks and sometimes
MONTHS to work up and write about a hotshot mod for a
decent scanner, and after THAT level of effort, it's not
possible to make it "available" off my fingertips. Continue
reading below. There are answers to your question.

==========
04: What mods do you have for my scanners?

I wish I knew what people mean by: "what mods do you have..."
I don't "have" mods, exactly.....

I wrote three books and eight years worth of the monthly WORLD
SCANNER REPORT that feature mods for a variety of scanners.
With over 900 scanners ever marketed, I don't pretend to cover
them all.....just, a fraction.

Many of "my mods" can work with most any scanner.... but there is
no way I can write explicit instructions for more than the bench
models on which I develop mods. I can and do offer hints on
how to apply these mods to other scanners but again, not having
the scanner in front of me and not having the Service Manual,
there is little I can do other than hint.

I have to leave it to the user to study the published materials
and then ask specific questions to which I am happy to provide
specific answers...if I can. That's about as good as it gets.

You are strongly encouraged to thumb through my books and through
the back and current issues of the WORLD SCANNER REPORT to see
what interests you and to pick topical material from which you
can phrase specific questions that have possibilities of reply.

As it is, all I can say at this point is that DOZENS of mods are
possible for your scanners, but I have to leave it to you to do
the legwork and research. And when you have specific questions,
then I can answer them.

==========
05: Can you send me "the mod" for my scanner?

Well, what is "the" mod? There are dozens of possible mods
for your scanner. Regardless, the answer in general is no, I
don't "send" mods. I have published hundreds of mods for various
scanners and other radios in my books; in the monthly World
Scanner Report, and some as text files on my Web and FTP sites.
You can exhaust these resources to your heart's content.

Due to the overwhelming flood of requests for this kind of
information, I can't do personal and custom research. There just
isn't time in the day for that workload. If it's free info you
want, try looking up scanner Web and FTP sites. If you don't mind
paying for better quality info, then try books and magazines.

You can also try the Usenet REC.RADIO.SCANNER newsgroup where modification information is sometimes published. It's free if you have a connection to the Internet and a Usenet reader/editor program.

==========
06: Where can I find mods for my Brand-X scanner ?

Mostly you can't. There are only a handful of scanners ever made that were worth focused research and development. Those scanners include:

PRO-2004 PRO-2005 PRO-2006 PRO-2035 PRO-2042
PRO-2022 PRO-43 PRO-34 PRO-37 BC-200XLT
PRO-26 PRO-39 BC-3000 PRO-64 PRO-2041

You can find occasional articles and files on "mods" for other scanners not mentioned above, but they are the exception to the rule. Start by looking up scanner Web and FTP sites, and peruse their quick-links to other sitestes:

Many scanner Web Sites have quicklinks to dozens of other sites that may contain a file or two on generic "Snakebite" scanners. But don't get your hopes up.

Now here is the problem: I am one of the few, (if not the ONLY) engineers seriously committed to scanner mods.

Yet, there are over 900 scanners on the historical list now. It is physically impossible for people like me to dedicate attention to any but a few. We have to choose among the more popular scanners, you see....certainly the ones that interest us. Now what scanners do you suppose engineers and technicians will find "interesting"? Not many, pal.... not many.

The above list of scanners reaches out to tens or hundreds of thousands of owners. There is no time left to work on generic "SnakeBite" scanners that have little to offer, even after hours of hard work! But take cheer and read on.....

==========
07: So you mean there are no mods for my scanner ?

More or less I mean that, but, that's not to say yours can't be modified. It can! It's just that there is nothing published for it; nothing in the way of step-by step instructions with graphics, photos, and details.

No one would go to the time and trouble, you see? The preponderance of published hacking information is for those scanners listed in Question 05 above. Other scanners just aren't interesting enough for professional investigation.

An engineer who is capable of designing super-hacks for that "SnakeBite" scanner isn't going to own one! Instead, he will own

one in the Question 05 list, one that is eminently capable of
some very powerful modifications in the first place.

Now I said your "SnakeBite" can be hacked. It can.

But you are more or less on your own in the sense that you can't
be directed to a "shopping list" of mods from which you can pick
and choose. There is no such list. Any hope for you is to first
figure out what you want to do, and ask specific questions.

I can give you a few answers in advance:

No.

No, your scanner is likely not capable of cellular; scanners made
or imported after March, 1994, are not cellular-capable. Most scanners
are not likely to be capable of extra memory; additional frequency
coverage; and things of that nature that pretty much require factory
design in the first place. (See ahead for Memory Modifications)

Yes.

Yes, your "SnakeBite" may be capable of certain mods, a few
of which include the following: (You wanted a list?)

1. Tape Recorder Jack
2. Automatic Tape Recorder Switch (beats VOX!)
3. Extended Delay
4. Tone Decoder-Rejector Countermeasure
5. CTCSS mod
6. S-Meter
7. Scanner-to-Shortwave Interface
8. Carrier-On-Indicator
9. Audio Improvements
10. Beep volume or disable
11. Squelch Improvements
12. Remote Controller
13. Discriminator output for data decoding
See Question 18 - this can be done to all scanners
14. Event or "hit" Counter
15. Shielding of plastic cases
16. External DC power
17. Improved Adjacent Channel Rejection
18. Speed up of SCAN & SEARCH modes
19. Back light modifications

Then, maybe...depending....on the scanner.... the following
digital and/or esoteric enhancements.....???

20. Interfacing to a computer
21. Subsidiary Carrier (SCA)
22. Extended Memory to 25,600-channels & up
23. 54-88 MHz restoration

24. Data/Tone/Noise Rejection
25. Trunk "Tracking" - maybe.
25. Cellular restoration (See Question 01)

==========
08: So how can I do some of these mods?

That's a problem. No one has written them up for any but a few scanners. About the only way I can think of is to get one or more of my three books and a subscription to the WORLD SCANNER REPORT. Research and study up on the mods we've developed for other scanners, and then compare the procedures for that specific scanner to yours. Often, when I write up a mod, I give hints on how to apply it to other scanners.

Also, if you ask me *specific* questions, I can give specific answers. If you ask "how to do a memory mod", I'll have to refer you to something already in print or published somewhere. If you are doing a memory mod and run into trouble, then I can help you with that trouble.

==========
09: Memory mods? How can I increase the programmable channels?

That depends on what kind of memory storage is used by your scanner. There are three kinds:

1. On-board CPU RAM (Random Access Memory)
2. Static Random Access Memory (SRAM)
3. EEPROM Electrically Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory.

The first kind is most prevalent and there is no way to expand that kind of memory. It is, however, usually limited to scanners with 50 channels or less of programmable memory. 100-channels and up are usually done by methods 2 or 3. So your first step is to determine what kind of memory is used by your scanner.

EEPROM memory is expandable, but very difficult under most circumstances. SRAM is the easiest and offers the largest expansion capabilities. For example, the PRO-2004, PRO-2005, and PRO-2006 can be expanded to 25,600 programmable channels (and more)! The PRO-32, PRO-34, PRO-37, PRO-39 & PRO-2022 can go to 12,800- channels and beyond. The BC-760XLT, BC-560XLT, and a few others can be taken to 6,400 channels and more. The PRO-2035 and PRO-2042 can be taken to 16,000 channels and beyond. The PRO-2041 and PRO-64 are good for upwards of 25,600 channels.....

My Extended Memory Modifications are amply documented in excruciating detail in my books and in the WORLD SCANNER REPORT, complete with diagrams, photos, and many pages of instructions such that most casual hobbyists who know a soldering iron from a tire iron can do the work. This kind of mod is not suited to being given out in an e-mail or a forum message in so many words

or less. You will need to acquire the instructions before doing the work.

==========
10: How do I tell a SRAM chip from an EEPROM from CPU RAM?

If you can't do this on your own or after the below explanation, you are probably not a candidate for such a wild adventure at this stage of your hacking career.

Most CPUs hold a small amount of RAM (random-access- memory), sufficient to store anywhere from 10 to 50, maybe a hundred channels or so. This is the case for most scanners, so there is no way to increase that memory. Fortunately, there are two other methods of RAM used by a few scanners that offer possibilities for expansion.

EEPROM: The least common and least expansive is EEPROM (electrically erasable programmable read-only-memory). The PRO-43, PRO-26, BC-2500XLT, BC-200XLT, BC-100XLT and BC-3000XLT, for example, use EEPROM programmable memory. It is possible to expand EEPROM-types of memory, though not by such huge proportions as the SRAM type. EEPROMs are usually tiny little chips with 8-pins.

SRAM: A handful of scanners use a separate SRAM (static random-access-memory) chip for storage of frequencies and custom channel settings. It is this type of memory that can be easily and economically expanded by factors of 2, 4, 8, 16 and even 64 times the original volume! Scanners known to employ SRAM memory include the following:

PRO-2004 PRO-2021 PRO-32 BC-760XLT
PRO-2005 PRO-2022 PRO-34 BC-950XLT
PRO-2006 PRO-2032 PRO-37 BC-590XLT
PRO-2035 PRO-2041 PRO-39 BC-600XLT
PRO-2042 PRO-64

There may well be other scanners that use SRAM memory, but either I forgot about them or don't know. If you're curious about your scanner's potential for memory expansion, you can just about forget it for those with fewer than 100-channels. The CPU's RAM easily handles 10 to 100 channels or so. If your scanner is not on the above list, but has 50 or more channels, then look over its schematic diagram and parts list or scrutinize its Logic/CPU Board for any of the following types of SRAM chips:

uPD446G-15 TC-5517CF-20 LC-3517BM-15
CXK5864BP MB-8416-20LPF BR6265BF HY6264

or something similar, disregarding the last few digits and letters. An SRAM chip is generally characterized by a rectangular shape with 24 to 32 pins, each of the longest sides holding half

the pins. An SRAM chip in a schematic diagram will be characterized by eighteen or more of its pins going straight to the CPU chip. Any chip with less than 24-pins is not likely to be an SRAM; neither is any chip in a square format. SRAMs are rectangular (DIP or SMT) with 24 to 32 pins. Pinout notations of SRAM chips will include mystic codes such as:

A0, A1...A9, R/W, CE, I01, I02...I08, OE, etc.

==========
11: My scanner has an SRAM; how do I do the mod?

Get the published instructions for Extended Memory Mods. These instructions are much too detailed to include as basic text files, and in most cases, the articles are copyrighted anyway. Start by reviewing my free Table of Contents and Index to the World Scanner Report where you will find articles on memory mods. Get at least one of my books to supplement the WORLD SCANNER REPORT. The ULTIMATE SCANNER book is a good choice.

The Table of Contents and Index to the World Scanner Report can be found on my Web and FTP sites. They're also contained in my product information file: PRODINFO.ZIP

I can send these files by e-mail upon request, or they can be downloaded from my Web and FTP sites.

Since all SRAM chips are much alike, my instructions for one scanner generally apply to all, and are not too difficult to follow, provided you can find the SRAM chip in the first place.

==========
12: Do you do scanner modifications like memory mods?

Sure. Contact me privately and we can go from there. One note, though: I don't do cellular mods anymore. The FCC has gotten persnickety about that sort of thing. In general, I don't do speedup mods anymore because they cause more problems than the speed increase is worth.

==========
13: I'm not interested in all your mods - just one. Will you photocopy the information for me?

Not if it's in a copyrighted publication. My publishers own the copyrights to my books and my company owns the copyrights to material published in the World Scanner Report. It would be unethical, illegal, or both, for me to make copies.

If your budget is tight, you can in many cases get basic information for free from Web and FTP sites. The real good stuff generally comes only in books, magazines, newsletters, and such, like Popular Communications, Monitoring Times and the World Scanner Report. It is not always necessary to buy information

in order to do mods. On the other hand, the published mods are NOT the low degree sort of thing you find on BBS's and Internet sites with a few sentences or paragraphs and maybe an ASCII drawing if you're lucky.

My mods are detailed and well documented with graphics, including schematic diagrams, photos, and illustrations. This kind of work can't come for free, though.

On the other hand, there may be no cost if all you want are the simple mods like the cellular mod to the PRO-2006.

==========
14: So how do I restore cellular in the PRO-2006?

1. Remove the top cover of the scanner.

2. Look down inside the front panel behind the #3 key of the keyboard, and clip the exposed leads of the two coppery colored diodes standing on end. One restores cellular and the other gives a FREE 25% speedup of the SCAN function.

3. Replace top cover.

See, that's the kind of stuff that comes for free.

==========
15: How can I install the military aero band in my scanner?

You can't, and that's that. If your scanner didn't come with the military aero band (220-400 MHz), then there is no way under the sun of "restoring" it. You can't restore what ain't there.

==========
16: How can I modify my scanner to follow trunked systems?

You can't, not exactly. Each trunked system is as different as night and day with respect to other trunked systems, even from the same vendor.

It is possible for the hobbyist to gain some skill and fortitude in following trunked system conversations. These techniques are scattered around in various written works, from books, magazine articles, and prefaces in frequency directories...to text files on various BBS's and Internet archives. These techniques, hints, tips, and kinks cannot be recited here in so many words or less. One good starting point might be the preface material in any recent edition of Radio Shack's POLICE CALL books. But in a word, you cannot alter your scanner to follow trunked signals.

Uniden's and Radio Shack's TrunkTracker series of scanners are specifically designed to follow Motorola trunking systems.

There is some interesting software appearing around the Internet these days....that may be useful in trunk following. Check out

these web sites for interesting possibilities if you don't want to cough up for a Uniden TrunkTracker:

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/digital.htm
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/beta.htm

See Question 18 for more info on this possibility.

==========
17: Can my scanner be interfaced to a computer for remote and automated control, as well as for programming of the memory channels by the computer? Can the interface acquire data from the scanner and send it to the computer?

In a word, yes. Many scanners "can" be interfaced to a computer for one-way control and automated programming of the memory channels. These scanners include:

PRO-2004 PRO-2005 PRO-2006 PRO-2035 PRO-2042 PRO-2041
PRO-2022 PRO-34 PRO-37 PRO-39 PRO-43 PRO-26
PRO-64

Probably quite a few others are possible - most, really.

There are three scanners that are capable not only of the one-way mode of remote control and automated programming, but also of a "data acquisition" mode where the scanner sends its display (channel, freq, bank, mode, delay, lockout) and other data to the computer for automated logging and data processing. These scanners are the PRO-2004, PRO-2005, and PRO-2006.

Several companies make scanner/computer interfaces, including Optoelectronics and COMMtronics Engineering.

Each interface has its own unique characteristics, advantages, and limitations, but all are "good" in the sense of freeing the operator from much of the labor and drudgery of scanning. The Optoelectronics interfaces are generally limited to the PRO-2005/6 & PRO-2035/2042.

A "roll your own" computer interface was published in the World Scanner Report, V2N1-V2N6. Another more powerful one was published in my 3rd book, THE ULTIMATE SCANNER (Cheek3).

COMMtronics Engineering's CE-232 Scanner/Computer Interface is in its fifth year and continues to be a front-runner and state of the art. For more info:

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek/

ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek/ce-232

John Montalbano KA2PYJ, seems to have designed a nice one-way interface and control software. I can't vouch for it, but go to the following URL and see for yourself.

http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj/

==========
18: What's a 'discriminator output' and a 'data slicer'

Ahhh, I'm glad you asked. This one is hotter'n a $2 pistol
these days. For gory details, including detailed instructions
on how to take advantage of this hot, new interest, see my file
or regularly posted newsgroup article with the SUBJECT:

"Scanner Data Decoder FAQ" SCANDATA.FAQ

DISCRIMINATOR OUTPUT
==========

But to answer your question in so many words or less,
every scanner has a Discriminator, a circuit usually
little more than a chip, the purpose of which is to
detect narrow band FM (NFM) signals. NFM is the mode
most commonly used above 30 MHz, whether for voice or
data transmissions. Regardless, if it's an NFM signal
of any type, the Discriminator converts that signal into
audio. It's low-level audio, but nevertheless audio.

If it's a voice signal, you'll hear and understand it
as this signal out of the Discriminator gets filtered
and amplified up to speaker volume levels. If it's a
data signal, well you'll hear that, too, but you won't
understand it. Data signals are raucous, nasty sounds
that'll send you scrambling to hit the SCAN or arrow
keys mighty quick.

BASEBAND AUDIO
==========

Unless, of course, decoding that data is among your interests. If
it is, then there are a couple more things you need to know. First,
this Discriminator Output signal is also known as the "baseband
audio". The chief characteristics of the baseband audio are
(1) low level, typically .2-.8 volts, AC), and (2) unfiltered.

Unfiltered? Well, yes. See, the human voice band is considered to
be 300 Hz - 3000 Hz. Signals above and below that range are not
considered essential to the successful transmission of voice, so to
simplify the design of the audio preamplifier and power amplifier in
the receiver, and to cut down on noise and hash, cheap and simple
filters are used to eliminate signals below and above that band. And
for voice, it's no big deal. All communications receivers,
including scanners have some kind of voice band filtering between
the output of the detector/discriminator and the headphones or
speaker.

DECODING DIGITAL DATA
==========

Trouble is....data signals, especially high speed data above 2400-bits/sec (bps), have a bandwidth somewhat wider than the voice band. This simply means that you cannot successfully decode high speed data by tapping the signal off the speaker or headphone jacks. You have to take the signal for decoding right off the output of the Discriminator. Therefore, since decoding data is getting to be interesting and of wide appeal these days, you'll hear a lot of talk about "discriminator outputs" and "baseband audio taps"; one and the same thing.

DATA SLICERS or DECODER INTERFACES
==================================

Last, but not least, in order to decode data signals, you need a bit of extra hardware and some software. The software is more fully discussed in my regular article titled SCANNER DISCRIMINATOR DATA. The extra bit of hardware that's needed is referred to as a "data slicer" or, as I call it, a "Data Decoder Interface".

The Input of this decoder interface or data slicer is fed from the scanner's Discriminator Output. The Output of the decoder interface goes straight to one of the computer's COM or serial ports. Fire up the computer and the software; turn on the scanner and tune in a data channel, and you're in business.

For a circuit diagram and construction details, as well as how to identify and locate the Discriminator in your scanner, see my regular newsgroup article titled SCANNER DATA DECODER FAQ. That'll get you started on the cool, neat, fun world of decoding digital data off the airwaves. You can also get the file from my FTP site at: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek/faqs/scandata.faq

21: What books have you written, generally what topics do they cover, are they still in print, what do they cost, and are they available to order at any web site?

BOOKS
=====
Scanner Modification Handbook, Vol-1, ISBN 0-939780-11-9
Scanner Modification Handbook, Vol-2, ISBN 0-939780-14-3
The Ultimate Scanner (Cheek3) ISBN 1-56866-058-8

Available from leading mail order radio dealers everywhere as well as many radio shops and electronic supply houses.

MONTHLY NEWSLETTER
==================
"The World Scanner Report"
Published 10x/yr since Jan 91
(Subscriptions & back issues available)
Table of Contents at: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek/wsr_news
Keyword Index at: ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek/wsr_news

==

That's it for now. I will keep this FAQ updated. If you see things
that need to be added to it, by all means, let me know. If this FAQ
fails to address your question(s), then hit me with 'em again, but be
specific. And be detailed with symptoms and observations if you need
tech support on mods or troubleshooting and diagnosis. Use e-mail.

Copyrighted (c) 1999 (All rights reserved) Revised 01/22/99

-- --
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \ /
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 \ /
Voice: 619-578-9247 (1:30-5:30pm, PST, weekdays \/
Fax: 619-578-9247 (any time) /\
E-mail: bcheek@cts.com / \
ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- --

==========END OF FILE==========

2707. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990123021834.29480.00001652@ng08.aol.com>
Source record: 130364

Wait for the BC255XLT !!!

(just kidding)

talkyal

2708. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rock56@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28451-36A977CE-5@newsd-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130365

I think law enforcement knows better than to go completely private. This would give the people more of a reason to come up with a way to beat it. Voice privacy is a small issue when its just used every now and then but to go all out would stir up alot of people, and soon there would be no privacy. I think going completely digital would soon be overcome, for the ones that could pay for it anyway. I guess its better to let them have a little peace in mind so we can have ours.

2709. John Mackey FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bpk@home.com
Message-ID: <36A9841A.65FDDF57@home.com>
Source record: 130366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I know Bill Cheek gave us some helpful information about scanners with his faq.John-where are your helpful hints about scanning? Oh that's right you know nothing about scanners and you just hang around here because you can't get the hint that most people want your pain in the ass ego out of here.Maybe your for answers to questions would be "how to be a pain in the ass"!

2710. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <36A982EB.761E08C@mich.com>
Source record: 130367

Hi Rob

Rob Lang wrote:

> Very thought provoking post Tim!
>
> I agree and disagree on a myriad of levels.
>
> >You & 1000 other people in the area might be perfectly content to sit at home
> &
> >just enjoy hearing the drama over the scanner, but all it takes is one idiot
> who
> >prefers 'television to radio', and decides he needs to WATCH as well as
> listen to
> >the scanner traffic. So because of that one idiot --not to mention the
> >sophisticated criminals-- public safety agencies don't just have the right,
> they
> >have the DUTY to protect the integrity of their operations -- namely,
> >communications circuits.
>
> This line of thinking is where I have most of my disagreement. The "one
> idiot" idea. Restricting the many for the possible actions of the one, in the
> final analysis, is Bad policy with anything.

"Restricting the many" who? The many people entertained by tuning in public safety? Why should the police care about that? I think it is safe to say that the potential damage done by one (and in reality, we're not really talking about only one) criminal using a scanner is worthy of more concern than "restricting" the 'entertainment' law-abiding people have from tuning in.

> > Most humans aren't criminals, but I still take strong measures to protect &
>
> >defend my property against the minority criminal segment. The police have
> every
> >right to protect their communications against the small segment of criminals
> with
> >scanners, *and* the idiots out there who will be drawn to a scene to gawk at
> >something they heard on the scanner.
>
> Valid point, but IMHO, the body of good that comes from _most_ public safety
> communications being open outweighs this. A scanning incident that made an
> enormous impression on me was a major accident involving an overturned
> gasoline truck. Gasoline flowing into a creek created a serious explosion
> hazard. I tuned in to this after it happened, and was convinced for many
> minuets I was listening to a drill. The people on the radio were operating

> in such a cool level headed manor. I was very impressed with our PD, VFD
> and other public safety people that day.

It's nice that you got a warm & fuzzy feeling, and police certainly like good public relations, but most people are able
to respect the job public safety agencies do without having to hear them on a scanner.

> >Kinda funny how many of us with scanners seem so interested in making
> >sure we get encrypted cordless phones -- do you suppose it is merely
> >coincidental?
>
> Not in the least. We all know about communications security here! But
> you're cheating comparing personal communications to public service
> agencies.

Sorry, but I think that last statement is pretty pathetic. Also, I note that you conveniently used "public service" instead of public SAFETY, perhaps because it makes your argument a little easier to digest... We've been talking about publis safety agencies, more specifically, law enforcement, and I won't reiterate the many common-sense reasons why they could & in many cases should practice better operational security by securing radio communications circuits.

> > I'm not necessarily in favor of 100% crypto, I'm simply against the
> >people who think that they have the 'right' to monitor public safety agencies
> and
> >argue that it is
> illegal/immoral/un-Constitutional/unfair/un-American/conspiratorial/mean if
> >a public safety agency decides to invest in full-time or part-time crypto .
> . .
>
> Yep. I'm one of them. (ouch! That hurt Tim.)
>
> Perhaps not so much a "right" (people invent far too many "rights" these
> days) - but I do demand more accountability from LEOs and 100% encryption
> makes it too easy to hide illegal or just plain poor police work. But my
> Constitutional paranoias are another debate . . .

Would you want someone who is not extremely familiar with your work factors & specifics relating to an incident to judge YOU about anything, let alone solely based on hearing you speak? Frankly, that works both ways -- you can hear something that sounds splendid on the radio (such as the HAZMAT incident you described above), or something that sounds like a cluster-phuck but you can't reasonably judge how the full incident was handled merely by listening to the words & tones of the voices. Who are you to competently judge "poor police work" based on your scanner hobby? Could you stand up to cross-examination in a court?

Public safety agents aren't directly accountable to you & I. Thankfully, instead of a grand inquisition comprised of us scanner geeks, there is a legitimate hierarchy which includes investigation by trained professionals, evidence collection, evaluation by disinterested parties, presenting the facts

to a prosecutor, who then presents them to a judge or grand jury. The only real place we fit in is be electing the upper echelon of the hierarchy -- judges, sheriff, city council members, etc.

If you think a crooked cop or cops will use their own (secure) police radios to discuss conspiratorial acts, I think that's kinda silly. If anything, they'd rather be a little more discrete & use personal FRS radios or something.

One reasonable thing that perhaps you COULD try to find out is if a particular department records & archives tapes of ALL their radio channels, or just certain ones like dispatch, and if the radio traffic logger also records the decoded secure voice traffic...

> > I have about 20 years experience with scanners (including the use of
> >communications intelligence to avoid detection & capture by local
> >constabulary during various minor acts of mayhem and Hooliganism
> >in my days of youthful, creative exuberance)
>
> I can plead guilty to the exact same thing. I want you to know I hold my
> opinions with firsthand knowledge of the abuses that WILL occur.

Well, we're both already withholding our firsthand knowledge regarding our use of scanners to help negate um, 'undesirable consequences' for our potentially 'less than legal' actions in the past, aren't we! ;) You have can have no "firsthand knowledge of the abuses that WILL occur." You could only render an opinion, and I could just as easily render an opinion diametrically opposed to yours. So let's stick to reality.

> I fully support encrypting this kind of stuff. But you've gotten me to
> examine how much of my opinion is principle, and how much is really just
> indignation that my hobby is being cramped. Thanks for keeping me honest.

Thank you for the nice debate!

I assure you, I have 15+ scanners, and I DON'T use them to listen to choo-choos, taxis, or stuff like that! I live in Detroit. If (more like when...) Detroit PD goes Astro or something, I'll come close to having a nervous breakdown or whatever, but I'll take a deep breath, and gladly give up or alter the relatively trivial hobby (& have to go out in search of a life...) if it means that the public safety agency has more effective communications!

> Rob Lang
> KC2EMO

Tim

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2711. Re: WTB: Pro 2006 with all mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Jasper <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <36A98696.A551D529@got.net>
Source record: 130368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Don Bertone wrote:

> I have a Motorola HT1000 uhf 16 channel that I would like to trade. You
> must throw in some cash but I will make a deal. Must be clean and work
> perfect as my portable is nice. I will program or give you a copy of
> rss

Hey can I get a copy of that software too???

Monty from Kentucky

2712. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78c56k$li6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130369

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> John, Unlike you honest people don't act honest

Yes Steve your posts have demonstrated that.

> Why you hiding behind DeJaNews John.
Your above should have ended with a question mark. Anyway, I am
not hiding, I am using the same e-mail address I have used for
over 2 years, a record that few, if any on this NG can match.
I am using dejanews due to the recent problems with security
(rogue cancels & bogus messages) which is VERY hard to commit
to a person using dejanews. In essence, I am using the more recent
techonology to of the availability of dejanews to strengthen the
integrity & reliability of my messages and lessen the possibility of
someone using foul tactics to commit wrong doings There are
inherant risks in using the older news servers such as you
& many others do.

That's right, ISPs are getting hard to
> find. So are

I'll take your word for it. I have only had a few ISP's in the
last 2.5 years. Usually I stay with nationwide ISP's so I
have coverage when I am traveling, otherwise my employer has to use
their calling card to keep me in touch with local ISP's.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2713. Re: Commercial Radio Operator Licenses.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78c5c5lpm1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130370

Billy Smith <Billy_Smith@datasynch.com> wrote:
> You do NOT need a commercial radio operator license to operate, repair,
> or maintain any of the following types of stations:
SNIP
> Domestic public fixed and mobile radio systems, such as mobile
> telephone systems, cellular systems, rural radio systems,
> point-to-point microwave systems, multipoint distribution
> systems, etc.

True, but many employers still require the GROL for the type of work
you describe & most true RF technicians have the GROL.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2714. Re: John Mackey - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78c5fclsq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130371

geovet@thevision.net (George Vetterle) wrote:
> No, you are only the Court Jester and resident simpleton of the news
> group.

You must be looking in the mirror again George!

How sad :(

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2715. Re:Blake Bowers - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78c5j9$lt7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130372

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> It is obvious that being off your medication for 3 days, as
> you have posted, causes your multiple personality

Blake, speaking of yourself as teh 3rd person is a sign of
serious mental problems. You should seek help.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2716. Re: IC-02AT open pots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78c5rr$m7e$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130373

"Mike Garrity" <the65gto@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> Was just wondering if I am the only one experiencing pots (squelch and
> volume) controls that just open. Replacing the pots on these little HT's is
> no fun, and it takes ICOM a week to send your parts and besides that another

Yea, it's a lot of work but it can be done. Years ago I modified my
02AT to have CTCSS DECODE which required replacing my squelch pot
with a volume pot because it has a on/off switch. Fortunately
the 02AT has a blank position the PC board to allow that extra switch
on the squelch pot.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2717. Re: Pro-43 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <#50obkqR#GA.260@nih2naac.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 130374

andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net> asked:
> ... how you can tell if a Pro43 Scanner can be cell modified or not.

Radio Shack items have a date code in the form 'mmAy', where 'mm' is the month and 'y' is the year (the 'A' stands for A & A Trading, Tandy's import arm). 5A4 or greater indicates an import date after the cellular cutoff.

Radio Shack radios have an FCC ID of the form AAOnnn-nnnn, where AAO is the FCC Grantee Code for A & A Trading and nnn-nnnn is normally the catalogue number of the item. PRO-43 scanners with an "A" at the end of the FCC ID (AAO20-300A) are not modifiable. This does not necessarily apply to any other model.

Unmodifiable PRO-43s have an "A" preceding the serial number. This also does not necessarily apply to any other model.

This posting was brought to you by the letter A.
/* barry /&

2718. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36a98cc5.519167563@news.value.net>
Source record: 130375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I wasn't aware that the 235 could be computer controlled?!

I haven't gotten through the entire manual yet, but haven't seen
anything about computer control.

Is this correct?

<yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>Here's why I would keep the BC-235: For the money, it's a great
>scanner with computer control and mil-air band. It will always be
>a useful scanner even if the 245 proves to be as good.
>
>The 245 may have early production bugs, you might kick yourself
>in the butt for passing up a proven performer for one that may take
>awhile to be as good. Look at it this way, unless you only listen to
>one trunked system, it can't hurt having another trunktracker around,
>right?
>
>The 245 likely won't be out for months, maybe late summer. So why
>not enjoy the 235 now? You could always sell it for at least 75% of
>what you paid for it, meaning it might cost you $50 to use it until the
>245 comes out. That's how I would do it.
>
>Regards,
>Jon
>

2719. COLES frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rev@newave.net.au
Message-ID: <36a9931d.6438270@news.newave.net.au>
Source record: 130376
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In my local Coles (AUSTRALIA) the staff use radio units to talk to
each other. Similiar to take away drive thru sets.

I looked at back of one and it said something like SONTAC or SONTRAC.

What are the frequencies?

--
Matthew Volkmer (Matty)
rev@newave.net.au
www.newave.net.au/~rev SA Radio Scanning Page
ICQ 26709963

2720. Updated scanning page for ADELAIDE South Australia "23/1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rev@newave.net.au
Message-ID: <36a99346.6479631@news.newave.net.au>
Source record: 130377
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio

Ive updated/changed my scanning page for ADELAIDE South Australia.

U P D A T E D 23/1

Now includes info on SCANNER BUYING and other editing done.

Includes links to Western Australia and Gold Coast scanning sites.

www.newave.net.au/~rev

--
Matthew Volkmer (Matty)
rev@newave.net.au
www.newave.net.au/~rev SA Radio Scanning Page
ICQ 26709963

2721. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr_grumpy@cheerful.com
Message-ID: <36a97ee9.3861626@news.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 130378

Hey, let's start a rumour that Winradio is no good and they haven't
sold many. Does it sound too much like I work for a competitor? I
hope I don't get found out.

On 17 Jan 1999 21:38:06 GMT, kenabritte@aol.com (KenABritte) wrote:

>Someone told me that not many have been sold because there was very little on
>the net about them. Even on there own site. Is this because of that or because
>there is little trouble with them or the software for them?
>
>Ken
>KMI8GJ
>Registered Monitor

2722. Re: Can't Find Scanner Store/Shop In Las Vegas! Believe It Or Not!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: alf sauve <alf.sauve@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <78cdlvckl1@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 130379

BJ,

Amateur Electronic Supply
1072 N. Rancho Drive
702 647-3114

Also there was a large electronic parts store within walking distance of the Mirage. They may carry scanners. It's been a year or two since I was there.

Alf

Blackjack Elliot wrote in message <7868-36A8F53F-1@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
Does ANYONE know of a store or shop that sells scanners and accessories anywhere in Las Vegas? There isn't a single one in the Las Vegas phone book! I can't believe it! (other than Rat Shack), they don't have what I need.... a new batter pack for a BC100XLT.

Blackjack Elliot

Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
www.BlackjackElliot.com

2723. Frequenties

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ScanMan <burnout@worldonline.nl>
Message-ID: <01be46cc$5ff1afe0$98cff1c3@default>
Source record: 130380
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Voor frequenties van

politie/brandweer/ambulance Gouda e.o.
luchtvaart
telefoons
buzzers
acars
circuitpark zandvoort / Formule1

kun je terrecht op:
http://www.fortunecity.com/silverstone/chevy/301/

Als je denkt dat er foute of verouderde freqs zijn, zou ik het graag van je horen.
De pagina is nog lang niet af (alleen de scanner gedeelte), dus stuur een mailtje als je wilt horen waneer het af is.

Groeten,
Art
--
Chevrolet@ThePentagon.com

2724. Re: COLES frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Miss K." <outabreath@iname.com>
Message-ID: <36a9c243.0@kastagir.senet.com.au>
Source record: 130381
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Manufactured By SONTEC, Torrens road, torrensville.

Matty wrote in message <36a9931d.6438270@news.newave.net.au>...
>In my local Coles (AUSTRALIA) the staff use radio units to talk to
>each other. Similiar to take away drive thru sets.
>
>
>I looked at back of one and it said something like SONTAC or SONTRAC.
>
>
>
>What are the frequencies?
>
>
>--
>Matthew Volkmer (Matty)
>rev@newave.net.au
>www.newave.net.au/~rev SA Radio Scanning Page
>ICQ 26709963

2725. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990123082231.26544.00001587@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 130382

>From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>

> "Restricting the many" who? The many people entertained by tuning in
>public
>safety? Why should the police care about that? I think it is safe to say
>that
>the potential damage done by one (and in reality, we're not really talking
>about
>only one) criminal using a scanner is worthy of more concern than
>"restricting"
>the 'entertainment' law-abiding people have from tuning in.

Your "solution" is a very typical bureaucratic response, mostly found in politicians and law enforcement agencies, i.e., "Now that we have criminals, and the criminals have scanners, let's take away the ability for everyone to receive our signals as the final solution." The best analogy of your statement that immediately comes to my mind is like this:
"The dam is leaking water and we are in danger of the dam failing and causing a catastrophic flood; therefore, let's drain all the water out of the reservoir so that there's 'simply' no more water to worry about."

It doesn't surprise me that you follow this "containment philosophy". It seems many Americans seem to think that after the main problem has been seriously neglected for too long and starts to get out-of-control, extreme drastic measures need to take place to try to "re-claim" control of the situation (tackling the end-result of a problem instead of steering the development of the problem toward a more safer direction).

Yes, theoretically, you may predict that this short-sighted solution would decrease the odds of those criminals equipped with scanners from escaping the law by using a scanner.

But, in your stop-gap "solution" (continuously securing law enforcement communications in the name of keeping the communications secure from criminals equipped with scanners) to this end-product problem is actually NOT a solution at all to the larger-scale problem at hand, which is CRIMINALS.

I'm going to stop here and spare rec.radio.scanner with a long soap-box on criminal psychology, counseling, family values, positive role-models, ethics, morals, and the nurturing of an environment that does not foster criminal activities (I'll just stick to scanning). So, let me conclude with a rebuttal to Tim Tyler:

If you care so much about protecting the lives of law enforcement officers on duty, against the negligent acts of criminals, whether those criminals are equipped with scanners or not, then you should do your part in counseling and

teaching and helping the misguided citizens of your community from falling into the evils of crime. You should help your community develop programs and care for those individuals who have no guidance, no hope, no future, and no direction to follow, except for the lure of criminal activity. That is where the solution is located.

Close the path that leads to criminal activity,
but keep open the path that leads to scanning.

talkyal

2726. FS: Motorola UHF SP50 w/ charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <36A9D3E0.2438660F@bv.net>
Source record: 130383
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

VHF unit is sold!! Thanks to all...

I have one more UHF SP50 , Mint condition programmable radio with charger and battery. Motorola Model # P94YQT20A3AA, 2
channel/4W set now at ~476.813MHz. I sold the VHF transceiver, I will sell this one for $200.00 plus shipping OR best offer.

mailto:mcguyver@bv.net

Mitch

2727. DATA INTERFACE WANTED IN SOUTH AUSTRALIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Beanstalk <Beanstalk@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <36a9ca7a.0@139.134.5.33>
Source record: 130384
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Howdy,

I am wanting to know if anyone has info on where I can purchase a 2 level FSK interface (data interface to connect my scanner discriminator output to the com port of my PC) to decode data signals in Australia.

I would prefer the unit to be pre-constructed but will be interested in any build-your-own kits available as well.

Please send price to me via email with any postage charges if needed to be sent to South Australia.

Thanks,
Richard

Beanstalk@bigpond.com

2728. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <36a9d61d.7449556@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 130385

Watson <ciwatson@geneseo.net> wrote:

:Is there any way to modify a scanner to transmit onto the frequency that
:you are listening to?

Certainly. Replace it with a tranceiver.

:thanks,
:justin

Any more stupid questions?

2729. Re: Astro / APCO25 Digital Scanner Project Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdf@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78b5j1$s7j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130469

gknox@mindspring.com (Greg Knox) wrote:

> >Since your project is strictly a receive-only affair, I would be surprised
> >if your current DSP board could not do the 4FSK demodulation and the IMBE
> >decode as well.
>
> It could do it easily. But then you would need a license from DVSI to use
> the IMBE patents. That will cost you $125,000, plus per unit royalties.

If that is an issue, then this fellow might as well stop his project right now: Motorola holds numerous patents which cover chunks of APCO-25. And unless you are a member of APCO, and have agreed to obey all US laws (in particular the crypto export restrictions as imposed by the US Department of Commerce), you have no license to use said patents...

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2730. Looking for an 800mhz tuned antenna for my BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <78b6fpsrt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone have any suggestions?

My BC235XLT showed up today and I'm already looking for a better antenna than the factory one.

I'll probably hit the local radio shack to get some other accessories too.

Looking to get:

cigarette lighter adapter
add'l charger
add'l battery
add'l power cord

Any other Must Have's out there?

Thanks everyone,
Mike

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2731. Re: Regency MX-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsmtmn@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122191204.18246.00001436@ng28.aol.com>
Source record: 130471

No wonder you all have had problems with speeding up the MX5000/7000 scanners..You change the value of a Resister, not a Capacitor.

Replace R6 which is a 150K, with a 33K. The scan rate will be faster plus the beep volume will now also be reduced.

Here is a neat mod I found that can be done with the keyboard.
The Regency MX-5000/7000 does not have a Search Hold Feature unless you do the following. This will hold an active frequency on your display and is great for finding new frequencies when you are at work, and or leaving the radio unattended.
Search/Hold Feature
---
1) Turn the radio on
2) Hit Clk Set.
3) Enter zero's all the way across the display.
4) Turn the radio Off
5) Turn the radio back on and you will notice that in the Search or Scan mode, anything that is now received on the scanner will hold on the display and the radio will not continue its normal function.
6) To resume Search or Scan, just hit any numbered buttion such as; 1-0 on the keyboard. The radio will now resume in the normal fashion.

Put Radio Back to Normal
---
1) Turn radio ON
2) Hit Clk Set
3) Enter the correct time
4) Hit Enter
5) Your now back to Normal Operations/Functions

I have found many new frequencies this way and plus its fun to know that the radio can do something that isn't listed in the operators manual. Have fun. ->Dan

2732. Re: PRO-90 Antenna - what to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeep73cj5@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122195522.21432.00001491@ng12.aol.com>
Source record: 130472

If your looking for an outside antenna. Check out Radio Shacks Model 20-014. They normally cost $35.00 but they are closing them out right now for only $6.97. I just bought three of them!!!!!

2733. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: saxapahaw@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78bcvg$260$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130473

```
In article <36A82FAB.F5C115D0@aol.com>,
Scan Man <scanner@aol.com> wrote:
> Watson wrote:
> >
> > Is there anyway to modify a scanner to transmit onto the frequency that
> > you are listening to?
> > thanks,
> > justin
>
> How can you even ask such a stupid question???!!!
```

It's evident that the phrase ,"the dumbing down of America" has it's roots in
the American educational system. axa
http://clubs.yahoo.com/clubs/southeastscannerclub

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2734. Decoding the IC-R10 memory map

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jstuyven@xs4all.nl
Message-ID: <36a92562.10385191@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 130474

Hi everybody,

On my IC-R10 I started a new project: decoding the IC-R10 memory map.
I have already decoded much, but your help is needed to confirm the
correctness. If you use the OPC-478 cable and CS-R10 software, please
take a look at:

http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven

Thanks,

Joost

==
For IC-R10 information:

http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven

Joost van Stuyvenberg
Utrecht, The Netherlands
jstuyven@xs4all.nl
==

2735. Re: Pro-43 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990122203630.23919.00001538@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 130475

>Subject: Pro-43 Question
>From: andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net>
>Date: 1/22/99 6:19 PM Mountain Standard Time
>how you can
>tell if a Pro43 Scanner can be cell modified or not.>

Date of Mfg code 2A4 (Feb 1994) and prior (label in battery compment), or no
"A" in the serial no.
More info at my site listed below:

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

2736. Re: Yaesu FT-50RD WFM Filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <FI9q2.565$q_.1607@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 130476

Larry:

The WFM filter was never corrected, and Yaesu claimed it never will be, due to physical space limitations in the original design. Too bad, otherwise an excellent HT!

Don't let the tiny size of the Icom IC-Q7A fool you... it's also very rugged! As is it's receive-only brother, the IC-R2! Audio on these units is unbelievable for their size!

Bill Crocker

Larry Colton wrote in message <78api5$hni@news1.newsguy.com>...
>I understand that when the FT-50RD first came out, the WFM filter wasn't
>quite right and the rig didn't do well picking up FM broadcast and TV
>broadcast (or at least didn't filter them well enough to make it worth
>listening to). Is this still the case with the newer FT-50RDs? Or has
>Yaesu changed the filter? I'm interseted in getting one radio to do
>everything (yeah, right, I'm dreaming) - transmitting and receiving - so
>I don't have to carry around more than one unit. The FT-50RD nearly
>fits the bill. So does the Icom IC-T8A and the IC-Q7A. The Q7A
>probably is closest, but the 50RD is so physically strong - I don't want
>to have to worry about bumps and showers.
>
>Any thoughts? (Yes, I've been to Rich Wells page)
>

2737. Re: PRO-90 Antenna - what to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <SK9q2.566$q_.1607@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 130477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Carolyn:

Radio shack sells a stainless steel, telescoping whip antenna, with a center loading coil. This antenna works much better than the stock rubber-ducky.

Bill Crocker

Carolyn wrote in message <36A8C136.D22BCD63@fox.nstn.ca>...
>My first ever scanner, a PRO-90 is about 2 weeks old now!! I'm
>hooked!! Now I want a more powerful antenna than what came with the
>unit. I'd like something for the car and the house. Can anyone make
>some recommendations? I would like something portable for the house as
>well, as I tend to listen when I'm downstairs, and I listen while lying
>in bed reading!.
>
>I'd appreciate any suggestions as to tips and tricks, what I should look
>for, specifications or even a model that I might get at the local Radio
>Shack.
>
>Thanks
>
>Carolyn

2738. Looking for Bearcat 300 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ad4qq@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990122204233.21448.00001384@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 130478

I need the manual or copy of a manual for the Bearcat 300.
If anyone knows where I can purchase this manual that would be helpful also.
Tnx
Don

2739. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78bf1f$3td$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130479

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> John, we hate to see you backsliding. Please, get

Blake -

Please start acting honest again I've seen you do it on
occasion so I know you are capable if you chose to do so.
Your lies & manipulation are tiring.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2740. Re: Steve - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78bfie$4bo$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130480

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
SNIP
> Facts can exist without the presentation of proof. Many people
SNIP

YOU stated the facts were coming soon, yet have been unable to present one single fact. Any statement without fact is FICTION (a lie)!

(Have you been taking honesty lessons from Bill Clinton?)

> That's right. You are the all mighty self-proclaimed king of several
> thousand flames and off topic posts to this newsgroup.

That's funny, I went several days without posting on this NG while YOU were still posting your flames & harassment. Plus during the troubled times on this NG, your hero old what's his name (scamist extradionaire) in San Diego posted hundreds of more messages than ME!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2741. Re: PHIL SMALLEY POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78bfoe$4el$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130481

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Shut up John.

who are you talking to Phil?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2742. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78bfs2$4gd$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130482

txjones@tyransportz.com (Tom Jones) wrote:
> what was the name? Oh yeah - Long Dong
> Silver.

No Tom, that is what my girlfriends call ME!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2743. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78bg3d$4ri$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130483

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> You are right, the s/n ratio dropped considerably the last day plus a
> little.

No one saw a difference, you & your buddies were still on here harassing
& flaming as evidenced by your posts.

> I am glad to see you didn't deny being JWILY (sp) and Newbie.

Both those identies are seperate & not me. You simply can't face the
facts that there are others who are willingly to stand & oppose you.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2744. Re: Looking for an 800mhz tuned antenna for my BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990122211606.05420.00001182@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 130484

Why would you need all of these extras? The battery has an eight hour life and why a extra power cord?
-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

2745. Re: Rogue Cancellation summary for rec.radio.scanner - 01/19/99 - 01/21/99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78bg93$4tb$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130485

txjones@tyransportz.com (Tom Jones) wrote:
> I mean, insofar as Mackey's posts, at least, we *know* that we aren't

Do you have multiple personality disorder or were you apppointed to
speak for the group? If you claim you were appointed then post
the supporting proof (for verification) or be found to be a liar.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2746. Re: sdaner@usanet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g7pd$6qj$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129986

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78fvah$7oi$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>When was the last time you posted on topic?

Probably much more recently than you. Why don't you go to dejanews and see
for yourself?
-Phil

2747. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g893$70e$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78fvk5$82u$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Infact just a day or 3 ago I responded to someone who was looking
>for Oregon freqs to assist them.

And that, I suppose, is supposed to justify all the bullcrap you posted in the meanwhile?

I answer scanner related questions in both my email and this NG almost daily! No one seems to make a big deal of that! What, are we supposed to be impressed because once in a blue moon you get a wild hair up your rear and post ON TOPIC for once?!??!?!?

I just want you to realize something John. If you would just limit you posts to scanning, then we wouldn't be in this mess.

-Phil

2748. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: DAS <dave@address.intentionally.munged>
Message-ID: <78g8fj$pl0$1@usenet43.supernews.com>
Source record: 129988

Pulling up a chair, pouring some Scotch, and lighting a cigar for this one.
This oughtta be great....

D

Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:78g67i$s8u$0@207.18.224.91...
> On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 19:22:47 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> >
> >> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bg3d$4ri$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >> >Both those identies are seperate & not me.
> >
> >> No they aren't and that is a fact because you didn't post any proof.
That is
> >> your benchmark. Thanks for admitting you are a liar and guilty as
charged.
> >
> >
> >No problem, all you had to do was ASK for the proof ANYTING I say I can
> >back with proof when asked! See Below headers.
> >
>
> Oh, you can? Please then, provide the proof of the following claims
> you have made.
>
> Your masters of communication technology. Where is it from, etc.
>
> Your numerous schools from Motorola you say you have attended.
>
> Your background and experience in the professional two way industry.
>
> I am asking for proof, and your above statement says you will provide
> that proof when asked. Or did you lie?
>

2749. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g8t5$76c$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

And here in all it's glory, is John Mackey's much talked about but seldom seen on topic post:

"Karyn Schultz" <karyns@teleport.com> wrote:
> Does anyone have a source for Oregon frequencies. Portland area.

What freqs are you looking for?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

Wow John, that's mighty big of you..... that'll help a bunch....

Why don't you recommend a SOURCE of frequencies for Portland, like she asked for in the first damn place John?! Why not mention that Police Call has freq's and is available at any Portland area radio shack for $12.95?!?!? I'm not even FROM Portland and I answered the question better than you did, fruitcake! Don't you see why we want you gone - you are no help at all to anyone!! Just LEAVE!!!!!

ARRRRRGGGHHHH!!!!!

-Phil

2750. Re: Transmitt To McDonalds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB Wolf <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36ABA395.DB56473B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129990

Absolutely!!

Need a big CB linear. Caution "this is illegal"! Can cause all kinds of havoc, like shutting down the registers, overloading the inside PA system, and over cooking the french fries!! It will even open and close the drive up window! I know, because I closed the window on the managers head once!! ;-} LOL!! Had to be there I guess! I was running 1200 watts RF from about 100ft away.
Sold the thing because it overpowered everything!! As they say, everyone is young and stupid once!

I now do not condone this type of activity.

-ERIC

Rabidfool wrote:

> I was wondering if it was possible to transmitt on the McDonalds drive through
> headsets? I asked around before and I think someone told me to get a ...i
> think....blue dot transcevier from rs? would that work?
> -rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
> -rabidfool@yahoo.com
> -rabidfool@hotmail.com
> -rabidfool@ignmail.com

--
ATTITUDE DETERMINES ALTITUDE !

WARNING TO UNSOLICITED SPAMMERS !!!

Pursuant to U.S. Code, title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II,
"Any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail (SPAM) sent to
this address is subject to a download and archival fee of
$500.00 U.S."!!
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2751. Re: Central Scotland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kel <kel@united1.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <78g9bjvfk1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 129991
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Now what was the point of that message, my original message was posted in alt.radio.scanner.uk, as you will have seen and I would not have thought of it being off topic in the other NG's it was posted to. Why do some people seem to think they can order everyone around like the Gestapo. If you can't reply to a question someone post's in an NG, then you should refrain from comment.

Kel

2752. Portable indoor/outdoor Antenna!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: TKD <rt12@montrose.net>
Message-ID: <01be47ee$ee3fcde0$53c385cc@default>
Source record: 129992
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I found the best Indoor/ outdoor antenna around!!
It is a coil type that attaches via bnc connector.
it can be extended behind furniture or from ceiling
and then put away in seconds! 30 ft long it can be used outside too! works
on all scanners.
super Quality and inexpensive!
picks up all bands better than most outdoor antennas!
see
http://flashdistributor.com
they have a secure server to order by credit card.
It is limited.

2753. Re: Steve POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g9pd$7fr$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129993

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e5i2$1uf$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...

OK John, you win. I give up trying to convince you. Go ahead and believe that I got fired because of you and I was homeless and the whole bit. Run with it.

I don't know what's worse, you or the fools that think what you say or do is of any consequence at all. You wanna start a rumor? Fine with me. Hell, tell the world I'm gay too - do you really think I care? I don't.

Tell you what, if that's your big contention I'll go sign up for an ISP at home and post from there from then on. I make good money, and I was entertaining the notion anyhow. Then what are you going to do? Call them up and tell them not to accept my $20 a month? Yeah, they'll be REAL EAGER to do that, John. (not)

I know the truth, I have posted it, and all you do is continue to twist it to service your agenda. No doubt, when I am done with my assignment here at Allied, you'll claim you got me fired from here too. So what. All that proves is that you are totally predictable.

Yawn.

-Phil

2754. vhf air mod for the alinco dj-f1t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <clairvoyant1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <36ABAB68.718C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 129994

anyone know how to do this mod?

2755. Optoelectronics 3000A backlight dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: unholey@nospam4meflink.com
Message-ID: <36aeaa13.6183728@news.flink.com>
Source record: 129995
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

The electroluminescent backlite in my Optoelectronics 3000A frequency
counter has failed there is no voltage going into the backlite's pins.
However everyting elce workes on the unit. The board is surface mount
and hard to follow I was wondering if anyone had a schematic they
could scan and send to me?

Remove nospam4me before replying E-mail

Peoria ILL Radio Scanner Page
http://www.flink.com/users/unholey/index.htm

2756. Re: medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Goldman <ajgxii1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <I_Nq2.2774$RK5.2117@news.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 129996

ka0ssf@hotmail.com wrote in message <78g98uerq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Now, on to my newsgroup. I have asked usenet to make me the
>moderator of this newsgroup, in light of my history in cleaning
>up the newsgroup, and running off Bill Cheeks. If you have a
>problem with this, TOUGH! I will be the ruler of this newsgroup,
>and as long as you don't cross me, I will let you stay here.
>
>Any of you have to admit, that I am the best thing that ever
>came to this newsgroup, and am personally responsibble for
>thousands of posts, increasing the message count considerably.

Now he is having dillussions of grandure.

Oh my, I can't stop laughing! :>)

Adam

2757. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78ga2a$7i6$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78er1fgdd1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Anyone who knows anything about RC & transmission coax knows
>it is an issue.

Aww geez, here we go again - "Sunlight, Coax and the people that love them"
on the next Jerry Springer.....

And he says *I'm* not original?? Sheesh!

-Phil

2758. Re: medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: petermaus@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124183131.28145.00002198@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 129998

> I have asked usenet to make me the
>>moderator of this newsgroup, in light of my history in cleaning
>>up the newsgroup, and running off Bill Cheeks. If you have a
>>problem with this, TOUGH! I will be the ruler of this newsgroup,
>>and as long as you don't cross me, I will let you stay here.
>>
>>Any of you have to admit, that I am the best thing that ever
>>came to this newsgroup, and am personally responsibble for
>>thousands of posts, increasing the message count considerably.
>
>
>
>Now he is having dillussions of grandure.
>
>Oh my, I can't stop laughing! :>)
>
>Adam
>
>
>

No, he is having delusions of adequacy.

Now, on to Scanning.....

2759. Re: sdaner@usanet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <75Oq2.5706$DB1.5726@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129999

Phil Smalley wrote in message <78g7pd$6qj$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>
>jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78fvah$7oi$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>>When was the last time you posted on topic?
>
>
>Probably much more recently than you. Why don't you go to dejanews and see
>for yourself?
>-Phil

So Phil, if we only counted my one on topic message Thursday that would mean I am allowed about 8,000 more off topic messages using the Rat Dong Mackey Standard, right? The RDMS also gives me the privelege to deny everything and anything I do or say, even when caught red handed for the umpteenth thousand times.

BTW, have you seen all those secure Dejanews Mackeytoons posts? ROFLMAO

2760. Re: 2 level FSK interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36abb0f5.157243881@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 130000
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 23:00:13 GMT, g4fzn@yahoo.com (Chris Kirby) wrote:

>Question: Has anyone succeeded yet in decoding all the FLEX phases
>with one of these 4-level demodulators ? ie) Does it work ????

Yes, it works fine.

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

2761. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis Bidulock <bidulock@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zcOq2.151$_Y2.945@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 130001

yah ok loser, i'm looking for intelligent responses
Talkyal <talkyal@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990124170349.18722.00002446@ng26.aol.com...
>>From: "Curtis Bidulock" <bidulock@hotmail.com>
>
>>I've heard that the 235 has a computer interface is this true?
>
>Well, I've heard that there is a UFO at Area 51, too.
>
>talkyal

2762. FS Bearcat SC150B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: pstringy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124184812.22942.00002606@ng38.aol.com>
Source record: 130002

Good condition with antenna, good battery pack and ac charger/adapter. $85 shipped.

2763. Re: mod fo kenwood tr-9000 ext rec..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack@sgi.net
Message-ID: <36ABDC6B.6280@sgi.net>
Source record: 130003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

kable guy minn wrote:
>
> does anyone have a mod for 2mtr allmode kenwood tr-9000
> any help aprecated.
>
> thanks n0mnb
> n0mnb@minn.net

Your looking for a mod, i'm looking for a repair service that can replace the main computer chip for the TR-9000. Kenwood no longer supports this model.

2764. Re: Oregon frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <DanR@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <36ABB0C1.36243E81@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 130004

Southwest Frequency Directory has a new book available for the metro Portland area. The "Portland Frequency Directory" is 75 pages in length, and includes all the important frequencies, radio codes, TrunkTracker talkgroups, fire station resources, and more...

Check it out at:

Ham Radio Outlet
11705 SW Pacific Highway
Suite Z
(503) 598-0555

OR...

FOX'S Spy Outlet
9103 W Barbur Boulevard
(503) 452-4645

Those wishing to mail order a copy of the Portland Frequency Directory using a credit card may due so by calling Ham Radio Outlet.

Dan
SWFD-Editor

Karyn Schultz wrote:

> Does anyone have a source for Oregon frequencies. Portland area.

2765. Re: Who the hell is Mackey?!? FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack@sgi.net
Message-ID: <36ABDDE0.6C2@sgi.net>
Source record: 130005

Steve wrote:
I do have one question: Who the hell is Mackey?!?

In reality - "Who the hell cares"

2766. Re: Regency MX7000 Scanner manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jr2@usaor.NOSPAMnet
Message-ID: <36ABDEBD.1F0E@usaor.NOSPAMnet>
Source record: 130006

scrapbook@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> I need an antenna and owner's manual for the Regency MX7000. Help or
> suggestions will be appreciated. Thanks, Al

Scrapbook, It you would like, I will copy mine and send it.

remove nospam to e-mail.

2767. Re: Wanted Fire Pager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack@sgi.net
Message-ID: <36ABDF97.74E2@sgi.net>
Source record: 130007

You failed to note the frequency range.............

MoreMPH wrote:
>
> I am looking for a minitor 2 voice recording pager or a director 2 sv voice recording pager if you can help me locate a place that sell these for a low price it will be much appreciated MOREMPH@AOL.COM

2768. Re: trouble with cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art M <me@somewhere.com>
Message-ID: <36ABB809.68A2E656@somewhere.com>
Source record: 130008

For "Seth Tucker",

The cell modified 200XLT does NOT track the cellular band in the correct frequency steps, that's how it is, nothing you can do about it. As a result, a good % of the frequencies you pick up will be off frequency, some a little, some a lot.

As for one way conversations, I hope you know what you're tuning. You have to be listening in to the base station side, not the mobile. And even then, your local cellular companies might be suppressing one side of the conversation. Where I am, the A side is only way even on the base frequencies. The B side is still in the clear, both sides. And even if your A & B carriers do normally pass both sides of the conversation, there are circumstances where you won't hear both sides, mobile to mobile and international calls, if I remember right.

If what I said above is all Greek to you, well, get another hobby!

ArtM

2769. Re: A Question for John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Danny R. Barnes" <danny315@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <36ABB79B.81910C8A@prodigy.net>
Source record: 130009

What's the point?
Who cares!

Phil Smalley wrote:

> You never answered my very valid question, and I think that the readers of
> this group deserve an answer John.
>
> You made a claim that you have not changed your on-line ID more than 3 times
> in this newsgroup. I however have evidence linking you to all of these id's:
>
>

2770. WinFLEX version 0.4 released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: beepman <beepman@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <01be47f5$7b8313a0$dd464a0c@x.y.com>
Source record: 130010
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager

WinFLEX is a Windows application that allows you to monitor paging system messages using a radio scanner and data slicer circuit. This is still a BETA version and is not yet complete. A few features have been added since version 0.3 and more features will be added over the next few months. A Microsoft Word user's guide has been added to the zip file for detailed feature descriptions.

The WinFLEX web site is...

http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/9339/

Check it out.

beepman

2771. Electric Company scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@email.4.me
Message-ID: <36adb8de.6778590@news2.newscene.com>
Source record: 130011

Can anyone help me find the freq. for First Electric in Arkansas?

I have searched local resources (radio shack, ham clubs, etc.) but haven't been able to find it.

I am hoping that someone out there has an FCC database.

thanks!

2772. Re: Central Scotland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoFixxxer <Unknown@unknown.com>
Message-ID: <1gPq2.238$hd7.80@tundra.ops.attcanada.net>
Source record: 130012
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

I agree with you, I thought we were all here to help each other, rather than be an idiot and say, go post somewhere else. If you have help to give, then give it please. If not, then don't post.

Kel wrote in message <78g9bjvfk1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>Now what was the point of that message, my original message was posted in
>alt.radio.scanner.uk, as you will have seen and I would not have thought of
>it being off topic in the other NG's it was posted to. Why do some people
>seem to think they can order everyone around like the Gestapo. If you can't
>reply to a question someone post's in an NG, then you should refrain from
>comment.
>
>
>Kel
>
>
>

2773. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <uKPq2.524$WR1.4439@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 130013

Hi Tim,

> "Restricting the many" who? The many people entertained by tuning in public
>safety? Why should the police care about that? I think it is safe to say that
>the potential damage done by one (and in reality, we're not really talking about
>only one) criminal using a scanner is worthy of more concern than "restricting"
>the 'entertainment' law-abiding people have from tuning in.

Well, I suppose I'm discounting the entertainment factor, thinking more along
the lines of more serious scanning - media, broad spectrum public safety
members, neighborhood watches and the like.
Perhaps that sounds like "I'm serious about this so I should be able to
listen" elitism, but I wasn't weighing LEO efficiency against
entertainment.

>> Not in the least. We all know about communications security here! But
>> you're cheating comparing personal communications to public service
>> agencies.
>
> Sorry, but I think that last statement is pretty pathetic. Also, I note that
>you conveniently used "public service" instead of public SAFETY, perhaps because
>it makes your argument a little easier to digest... We've been talking about
>publis safety agencies, more specifically, law enforcement, and I won't
>reiterate the many common-sense reasons why they could & in many cases should
>practice better operational security by securing radio communications circuits.

As Solozzo said to Michael Corleone in "The Godfather" (my favorite movie)
"You think too much of me kid, I'm not that clever". I'm not playing
wordgames, just sloppy with my terminology. It's pretty much law enforcement
comms at issue here. I don't know where else the allow encryption/stay open
debate would apply in public *service* comms. No value to encrypting road
crews, is there? Come to think of it, I've found the best way to make a
go/no go decision on whether to commute to work in a blizzard is listening
at breakfast to the road crews, and of course, the police.

>
> Thank you for the nice debate!
Same here. We'll remain at odds on this, but your position has
given me food for thought, even if I don't like the taste!

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

2774. YEA RANDALL..... I AGREE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ben <mcmahonben@rham.org>
Message-ID: <78gi4h$eaf@news1.snet.net>
Source record: 130014

randall..... i totally agree..... couldn't have said it better

b-t-w, is anyone lookng to sell a bearcat scanner 150 or 240?

2775. Re: JOHN MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <36ABD308.BF61DD49@INVALID.com>
Source record: 130015

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> kc7hbu1@yahoo.com wrote:
> > John what the hell!! I did a search of MACKEY tonight and got 43000
>
> Dave-
>
> Contact me IMMEDIATELY via phone or e-mail. Several of us have been trying to
> get in touch with you on a certain matter.

Don't do it Dave.

Nothing good will ever come from any association with this loon.

You will regret it.

I'm dead serious.

2776. Re: RD-LAP Sound File?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: root@j00tel.net
Message-ID: <36abe200.0@news.intnet.net>
Source record: 130016

I second the motion! I need an RD-LAP audio sample as well ;)

treesrcool (chainsaw@softhome.net) wrote:
: Anyone have a wav file of the RD-LAP protocol?
: Send to my e-mail or post here if you could.......thanks.
: Would like 9600 and 19 200 baud rates.

2777. BC-160 Need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Papas <m1956@mail.execpc.com>
Message-ID: <78gnpc$lcb@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 130017

Hello there
i just get a BC-160 and i need a manual for it
or if any mod for it ??????
Thanks

2778. bc70xlt scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: steve hell <smhell@gaffaneys.com>
Message-ID: <78gq70$6tm$1@east43.supernews.com>
Source record: 130018

I just had a bc70xlt given to me,is this a good scanner? I was thinking of using this for a scanner at a NASCAR race. Will this scanner do the job? Any info you can give me will be appreciated. Thanks!

2779. VHF Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <78gqqr$3tb$1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 130019

I am considering getting a VHF radio and I wanted to get some information on a few things. It is my understanding that currently there is no requirement for licensing unless it is being used for commercial purposes. Is there a possibility that this licensing rule would be changed in the future? Can anybody recommend a good brand? Can the new motorola talkabout radios be used for marine VHF communications?

Charlie

2780. VA Target Store Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <78gqup$4vc$1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 130020

Does anybody have the frequencies that are used for the Target store in Burke, VA? Please post them here.

Thank you,

Charlie

2781. Re: Oregon frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Varine <Witch**Dr@usa.noobulkemail.net>
Message-ID: <36ABF480.63B@usa.noobulkemail.net>
Source record: 130021

Dan Rollman wrote:
>
> Southwest Frequency Directory has a new book available for the metro
> Portland area. The "Portland Frequency Directory" is 75 pages in length,
> and includes all the important frequencies, radio codes, TrunkTracker
> talkgroups, fire station resources, and more...

This is a great book IMHO! You can check my website:
http://www.orst.edu/~varineb

The nice thing about the Portland Directory is that it's about the size
of a folded map and you can flip pages pretty easily on a ring, kind of
like a pilots kneeboard. My webpage has a lot of info....but it's kind
of hard to access it in your car.....and the book needs no batteries.

2782. Re: Looking for Antenna splitter no loss?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Graves <alankg@siscom.net>
Message-ID: <CGSq2.23$0x.1041@news.siscom.net>
Source record: 130022

There is no way to split a coax into two or more legs without some signal loss. If you use a high quality cable TV splitter you can expect about 3.5 db of loss
FoxNewz <foxnewz@aol.com> wrote in message news:19990124204623.01140.00002483@ng-cb1.aol.com...
>Looking for Antenna splitter no loss?
>I am looking for two antenna splitters
>
>One for a 800 mhz antenna
>One for an all band scanner antenna
>
>They both will be for monitoring only and split 2 ways
>
>Also if anyone has any suggestions for the antennas please let me know...I am
>looking for an 800 and an all band
>
>
>Thanks

2783. Re: Rogue Cancellation summary for rec.r

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerry.laffert@warpgate.com
Message-ID: <74c_9901242100@warpgate.com>
Source record: 130023

Mentally impaired Jmackey@Usa.Net said the following to All:

> Have you been appointed to speak for "most all" of this
> newsgroup or are you lying again?
I appointed him to speak for me. How about the rest of you gang?

... Arrogance is the humility of the uncertain!
___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12

| WarpGate: Jerry Laffert 52:1000/211
| Internet: jerry.laffert@warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

2784. Re: Rogue Cancellation summary for rec.r

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerry.laffert@warpgate.com
Message-ID: <74d_9901242100@warpgate.com>
Source record: 130024

Mensa reject Jmackey@Usa.Net said the following to All:

> Do you have multiple personality disorder
You're the only one in the NG with that disorder.

> or were you apppointed to speak for the group?
He was appointed to speak for me.

> If you claim you were appointed then post the supporting proof
> (for verification) or be found to be a liar.
That's a mouthful from someone who has NEVER posted supporting proof
when they've been challenged.

... Mackey Rule # 6: Lie about the past, then try to repeat it.
___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12

| WarpGate: Jerry Laffert 52:1000/211
| Internet: jerry.laffert@warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

2785. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <I9Tq2.5723$DB1.5757@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130025

Please take this to E-mail JT.

JTWard01 wrote in message <19990125001657.09870.00002261@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
>>From: jmackey@usa.net
>>Date: 01-24-1999 15:23 EST
>>
>>This from someone who used to be a major cause of the flames here &
claimed
>>he
>>knew who my boss was!
>
>As far as I know, I've never been a "major cause of the flames here," and
I've
>never claimed to know who your boss is. Hell, I don't even know who YOU
are,
>except from what has been said about you on this newsgroup. I don't know,
and
>even more, I don't care. You wanna get into a pissing contest with me,
fine. Do
>it e-mail and don't clutter the newsgroup. I will not respond to any
further
>postings here.
>
>JW

2786. Re: Rogue Cancellation summary for rec.radio.scanner - 01/19/99 - 01/21/99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya02348000240199213418000l@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130026

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> txjones@tyransportz.com (Tom Jones) wrote:
> > I mean, insofar as Mackey's posts, at least, we *know* that we aren't
>
> Do you have multiple personality disorder or were you apppointed to
> speak for the group? If you claim you were appointed then post
> the supporting proof (for verification) or be found to be a liar.

Oh, THAT would be funny, alright! ToiletBoy decides that *I* am a liar? HAH!

Maybe I HAVE been appointed, TB, how do you know I haven't? Maybe Steve,
too... and ??? whoever else you try your latest brainstrain on...

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2787. IT WAS MACKEY ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480002401992149450001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 130027

All the time Mackey used to whine about how all the disruption and chicanery in this newsgroup was caused by Bill Cheek, it was really being caused by HIM! PROOF?

Bill Cheek has been gone for some time - and look at all the crap, bullshit, off-topic posts, flames and canceled posts that currently exists that are caused by none other than John TOILETBOY Mackey!
I REST MY CASE!

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

2788. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <eXTq2.5725$DB1.5792@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130028

JTWard01 wrote in message <19990125002551.09870.00002266@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
>>From: "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet>
>>Date: 01-24-1999 11:48 EST
>>
>>Hey JT, seems you should follow your own advise.
>
> You're right, I should have responded privately. And I would've
responded
>privately to this one, too, but it seems you use a bogus e-mail address.

Yea, Mavkey tried to mail bomb me so I don't post my real mail address.
Looking at it one should be able to deciper it.

> I did respond publicly to one Mackey msg, but I put him on notice that
I
>will not respond to any further public postings from him.

In reality we all should quit responding to him. The guy is such a
predictable puppet it is hard not to pull his string and watch him dance.

2789. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Varine <Witch**Dr@usa.noobulkemail.net>
Message-ID: <36AC0BF9.A9D@usa.noobulkemail.net>
Source record: 130029

Jim Lin wrote:
>
> I sent without thinking:
> > Ok, so does anyone have a recommendation for a good
> > handheld that'll do this? Haven't been able to find
> > one so far (although I haven't been looking long). I've
>
> Oops, I meant I can't find any scanner with the 225-400 MHz
> range other than Sporty's JD-100. Nothing against the
> JD-100, I'm just interested in all my options before I make
> a decision.

The BC3000XLT covers all of that plus it has 400 Channels and scans at a blazing 100cps. Triple Conversion, selectable attenuation, auto search and store, data-skip. I like it so much I have 2 of them......willing to trade one for a decent HF RCVR.....;)

2790. Re: John Mackey POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <DfUq2.5727$DB1.5705@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130030

ka0ssf_mackey@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
<78h1omvsi1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>In article <nmAq2.5555$DB1.5566@news.ntr.net>,
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>>
>> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e3ovnu1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>> >
>> >I only responded to ask who you were talking to, or do you have
invisible
>> >friends that only you can see?
>>
>> No John the Liar, you are still the only one on here with invisible
friends.
>
>You are correct Steve I am a liar.

I know I am correct John. Duh? :-)

I hope this really is a sign of you future behavior and not just one of your
"good" periods. Hope it all works out for you.

>> Please, get back on your MEDS or at least call your doctor and tell him
what
>> is going on again.
>
>I know I need to stay on my meds but it is so difficult with all the voices
in
>my head fighting me. My doctor has suggested I do a in patient admission
but
>the voices just won't let me.

You really should follow your doctors advise John. Look what happens when
you fall of your medications.

>Please forgive me when I get that way. My Dad hates me. My mom hates me. My
>life sucks really bad. Please help me.

We all have our problems but we have to work them out. I can't do much about
your mom and dad, that has to be rough. I am not the one to help you John.
Talk to your doctor and follow his advise John.

Good Luck John.

2791. Re: Anyone live in connecticut know about Scanner rules in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36AC0F84.F114ADC2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 130031

BTW: If you dont want to take my word for it, the CT State Barricks are right of the side of the road, just ask. Like in New London County, it is right of the 2 west of I-95

Ben wrote:

> If anyone lives in CONNECTICUT, do you know if it's legal to have a handheld
> scanner operational in your car? it's legal to have radar detectors and all,
> but what about scanners? just wonderin, thanks
>
> -ben

2792. Re: Anyone live in connecticut know about Scanner rules in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36AC0EEC.82B4957E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 130032

it is illegal to have a radar detector in CT State in a car, they will confiscate it, and no there is no laws that makes that illegal yet. Just states like NJ, KY, and 2 others are illegal.

Ben wrote:

> If anyone lives in CONNECTICUT, do you know if it's legal to have a handheld
> scanner operational in your car? it's legal to have radar detectors and all,
> but what about scanners? just wonderin, thanks
>
> -ben

2793. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78fvkb$4af$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130036

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78d8gh$e5m$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Then do exactly what you expected ME to do, POST YOUR PORRF that we
>may verify!

HA HA HA HA HA HA....

OK Einstein, tell me HOW! How am I supposed to prove to you that I am telling you the truth?! If two people using the exact same proxy server post to usenet their headers will be identical! I cannot provide this physical evidence in such an anonymous forum. Even someone as tremendously stupid as you should be able to figure that out!!

So if you can figure out how I can prove it to you then let me know and I see what I can do to ease your addled mind.

-Phil

2794. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78fvs0$4ag$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130037

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e3ldne1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Then prove it PHil!

Sure. You tell me how first, genius.
>
>A few months ago someone made some posts from a school in a different
>state than me, yet you claimed that alone was proof that it was me.

I did? Please post proof of this so I can verify it. I don't recall it, it must be a forge.

>Now, you admit that these posts in question come from your location
>& from the same building & same proxy server as YOU, yet you expect people
>NOT to believe it is YOU!!! Come Phil, the people on this NG
>are not that stupid.

John, you are so right. Simply post proof positive (this oughtta be good) that I and Choco are the same and I will give you a shiny new dollar.

You friggin loon!

-Phil

2795. scanners f.s.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: george <george@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <78g0di$grv@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 130038
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have the following scanners f.s.....
bc200xlt....like new in box.....225.00
bc760xlt....like new in box.....225.00 this unit can be base or mobile

bc700a no box have manuel...175.00 this includes shipping for this
scanner
bc800xlt .....225.00 this is a base unit receives
complete 800mhz band.....
the scanners listed above will receive the complete 860-895mhz with no
gaps
bc235xlt trunk tracker.....225.00
bc8500xlt.....350.00 this is a base unit
bc230xlt...like new in box...200.00.....
I will ship c.o.d. by fedex only with shipping 35.00 payed in advance
.....thanks
e-mail.. mackbolan@execpc.com

2796. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g07h$4ga$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130039

JWiley wrote in message ...
>My God you're a hypocrite! You have stated no less than 4 times that you were leaving the flame fest for good, with great sincerity, and yet here you are *again* in the middle of the shit pile, smelling like a rank turd.
>Your credibility is now in the negative numbers, which means you are a liar.

So what does hiding behind an assumed identity make you? PETER J. BOE of TELEPORT.COM thats what!

At least I post using my real name and email address. At least I keep my online ID the same so people may easily filter my posts if they wish. Unlike you and your HERO John Mackey!

Tell ya what Petey. Why don't you and Mackey go back to wherever you came from and do whatever it is that makes you guys happy. This would all die down if you two would just go away.

-Phil

2797. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g0tf$4m9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130040

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e3apde1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Horse laughing is very appropriate for a horse's ass like yourself.

OH! GASP! YOU HEATHEN!!! YOU - YOU - *CURSED* AT ME!!!! THE SHOCK!! THE AGONY!!! OH THE HUMANITY!!!!!

ROTFL!!!!!! God John you are an absolute riot - have you ever considered stand-up? Hell, one LOOK at you and the audience would be rolling in the aisles, and when you opened your mouth to speak they'd have to call the paramedics. You would have the whole place dying from laughter - especially the way you dress.

You oughta think about it - you'd make more than enough money to cover your therapy.

-Phil

2798. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g0mm$4io$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130041

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78d8me$e88$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Do you have to copy everyone else? Can't you come uyp with something
>original?

Sure I can. Remember the post I made up where you were the scarecrow and
Bill Cheek was the wizard of Oz? All your friends were there too, there was
Peter, he was the cowardly lion, and Dorothy and Toto and Auntie Em.....

If you like, I can dig that one up again... that was great...

-Phil

2799. Re: STEVE - KING LIAR - LIES again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g1dc$4s5$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130042

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78fudn$784$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>I wonder how many messages your ISP has received about you at
>their "abuse@ntr.net" e-mail?

Knowing you, I'd say you busted out the aliases and posted several. Point is John, most ISP's really don't consider complaints from you to be important. Most of the already know your agenda, and you messages go straight to the bit bucket.

-Phil

2800. Re: PHIL SMALLEY POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g188$4ok$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130043

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e3ovnu1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>I only responded to ask who you were talking to, or do you have invisible
>friends that only you can see?
>
Well lets see I accused the guy of being you, and YOU responded. I'd say the message got through.

And no John, the only one here with invisible friends is you.

-Phil

2801. Re: PHIL SMALLEY POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g12t$4of$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130044

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e5qo$21r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Since we haven't seen ANY ppossts from Newbie in the last few days,

>it would appear that he isn't here to respond, FOOL!

ppossts John?

Oh well, I give that one a solid 5 - at least you spelled FOOL correctly.

And to address the issue, I would say that the reason Newbie no longer posts here is so that no one else can snag one of his- or should I say YOUR- posts and view the headers, as I did.

Like I said John, this Newbie person does what no one else would - allow you to speak on his behalf. He's either you in disguise or just a complete idiot...

-Phil

2802. techno freebie site ...new downloads ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: a_alpha1@i.am
Message-ID: <36ab616f.4569126@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 130045
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.comsumers.free-stuff,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ph.uk

visit our " techno freebie " web site ..(no banners, non commercial
,no kidding) for other useful free technical items and software.
Get our " free " christmas gift (if you haven't already) and see
our
free Jan/Feb humour download too. We have a " new " posting for
a free " do it yourself " automotive adapter for handheld computers..
(since no one currently sells them) ..!!
(the automotive adapter plans were previously available
only via mail request ...but are now direct downloads ..)

A free non-commercial technophile service from TIgEas LAiR .....

http://home.pacbell.net/kaliber/index.html
>main page >The Abyss

(please reload each page ...if you have visited our site before)

2803. Re: Listen to GSM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: maggnum@webtel.net.au
Message-ID: <36ab020e.11564419@news.webtel.net.au>
Source record: 130046

On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 16:20:25 +0100, Olive <olive@2.sbbs.se> wrote:

>I need equipment to decode cellular phones,cordless phones,
>into voice audio,are there any scanner on the market that can
>deal with that,or does it take a separat decoder or a computer
>with software,
>If anyone have equipment for sale,or know were it can be
>bought I would like to get in touch with you.
>
Good luck in finding a way to decode GSM
It is designed to be totally secure

2804. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g1li$4uh$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e315ah1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Obviously you are talking about Bill since he has made that list several
>times.

Obviously?? How is describing you to a tee obviously about Bill Cheek. Bill is nothing like you John. He is a successful entrepreneur with experience in electronics that dates back to the Vietnam war, and you well, we all know what you are. Or should I post it again to "prove" it to you?

Bottom line John: I was talking about you and you know it. I really think I hit close to home because the first thing you did was deny (to yourself mostly) that I am right. You sad, sad little man...

-Phil

2805. Re: JOHN MACKEY FAILS TO DENY AND IN SO DOING PROVES HIS GUILT!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g1th$4uk$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130048

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e3v2qe1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> That is true, Mackey did lie. He has been on this NG spewing more shit
than
>> a salad shooter in a manure pile for 3 years, NOT 2.
>
>Wrong, I started on this NG In July 1996, just slightly over 2 years ago
>& certainly not 3 years ago.

So then you don't deny that you spew more shit than a salad shooter in a manure pile, or that you have nude posters of Ernest Borgnine?!?!

That's a little more than we needed to know about you John

HA HA HA HA HA!!!!

-Phil

2806. Re: mcdonalds 900 band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AB8CF9.472E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130049

PDeveau615 wrote:
>
> hi, i've been tring to monitor mcdonalds which is about 200 yards from my house
> the employees are wearing the 3m style headsets.
> i've scanned the 900 band for about 4 hours using wide fm and 12.5 khz steps
> and still no luck, does anyone have these frequencys available?
>
> i'm from central mass.
>
> thanks
> Paul
> PDeveau615@aol.com

Hi Paul,

The 900 Mhz 3M system use Wide FM and are very low in power.

I think 200 yards may be too far away.

These are the frequencies ranges for the 3M headsets:

ISM Band 902 - 928 Mhz (3M and GM Style headsets)

920.0000 - 922.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Speaker)
903.0000 - 905.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Headset)

McDonalds has been confirmed on the following frequencies:

RESTAURANT CUSTOMER (R) CLERK (I)

McDonalds 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
33.1400 151.8950
* 33.1400 170.3050
33.4000 154.5400
* 33.4000 154.5700
* 35.0200 151.8950
* 35.0200 154.4900
35.0200 154.6000
151.7150 169.4450
151.7450 UNKNOWN
151.7750 171.9050
151.8650 UNKNOWN
154.5700 170.2450
154.6000 171.1050
* 457.5375 461.0875
457.5500 467.7750

457.6000 467.8250
460.8875 465.8875
461.0375 466.0375
461.0875 466.0875
461.3125 466.3125
462.1625 467.1625
463.2875 468.2875
464.5125 469.5125
469.0125 464.0125
469.0625 464.0625
469.1125 464.1125
469.1375 464.1375
469.1625 464.1625
469.1875 464.1875
469.3125 464.3125
469.3375 464.3375
469.3875 464.3875
920.2000 WFM 903.2000 WFM
920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM
920.5000 WFM 903.5000 WFM
920.9625 WFM 903.9625 WFM

Check out the following URL for a complete list of Fast Food Drive
Thru frequencies:

http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/fastfood.txt

Hope This Helps

Bob

2807. Re: freqs. for police in Nebraska or Iowa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AB8EC7.41DC@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130050
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Fun 991184 wrote:
>
> If anybody knows freqs. for Nebraska or Iowa please e-mail them to Jbend5461,
> that is my screen name. I would really appriciate it.
>
> Joey

Check out the following URLs for Nebraska:

http://www.radiks.net/~mnissen/scan.htm
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/9867/southcentral.html
http://www2.htcnet.com/~msftrncs/amateur_radio/freq.html

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/7389/omahafreqpage.html

Check out the following URLs for Iowa:

http://www.public.iastate.edu/~egrams/scanner.html
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/7389/dubfreqpage.html
http://www.netins.net/showcase/wadepfau/dsmfreq.txt

2808. Re: Looking for an 800mhz tuned antenna for my BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: JLP001@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10710-36AB83BA-29@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 130051

get the fitted leather case. it warms up the plastic case.

2809. Re: Steve - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g2ba$54i$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130052

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e4aa$14f$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> HIS NAME IS BILL CHEEK, JOHN. BILL CHEEK. YOU KNOW, THE GUY WHO
>
>Oh yea, thanks I forgot his name.

SURE you did.......

>I never saw this ppost you are referring to, it must have not
>made it to my server.

ppost? Well look again because it's t-t-t-there.

>Then WHY was he kicked off of the Scan-L mailing list by Peter Laws
He told them that if they continued to do something they were doing (I
forget what, now) that they might run into legal trouble. Peter perceived
this to be an attempt to moderate the group, and in my opinion, rather than
do the right thing and work it out with Bill he just gave him the finger and
the boot. Immature and stupid as that was.

>& why was he kicked off of his ISP, both due to abuse in the summer of
1998?

Because you did you usual lie-and-deny-then-run-and-tell routine, and
because roadrunner.com is so concerned with their "image" they stupidly
believed you. That's why. What amazes me is the fact that people are stupid
enough to buy your "poor me" routine.

You really need to find a hobby.
-Phil

2810. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g2n3$584$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130053

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78d7i0$de4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...

>No problem, all you had to do was ASK for the proof ANYTING I say I can
>back with proof when asked! See Below headers.

<blah, blah, blah, snip>

So what does that prove? You yourself have said that cyberhighway is a nationwide ISP with servers in several cities. I think it's very possible that you would go so far as to get multiple accounts so you and your other 6 or 7 personalities can be perceived as some sort of moron majority. As usual you prove nothing except what a jerk-off you are.

-Phil

2811. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: arbiter@altavista.net
Message-ID: <36ad86c6.1185512@news-server>
Source record: 130054

On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 10:13:00 -0700, dcisive@wans.net (Lee Rothman)
wrote:

>You can get all the WinRadios you want. I do not however feel that they
>are very good, or in all fairness any better then say a PCR1000. They all
>do well on Vhf-Uhf, but suck on HF. The the price you could have a top
>rated handheld and a better unit overall. I am sorry to say that the wide
>band receivers out there so far that are of the computer controlled types
>have a ways to go before they get to the level of overall performance
>they ought to be.

You may have a point about HF, but this is a problem of all VHF/UHF
scannners, not just Winradio or Icom. Keep in mind that they are
VHF/UHF scanners! If you are interested in HF, you are always better
off getting a 30MHz shortwave receiver rather than 1500 MHz scanner.
A shortwave receiver is optimized for the 50-times narrower band, and
so has (or should have) a better dynamic range and sensitivity.

Warren

2812. Re: MACKEY CAUGHT LYING - WATCH THIS THREAD FOR PROOF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g37s$5du$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130055

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e4ot$1gp$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>> jmackey@usa.net kaossf@parg.org
>SNIP
>Those both are still current & valid e-mail addresses
>of mine, so what is your point? (besides the one on your head)
No, no, no, John. You missed the question, as usual. Now out with it. Have you or have you not posted under these aliases:
jmackey@usa.net kaossf@parg.org
anyplace@nowhere.mil no-place@anywhere.com
No-place@anywhere.org nowhere@anyplace.net
jomackey@hotmail.com mackey@idiots.org
nothing@anywhere.edu nowhere@anyplace.net
no_adress@anywhere.com no.address@anywhere.gov
No-address@No-where.com no_place@any-where.com
no--place@any__where.com no-place@anywhere.net
No--place@any-where.org no-where@no-place.com
nothing@anywhere.edu

If I were to do a dejanews search on them all would I or would I not find posts written by you? Answer the question John, or I'll answer it for you (posts and all). You are lying and I have caught you. Admit it.
>
>hahahaha, with a little work you could do amateur comedy Phil!
With a little work you might be able to tell the truth for once. C'mon John, you can do it!

>Actually though McDonalds Corp is a client of my employer!
So what you're saying is that the regional McDonalds VP comes into your store for lunch, right? That doesn't count, John.

-Phil

2813. Re: Steve Still lies .... here is the proof !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g3am$5e6$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130056

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78fumq$7ai$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>I don't have to prove who my employer is because I never said who. Plus,
>I never will say who because I don't desire to have my employer brought
into
>petty usenet problems. That is my choice. Phil choses to do it, that is
his
>choice. Most of us respect people's individual choices.

Why can't you just admit that you work for McDonalds, John. Or should I post
a pic of you working the drive thru??

-Phil

2814. Re: Central Scotland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: olympus@0spam.prestel.co.uk
Message-ID: <36ab88e2.8231178@news.prestel.co.uk>
Source record: 130057
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 18:16:36 -0000, "Kel" <kel@united1.freeserve.co.uk>
wrote:

>Does anyone know any frequencies for Central Scotland (Forth Valley Area) or
>where I can get them?

Ask in alt.radio.scanner.uk

--
- 73 de Jim, g(m)4rga | To call it toadying would be to invite a
olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | group libel action from toads.
dynastic%cwcom.net | - Matthew Parris, on a particularly
marula%zdnetmail.com | unctious question to Blair from
g4rga@gb7tjf.#45.gbr.eu | John Hatton, MP.
Rallies Info: www2.prestel.co.uk/jimdee
Pgp key: wwwkeys.uk.pgp.net:11371

2815. Re: John, Phil & Co. Ltd.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@mail.blinx.de>
Message-ID: <36AB91E7.374B655E@mail.blinx.de>
Source record: 130058

K patterson wrote:

> I could not possibly agree with you more! Since I gave up trying to reason
> with John, I have started to enjoy this NG much more. You know darn well he
> will tell you black is white or up is down. So, relax and blow him off if he
> bothers you.
> Jon S. Van Allen wrote in message <36AA21A8.9130E38F@worldnet.att.net>...
> >
> >
> >Phil Smalley wrote:
> >
> >> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >
> ><SNIP>
> >
> >Yada, Yada, Yada, for CHRIST'S SAKE, we are all tired of this public
> >pissing contest. Take it private and get it the hell off of this
> newsgroup!!!
> >This mindless crap will go on forever, nobody will admit they are wrong
> >as long as they're sitting behind their keyboards being macho. Enough is
> >enough already. Ignore Mackey, he'll go away if nobody acknowledges
> >him. Come one people, get a life and talk about scanners for a change.
> >
> >Jon
> >
> >
> >
> >

Maybe (for sure-??) Mackey and Smalley are in the same body with different minds
??????? spamming on us and both laughing on us (one after one
;-)).....including their (his/her??) virtual friends....?????

regards
odo

2816. Re: CHP, new channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Pekarek <ericpekarek@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <78g27r$ne2$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 130059

Mike is correct pl tone of 118.8
Michael Derryberry wrote in message ...
>Riverside is now on the Turquoise-1 (39.68 Disp/42.02 Car). Rancho
Cucamonga
>is on the Beige-1 (39.88 Disp/42.08 Car)
>
>Hope this helps ...
>
>Mike Derryberry
>
>--
>Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!
>
>
>Aaron wrote in message ...
>>Does anyone have the new beige channel that was created in November? It
>was
>>created to split the Riverside & Rancho station which use to share the
same
>>frequency. Riverside is now on Turquoise.
>>
>>
>
>

2817. ar 8200 for sale (lower price)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: parker <maclean02@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <78g458$f6u$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 130060
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

have offer of $400
selling it Monday unless I get a better offer

2818. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124164820.18722.00002432@ng26.aol.com>
Source record: 130061

> From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com

>In the future, I'll just accept that some people can't read, or that the
>rather simple statement I've made in this thread many times before is read but
>then lost in the more complicated issues raised. I'll keep responding to
other
>issues, but not the fundamental one you somehow raise, despite my covering it
>many times by now.

Does that mean you'll also accept the fact that even if police agencies
go full-time 100% encrypted (and yes I already know you don't support this),
it won't stop the number of criminals ENTERING society? Oh yes, it may
increase the number of criminals caught by the police, because those
criminals won't have scanners to evade the police, but no, it won't do much
to decrease the life of crime. Statistics prove this: there are simply more
criminals than police. Therefore, your argument about the criminals having
scanners, thus the need for more encryption, is proven to be ineffective.

FACT: Go encrypted, catch 1 more criminal who can't use his scanner,
meanwhile 10 more criminals enter a life of crime. Sorry, Tyler, you lose
10:1. And all the millions of dollars of tax payers' money that you spent
on your huge Digital Encrypted System did squat to stop criminals from
entering society. Explain yourself, Tyler, to the Congressional Oversight
Committee.

>You can tune in. They (notice I said they, not "we" -- I am speaking for
>myself, based on my experiences) have the right & duty to be concerned about
the
>vulnerability of certain information contained in their radio transmissions,
and
>take action to protect the communications. This isn't done by seizing
scanners,
>it's done by securing circuits.

They have the right to hide WHICH INFORMATION ??????????????
Can you say: RODNEY KING !!!!!!!!! I bet you were pissed off, Tyler, when
the
helicopter television news crew heard on their scanner (oops!), a LAPD call
that
they had a black male stopped on a routine traffic offense, so they decided to
turn
on the cameras and take a pass by. The rest, of course, is American History.
But then there's Tyler who doesn't want the television media to listen in to
the police
on their scanners and be able to photograph great law enforcement officers
doing

such great jobs to protect the citizens against big bad black men, by beating the
living daylights out of them. Yep, I'm with you, Tyler: I vote for LAPD to go encrypted
everytime they stop a black man, in the name of "safety" ;--)

>We pay for the White House, so why don't you start a petition to put a live
>Webcam up in the Oval Office?

Same logic with your argument: Why stop at encryption??? Let's let the police
officers come into our homes anytime they want. Let's let the police officers have
random road blocks and search cars at will, and search cars in our driveways and
garages. Let's have cameras watch us pee pee and poopy in public bathrooms.
Let's let the police open up our mail and copy it onto hard drives in the basement
of the local police headquarters. Why stop at encryption, when we can make the
world so much safer by giving law enforcement more and more power ????

> Intelligent & responsible people whose minds aren't emotionally-charged tend
>to see the broader issue -- we pay for the police to protect us. Non-secure
>communications can be a liability in terms of officer safety and law enforcement
>effectiveness.

And even more intelligent & even more responsible people see a need to prevent
criminals from ENTERING INTO A LIFE A CRIME. But, that was a previous post
where you called me ideologic and you weren't going to listen to a speech from a
"a scanner geek." Well, I guess that proved your level of intelligence &
responsibility.

It is unfortunate that the "us vs. them" war isn't centered around the elementary school
and high school level, where future citizens of this great nation first form their family
values and moral obligations to society. Instead, bureaucrats and politicians want to
invest millions of dollars in digital encryption communications equipment.

> The only legitimate Us vs Them attitude should be Us vs. Criminals. But
>plenty of scanner geeks have the 'Us vs Them' attitude regarding police
>encryption, in case you hadn't noticed.

It is unfortunate that the "us vs. them" war isn't centered around the elementary school
and high school children, where future citizens of this great nation first form their family
values and moral obligations to society. I'm surprised that you live in

Detroit, Mi.,
surrounded by one of the worst school systems in our country, and you have the audacity to write speeches on the internet about the immediate need for million dollar
encryption systems for the Michigan State Police? The Detroit Public Works couldn't
even clean snow off their streets for 3 weeks. Detroit Metropolitan Airport didn't have
any effective plan to remove snow from its runways leaving passengers stranded in their
seats on planes for 13 hours, and stranded transient airline passengers slept and waited
in Detroit Metro terminals for three days! Yet, you feel so strongly about the need for a
million dollar digital communications system for the Michigan State Police in spite of so
many other places around Michigan that the money could be better well spent, especially
on our school children ????

> I'm a member of the public. My posts all have my name attached. Yours didn't. I
>guess "you feel like you need to hide what you are doing from the public." Are
>you a criminal? Probably not -- you're just exercising your right to privacy.

I may use an internet name that I like and I'm comfortable with, but I'm here right
in front of your screen Tyler, write to me anytime you want. I'm not hiding, I'm
real, I'm not a creation of cyber space. There's a real person typing on this keyboard. I have nothing against the police referring to themselves as "One Adam
Twelve", the way you should have nothing against me or anyone else using a handle. Amateur Radio operators go by their call signs all the time, too. But,
I don't see Amateur Radio operators complaining that they are being monitored and
need to convert to digital encrypted ham radio.

>Police communications not only have that right, it's a duty. That duty can be
>realized by proper operations security awareness, but in my opinion, police
>generally are no smarter than the rest of us (and compared to many of us, are
>dumb/ignorant when it comes to communications matters). Encryption --and sadly,
>full-time encryption-- is a major aspect of the solution to operations security
>awareness problems caused by police ignorance, negligence, or stress.

So, the police are dumb, they beat up Rodney King because they were negligent and
under stress, therefore, we should encrypt their tactical channels so we, the public,
will never see a Rodney King get beat up again ?????? No, sorry, but you are
wrong. The police have to answer to us. Deal with it.

talkyal

2819. THE LIAR MACKEY IS A PILE OF GOAT DONGS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0ssf_sucks@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78g97a$eq3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130062

Yea...uhhuhuhuh...Dejanews uses the latest and newest servers to prevent problems.

Mackelooney Toon you are a pubble of rat cum. Go fuck yourself!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2820. Coast Guard VHF frequ needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: donhark@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78g98aerl1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130063

I am looking for freques that the coast guard use, in the VHF band.
It anyone can help please email me or reply to this message.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2821. medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0ssf@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <78g98uerq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130064

All this talk about my medictions causes me to spew. Although my meds have not been stabilized all the way, I AM TAKING MY MEDICTIONS. The mean remarks that have been made are just that, mean-spirited, and I will continue to complain to any ISP that those messages come from. Even AOL, which everyone knows is not a real ISP.

Now, on to my newsgroup. I have asked usenet to make me the moderator of this newsgroup, in light of my history in cleaning up the newsgroup, and running off Bill Cheeks. If you have a problem with this, TOUGH! I will be the ruler of this newsgroup, and as long as you don't cross me, I will let you stay here.

Any of you have to admit, that I am the best thing that ever came to this newsgroup, and am personally responsibble for thousands of posts, increasing the message count considerably.

Smalldick, Bonehead Bowers, Stupid steve, and all the others, your time on the newsgroup is short.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2822. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78g4pq$ph8$0@207.18.224.91>
Source record: 130065

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 03:16:45 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> waddling in....You friggin LOON!!!!! (horselaughing)
>Horse laughing is very appropriate for a horse's xxx like yourself.

John, in another recent post, you took someone to task
for using profanity, yet you post profanity here. What is your
problem.

2823. Re: STEVE IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78g4s5$ph8$1@207.18.224.91>
Source record: 130066

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 20:16:54 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>> Proof? or PROFF or however you type it? Paste this long boy in your browser
>> and there is a look at vintage 1995 John - Liar-Boy - Mackey cussing cu
>
>That's pretty good, you had to be back FOUR years to find a message of me
>using a swear word. For you we would only have to go back a few hours
>to when you posted this original message I am responding to!
>
I only had to look back a couple of days, to find you using swear
words. You MUST get back on your meds.

2824. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124170349.18722.00002446@ng26.aol.com>
Source record: 130067

>From: "Curtis Bidulock" <bidulock@hotmail.com>

>I've heard that the 235 has a computer interface is this true?

Well, I've heard that there is a UFO at Area 51, too.

talkyal

2825. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <78g53sfps1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 130068

Okay guys, let's not turn this into a pissing match. Everyone has their own beliefs about monitoring public safety communications. While it is good to offer ideas as to why one belief or another is better, this has become nothing more than a petty argument. If you want to monitor PS comms, go right ahead. If you want to try and decrypt their data, go ahead. If you think that's morally unacceptable, then don't do it. Just please remove the bickering from this NG.

Terry

Tim Tyler wrote in message <36AAC5AD.83B1C375@mich.com>...
> In the future, I'll just accept that some people can't read, or that the
>rather simple statement I've made in this thread many times before is read
but
>then lost in the more complicated issues raised. I'll keep responding to
other
>issues, but not the fundamental one you somehow raise, despite my covering
it
>many times by now.
>
> But for your benefit, the simple statement which sums up my belief is:
>
> You can tune in. They (notice I said they, not "we" -- I am speaking for
>myself, based on my experiences) have the right & duty to be concerned
about the
>vulnerability of certain information contained in their radio
transmissions, and
>take action to protect the communications. This isn't done by seizing
scanners,
>it's done by securing circuits.
>
>BB wrote:
>
>> What I found truly frightening was the statement "percieved rights of
>> hobbyists to listen in". I mean I thought that the numerous "public
safety"
>> entities existed to serve the public. I guess our poster here feels like
>> they merely exist so he can play with cool toys paid for by the public
which
>> he serves.
>
> Silly guess.
>
>> I mean we paid for it so why can't we listen to it for
>> entertainment purposes ?

>
> You can! We also paid for the telephones in police offices. Why can't we
>listen in to those calls for entertainment purposes too (at least when
they're
>made to other taxpayer-funded phones)?
>
> We pay for the White House, so why don't you start a petition to put a
live
>Webcam up in the Oval Office?
>
> Intelligent & responsible people whose minds aren't emotionally-charged
tend
>to see the broader issue -- we pay for the police to protect us. Non-secure
>communications can be a liability in terms of officer safety and law
enforcement
>effectiveness.
>
>> It is unfortunate that the us vs them attitude is so prevalent in
>> law enforcement circles today.
>
> The only legitimate Us vs Them attitude should be Us vs. Criminals. But
>plenty of scanner geeks have the 'Us vs Them' attitude regarding police
>encryption, in case you hadn't noticed.
>
>> If you feel like you need to hide what you
>> are doing from the public maybe you should consider a life of crime.
>
> I see you have a slight 'Us vs Them' complex too...
>
> I'm a member of the public. My posts all have my name attached. Yours
didn't. I
>guess "you feel like you need to hide what you are doing from the public."
Are
>you a criminal? Probably not -- you're just exercising your right to
privacy.
>Police communications not only have that right, it's a duty. That duty
can be
>realized by proper operations security awareness, but in my opinion, police
>generally are no smarter than the rest of us (and compared to many of us,
are
>dumb/ignorant when it comes to communications matters). Encryption --and
sadly,
>full-time encryption-- is a major aspect of the solution to operations
security
>awareness problems caused by police ignorance, negligence, or stress.
>
>> There is a saying that came from Rome and I apologize for not recalling
>> the author but he said : "Once we had criminals that opressed us, now we
>> have laws that opress us".
>

> "First, kill all the lawyers" could be a response equally quoted way out
of
>context -- but at least I know who authored it (Bill Shakespeare).
>
>> BB
>
>--
>Tim Tyler
>ttyler@mich.com
>http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/
>
>

2826. Re: BC235XLT NiCad Battery "Memory"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <78g5bq$fu9$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 130069

Don-

The method you are using is probably the dendrite removal technique. Chemicals within the battery will crystalize over time and eventually penetrate the lead plate, causing an internal short or an unexplained drop in useful run time/time to discharge. Many ideas have been developed as to how to cure this problem- such as a reverse polarity like you have been using (rather hard on the cells, however), a rapid pulse exceeding the voltage of the cell by many times (still pretty hard on those cells), and even a mechanical shock (just don't drop it too hard and start tearing things like case, parts, etc. up). Many high-dollar battery analyzers/reconditioners/chargers use some electrical technique to remove dendrites.

Terry

Llama267 wrote in message <19990124140419.13233.00002275@ng125.aol.com>...
>Bill Cheek has done some significant research on "NiCd memory effect", and NASA
>has published information on it as well. Try a DejaNews and an AltaVista search
>on "NiCd memory".
>
>My much less techincal experiences have given me some good results (most of the
>time). I take a small Radio Shack 12 volt DC power supply and reverse the
>polarity on each cell until the circuit breaker pops. I then reset the breaker
>and connect the leads to their proper polarity until the breaker pops again.
>This seems to work best when the battery voltage is either zero, or reversed.
>I have also tried it on cells which discharge faster than they should, also
>with good results. My minor research had turned up documents which described a
>"whisker" which supposedly discharges the cell, and I think what my procedure
>does is either break that connection, or maybe just re-form the cell, allowing
>the cell to be useful for a while longer. I figured what the heck, if it
>works, I've got a cell that works for a while longer, if it doesn't -- no
>biggie -- it was dead anyway.
>Again, do a search on NiCd memory effect, and look in the DejaNews archives for
>the articles that Mr. Cheek has contributed.

>
>On a more scanner related note, how the heck do you interface the CE-232 to
the
>Listening Post signal strength input - exactly please - I'm not real clear
on
>the procedure, even after reading the article in Monitoring Times and
looking
>in the LP documentation.
>
>Don,
>Niceville

2827. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78g67i$s8u$0@207.18.224.91>
Source record: 130070

On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 19:22:47 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>
>> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bg3d$4ri$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>> >Both those identies are seperate & not me.
>
>> No they aren't and that is a fact because you didn't post any proof. That is
>> your benchmark. Thanks for admitting you are a liar and guilty as charged.
>
>
>No problem, all you had to do was ASK for the proof ANYTING I say I can
>back with proof when asked! See Below headers.
>

Oh, you can? Please then, provide the proof of the following claims
you have made.

Your masters of communication technology. Where is it from, etc.

Your numerous schools from Motorola you say you have attended.

Your background and experience in the professional two way industry.

I am asking for proof, and your above statement says you will provide
that proof when asked. Or did you lie?

2828. Re: Please Leave Mackey Alone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g68g$6ce$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130071

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78es3f$gt3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
See John, there you go again. I was willing to let the whole thing drop, and then you came on here spouting off even more. Now, this guy posts a very sane and logical "stop the madness" kind of post, and you use it as a springboard to start more crap. All the while pretending that you don't like it. What a crock.

-Phil

2829. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g6fm$6ep$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130072

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78g0fl$8il$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>hehehe, nice try. Make a post from that address, THEN I will believe you
>IF it is authentic!
>

Why don't you just send him email and see if it bounces back, retard? Why not view his online profile to see who he is? Why does everyone else have to do everything for you? Aren't you capable of doing the research yourself, or is that just asking too much?

Geez, what a twit....

-Phil

2830. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g6ja$6eq$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130073

Blake Bowers wrote in message <78g4pq$ph8$0@207.18.224.91>...
>John, in another recent post, you took someone to task
>for using profanity, yet you post profanity here. What is your
>problem.
>

His problem is that he is a mirror. Whatever you do to him comes back from him later. He's nothing more than a parrot - he'll mimic your every move. I like to use that against him - it's quite entertaining.....

-Phil

2831. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78gboagjm1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130074

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> So, YOUR WORD MEANS NOTHING, as you post from an USA.NET address!

Wrong, Blake!
Sorry Blake, but the usanet address I have was assigned
to me & I pull my mail thru a POP server, NOT the web based type that
anyone can get.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2832. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ajsarcade@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124173306.22189.00003029@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 130075

SNIP!
>hehehe, nice try. Make a post from that address, THEN I will believe you
>IF it is authentic!
>
>

You asked for it, you got it, Jackass Mackey!

NOW BRING IT ON!!! GO AHEAD! I DARE YOU! GO RIGHT AHEAD! BRING IT ON WITH YOUR BAD SELF! I WILL SMEAR YOU FROM ONE END OF THIS NEWSGROUP TO THE OTHER! YOU ARE NOTHING BUT LOW LIFE POND SCUM! SO I SAY TO YOU, BRING IT ON! NO SPOOFING HERE, TWIRP! IT IS THE REAL DEAL! NO HIDING BEHIND HOTMAIL, DEJA NEWS, OR FAKE ISP'S! BRING IT ON, AS I HAVE NOTHING TO HIDE!

YOU ON THE OTHER HAND HAVE PLENTY TO HIDE. YOU ARE JUST LOW LIFE POND SCUM, WHO WORKS AT McDONALD'S AS A JANITOR. HEY, WE ALL KNOW THE BEST PART OF YOU RAN DOWN YOUR MOTHER'S LEG AFTER YOUR FATHER (WHO STILL THINKS YOU AREN'T WORTHY OF BEING RANKED WITH HUMAN BEINGS) FINISHED HIS 30 SECONDS OF BLISS.

But, hey what do I know? I am not the one hiding behind masks no, am I? So I repeat -

BRING IT ON!!!!!

2833. Re: Looking for an 800mhz tuned antenna for my BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124173605.18722.00002461@ng26.aol.com>
Source record: 130076

>From: mikestuber@hotmail.com

>1. cigarette lighter adapter - For on the way to work

Radio Shack #270-031 - 1.3 mm plug $6.99
(bottom of pages 72, 267, 278 in 1999 Catalog)

2834. Re: Newbie Request: Please Shut Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rob731@juno.com
Message-ID: <36ac9f97.2510789@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
Source record: 130077

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 10:33:04 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> ajmedia@aol.com (AJMedia) wrote:
>> I'm not a "newbie", but rather an occasional visitor to this newsgroup.
>> Although I agree with you, I do have one question: Who the hell is Mackey?!?
>
>My name is John Mackey & my amateur radio call is KA0SSF (extra class
>license). I have been on this NG for slightly over 2 years. I am from Sioux
>City, Iowa
>
>Some of the trouble makers on this NG are angry at me because I stood
>up the the bully tactics of a few certain people which caused them to
>lose their online access and caused great disdain to the remaining bullies.
>
>Is there anything else I may help you with?
>
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
Well Tsc! Tsc!

2835. A Question for John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g7be$6o5$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130078

You never answered my very valid question, and I think that the readers of this group deserve an answer John.

You made a claim that you have not changed your on-line ID more than 3 times in this newsgroup. I however have evidence linking you to all of these id's:

jmackey@usa.net kaossf@parg.org
anyplace@nowhere.mil no-place@anywhere.com
No-place@anywhere.org nowhere@anyplace.net
jomackey@hotmail.com mackey@idiots.org
nothing@anywhere.edu nowhere@anyplace.net
no_adress@anywhere.com no.address@anywhere.gov
No-address@No-where.com no_place@any-where.com
no--place@any__where.com no-place@anywhere.net
No--place@any-where.org no-where@no-place.com
nothing@anywhere.edu

Now I ask you AGAIN John, If I were to do a dejanews search on them all would I or would I not find posts written by you in both r.r.s. and a.r.s.?

You are lying and I have caught you. Admit it.

I know why you won't answer. Because then you can't dupe people into believing that you are just a random victim of a bunch of "usenet bullies". If you admit to this, then people will realize that you are the root of all the problems here and we are all flaming you because we are sick of your crap.

You know damn well that if you would just crawl back under your rock, that we would all go back to talking about scanning. You know it, and that is why you stay. You want to ruin this group and blame it all on Bill Cheek and his friends, don't you?

Get lost loon, the jig is up.

-Phil

2836. Calling All Citizens Chat Event RPL111

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dpinero@pobox.com
Message-ID: <36ab532d.1502763@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 130079
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting.open,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

CHAT WILL FEATURE DISCUSSION ON CLOSED BROADCASTING POLICY IN HARRIS COUNTY, TX.

Many public safety officials believe that encrypted or "closed" broadcasting enhances officer safety and common-sense security. Open broadcasting pundits, of which many are hobby scannerists, believe the exact opposite and have adopted most if not all of the principles exemplified at http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm .

Until recently it was a fair democratic debate between experienced, well-intended public safety administrators focusing on security issues; and close-to-the Earth scannerists who fully understood what benefits positively exploited open broadcasting policies could mean. They had after all been taking advantage of a technically-dictated 60-year reign of open air communications.

However, the tone turned ugly in at least one confrontation between an open broadcasting preservationist and his local officials, and it may be a sign of the things to come. A scheduled chat hosted as an open broadcasting advocacy event will focus on censorship-like efforts on a radio monitoring website in Houston, Texas.

John, the webmaster of HoustonScan.com, will to answer questions and generally discuss his experiences with Harris County Officials who demanded that he remove a list of trunking IDs from his website. He will also talk about the outcome of his efforts which will inspire, enrage, and shock everyone present.

The chat will be held Saturday, February 13th, at 9:00 p.m. in the java-based open broadcasting chat room found at:
http://members.aol.com/truth4000/chat2.htm

The chat will last officially one hour. Obviously, however, great discussions are never terminated and may run the gambit of the evening depending on interest and turn out.

To get some background on John's experience, visit his excellent information site at:
http://HoustonScan.com/ and http://RadioScanner.com/

This is a grass-roots philosophical movement. There is no budget, no grand standing, no attempt to judge. The chat, as are all open broadcasting chats, is driven by the wisdom and insight of those who understand the benefits of open broadcasting, and the threats of public safety systems going closed.

In the event of technical changes or updated chat information, you can check bulletins posted at http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm . For the truly interested, I encourage signing up with the Listbot-based listserv dedicated to this niche theme. A form for doing so is provided at the above site.

This notice will be retransmitted multiple times until February 13. For your filtering convenience, the key 'RPL111' has been added to the subject line so that the messages will be deleted in your future usenet sessions.

See you there!

Dave
David Pinero * ICQ # 3887811 * AIM Name TDAVE365
http://www.pobox.com/~dpinero
Home of the rather generic Dave-Cam!

2837. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78gc4jgud1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130080

"Charlie Dwenger" <cdwenger@hsonline.net> wrote:
> John,
> Just remember.....You are what you eat. ;>P

hehehehehe,

are you going to Dayton?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2838. Re: STEVE - KING LIAR - LIES again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <0INq2.5702$DB1.5762@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130081

The thing is Phil, ntr.net is not my ISP. I have never spoken to these folks in my life and can tell you without a doubt that they certainly aren't my ISP. SO John, eat shit (yourself) and die. BAWAHAHA!

That Mackeyloon is such a friggin moron.

Phil Smalley wrote in message <78g1dc$4s5$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>
>jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78fudn$784$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>>I wonder how many messages your ISP has received about you at
>>their "abuse@ntr.net" e-mail?
>
>
>Knowing you, I'd say you busted out the aliases and posted several. Point
is
>John, most ISP's really don't consider complaints from you to be important.
>Most of the already know your agenda, and you messages go straight to the
>bit bucket.
>
>-Phil
>
>

2839. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124174314.18722.00002465@ng26.aol.com>
Source record: 130082

>From: "tvsjr" <tvsjr@sprynet.com>

>Okay guys, let's not turn this into a pissing match. Everyone has their own
>beliefs about monitoring public safety communications.

While I agree that the thread is starting to get out-of-control, and we should control this thread at this point (also my exact point about controlling police communications), I still think that this thread also had some constructive criticism (positive and negative), about the future of scanning, and the future of police communications.

talkyal

2840. Re: John Mackey KA0SSF Still full of FECES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <tnNq2.5703$DB1.5773@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130083

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78fumq$7ai$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>
>> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e4ot$1gp$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>> >>hahahaha, with a little work you could do amateur comedy Phil!
>> >Actually though McDonalds Corp is a client of my employer!
>>
>> Prove it John. Who is your employer? You know you don't have one since
they
>
>I don't have to prove who my employer is because I never said who.

Nobody believes you have an employer John. LIAR John in action can't back
his words again..

Stop the lies John and get back on your medication.

2841. Re: 2 level FSK interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: g4fzn@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <36ab64ae.5085574@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 130084
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"OErjan Hoff" <oehoff@c2i.net> wrote:

>Hello!
>Anyone have drawings for the "ultimate" 2level FSK interface
>I want the BEST :-)
>I also wants drawing's for 4 level.
>
>
>Best regards
>OErjan Hoff
>
>
Drawings for both are in pocflex.exe, which is around on the internet.
Run it, and it self-extracts into several files, which includes a
couple of gifs, containing cct diags of a 2level and a 4level decoder.
If you want me to email it to you, drop me a line.

Question: Has anyone succeeded yet in decoding all the FLEX phases
with one of these 4-level demodulators ? ie) Does it work ????

Chris
--
EMail: g4fzn@yahoo.com
Url: http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/1000
ICQ: 16140895

2842. Re: JOHN MACKEY et. al.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78g7vo$6u4$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 130085

Eyeonudude wrote in message <19990123220713.23101.00002062@ng102.aol.com>...
>
>Dear Mr. Mackey,
>
>I have been reading this newsgroup for several years now. I am accustomed
to
>ignoring Mackey et al, however it appears that anymore it is only Mackey.
>
>Why do you continue this? Even Bill Check went away. Why if you only
would
>quit responding to Smalldick and those other shrimpdick goons (Blockhead
>Bowers, Steve, and the other "me too's"), why they would go away too.
>
>Then the world would truly be a better place.
>
>I hope you consider my comments.

I considered them. Smalldick? THAT'S original (and mature)

Anyway, that's what I've been trying to tell him. If he'd just go away, we'd all go back to being on topic, and that would be that. But John Mackey ALWAYS has to have the last word, so, there you have it - the crux of the problem.

-Phil

2843. Re: RS Scanner Antennas (20-014)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIOVOICE@SeaTac.net
Message-ID: <78fjucvsf1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130086
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <36aaed47.86661672@news.value.net>,
mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:
> How big are these things?!

The center of the three verticle elements is 6' tall, and the three radials are each just over 6' long, so, an almost 13' diameter ground plane. The other two vertical radiating elements are 4' & 1.5' or so tall. I had to buy two antennasto have enough parts to make one whole antenna, as parts had been robbed out of both boxes at some point in history.

Steve/N7JLF

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2844. Re: Cordless Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray House <ray@scanner-outlet.com>
Message-ID: <36AB4548.F35B1482@scanner-outlet.com>
Source record: 130087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Barry O'Grady wrote:

> john <hrothgar@lightspeed.net> wrote:
>
> :Does anybody have a list of frequencies in the 900 range?
> :
> :Someone posted the supposed existence of 30mhz phones? Anybody have
> :these?
>
> I have a Radio Shack unit that stopped working after a storm.
>
> Most new ones scan all 10 channels as required.
>
>
> :john

check from 902.000 to 903.000

2845. FS; Pocket Scanner**Exclude Maryland Hams***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dbbadge@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124110939.15686.00001878@ng20.aol.com>
Source record: 130088

I have a pocket scanner, Uniden 70xlt, for sale. The unit is like now, no scratches, dings-works perfectly. Works real well in the 40-47 mhz area(almost if it was made for it only) 20 channels, Receives 29-554, 136.174,406-512 Measures only
6 in x 2.75 in x 1". Will sell for 65.00 of best offer, may trade for similar value item.
Hams in Maryland need not reply until you police your own ranks for person(s) that do not live up to their obligations (W3EAL) from Cantonsville. Email me if you would like a picture of the radio...it will be sent

2846. Wanted Fire Pager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: moremph@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124110953.13623.00001893@ng18.aol.com>
Source record: 130089

I am looking for a minitor 2 voice recording pager or a director 2 sv voice recording pager if you can help me locate a place that sell these for a low price it will be much appreciated MOREMPH@AOL.COM

2847. Re: Looking for an 800mhz tuned antenna for my BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990124111011.19988.00002248@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 130090

Ahhhh...now it makes more sense to me! :)

-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

2848. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <aDHq2.5624$DB1.5373@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130091

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78esgj$h8k$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Adam Goldman" <ajgxii@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> way, I know for a fact that Choco and Phil are two different people, as I
>> know both of them.
>
>Your word means NOTHING coming from an assumed (FAKE) ID

Your ID changes daily John Hypocrite Mackeyloon.

hiding behind a
>hotmail address!

This comes from a twerp with a USA.NET E-mail (same as Hotmail)

John, get back on your meds.

2849. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990124112017.21394.00001831@ng133.aol.com>
Source record: 130092

>From: Scan Man <scanner@aol.com>
>Date: 01-24-1999 18:24 EST
>
>WHO CARES WHAT YOU THINK???!!!
>

Apparently you did, at least enough to read the message and respond.

JW

2850. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <d9Iq2.5626$DB1.5645@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130093

JTWard01 wrote in message <19990124112017.21394.00001831@ng133.aol.com>...
>>From: Scan Man <scanner@aol.com>
>>Date: 01-24-1999 18:24 EST
>>
>>WHO CARES WHAT YOU THINK???!!!
>>
>
>Apparently you did, at least enough to read the message and respond.

Hey JT, seems you should follow your own advise. IOW, why did you respond to Scan Man. Guess you won't take it "to private e-mail 'cause " you " like seeing " your " names out there for everyone to see". So you must be the third side of that coin you were whining about and now all that is left to know is your dynamite ratio.

(wink)

2851. Clearing out a uniden scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: foxnewz@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124114850.21690.00000925@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 130094

I have a twin turbo 100 channel portable and I know on my 760XLT there was a three combination code that would reset the radio.

Thanks!

2852. Re: RS Scanner Antennas/Mobile antenna tip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <Jefft@jnlk.com>
Message-ID: <36AB50A7.C02C70B@jnlk.com>
Source record: 130095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't know by the number whether this one is the mobile, but I took the Radio Shack mobile scanner antenna and took out the longest section and now I have improved UHF/VHF-hi performance. OF course, low-band reception is bad, but all the local gov't low-band freq are smulcasted on 500MHz.

Jon S. Van Allen wrote:

> HOUNDDOG wrote:
>
> > There are three left at the RS store on Union and Foxworthy in San Jose, CA.
> > The price is $6.97 on closeout.
>
> Helluva deal, I just picked up the last two in Salt Lake City.
> In fact I'm putting one together now... Great fun..
>
> Jon

2853. where can I get cheapest 895xlt and 235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: foxnewz@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124115512.21703.00000851@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 130096

where can I get cheapest 895xlt and 235xlt

Thanks for any help

2854. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <Jefft@jnlk.com>
Message-ID: <36AB50F7.BDB0103D@jnlk.com>
Source record: 130097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

the 235 has military air-band?

Jon S. Van Allen wrote:

> mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > I just ordered a 235XLT and now I'm seeing that in a couple of months
> > the 245XLT will be out and will be SOOO much better!
> >
> > Support for EDACS systems
> > multi-trunk monitoring
> > simultaneous trunk & conventional monitoring
> > etc.
> >
> > Should I just send the 235 back and wait for the 245?
> >
> > Mike
>
> Here's why I would keep the BC-235: For the money, it's a great
> scanner with computer control and mil-air band. It will always be
> a useful scanner even if the 245 proves to be as good.
>
> The 245 may have early production bugs, you might kick yourself
> in the butt for passing up a proven performer for one that may take
> awhile to be as good. Look at it this way, unless you only listen to
> one trunked system, it can't hurt having another trunktracker around,
> right?
>
> The 245 likely won't be out for months, maybe late summer. So why
> not enjoy the 235 now? You could always sell it for at least 75% of
> what you paid for it, meaning it might cost you $50 to use it until the
> 245 comes out. That's how I would do it.
>
> Regards,
> Jon

2855. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickl@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78fppo$3vf$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130098

And the CHEEK-A-LIKES go on and on and on and on.
Add up the recent history of the CHEEK-A-LIKES 6969 posts to the MACKEY-RITES 3699 posts.
CHEEK-A-LIKES win hands down for drivel.
Higher note is that it is all Bipartisn?
I like newbie

In article <788q7nbca3@news.cyberhighway.net>,
me@me.com wrote:
> you are a liar. you have been the one causing the problems and we
> have seen the proof
>
> In article <785gr2hcm1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>, thumbs@meow.net
> says...
> >
> >I know for a fact that the all mighty self-proclaimed king of radio
> Mackey
> >has posted several thousand flames and off topic posts to this
> newsgroup.
> >
> >And the proof will be coming all too soon.
> >
> >What can you expect from a man in his mid-thirties who lives in
> Portland,

> >has no job, no friends, no love life, and who's father knew he would
> never
> >amount to a hill of beans.
> >
> >But then again, he wasn't good enough for his father's love, why should
> we
> >give him ours.
> >
> >Choco
> >
> >
>
>

Rick Larson

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2856. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Goldman <ajgxii1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WIIq2.2760$RK5.2046@news.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 130099

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78esgj$h8k$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...

>Your word means NOTHING coming from an assumed (FAKE) ID hiding behind a
>hotmail address!

Fine, Miss Mackey. I won't hide behind my hotmail address any more. You want me come get me. Go ahead send me e-mail, I look forward to it. It is unblocked, unrestricted and ready for you to e-mail.

I have nothing to hide, so bring it on!!!!

ajsarcade@aol.com

2857. TRADE: Kodak PhotoCD player

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36AB5200.201F5845@flash.net>
Source record: 130100

```
This is the portable model with all the features and remote control. I
have all the extras that come with it.
Cost $450 new
Trade for cheap scanner
```

2858. Re: Clearing out a uniden scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deepy@serv.net>
Message-ID: <36AB5E54.2F899B7A@serv.net>
Source record: 130101

FoxNewz wrote:

> I have a twin turbo 100 channel portable and I know on my 760XLT there was a
> three combination code that would reset the radio.
>
> Thanks!

On my BC-220XLT it is 2, 9 and MAN.
Hold those down, then power up the unit.

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
--Central Control STS-11

2859. FS: Unbuilt Heathkit and unused study courses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124131719.20426.00002481@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 130102

Please see my ad at rec.radio.swap

2860. Re: Looking for an 800mhz tuned antenna for my BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36ab6490.117199654@news.value.net>
Source record: 130103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks!

What's the part number?

Today I'm gonna try and get one of the 20-014's on close out, but I
want a good antenna for mobile as well.

Thanks,
Mike

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 13:26:29 GMT, barryo@fastlink.com.au (Barry
O'Grady) wrote:

>mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>:Anyone have any suggestions?
>:
>:My BC235XLT showed up today and I'm already looking for a better antenna
>:than the factory one.
>
>May I suggest the Radio Shack centre loaded telescopic?
>

2861. FS: VHF RPH 410 & HS-410 Transceiver(VHF)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <36AB636F.10C04F3B@bv.net>
Source record: 130104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap

I have a mixed pair of one Regency RPH410 VHF tansceiver & one Wilson HS-410 VHF transceiver. Both units are intact(one
missing case screw) these are the same radio by different MFG, no antennas(BNC jack on top). I have one battery between
them, it is not charged. From what I can ascertain, these radios need some help, but they did, when the power in the battery
was good, come up with display test. Both have Keyboard for on unit programming, an LCD screen, pri,rst, scn & rvw buttons as
well as switches for light, keylock and power(hi/low). These are older units I was going to send for repair, but I will take
the highest bid for them.

mailto:mcguyver@bv.net

2862. FS: Uniden / Bearcat INF5XL scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <36AB6441.A5B6360E@bv.net>
Source record: 130105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,fl.forsale

This is an oldie too. Simple but fuctional 30-512MHz preprogrammed scanner, tabletop unit with the following buttons:
POLICE, STATE (FOR SETTING STATE), FIRE, WEATHER, MEDICAL, DELETE & HOLD. Has the standard Uniden antenna mount on back, I
installed a reovable whip on top of scanner, unit takes 12VDC input and has jack for external speaker. Best offer takes this
thing off my hand

mailto:mcguyver@bv.net

2863. Anyone got Beartrcker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ezwriter@netcom.com
Message-ID: <ezwriterF62tLw.HL9@netcom.com>
Source record: 130106

Anyone got the BCT 7?
My new one doesn't pick up a thing from 894-915mhz but gets cops ok.
Old one worked fine with same antenna. Whats up?
Plus just bought a Uniden cordless fone which is a piece of crap so
wondering if Undien sold out to someone or something...
--

****
"Anyone here in Washington that has NOT had a sexual affair, please
remain seated. Hey!... Hello???..."
ezwriter@netcom.com

2864. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78fomd$r7j$0@207.18.224.24>
Source record: 130107

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 10:26:32 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> "Adam Goldman" <ajgxii@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> way, I know for a fact that Choco and Phil are two different people, as I
>> know both of them.
>
>Your word means NOTHING coming from an assumed (FAKE) ID hiding behind a
>hotmail address!

So, YOUR WORD MEANS NOTHING, as you post from an USA.NET address!

2865. Re: Newbie Request: Please Shut Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78fou3$r7j$1@207.18.224.24>
Source record: 130108

On 24 Jan 1999 05:36:02 GMT, ajmedia@aol.com (AJMedia) wrote:

>>I recently became a subsciber and find this group cluttered with
>>endless bickering....
>
>I'm not a "newbie", but rather an occasional visitor to this newsgroup.
>Although I agree with you, I do have one question: Who the hell is Mackey?!?

John Mackey is a poor soul, who has not been able to stay on his
medication. When he is on his meds, he posts a few on-topic
posts, but that is rare. More often, he is just a poor soul
who lashes out at people.

What kind of monitoring equipment do you use? We use
a Pro-2004, Motorola M1225, and an IFR1200 s here at
the house. The Spectrum analyzer on the IFR is great for
tracking down interference.

2866. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ftr8$6rh$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> The hundreds that have asked you to shut up and go away John.
More like a small handful or a small minority of the users on this NG.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2867. Re: Newbie Request: Please Shut Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78fp1a$r7j$2@207.18.224.24>
Source record: 130110

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 04:50:05 GMT, checkmate@planttel.net (dennis spiller) wrote:

>I recently became a subsciber and find this group cluttered with >endless bickering....Grow Up. Meet somewhere and fight if you have >to. I want to learn, not hear you argue.

You are correct, and it is sad John posts so much hate.

Anyways, what kind of equipment are you monitoring with? I use a Pro2004, Motorlola M1225, and an IFR1200 s here at the house.

I run trunker to monitor a couple of trunking systems I am responsible for, and am experimenting with Trunk88.

2868. Central Scotland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kel <kel@united1.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <78fprkmsa1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130111
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Does anyone know any frequencies for Central Scotland (Forth Valley Area) or where I can get them?

Thanks in advance.

Kel

2869. Re: STEVE - KING LIAR - LIES again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78fudn$784$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130112

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> LIAR. Once again you can't prove your claims because your claims are lies.
> Only one person has directly complained about me

You've had more complaints than one, you simply won't admit it.

What about that message posted to this NG by Chip B.?

I wonder how many messages your ISP has received about you at
their "abuse@ntr.net" e-mail?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2870. Re: Steve Still lies .... here is the proof !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78fumq$7ai$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130113

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e4ot$1gp$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >>hahahaha, with a little work you could do amateur comedy Phil!
> >Actually though McDonalds Corp is a client of my employer!
>
> Prove it John. Who is your employer? You know you don't have one since they

I don't have to prove who my employer is because I never said who. Plus,
I never will say who because I don't desire to have my employer brought into
petty usenet problems. That is my choice. Phil choses to do it, that is his
choice. Most of us respect people's individual choices.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2871. Re: STEVE IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78fv3f$7ls$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130114

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> Proof? or PROFF or however you type it? Paste this long boy in your browser
> and there is a look at vintage 1995 John - Liar-Boy - Mackey cussing cu

That's pretty good, you had to be back FOUR years to find a message of me
using a swear word. For you we would only have to go back a few hours
to when you posted this original message I am responding to!

> SHIT! shit!
> shit.

Are you capable of expressing yourself any other way without that particular
word above or other swear/cursing words?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2872. Re: Top 5 Things Chief John Mackey Will NEVER Do. (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <78fqdd$ahk@news.hsonline.net>
Source record: 130115

John,
Just remember.....You are what you eat. ;>P

Charlie

jmackey@usa.net wrote in article <78bfs2$4gd$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> txjones@tyransportz.com (Tom Jones) wrote:
> > what was the name? Oh yeah - Long Dong
> > Silver.
>
> No Tom, that is what my girlfriends call ME!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2873. Re: Pro-43 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <36AB6D49.B95EA7CE@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 130116

F Barry Mulligan wrote:
> Radio Shack items have a date code in the form 'mmAy', where 'mm' is the
> month and 'y' is the year (the 'A' stands for A & A Trading, Tandy's import
> arm). 5A4 or greater indicates an import date after the cellular cutoff.

This is not entirely true. While some PRO-43s with 5A4 or greater
cannot be "restored", I have one with a 10A4 dated code and the cellular
was restored (I live in Canada). Mine does *not* have the FCC ID (since
it was not intended for sale in the US). Also, the model number is
20-300 with *no* A. Likewise, the serial number has *no* A.

>
> Radio Shack radios have an FCC ID of the form AAOnnn-nnnn, where AAO is
> the FCC Grantee Code for A & A Trading and nnn-nnnn is normally the catalogue
> number of the item. PRO-43 scanners with an "A" at the end of the FCC ID
> (AAO20-300A) are not modifiable. This does not necessarily apply to any other
> model.
>
> Unmodifiable PRO-43s have an "A" preceding the serial number. This also
> does not necessarily apply to any other model.
>
> This posting was brought to you by the letter A.
> /* barry /&

--

Michael Moore
Toronto, Canada

To reply, please
remove NOSPAM from
the email address

2874. Re: Please Leave Mackey Alone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78fv7v$7mp$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

bpk@home.com wrote:
> Why?Why? Because some of us actually own and actually use a scanner.This
> is a discussion group about SCANNERS.

So you would rather have this NG filled with several people commiting bully type actions on one person in this NG rather than have it focus on scanners?

Perhaps you would feel more at home in ALT.FLAME!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2875. Re: sdaner@usanet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78fvah$7oi$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130118

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> YOU ARE
SNIP

When was the last time you posted on topic?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2876. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78fvgh$810$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130119

jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

> They are all the same, just different sides of the same coin. They won't take
> the feud to private e-mail 'cause they like seeing their names out there

This from someone who used to be a major cause of the flames here & claimed he knew who my boss was!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2877. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78fvk5$82u$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

bpk@home.com wrote:

> Mr.Mackey you have not discussed scanners at all.I am not the one

WRONG!

Infact just a day or 3 ago I responded to someone who was looking
for Oregon freqs to assist them.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2878. Re: Newbie Request: Please Shut Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78fvmo$83e$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130121

"Charlie Dwenger" <cdwenger@hsonline.net> wrote:
> John,
> You might try talking about SCANNERS a little more often.

Thanks Charlie, you should try it also!

Are you going to Dayton this year?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2879. Re: BC235XLT NiCad Battery "Memory"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: llama267@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124140419.13233.00002275@ng125.aol.com>
Source record: 130122

Bill Cheek has done some significant research on "NiCd memory effect", and NASA has published information on it as well. Try a DejaNews and an AltaVista search on "NiCd memory".

My much less techincal experiences have given me some good results (most of the time). I take a small Radio Shack 12 volt DC power supply and reverse the polarity on each cell until the circuit breaker pops. I then reset the breaker and connect the leads to their proper polarity until the breaker pops again. This seems to work best when the battery voltage is either zero, or reversed. I have also tried it on cells which discharge faster than they should, also with good results. My minor research had turned up documents which described a "whisker" which supposedly discharges the cell, and I think what my procedure does is either break that connection, or maybe just re-form the cell, allowing the cell to be useful for a while longer. I figured what the heck, if it works, I've got a cell that works for a while longer, if it doesn't -- no biggie -- it was dead anyway.
Again, do a search on NiCd memory effect, and look in the DejaNews archives for the articles that Mr. Cheek has contributed.

On a more scanner related note, how the heck do you interface the CE-232 to the Listening Post signal strength input - exactly please - I'm not real clear on the procedure, even after reading the article in Monitoring Times and looking in the LP documentation.

Don,
Niceville

2880. Re: where can I get cheapest 895xlt and 235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan <X@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78frbk$bj8@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 130123

Try www.usascan.com I just ordered my 895XLT from there for $214
delivered. Only thing is they're out of stock until Feb 5th.
Since everybody's out of stock for the next 3 weeks or so, I ordered
from them because of their guarantees and warantees.

FoxNewz wrote in message <19990124115512.21703.00000851@ng-ca1.aol.com>...
>where can I get cheapest 895xlt and 235xlt
>
>Thanks for any help

2881. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124141516.20867.00002464@ng144.aol.com>
Source record: 130124

>From: Jeff <Jefft@jnlk.com>

>the 235 has military air-band?

No. It does not receive mil-air.
There's only one way to stop this thread;
Here, I've flipped over my BC235XLT and I'm copying from the battery case
cover:
(incidently, while I'm doing this I've got it lying down flat upside down and
I'm still hearing all calls just fine!)
29-29.7 10 METER HAM
29.7-50 LOW BAND
50-54 6 METER HAM
108-137 AIRCRAFT
137-144 MILITARY
144-148 2 METER HAM
148-174 HIGH BAND
406-420 FEDERAL GOV.
420-450 70cm HAM
450-470 UHF BAND
470-512 "T" BAND
806-956 "800" BAND

2882. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78g0ad$8g5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130125

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:

> Your ID changes daily John

According to dejanews you are WRONG & my ID DOES NOT change daily as
you said. See the proof below for 3 days in a row! (I could post MANY
more days but I am not going to spam this NG just because of your stupidity)

Re: Newbie Request: Please Shut Up!
Author: jmackey <jmackey@usa.net>
Date: 1999/01/24
Forum: rec.radio.scanner

Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)
Author: jmackey <jmackey@usa.net>
Date: 1999/01/23
Forum: rec.radio.scanner

Re: MACKEY IN CIVIL COURT?
Author: jmackey <jmackey@usa.net>
Date: 1999/01/22
Forum: rec.radio.scanner

> This comes from a twerp with a USA.NET E-mail (same as Hotmail)

Wrong again! USA.NET is NOT the same as Hotmail! They are two
totally different systems.

Steve, when was the last time you posted on topic?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2883. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78g0fl$8il$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130126

"Adam Goldman" <ajgxii1@hotmail.com> wrote:
> You
> want me come get me. Go ahead send me e-mail, I look forward to it. It is
> unblocked, unrestricted and ready for you to e-mail.
>
> I have nothing to hide, so bring it on!!!!
> ajsarcade@aol.com

hehehe, nice try. Make a post from that address, THEN I will believe you
IF it is authentic!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2884. Radio Shack PRO-2026 and 50 Mhz ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Wood" <mr.wood@spam.less.bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <78fsn7oup1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130127

I have the UK version of the Pro-2026. It will tune down to 66Mhz, but I read somewhere that US versions of this radio will receive things below 66Mhz. Is that right?

I want to listen to the 6m amateur radio band, which is around 50 Mhz.

Does anyone know of a mod that will allow my scanner to receive 50 Mhz??

Thanks,

Dan.

2885. cary,illinois public works freq??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <78f251$52g$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 130128

anyone know the cary,illinois public works freq???

2886. JOHN MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc7hbu1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <78g370aji1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130129

John what the hell!! I did a search of MACKEY tonight and got 43000 hits with crap about you. Damn, I thought I was bored. Get a life John!!!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2887. flake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0ssf@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <78g3ju$au9$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130130

flake

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2888. Pro-90/BC235XLT Keypad Tricks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990124151348.03576.00002303@ng98.aol.com>
Source record: 130131

I found this information on http://www.trunktracker.com

I, rabidfool@aol.com did not in any way help figure this information out. I had nothing to do with posting it on the web. I am not associated at all with http://www.trunktracker.com or any related companies. I am just passin gthe information along.

BC-235 Special Keypad Functions

Date: Fri, 5 Jun 1998 15:54:14 -0700 (PDT)
From: Uttini
To: trunkcom@qth.net
Subject: [TrunkCom] BC235XLT New Experiments with Keyboard Tricks

After noting numerous postings about the trucktracker/pro-90 keyboard tricks, I started to play around with the scanner, and I found some new key combinations that also seem to work, and I have not seen published anywhere. I dont know if anyone else has published these before. But this is what I have found.
*****
2,9 Trnk - 'load' followed by scanning bank 1 Loads 19 test freqs..
(This accomplishes the same as 2,9, scan)

2,9 data - does nothing

2,9, l/o - does nothing

2,9, . - displays "H" no keys do anything to change this - except dly key which when pushed changes "H" to "L" (Only way to clear the scanner is to turn it off then on again - does not seem to load anything, although I can't remember if it wipes out any channels or not)

2,9,4 - Displays 1.21 (for a few seconds) Poss a firmware version number??? Then display changes to E2BFH ... ? Perhaps the TT that have the ATT function have a different number other than 1.21? (mine is an older TT - original)

2,9,0 - Makes all display digits light up. Pressing any key loads 19 test freq. (Basically same as 2,9, E)

2,3, Trnk - Loads 19 test freq. (same as 2,9, trnk & 2,9, scan)

2,1, & any key on key board does nothing.

2,4, & any key on key board does nothing.

2,5, & any key on key board does nothing.

2,6, Trnk = (Total scanner reset same as 2,9,MAN)

2,3, Trnk loads 19 test freq (same as 2,9, scan etc.)

2,7 & E - Displays H - Dly Keys changes to L (Same as 2,9,.)

2,8 & E Test display Same as (2,9, E)

ADDITIONAL INFO ON THOSE WHICH HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED BEFORE.....

2, 9, SVC Which brings up the Ct----- Etc.. The frequencies that it
loads are as follows -

(For those who dont want to wipe out their memories, but are curious..)
**Bank 7 (Set to trunking) Fleet map E2
Chan 181 - 867.8375 Chan 182 - 867.8875
Chan 183 - 867.6625 Chan 184 - 867.2125
Chan 185 - 867.2625 Chan 186 - 866.8375
Chan 187 - 866.8875 Chan 188 - 866.6625
Chan 189 - 866.2125 Chan 190 - 866.2625
Chan 191 - 867.7125 Chan 192 - 867.7625
Chan 193 - 867.3375 Chan 194 - 867.3875
Chan 195 - 867.1625 Chan 196 - 866.7125
Chan 197 - 866.7625 Chan 198 - 866.3375
Chan 199 - 866.3875 Chan 200 - 866.1625

**Bank 8 (Set to trunking) Fleetmap E1 Usr
b0=S8, b1=S10, b2=S10, b3=S11, b4=S0, b5=S0 b6=S12
Chan 211 - 856.7375 Chan 212 - 857.7375
Chan 213 - 858.7375 Chan 214 - 859.7375
Chan 215 - 860.7375 Chan 216 - 856.9875
Chan 217 - 857.9875 Chan 218 - 858.9875
Chan 219 - 859.9875 Chan 220 - 860.9875
Chan 221 - 866.4125 Chan 222 - 866.4375
Chan 223 - 866.9125 Chan 224 - 866.9375
Chan 225 - 867.4125 Chan 226 - 867.4375
Chan 227 - 867.9125 Chan 228 - 867.9375
Chan 229 - 868.1625 Chan 230 - 868.1875
Chan 231 - 868.3500 Chan 232 - 868.7000

**Bank 9 (Set to Trunking) Fleetmap E2
Chan 241 - 866.1875 Chan 242 - 867.1875
Chan 243 - 866.2125 Chan 244 - 867.2125
Chan 245 - 867.2375 Chan 246 - 866.2875
Chan 247 - 867.2875 Chan 248 - 866.3125
Chan 249 - 867.3125 Chan 250 - 866.3625
Chan 251 - 867.3625 Chan 252 - 866.6875
Chan 253 - 867.6875 Chan 254 - 866.7375
Chan 255 - 867.7375 Chan 256 - 866.7875
Chan 257 - 867.7875 Chan 258 - 866.8125
Chan 259 - 867.8125 Chan 260 - 866.8625
Chan 261 - 867.8625

**Bank 10 (Set to Trunking) Fleetmap E2
Chan 271 - 851.0125

Thats it for what was loaded into my banks.. Some have suggested that they may be freqs for trunking systems near Uniden factories... Ideas anyone? Anyone with a freq cd - maybe you could run them to see if they fit any systems in the US?

Also here are the famous '19' test frequencies
1 - 30.050 2 - 40.840 3 - 49.900
4 - 138.150 5 - 162.400 6 - 173.225
7 - 406.875 8 - 453.250 9 - 511.9125
10- 108.500 11- 118.800 12- 127.175
13- 135.500 14- 29.000 15- 54.000
16- 806.000 17- 857.200 18- 911.500
19- 954.9125

Last but not least the other well known tricks are

2,9,Hold and 2,9,Srch - Loads 300 test freq from 144.0 - 149.98 in 20Khz Steps

2,9,Dly - displays a string of numbers such as 000 0F, 001 00 Etc.

That wraps it up for my keyboard trick investigations, Perhaps others out there will continue to explore and uncover other unknown tricks...

-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

2889. Transmitt To McDonalds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990124151902.03576.00002307@ng98.aol.com>
Source record: 130132

I was wondering if it was possible to transmitt on the McDonalds drive through headsets? I asked around before and I think someone told me to get a ...i think....blue dot transcevier from rs? would that work?
-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

2890. FS Pro-50

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990124152121.03576.00002309@ng98.aol.com>
Source record: 130133

Make a commmitmetn at E-bay.com but I found the radio localy for a better price. $35 takes it

-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

2891. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis Bidulock <bidulock@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XiLq2.127$_Y2.792@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 130134

I've heard that the 235 has a computer interface is this true?
Talkyal <talkyal@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990124141516.20867.00002464@ng144.aol.com...
>>From: Jeff <Jefft@jnlk.com>
>
>>the 235 has military air-band?
>
>No. It does not receive mil-air.
>There's only one way to stop this thread;
>Here, I've flipped over my BC235XLT and I'm copying from the battery case
>cover:
>(incidently, while I'm doing this I've got it lying down flat upside down
and
>I'm still hearing all calls just fine!)
>29-29.7 10 METER HAM
>29.7-50 LOW BAND
>50-54 6 METER HAM
>108-137 AIRCRAFT
>137-144 MILITARY
>144-148 2 METER HAM
>148-174 HIGH BAND
>406-420 FEDERAL GOV.
>420-450 70cm HAM
>450-470 UHF BAND
>470-512 "T" BAND
>806-956 "800" BAND

2892. FS: AOR 8000 unblocked, Scout, Optolinx Interface - $600.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: baucum <dbaucum@infoave.net>
Message-ID: <78fvou$g0f$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 130135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

AR8000, unblocked, with interface jack (3.5mm). Comes with U.S. a.c. adaptor, batteries, original antenae, leather case, original box, manual. $325

Scout 40, ver.3.1. Comes with a.c. adapter, stubby antenna, cable to connect to AR8000, original box, manual. $225.

Optolinx computer interface. Comes with a.c. adapter, stubby antenna, ribbon cable to connect to AR8000, original box, manual. $75.

Would prefer to sell all for $600. All equipment used very little. Payment by postal money order. Shipping is included in the purchase price.

Contact Darren Baucum by e-mail if interested, dbaucum@infoave.net.

2893. Newbie Request: Please Shut Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: checkmate@planttel.net
Message-ID: <36aaa5d2.7957897@nntp.planttel.net>
Source record: 130136

I recently became a subsciber and find this group cluttered with
endless bickering....Grow Up. Meet somewhere and fight if you have
to. I want to learn, not hear you argue.
Tks
DLS

2894. Re: Newbie Request: Please Shut Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AAA6B6.5CDEEEE7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130137

dennis spiller wrote:

> I recently became a subsciber and find this group cluttered with
> endless bickering....Grow Up. Meet somewhere and fight if you have
> to. I want to learn, not hear you argue.
> Tks
> DLS

These guys really clog up the newsgroup with their bickering. After two years
of it, I finally posted a plea for them to take it private, but they don't care about
anyone else and have basically crapped on everyone else in their quest for
macho ego. It's sad...

Regards,
Jon

2895. Re: Newbie Request: Please Shut Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ajmedia@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124003602.18972.00002075@ng15.aol.com>
Source record: 130138

>I recently became a subsciber and find this group cluttered with
>endless bickering....

I'm not a "newbie", but rather an occasional visitor to this newsgroup.
Although I agree with you, I do have one question: Who the hell is Mackey?!?

AJMedia@nospam.com

2896. MDT Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: root@j00tel.net
Message-ID: <36aabde1.0@news.intnet.net>
Source record: 130139

Hello. I've been reading this newsgroup every night the last few weeks looking for information on MDT monitoring. I've come across a lot of information, and I've managed to get a station going monitoring MDT (MDC-4800) transmissions (as well I monitor Motorola-II TRS's). I've only been able to find one frequency in the local area for MDT's. I live in Pinellas County, Florida (which is near Tampa and Saint Petersburg). I found the frequency for Pinellas Park, FL's MDT's (which are MDC-4800) and have been monitoring that. I am interested in finding the Pinellas County Sheriff's MDT frequences. I've monitored every frequency in the 1999 Police Call Plus book and the only 'data' frequencies I could find were all VHF (155.6400, 154.7100, 154.7550, and 155.8500). These are definately not MDC-4800 transmissions though. They are very short bursts of data (and they sound faster than 4800 baud). The transmissions last 1-2 seconds. I suspect they are either Mobitex or RD-LAP. I was able to find a WAV sound file of a Mobitex transmission, and it didn't sound much like what I am hearing, although the audio quality of it might have been poor. I'll be sure to record a WAV file of it soon and post it to the group, or put it on my homepage with a URL. All government in Pinellas County operates on a Motorola-II TRS, I'm wondering if the MDT frequencies would be trunked? I doubt it, but it was a thought. Someone please help! ;) It would be very nice if someone could refer me to some WAV files of various MDT protocols (Mobitex, RD-LAP, etc). Thanks in advance.

73's
KF4OJV
Dan

2897. Re: Go Private?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <36AABC2D.C7DDD887@mich.com>
Source record: 130140

"Jon S. Van Allen" wrote:

> One point nobody has brought up is this:

Sorry, but that issue has already been raised.

> As a taxpaying citizen of my community,
> I have the right to know how my tax dollars are spent. Keeping an eye (and ear)
> on the local police department goes a long way in monitoring how those dollars are
> spent.

The old 'civic duty' line... Try it out on military base gate sentries & see how far it gets you. Also try it out with any corporation that has received a tax concession. Is your house paid off? If not, maybe the mortgage company will stop by and inspect the property at any time to see how *their* investment is.

> This is not to say I don't believe the local cops shouldn't have a private channel
> or three to conduct bonafide private comms not receivable by anyone and
> everyone - I do support encryption and unpublished comms frequencies for this
> purpose.

This tends to contradict your first paragraph. You seem to be saying "Police shouldn't encrypt their communications, because I/we have a civic obligation to oversee the police, to help keep them honest!' but now you've switched to 'Some encryption is acceptable.' I don't see how you can have it both ways. Are you gonna monitor them for legal & ethical compliance on channel A & B, but then just trust that they're being good boys & girls on their encrypted channels C & D??

> But to say that the public at large has no "right" to listen to police comms
> because a criminal or two might also listen in is absurd.

I think it is equally absurd to think that public has ever had any "rights" which supersede police/public safety entities encrypting their communications as they see fit.

No one in the thread has said you don't have a right to listen to a police channel. I have maintained that the police have the right to encrypt their channels as they see fit. Scanner geeks will squeal and raise a bunch of issues in a feeble attempt to save their local entertainment. Libertarians or paranoid types will squeal and raise a bunch of issues in a feeble attempt to save the community from the major outbreak of illegal and abusive police acts they think will magically occur as a result of secured communications. But the great majority of the public --those that take time to understand the issue, and who aren't emotionally addicted to the scanner hobby-- will appreciate the police wanting to secure a potential vulnerability (whether they agree with the expense that might be involved with encrypted radios is a different matter!).

> Anyone who believes
> otherwise is an enemy of freedom and and enemy of accountability to the very

> people who pay their salaries!

Please don't allow yourself to get so emotional over your own straw-man arguments.

> Most police I have talked to over the
> years support scanning by the public as long as they have secure comms for
> special use.

And who gets to define "special use?"

> The best example I can give you is the King County (or is it the City of Seattle)

Nothing like that to give a lend an air of legitimacy to your own example! ;)

> Washington official position that the public has the right to listen to their comms,
> and makes available police radios (transmit inhibited, of course) to anyone who
> asks for it and passes a simple background check and has the money for a radio.
> Trunked frequencies and talkgroup ID's are available for those with trunktrack
> scanners.

If they competently came up with that policy, good for them. I don't disagree with their right to it. But nor do I disagree with any departments which decide to go full-time secure, occasional secure, or migrate to Astro/Aegis without any special provisions for the public to access receivers for it.

> This is an excellent example of public participation and awareness in the process
> of law enforcement. The rest of the country should take notice. An informed
> public is a much better participant in their communities. Every extra set of eyes
> and ears the police have, the better off we all are.

It's an excellent example of a police agency voluntarily supporting us scanner geeks. But I think you (& again, you're not the first to raise the 'civic rights/community benefit' stuff) and others are using it mostly as an excuse to justify the hobby and *entertainment* aspects of tuning in local public safety comms. I don't think many of the scanner geeks who share your 'civic-minded' philosophy follow through with it & get off their fat butts to participate in town hall meetings, where community law enforcement matters are raised. If you carefully read my initial post in the thread, you'll see that I already suggested a better working relationship between public safety agencies & local media (especially broadcast) outlets would do a better job of alerting civilian "eyes and ears." Since you're so concerned, I'm sure you will try to work with the police & media regarding that...

> We all know of countless
> cases of scanners in the hands of law abiding citizens helping apprehend criminals,
> etc. It's unacceptable to allow the actions of a few criminals to take rights away
> from law abiding citizens, no matter how well intentioned.

I love how it is "countless" cases of scanner users helping catch criminals, but just a "few criminals" using scanners as a tool to evade law enforcement!

You have every "right" to leave your '99 Corvette parked unlocked, windows down, and with the keys in it too. But doing so is generally not considered to be acceptable, and I doubt you find it unacceptable that people secure their property against the "few" car thieves.

> Jon

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2898. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Augelli <someplace@anywhere.com>
Message-ID: <78efimcld1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 130141

Rabidfool wrote in message <19990123214658.26931.00002054@ng113.aol.com>...
|What exactly where those freqs? That was the most retarded page I ever
|saw...and as for hooking up a mike......go for it but you still need chips
to
|transmitt!!!

I was only trying to see if someone was fool enough to go there and try
this...
Now about that mike.....it plugs in the speaker jack right? haha

2899. Re: Oregon frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bwil@tdn.com
Message-ID: <36aac31e.196295880@news.tdn.com>
Source record: 130142

On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 17:28:11 -0800, "Karyn Schultz"
<karyns@teleport.com> wrote:

>Does anyone have a source for Oregon frequencies. Portland area.
>
>
Try www.orst.edu/~varineb/scanner.htm

2900. Re: Uniden BC 144XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: atukolm@xoommail.com
Message-ID: <36aabbe0.5019516@news.toolcity.net>
Source record: 130143

I got it to load the freq`s. but it has alot of interference, hash,
etc. Compared to my own scanner, this one is junk.
Anyone have experince with this model?

On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 03:59:08 GMT, atukolm@www.xoommail.com (Mike)
wrote:

>A friend gave me their 144Xl to program for them. but when I enter
>the freq. for each channel, all channels become that freq. I cannot
>program just one channel at a time.
>Any ideas or help?
>thanks,
>Mike

2901. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <36AAC5AD.83B1C375@mich.com>
Source record: 130144

In the future, I'll just accept that some people can't read, or that the rather simple statement I've made in this thread many times before is read but then lost in the more complicated issues raised. I'll keep responding to other issues, but not the fundamental one you somehow raise, despite my covering it many times by now.

But for your benefit, the simple statement which sums up my belief is:

You can tune in. They (notice I said they, not "we" -- I am speaking for myself, based on my experiences) have the right & duty to be concerned about the vulnerability of certain information contained in their radio transmissions, and take action to protect the communications. This isn't done by seizing scanners, it's done by securing circuits.

BB wrote:

> What I found truly frightening was the statement "percieved rights of
> hobbyists to listen in". I mean I thought that the numerous "public safety"
> entities existed to serve the public. I guess our poster here feels like
> they merely exist so he can play with cool toys paid for by the public which
> he serves.

Silly guess.

> I mean we paid for it so why can't we listen to it for
> entertainment purposes ?

You can! We also paid for the telephones in police offices. Why can't we listen in to those calls for entertainment purposes too (at least when they're made to other taxpayer-funded phones)?

We pay for the White House, so why don't you start a petition to put a live Webcam up in the Oval Office?

Intelligent & responsible people whose minds aren't emotionally-charged tend to see the broader issue -- we pay for the police to protect us. Non-secure communications can be a liability in terms of officer safety and law enforcement effectiveness.

> It is unfortunate that the us vs them attitude is so prevalent in
> law enforcement circles today.

The only legitimate Us vs Them attitude should be Us vs. Criminals. But plenty of scanner geeks have the 'Us vs Them' attitude regarding police encryption, in case you hadn't noticed.

> If you feel like you need to hide what you
> are doing from the public maybe you should consider a life of crime.

I see you have a slight 'Us vs Them' complex too...

I'm a member of the public. My posts all have my name attached. Yours didn't. I guess "you feel like you need to hide what you are doing from the public." Are you a criminal? Probably not -- you're just exercising your right to privacy. Police communications not only have that right, it's a duty. That duty can be realized by proper operations security awareness, but in my opinion, police generally are no smarter than the rest of us (and compared to many of us, are dumb/ignorant when it comes to communications matters). Encryption --and sadly, full-time encryption-- is a major aspect of the solution to operations security awareness problems caused by police ignorance, negligence, or stress.

> There is a saying that came from Rome and I apologize for not recalling
> the author but he said : "Once we had criminals that opressed us, now we
> have laws that opress us".

"First, kill all the lawyers" could be a response equally quoted way out of context -- but at least I know who authored it (Bill Shakespeare).

> BB

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2902. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <36AAD2FD.4678D88D@home.com>
Source record: 130145

If you want your scanner to transmit, you must first plug a mike into the external speaker jack. Then you must improve the power supply. A scanner will not transmit on batteries. You must have a powerful AC adapter. If your scanner requires 9 volts DC, go to Radiop Shack and get an adapter rated at 24 volts AC. Your scanner will transmit for a very short period of time. Be sure to ignore any visable smoke while transmitting as this is normal for all scanners that are capable of transmission.

Doug Augelli wrote:
>
> Rabidfool wrote in message <19990123214658.26931.00002054@ng113.aol.com>...
> |What exactly where those freqs? That was the most retarded page I ever
> |saw...and as for hooking up a mike......go for it but you still need chips
> to
> |transmitt!!!
>
> I was only trying to see if someone was fool enough to go there and try
> this...
> Now about that mike.....it plugs in the speaker jack right? haha

2903. John Still lies .... here is the proof !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <DqAq2.5557$DB1.5598@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130146

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e4ot$1gp$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>>hahahaha, with a little work you could do amateur comedy Phil!
>Actually though McDonalds Corp is a client of my employer!

Prove it John. Who is your employer? You know you don't have one since they
fired for molesting mental patients.

Prove it John!

If you don't post the name of your employer you are a liar.

2904. Re: STEVE IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <2AAq2.5558$DB1.5457@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 130147

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e6dd$2du$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>
>> or JEMJ among other names has a long history of causing trouble. In 1995
you
>> are causing all sorts of problems in the rec.radia ham type NGs. You are
>
>Steve, I have NEVER been on ANY type of NG as you list above such
>as "rec.radia ham type".

Rec.radio of the ham variety John. Just like the URL had. Nice try Liar
Boy..here I'll repost it just special for you.

Proof? or PROFF or however you type it? Paste this long boy in your browser
and there is a look at vintage 1995 John - Liar-Boy - Mackey cussing cursing
and causing trouble. How sad :-(

http://www.dejanews.com/dnquery.xp?search=word&defaultOp=and&query=%7ea%20(J
EMJ@p234.f40.n105.z1.fidonet.org)%20%26%20%7eg%20(rec.radio.swap)&svcclass=d
nserver&ST=PS

>
>Does your ISP NTR.NET in Nashvillle know of how you conduct yourself
online?
>

NTR.NET my ISP. You ignorant pile of SHIT! Can't you read a friggen header
Chief Mackeloon? HAHAHAHAHAHAHA! I must be getting to you when you start
making threats to report me to my ISP. What a stinking pile of pig shit! You
are so god damn clueless it is pathetic. The server I am using isn't even in
the US you friggin lopsided Native American pile of Buffalo shit. TURN me
into my ISP MACKEY. You have no idea how friggin hilarious that is moron.
BAWAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

2905. Re: Interface for RS scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36AADE6E.AF75C28@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 130148
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,news.admin.radio.scanner,news.groups.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes it is called Programmit. Check out:

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Way/1522

Don
--
-
CAKE001 - CASF024 - CA6CYF - KHN3161
Location: N33d42m05s W117d59m25s
Grid Sq: DM13AQ UTC -8hrs.
Scanners: Air-8,AR2001,AR900,BC50,
BC101,BC142,BC20/20,BC2500,
BC9000,FRG9600,HP100,HX1000,
HX1500,Pro26,Pro2004/5/6,
Pro2045,Pro2046,R10FM,R532,
R7000,Scout 40,SX200N.
Software: Deltacomm v3.9, Probe v3.0,
ScanCat Gold-SE, ScanStar
Shortwave: DC777,DX160,DX394,ICF2010,
R70, R71A, R1000.
Antennas: 7 Random wires, 6 Discones,
5 Ground planes, 2 Mag-mts,
3 Coax loops, LA-1, Scanner
Beam,X-dipole,2 LVHF pipes.

2906. Re: Can't Find Scanner Store/Shop In Las Vegas! Believe It Or Not!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard Rudy <hrudy@lgcy.com>
Message-ID: <36AADCDF.89364FD6@lgcy.com>
Source record: 130149

Try Amateur Electronic Supply 1072 N. Rancho Dr. Las Vegas, NV.
702-647-3114 Also look at some of the big Truck Stops, and CB Shops
they have Sanners too.
Howard Rudy
KA7YWO

Blackjack Elliot wrote:

> Does ANYONE know of a store or shop that sells scanners and accessories
> anywhere in Las Vegas? There isn't a single one in the Las Vegas phone
> book! I can't believe it! (other than Rat Shack), they don't have what
> I need.... a new batter pack for a BC100XLT.
>
> Blackjack Elliot
>
> Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
> www.BlackjackElliot.com

2907. Re: mods for pro-2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: umblazew@news.cc.umanitoba.ca
Message-ID: <78emm3imo1@canopus.cc.umanitoba.ca>
Source record: 130150

Tom Jones (txjones@tyransportz.com) wrote:
: ��� Pro-2040 MOD ���
:
: 1. Drill 5/8" hole in top, near back panel.
: 2. Drill another hole on back panel, under first hole.
: 3. Carefully remove top-mount antenna.
: 5. Feed one end of 1/2" 3-strand nylon rope thru holes.
: 6. Secure other end of rope to eye on stern of boat.
: 7. When drift fishing, toss overboard.Great kedge anchor.
:
: I dunno WHY I bought this worthless pile of excrement.
: I guess 'cause it was on sale.

I've actually found this scanner to be well done, especially for the price I paid. It comes with a half-decent antenna. It picks up cell images rather well (I know, I know.. images aren't a good thing .. that's what triple-conversion is for). It is rather bullet-proof (Having left it in the car at -30 degrees Celcius, for about a month - using it every morning, even though the display won't change because it's SoDamnedColdOutside). It is light. It has a nice, shiny BNC connector on the back. As you mentioned, it was cheap when on sale. It makes a good backup in case your other scanner decides to play "Sleepie Time You Can't Wake Me Up You Bad Bad Man". Sigh. I like big paragraphs.

Dennis
--
Support Freedom on the Internet! http://eff.org
'68 Nova SS - 327 CID - TH350 - Goal: 383 'n gobs of torque.
'88 Sunbird - 2.0L OHC - 3T40 - 14x6 '85 Pontiac 6000 Alloys
IRC Operator: irc.total.net (EFNet) Nickname: Astinus

2908. mod fo kenwood tr-9000 ext rec..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: kable guy minn <n0mnb@minn.net>
Message-ID: <78ep4e$uk9$1@news.minn.net>
Source record: 130151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

does anyone have a mod for 2mtr allmode kenwood tr-9000
any help aprecated.

thanks n0mnb
n0mnb@minn.net

2909. 4 sale GE master pro tube final gmrs repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: kable guy minn <n0mnb@minn.net>
Message-ID: <78epiduke1@news.minn.net>
Source record: 130152
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

for sale grms 462.625 tone 117.4 80 watt repeater. live in minnesota.
have extra (new final tube) in box (8072) working good . may also
have commerical antenna and coax.. with duplexer all complete.
500$ or trade for laptop , 1200 fm atv transceiver, dfjr.....etc
Bill 612.754.8765

n0mnb@minn.net

2910. mACKELOON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <36aae891.0@194.65.24.2>
Source record: 130153

YOU ARE A LIAR AND A LOSER.

2911. Re: RS Scanner Antennas (20-014)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36aaed47.86661672@news.value.net>
Source record: 130154
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How big are these things?!

On 23 Jan 1999 05:31:59 GMT, "Jon S. Van Allen"
<yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>
>HOUNDDOG wrote:
>
>> There are three left at the RS store on Union and Foxworthy in San Jose, CA.
>> The price is $6.97 on closeout.
>
>Helluva deal, I just picked up the last two in Salt Lake City.
>In fact I'm putting one together now... Great fun..
>
>Jon
>

2912. Re: Looking for an 800mhz tuned antenna for my BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36aaebf9.86327272@news.value.net>
Source record: 130155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Good question!

0. Original Power cord and charger - For when I'm at home.
1. cigarette lighter adapter - For on the way to work
2. add'l power cord - For use while at the office so I don't have to unplug and lug it back and forth. I have two docking stations for my notebook too. The less I have to drag around the happier I am!
3. add'l charger - I like to be prepared
4. add'l battery - See #3

On 23 Jan 1999 02:16:06 GMT, rabidfool@aol.comkillspam (Rabidfool) wrote:

>Why would you need all of these extras? The battery has an eight hour life and
>why a extra power cord?
>-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
>-rabidfool@yahoo.com
>-rabidfool@hotmail.com
>-rabidfool@ignmail.com

2913. Re: BC9000XLT problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36AAEE22.A8B87565@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 130156

As posted there are alot of reasons why. If you have too much signal it can cause problems. Depending on what you are searching for you should search in 1 mHz increments. If you are scanning a whole band like 30 - 50 mHz you will miss alot of "stuff".

Don
--
-
CAKE001 - CASF024 - CA6CYF - KHN3161
Location: N33d42m05s W117d59m25s
Grid Sq: DM13AQ UTC -8hrs.
Scanners: Air-8,AR2001,AR900,BC50,
BC101,BC142,BC20/20,BC2500,
BC9000,FRG9600,HP100,HX1000,
HX1500,Pro26,Pro2004/5/6,
Pro2045,Pro2046,R10FM,R532,
R7000,Scout 40,SX200N.
Software: Deltacomm v3.9, Probe v3.0,
ScanCat Gold-SE, ScanStar
Shortwave: DC777,DX160,DX394,ICF2010,
R70, R71A, R1000.
Antennas: 7 Random wires, 6 Discones,
5 Ground planes, 2 Mag-mts,
3 Coax loops, LA-1, Scanner
Beam,X-dipole,2 LVHF pipes.

2914. Re: Please Leave Wackey Alone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bpk@home.com
Message-ID: <36AAF078.3307D206@home.com>
Source record: 130157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Why?Why? Because some of us actually own and actually use a scanner.This is a discussion group about SCANNERS.Wackey(and just that)feels the need to talk about everything other than scanners.

rcv@pacifier.com wrote:
>
> Please Leave Mackey Alone
>
> Why do you guys torment this person. You back him
> into a corner and of course the only thing he can do
> is snap back at you.

2915. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78er1fgdd1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bob Reeder <reeder@NOSPAMuswest.net> wrote:
> Oh please, let me guess, Is this the world famous theory that light in the UV
> spectrum attacks and breaks down the PVC covering of coax, and in turn changes
> the conductive properties of the copper conductors?
>
> Anyone with an I.Q. above room temperature knows better.....

Anyone who knows anything about RC & transmission coax knows
it is an issue.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2916. Re: COLES frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Miss K." <outabreath@iname.com>
Message-ID: <36aae542.0@kastagir.senet.com.au>
Source record: 130159
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Coles should be heard on 485.0750 Tx & Rx..
5 watts so should have a fair range.
K.

Matty wrote in message <36aa4fa2.4212171@news.newave.net.au>...
>On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 23:08:14 +1030, "Miss K." <outabreath@iname.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Manufactured By SONTEC, Torrens road, torrensville.
>>
>
>
>Thankyou.
>
>
>--
>Matthew Volkmer (Matty)
>rev@newave.net.au
>www.newave.net.au/~rev SA Radio Scanning Page
>ICQ 26709963

2917. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bpk@home.com
Message-ID: <36AAF183.B9E9C1F@home.com>
Source record: 130160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Who cares???? As long as you have been here and all of these posts
Mr.Wackey you have not discussed scanners at all.I am not the one
getting all of the attention-I wonder why??

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> bpk@home.com wrote:
> > I know Bill Cheek gave us some helpful information about scanners with
> > his faq.John-where are your helpful hints about scanning? Oh that's
>
> Who cares?
>
> I haven't seen YOU post any helpful hints about scanning either.
>
> Actually, I have posted several articles about the UV loss of coax,
> how to scan amateur repeater freqs, etc.
>
> ------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2918. John Caught in a LIE again (off topic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <36aaee20.0@news.pcbank.net>
Source record: 130161

Hey John, call my ISP. Go ahead.

Don't forget to take you medicine.

2919. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78er9ogft1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130162

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> PORRF? WRING? Are these constant typos caused by withdrawals from your

That's PROOF & WRONG you FOOL! It is very sad when the only
offense you can find to continue your reign of terror on this
NG is simple spelling or typo errors!

How pathetic you are!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2920. more problems from Phil Smalley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78erhq$gi2$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130163

rickl@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Rick Larson
>
> It is obvious that you are a liar by continuing the flame-fest after your
> discovery of afew weeks ago.
> Remember Phil!
> Hush was to be the word.
> Not much credence in your word.
> How about the promise to move over to the other scanner group.
> May be we will get lucky and Allied will see your productivity is nil.
> A loss of your free ISP.

Hi Rick Larson!

You have certainly proved yourself to be a gentleman with great
insight & common sense. You are one of the few people who remembers
Phil apologizing to me & the group only a week or two ago for his
behavior & said he would stop. But now here he is right back on here
causing the same problems he was before.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2921. Re: Please Leave Mackey Alone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78es3f$gt3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130164

rcv@pacifier.com wrote:
> Please Leave Mackey Alone
>
> Why do you guys torment this person. You back him

Thanks for your support RCV!

They are mostly the same bunch of trouble makers on this newsgroup
all the time attempting to bully people around. They have chosen to gang
up on me because they have been unable to do anything to me individually.
But even as a group they have been unable to do anything other than make
themselves look like fools & make trouble on this fine NG.

About 2 wqeeks ago, Phil apologized to myself & the group for his
behavior & promised to stop disrupting the group. Obvioulsy, he broke
his promis to us all.

(See a copy of his post below)

****
An Apology to All
Author: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Date: 1999/01/06
Forum: rec.radio.scanner
I think I should apologize to the group for my behavior regarding John
Mackey
SNIP
disruption of the group. I will do so no more. I can only hope that others
will do the same.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2922. WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990124052806.12662.00001809@ng136.aol.com>
Source record: 130165

Who cares if John Mackey lies?
Who cares if Phil Smalley lies?
Who cares if Bill Cheeks lies?
Who cares if any of the rest of the folks embroiled in this bullshit lies?

NO ONE CARES!

They are all the same, just different sides of the same coin. They won't take the feud to private e-mail 'cause they like seeing their names out there for everyone to see. Except for Bill, who has published some books and had decent success as a writer, this, for most of them, is their only claim to fame. Besides, here they can sit at their keyboards, thousands of miles apart, and safely call each other names, but put them all in a room and they'd be too chickenshit so say "boo" to one another.
If brains were dynamite you couldn't gather enough from the whole group to blow your nose.

JW

2923. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78esgj$h8k$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130166

"Adam Goldman" <ajgxii@hotmail.com> wrote:
> way, I know for a fact that Choco and Phil are two different people, as I
> know both of them.

Your word means NOTHING coming from an assumed (FAKE) ID hiding behind a
hotmail address!

> shit
> asshole
(other swearing & cursing deleted)

Are you capable of exoressing yourself without swearing & cursing?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2924. Re: Newbie Request: Please Shut Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78est1$hi8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130167

ajmedia@aol.com (AJMedia) wrote:
> I'm not a "newbie", but rather an occasional visitor to this newsgroup.
> Although I agree with you, I do have one question: Who the hell is Mackey?!?

My name is John Mackey & my amateur radio call is KA0SSF (extra class license). I have been on this NG for slightly over 2 years. I am from Sioux City, Iowa

Some of the trouble makers on this NG are angry at me because I stood up the the bully tactics of a few certain people which caused them to lose their online access and caused great disdain to the remaining bullies.

Is there anything else I may help you with?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2925. Re: COLES frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <36ab017d.695010@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 130168
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

rev@newave.net.au (Matty) wrote:

:In my local Coles (AUSTRALIA) the staff use radio units to talk to
:each other. Similiar to take away drive thru sets.
:
:
:I looked at back of one and it said something like SONTAC or SONTRAC.
:
:
:
:What are the frequencies?

My local Woolworths store uses Uniden Sundowner UHF CBs.

Barry

2926. Re: Australian frequency allocations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: smithxpj@ozemail.com.au
Message-ID: <36ab060f.4033842@news.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 130169

On Sat, 16 Jan 1999 11:46:52 -0700, JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
wrote:

>found this while searching for stuff from australia
>
>9.700 to
> 31.000
> LOW POWERED MODELS / CORDLESS TELEPHONE
> OUTPUTS
> 31.000
> 32.000
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 50 CHANNELS
> 32.000
> 33.000
> DEFENCE ALLOCATION
> 33.000
> 34.000
> REPEATER INPUT - 50 CHANNELS
> 34.000
> 35.000
> DEFENCE ALLOCATION
> 35.000
> 36.000
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 50 CHANNELS
> 36.000
> 37.000
> REMOTE CONTROL MODELS
> 37.000
> 38.000
> REPEATER INPUT - 50 CHANNELS
> 38.000
> 39.000
> DEFENCE ALLOCATION
> 39.000
> 41.000
> CORDLESS TELEPHONES INPUTS, INDUSTRIAL MEDICAL
> PAGING
> 41.000
> 42.000
> DEFENCE ALLOCATION
> 42.000
> 43.000
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 50 CHANNELS
> 43.000

> 44.000
> DEFENCE ALLOCATION
> 44.000
> 45.000
> REPEATER INPUT - 50 CHANNELS
> 45.000
> 52.000
> TV CHANNEL 0
> 50.000
> 54.000
> AMATEUR SIX METRE BAND
> 54.000
> 56.000
> LOW POWER SERVICES - INCLUDING HAND HELD UNITS
> 56.000
> 63.000
> TV CHANNEL 1
> 63.000
> 70.000
> TV CHANNEL 2
> 70.000
> 70.2435
> SIMPLEX - 19 CHANNELS
> 70.2435
> 72.2935
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 164 CHANNELS
> 72.2935
> 72.7435
> SIMPLEX - 36 CHANNELS
> 72.7435
> 74.800
> REPEATER INPUT - 164 CHANNELS
> 74.800
> 75.200
> AIRCRAFT NAVIGATION BAND
> 75.200
> 77.2935
> SIMPLEX - 167 CHANNELS
> 77.2935
> 77.4935
> SIMPLEX - 16 CHANNELS
> 77.4935
> 79.8065
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 185 CHANNELS
> 79.8065
> 79.9935
> SIMPLEX - 15 CHANNELS
> 79.9935
> 82.3065
> REPEATER INPUT - 185 CHANNELS

> 82.3065
> 82.4935
> SIMPLEX - 15 CHANNELS
> 82.4935
> 83.7435
> REPEATER INPUT - 100 CHANNELS
> 83.7435
> 84.6935
> REPEATER INPUT OR SIMPLEX - 76 CHANNELS
> 84.6935
> 84.9935
> SIMPLEX - 64 CHANNELS
> 84.9935
> 86.2435
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 100 CHANNELS
> 86.2435
> 87.1935
> REPEATER OUTPUT OR SIMPLEX - 76 CHANNELS
> 87.1935
> 87.500
> SIMPLEX - 24 CHANNELS
> 87.500
> 108.000
> FM BROADCAST BAND
> 94.000
> 101.000
> TV CHANNEL 4
> 101.000
> 108.000
> TV CHANNEL 5
> 108.000
> 118.000
> AVIATION RADIO NAVIGATION BAND
> 118.000
> 137.000
> AVIATION RADIO COMMUNICATION BAND
> 137.000
> 144.000
> TV CHANNEL 5A
> 144.000
> 148.000
> AMATEUR RADIO TWO METRE BAND
> 148.000
> 149.250
> PAGING SERVICE - 50 CHANNELS
> 149.250
> 149.755
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 80 CHANNELS
> 149.755
> 149.900

> SIMPLEX - 11 CHANNELS
> 150.000
> 151.395
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 80 CHANNELS
> 151.395
> 152.495
> SIMPLEX - 88 CHANNELS
> 152.495
> 153.850
> SIMPLEX - 108 CHANNELS
> 153.850
> 154.355
> REPEATER INPUT - 80 CHANNELS
> 154.355
> 154.655
> SIMPLEX - 23 CHANNELS
> 154.655
> 156.000
> REPEATER INPUT - 107 CHANNELS
> 156.000
> 157.450
> MARITIME MOBILE ALLOCATIONS
> 157.450
> 158.295
> REPEATER INPUT OR SIMPLEX - 80 CHANNELS
> 158.295
> 160.600
> REPEATER INPUT - 184 CHANNELS
> 160.600
> 160.975
> MARITIME MOBILE ALLOCATIONS
> 160.975
> 161.475
> SIMPLEX - 39 CHANNELS
> 161.475
> 162.050
> MARITIME MOBILE ALLOCATIONS (SEA PHONE)
> 162.050
> 162.895
> REPEATER OUTPUT OR SIMPLEX - 67 CHANNELS
> 162.895
> 165.195
> TRUNKED REPEATER OUTPUT - 240 CHANNELS
> 168.195
> 169.795
> SIMPLEX -128 CHANNELS
> 169.795
> 172.795
> TRUNKED REPEATER INPUT - 240 CHANNELS
> 172.795

> 173.295
> SIMPLEX - 40 CHANNELS
> 173.295
> 174.000
> SIMPLEX - 56 CHANNELS
> 174.000
> 181.000
> TV CHANNEL 6
> 181.000
> 188.000
> TV CHANNEL 7
> 188.000
> 195.000
> TV CHANNEL 8
> 195.000
> 202.000
> TV CHANNEL 9
> 202.000
> 208.000
> DISTANCE MEASURING EQUIPMENT (DME) AIRCRAFT
> 208.000
> 215.000
> TV CHANNEL 10
> 215.000
> 222.000
> TV CHANNEL 11
> 222.000
> 225.000
> DISTANCE MEASURING EQUIPMENT (DME) AIRCRAFT
> 225.000
> 400.000
> DEFENCE ALLOCATION (AIRCRAFT)
> 400.000
> 403.000
> WEATHER AIDS
> 403.000
> 404.000
> REPEATER INPUT - 40 CHANNELS
> 404.000
> 405.000
> LINKS - 40 CHANNELS (INPUT)
> 405.000
> 405.985
> REPEATER INPUT - 40 CHANNELS
> 406.115
> 408.625
> TRUNKED REPEATER INPUT - 200 CHANNELS
> 408.625
> 410.550
> REPEATER INPUT - 77 CHANNELS

> 410.550
> 411.550
> SIMPLEX - 40 CHANNELS
> 411.550
> 412.450
> SIMPLEX - 72 CHANNELS
> 412.450
> 413.450
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 40 CHANNELS
> 413.450
> 414.450
> LINKS - 40 CHANNELS (OUTPUT)
> 414.450
> 415.435
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 40 CHANNELS
> 415.435
> 418.075
> TRUNKED REPEATER OUTPUT - 200 CHANNELS
> 418.075
> 420.000
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 77 CHANNELS
> 420.000
> 450.000
> AMATEUR RADIO BAND
> 450.000
> 450.050
> SIMPLEX - 20 CHANNELS
> 450.050
> 452.500
> LINKS - 120 CHANNELS (INPUT)
> 452.500
> 460.000
> REPEATER INPUT - 340 CHANNELS
> 460.000
> 462.000
> LINKS - 120 CHANNELS (OUTPUT)
> 462.000
> 469.500
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 340 CHANNELS
> 469.500
> 470.000
> SIMPLEX - 20 CHANNELS
> 470.000
> 471.215
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 50 CHANNELS
> 471.215
> 472.215
> SIMPLEX - 40 CHANNELS
> 472.215
> 474.800

> REPEATER OUTPUT - 100 CHANNELS
> 474.800
> 475.200
> SIMPLEX - 16 CHANNELS
> 475.200
> 476.400
> REPEATER INPUT - 50 CHANNELS
> 476.400
> 477.400
> UHF CB - 40 CHANNELS
> 477.400
> 480.000
> REPEATER INPUT - 100 CHANNELS
>
> 480.000
> 484.800
> REPEATER INPUT - 190 CHANNELS
> 484.800
> 485.200
> SIMPLEX - 16 CHANNELS
> 485.200
> 490.000
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 100 CHANNELS
> 490.000
> 494.800
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 190 CHANNELS
> 494.800
> 495.200
> SIMPLEX - 16 CHANNELS
> 495.200
> 500.000
> REPEATER INPUT - 190 CHANNELS
> 500.000
> 501.000
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 80 CHANNELS
> 501.000
> 505.000
> USE AS YET UNDETERMINED
> 505.000
> 507.000
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 240 CHANNELS
> 507.000
> 509.525
> REPEATER OUTPUT - 100 CHANNELS
> 509.525
> 510.000
> SIMPLEX - 20 CHANNELS
> 510.000
> 511.000
> REPEATER INPUT - 80 CHANNELS

> 511.000
> 515.000
> USE AS YET UNDETERMINED
> 515.000
> 517.000
> REPEATER INPUTS - 240 CHANNELS
> 517.000
> 519.525
> REPEATER INPUTS - 100 CHANNELS
> 519.525
> 520.000
> SIMPLEX - 20 CHANNELS
> 526.000
> 820.000
> TELEVISION CHANNELS UHF, BANDS IV & V
> 820.000
> 825.000
> TRUNKED REPEATER INPUT - 200 CHANNELS
> 825.000
> 845.000
> ANALOGUE CELLULAR PHONE INPUTS - 666 CHANNELS
> 845.000
> 852.000
> STUDIO TO TRANSMITTER BROADCAST LINKS
> 852.000
> 860.000
> LINKS AND LOW CAPACITY SERVICES
> 860.000
> 861.000
> NOT ALLOCATED
> 861.000
> 865.000
> CORDLESS TELEPHONES
> 865.000
> 870.000
> TRUNKED REPEATER OUTPUTS - 200 CHANNELS
> 870.000
> 890.000
> ANALOGUE CELLULAR PHONE OUTPUTS - 666
> CHANNELS
> 890.000
> 900.000
> DIGITAL CELLULAR INPUTS
> 900.000
> 905.000
> TELSTRA DIGITAL CELLULAR INPUT
> 905.000
> 910.000
> OPTUS DIGITAL CELLULAR INPUT
> 910.000

> 915.000
> VODAFONE DIGITAL CELLULAR INPUT
> 915.000
> 928.000
> RADIO LOCATION, INDUSTRIAL, SCIENTIFIC, MEDICAL
> 928.000
> 935.000
> LINKS AND LOW CAPACITY SERVICES
> 935.000
> 945.000
> DIGITAL CELLULAR OUTPUT
> 945.000
> 950.000
> TELSTRA DIGITAL CELLULAR OUTPUT
> 950.000
> 955.000
> OPTUS DIGITAL CELLULAR OUTPUT
> 955.000
> 960.000
> VODAFONE DIGITAL CELLULAR OUTPUT
>
>--
>--
>
>> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
><
>> Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(: MS-Windows
>):<
>> Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
>> if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
><
>--
>

Delete the 202-208MHz and 222-225MHz (Aircraft DME) and you'll be
getting close to the mark!

There is, however, an interesting history to those two spectrum
allocations in Australia. A fact probably unknown to many scanner
buffs outside Australia is that, since the 1950's, we operated our own
aviation Distance Measuring Equipment system on VHF. Most aviation
buffs would probably be familiar with the current ICAO DME system that
operates in the UHF 1000 MHz region.

The Australian (Domestic DME, as it was known) system was developed
post-WWII by some fairly smart radio-physics boffins to assist aero
navigation in Australia and, especially, for the more remote and
desert areas of the Australian 'outback'. The cost of setting up and
maintaing a network of radio navigation aids to provide full enroute
coverage in the remote regions would have been prohibitive at the
time...so a simpler solution was needed.

The philosophy for radio aided navigation in remote areas was similar to that for trans-oceanic flights (before inertial or GPS, of course!!) where dead reckoning was used in conjunction with the forecast winds to put the aircraft generally in the right direction. The flight continued until the aircraft eventually came into range of the destination radio aids and, even if it was off-course, was then able to 'home in' on them. The concept of 'homing' or 'where is my destination and how long will it take to get there' was the basis for co-siting of an NDB and a DME at many remote and country airports in Australia...the NDB giving direction and the DME giving distance / speed / time to go.

From an engineering viewpoint, the Australian DME was a superbly elegant piece of technology. In the days of thermionic valves (tubes) and high-Q tuned circuits, servo-driven tuning cavities would have been needed to achieve frequency / channel track tuning in the aircraft DME interrogator / responsor (as used in early 1000MHz units). This added weight and complicated the electronic design of the airborne unit. The elegance of the Australian DME was that all 12 (later 48) channels operated on the same frequency pair of 206 / 224 MHz!.....and channel selection was achieved by varying the width and spacing of the pulse-pair RF transmission from the aircraft. This was accomplished in the airborne electronics by simply switching the tapping on a couple of delay lines, while the RF circuits were fixed tuned for peak efficiency.

Even when an aircraft was in range of more than one DME ground station, co-channel interference was rarely perceivable, despite all being on the same frequency pair. Each DME ground station only responded to interrogations matching its channel's pulse-pairs while rejecting others. The duty cycle of the RF transmission and the statistical hit/miss ratio of multiple aircraft interrogations provided a near-100 percent service.

Another piece of engineering elegance and cost saving was the ground station antenna. To obtain an appropriate radiation pattern, the antenna consisted of several stacked bi-conical dipoles. During development of the DME, the engineers needed to ensure that the support structure did not affect the radiation pattern...so they made the antenna support using wood ....and it stayed that way in the production model, suitably treated against the weather, of course. A replacement all-metal antenna array was developed in the later years of the DME's service.

For a many years, Australia ran both domestic DME and ICAO DME (generally ICAO only at international airports and on major air routes) until some years ago when our civil aviation authority decided to harmonise with ICAO and (sadly for many light aircraft owners / pilots) de-commission the domestic DME system which was still giving sterling service.

The holes in the instrument panels of many light aircraft that were once fitted with domestic DME were left blank because of a decision by

CAA that only a few of the domestic DME stations would be replaced with ICAO DME. Most of those holes are now probably fitted with GPS which does what domestic DME did...plus a bit more !

The 501-505 and 511-515 MHz segments are worthy of note. During the early 1980's these bands were used in Oz for the first generation of analog 'cellular' mobile phones....and I mean automobile mobile only!!! The remote TR unit was about as big as a couple of shoe boxes and had to be fitted in the boot (trunk) of a car. The initial outlay cost thousands of dollars, and the network rental was daylight robbery.....so these were definitely yuppie phones for those with a fat wallet !

This system was a dream for scanner and monitoring buffs.....the radios operated at much higher power than todays hand-held units and each capital city had only a few cells....so cell-change handoff occurred.....well....most of the time it didn't need to!!!

These first generation mobiles are now long gone and that piece of spectrum has been sold off.

Cheers

2927. WTB: Yupiteru MVT-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4tjk@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124064628.26422.00002020@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 130170

Looking to buy a Yupi MVT-8000 base/mobile scanner.

Thanks Bryan

2928. Re: trouble with cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <36ab0bc1.3322999@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 130171

"Seth Tucker" <mensch@systec.com> wrote:

:i just bought a bc200xlt i was told it was cell modified. iam getting it
:but i am only grtting one way conversations. and what i do get is very
:static does any body help me with some advice. i hear people say that they
:can here theese freq. clear as a bell please e mail me thank you

The converstaions are probably in english which is not one of your
languages.

2929. Re: MDT Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: cukr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124071006.26416.00002061@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 130172

Try this page for some some wav. files of digital signals:
http://home.earthlink.net/~viperssss/

Happy listening

2930. Re: Regency Turbo Scan TS2 Manuel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: avellanet@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78f7p3nvo1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130173

In article <36A9E6CD.6CD@tampabay.rr.com>,
jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com wrote:
> I need a copy of the owners manuel for this Regency TS2 Turbo scan.
> Will pay cost plus for it. I appreciate your effort to help me.
>
> Regards Jerr1919
>

Hi Jerry,

Which one is the Turbo Scan and what freqs does it covers? Is it
modifyable? Someone offered me one but I didn't had a clue of what it was.

Carlos.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2931. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <78f4dl$fqf@news.hsonline.net>
Source record: 130174

Well, I guess smoke signals are a form of transmission, but you have to
remember that
they are only "line of sight" & this type as a rule only transmit things
like... "WHAT THE #@**",
followed by..." OH S*#@, CALL THE FIRE DEPT."

Happy Scanning,
Charlie

I didn`t do it.
Nobody saw me do it.
You can`t prove anything!

Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote in article
<36AAD2FD.4678D88D@home.com>...
> If you want your scanner to transmit, you must first plug a mike
> into the external speaker jack. Then you must improve the power
> supply. A scanner will not transmit on batteries. You must have a
> powerful AC adapter. If your scanner requires 9 volts DC, go to
> Radiop Shack and get an adapter rated at 24 volts AC. Your scanner
> will transmit for a very short period of time. Be sure to ignore any
> visable smoke while transmitting as this is normal for all scanners
> that are capable of transmission.

2932. Re: Newbie Request: Please Shut Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <78f4vu$fqf@news.hsonline.net>
Source record: 130175

AJ,

Please, don`t ask. Believe me, you DON`T want to know. The best thing
you can do is keep him in your filter & update your filter to include about
a dozen or so of his aka`s.

Good Luck &
Happy Scanning,
Charlie

I didn`t do it.
Nobody saw me do it.
You can`t prove anything!

AJMedia <ajmedia@aol.com> wrote in article
<19990124003602.18972.00002075@ng15.aol.com>...

> I'm not a "newbie", but rather an occasional visitor to this newsgroup.
> Although I agree with you, I do have one question: Who the hell is
Mackey?!?
>
>
> AJMedia@nospam.com
>

2933. Re: Newbie Request: Please Shut Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <78f55i$fqf@news.hsonline.net>
Source record: 130176

John,

You might try talking about SCANNERS a little more often.
--
Happy Scanning,
Charlie

I didn`t do it.
Nobody saw me do it.
You can`t prove anything!

jmackey@usa.net wrote in article <78est1$hi8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> ajmedia@aol.com (AJMedia) wrote:
> > I'm not a "newbie", but rather an occasional visitor to this newsgroup.
> > Although I agree with you, I do have one question: Who the hell is
Mackey?!?
>
> My name is John Mackey & my amateur radio call is KA0SSF (extra class
> license). I have been on this NG for slightly over 2 years. I am from
Sioux
> City, Iowa

> Is there anything else I may help you with?

2934. Re: RS Scanner Antennas (20-014)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: hermit@erie.net
Message-ID: <36ac1c20.143550743@news.erie.net>
Source record: 130177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I din't see the original message - what kind of antenna is this?
Base? Mobile?

Thanks,

Dick

>>HOUNDDOG wrote:
>>
>>> There are three left at the RS store on Union and Foxworthy in San Jose, CA.
>>> The price is $6.97 on closeout.
>>
>>Helluva deal, I just picked up the last two in Salt Lake City.
>>In fact I'm putting one together now... Great fun..
>>
>>Jon
>>

2935. Re: Looking for an 800mhz tuned antenna for my BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <36ab1f37.8304614@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 130178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:

:Anyone have any suggestions?
:
:My BC235XLT showed up today and I'm already looking for a better antenna
:than the factory one.

May I suggest the Radio Shack centre loaded telescopic?

2936. Re: WARNING..See what modifying a scanner to receive cellular can do to you.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <36ab2053.8588402@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 130179

scanshack@aol.communicate (ScanShack) wrote:

:Don't look if you have a weak heart !
:Visit my site to see what happened to me after I did my first cell mod....

You mean the picture of your shack being raided?

:Another scanner information web page
:Including cell mods with illustrations,
:virtual down conversion info & more at:
:
:http://members.aol.com/scanshack
:
:Happy Scanning !

2937. Decoding scanner date codes (On Topic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990124084455.17023.00002275@ng104.aol.com>
Source record: 130180

Decoding Those Radio Shack & Uniden Date Codes

Decoding the date code on your Radio Shack scanner is as follows.

The date code consists of a number (from 1 to 12), followed by the letter "A", followed by another number (from 0-9).

The first number represents the month of manufacture, while the last number represents the year. (The "A" acts as a separator.)
For example, the date code

3A4 would decode to March 1974 or March 1984 or March 1994

Note that the decade can't be precisely determined but a quick check in a Radio Shack Catalog will help you to determine a decade.

Decoding the date code on your Uniden Bearcat scanner is as follows.

The date code consists of a two digit code representing the month followed by another two digit code representing the year.
For example, the date code

0AID would decode to Jan 1994 0A = Jan (A=1, first month of year) ID =94 (I=9, D=4)

0EIC would decode to May 1993 0E = May (E=5, fifth month of year) IC = 93 (I=9, C=3)

A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4, E=5, F=6, ETC....

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

2938. Re: RS Scanner Antennas (20-014)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ernie Stedman <n2ojq@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <78fbkq$l6s@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130181
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Its about 5 or 6 feet tall with 3 ground radials that are each 6' long.
I pout one in my bedroom in the corner behind a dresser w/ only 1 radial
installed along the floor and it works great !
here is a diagram:
http://home.att.net/~n2ojq/pics/scant.gif

GREAT DEAL!!
Ernie
N2OJQ
Roswell GA

<mikestuber@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:36aaed47.86661672@news.value.net...
>How big are these things?!
>
>On 23 Jan 1999 05:31:59 GMT, "Jon S. Van Allen"
><yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>HOUNDDOG wrote:
>>
>>> There are three left at the RS store on Union and Foxworthy in San Jose,
CA.
>>> The price is $6.97 on closeout.
>>
>>Helluva deal, I just picked up the last two in Salt Lake City.
>>In fact I'm putting one together now... Great fun..
>>
>>Jon
>>
>

2939. Re: RS Scanner Antennas (20-014)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ernie Stedman <n2ojq@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <78fbmo$ldh@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130182
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Base
Here is a diagram:
http://home.att.net/~n2ojq/pics/scant.gif

Ernie
N2OJQ
Roswell GA

Hermit <hermit@erie.net> wrote in message
news:36ac1c20.143550743@news.erie.net...
>
>I din't see the original message - what kind of antenna is this?
>Base? Mobile?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Dick
>
>>>HOUNDDOG wrote:
>>>
>>>> There are three left at the RS store on Union and Foxworthy in San
Jose, CA.
>>>> The price is $6.97 on closeout.
>>>
>>>Helluva deal, I just picked up the last two in Salt Lake City.
>>>In fact I'm putting one together now... Great fun..
>>>
>>>Jon
>>>
>
>
>

2940. Re: 895+rs232+ID Monitor mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: see.the@reply.to
Message-ID: <C9F25837FD500145.061A167FFFB1B8C5.A32F8BBA39A03C58@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 130183

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 01:42:36 GMT, moored@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>I'm trying to write a program that will use the ID monitor mode
>of the BC895LXT to dump ID's in a rapid succession. It doesn't
>seem like there is a way to have it feed back the ID's it's seeing
>in Monitor mode as opposed to Search mode. This could be a bummer
>for the program. What have people done to attack this problem
>before?

Send IDN at the startup.

Then your bc sends:
ID S 100-01 - fire department comes on
ID E 100-01 - goes off

2941. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB <brenda131@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78fdtsqbc1@news.iag.net>
Source record: 130184

Tim Tyler wrote in message <36AAC5AD.83B1C375@mich.com>...
> In the future, I'll just accept that some people can't read, or that the
>rather simple statement I've made in this thread many times before is read
but
>then lost in the more complicated issues raised. I'll keep responding to
other
>issues, but not the fundamental one you somehow raise, despite my covering
it
>many times by now.
>
> But for your benefit, the simple statement which sums up my belief is:
>
> You can tune in. They (notice I said they, not "we" -- I am speaking for
>myself, based on my experiences) have the right & duty to be concerned
about the
>vulnerability of certain information contained in their radio
transmissions, and
>take action to protect the communications. This isn't done by seizing
scanners,
>it's done by securing circuits.

Yeah right by making more classes of criminal out of the otherwise law
abiding public.

>
>BB wrote:
>
>> What I found truly frightening was the statement "percieved rights of
>> hobbyists to listen in". I mean I thought that the numerous "public
safety"
>> entities existed to serve the public. I guess our poster here feels like
>> they merely exist so he can play with cool toys paid for by the public
which
>> he serves.
>
> Silly guess. - But correct
>
>> I mean we paid for it so why can't we listen to it for
>> entertainment purposes ?
>
> You can! We also paid for the telephones in police offices. Why can't we
>listen in to those calls for entertainment purposes too (at least when
they're
>made to other taxpayer-funded phones)?

Because the telephone service is a wireline based private entity.
>
> We pay for the White House, so why don't you start a petition to put a
live
>Webcam up in the Oval Office?

Not a bad idea at all
>
> Intelligent & responsible people whose minds aren't emotionally-charged
tend
>to see the broader issue -- we pay for the police to protect us. Non-secure
>communications can be a liability in terms of officer safety and law
enforcement
>effectiveness.

BS the police don't protect anybody what a load of crap. American law
enforcement is reactive as it shoud be. The ultimate responsibility for
personal safety lies with the individual not with society.

>
>> It is unfortunate that the us vs them attitude is so prevalent in
>> law enforcement circles today.
>
> The only legitimate Us vs Them attitude should be Us vs. Criminals. But
>plenty of scanner geeks have the 'Us vs Them' attitude regarding police
>encryption, in case you hadn't noticed.
>
>> If you feel like you need to hide what you
>> are doing from the public maybe you should consider a life of crime.
>
> I see you have a slight 'Us vs Them' complex too...

No kidding why shouldn't I
>
> I'm a member of the public. My posts all have my name attached. Yours
didn't. I
>guess "you feel like you need to hide what you are doing from the public."
Are
>you a criminal? Probably not -- you're just exercising your right to
privacy.
>Police communications not only have that right, it's a duty. That duty
can be
>realized by proper operations security awareness, but in my opinion, police
>generally are no smarter than the rest of us (and compared to many of us,
are
>dumb/ignorant when it comes to communications matters). Encryption --and
sadly,
>full-time encryption-- is a major aspect of the solution to operations
security
>awareness problems caused by police ignorance, negligence, or stress.

I choose to remain quasi anonymous because I feel like there is a
serious lack of political freedom in this nation today. If I was a system

toady like you I would post my real name too. Gee you might get a merit
badge.
>
>> There is a saying that came from Rome and I apologize for not recalling
>> the author but he said : "Once we had criminals that opressed us, now we
>> have laws that opress us".
>
> "First, kill all the lawyers" could be a response equally quoted way out
of
>context -- but at least I know who authored it (Bill Shakespeare).
>
Gee sorry I don't recall the author but I felt it was appropriate.
>> BB
>
>--
>Tim Tyler
>ttyler@mich.com
>http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/
>
>

2942. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scan Man <scanner@aol.com>
Message-ID: <36ABABAC.DA3055AF@aol.com>
Source record: 130185

JTWard01 wrote:
>
> Who cares if John Mackey lies?
> Who cares if Phil Smalley lies?
> Who cares if Bill Cheeks lies?
> Who cares if any of the rest of the folks embroiled in this bullshit lies?
>
> NO ONE CARES!
>
> They are all the same, just different sides of the same coin. They won't take
> the feud to private e-mail 'cause they like seeing their names out there for
> everyone to see. Except for Bill, who has published some books and had decent
> success as a writer, this, for most of them, is their only claim to fame.
> Besides, here they can sit at their keyboards, thousands of miles apart, and
> safely call each other names, but put them all in a room and they'd be too
> chickenshit so say "boo" to one another.
> If brains were dynamite you couldn't gather enough from the whole group to
> blow your nose.
>
> JW

WHO CARES WHAT YOU THINK???!!!

2943. Regency MX7000 Scanner manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: scrapbook@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78dorcpcb1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130193

I need an antenna and owner's manual for the Regency MX7000. Help or suggestions will be appreciated. Thanks, Al

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2944. 2 level FSK interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: OErjan Hoff <oehoff@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <78dkog$3fv$1@romeo.dax.net>
Source record: 130194
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello!
Anyone have drawings for the "ultimate" 2level FSK interface
I want the BEST :-)
I also wants drawing's for 4 level.

Best regards
OErjan Hoff

2945. Re: cellular reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerhead@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78drfn$r8u$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130195

In article <36A75D85.C1B5AA04@mail.idt.net>,
jeff watkins <buck69@mail.idt.net> wrote:
> Can anyone tell me how to tune both sides of a cellular transmission on
> my MVT-7100? I receive one side very well but never the other side of
> the
> conversation. Thanks in advance.
>
> Try a search frome 869 to 894 MHz in 30KHz steps or multiples there of.I don't think the 7100 is capable of 30KHz steps so maybe try 5KHz. This band is for North American Cellular repeater outputs so you should get both sides of the conversation most of the time.And remember that listening to cellular transmissions is against the law in the USA so you know umm you should refrain frome doing that. Yeah thats the ticket!!!!!!!!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2946. Astro / APCO25 Digital Scanner Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: apco25_scanner@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <78dslb$s1u$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130196

Some folks had recomended that I use a Spectra as the receiver. Currently I am using a Hp Communication Analyzer (from my Universities RF Lab), that piece of equipment is priced out of range for most people ($40,000). So I am looking at a easily available RF receiver that has very good sensitivity and can also transmit a baseband signal. I have been told the spectra can receive a -120dBm signal (I dont know what that translates to in microvolts).

Someone has already loaned me a 800Mhz Astro Saber, and offered to loan me his Analog 800Mhz spectra. Currently my DSP modem board has a few bugs, the bit error rate is too high under ideal conditions (its about 0.5% BER). For me to decode the protocols with accuracy I need to get the bit error rate of my Astro Modem down to to 0.0005% or less.

I have two options here to get the accuracy down to 0.0005% BER. I could change my symbol recovery methods, I currently use a integration and dump followed by a correlation filter. I could also fully decode and apply all the error correction routines (Hamming, Golay, Reed-Solomon, Trellis).

But the point is my Astro Modem should decode the symbols under ideal conditions. Performing Forward error correction on the data can easily fix the problem but if I wanted to transmit the same data I captured it would be errored since my captured data was errored.

Most digital radios process the data in terms of I and Q, this is usually accomplished with a Digital Baseband Processor. MX-COMM has a IC called the MX980 (www.mxcom.com) which is ideally designed for this purpose. Motorola uses a IC called the Abacus (Look at the service manual for the Astro Saber) to perform the RF to I/Q conversion. In a nut shell, to reliably recover and generate Digital Symbols you need to use a Digital Baseband Processor to feed your DSP in terms of I/Q.

I guess I am a perfectionist, I wont release this until I feel it works 100%. I expect to release this Astro/APCO25 Digital Scanner about the June/July 1999 timeframe (Thats if Motorola doesnt find out who I am and stop me cold).

I still havent decided what to do about playing back IMBE audio, looks like the only legal way is to pay $100,000 to DVSI for the license and X dollars royality per unit. The other options is to purchase a $1000 IMBE vocoder board from DVSI. If I were to sell 1 million of these APCO 25 scanners it would make sense for me to buy a license and pay the per unit royality, but it looks like I will just make everyone buy the $1000 vocoder board if they want to hear IMBE audio.

More to follow...

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2947. wanted radio sotware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew Micallef <amcomm@ozdocs.net.au>
Message-ID: <01be472d$2c393680$334e20cb@default>
Source record: 130197

hi

i am after tait t700 and icom ic-f10 radio software and interface lay

will swap for philips,tait,motorola,gme software

thanks
andrew

2948. 895+rs232+ID Monitor mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: moored@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78dtqbsqj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130198

I'm trying to write a program that will use the ID monitor mode
of the BC895LXT to dump ID's in a rapid succession. It doesn't
seem like there is a way to have it feed back the ID's it's seeing
in Monitor mode as opposed to Search mode. This could be a bummer
for the program. What have people done to attack this problem
before?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2949. Re: Icom IC-R1 Handheld Scanner Internal Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd1my@banet.net
Message-ID: <78du2r$st3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130199

Yes you can do it. They are about $20.00 from E H Yost co. It has a plug in connector on it so there is no soldering. Good Luck

In article <19990123144712.05422.00001974@ng-fu1.aol.com>, jimdpeters@aol.com (Jimdpeters) wrote:
> I have one of these radio's and it is in need of a internal battery. I have a
> couple of questions concerning this:
>
> 1. Where can I get one of these batteries and what do they cost?
> 2. Can the installation be done by me or does it have to be sent in?
>
> Jim, thanks for any help offered.
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2950. Re: JOHN MACKEY POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickl@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78du2t$st4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130200

In article <787jqp$82a$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>,
"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> It's only obvious.
>
> -Phil
>
>

Rick Larson

It is obvious that you are a liar by continuing the flame-fest after your discovery of afew weeks ago.
Remember Phil!
Hush was to be the word.
Not much credence in your word.
How about the promise to move over to the other scanner group.
May be we will get lucky and Allied will see your productivity is nil.
A loss of your free ISP.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2951. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Goldman <ajgxii@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <I6uq2.2730$RK5.1995@news.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 130201

Phil Smalley wrote in message <78d0ab$3si$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>
>jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bibi$6fc$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>>Phil, stop your lying.
SNIP!!!!!!!!!!!

John,

You dumb shit, you. You wouldn't know the difference between you ass and a whole in the ground if you had a road map and an Indian guide. And by the way, I know for a fact that Choco and Phil are two different people, as I know both of them. Maybe I should introduce the two of them someday.. Hey, that would be funny as all hell. I know for a fact that Phil works on one floor and "Choco" works on another floor. But what would a shit for brains asshole who's father felt that the best part of him ran down his mother's leg know about large corporations... Absolutely nothing.

2952. Re: Regency Touch K100.....need mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA72A8.5625@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130202
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Gspri@aol.com wrote:
>
> anymods for this old but great scanner???
> gspri@aol.com
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

Have a look at the following article which was posted by Bob Parnass
back on Febuary 19, 1996 to alt.radio.scanner and rec.radio.scanner.

http://x2.dejanews.com/getdoc.xp?AN=140118722&CONTEXT=917139765.559939613&hitnum=42&AH=1

2953. Please Leave Mackey Alone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rcv@pacifier.com
Message-ID: <36ABCAEB.B340AC4E@pacifier.com>
Source record: 130203

Please Leave Mackey Alone

Why do you guys torment this person. You back him
into a corner and of course the only thing he can do
is snap back at you.

2954. aa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78e3su$q2$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130204

asdf

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2955. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78dvst$1fj$1@208.207.68.18>
Source record: 130205

On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 19:22:47 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>No problem, all you had to do was ASK for the proof ANYTING I say I can
>back with proof when asked! See Below headers.
>
Then post the names and addresses of your supposed girl friends.

I would ask for their phone numbers, but escort services change those
often according to the newpapers.

2956. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78e00v$1fj$2@208.207.68.18>
Source record: 130206

On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 19:38:57 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>Then do exactly what you expected ME to do, POST YOUR PORRF that we
>may verify!
>

Porrf?

John, PLEASE, get back on your medication!

2957. Re: Blake Bowers - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78e03o$1fj$3@208.207.68.18>
Source record: 130207

On Sat, 23 Jan 1999 19:32:39 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>> Evidently, being off your meds John, leads you to not be
>> able to follow a thread also. Please John, get back on your meds.
>
>
>Blake, When did you start calling yourself John?

Please JOHN, get back on your MEDS!
\

2958. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990123214658.26931.00002054@ng113.aol.com>
Source record: 130208

What exactly where those freqs? That was the most retarded page I ever saw...and as for hooking up a mike......go for it but you still need chips to transmitt!!!
-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

2959. Re: REC.RADIO.SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam
Message-ID: <19990123214937.26931.00002058@ng113.aol.com>
Source record: 130209

Do what I did. Open microsoft works, or word and MAKE ONE! IT's NOT THAT HARD!
-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
-rabidfool@yahoo.com
-rabidfool@hotmail.com
-rabidfool@ignmail.com

2960. none

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199901240255.DAA26741@replay.com>
Source record: 130210

is this a News Group, or Abuse Group!!!!!!

2961. Re: trouble with cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990123215855.00769.00002498@ng61.aol.com>
Source record: 130211

>Subject: Re: trouble with cell
>From: Larry@star.net
>Date: 1/23/99 6:20 PM Mountain Standard Time
Larry@star.net wrote:
>>> http://members.aol.com/scanshack +++ aka spamshack
>>
>> Happy Spamming !

Thanks Larry....always enjoy a good chuckle along with the FREE advertising.....
To get back to the original intent of this thread message (about cell mod on BC200XLT) I picked up a BC200XLT (date code OHIA) in a pawn shop today for $100.... opened it up and it had a color coded 10K resistor where the 103 chip resistor (one for cell mod) is on most BC200s. I guess some of the models actually had a "Brown-Black-Orange" round type located there. This is for future reference in case anyone sees the same someday. And yes it is the one located above the "den" in the word Uniden and below the screw as mentioned in prior messages.

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

2962. Re: Oregon frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA8D6A.45ED@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130212

Karyn Schultz wrote:
>
> Does anyone have a source for Oregon frequencies. Portland area.

Check out the following URLs:

http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc/freq_list
http://www.teleport.com/~stroute/freq.html
http://ucs.orst.edu/~varineb/scanner.htm

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/or.html
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/or.html

2963. Re: JOHN MACKEY et. al.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: eyeonudude@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990123220713.23101.00002062@ng102.aol.com>
Source record: 130213

Dear Mr. Mackey,

I have been reading this newsgroup for several years now. I am accustomed to ignoring Mackey et al, however it appears that anymore it is only Mackey.

Why do you continue this? Even Bill Check went away. Why if you only would quit responding to Smalldick and those other shrimpdick goons (Blockhead Bowers, Steve, and the other "me too's"), why they would go away too.

Then the world would truly be a better place.

I hope you consider my comments.

Thank You,
JWC

2964. Re: PHIL SMALLEY POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e2sq$16$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130214

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Bull John. It's hiding.

If I was hiding I would use a fake e-mail address. I have no reason
to hide.

> server you post on. Obviously you know nothing about NNTP or the Internet in
> general. If you can post it, it can be cancelled too. Everyone knows this,

You are wrong, you would have to log in as me in the dejanews site.

> Here's the actual truth: I think enough complaints finally got to your ISP
> that if the crap didn't stop, they were gonna pull the plug.

WRONG again!

I bet
> teleport.com AKA parg.org got sick of all the complaints and told you to

WRONG again!
PARG has not used teleport as their server for 6 months or better.
We moved PARG to a server that could support realaudio feeds
& had an FTP site.

> This is gonna get ugly real fast John. You'd do best to just drop it. I will
> if you do.

Then stop making your stupid posts as you have said you would do several
times!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2965. Re: Sensitivity of AOR AR2700

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA90D9.59E4@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130215
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Greg Skomash wrote:
>
> I remember reading a year ago about sensitivity problems with AOR
> AR2700. a comment was made that it might me a squelch problem. Does
> anyone know of any mod to improve sensitivity.
>
> Thanks

Check out the following URL:

http://www.mv.com/users/wje/filter.html

2966. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e315ah1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> a known usenet abuser and makes the top 100 list on a regular basis. He's

Obviously you are talking about Bill since he has made that list several
times.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2967. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e35f$b5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

bpk@home.com wrote:
> I know Bill Cheek gave us some helpful information about scanners with
> his faq.John-where are your helpful hints about scanning? Oh that's

Who cares?

I haven't seen YOU post any helpful hints about scanning either.

Actually, I have posted several articles about the UV loss of coax,
how to scan amateur repeater freqs, etc.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2968. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e3apde1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130218

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> waddling in....You friggin LOON!!!!! (horselaughing)
Horse laughing is very appropriate for a horse's ass like yourself.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2969. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e3ldne1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130219

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Hee, hee.... You friggin loon, it AIN'T ME!!!! Do you have any idea how many
> people work in this building, and use the exact same proxy server? Is it so
> hard for you to believe that I am not the only person who works here and
> thinks you're the bottom of the reject pile? Hoo hoo, now THAT'S FUNNY!!!!

Then prove it PHil!

A few months ago someone made some posts from a school in a different
state than me, yet you claimed that alone was proof that it was me.

Now, you admit that these posts in question come from your location
& from the same building & same proxy server as YOU, yet you expect people
NOT to believe it is YOU!!! Come Phil, the people on this NG
are not that stupid.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2970. Re: PHIL SMALLEY POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e3ovnu1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130220

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78bfoe$4el$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >who are you talking to Phil?

> You. You responded, didn't you? Who did you think I was talking to,

I only responded to ask who you were talking to, or do you have invisible
friends that only you can see?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2971. Re: SMALLEY IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e3v2qe1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130221

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> That is true, Mackey did lie. He has been on this NG spewing more shit than
> a salad shooter in a manure pile for 3 years, NOT 2.

Wrong, I started on this NG In July 1996, just slightly over 2 years ago
& certainly not 3 years ago.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2972. Re: Steve - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e4aa$14f$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130222

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> HIS NAME IS BILL CHEEK, JOHN. BILL CHEEK. YOU KNOW, THE GUY WHO

Oh yea, thanks I forgot his name.

> The guy you posted or replied to 275 messages about. By your own admission
> (the lack of a reply to this charge) you do not deny it's truth. Even if

I never saw this ppost you are referring to, it must have not
made it to my server.

> >(scamist extradionaire) in San Diego posted hundreds of more
> >messages than ME!
>
> Of course he did. He was answering technical questions, giving

Then WHY was he kicked off of the Scan-L mailing list by Peter Laws
& why was he kicked off of his ISP, both due to abuse in the summer of 1998?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2973. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e4hl$173$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130223

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I know for a fact that you are Newbie, and Peter J. Boe is
> JWiley. Here's my proof too, since I know you'll ask:

I dont' care about Peter Boe so I snipped that out!

> Here is "Newbie's" post
> Path:
> phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com!news-w.ans.net!news.lava.net!news.Hawaii.Edu!ha
> mmer.uoregon.edu!news-feed.fnsi.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!2
> 09.161.0.18!sat.cyberhighway.net!news.cyberhighway.net!not-for-mail
> From:
> you@some.com (Newbie)
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.scanner
> Subject:
> Re: BILL CHEEK POSTING AS 'ALLSAFE'
> Date:
> 22 Jan 1999 03:02:03 GMT
> Organization:
> Your Organization
> Lines:
> 8
> Message-ID:
> <788pnbbca1@news.cyberhighway.net>

OK, so it came from Cyberhighway, so what difference does that make?
(They cover several states!)

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2974. Re: Smalley LIES again!! Proof provided!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e4ot$1gp$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130224

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Here are just a FEW of the many many email addresses you have given on this
> group:
>
> jmackey@usa.net kaossf@parg.org
SNIP
Those both are still current & valid e-mail addresses
of mine, so what is your point? (besides the one on your head)

> Since when does McDonald's send it's fry cooks to business trips? You are
> lying again John.

hahahaha, with a little work you could do amateur comedy Phil!
Actually though McDonalds Corp is a client of my employer!

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2975. Re: Smalley LIES again!! Proof provided!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e4tr$1hp$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130225

"Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> Yada, Yada, Yada, for CHRIST'S SAKE, we are all tired of this public
> pissing contest. Take it private and get it the hell off of this newsgroup!!!
> This mindless crap will go on forever,
SNIP

Well said, Jon.

I have asked the people causing the problem to stop, & Phil even said he
would But Phil & his comrades keep on making trouble.

How pathetic they are. :(

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2976. Re: K patterson LIES again!! Proof provided!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e53t$1ju$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130226

"K patterson" <kandtp@fiai.net> wrote:
> with John, I have started to enjoy this NG much more. You know darn well he
> will tell you black is white or up is down.

Really!! So WHEN did I do this?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2977. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78e5c2$cq8$0@208.207.68.18>
Source record: 130227

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 03:16:45 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> waddling in....You friggin LOON!!!!! (horselaughing)
>Horse laughing is very appropriate for a horse's XXX like yourself.

John, would you please watch your language in the newsgroups?

2978. Re: Steve POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e5i2$1uf$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130228

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I can supply proof of my story:
>
> Fact: I was never reprimanded, spoken to or even heard mention of my NG
> activities while employed at Hughes. My boss knew and could've cared less.

FACT: Shortly after your boss knew about your actions you were no longer employed there.

> Fact: I was dissastified with the work I was doing at Hughes and opted to
> end the contract because the job was boring (notice how often I posted on

You lie to support my above statement.

> Fact: Upon returning to Arizona, I stayed with family while I saved up some

In other words, after losing your job you became homeless so some relatives took you in.

> While there, I used their ISP
> account - getting my own would've been a frivolous waste of money.

In other words, you hacked your relatives account until you got booted.

> since I
> have virtually unlimited access at work, I see no need to throw $20 a month
> in the toilet for a cheesy dial up connection at home.

In other words you don't make ehough money to get your own account so you hack your work internet access to post your drivel on this NG. What would happen if our employer found out again Phil?

> YOU HAVE NO PROOF THAT
> I WAS FIRED BECAUSE OF YOU!!!!

Your above admission was more than enough Phil!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2979. Re: Complaints about steve! Re: Mackey is NOT Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e5lg$20k$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130229

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> One post. HAHAHAHAHA! Pretty desperate John. Just the number of posts asking
> you to take your medication out numbers the one complaint about me. If one

No FOOL I only qouted one complaint rather than spam this NG with
things that most people already know.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2980. Re: PHIL SMALLEY POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e5qo$21r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130230

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> This is too funny. Yes I responded to "Newbie" accusing him of being you.
> Did Newbie deny it? No, he's willing to

Since we haven't seen ANY ppossts from Newbie in the last few days,
it would appear that he isn't here to respond, FOOL!

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2981. Re: Icom IC-R1 Handheld Scanner Internal Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkf435@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990123230423.29522.00002156@ng101.aol.com>
Source record: 130231

Some of the aftermarket dealers stock the battery, sometimes minus the connector. Try W&W , Periphex, or Yost. You should be able to take the battery to a nicad shop and have one made just make sure that the battery is within the size limits. Save the connector as they are hard to find and it will save you money if you do not have to buy the overpriced ICOM pack.

2982. Re: allsafe POSTING AS JWILEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e61p$2au$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130232

allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:

> Never met that Sysop. I heard that he was getting quite a bit of
> harrassment via E-mail

Given the way you conduct yourself, I imagine SEVERAL people complained!

> you could get off that "ISP of the month" plan you're on. (Have you

You should learn to count, in slightly over 2 years I have had 4 ISP's.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2983. Re: Oregon frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e644$2b7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130233

"Karyn Schultz" <karyns@teleport.com> wrote:
> Does anyone have a source for Oregon frequencies. Portland area.

What freqs are you looking for?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2984. Re: STEVE IN CIVIL COURT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78e6dd$2du$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130234

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:

> or JEMJ among other names has a long history of causing trouble. In 1995 you
> are causing all sorts of problems in the rec.radia ham type NGs. You are

Steve, I have NEVER been on ANY type of NG as you list above such
as "rec.radia ham type".

Does your ISP NTR.NET in Nashvillle know of how you conduct yourself online?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2985. Re: Go Private?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AA9FB9.7EA162A3@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130235

One point nobody has brought up is this: As a taxpaying citizen of my community, I have the right to know how my tax dollars are spent. Keeping an eye (and ear) on the local police department goes a long way in monitoring how those dollars are spent. I have noticed the more any agency (be it Police, Dog Catcher, Water Dept or whatever) believes they are not being monitored, the less accountable they generally become. I have witnessed blatant abuse by one agency that was using voice inversion scrambling years ago when they were sure nobody was listening.

This is not to say I don't believe the local cops shouldn't have a private channel or three to conduct bonafide private comms not receivable by anyone and everyone - I do support encryption and unpublished comms frequencies for this purpose. But to say that the public at large has no "right" to listen to police comms because a criminal or two might also listen in is absurd. Anyone who believes otherwise is an enemy of freedom and and enemy of accountability to the very people who pay their salaries! Unfortunately, some cops believe the public shouldn't be allowed to monitor their comms. This is an arrogant and misguided perception of reality. It's also unfortunate that there are people in our society that make some police agencies feel that way. Most police I have talked to over the years support scanning by the public as long as they have secure comms for special use.

The best example I can give you is the King County (or is it the City of Seattle) Washington official position that the public has the right to listen to their comms, and makes available police radios (transmit inhibited, of course) to anyone who asks for it and passes a simple background check and has the money for a radio. Trunked frequencies and talkgroup ID's are available for those with trunktrack scanners.

This is an excellent example of public participation and awareness in the process of law enforcement. The rest of the country should take notice. An informed public is a much better participant in their communities. Every extra set of eyes and ears the police have, the better off we all are. We all know of countless cases of scanners in the hands of law abiding citizens helping apprehend criminals, etc. It's unacceptable to allow the actions of a few criminals to take rights away from law abiding citizens, no matter how well intentioned.

Jon

2986. Re: Can I take my HF radio on a plane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kirk Harnack <k+++harnack@deltaradio.net>
Message-ID: <36ad4c3b.0@news-out2.newsnerds.com>
Source record: 129736
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've taken a handheld Magellan GPS (general aviation version with airport database) on several commercial flights. Biggest problem (aside from the flight attendant looking at you funny) is that the antenna will only see half the sky. Reception, or, rather, correlation, will be marginal. I have had it work, though, and seen groundspeeds in excess of 550 knots. The moving maps clips right along, too.

--

Kirk Harnack
President, Harnack Engineering, Inc.
VP-Engineering, Delta Radio, Inc.
mailto:kharnack@deltaradio.net
http://www.deltaradio.net
http://www.harnack.com
Chuck Rowe <NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@la-radio.com> wrote in message news:36ab8c1e.10387993@news.uia.net...

>The bottom line is it depends on the policy of that particular
>airline. However, in my line of work I fly in a Cessna 172 at about
>2,500 feet every day. I use my scanner and get terrific reception
>from distant broadcasts. I would guess that at higher altitude the
>reception would be even greater.
>
>What I want to know is why nobody bats an eye at laptop computers on a
>flight. Those things throw of more crap that would likely interfere
>with navigation than anything I can imagine. No one seems concerned
>about this. It makes me wonder that's all.
>
>Does anyone know about using a GPS reciever on a commercial flight?
>
>
>On Tue, 22 Dec 1998 00:40:16 GMT, kryppy@bellsouth.net (Jack) wrote:
>
>>I'm going on a trip for Christmas and was wondering if I could take my
>>shortwave on the airplane for some listening action. :p
>>
>

2987. Regency Informant Scanner info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kirk Harnack <k+++harnack@deltaradio.net>
Message-ID: <36ad4cc9.0@news-out2.newsnerds.com>
Source record: 129737

I've come across an old Regency Informant (model INF-3) scanner. It's in good shape and appears to work. I'm having trouble figuring out exactly how to customize the programming (to the extent that you can). It's one of those preprogrammed units.

Anyone know how I can get some basic operating information for this unit?

Thanks!

--

Kirk Harnack
President, Harnack Engineering, Inc.
VP-Engineering, Delta Radio, Inc.
mailto:kharnack@deltaradio.net
http://www.deltaradio.net
http://www.harnack.com

2988. Re: FEMA frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <78jhua$81c$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 129738

128.225, 138.575, 139.825, 139.950, 140.025, 140.900, 140.925, 141.725,
142.375, 142.400, 142.925, 142.975, 143.625, 164.8625, 168.075, 168.100,
168.350, 168.400, 168.700, 169.600, 169.875, 170.200 MHz.

donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
<78jf03$t3b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>I am looking for frequencies for FEMA. If anyone knows what
>frequencies they use can you tell me?
>
>donhark
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2989. Re: Anyone live in connecticut know about Scanner rules in car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <78hvrtqft1@fnord.systemsoft.com>
Source record: 129739

People SHOULDN'T take your word for it. Get your facts straight.

Radar Detectors have not been illegal for years in CT.

There is a FEDERAL law against detectors for CDL operators if the
vehicle is over I think 10,000lbsGVW, but that hardly applies to
personal vehicles.

I think the last holdouts for Radar Detector legality are VA and DC.

Scanners are another story, and legality varies not only from state to
state,
but from locality to locality. And even then, you can get nailed by what
you
have PROGRAMMED into your scanner. NY for example outlaws scanners,
but HAM radio is exempt, but only if the scanner contains NON-PS
frequencies. And I think Michigan exempts TECH-PLUS and higher.
Bottom line is it's a mess. Get LOCAL information, not bogus postings
here on the newsgroups. There are lots of scanner pages that keep up
to date on what is legal and what is not.

MikeY
N1DVJ

Trevor Harris wrote in message <36AC0EEC.82B4957E@earthlink.net>...
>it is illegal to have a radar detector in CT State in a car, they will
>confiscate it, and no there is no laws that makes that illegal yet. Just
states
>like NJ, KY, and 2 others are illegal.
>
>> If anyone lives in CONNECTICUT, do you know if it's legal to have a
handheld
>> scanner operational in your car? it's legal to have radar detectors and
all,
>> but what about scanners? just wonderin, thanks
>>

2990. Re: I AM A REAL SICK PERSON. HELP ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolph <dolph@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <36ad7277.0@news.qnet.com>
Source record: 129740

The voices are telling you to leave this group!
Marceeeee wrote in message <19990125144702.09605.00001017@ng49.aol.com>...
>>Subject: I AM A REAL SICK PERSON. HELP ME
>>From: john_ka0ssf_mackey@my-dejanews.com
>>Date: 1/24/99 7:17 PM Eastern Standard Time
>>Message-id: <78gd68$ho8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
>>
>>I am so sick
>>
>>I can't keep taking those medications
>>
>>I would rather be back in the padded cell
>>
>>Help me
>>
>>My head hurts
>>
>>I can't contol the voices
>>
>>Hep me
>>
>>Iam sorry
>>
>>So sorry
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>You all can suck me and burn in HELL
>>
>>
>>I HATE women. They hate me so they can all go to hell
>
>
>
>...Here you go. This will make everything all better. Here's a high-powered
>vacuum cleaner. Turn it on full and put the hose down the front of your
pants.
>Second, here's the handgun I reported stolen a few years ago but never
really
>had stolen. I filed off the serial number on the frame, the cylinder and
the
>barrel. You may borrow it. There's plenty of ammo in it and if you really
have

>any cohonas, you will only need one well-place round to your skull. If this
is
>something you can't do because you're not man enough, and this I don't
doubt,
>feel free to go swimming in my pool anytime you want. Take the radio with
>you...it's plugged into 120vac house current, and the GFI has been
>circumvented. You should put on quite a nice show.

2991. Filter help!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolph <dolph@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <36ad71fa.0@news.qnet.com>
Source record: 129741

Can someone tell me how to use newsgroup filters as I really don't give a shit if Mackey and Cheek Die!!!!!! I just want to learn about Scanning!
Please Respond to e-mail dolph@qnet.com
Any responses appreciated!
Randy

2992. 10-codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: EJStuart <stuart@tomah.com>
Message-ID: <78l6vu$qia@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 129742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I know that I'm at the risk of beating a dead horse, because this question has been asked 231.5 times by now, I'm sure, but...

What is the standardized list of 10 codes?

I search the web and I get 5 different lists that tell me 5 different things....Is there just no nationwide standard because each law agency devises there own? If so, I'd appreciate the 10 codes for the west central region of Wisconsin, if it wouldn't bother anyone too much =)

Thanks much!

-EJStuart

2993. Palisades Center frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <bVtr2.855$WR1.7322@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 129743
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for any frequencies for Palisades Center mall, security,
maintenance etc.

Thanks much,
Rob Lang
KC2EMO

2994. House for sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr_smiley@cheerful.com
Message-ID: <36acc144.16177908@news.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 129744

Sorry wrong group.

Bye.

2995. Scanners For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Baetke <baetke@sequent.com>
Message-ID: <36AC8FD2.35A3D7D4@sequent.com>
Source record: 129745

I am selling all of my scanning equipment. I have 3 scanners for sale.
Check them out at...
http://members.rotfl.com/toolman/
Please, local Portland Oregon area inquiries only since I am not
interested in shipping.
Bonus to anyone who buys all three. I will throw in all of my scanner
books/frequency guides for free!
email baetke@sequent.com if interested.

2996. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <36ACC3FD.3B89660C@mich.com>
Source record: 129786
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radioman wrote:

> The rest of the story is that they took my 6 specta's and my MTX8000.
> But that isn't my concern because there is no crime or charges filled.I
> didn't give the whole story because it is of no value to the case and my
> post was already long enough.After the case is over I will tell how they
> made contact with me.

If you 'know' related info is of "no value" then it doesn't seem like you need any advice. Further, it's not that the info is of "no value" because if that were true you would have merely passed the info instead of suspiciously just reiterating that it is immaterial.

I''m guessing you got caught progamming/reprogramming radios.

I don't think any of the devices you listed in your initial post would fall under the category of 'posession' crimes, but it's more likely how you used them which has drawn the wrath of Motorola.

> radioman

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

2997. Re: A Question for John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: DAS <dave@address.intentionally.munged>
Message-ID: <78id47$25e$1@usenet44.supernews.com>
Source record: 129787

Tripp <gevcc@fxhm.arg> wrote in message news:36ae75f8.698426@news.alt.net...
[...snip...]

> ... Anyone who has read these scanner groups for more than a couple weeks
already
> knows first hand what an idiot Mackey is.
>
> A real pity some people have no life. You have to be pretty fucking
> sick in the head to be obsessed enough with Mackey to waste time on
> posting these rhetorical quizzes.

<grin> I dunno. After a day of busting ass at work, I think this is pretty
funny. OK, so I should get out more, but if I'm going to be scanning my
newsgroups anyway, well, if you ask me, this is freaking hilarious.

Off topic, yes. But damned funny.

D

2998. Re: Alinco DJX10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjgilbert@wcom.net
Message-ID: <36acb49d.15529219@news.compuserve.com>
Source record: 129788

If you go to Bruce Popes' web site
(http://people.we.mediaone.net/baptpdc/index.htm) you will
see a link to get different files for it.

Anyway, I've been very happy with the radio. The only complaint
I have is that it eats batteries. Get the AA battery pack and use
some 1300mah NiMH batteries to solve that problem. This is one
of the few handheld DC-daylight radios that actually performs
reasonably well on the shortwave segment.

Matt

On Fri, 22 Jan 1999 09:33:01 -0500, Nick Marsh <nickwb4sqi@wwd.net>
wrote:

>Anyone using this radio care to comment about good/bad qualities?
>
>The X10 hasn't seemed to attract as much attention as the AR8000 when it
>was released. THere were literally hundreds of web pages for the 8K but
>I've only found a few for the X10.
>
>How about sharing frequency files useing Bruce Popes' software?
>
>Any mods?
>
>tia
>--
>73
>
>Nick Marsh
>WB4SQI

2999. 4 SALE BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul D Meehan <paulmeehan@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36AC4C5E.9918F480@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129789

I've got a really nice bc 200 XLT for sale. It comes with a new battery, the manual and charger. $200 delivered to your door. Reach me at paulmeehan@earthlink.com

3000. Re: THIS GROUP IS FOR RADIO SCANNER RELATED TOPIC DISCUSSION...ALL OTHERS GO AWAY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: MT <avi@avi-panoramic.com>
Message-ID: <78ifm1$3o3$1@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 129790

I agree.

Randal wrote in message <36abb455.2297453@netnews.worldnet.att.net>...
>Hey, you know who you are. Please stop littering this newsgroup with
>your childish, off-topic nonsense.
>
>We are here to talk about scanners and related topics.
>
>- You are fucking this up for everyone who doesn't give a damn about
>your annoying little spat.
>
>- You are all losers who have nothing better to do but perpetuate
>this crap.
>
>- Get a life.
>
>- Go away!!!
>
>
>Thank you.

3001. Re: where can I get cheapest 895xlt and 235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: MT <avi@avi-panoramic.com>
Message-ID: <78ifpm$jr4$1@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 129791

Advanced Digital Systems in St. Louis is one of the few places that has the
m in stock.
Around 219 dollars.

I checked most of them.\

FoxNewz wrote in message <19990124115512.21703.00000851@ng-ca1.aol.com>...
>where can I get cheapest 895xlt and 235xlt
>
>Thanks for any help

3002. A base version of the BC245xlt???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: foxnewz@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125142144.20422.00002858@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 129792

A base version of the BC245xlt???

With a new handlheld trunktracker II handheld will there be a base model available?????

3003. Re: Good God, I can't take anymore!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78ignqtf1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129793

Rob wrote in message ...
>You should all stick ya heads in the tiolet and flush ya selves.

Thanks for providing a new name to add to the filter.

PLONK! buh bye...
-Phil

3004. Re: where can I get cheapest 895xlt and 235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: andrew Eckman <ajeckman@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78igoq$2bk$1@news0-alterdial.uu.net>
Source record: 129794

CEI is down to $194 for the unit and includes a headset. www.usascan.com

FoxNewz wrote in message <19990124115512.21703.00000851@ng-ca1.aol.com>...
>where can I get cheapest 895xlt and 235xlt
>
>Thanks for any help

3005. Re: Good God, I can't take anymore!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Choco Boco <thumbs@meow.net>
Message-ID: <78ih70$10u$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129795

Filters engaged. Game over. John Mackey - You Loose!

Rob, you're there too.

Big Smiles!

Rob wrote in message ...
>In article <36ACAB09.E6E96B57@worldnet.att.net>, yonnie@worldnet.att.net
>says...
>>
>>
>> Phil Smalley wrote:
>>
>> > Jesus H.....
>> >
>> > Even I can't stand this crap anymore. It's getting too ridiculous.
John,
>> > you've done it again. Congratulations on trashing the whole group.
>> >
>> > But then that's what filters are for.
>> >
>> > Goodbye for now, John. I may be back but I gotta take a break
>> > PLONK!
>> >
>> > -Phil
>>
>> I have an idea. Let's have a vote on whether Mackay should leave
>> this newsgroup. Simple majority wins. Whaddya say Mackey, if
>> we vote you out, your butt is outta here, fair enough? If you win,
>> we'll leave and you can have it all to yourself, you're the only one
>> who will still be here. All in favor?
>>
>> Jon
>>
>>
>You should all stick ya heads in the tiolet and flush ya selves.

3006. Re: Good God, I can't take anymore!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdclampitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125144457.29523.00002964@ng101.aol.com>
Source record: 129796

First of all, let's be sure of one thing, if this is NOT Butt Cheeks imposing as "Smalldik", there has NEVER been a closer imitation by a Cheek-a-Like

Here is a goon that spends HOURS wasting his time, your time, and bandwidth posting garbage at a near record rate, close to Mackey's, and we ALL know how offensive that is. Got to ansewr EVERY little comment, at least ONCE.

Then he pins the blame on, who else, John.

Of course Bill, oops, I mean "Smalldik" CONTINUES to answer every little barb, even when they are thrown at someone else.

It must suck to be ButtCheeks illegitimate anal son.

And finally, "Plonk" (as if he's attempting to show off his Net Prowess, yet he has failed to master the true art, namely, filtering HI OWN GARBAGE SO IT DOESN'T end up here.

GO AWAY BILL, it must suck to LOOSE YER BANDWIDTH!!!

Jed
>From: "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
>
>Jesus H.....
>
>Even I can't stand this crap anymore. It's getting too >ridiculous. John,
>you've done it again. Congratulations on trashing the >whole group.
>
>But then that's what filters are for.
>
>Goodbye for now, John. I may be back but I gotta take a ?break
> PLONK!
>
>-Phil

3007. Re: I AM A REAL SICK PERSON. HELP ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: marceeeee@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125144702.09605.00001017@ng49.aol.com>
Source record: 129797

>Subject: I AM A REAL SICK PERSON. HELP ME
>From: john_ka0ssf_mackey@my-dejanews.com
>Date: 1/24/99 7:17 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <78gd68$ho8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
>
>I am so sick
>
>I can't keep taking those medications
>
>I would rather be back in the padded cell
>
>Help me
>
>My head hurts
>
>I can't contol the voices
>
>Hep me
>
>Iam sorry
>
>So sorry
>
>
>
>
>You all can suck me and burn in HELL
>
>
>I HATE women. They hate me so they can all go to hell

...Here you go. This will make everything all better. Here's a high-powered vacuum cleaner. Turn it on full and put the hose down the front of your pants. Second, here's the handgun I reported stolen a few years ago but never really had stolen. I filed off the serial number on the frame, the cylinder and the barrel. You may borrow it. There's plenty of ammo in it and if you really have any cohonas, you will only need one well-place round to your skull. If this is something you can't do because you're not man enough, and this I don't doubt, feel free to go swimming in my pool anytime you want. Take the radio with you...it's plugged into 120vac house current, and the GFI has been circumvented. You should put on quite a nice show.

3008. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdclampitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125144922.29523.00002968@ng101.aol.com>
Source record: 129798

Well the REAL question is, did you come into posession of the items in a legal fashion, and can you prove it?

If not, get ready for he pokey. Looks like Moneyrola is on one. (A roll)

Jed

3009. Re: Blake Bowers forging messages!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78ihns$18q$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129799

Blake Bowers wrote in message <78gq7f$ak5$0@207.18.224.237>...
>On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 03:19:30 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>>Subject: flake
>>Blake Bowers has been caught RED HANDED posting forged/fake messages
Jeez.... Big Fat Hairy Flippin Deal John....

Now adding "forg" to the killfile....
-Phil

3010. Re: IT WAS MACKEY ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdclampitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125145245.29523.00002969@ng101.aol.com>
Source record: 129800

Are you missing a few equally guilty names? Such as: Phill Smalley, Blake Bowers, (you know "hey don't forget me too guys Blake"

"Some things'll never change..."

>.....-besides Wackey there is no other person here who
>causes and is disliked by posting off topic subjects as much as he
>does.Mr Wackey should have the common courtesy to just go away and let
>us talk about scanners.I would aim to guess we would be much happier if
>he would just sell his computer.
>
>Tom Jones wrote:
>>
>> All the time Mackey used to whine about how all the disruption and
>> chicanery in this newsgroup was caused by Bill Cheek, it was really being
>> caused by HIM! PROOF?
>>
>> Bill Cheek has been gone for some time - and look at all the crap,
>> bullshit, off-topic posts, flames and canceled posts that currently exists

3011. Re: A Question for John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdclampitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125145835.29523.00002974@ng101.aol.com>
Source record: 129801

>From: "Phil Smalley"

>Stop dancing around the issue John. I caught you in a lie, and you know it.

THEN SHUT THE H*LL UP AND POST THE PROOF.

While your at it, GET A LIFE, or do you believe you are the "Chosen one" who,
and only you, are anointed as the self professed NG cop, roaming the airwaves,
protecting all of us netizens from the hazards of Mackey.

You are a KOOK.

Take your own avdice, IGNORE him, and yes, the rest is true.

But you CAN'T, 'cuz you have NO LIFE!!!

3012. High Capacity AA batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: GARR <garr@apexmail.com>
Message-ID: <#aQuDqJS#GA.211@rpc1285.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 129802

I am looking for AA battery that will last longer than the current NiCads do in the handheld Trunktracker radios.

Any help on brand that you or others have used would be appreciated.

Thanks

3013. Looking for Cell Mod's for BC895xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Choco Boco <**********@alliedsignal.com>
Message-ID: <78ii5m$1g2$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129803

I am looking to modify my BC895xlt so that it can receive cell. I know that the 890 can be modified, so shouldn't the 895? If anyone can help, please post.

Thanks.

Choco
---
* * * * WARNING * * * *
THE TEXT THAT FOLLOWS IS OFF TOPIC. PLEASE PROCEED WITH CAUTION.

To Mr. (???) John Mackey :

Regarding your post that me and Phil Smalley are one and the same. I can tell you this, you horrid little worm - I am not Phil.... Come to Phoenix, and both Phil and I will meet you at the airport. It seems that Phil and I have a mutual friend. And our friend introduced us last night. We would be more then happy to prove to you that we are 2 different people. So, please, by all means, if you want proof, come and get it.

Don't forget your meds.

Choco

3014. Re: Center freq/xmit locations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Gardner <bobmrg@halcyon.com>
Message-ID: <36ACB754.8A2A356C@halcyon.com>
Source record: 129804
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Go to your local airport and find a pilot supply shop. You want the Airport/Facilities Directory for your area. In the back of the book is a listing of all Center frequencies and their remote locations. Leaf through the book to find airports near you and you will find tower and approach control frequencies.

Bob Gardner

JA Moran wrote:

> Anyone have a list of Center frequency and transmit locations.
>
> I was near San Bernardino and Redlands California and was able to monitor the
> Los Angeles center frequency 133.75 as well as several other center and Socal
> frequencies.
>
> Instead of just knowing the city or town, I's like either a latitude/longitude
> or name of the hill or place the transmitter is located.
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> --
>
> > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
> <
> > Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(: MS-Windows):<
> > Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
> > if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
> <
> +++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They loose payments!, Say I didn't pay!
> --

3015. MACKEY TAKE IT TO E-MAIL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <fv4r2.4$BS2.114@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129805
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Who cares. If you are trolling for a date take it to E-mail. Where you hid most of your life has nothing to do with scanners.

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78iio4$53t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
>Yea I could since I've lived in the area most all my life. How
>long have YOU lived in the area?

3016. Re: Looking for Cell Mod's for BC895xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36ACD27A.56E1A1D9@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129806

Choco Boco wrote:

> I am looking to modify my BC895xlt so that it can receive cell. I know that
> the 890 can be modified, so shouldn't the 895? If anyone can help, please
> post.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Choco

No mods available. The 890 is a totally different scanner than the 895,
even though they look similar.

Jon

3017. Re: IT WAS CHEEK-A-LIKES ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <Bp4r2.1$BS2.73@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129807

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78iidl$4tn$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> bpk@home.com wrote:
>> Precisely correct-besides Wackey there is no other person here who
>> causes and is disliked by posting off topic subjects as much as he
>
>The how do you explain all the problems that happned when I was
>absent from this NG?

How do you know there were problems if you were absent. LIAR! Post your proof!

OH yea, how long do you think you are going to keep your @home ISP? What this makes about 9 ISPs in a year John.

You lose.

3018. Re: Steve POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <Ar4r2.3$BS2.45@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129808

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78iii8$50j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>> I hope this really is a sign of
>
>Steve, you were responding to a forged post!
>

Yea right Prove it Mackeyloon. You said Dejanews didn't have that problem

You lose Liar-Boy

3019. Re: High Capacity AA batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36ACD48E.15D58A99@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129809

GARR wrote:

> I am looking for AA battery that will last longer than the current NiCads do
> in the handheld Trunktracker radios.
>
> Any help on brand that you or others have used would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks

Try NiMH cells, Metrowest sells a plug and play 1300 mAh pack for
the BC-230/235/Pro-90. http://www.metrowestscan.com
It's $30 plus $5 shipping. Have one in my Pro-90, gives nearly twice the
play time as the stock 800 mAh Ni-Cad pack.

Mr. NiCd, E.H. Yost sells a 1500 mAh pack for BC-235/Pro-90
608-831-3443. It's about $26 plus shipping.

The higher capacity NiMH pack takes longer to charge, so you might
consider two of them if you have the BC-235. You can charge them
in the standard cradle, but takes proportionately longer to charge.

That's my suggestion, works for me.

Jon

3020. Re: PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <78itfpeok1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129810

Thanks, the guys in the store were trying to get me to send it to be serviced, and telling me the parts (case speaker and screws) were going to coast like 115 bux! Im gona try to report the incedent.

Thanks
IceBerg
iceberg@nxco.com

In article <36AC18C8.D938EED0@nightowl.net>,
Todd <tyler@nightowl.net> wrote:
> I ordered the 4 long screws from Radio Shack a few months ago after
> buying a scanner without them present. They don't sell just those 4
> screws, but for $2.50 you can get every screw needed for the scanner,
> including the 4 screws for the case and the 2 screws for the belt clip,
> and of course all the internal screws. I think it took about 2 weeks to
> be delivered to my door. I called the 1-800 number and got the proper
> order number and then went to the local Radio Shack and they put the
> order in for me, or you can use your credit card over the phone and wait
> a few days less for shipping.
>
> Todd
>
> iceberg@nxco.com wrote:
> >
> > I am looking for any defunct pro-43's! I need them mostly for the casing
> > and screws, the one I have works if I duct tape it all together, and would
> > like to remedy that problem, it also has a blowen speaker and cracked case,
> > so if you can help with a more reasonable price than radio shit wants,
please
> > e-mail me.
> >
> > IceBerg
> > iceberg@nxco.com
> >
> > ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3021. Phil Smalldik is a goon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdclampitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125162853.14196.00003236@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 129811

In a private message to me, SMALLDIK whines:

>By enacting my filters, I am showing simply one thing - I have better
>things to do than waste my time conversing with lower forms of life
>such as you and Mackey.

>-Phil

It is time for you to make up your mind. In ENDLESS posts to this group (as well as your note above) you state that you consider Mackey to be a lower form of life than you (if that's possible)

Now you state that you have "better things to do with your life..."

Yet you post COUNTLESS stupid messages pointed at Mackey.

MAKE UP YOUR MIND!

(and get a penile implant while you're at it, your wife was on here the other day asking for support on this)

No, the real reason you "enacted your filters" was 'cuz the TRUTH HURTS, and you can't take the pain whineyboy.

BTW, I in NO WAY have supported Mackey in his crap, he you, and all the other Cheek-a-likes belong somewhere far far away from here. I am simply shining a bright spotlight on your blatant hypocracy, something you practice yet clearly do not understand.

Back to school for you...

Jed

3022. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125163714.29522.00003159@ng101.aol.com>
Source record: 129812

>From: "Radioman" <radioman@uia.net>

>The rest of the story is that they took my 6 specta's and my MTX8000.

You can answer the following question:

Q: Are you being accused of violating the licensing agreements between Motorola and a customer by programming radios to use a system without proper authorization?

If your answer is "No", quit wasting time concealing the circumstances leading up to your case.

talkyal

3023. Re: New AOR DSP Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: OErjan Hoff <oehoff@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <78ioe2$rj2$1@romeo.dax.net>
Source record: 129813

What's the price?

Best regards
OErjan Hoff

Charles A wrote in message <36AC0F8C.529E@southwind.net>...
>Does anybody have an opinion of the new DSP table top receiver/scanner
>from AOR. It is now available from Grove.
>
>
>Charlie

3024. Re: Goodyear Az (GYR) PD freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Danz <ddanz@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <78ipfl$p8q@transfer.stratus.com>
Source record: 129814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JA Moran wrote in message <36AC2746.5DF6291C@analon.com>...
>anyone know the frequencies used by the Goodyear Police. I know they use an
>800mhz
>
>I'm showing 855.4875, 856.9625 855.9625, 860.9625 and also 460.25
>
>are these current or does my list need updating?

I don't actively monitor them, but the freqs I have are:

855.9625 - Goodyear Fire Dispatch
856.9625 - Goodyear PD F1 Dispatch
855.4875 - Goodyear PD F2 Talkaround

460.250 is West Valley InterAgency

860.9625 is part of the county west side trunking system ..

3025. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: maggnum@webtel.net.au
Message-ID: <36acecb6.4963149@news.webtel.net.au>
Source record: 129815

On Thu, 21 Jan 1999 17:11:54 -0600, Watson <ciwatson@geneseo.net>
wrote:

>Is there anyway to modify a scanner to transmit onto the frequency that
>you are listening to?
>thanks,
>justin

Dream on!!, scanners don't have the circuits inside them to be able to
make them transmit. If you want a wide band transmitter, go buy a duel
band Ham radio, such as one of the Alinco's, and have it modified

3026. Re: Transmitt To McDonalds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: maggnum@webtel.net.au
Message-ID: <36acee66.5394469@news.webtel.net.au>
Source record: 129816

On 24 Jan 1999 20:19:02 GMT, rabidfool@aol.comkillspam (Rabidfool)
wrote:

>I was wondering if it was possible to transmitt on the McDonalds drive through
>headsets? I asked around before and I think someone told me to get a ...i
>think....blue dot transcevier from rs? would that work?
>-rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
>-rabidfool@yahoo.com
>-rabidfool@hotmail.com
>-rabidfool@ignmail.com

I know of people (me??? NEVER!!) who used a modified kenwood TS-150s
to transmit to the headsets that operate on 35.020Mhz
Also some drive throughs have a 156Mhz frequency they use as well(I
can't remember the exact frequency) and you can easily transmit to
these with a modified alinco 2 meter ham radio.

By the way don't get caught doing it! McDonalds withh get rid of the
headsets and you will have to order direct at the window(This is what
has happened in the greater Sydney area)

Cheers from down under

3027. Re: Central Scotland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: gary.mckelvie@zetnet.co.uk
Message-ID: <36acd709.29794721@news.zetnet.co.uk>
Source record: 129817
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"Kel" <kel@united1.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>So Andy, if you don't want to read
>about people interested in scanners, don't sub to scanner NG's.
None of us have subscribed to scanner newsgroups you posted this to
UK.Radio.Amateur,which isn't a scanner newsgroup.
So you obviously got flack for posting to a group where your posting
was off topic.
Gary GM7USC
--
gary.mckelvie@zetnet.co.uk
gm7usc@qsl.net ICQ 1321792
http://www.users.zetnet.co.uk/GM7USC/
http://www.qsl.net/gm7usc/
mobile 0831 644927

3028. FS RS Pro-90 W/Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125174321.27579.00002360@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 129818

I have a Pro-90 on sale in mint condition with box,manual, antenna, and all cables and accessories! (that came with it) I have also done the light mod to it so the backlight always stays on. All this for the price of $290.00 Will also include freqs for you area!

____
\ rabidfool@aol.com /
_____________________/

3029. Re: Phil Smalldik is a goon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78it0l$4se$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129819

Jdclampitt wrote in message
<19990125162853.14196.00003236@ng-fa1.aol.com>...
>In a private message to me, SMALLDIK whines:
>
>>By enacting my filters, I am showing simply one thing - I have better
>>things to do than waste my time conversing with lower forms of life
>>such as you and Mackey.
>
>>-Phil

That's right retard, a PRIVATE message. You instead choose to clutter the newsgroup with this spew instead. Further going on to insult my wife. If we were face to face I'd put you in the hospital for that one, jerk-off. But all that aside, I still stand behind my original message.

Into the filter ya go, AOLoser. (which explains half of your problem...) I will be seeing you no more.

-Phil

3030. Re: Looking for Cell Mod's for BC895xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: fishbags@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125182559.18360.00003293@ng41.aol.com>
Source record: 129820

.....>I know that
>> the 890 can be modified, so shouldn't the 895?.....
No direct entry cell mods for the 895. Also only those 890's that were
made BEFORE 1994 are capable of being moded.

3031. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@uia.net>
Message-ID: <78j3rh$i8h$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129821
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you got arrested for driving under the influence and they found a loaded hand gun in you trunk and the only charges that are filled are D.U.I. then the gun DOESN'T matter.The facts of the case are as i posted them,READ what I posted then READ the LAW,WHAT TRADE SECRETS DID I STEAL?I don't want to go into the long story how a was contacted bescause that DOESN'T matter.If you want the story then go and find the post that i firsted posted back in april'98 and you can get the story there.
Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> wrote in message
news:36ACC3FD.3B89660C@mich.com...
>
>
>Radioman wrote:
>
>> The rest of the story is that they took my 6 specta's and my MTX8000.
>> But that isn't my concern because there is no crime or charges filled.I
>> didn't give the whole story because it is of no value to the case and my
>> post was already long enough.After the case is over I will tell how they
>> made contact with me.
>
> If you 'know' related info is of "no value" then it doesn't seem like you
>need any advice. Further, it's not that the info is of "no value" because
if
>that were true you would have merely passed the info instead of
suspiciously
>just reiterating that it is immaterial.
>
> I''m guessing you got caught progamming/reprogramming radios.
>
> I don't think any of the devices you listed in your initial post would
fall
>under the category of 'posession' crimes, but it's more likely how you used
>them which has drawn the wrath of Motorola.
>
>> radioman
>
>--
>Tim Tyler
>ttyler@mich.com
>http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/
>
>

3032. FSale: Icom IC-Q7A $170 OBO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <36AD073D.27469C79@bv.net>
Source record: 129822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I have a mint condition IC-Q7A with TX mods done, software to extended rx to .5-2GHz(no cable to sell), antenna and
box/accessories. Wil sell for $170 OBO

Last offer NOT responding>>>

3033. Phil Smalldik is a whiney goon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdclampitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125184659.29522.00003259@ng101.aol.com>
Source record: 129823

>Subject: Re: Phil Smalldik is a goon
>From: "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>

>That's right retard, a PRIVATE message. You instead >choose to clutter the
>newsgroup with this spew instead. Further going on to >insult my wife. If we
>were face to face I'd put you in the hospital for that one, >jerk-off. But
>all that aside, I still stand behind my original message.
>
>Into the filter ya go, AOLoser. (which explains half of >your problem...) I
>will be seeing you no more.
>
>-Phil

See this is what Phil SMALLDIK is all about. It's too bad it takes an
"AOLoser" to point it out.

I post the TRUTH about him being a hypocryte imposter, and he sends me a
"private" message wherin he makes some attack on me, but makes clear he will
NOT hear any from me cause of his "filters", so I post a response to him where
he surely will read, cause we all know he has no life so he hangs out here.

Then SMALLDIK says I insulted his WIFE when in fact I insulted HIM, and he
KNOWS this but also knows the original insult, which I stand by to THIS MOMENT,
is that HE, (not HIS WIFE) has the small dick, and he also knows this to be the
truth so in an effort he tries to evade the point by interpreting my direct
insult to him as an insult to his wife (RETARD) and yet she STILL must just
hate the S**T out of this, cuz' she knows the truth too and that is ... well,
you get my point..

Of course the icing on the cake is that now HE has stooped to a level even
MACKEY couldn't go below by making a threat of PHYSICAL ATTACK on me, which, in
my home State of Oregon, (not necessarily where I am) gives me the right to USE
DEADLY FORCE, in ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAW, because he has now created an
"imminent threat" to the safety of my life.

Read the Law.

WAY TO GO BOZO!!!!!

Jed

3034. Re: Off Subject: CB group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken weber <w2bdp@planet.net>
Message-ID: <78j2re$21i@jupiter.planet.net>
Source record: 129824

Check for news groups

3035. FS: VHF portable Radios Regency/wilson - Need work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <36AD086D.AAB74A7F@bv.net>
Source record: 129825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,sci.electronics

I have a mixed pair of one Regency RPH410 VHF tansceiver & one Wilson HS-410 VHF transceiver. Both units are intact(one
missing case screw) these are the same radio by different MFG, no antennas(BNC jack on top). Ihave one battery betweenthem,
it is not charged. From what I can ascertain, these radios need some help, but they did, when the power in the batterywas
good, come up with display test. Both have Keyboard for on unit programming, an LCD screen, pri,rst, scn & rvw buttons aswell
as switches for light, keylock and power(hi/low). These are older units I was going to send or repair, but I will take
the highest bid for them. Last bidders backed out...

mailto:mcguyver@bv.net

3036. Re: Good God, I can't take anymore!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <36ad4eda.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 129826

>who will still be here. All in favor?

AYE!!!

Pathetic morons. I'd rather read about scanners. These guys should be
posting their crap in alt.penis.envy

Dan

3037. Re: No Cussing Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: JLP001@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13571-36AD18AD-1@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 129827

Trevor from what I have seen on these newsgroups your statement is an open "FLAME" request. However I agree with you.

3038. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@uia.net>
Message-ID: <78j92peuu1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129828

No,That would be civil,They are saying that there are Trade secrets in LAB
or DEPOT software.
In what part of the software are there trade secrets?
What reasonable efforts under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy did
Motorola try to do to protect their software?

I can tell where this post is gonna go. I can bring up points to my case but
I want to here from other people that might have some knowledge
or just plain thinking in general.

The facts are that I was going to a call that was dispatched over the radio
and one of the officers heard the radio and asked what it was and I told him
it was a radio.He took the radio and keyed it up and was talking to the
dispatcher on it.The W.C. told the officer to take the radio and he did.I
was contacted by a Det. and asked to come down to the station and ask some
questions about the radio.They then searched my home and took my computers
and radios.They then contacted Motorola and they few from FL. to CA to look
at my computer.Motorola then sent a letter to the sheriff's Det. concerning
the Lab and Depot software.They didn't care about the other software on the
computer otherwise they would have brought it up.I told you that the other
stuff wouldn't matter.
I guess I'll see posts about why they took the radio in the first place.I
wasn't talking on it and it didn't violate no laws so they had no P.C. to
take the radio in the first place.So all im concerned about is what I
posted.

I hope this clears everything up so we can get back to the facts.
Talkyal <talkyal@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990125163714.29522.00003159@ng101.aol.com...
>>From: "Radioman" <radioman@uia.net>
>
>>The rest of the story is that they took my 6 specta's and my MTX8000.
>
>You can answer the following question:
>
>Q: Are you being accused of violating the licensing agreements between
>Motorola and a customer by programming radios to use a system without
proper
>authorization?
>
>If your answer is "No", quit wasting time concealing the circumstances
leading
>up to your case.
>
>talkyal

3039. Re: Automobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Steve W." <sunset@telenet.net>
Message-ID: <78jesf$k5m$1@Usenet.Logical.NET>
Source record: 129829

Could be because in most US states that would be illegal for people without
a permit or ham ticket to have in a vehicle.

Ray Colvill wrote in message <01be4852$eecd4260$84814dd1@rcolvill->...
>This seems so obvious but---
>
>Why has no manufacturer built an automobile scanner radio that fits
>in the dashboard.
>
>You could still listens to the radio on AM/FM , maybe without stereo at
>first,
>and still find the space for a cassette or CD deck.
>
>The first to do so would have a wide market amongst the worlds scanner
>enthusiasts.
>
>--
>Rayc
>Timaru
>New Zealand
>Safe flying is no accident.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

>
>
>

>
>
>

3040. Re: 2 level FSK interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: R Clark <rcclark@nospam.tenet.edu>
Message-ID: <78je9d$b4j$1@mercury.hiline.net>
Source record: 129830
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

A 4-Level FSK decoder is available from Texas 2-Way at (956)702-2481 or you can e-mail n5sim@aol.com.

3041. Re: WinFLEX version 0.4 released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: R Clark <rcclark@nospam.tenet.edu>
Message-ID: <78jed5$b4l$1@mercury.hiline.net>
Source record: 129831
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager

You can get a 4-Level FSK decoder from Texas 2-Way at (956)702-2481 or e-mail n5sim@aol.com.

3042. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Brecht <brechtdNOSPAM@oanet.com>
Message-ID: <36ad4456.0@news.oanet.com>
Source record: 129832
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OK Radioman what do you want us to do, what do you want???

Radioman wrote in message <78j3rh$i8h$1@remarQ.com>...
>If you got arrested for driving under the influence and they found a loaded
>hand gun in you trunk and the only charges that are filled are D.U.I. then
>the gun DOESN'T matter.The facts of the case are as i posted them,READ what
>I posted then READ the LAW,WHAT TRADE SECRETS DID I STEAL?I don't want to
go
>into the long story how a was contacted bescause that DOESN'T matter.If you
>want the story then go and find the post that i firsted posted back in
>april'98 and you can get the story there.
>Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> wrote in message
>news:36ACC3FD.3B89660C@mich.com...
>>
>>
>>Radioman wrote:
>>
>>> The rest of the story is that they took my 6 specta's and my MTX8000.
>>> But that isn't my concern because there is no crime or charges filled.I
>>> didn't give the whole story because it is of no value to the case and my
>>> post was already long enough.After the case is over I will tell how
they
>>> made contact with me.
>>
>> If you 'know' related info is of "no value" then it doesn't seem like
you
>>need any advice. Further, it's not that the info is of "no value" because
>if
>>that were true you would have merely passed the info instead of
>suspiciously
>>just reiterating that it is immaterial.
>>
>> I''m guessing you got caught progamming/reprogramming radios.
>>
>> I don't think any of the devices you listed in your initial post would
>fall
>>under the category of 'posession' crimes, but it's more likely how you
used
>>them which has drawn the wrath of Motorola.
>>
>>> radioman
>>
>>--

>>Tim Tyler
>>ttyler@mich.com
>>http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/
>>
>>
>
>

3043. Re: Automobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mudcat@nospam.netuser.com
Message-ID: <mudcat-2501992034220001@a198-49-1-216.dialup.netuser.net>
Source record: 129833

In article <78jesf$k5m$1@Usenet.Logical.NET>, "Steve W."
<sunset@telenet.net> wrote:

> Could be because in most US states that would be illegal for people without
> a permit or ham ticket to have in a vehicle.
>
> Ray Colvill wrote in message <01be4852$eecd4260$84814dd1@rcolvill->...
> >This seems so obvious but---
> >
> >Why has no manufacturer built an automobile scanner radio that fits
> >in the dashboard.
> >
> >You could still listens to the radio on AM/FM , maybe without stereo at
> >first,
> >and still find the space for a cassette or CD deck.
> >
> >The first to do so would have a wide market amongst the worlds scanner
> >enthusiasts.

Actually Kenwood made one several years ago. The RZ-1 was mountable
in-dash. It also has a video output.

--
Don't run away - it's only me.
The Mudcat
http://www.netuser.com/~mike

3044. Re: Only PHREAKS Transmit To McDonalds!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Iron Weasel <ironweasel$@nmol.com>
Message-ID: <36AD49D4.5F7A0ED@nmol.com>
Source record: 129834

Actually, there's an easier way. I found this out on accident, but found it slightly amusing.
Whenever I go through a drive-thru (e.g. McDonalds, Burger King, etc...) if I turn my C.B. on (which is running a whopping 20 whole watts through a Wilson 1000) and start talking - I come in as clear as if I was talking on their headset. The only thing is that you have to be in/very near the building to do it.

--
Anti-Spam

Remove the $ to Reply

3045. Re: CHP, new channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron <no@way.net>
Message-ID: <wcawwpeaf.pminews@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 129835

On 23 Jan 1999 19:01:31 GMT, Robert H. Eisner wrote:

:>Beige-1 Base - 39.88 Car - 42.08

From what I understood Beige was only one frequency. It would seem that way when listening to Rancho. A dispatcher made the comment that she likes it now, because now the units can't talk about them like they use to do on the other frequency.

3046. Re: trouble with cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <36ac8542.11151105@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 129836

scanshack@aol.communicate (ScanShack) wrote:

:Another scanner information web page
:Including cell mods with illustrations,
:virtual down conversion info & more at:
:
:http://members.aol.com/scanshack

On your web page you have the following;
A very wise man once said - "It is always best to research first and
buy later, then you won't be disappointed when you find your scanner
won't do the things you want it too".

A wiser man knows the last word should be to.

:Happy Scanning !

3047. Papal Freqs in STL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: George <ME@primary.net>
Message-ID: <36AC8561.1245@primary.net>
Source record: 129837

Any suggestions on good freqs to monitor while the Pope in in STL?
Secret Service, FBI coordinated law enforcement etc. TIA.

3048. Re: Regency Turbo Scan TS2 Manuel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: frog207@juno.com
Message-ID: <3J%q2.106$%93.81@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 129838

>> I need a copy of the owners manuel for this Regency TS2 Turbo scan.
>> Will pay cost plus for it. I appreciate your effort to help me.
>>
>

> Which one is the Turbo Scan and what freqs does it covers? Is it
>modifyable? Someone offered me one but I didn't had a clue of what it was.
>

The Regency Turbo Scan 800 (TS-2) doesn't need modifying... it receives
cellular in it's stock condition. Unfortunately they aren't available
anymore, unless you can find a used one.

Ed

3049. Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@uia.net>
Message-ID: <78i0l4$s0e$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129839
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I was arrested about a year ago and now I'm being charged with California Penal Code 499c "Trade secrets-theft of."

I'm alleged to have in my possession the following items.
Astro Depot tool ver.03.04.00
MTSX Depot tool ver.02.00.01
Spectra Lab tool ver.05.03.00
STX Labtool
System Key Generator
System Watch Codeplug Generator-FTR ver.02.00

Well it seems that Motorola really doesn't like people having these items and wrote a letter stating this fact to a Sheriff's Dept.They say in the letter that "All of the items above are not for sell to the general public.Depot Tools and Labtools are product development and service aids,which are not given away or issued to anyone with the exception of Motorola-owned services facilities.All of these items should be considered proprietary to motorola.They contain trade secrets and are copyrighted."

There as you can tell some part of the story missing but it doesn't really matter.It just matters about this section.After the case is over I will tell you how I got arrested.

Well fellow trunkers and who ever else lets help me get a "NOT GUILTY" with my case so I DON"T have to go to state prison.
This is the section that they are trying to nail me on.
499c. (a) As used in this section:
1) "Access" means to approach, a way or means of approaching,nearing, admittance to, including to instruct, communicate with,store information in, or retrieve information from a computer system or computer network.
(2) "Article" means any object, material, device, or substance or copy thereof, including any writing, record, recording, drawing, sample, specimen, prototype, model, photograph, micro-organism,blueprint, map, or tangible representation of a computer program or information, including both human and computer readable informationand information while in transit.
(3) "Benefit" means gain or advantage, or anything regarded by thebeneficiary as gain or advantage, including benefit to any other person or entity in whose welfare he or she is interested.
(4) "Computer system" means a machine or collection of machines,one or more of which contain computer programs and information, that performs functions, including, but not limited to, logic, arithmetic,information storage and retrieval, communications, and control.
(5) "Computer network" means an interconnection of two or more computer systems.

(6) "Computer program" means an ordered set of instructions or statements, and related information that, when automatically executedin actual or modified form in a computer system, causes it to perform specified functions.
(7) "Copy" means any facsimile, replica, photograph or other reproduction of an article, and any note, drawing or sketch made ofor from an article.
(8) "Representing" means describing, depicting, containing, constituting, reflecting or recording.
(9) "Trade secret" means information, including a formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or process, that:
(A) Derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to the public or to other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use; and
(B) Is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.
(b) Every person is guilty of theft who, with intent to deprive or withhold the control of a trade secret from its owner, or with an intent to appropriate a trade secret to his or her own use or to the use of another, does any of the following:

(1) Steals, takes, carries away, or uses without authorization, a trade secret.
(2) Fraudulently appropriates any article representing a trade secret entrusted to him or her.
(3) Having unlawfully obtained access to the article, without authority makes or causes to be made a copy of any articlerepresenting a trade secret.
(4) Having obtained access to the article through a relationship of trust and confidence, without authority and in breach of the obligations created by that relationship, makes or causes to be made, directly from and in the presence of the article, a copy of any article representing a trade secret.
(c) Every person who promises, offers or gives, or conspires to promise or offer to give, to any present or former agent, employee orservant of another, a benefit as an inducement, bribe or reward for conveying, delivering or otherwise making available an articlerepresenting a trade secret owned by his or her present or former principal, employer or master, to any person not authorized by the owner to receive or acquire the trade secret and every present or former agent, employee, or servant, who solicits, accepts, receives or takes a benefit as an inducement, bribe or reward for conveying, delivering or otherwise making available an article representing a trade secret owned by his or her present or former principal, employer or master, to any person not authorized by the owner to receive or acquire the trade secret, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison, or in a county jail not exceeding one year, or by a fine not exceeding five thousand dollars ($5,000),or by both that fine and imprisonment.

(d) In a prosecution for a violation of this section, it shall be no defense that the person returned or intended to return the article.

radioman

3050. Ohio Frequencies Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougd@cros.net
Message-ID: <36ac876f.4599302@news.cros.net>
Source record: 129840

Ohio Frequencies Here - some others, too:

Scanner Stuff
http://www.cros.net/dougd/scanner.htm

DD

--
"How To Increase Your Profits '15 Times' by Knowing
The Secret Of Headlines and the Role They Play on the Internet!"
FREE Report mailto:redbone@smartbot.net

3051. Re: THIS GROUP IS FOR RADIO SCANNER RELATED TOPIC DISCUSSION...ALL OTHERS GO AWAY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Choco Boco <thumbs@meow.net>
Message-ID: <78i0u1$on9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129841

Randal wrote in message <36abb455.2297453@netnews.worldnet.att.net>...
>Hey, you know who you are. Please stop littering this newsgroup with
>your childish, off-topic nonsense.
>
>We are here to talk about scanners and related topics.
>
>- You are fucking this up for everyone who doesn't give a damn

SNNNNIPPPP!

Hey, watch yer mouth mister. But, I do aggree. It is time for the childish b.s. to stop, so if John Wackeyoffinthecorner would just sign off forever, the problem will cease to exist.

Chco

3052. Icom R-10 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: highcove@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <78i9qcsuo1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129842

Icom R10, Good condition. Replaced belt clip (because the other one was so damn small!), otherwise as is, and with new Ni-cads. Original packaging, manual, and charger included.

$350

Please respond directly to highcove@hotmail.com, I don't get a chance to browse the newsgroups very much anymore.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3053. Re: Coast Guard VHF frequ needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: donhark@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78iabbtdv1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129843

Thank you very much. I can use these next time I go to Corpus Christi.

donhark

In article <19990125001214.09870.00002256@ng-cd1.aol.com>,
jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
> >Primary ones are 157.05, 157.100, and 157.150, and 156.800.
> >
> >But CG can and does pop up anywhere, most of their comms are
> >encrypted when not talking to 'citizens'.
> >
>
> Such bullshit. The frequencies are correct, but the USCG rarely uses encrypted
> voice comms except on UHF and HF. I listen to Group St. Petersburg and Air
> Station Clearwater all day long and in three years I've never heard them
> encrypt traffic on VHF marine freqs. The vast majority of secure comms are
sent
> via SATCOM and encrypted fax, with some digital cellphones used for near shore
> communications.
> There are VHF freqs in the 173.000 range designated for law enforcement,
> intelligence and such and these are encrypted frequently.
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3054. Re: CHP, new channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@uia.net>
Message-ID: <78i1m4nhg1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129844

check out http://web.uia.net/radioman
for all the new info on CHP
Aaron <no@way.net> wrote in message
news:qwemedkoa.pminews@news.concentric.net...
>Does anyone have the new beige channel that was created in November? It
was
>created to split the Riverside & Rancho station which use to share the same
>frequency. Riverside is now on Turquoise.
>
>

3055. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: andrew Eckman <ajeckman@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78i2qdkjk1@news0-alterdial.uu.net>
Source record: 129845
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would never give advice on "half the story". You want help - tell the
whole story!

Andy.

Radioman wrote in message <78i0l4$s0e$1@remarQ.com>...
>I was arrested about a year ago and now I'm being charged with California
>Penal Code 499c "Trade secrets-theft of."
>
>I'm alleged to have in my possession the following items.
>Astro Depot tool ver.03.04.00
>MTSX Depot tool ver.02.00.01
>Spectra Lab tool ver.05.03.00
>STX Labtool
>System Key Generator
>System Watch Codeplug Generator-FTR ver.02.00
>
>Well it seems that Motorola really doesn't like people having these items
>and wrote a letter stating this fact to a Sheriff's Dept.They say in the
>letter that "All of the items above are not for sell to the general
>public.Depot Tools and Labtools are product development and service
>aids,which are not given away or issued to anyone with the exception of
>Motorola-owned services facilities.All of these items should be considered
>proprietary to motorola.They contain trade secrets and are copyrighted."
>
>There as you can tell some part of the story missing but it doesn't really
>matter.It just matters about this section.After the case is over I will
tell
>you how I got arrested.
<snip>

3056. Re: freqs. for police in Nebraska or Iowa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <No_Spam@My_Address.OK>
Message-ID: <36AC8883.160F6276@My_Address.OK>
Source record: 129846

Go to FCC-WTB Wireless Telecommunications Bureau at
http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/ and you can search the WTB for all the
frequencies for your state/county !
--

"73" from the cornfields of Indiana!

<'))))>< WW - KB9TMP <'))))><
email me at kb9tmp<at>usa.net
home page http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/

3057. Re: 1.3GHz Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dean, Greg" <N9NWO@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <78i39s$sd1$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 129847

:Hello,
: I saw the Bearcat 3000xl, and was wonderin who in god's name broadcasts
:at anywhere's near 1.3GHz? is it worth even scanning these signals? thanks
:for the help
:

Inmarsat is at 1540 Mhz. There is one over the Pacific and one
over the Altantic that we can hear here in the US. You will get
lots of ship traffic (talking to customs, port authorities). Also
Inmarsat is used by drug dealers, gun runners and others because
they think it is secure. BTW, our generals used in Saudi and
Bosnia.

See the article in Monitoring Times, Nov '98 p. 22

3058. Compairing trunked radio decoders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dean, Greg" <N9NWO@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <78i3jg$la7$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 129848
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Any experience with the TrunkTracker, Optoelectronics'
Optocomm and OptoTracker and the ScannMaster's
TrunkTrac?

I like the OptoTracker and TrunkTrac the best because
of being able to use my current equipment.

Any comments?

3059. Off Subject: CB group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "L.P." <fires@cjnetworks.com>
Message-ID: <36AC94D7.35B586E@cjnetworks.com>
Source record: 129849

Is there a group that deals with CBs. I have been using my scanner to
monitor the KHP
here on the Kansas Turnpike and would also like to get a CB.

Thanks for all help
Sorry for the off subject intrusion.

3060. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990125110631.19208.00002332@ng135.aol.com>
Source record: 129850

Steve,

I tried Sdaner@usa.net and that one bounced back, too. If there's another permutation that works, I just don't see it.

John

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

3061. Re: Center freq/xmit locations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: JStricker <jstricke@odsys.NOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <CADF56CD1C2A6F42.81DB83CEFB5241F9.61726F8FE5AE8377@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 129851
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

John,

That would be a long list. In my area, KC Center remotes off of a lot of spots. And you never know for sure when they are going to be active.

For example, If I'm shooting the Approach into GBD, and there is other IFR traffic behind me, ATC will fire up a remote transmitter on the field so that I can cancel IFR as soon as I'm down on the same center freq. They can't clear the following IFR traffic to land until they know I'm either missed or down and cancel.

Other times, they might not want (or be able to for mechanical reasons) to fire the transmitter up and will have me cancel through ICT Flight Service. It just depends. Sometimes they turn it on just because they want to.

You can get a pretty good idea by looking at a low alt enroute chart for the area you're interested in. But it doesn't show the location of all the remote transmitters and even if it did you wouldn't know if it was active at any given time.

John Stricker

--
Remove the "nosp....." Oh hell, you folks know what to do and why I had to put it in. If one of you real humans wants to contact me:

jstricke@odsys.net

"I didn't spend all these years getting to the top of the food chain just to become a vegetarian"

JA Moran wrote in message <36AC260E.7E960C06@analon.com>...
>Anyone have a list of Center frequency and transmit locations.
>
>I was near San Bernardino and Redlands California and was able to monitor
the
>Los Angeles center frequency 133.75 as well as several other center and
Socal
>frequencies.
>
>Instead of just knowing the city or town, I's like either a
latitude/longitude
>or name of the hill or place the transmitter is located.
>
>JOHN
>

```
>--
>-------------------------------------------------------------------------
-----
>
>> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
><
>> Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(:
MS-Windows ):<
>> Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit
bucket!<
>> if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
><
>+++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They loose payments!, Say I didn't
pay!
>-------------------------------------------------------------------------
-----
>
>
>
```

3062. Mackey Filter FAQ - Rec.Radio.Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0ssf_mackey@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78idgv$c3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129852

The purpose of this FAQ is to inform the users of RRS of new Names being used by me to spoil the filters. I have a habit of changing my name becuase my audience shrinks rapidly. The names you should filter are;

jmackey@usa.net kaossf@parg.org
anyplace@nowhere.mil no-place@anywhere.com
No-place@anywhere.org nowhere@anyplace.net
jomackey@hotmail.com mackey@idiots.org
nothing@anywhere.edu nowhere@anyplace.net
no_adress@anywhere.com no.address@anywhere.gov
No-address@No-where.com no_place@any-where.com
no--place@any__where.com no-place@anywhere.net
No--place@any-where.org no-where@no-place.com
nothing@anywhere.edu

More will be added as I lose my readership.

Hey, I'm feeling better now that I am on my medications. My Dad called and said he doesn't hate me like he used to and the DNA results show I am an Uncle and a Father to the same child. Maybe someday she can have my children.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3063. Re: MDT Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dean, Greg" <N9NWO@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <78i4ja$8nc$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 129853

Any web pages for MDT monitoring?

What equipment and programs are needed?

:
:Hello. I've been reading this newsgroup every night the last few weeks
:looking for information on MDT monitoring. I've come across a lot of
:information, and I've managed to get a station going monitoring MDT
:(MDC-4800) transmissions (as well I monitor Motorola-II TRS's). I've only
:been able to find one frequency in the local area for MDT's. I live in
:Pinellas County, Florida (which is near Tampa and Saint Petersburg). I
:found the frequency for Pinellas Park, FL's MDT's (which are MDC-4800) and
:have been monitoring that. I am interested in finding the Pinellas
:County Sheriff's MDT frequences. I've monitored every frequency in the
:1999 Police Call Plus book and the only 'data' frequencies I could find
:were all VHF (155.6400, 154.7100, 154.7550, and 155.8500). These are
:definately not MDC-4800 transmissions though. They are very short bursts
:of data (and they sound faster than 4800 baud). The transmissions last
:1-2 seconds. I suspect they are either Mobitex or RD-LAP. I was able to
:find a WAV sound file of a Mobitex transmission, and it didn't sound much
:like what I am hearing, although the audio quality of it might have been
:poor. I'll be sure to record a WAV file of it soon and post it to the
:group, or put it on my homepage with a URL. All government in Pinellas
:County operates on a Motorola-II TRS, I'm wondering if the MDT frequencies
:would be trunked? I doubt it, but it was a thought. Someone please help!
:;) It would be very nice if someone could refer me to some WAV files of
:various MDT protocols (Mobitex, RD-LAP, etc). Thanks in advance.
:
:73's
:KF4OJV
:Dan

3064. Re: A Question for John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78i6etqcg1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129854

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78hepo$9c7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> You made a claim that you have not changed your on-line ID more than 3
times
>> in this newsgroup. I however have evidence linking you to all of these
id's:
>>
>> jmackey@usa.net kaossf@parg.org
>
>Those are both valid ID's for me. What is your point?

Stop dancing around the issue John. I caught you in a lie, and you know it.
Since you snipped the other IDs I posted, I take it that they are INVALID
BOGUS MADE UP id's for you. You posted under them all and I can prove it.

The fact that you would lie about something this trivial shows me if it was
really important you'd lie even more to cover it up.

-Phil

3065. Re: VHF Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125111529.07530.00002897@ng14.aol.com>
Source record: 129855

>From: bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers)

>It is my understanding that currently there is no requirement
>>for licensing unless it is being used for commercial purposes. Is there a
>>possibility that this licensing rule would be changed in the future?

Business Radio Service radios use BRS VHF.
You must have a valid FCC Business Radio Service License to transmit on these
VHF frequencies:
151.625
151.7
151.76
151.82
151.88
151.94
151.955
154.57
154.6
This licensing will not change in the near future.

>Can
>>anybody recommend a good brand? Can the new motorola talkabout radios be
>>used for marine VHF communications?

The Motorola Talkabouts use the Family Radio Service (FRS) on UHF from Ch. 1 -
Ch. 14:
462.5625 - 467.7125

They cannot be used for marine VHF, but they sure come in handy when the two of
you dock, and one goes to the bathroom while the other one goes to the
concession stand, and you want to meet somewhere in the marina or state park,
they keep you in touch with each other.
If you are interested in UHF FRS radios, which require no license, let me
recommend the units I use:
Cobra MicroTalk 3.
These Cobras are far-superior than the TalkAbout.

The marine VHF frequency has 88 channels:
from Ch. 1 - Ch. 88:
156.05 - 157.425

You can mail-in a quick application for a license to broadcast on Marine VHF,
but you can only use Marine VHF for bona fide marine usage.
The best handheld VHF marine radio that I have seen is the one I bought last
summer and have used extensively on Lake St. Clair:
Uniden Model. HH980 dual scan, 1watt / 5 watt tx

talkyal

3066. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78i64b$qa6$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129856

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78gdhmhrp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Have the other individual come forth with his name & department, then
>we may confiirm if he is legit.

We? Who appointed you to speak on behalf of the group?

I looked thru the threads you have contributed to to gauge the reaction of the group. The overall consensus is "WHO CARES??"

I couldn't agree more. If this other person reads the thread and decides to come forth, then fine, but I for one could care less at this point. You wanna go run and tell, that's fine too John. Who cares.

-Phil

3067. Please John Mackey, KA0SSF, get back on your medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <F81r2.5791$DB1.5911@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129857

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78heek$91f$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Why don't you just send him email and see if it bounces back,
>
>Because he could be giving a legit address for someone else, FOOL!
>

If so someone else would reply that you are a flaming idiot and you would know everyone thinks the same about Chief John - LiarBoy - Mackey. You make a hell of a reflection, enough to blind yourself.

Please John, get back on your medications

3068. Re: Transmitt To McDonalds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <LR0r2.6337$IJ3.52598014@WReNphoon2>
Source record: 129858

Maybe because i worked there for the summer and made
some good friends. Have you ever worked in a place like
that? It is hard ass work. People come in with 2.00 and
expect a seven course ***** meal! And I was wondering
if how to do this so I could play around with them!
Think before you open your mouth!

-rabidfool@aol.com

*** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ***

3069. Please John, get back on your medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <Y91r2.5792$DB1.5782@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129859

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78hesi$9ci$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> See John, there you go again. I was willing to let the whole thing drop,
and
>> then you came on here spouting off even more.
>
>Phil - If you don't like it then leave!
>

Sound advise John, take it! Leave

Please John, get back on your medications.

3070. Re: medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <a41r2.5790$DB1.5907@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129860

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78hebs$915$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> ka0ssf@hotmail.com wrote:
>> All this talk about my medictions
>
>This was a forge done by Blake Bowers.
>

Where is your PROOF Liar-Boy? None as usual. No proof, can't be a fact.

BTW, how could it have been a forge? It was posted from the Dejanews Server.
You know, the secure one you hide behind.

Now go take you meds, we want to hear another touching confession.

3071. Good God, I can't take anymore!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78i6qaqic1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129861

Jesus H.....

Even I can't stand this crap anymore. It's getting too ridiculous. John, you've done it again. Congratulations on trashing the whole group.

But then that's what filters are for.

Goodbye for now, John. I may be back but I gotta take a break
PLONK!

-Phil

3072. NNTP GENIUS MACKEY!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <So1r2.5793$DB1.5923@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129862

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78he6u$90h$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>> The thing is Phil, ntr.net is not my ISP. I have never spoken to these
folks
>
>So then WHY are you using the NTR news server & not the one assigned to
>you?

How can you even for a second think this is any of your business?

>This sounds like theft of service!

To a moron it probably would. I suggest you start with the Internet for
Dummies series and work your way up there. When you have exausted the
library you may start the question INTELLIGENTLY and with knowledge.

>According to the info for you IP address, your provider is the following:

>
>GridNet International (NETBLK-GRIDNET-BLK2) GRIDNET-BLK2
> 207.205.0.0 - 207.205.255.255
>WorldCom Advanced Networks (NETBLK-GRIDNET-ROUTER-MGNT3)
GRIDNET-ROUTER-MGNT3
> 207.205.171.0 - 207.205.173.255

So who is my provider genius?? BAWAHAHAHAHAHA

>I still say that abuse@ntr.net would probably like to hear
>about your activities on their server!

Go for it shit-for-brains! Want me to send you a draft letter of that
complaint. I can help you through the hard parts, like you name, number of
nipples and those eyelids! Yea those eyelids.

What an ignorant lout.

3073. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <gr1r2.5794$DB1.5890@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129863

That is it John. I tried to get on the server but it seems to be down at the moment. It should be bouncing mail, at least it never did in the past. Possibly it is getting overloaded by Mackey complaints. :-) Sorry.

JTWard01 wrote in message <19990125110631.19208.00002332@ng135.aol.com>...
>Steve,
>
> I tried Sdaner@usa.net and that one bounced back, too. If there's another
>permutation that works, I just don't see it.
>
>John
>
>John T. Ward
>Brandon, Florida
>USA

3074. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@uia.net>
Message-ID: <78i8ef$ku5$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129864
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The rest of the story is that they took my 6 specta's and my MTX8000. But that isn't my concern because there is no crime or charges filled.I didn't give the whole story because it is of no value to the case and my post was already long enough.After the case is over I will tell how they made contact with me.

>
>
radioman
>
>

3075. Re: Rogue Cancellation summary for rec.r

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ii9r$4lp$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129865

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> Yep, me too.

Brilliant answer Blake! Now we have TWO people
out of the hundreds on this NG!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3076. Re: IT WAS CHEEK-A-LIKES ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78iidl$4tn$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129866

bpk@home.com wrote:
> Precisely correct-besides Wackey there is no other person here who
> causes and is disliked by posting off topic subjects as much as he

The how do you explain all the problems that happned when I was
absent from this NG?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3077. Re: Steve POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78iii8$50j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129867

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> I hope this really is a sign of

Steve, you were responding to a forged post!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3078. Re: freqs. for police in Nebraska or Iowa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78iio4$53t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129868
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yea I could since I've lived in the area most all my life. How
long have YOU lived in the area?

bpk@home.com wrote:
> Like you could really help out??

> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> > fun991184@aol.com (Fun 991184) wrote:
> > > If anybody knows freqs. for Nebraska or Iowa please e-mail them to

> > Are you looking for Council Bluffs/Omaha area or Sioux City area?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3079. Re: IT WAS CHEEK-A-LIKES ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78iirj$55r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129869

bpk@home.com wrote:
> Precisely correct-besides Wackey there is no other person here who

Sorry but I am not a CHEEK-A-LIKE, you need to direct
your attack to THEM!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3080. Re: CLA for 235xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36ACA88E.D2B849DE@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129870
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:

> Well, looks like I've been the victim of "You've got questions? We've
> got BLANK looks and really bad answers!"

Welcome to the droid zone.. Sit back and let our highly incompetent
droids mess with your mind, pulling every trick out of the hat to alter
your perception of reality and knowledgeable salesmanship. We control
the vertical, we control the horizontal...

> I went to Radio Shack today and even though I was successful in
> getting the last 20-014 antenna, I tried to get a cigarette lighter
> adapter for my 235.

I use the 270-031 cord for my Pro-90, which is actually a radar
detector cord, but it fits the BC-235/Pro-90, has the proper
1.3mm plug.

Jon

3081. Re:John Mackey tell us how to modify a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ij0j$5dv$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129871

odo <odo@mail.blinx.de> wrote:
> Ask our wellknown John Mackey. He will tell you how to do the mods. He
> is a highgrade technician.

Thank you for the compliment & support, but I can not assist with what you are asking as trying to use a receiver as a practical transmitter is not possible & any attempt would violate FCC type acceptance.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3082. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ij3v$5ho$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129872

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> Sheesh. In fact, the only "major" cause of the flames here is John
> Mackey!

Which have been PROVEN to be forges posted by YOU, BLake Bowers!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3083. Re: Sorry Blake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ijcp$5n3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129873

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> I understand John.

You responded to a forged message Blake, but I forget,
was that one done by you or Steve?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3084. Re: Radio Shack PRO-2026 and 50 Mhz ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Wood" <mr.wood@spam.less.bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <78i9dk$us3$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 129874

Thanks for the info. The UK version has:

66 - 88, 108 - 137 (AM), 137 - 174, 406 - 512, 806 - 956 (including cellular)

So it looks fairly similar except for the first section, and the inclusion of cellular.

So how do I get the 29 - 54 instead of 66 - 88 ??

Is there a mod for US versions to get cellular? Maybe *removing* cellular from the UK version might enable 29 - 54 MHz ??

Anyone got any further info?

Thanks,

Dan.

3085. Re: Good God, I can't take anymore!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36ACAB09.E6E96B57@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129875

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Jesus H.....
>
> Even I can't stand this crap anymore. It's getting too ridiculous. John,
> you've done it again. Congratulations on trashing the whole group.
>
> But then that's what filters are for.
>
> Goodbye for now, John. I may be back but I gotta take a break
> PLONK!
>
> -Phil

I have an idea. Let's have a vote on whether Mackay should leave
this newsgroup. Simple majority wins. Whaddya say Mackey, if
we vote you out, your butt is outta here, fair enough? If you win,
we'll leave and you can have it all to yourself, you're the only one
who will still be here. All in favor?

Jon

3086. Re: John's drivel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ijlt$61r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> that he has added to further his campaign of hate.

Blake, I have no hate for you. I simply posted the PROOF of your
forged messages as me, per your request. If you don't like the
attention, then don't forge any more messages under my ID.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3087. Re: Blake caught in MORE lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ijsu$65o$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:

> On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 08:30:13 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >Then how do you explain YOUR SAME IP ADDRESS being used WITHIN 19
> >MINUTES of the FORGED POSTS ON REC.RADIO.SCANNER & YOUR LEGIT POSTS
> >on misc.emerg-services & alt.firefighters?

> A clever forge from you perhaps, in an attempt to frame someone else?

How was I to have accessed YOUR IP ADDRESS?

> Someone else on my server?

How could someone else access YOUR server?

> Your email address is the question Johnny. When have I used
> your EMAIL address? Come on now, don't try to change the

Do you want me to post the messages you used to send from P_ARG
for all your EMS buddies to see here on the usenet groups?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3088. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ik21$696$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129878

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> Well, more lies from Johnny. Bill Cheek has already given me the
> information about his degree, and training, so I have held him to

This info for you hero was to be provided to the NG of REC.RADIO.SCANNER, NOT to you for your review. As indicated in your post as recent as your forge's yesterday, you can not be trusted Blake BOwers!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3089. Re: Looking for Antenna splitter no loss?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36ACAAA4.1190C107@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129879

The way it is done on a US Submarine is that the antenna is fed directly to a pre amp. Then the pre amp is split this will usally well make up for any loss in a splitter. There are many commercial brands that are good amplifiers. I highly recommend a Mil-Spec one.
WB6SUB, Former Submarine Radioman, Present day communications tech.

FoxNewz wrote:

> Looking for Antenna splitter no loss?
> I am looking for two antenna splitters
>
> One for a 800 mhz antenna
> One for an all band scanner antenna
>
> They both will be for monitoring only and split 2 ways
>
> Also if anyone has any suggestions for the antennas please let me know...I am
> looking for an 800 and an all band
>
> Thanks

3090. Blake Bower's Forgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ik6f$6hi$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129880

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> Hmm. Looking at the headers, I find nothing, repeat
> NOTHING that points to me as having written
> this. Why are you lying? What is your proof?

Are you denying that the forged message came from the same IP
address as YOU?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3091. Re: W and W manufacturing ripped me off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kato56@juno.com
Message-ID: <78ikqp$74b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I too have purchased 2 Motorola Saber batteries from them and
had no problems with the purchase.

In article <36A93EA7.2EE6@acm.org>,
"Robert G. Schaffrath, N2JTX" <rschaffrath@acm.org> wrote:
> I have purchased from them before (walk-in as they are only about 6
> miles away) and never had any problems. In fact, they once gave me a
> supurb deal on a 7.2V 1800mAH FT-530 battery pack for $35 based on a
> competitors advertised price for a 1500mAH pack!
>
> Sorry to hear about your troubles. Must have been a bad day. The
> weather around here has been lousy...
>
> spamkill@interl.net wrote:
> >
> > Hello folks,
> >
> > I hate posting one of these messages to any kind of forum but these
> > folks leave me no choice.
> > Their representative hung up on my xyl when she was trying to resolve
> > the matter to the satisfaction of myself and the company. Hence, I
> > have no choice but to post it here. I hope it serves as a warning to
> > others who might consider doing business with these people.
> >
> > (snip)
> --
> Robert G. Schaffrath, N2JTX (RGS71)
> +1.516.759.4313
> mailto:rschaffrath@acm.org
> http://tonto.netsurftech.com/rgs
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3092. Re: Automobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78ic1i$se0$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129882

Ray Colvill wrote in message <01be4852$eecd4260$84814dd1@rcolvill->...
>This seems so obvious but---
>
>Why has no manufacturer built an automobile scanner radio that fits
>in the dashboard.
>

I saw one a while back made by Philips that did just that. It was an AM/FM stereo cassette deck that also has shortwave to microwave receive capability. Saw it in one of the ham mag's - you might want to check their website.

-Phil

3093. No Cussing Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36ACABB7.9F7494F7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129883

This newsgroup is commonly read by New ham, and scanner blood. (ie. a 6 year old General Ham in my group) Please lets not lower the impression of this newsgroup with that, or subjuct such young eyes or ears with cussing. Thank-You WB6SUB

3094. FS - Uniden BC-3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125132426.15286.00002808@ng42.aol.com>
Source record: 129884

Like new BC-3000xlt 400 channel scanner. Has box, manual, etc. Bought new 2 years ago, and it has less than 10 hours of on-time. $225.00 shipped CONUS. Email if interested. KK4TL@AOL.COM

3095. Re: scanners f.s.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: andrew Eckman <ajeckman@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78ifti$1jf$1@news0-alterdial.uu.net>
Source record: 129885
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'll give you $50.00 for the bc760XLT. Thats not bad since they are selling new for $169.00 from CEI (www.usascan.com) and that includes warranty and a new headset.

george wrote in message <78g0di$grv@newsops.execpc.com>...
>I have the following scanners f.s.......
>bc200xlt....like new in box.......225.00
>bc760xlt....like new in box.......225.00 this unit can be base or mobile
>
<snip>

3096. Re: VA Target Store Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AC0749.16B9@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129886

Charlie Brookhart wrote:
>
> Does anybody have the frequencies that are used for the Target store in
> Burke, VA? Please post them here.
>
> Thank you,
>
> Charlie

Hi Charlie,

The most common frequencies for Target in the Washington, DC area
are 151.625 and 151.925. Some of the FCC files list them on the
following frequencies, but I think these are for the remote barcode
readers.

The Target stores in MD and VA use the following:

467.8000
467.9250

The Target stores in Northern VA also use the following:

467.7625
469.0625

Bob

3097. Re: Electric Company scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <36ac10a4.3833718@news.acay.com.au>
Source record: 129887

no@email.4.me (SatMan) wrote:

:Can anyone help me find the freq. for First Electric in Arkansas?

Most likely 60Hz.

:thanks!

Your welcome.

3098. CLA for 235xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36ac0e99.160728325@news.value.net>
Source record: 129888
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Well, looks like I've been the victim of "You've got questions? We've got BLANK looks and really bad answers!"

I went to Radio Shack today and even though I was successful in getting the last 20-014 antenna, I tried to get a cigarette lighter adapter for my 235.

I asked for the cigarette lighter adapter for the Pro-90 scanner and he went... 'The what? Is that one of our part numbers?'

Sheesh!

Unfortunately, they didn't have any Pro-90's in the store and I didn't have mine on me. Once I was referred to the store "Expert" I made the mistake of taking the one that he assured me would work perfectly!

It does fit, but the post is too long and the last half of it doesn't completely seat in the hole.

Does anyone have a part number for these things?

How about the power supply and extra battery?

I've come to the determination that it's pointless to go in there without part numbers.

Thanks,
Mike

3099. Re: Blake caught in MORE lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ha2m$69c$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:

> On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 03:19:30 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >Blake Bowers has been caught RED HANDED posting forged/fake messages

> While this is obviously a lie,

Then how do you explain YOUR SAME IP ADDRESS being used WITHIN 19 MINUTES of the FORGED POSTS ON REC.RADIO.SCANNER & YOUR LEGIT POSTS on misc.emerg-services & alt.firefighters?

> Secondly, you stated that Dejanews was so secure, so it could not
> be so!

MY ID of "jmackey@usa.net" was/is secure you used identies of my name & call coming from dejanews (technically a different ID).

> And another filthy lie from Johnny. When have I tried to use
> your email address? Who would want to?

Do you deny that you used an identity of "@parg.org" for some time even after being asked NOT TO by officials of the PARG.ORG system? (Blake, if needed I will present posts of you using that ID from PARG.ORG) As you well know, you have NEVER been given access to the servers!

To make this clear for any newcomers, I will repost a forged message header & a legit message header from YOU, Blake Bowers, using the same IP address (207.18.224.93), both posts within 19 minutes of each other! See below!

Subject: flake
Author: ka0ssf <ka0ssf@hotmail.com>
Date: 1999/01/24
Forum: rec.radio.scanner
Posted on: 1999/01/24
Organization: Deja News - The Leader in Internet Discussion
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 24 21:33:50 1999 GMT
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x9.dejanews.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.18.224.93

From: bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers)
Newsgroups: misc.emerg-services, alt.firefighters
Subject: Re: IAFF endorses Gore
Date: 24 Jan 1999 21:12:24 GMT
Message-ID: <78g2bo$l1a$0@207.18.224.93>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.18.224.93

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3100. Re: scanners f.s.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@nightowl.net>
Message-ID: <36AC163F.CB124996@nightowl.net>
Source record: 129890
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is this guy serious?

george wrote:
>
> I have the following scanners f.s.......
> bc200xlt....like new in box.......225.00
> bc760xlt....like new in box.......225.00 this unit can be base or mobile
>
> bc700a no box have manuel...175.00 this includes shipping for this
> scanner
> bc800xlt ....225.00 this is a base unit receives
> complete 800mhz band.....
> the scanners listed above will receive the complete 860-895mhz with no
> gaps
> bc235xlt trunk tracker.......225.00
> bc8500xlt.........................350.00 this is a base unit
> bc230xlt...like new in box...200.00.........
> I will ship c.o.d. by fedex only with shipping 35.00 payed in advance
> .....thanks
> e-mail.. mackbolan@execpc.com

3101. New AOR DSP Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles A <int078@southwind.net>
Message-ID: <36AC0F8C.529E@southwind.net>
Source record: 129891

Does anybody have an opinion of the new DSP table top receiver/scanner from AOR. It is now available from Grove.

Charlie

3102. Re: PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@nightowl.net>
Message-ID: <36AC18C8.D938EED0@nightowl.net>
Source record: 129892

I ordered the 4 long screws from Radio Shack a few months ago after buying a scanner without them present. They don't sell just those 4 screws, but for $2.50 you can get every screw needed for the scanner, including the 4 screws for the case and the 2 screws for the belt clip, and of course all the internal screws. I think it took about 2 weeks to be delivered to my door. I called the 1-800 number and got the proper order number and then went to the local Radio Shack and they put the order in for me, or you can use your credit card over the phone and wait a few days less for shipping.

Todd

iceberg@nxco.com wrote:
>
> I am looking for any defunct pro-43's! I need them mostly for the casing
> and screws, the one I have works if I duct tape it all together, and would
> like to remedy that problem, it also has a blowen speaker and cracked case,
> so if you can help with a more reasonable price than radio shit wants, please
> e-mail me.
>
> IceBerg
> iceberg@nxco.com
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3103. Re: Police Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AC1B6D.4F1C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129893

InfoMania wrote:
>
> If anyone can tell me or knows where I can find freq for the ploice band in
> Australia, I would love to hear from you

Check out the following URL for Police Band Allocations in Australia:

http://www.qsl.net/vk1zmc/police.html

Check out the following URL for links to Australian Scanner pages:

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/links.html#AUST

3104. BC100xlt battery trouble ? req. help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Storm <Stormtracker@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <78h65r$9ja@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I recently got a new battery unit for my bc100xlt, charged it, and tried it, and still get the battery warning after only about 10 minutes, then it goes dead shortly there after. The battery shows about 7 volts to start, but when the unit is turned on, it drops steadly and rapidly to about 4.5 volts. I believe the battery is fine, and it's something in the radio that's drawing it down. What is the power draw on this radio supposed to be ?
Any help would be appreciated.
TIA
KM

3105. Re: Radio Shack PRO-2026 and 50 Mhz ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <78h630$6kq$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129895

Mr. Wood wrote in message <78fsn7oup1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>
>I have the UK version of the Pro-2026. It will tune down to 66Mhz, but I
>read somewhere that US versions of this radio will receive things below
>66Mhz. Is that right?
>
>I want to listen to the 6m amateur radio band, which is around 50 Mhz.
>
>Does anyone know of a mod that will allow my scanner to receive 50 Mhz??
>
>Thanks,
>
>Dan.

That is correct. 29 - 54, 108 - 174, 406 - 512 and 806-956(less cell)

3106. Re: digital cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roadrunner <tom.mannerud@usa.net>
Message-ID: <l6Vq2.1170$YP1.2168116@newse3.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 129896
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Many 900MHZ digital phones use so called spread spectrum radio signals when transmitting. This makes is impossible to track on a scanner.

~Tom

3107. Re: mods for pro-2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rubbernec@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36ac1cc5.3678400@news.wans.net>
Source record: 129897

On 24 Jan 1999 08:46:59 GMT, umblazew@news.cc.umanitoba.ca (Dennis
Andrew Blazewicz) wrote:

>Tom Jones (txjones@tyransportz.com) wrote:
>: ��� Pro-2040 MOD ���
>:
>: 1. Drill 5/8" hole in top, near back panel.
>: 2. Drill another hole on back panel, under first hole.
>: 3. Carefully remove top-mount antenna.
>: 5. Feed one end of 1/2" 3-strand nylon rope thru holes.
>: 6. Secure other end of rope to eye on stern of boat.
>: 7. When drift fishing, toss overboard.Great kedge anchor.
>:
>: I dunno WHY I bought this worthless pile of excrement.
>: I guess 'cause it was on sale.
>
> I've actually found this scanner to be well done, especially for the price
>I paid. It comes with a half-decent antenna. It picks up cell images
>rather well (I know, I know.. images aren't a good thing .. that's what
>triple-conversion is for). It is rather bullet-proof (Having left it in the
>car at -30 degrees Celcius, for about a month - using it every morning, even
>though the display won't change because it's SoDamnedColdOutside). It is
>light. It has a nice, shiny BNC connector on the back. As you mentioned,
>it was cheap when on sale. It makes a good backup in case your other
>scanner decides to play "Sleepie Time You Can't Wake Me Up You Bad Bad Man".
>Sigh. I like big paragraphs.
>
>Dennis
>--
>Support Freedom on the Internet! http://eff.org
>'68 Nova SS - 327 CID - TH350 - Goal: 383 'n gobs of torque.
>'88 Sunbird - 2.0L OHC - 3T40 - 14x6 '85 Pontiac 6000 Alloys
>IRC Operator: irc.total.net (EFNet) Nickname: Astinus

thanks for the cute message. do u know of a mod. please post
it up here. thanks

Rubbernec
rubbernec@hotmail.com

3108. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78hdoi$8l8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129898

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> Oh, you can? Please then, provide the proof of the following claims
> you have made.
>
> Your masters of communication technology. Where is it from, etc.
> Your numerous schools from Motorola you say you have attended.
> Your background and experience in the professional two way industry.

No problem Blake! As you know from our discussions in the past,
since this NG was asking your hero old what's his name in San Diego
for basically the same data LONG before YOU or Richard asked ME
for that data, I will GLADLY give ALL you request as soon as you
hold him to the same standard & scrutiny you are trying to put on
me. It's your choice, you can have it ALL if you want it!

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3109. Re: freqs. for police in Nebraska or Iowa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bpk@home.com
Message-ID: <36AC2950.F3FF5825@home.com>
Source record: 129899
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Like you could really help out??

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> fun991184@aol.com (Fun 991184) wrote:
> > If anybody knows freqs. for Nebraska or Iowa please e-mail them to Jbend5461,
>
> Are you looking for Council Bluffs/Omaha area or Sioux City area?
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3110. Re: STEVE - KING LIAR - LIES again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78he6u$90h$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129900

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> The thing is Phil, ntr.net is not my ISP. I have never spoken to these folks

So then WHY are you using the NTR news server & not the one assigned to
you? This sounds like theft of service!

According to the info for you IP address, your provider is the following:

GridNet International (NETBLK-GRIDNET-BLK2) GRIDNET-BLK2
207.205.0.0 - 207.205.255.255
WorldCom Advanced Networks (NETBLK-GRIDNET-ROUTER-MGNT3) GRIDNET-ROUTER-MGNT3
207.205.171.0 - 207.205.173.255

I still say that abuse@ntr.net would probably like to hear
about your activities on their server!

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3111. Re: medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78hebs$915$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129901

ka0ssf@hotmail.com wrote:
> All this talk about my medictions

This was a forge done by Blake Bowers.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3112. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78heek$91f$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129902

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Why don't you just send him email and see if it bounces back,

Because he could be giving a legit address for someone else, FOOL!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3113. Re: A Question for John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78hepo$9c7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129903

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> You made a claim that you have not changed your on-line ID more than 3 times
> in this newsgroup. I however have evidence linking you to all of these id's:
>
> jmackey@usa.net kaossf@parg.org

Those are both valid ID's for me. What is your point?
You originally said I change my ID every day & I denied that. Now
your accusation is changing, please be consistant with you
words & actions.

> I know why you won't answer.

Wrong again!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3114. Re: Please Leave Mackey Alone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78hesi$9ci$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129904

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> See John, there you go again. I was willing to let the whole thing drop, and
> then you came on here spouting off even more.

Phil - If you don't like it then leave!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3115. Re: Programming Trunk Groups on BC235XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <36AC2030.56A6@compuserve.com>
Source record: 129905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If your Uniden 235 works like my Uniden-made Radio Shack PRO-91, you can keep it from scanning the trunk banks by just enabling or disabling the whole bank for normal scanning; while you can lock out individual channels in the bank, you shouldn't need to. BTW, someone else pointed out that on their scanner, they can lock out the trunk channels in a trunk bank, and use any unused remaining channels in that bank for normal scanning. Trunktracking didn't care that the frequencies were locked out. You might try it and see.

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> I feel really stupid now. Why does my 235 scan the banks I have set
> for Trunk Tracking when in normal scan mode?
>
> I can't find anything about this in the manual or online!
>
> Am I supposed to lock out every freq. in my trunking banks?
>
> Thanks,
> Mike

3116. Re: Rogue Cancellation summary for rec.r

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78hfbr$9o1$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129906

jerry.laffert@warpgate.com (Jerry Laffert) wrote:
> I appointed him to speak for me. How about the rest of you gang?

Wow, ONE person out of the HUNDREDS that use this NG!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3117. Re: IT WAS MACKEY ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78hfjt$9p2$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129907

txjones@tyransportz.com (Tom Jones) wrote:
> Bill Cheek has been gone for some time - and look at all the crap,
> bullshit, off-topic posts, flames and canceled posts that currently exists

Yea, now all the Cheek-a-likes are attacking my in one big bully session
to chicken to take me one individually!

Now that what's his name is gone, the Cheek-a-likes are the problem!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3118. Re: IT WAS MACKEY ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bpk@home.com
Message-ID: <36AC2FC2.1F463E04@home.com>
Source record: 129908

Precisely correct-besides Wackey there is no other person here who causes and is disliked by posting off topic subjects as much as he does.Mr Wackey should have the common courtesy to just go away and let us talk about scanners.I would aim to guess we would be much happier if he would just sell his computer.

Tom Jones wrote:
>
> All the time Mackey used to whine about how all the disruption and
> chicanery in this newsgroup was caused by Bill Cheek, it was really being
> caused by HIM! PROOF?
>
> Bill Cheek has been gone for some time - and look at all the crap,
> bullshit, off-topic posts, flames and canceled posts that currently exists
> that are caused by none other than John TOILETBOY Mackey!
> I REST MY CASE!
>
> --
> If you wish to write back to me,
> Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
> And if this code you cannot crack,
> Remember that if morons could fly,
> The sky would be black!

3119. Re:Blake caught in MORE lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78hfv7$a41$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129909

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:

> >online services accessing the usenet. (Interesting note, Blake
> >has attempted to use a e-mail address assigned to me in the past
> >claiming it was his)
>
> And another filthy lie from Johnny. When have I tried to use
> your email address? Who would want to?

Blake, do you want me to post when you were using the PARG.ORG address?
If I do, I will do it for your EMS buddies to see!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3120. Goodyear Az (GYR) PD freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <36AC2746.5DF6291C@analon.com>
Source record: 129910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

anyone know the frequencies used by the Goodyear Police. I know they use an
800mhz

I'm showing 855.4875, 856.9625 855.9625, 860.9625 and also 460.25

are these current or does my list need updating?

--

> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
<
> Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(: MS-Windows):<
> Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
> if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
<
+++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They loose payments!, Say I didn't pay!

3121. Center freq/xmit locations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <36AC260E.7E960C06@analon.com>
Source record: 129911
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Anyone have a list of Center frequency and transmit locations.

I was near San Bernardino and Redlands California and was able to monitor the Los Angeles center frequency 133.75 as well as several other center and Socal frequencies.

Instead of just knowing the city or town, I's like either a latitude/longitude or name of the hill or place the transmitter is located.

JOHN

--

> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
<
> Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(: MS-Windows):<
> Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
> if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
<
+++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They loose payments!, Say I didn't pay!

3122. Re: Central Scotland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Simon Lewis <slewis@pacsat.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <v4PriHAdpCr2Ew+T@pacsat.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 129912
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

In article <1gPq2.238$hd7.80@tundra.ops.attcanada.net>, NoFixxxer
<Unknown@unknown.com> writes
>I agree with you, I thought we were all here to help each other, rather than
>be an idiot and say, go post somewhere else. If you have help to give, then
>give it please. If not, then don't post.
>
>Kel wrote in message <78g9bjvfk1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>>Now what was the point of that message, my original message was posted in
>>alt.radio.scanner.uk, as you will have seen and I would not have thought of
>>it being off topic in the other NG's it was posted to. Why do some people
>>seem to think they can order everyone around like the Gestapo. If you can't
>>reply to a question someone post's in an NG, then you should refrain from
>>comment.
>>
>>
>>Kel
>>
>>
>>
>
>

We are not in this news group to discuss the passing of information used
to monitor non-amateur freqs.

If you are happy with that then don't post to this NG.

It is uk.radio.amateur - which is interested in amateur radio. Listening
to police freqs is not AR.

+--+
| Simon Lewis GM4PLM Editor - EMN |
| European Microwave News - Supporting Microwave Radio Across Europe |
| Homepage - www.pacsat.demon.co.uk Email emn@pacsat.demon.co.uk |
+--+

3123. Automobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Colvill <rcolvill@es.co.nz>
Message-ID: <01be4852$eecd4260$84814dd1@rcolvill->
Source record: 129913

This seems so obvious but---

Why has no manufacturer built an automobile scanner radio that fits
in the dashboard.

You could still listens to the radio on AM/FM , maybe without stereo at
first,
and still find the space for a cassette or CD deck.

The first to do so would have a wide market amongst the worlds scanner
enthusiasts.

--
Rayc
Timaru
New Zealand
Safe flying is no accident.

3124. Re: Regency MX7000 Scanner manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <UzSaPBAs+Er2Ew5o@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 129914

In article <78dorcpcb1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, scrapbook@my-dejanews.com
writes

Hi,

>I need an antenna and owner's manual for the Regency MX7000. Help or
>suggestions will be appreciated. Thanks, Al

Although not the original AR2001/AR2002 manuals you can download the
basic operating instructions for these sets from the AOR (UK) web site
and as operation of the MX5000/7000/8000 is the same this would
hopefully help you along the way.

http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/

Have fun.
Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K.	AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Commtel 205/216/510, Icom IC-R2/R10/PCR1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627 \ Realistic PRO-26/2042, Standard AX400B
Clough@javiation.co.uk \ UBC-3000XLT/9000XLT, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000
http://www.javiation.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000
\--/

3125. Re: Updated scanning page for ADELAIDE South Australia "23/1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Colvill <rcolvill@es.co.nz>
Message-ID: <01be4856$8ccd3a80$84814dd1@rcolvill->
Source record: 129915
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio

For goodness put a http:// in front of the address matty.

Of are trying to be difficult to us all.

do you know what doing this small thing allows us to do.

--
Rayc
Timaru
New Zealand
Safe flying is no accident.

3126. Yupiteru MVT 9000 poor reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Colvill <rcolvill@es.co.nz>
Message-ID: <01be485b$2a847460$84814dd1@rcolvill->
Source record: 129916

The standard aerial is deaf as a post.

Fit a MVT7100 telescopic aerial and the reception increase will
blow your socks off.

For a comparison on my new 9000 against the 7100 send me an email
note and I will send a copy.

--
Rayc
Timaru
New Zealand
Safe flying is no accident.

3127. Re: medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78hnro$h61$0@207.18.224.93>
Source record: 129917

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 09:43:25 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> ka0ssf@hotmail.com wrote:
>> All this talk about my medictions
>
>This was a forge done by Blake Bowers.
>
You are a liar Johnny. Prove it.

3128. Re: Updated scanning page for ADELAIDE South Australia "23/1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jacko99@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <36ac6199.10109550@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 129918
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio

On 25 Jan 1999 11:34:12 GMT, "Ray Colvill" <rcolvill@es.co.nz> wrote:

>For goodness put a http:// in front of the address matty.
>
>Of are trying to be difficult to us all.
>
Fool!

You just posted 345 odd blank lines to half a dozen different Ngs.

Moreover your complaint was invalid anyway - you don't need the //http
crap in either Netscape or MS Explorer these days.

Back to tending your woolly girlfriend!

jacko

3129. Re: Rogue Cancellation summary for rec.r

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78hnt7$h61$1@207.18.224.93>
Source record: 129919

On 24 Jan 99 19:26:36 , jerry.laffert@warpgate.com (Jerry Laffert)
wrote:

>Mentally impaired Jmackey@Usa.Net said the following to All:
>
>> Have you been appointed to speak for "most all" of this
>> newsgroup or are you lying again?
>I appointed him to speak for me. How about the rest of you gang?
Yep, me too.

3130. Re: Sorry Blake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78hnui$h61$2@207.18.224.93>
Source record: 129920

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 05:33:52 GMT, ka0ssf_mackey@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>Blake I am sorry for all the lies I have told about you. When I am not taking
>my medications I just seem to go nuts! I am really sorry and hope you and the
>newsgroup will accept my apolgy.
>
>I am a liar. I can't help it.

I understand John. Please, get your meds stabilized.

3131. Re: Blake caught in MORE lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78ho58$h61$3@207.18.224.93>
Source record: 129921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 08:30:13 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>
>> On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 03:19:30 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>> >Blake Bowers has been caught RED HANDED posting forged/fake messages
>
>> While this is obviously a lie,
>
>Then how do you explain YOUR SAME IP ADDRESS being used WITHIN 19
>MINUTES of the FORGED POSTS ON REC.RADIO.SCANNER & YOUR LEGIT POSTS
>on misc.emerg-services & alt.firefighters?
>
A clever forge from you perhaps, in an attempt to frame someone else?

Someone else on my server?

>
>> And another filthy lie from Johnny. When have I tried to use
>> your email address? Who would want to?
>
>Do you deny that you used an identity of "@parg.org" for some time
>even after being asked NOT TO by officials of the PARG.ORG system?
>(Blake, if needed I will present posts of you using that ID from
>PARG.ORG) As you well know, you have NEVER been given access to the
>servers!
>
Your email address is the question Johnny. When have I used
your EMAIL address? Come on now, don't try to change the
subject, back up your lie. When have I tried to use your EMAIL
address?

3132. Johnny caught in more lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78ho71$h61$4@207.18.224.93>
Source record: 129922

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 10:10:51 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>
>> >online services accessing the usenet. (Interesting note, Blake
>> >has attempted to use a e-mail address assigned to me in the past
>> >claiming it was his)
>>
>> And another filthy lie from Johnny. When have I tried to use
>> your email address? Who would want to?
>
>Blake, do you want me to post when you were using the PARG.ORG address?
>If I do, I will do it for your EMS buddies to see!
>
Go ahead Johnny. They don't care either, but they really don't care
to see your drivel.

3133. John's drivel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78hoat$h61$5@207.18.224.93>
Source record: 129923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

My apologies folks, I did not mean to cross post my reply to John Mackey, he continues to include all these newsgroups in his replies, and I meant to remember to delete all the newsgroups that he has added to further his campaign of hate.

It won't happen again.

3134. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78hokb$h61$6@207.18.224.93>
Source record: 129924

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 20:23:54 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
>
>> They are all the same, just different sides of the same coin. They won't take
>> the feud to private e-mail 'cause they like seeing their names out there
>
>This from someone who used to be a major cause of the flames here & claimed he
>knew who my boss was!
>
John, everyone knows your boss, Krusty the Klown.

I don't remember JT Ward ever being a "major" cause of the flames
here, please, get back on your meds.

Sheesh. In fact, the only "major" cause of the flames here is John
Mackey!

3135. Re: medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78hosr$h61$7@207.18.224.93>
Source record: 129925

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 09:43:25 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> ka0ssf@hotmail.com wrote:
>> All this talk about my medictions
>
>This was a forge done by Blake Bowers.

Hmm. Looking at the headers, I find nothing, repeat
NOTHING that points to me as having written
this. Why are you lying? What is your proof?

Although many of the posts that have come from Dejanews
lately do appear to be forges, I think that most of us
agree that the post that started this thread appears
to be one by you, written in an amazing few minutes
of lucidity.

Taking over the newsgroup? That rings as being something
you would try to do, or are trying to do.

3136. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78hovf$h61$8@207.18.224.93>
Source record: 129926

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 09:33:06 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>> Oh, you can? Please then, provide the proof of the following claims
>> you have made.
>>
>> Your masters of communication technology. Where is it from, etc.
>> Your numerous schools from Motorola you say you have attended.
>> Your background and experience in the professional two way industry.
>
>No problem Blake! As you know from our discussions in the past,
>since this NG was asking your hero old what's his name in San Diego
>for basically the same data LONG before YOU or Richard asked ME
>for that data, I will GLADLY give ALL you request as soon as you
>hold him to the same standard & scrutiny you are trying to put on
>me. It's your choice, you can have it ALL if you want it!
>
Well, more lies from Johnny. Bill Cheek has already given me the
information about his degree, and training, so I have held him to
the same standard. Now, as I have fulfilled your request, please
forward the information to me.

3137. ICOM R7100 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ruffin Blackard <ruffin@pagesz.net>
Message-ID: <36AC70EB.7C425F73@pagesz.net>
Source record: 129927

IC R7100 Original box only used maybe 100 hours. Speech interface and RS232 interface included. Instruction manual, schematics and RS232 reference manual included. Scancat Gold software. Much more scanner than I need at this time. Cellular included. S/N 01166

Raleigh, NC Area
$1200.00 or Best offer, please only serious inquiries.

email ruffin@pagesz.net

3138. Re: Updated scanning page for ADELAIDE South Australia "23/1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rev@newave.net.au
Message-ID: <36ac773a.63634000@news.newave.net.au>
Source record: 129928
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio

On 25 Jan 1999 11:34:12 GMT, "Ray Colvill" <rcolvill@es.co.nz> wrote:

>For goodness put a http:// in front of the address matty.
>
>Of are trying to be difficult to us all.
>
>do you know what doing this small thing allows us to do.
>

I thought that it was common knowledge to put a http:// in yourself.

And most browsers will add the http:// automatically when you hit
enter.

--
Matthew Volkmer (Matty)
rev@newave.net.au
www.newave.net.au/~rev SA Radio Scanning Page
ICQ 26709963

3139. Need mod for Regency R 1600, please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: gsal <gsal@icsi.net>
Message-ID: <36AC7A9B.17D4@icsi.net>
Source record: 129929

Need the modification for the R-1600. It has a Uniden UC-1246 processor.

Made by Uniden for Regency, looks like the BC760XLT, but that mod doesn't work. This unit is cell capable, but I lost all of my old mod files during a Windows NT makeover.

Thanks.

3140. Re: freqs. for police in Nebraska or Iowa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbend5461@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125092331.16200.00002564@ng126.aol.com>
Source record: 129930

i am looking for the Omaha/Council bluffs area

3141. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <elang@mainecoast.net>
Message-ID: <01be4870$0d78a520$833ef9ce@edlangwo>
Source record: 129931

Jim,
The new Sony ICF-SC1PC is a good scanner for what you
want. It has continuous coverage from 25-1300MHz with no
gaps except for cellular. Also, it has a PC interface and comes
with an FCC database CDrom. I use it to monitor military UHF
primarily and I've been happy with it so far.
Ed

Jim Lin <j.lin@computer.org> wrote in article <36AC04FB.1C28CB35@computer.org>...
> Jack wrote:
> > Scan from 138.-144.MHz AM and 225.-400.MHz AM
> > You will have a blast!
>
> Ok, so does anyone have a recommendation for a good
> handheld that'll do this? Haven't been able to find
> one so far (although I haven't been looking long). I've
> got a 235xlt right now, and it doesn't do 225-400 MHz.
> As a new private pilot, the air stuff is a bit more
> interesting to me than trunking right now :)
> Jim
> --
> James C. Lin
> <j.lin at computer dot org>
>

3142. Re: Go Privite?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: andrew Eckman <ajeckman@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78hvoj$i50$1@news0-alterdial.uu.net>
Source record: 129932

<Snip the crap...>

>I don't see Amateur Radio operators complaining that they are being
monitored
>and
>need to convert to digital encrypted ham radio.

I DON'T SEE AMATEUR RADIO OPERATORS GETTING SHOT AT!!!!!

>
>>Police communications not only have that right, it's a duty. That duty
can
>be
>>realized by proper operations security awareness, but in my opinion,
police
>>generally are no smarter than the rest of us (and compared to many of us,
are
>>dumb/ignorant when it comes to communications matters). Encryption --and
>sadly,
>>full-time encryption-- is a major aspect of the solution to operations
>security
>>awareness problems caused by police ignorance, negligence, or stress.

Often, Police departments rely on the consultation of the communication
experts such as those at Motorola. It is true that they are not
communications experts in general. (Just like the automotive industry relys
on computer consultants to run their company...many entities use
consultants.) It is VERY stressful when the communications equipment
doesn't work properly. A working radio is often more important than a gun
for a cop. I would hope that before Motorola turns on the encription
feature for the Astro system installed in Michigan - they get it working
without it first. All the officers need is one more layer to screw things
up.

>So, the police are dumb, they beat up Rodney King because they were
negligent
>and
>under stress, therefore, we should encrypt their tactical channels so we,
the
>public,
>will never see a Rodney King get beat up again ?????? No, sorry, but you
are
>wrong. The police have to answer to us. Deal with it.

Just courious... Were they negligent? What do you know about their stress
levels? I guess I wasn't there the night of the "routine" traffic stop you

describe in this thread, so I really don't have all the facts of the events that lead up to the video rolling, so I won't make judgement. (Kinda like O.J. didn't kill anyone! I love our media!) Anyways, I'll leave that for another newsgroup.

>talkyal

Andy [Name not withheld ;-)]

3143. Re: Central Scotland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kel <kel@united1.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <78i000$17n$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 129933
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner.uk

If you read the original posting properly, nowhere does it mention anything about Police freq.'s, and scanner NG's are for people with scanners, just like the amateur radio NG's are for people interested in amateur radio. Doesn't say anything about TX capability. So Andy, if you don't want to read about people interested in scanners, don't sub to scanner NG's.

Thanks to those who have provided useful information.

Kel

3144. Re: Transmitt To McDonalds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125094338.09603.00000969@ng49.aol.com>
Source record: 129934

>Subject: Transmitt To McDonalds?
>From: rabidfool@aol.comkillspam (Rabidfool)
>Date: 1/24/99 3:19 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <19990124151902.03576.00002307@ng98.aol.com>
>
>I was wondering if it was possible to transmitt on the McDonalds drive
>through
>headsets? I asked around before and I think someone told me to get a ...i
>think....blue dot transcevier from rs? would that work?

Tbone107 wrote: Just why would you want to? No matter what you order, the pimply-faced, high school drop-out, burger-flippin' can't get a date, livin' at home with his mom who is probably dead and propped-up in the corner and dusted-off for holidays, minimum wage-makin' snot drippin' into the shake machine, moron will just give you whatever they want you to have anyway.

3145. Re: Central Scotland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kel <kel@united1.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <78i0rd$1n5$1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 129935
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner.uk

My apologies to Jim if he was trying to point me in the right direction, I may have read his posting wrongly. Now all you flamers, stop using all the bandwidth.

Kel

3146. I AM A LIAR - SO WHAT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0ssf_john_mackey@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78gckqhbg1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129936

I am a liar. Like we all didn't know that already

Ohh the voices...in my head.....help me......forgive me.

FUCK all of you assholes. You don't know near as much as I do.

It hurts. My head hurts so bad. Please get me some help. I need help

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3147. Re: JOHN MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78gclshbp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129937

kc7hbu1@yahoo.com wrote:
> John what the hell!! I did a search of MACKEY tonight and got 43000

Dave-

Contact me IMMEDIATELY via phone or e-mail. Several of us have been trying to get in touch with you on a certain matter.

thanks

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3148. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78gctcheh1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129938

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Sure I can. Remember the post I made up where you

THAT is exactly my point Phil You either have to copy someone
else or make something up. You are unable to deal with facts &
reality.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3149. Re: freqs. for police in Nebraska or Iowa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78gd0h$hm3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129939
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

fun991184@aol.com (Fun 991184) wrote:
> If anybody knows freqs. for Nebraska or Iowa please e-mail them to Jbend5461,

Are you looking for Council Bluffs/Omaha area or Sioux City area?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3150. Re: PHIL SMALLEY POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78gd4dhnv1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129940

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> And to address the issue, I would say that the reason Newbie no longer posts
> here is so that no one else can snag one of his-

Maybe he just has other things to do. You are grasping at straws, Phil.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3151. I AM A REAL SICK PERSON. HELP ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: john_ka0ssf_mackey@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78gd68$ho8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129941

I am so sick

I can't keep taking those medications

I would rather be back in the padded cell

Help me

My head hurts

I can't contol the voices

Hep me

Iam sorry

So sorry

You all can suck me and burn in HELL

I HATE women. They hate me so they can all go to hell

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3152. Re: STEVE - KING LIAR - LIES again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78gd86hoj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129942

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:s
> John, most ISP's really don't consider complaints from you to be important.

hahahaha, tell that to your Hero's FORMER ISP in San Diego or to your FORMER supervisor where you used their internet connection before you lost your employment!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3153. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78gdauhpc1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129943

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I think it's very possible

In other words you have NOTHING to base your "THEORY" on!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3154. Re: Phil Smalley - Jack Ass or Overgrown Child? You be the judge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78gdhmhrp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129944

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> OK Einstein, tell me HOW! How am I supposed to prove to you that I am
> telling you the truth?! If two people using the exact same proxy server post
> to usenet their headers will be identical!
SNIP
> So if you can figure out how I can prove it to you then let me know and I
> see what I can do

Have the other individual come forth with his name & department, then
we may confiirm if he is legit.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3155. Re: JOHN MACKEY PROVES HIS INNOCENCE!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78gdm7$i50$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129945

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> So then you don't deny that you spew more shit than a salad shooter in a
> manure pile, or that you have nude posters of Ernest Borgnine?!?!

Yes Phil, I deny it all & ask you to present proof of your accusation.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3156. Re: Steve Still lies .... here is the proof !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78gdpc$i5q$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129946

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Why can't you just admit that you work for McDonalds, John. Or should I post
> a pic of you working the drive thru??

I like the way you do fiction so go ahead, everyone know what we see from
you are lies anyway.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3157. Re: John, Phil & Co. Ltd.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ge2i$i97$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129947

odo <odo@mail.blinx.de> wrote:
> ;-)).....including their (his/her??) virtual friends....?????

This STUPID post only proved yourself to be a virtual IDIOT!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3158. THIS GROUP IS FOR RADIO SCANNER RELATED TOPIC DISCUSSION...ALL OTHERS GO AWAY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rand.knx@noway.com
Message-ID: <36abb455.2297453@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129948

Hey, you know who you are. Please stop littering this newsgroup with your childish, off-topic nonsense.

We are here to talk about scanners and related topics.

- You are fucking this up for everyone who doesn't give a damn about your annoying little spat.

- You are all losers who have nothing better to do but perpetuate this crap.

- Get a life.

- Go away!!!

Thank you.

3159. Re: Central Scotland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: gary.mckelvie@zetnet.co.uk
Message-ID: <36adb8ec.13914964@news.zetnet.co.uk>
Source record: 129949
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"Kel" <kel@united1.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>Does anyone know any frequencies for Central Scotland (Forth Valley Area) or
>where I can get them?
>
>Thanks in advance.
>
>Kel
For what???
Gary GM7USC
--
gary.mckelvie@zetnet.co.uk
gm7usc@qsl.net ICQ 1321792
http://www.users.zetnet.co.uk/GM7USC/
http://www.qsl.net/gm7usc/
mobile 0831 644927

3160. WTB-AVANTI AV-801 SCANNER ANTENNA/HX-1500 HANDHELD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124195728.29955.00002446@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 129950

LOOKING FOR A NEW AV-801 SCANNER ANTENNA.......ALSO LOOKING FOR A REGENCY HX1500 SCANNER WITH DROP IN CHARGER.......KEVIN

3161. Re: medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78gfnmhjp0@207.18.224.123>
Source record: 129951

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 23:10:28 GMT, ka0ssf@hotmail.com wrote:

>All this talk about my medictions causes me to spew. Although
>my meds have not been stabilized all the way, I AM TAKING MY
>MEDICTIONS. The mean remarks that have been made are just
>that, mean-spirited, and I will continue to complain to any
>ISP that those messages come from. Even AOL, which everyone knows
>is not a real ISP.
>
Then please John, stabilize your meds.

>Now, on to my newsgroup. I have asked usenet to make me the
>moderator of this newsgroup, in light of my history in cleaning
>up the newsgroup, and running off Bill Cheeks. If you have a
>problem with this, TOUGH! I will be the ruler of this newsgroup,
>and as long as you don't cross me, I will let you stay here.
>
Uh, John, I don't think so. You cannot have anyone appoint
you as ruler of the newsgroup. Other than, of course, yourself
appointing yourself into that position, which I guess you already
believe you hold.

>Any of you have to admit, that I am the best thing that ever
>came to this newsgroup, and am personally responsibble for
>thousands of posts, increasing the message count considerably.
>
Increasing the message count with off-topic messages....

>Smalldick, Bonehead Bowers, Stupid steve, and all the others,
>your time on the newsgroup is short.
>
Oh well, at least I am famous.

3162. RD-LAP Sound File?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: chainsaw@softhome.net
Message-ID: <36abc550.30805883@news.auracom.com>
Source record: 129952

Anyone have a wav file of the RD-LAP protocol?
Send to my e-mail or post here if you could.......thanks.
Would like 9600 and 19 200 baud rates.

3163. Re: Electric Company scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36ABC9C8.592D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129953

SatMan wrote:
>
> Can anyone help me find the freq. for First Electric in Arkansas?
>
> I have searched local resources (radio shack, ham clubs, etc.) but
> haven't been able to find it.
>
> I am hoping that someone out there has an FCC database.
>
> thanks!

Check out the following URLs:

The FCC Database

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

PerCon Frequency Database

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm

ScanWare Associate's FCC Frequency Retrieval System

http://www.oz.net/~gmcavoy/sw_frqsmap.html
http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html

3164. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124203739.26546.00002533@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 129954

>From: "Curtis Bidulock" <bidulock@hotmail.com>

>yah ok loser, i'm looking for intelligent responses

Well, if you had the intelligence to do a Deja News search of this newsgroup under 'BC245XLT', you would have read about the Las Vegas Consumer Electronics Convention announcement, from UNIDEN, regarding most of the features of the BC245XLT, as stated in CEI's Web Site at www.usascan.com, under the 'CEI NEWS' thumbnail link. There, you would have simply read about the BC245XLT's computer modem link for dialing up UNIDEN's database and downloading, into the BC245XLT, all the known frequencies for a particular trunk system you have requested via modem. And, if that wasn't enough information for you, you would have seen my personal post in this newsgroup wondering if we can trust UNIDEN's database, and rely on it as being up-to-date for programming our future BC245XLT's.

You can find a lot of information by using Deja News, you know.

talkyal

3165. Re: RS Scanner Antennas (20-014)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36ABCCDD.3FC76826@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129955
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:

> How big are these things?!

Close to 6 feet tall, radials are a tad longer than the tallest vertical element. Pretty big honkers.. But for the price, what the heck..

Jon

3166. Looking for Antenna splitter no loss?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: foxnewz@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990124204623.01140.00002483@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 129956

Looking for Antenna splitter no loss?
I am looking for two antenna splitters

One for a 800 mhz antenna
One for an all band scanner antenna

They both will be for monitoring only and split 2 ways

Also if anyone has any suggestions for the antennas please let me know...I am looking for an 800 and an all band

Thanks

3167. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36ABCDAC.6E2052E8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jeff wrote:

> the 235 has military air-band?

No it doesn't. I posted my reply thinking of the 895 rather
than the 235. Brain cramp I guess. My mistake, sorry for
the confusion.

Jon

3168. ATTTENTION: John Mackey, Peter Boe, Pete Smalley, and friends

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbrambo@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78gnccors1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129958

Take your personal fued somewhere else. We could care less. This is a SCANNER group not a "who got who fired and kicked off by their provider" group. Settle it in a bar fight or something. Just keep your crap off here. We dont care.

"WHERE'S THAT BIG CB RAMBO?"
"I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3169. Re: Central Scotland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Lake <andy.lake@bt.com>
Message-ID: <36ABCFF9.4772@bt.com>
Source record: 129959
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Kel wrote:
>
>Does anyone know any frequencies for Central Scotland (Forth Valley Area) or
>where I can get them?

Jim helpfully replied:
>
>Ask in alt.radio.scanner.uk

Kel wrote:
>
> Now what was the point of that message, my original message was posted in
> alt.radio.scanner.uk, as you will have seen and I would not have thought of
> it being off topic in the other NG's it was posted to. Why do some people
> seem to think they can order everyone around like the Gestapo. If you can't
> reply to a question someone post's in an NG, then you should refrain from
> comment.

Paying particular attention to the original post, I see:-
"Newsgroups:alt.radio.scanner, rec.radio.scanner, uk.radio.amateur"

Why do some people not look before they leap?
Cross-posted, indeed, now, to alt.radio.scanner.uk.
Jim, I reckon you are due a "Thank-You" from someone...

73
Andy

3170. Re: Transmitt To McDonalds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36ABC14C.753E9797@flash.net>
Source record: 129960

Just get a Motorola Spectra or MTX9000 or a converted Maxtrac They work great. I can order food from my house which is half a mile away using a Talkaround channel on the Geneva Avenue store in Daly City/San Francisco. Now I need to have someone go there with a camcorder and record the action when no one is there to pick up these orders
I also use these radios for my repeater on 927.625/902.625 located in Hayward
Rabidfool wrote:

> I was wondering if it was possible to transmitt on the McDonalds drive through
> headsets? I asked around before and I think someone told me to get a ...i
> think....blue dot transcevier from rs? would that work?
> -rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
> -rabidfool@yahoo.com
> -rabidfool@hotmail.com
> -rabidfool@ignmail.com

3171. Blake Bowers forging messages!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78gnrr$p6j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services,alt.radio.scanner

Subject: flake
Blake Bowers has been caught RED HANDED posting forged/fake messages
in the NG of REC.RADIO.SCANNER. In this situation he posted messages
under the FAKE ID of "ka0ssf@hotmail.com" thru the thru the dejanews
posting service. The header of that message is immediately below.
Notice the "X-Http-Proxy:" IP address on the first message & the
"NNTP-Posting-Host:" IP address of the second post are an
EXACT MATCH!!! Also, the "creation date" of the 1st post & the
"date" of the 2nd post are within 19 minutes of each other.

PROOF POSITIVE, Blake Bowers was caught posting fake messages
in an attempt to use false ID's in order to harass ME (FCC amateur
callsign: KA0SSF) & to deceive users of the internet & other
online services accessing the usenet. (Interesting note, Blake
has attempted to use a e-mail address assigned to me in the past
claiming it was his)

Author: ka0ssf <ka0ssf@hotmail.com>
Date: 1999/01/24
Forum: rec.radio.scanner
Posted on: 1999/01/24
Organization: Deja News - The Leader in Internet Discussion
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 24 21:33:50 1999 GMT
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x9.dejanews.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.18.224.93

From: bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers)
Newsgroups: misc.emerg-services, alt.firefighters
Subject: Re: IAFF endorses Gore
Date: 24 Jan 1999 21:12:24 GMT
Message-ID: <78g2bo$l1a$0@207.18.224.93>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.18.224.93

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3172. Re: Central Scotland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Lake <andy.lake@bt.com>
Message-ID: <36ABD396.4F30@bt.com>
Source record: 129962
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner.uk

Andy Lake wrote:
>
> Kel wrote:
> >
> >Does anyone know any frequencies for Central Scotland (Forth Valley Area) or
> >where I can get them?
>
> Jim helpfully replied:
> >
> >Ask in alt.radio.scanner.uk
>
> Kel wrote:
> >
> > Now what was the point of that message, my original message was posted in
> > alt.radio.scanner.uk, as you will have seen and I would not have thought of
> > it being off topic in the other NG's it was posted to. Why do some people
> > seem to think they can order everyone around like the Gestapo. If you can't
> > reply to a question someone post's in an NG, then you should refrain from
> > comment.
>
> Paying particular attention to the original post, I see:-
> "Newsgroups:alt.radio.scanner, rec.radio.scanner, uk.radio.amateur"
>
> Why do some people not look before they leap?
> Cross-posted, indeed, now, to alt.radio.scanner.uk.
> Jim, I reckon you are due a "Thank-You" from someone...
>
> 73
> Andy

Should be REC.radio.scanner.uk, not ALT. Sorted.

3173. Re: Central Scotland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Lake <andy.lake@bt.com>
Message-ID: <36ABD599.7A68@bt.com>
Source record: 129963
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner.uk

> Should be REC.radio.scanner.uk, not ALT. Sorted.

Neither of which exist on my server!
It is, indeed, ALT!
Sorry!
I blame a long night-shift and an Intranet (i.e. without the naughty
bits) News server .....

Andy

3174. Re: Atlanta - Marietta GA frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36ABDC6B.46FA@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129964
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

michael wrote:
>
> Thanks,
> michael

Check out the following URL:

http://www.mindspring.com/~mm505/index2.htm
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/ga.html
http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/ga.html

3175. Re: mcdonalds 900 band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36ABE36E.18DF@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129965
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

john mcclure wrote:
>
> try 154.600 154.570 or 35.020
> there could be others

The 3M (sometimes marked as GM) headsets operate in the
902 - 928 Mhz ISM band in Wide FM mode.

ISM Band 902 - 928 Mhz (3M and GM Style headsets)

920.0000 - 922.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Speaker)
903.0000 - 905.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Headset)

A complete list of Fast Food frequencies can be viewed at:

http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/fastfood.txt

3176. Re: Wanted Fire Pager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospamrlbishop@txcyber.com
Message-ID: <36abe4d0.13860209@news.txcyber.com>
Source record: 129966

Check with Command Communications 409-696-4021

3177. Re: Blake Bowers forging messages!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78gq7f$ak5$0@207.18.224.237>
Source record: 129967

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 03:19:30 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Subject: flake
>Blake Bowers has been caught RED HANDED posting forged/fake messages

1. John, what are you dribbling about?

2. Why are you posting it to other newsgroups, including ones that I
do not even subscribe to?

It is amazing what lengths you will go in order to try to frame
people.

I simply ask you to prove a few things that you claim, after you
post that you will prove anything you claim, and now you
start trying to frame me?

You should be ashamed.

3178. John caught in MORE lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78gqs0$cl4$0@207.18.224.237>
Source record: 129968

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 03:19:30 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

((All of Johns attempts to spread his hate, discontent, and lies to
other newsgroups through crossposts deleted))

>Blake Bowers has been caught RED HANDED posting forged/fake messages

While this is obviously a lie, look at what was in the post John. Why
would anyone forge such a post?

Secondly, you stated that Dejanews was so secure, so it could not
be so!

>online services accessing the usenet. (Interesting note, Blake
>has attempted to use a e-mail address assigned to me in the past
>claiming it was his)

And another filthy lie from Johnny. When have I tried to use
your email address? Who would want to?

Ya friggin loon. Take your meds, or at least get them stabilized!

3179. Programming Trunk Groups on BC235XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36abcae0.143392126@news.value.net>
Source record: 129969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I feel really stupid now. Why does my 235 scan the banks I have set
for Trunk Tracking when in normal scan mode?

I can't find anything about this in the manual or online!

Am I supposed to lock out every freq. in my trunking banks?

Thanks,
Mike

3180. Post the Proof Johnny Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78gr1v$cl4$1@207.18.224.237>
Source record: 129970

As you have stated, you are willing to prove anything you say...

Provide proof what degree you have, what Motorola schools you
have attended, and what experience you have in the field
of professional two way radio.

And post your girlfriends names, addresses, and phone numbers,
or just their name, and escort agency they work for.

3181. Sorry Blake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0ssf_mackey@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78gvo0ufm1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129971

Blake I am sorry for all the lies I have told about you. When I am not taking my medications I just seem to go nuts! I am really sorry and hope you and the newsgroup will accept my apolgy.

I am a liar. I can't help it.

The voices, they just won't go away.

Help me!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3182. Re: WinFLEX version 0.4 released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ccreeper@inetnow.net
Message-ID: <36abe51e.914462@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 129972
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager

"beepman" <beepman@geocities.com> wrote:

Hey great feature enhancements, it keeps gettin' better and better.
Love the protocol specifier. Keep up the great work on it!

Creeper

>WinFLEX is a Windows application that allows you to monitor paging system
>messages using a radio scanner and data slicer circuit. This is still a
>BETA version and is not yet complete. A few features have been added since
>version 0.3 and more features will be added over the next few months. A
>Microsoft Word user's guide has been added to the zip file for detailed
>feature descriptions.
>
>The WinFLEX web site is...
>
>http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/9339/
>
>Check it out.
>
>beepman

3183. Re: John Mackey POSTING AS 'NEWBIE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0ssf_mackey@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78h1omvsi1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129973

In article <nmAq2.5555$DB1.5566@news.ntr.net>,
"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>
> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78e3ovnu1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >
> >I only responded to ask who you were talking to, or do you have invisible
> >friends that only you can see?
> >
>
> No John the Liar, you are still the only one on here with invisible friends.

You are correct Steve I am a liar.

> Please, get back on your MEDS or at least call your doctor and tell him what
> is going on again.

I know I need to stay on my meds but it is so difficult with all the voices in my head fighting me. My doctor has suggested I do a in patient admission but the voices just won't let me.

Please forgive me when I get that way. My Dad hates me. My mom hates me. My life sucks really bad. Please help me.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3184. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!(handheld with interface?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis Bidulock <bidulock@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <HCSq2.191$_Y2.430@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 129974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking for a scanner with a computer interface but it must be a
handheld. Also if possibe trunktrack capabilities or one that will work with
etrunk 3rd part software. RS or bearcat preferred otherwise something else
is fine. If anyone can make a suggestion let me know.
Jon S. Van Allen <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:36ABCDAC.6E2052E8@worldnet.att.net...
>
>
>Jeff wrote:
>
>> the 235 has military air-band?
>
>No it doesn't. I posted my reply thinking of the 895 rather
>than the 235. Brain cramp I guess. My mistake, sorry for
>the confusion.
>
>Jon
>
>

3185. BC895 discriminator tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John McElroy <jjm@mcs.net>
Message-ID: <01be481e$b07db640$822803c7@jjm.pr.mcs.net>
Source record: 129975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

When tapping the discriminator on a BC895 using Greg Knox's tap point, as described at
http://www.geocities.com/Area51/Hollow/4346/2003_bc895_tap.html
is it necessary to use a capacitor to avoid the possibility of frying the scanner's discriminator? I'm under the impression that this tap point has the same general electrical characteristics as at pin 9 of the MC13371 chip, such that I should attach the positive leg of a 2.2uF tantalum capacitor to the tap point and connect the other leg to the center of a female RCA jack. I've tried this set-up (with the appropriate grounding) using both 1uF and 10uF tantalum capacitors and have not gotten a usable signal.

Can someone who has successfully tapped the discriminator at this particular point please make some specific suggestions about what components should be used.

Thanks
John

3186. Re: Coast Guard VHF frequ needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990125001214.09870.00002256@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 129976

>Primary ones are 157.05, 157.100, and 157.150, and 156.800.
>
>But CG can and does pop up anywhere, most of their comms are
>encrypted when not talking to 'citizens'.
>

Such bullshit. The frequencies are correct, but the USCG rarely uses encrypted voice comms except on UHF and HF. I listen to Group St. Petersburg and Air Station Clearwater all day long and in three years I've never heard them encrypt traffic on VHF marine freqs. The vast majority of secure comms are sent via SATCOM and encrypted fax, with some digital cellphones used for near shore communications.
There are VHF freqs in the 173.000 range designated for law enforcement, intelligence and such and these are encrypted frequently.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

3187. PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <78h30cmr1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129977

I am looking for any defunct pro-43's! I need them mostly for the casing and screws, the one I have works if I duct tape it all together, and would like to remedy that problem, it also has a blowen speaker and cracked case, so if you can help with a more reasonable price than radio shit wants, please e-mail me.

IceBerg
iceberg@nxco.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3188. Build it and they will come

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: iceberg@nxco.com
Message-ID: <78h37a$p3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129978

Can anyone direct me towards some decent pages on building transceivers and repeters from commonly available parts fro say digi-key and mouser? I have a basic knowledge of electronics, and can easily build items from a schematic and understand the basic princaple of it's wrking, but would like to find something that explains whats going on in detail so I can learn how it works, and not just build it.

Thanks
IceBerg
iceberg@nxco.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3189. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990125001657.09870.00002261@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 129979

>From: jmackey@usa.net
>Date: 01-24-1999 15:23 EST
>
>This from someone who used to be a major cause of the flames here & claimed
>he
>knew who my boss was!

As far as I know, I've never been a "major cause of the flames here," and I've never claimed to know who your boss is. Hell, I don't even know who YOU are, except from what has been said about you on this newsgroup. I don't know, and even more, I don't care. You wanna get into a pissing contest with me, fine. Do it e-mail and don't clutter the newsgroup. I will not respond to any further postings here.

JW

3190. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990125002551.09870.00002266@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 129980

>From: "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet>
>Date: 01-24-1999 11:48 EST
>
>Hey JT, seems you should follow your own advise.

You're right, I should have responded privately. And I would've responded privately to this one, too, but it seems you use a bogus e-mail address. I did respond publicly to one Mackey msg, but I put him on notice that I will not respond to any further public postings from him.

JW

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

3191. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: robd@vic.ozland.net.au
Message-ID: <MPG.1116ab6de6f7f83c989680@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
Source record: 129981

In article <36ABABAC.DA3055AF@aol.com>, scanner@aol.com says...
> JTWard01 wrote:
> >
> > Who cares if John Mackey lies?
> > Who cares if Phil Smalley lies?
> > Who cares if Bill Cheeks lies?
> > Who cares if any of the rest of the folks embroiled in this bullshit lies?
> >
> > NO ONE CARES!
> >
> > They are all the same, just different sides of the same coin. They won't take
> > the feud to private e-mail 'cause they like seeing their names out there for
> > everyone to see. Except for Bill, who has published some books and had decent
> > success as a writer, this, for most of them, is their only claim to fame.
> > Besides, here they can sit at their keyboards, thousands of miles apart, and
> > safely call each other names, but put them all in a room and they'd be too
> > chickenshit so say "boo" to one another.
> > If brains were dynamite you couldn't gather enough from the whole group to
> > blow your nose.
> >
> > JW
>
> WHO CARES WHAT YOU THINK???!!!
>
I Concur

3192. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Lin <j.lin@computer.org>
Message-ID: <36AC04FB.1C28CB35@computer.org>
Source record: 129982

Jack wrote:
> Scan from 138.-144.MHz AM and 225.-400.MHz AM
> You will have a blast!

Ok, so does anyone have a recommendation for a good handheld that'll do this? Haven't been able to find one so far (although I haven't been looking long). I've got a 235xlt right now, and it doesn't do 225-400 MHz. As a new private pilot, the air stuff is a bit more interesting to me than trunking right now :)
Jim
--
James C. Lin
<j.lin at computer dot org>

3193. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Lin <j.lin@computer.org>
Message-ID: <36AC073F.7CFA87A4@computer.org>
Source record: 129983

I sent without thinking:
> Ok, so does anyone have a recommendation for a good
> handheld that'll do this? Haven't been able to find
> one so far (although I haven't been looking long). I've

Oops, I meant I can't find any scanner with the 225-400 MHz range other than Sporty's JD-100. Nothing against the JD-100, I'm just interested in all my options before I make a decision.
Jim

3194. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Lin <j.lin@computer.org>
Message-ID: <36AC074F.532CCE05@computer.org>
Source record: 129984

I sent without thinking:
> Ok, so does anyone have a recommendation for a good
> handheld that'll do this? Haven't been able to find
> one so far (although I haven't been looking long). I've

Oops, I meant I can't find any scanner with the 225-400 MHz range other than Sporty's JD-100. Nothing against the JD-100, I'm just interested in all my options before I make a decision.
Jim

3195. Re: VHF Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78h0k4$p77$0@207.18.224.237>
Source record: 129985

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 23:12:37 -0500, "Charlie Brookhart"
<cbrookha@erols.com> wrote:

>I am considering getting a VHF radio and I wanted to get some information on
>a few things. It is my understanding that currently there is no requirement
>for licensing unless it is being used for commercial purposes. Is there a
>possibility that this licensing rule would be changed in the future? Can
>anybody recommend a good brand? Can the new motorola talkabout radios be
>used for marine VHF communications?
>
Just a bit confused here, are you talking about a VHF radio for marine
use?

And, the talkabouts are UHF, not VHF.

3196. Re: COLES frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Van Baast <vanbaast@NOSPAMacay.com.au>
Message-ID: <36AC8CE4.64F6@NOSPAMacay.com.au>
Source record: 130033
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> My local Woolworths store uses Uniden Sundowner UHF CBs.
>
> Barry

I have a funny feeling these are not stock, they seem to sit out of the
UHF CB band as i walked in to a woolies one day with an identical unit
and could not key them up on any channel..
--
+=========================+
I Michael Van Baast I
I Remove NOSPAM from the I
I E-mail address to reply I
+=========================+

3197. Please HELP me and LOVE me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0ssf_john_mackey@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78gcdf$h8o$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130034

I am so sorry you guys. There is no way I can ever make up for all the grief that I have caused. You have no reason to believe a LIAR like me but I am going to be truthful wiyh you guys.

I do have some medical problems. My shrink says it is because of the sexual abuse as a child. My Father hated me because I was such a puss. He used to let our toy poodle rape me. He also made me watch Mom and him have sex. OK, he didn't make me, I liked to watch. Mom is really a hot number. I was lucky she let me breat feed until I was eighteen. Sometimes when I am having sex with my little sister I prentend it is Mom and it makes sex so much better.

All I ask is you folks forgive me for all I have done. When I am not on my medication I just have a lot of problems. It has gotten so bad McDonalds might not allow me to clean their parking lot anymore. Well screw them, I don't care. If the petting zoo took care of their animals they would run free and have gotten lost by the garbage bins. The goat REALLY DID unzip my pants. THe goat really did corner me and ram its butt onto my penis! Really!

I hope Phil, Steve, Blake and the rest can forgive me. I love you all, really. More than you can imagine. I hope someday I can show you all in person. Together alone. Just the two of us with me doing EVERYTHING you ask to earn your forgiveness. I WILL do anything. Dad showed me all sorts of thing you can do to people you love.

Please guys, just let me love you.

With all my LOVE, John Mackey - KA0SSF

You know this is really me too because Dejanews is secure and prevents forgery.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3198. Re: Newbie Request: Please Shut Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78gchihau1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 130035

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> John Mackey is a poor soul, who has not been able to stay on his
> medication. When he is on his meds, he posts a few on-topic
> posts, but that is rare. More often, he is just a poor soul
> who lashes out at people.

Blake - You bring new meaning to the old saying:

"We project to others the most seriously deep rooted flaws we
see in ourselves".

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3199. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78lbse$m5$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129636

Radioman wrote in message <78j92peuu1@remarQ.com>...
>The facts are that I was going to a call that was dispatched over the radio
>and one of the officers heard the radio and asked what it was and I told
him
>it was a radio.He took the radio and keyed it up and was talking to the
>dispatcher on it.The W.C. told the officer to take the radio and he did.
OK lets stop right there. First, let me state that my intent is not to discredit your claims, and that I'm not taking sides, OK? However I see some really big problems that you're gonna run into on this case. Believe it or not, now that I know WHY you were questioned by the police in the first place sheds some serious light on why they did what they did to you.

#1 You were traveling to a radio call with a radio that is capable of not only receiving their frequencies (a crime in some states) but was also capable of broadcasting on those same frequencies without an FCC license and permission from the department authorizing you to do so. My question is what were you thinking?? I go to calls often, but only with a scanner. Further, I use headphones when watching the incident so that the police don't catch on that I'm listening in. No offense, but that wasn't a real smart move!

Now look at it from the cop's point of view: This guy shows up with a police radio, yet he's not with the police department. It's conceivable that your intent might have been to disrupt communications so that the bad guys could get away, or warn them that they were about to get nailed. I know it sounds paranoid, but I've been accused of this using just a scanner!

>I was contacted by a Det. and asked to come down to the station and ask
some
>questions about the radio.They then searched my home and took my computers
>and radios.
Again, this makes perfect sense. If you have one radio capable of disrupting their communications, then it's likely that you have more. Even if you never use these radios in a disruptive manner, if someone broke into your house and stole them, then they most certainly would have been used to commit further crimes. By taking one to a call, you opened yourself up for all sorts of trouble, believe me.

>They then contacted Motorola and they few from FL. to CA to look
>at my computer. Motorola then sent a letter to the sheriff's Det.
concerning
>the Lab and Depot software.They didn't care about the other software on the
>computer otherwise they would have brought it up.I told you that the other
>stuff wouldn't matter.
Again, perfectly logical. In order to use ANY software, you have to be

authorized by the manufacturer. Pull out any store-bought software and read the license agreement carefully and you'll see what I mean. Here, you weren't authorized by Motorola to own that software, let alone use it, and now the police view you as this troublemaker who programs radios. Motorola is very cleverly using this intellectual property charge so that they can legally deny any responsibility for your actions. They aren't out to get you, they're just looking to protect themselves from being sued by the police department, instead putting all the blame on you.

>I guess I'll see posts about why they took the radio in the first place.I
>wasn't talking on it and it didn't violate no laws so they had no P.C. to
>take the radio in the first place. So all im concerned about is what I
>posted.

I could be mistaken, but I do believe that the FCC has enacted laws prohibiting owning transceiver equipment without first obtaining the appropriate license. If you are a licensed ham, you might be able to fight it, but if not then they pretty much have you dead to rights. I'm not a lawyer, and as far as I can tell, no one else in this thread has made such a claim. You should have your attorney research the communication laws to make sure you haven't broken any by owning these radios. If listening to cellular traffic is a crime, then who knows what other laws there are...

>I hope this clears everything up so we can get back to the facts.

It does, and it shows why this whole thing happened in the first place. The facts are: You acted foolishly by not staying away from the scene of an incident with that radio and not programming that radio for receive-only. If you had done either one, there would have been no case, you'd still have all of your equipment, and Motorola would have never known the difference. I'm sorry to say it, but you have no one to blame here but yourself.

-Phil

3200. Re: PRO-64 OWNERS MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <36ADD0B7.A018057C@pacbell.net>
Source record: 129637

try:

http://www.radioshack.com/Support/

There are several entries for the Pro-64

Dennis Lassetter wrote:

> Need a owners manual for a PRO-64 scanner. Anyone know a web site where
> I can order one? thank's
>
> {DENNIS IN GA.}ï¿½ [@ï¿½@]

3201. Site Updated : The frequency bookmark (hundreds od links)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duez Sam <McLeod1455@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <36AE370E.B7B3FF34@hotmail.com>
Source record: 129638

Please visit the Frequency Bookmark
NEW URL http://www.fortunecity.com/meltingpot/salash/186/

I thank all the persons who help me.

http://www.fortunecity.com/meltingpot/salash/186/

3202. Re: RS Scanner Antennas (20-014)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiobufff@aol.com.rfi.tvi
Message-ID: <19990126172732.18204.00003408@ng02.aol.com>
Source record: 129639

Just grabbed the last two in my county, great antenna

Radio in the Bufff
Co-Ed Naked Radio
Support your local Oscillator

Please remove<.rfi.tvi> to reply

3203. Re: Optoelectronics Test Receiver only $699

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <TDrr2.914$q_.2526@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 129640

Wow... the Xplorer is normally $1,598.00?

Bill Crocker

Dean wrote in message <78l3a9$1ip6$1@news.gate.net>...
>The Xplorer Test Receiver 14 day sale $699. Save $899.
>
>Also check out the New Mini Scout $249.
>
>

3204. Re: PRO-64 OWNERS MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joshua Carroll <jcarroll@nol.net>
Message-ID: <36AE4DE6.73497DC6@nol.net>
Source record: 129641

Go to http://www.radioshack.com and find the scanner section. The manual
is online.

Dennis Lassetter wrote:

> Need a owners manual for a PRO-64 scanner. Anyone know a web site where
> I can order one? thank's
>
> {DENNIS IN GA.}ï¿½ [@ï¿½@]

--

--
Joshua Carroll
mailto:jcarroll@nol.net

During severe weather, seconds can save lives!
Buy a NOAA Weather radio to keep you and your family ahead of the storm.
For more information on this broadcast, visit http://www.nws.noaa.gov/nwr

(Sig-file updated January 21, 1999)
--

3205. Re: Only PHREAKS Transmit To McDonalds!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: maggnum@webtel.net.au
Message-ID: <36ae4fb7.7394098@news.webtel.net.au>
Source record: 129642

On 26 Jan 1999 04:28:39 GMT, jdclampitt@aol.com (Jdclampitt) wrote:

>>From: maggnum@webtel.net.au (Dave)
>
>>>I was wondering if it was possible to transmit on the McDonalds drive
>>>through headsets? I asked around before and I think someone told me to get a
>..
>
>LIFE maybe????
>
>Geez, what kind of no life loser would want to talk to the chick at the
>McDonald's window through the headset. DEFINATELY NOT the way to get laid.
>
>She'd probably think you were stalking her and... well you get my point.
>
>If you're trying to get a number, just grow some balls and go up and ask.
>
>Next thing you know this phreak is going to want to jam on cordless fones...
>
>
At least get the adress right of the guy who posted the original
message ,I only replied to him with my knowledge of other peoples
experiences. Maybe you should get a life by not trying to stir shit

fuck you very much

3206. Re: Filter help!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <mfsr2.851$WR1.7268@nntp1.nac.net>
Source record: 129643

That's funny! You made my day, Randy. I wish I could tell you how, but if you find out, let me know.
I don't know who these two are, but there's too much of their names going around.

Paul

dolph wrote in message <36ad71fa.0@news.qnet.com>...
>Can someone tell me how to use newsgroup filters as I really don't give a
>shit if Mackey and Cheek Die!!!!!! I just want to learn about
>Scanning!
>Please Respond to e-mail dolph@qnet.com
>Any responses appreciated!
>Randy
>
>

3207. Re: Only PHREAKS Transmit To McDonalds!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990126183315.09408.00003238@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 129644

it was me who posted the original message, and why do losers like this hang out on newsgroups liek this. If you dont like the topic, DON TREAD THE THREAD!

3208. Re: Orem, Utah PD on 800 MHz trunked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36AE5ED7.7BA50EDE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129645

"Orem City Motorola Type II analog Frequencies:
866.225, 866.625, 866.8375, 867.0875, 867.2875, 868.2875. Trunktracker
Information: 16 - Link to UHP Statewide, 4816 - PD Dispatch, 4848 -
PD Ch.2, 4880 - PD Ch.3, 4944 - Fire Linked to 154.145"

The site I found it at was: http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/ut.html
This guy has it on his web-page but www.trunktracker.com/ doesn't...go
figure

"Jon S. Van Allen" wrote:

> Hi all,
>
> This morning at around 0800, the Orem PD had a helluva chase going
> with a stolen car, the thief was shooting at the cops and then carjacked
>
> another car when the first one blew it's radiator. It was an action
> packed
> chase, that's for sure.
>
> If anyone can't hear Orem PD trunked system because the published
> freqs are incomplete, let me know because I found the rest of the freqs
> in use including the data channel. Signal strength is excellent, I hear
> them
> fine on my Pro-90 (even in my basement) with stock rubber ducky at my
> house 30 miles north of Orem.
>
> Regards,
> Jon

3209. POCSAG TX MODEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: ham <racd@racd.net>
Message-ID: <36AE3B62.3BAA@racd.net>
Source record: 129646

Hello,

Iam looking for a pocsag TX modem to transmit pocsag messages from PC to
pager and with help of a VHF transmitter.
Is there anybody having some diagrams ?

--
==========
NOSPAM PLEASE REMOVE XXX TO SEND REMAIL NOSPAM

3210. Re: Only PHREAKS Transmit To McDonalds!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78lniq$4bc$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129647

Dave wrote in message <36ae4fb7.7394098@news.webtel.net.au>...
>On 26 Jan 1999 04:28:39 GMT, jdclampitt@aol.com (Jdclampitt) wrote:
>
> At least get the adress right of the guy who posted the original
>message ,I only replied to him with my knowledge of other peoples
>experiences. Maybe you should get a life by not trying to stir shit
>

Oh, but that's what he WANTS, just like his hero and neighbor in Portland. He wants you to get mad and reply. He suckered me into it, and look what happened - now he claims he has legal right to shoot me on sight because of that post (yeah, OK pal, whatever you say <shrug>). Can you believe it? You just can't win.

Watch, he'll either reply or send me email because heaven forbid I should have the last word. That shows what a petty jerk-of this clown is.

Just filter him and move on. You can't have a battle of wits with an unarmed person.

-Phil

3211. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@uia.net>
Message-ID: <78lv30$7jn$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129648
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well if you want to play you better be able to pay.I guess it just comes down to that.
In California there is no scanner laws(except cordless,cell and using a scanner in a crime,but that is just a enhancement to the crime that you committed).I talked to a F.C.C investigator and bottom line is if i didn't transmit and the radio was type excepted,they don't care and there is no law against having the radio.If I was to talk on it then it would have violated section 301.So they didn't care.

I have a lawyer and he has never seen a charge like this in 20 years and there is no case law on it.So we filled motion to supress.Even though radio capable to TX,there is no crime so no PC.I understand that the police might not like me to have the radio but I don't like child molesters and gangbangers.But thats life and I deal with it.So im gonna just deal with this.

I think that it was a shity why to bust me but thats ok i'll live.Thanks for the replys and i'll tell you guys what comes of this mess.
Next time no TX in radios and just listen.

radioman
>I was arrested about a year ago and now I'm being charged with California
>Penal Code 499c "Trade secrets-theft of."
>

3212. Re: RS Scanner Antennas (20-014)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Augelli <someplace@anywhere.com>
Message-ID: <78m0sp$sf3$1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129649
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

hurst_m@hotmail.com wrote in message <78j9vv$p6v$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>The RS 20-014 groundplane is BIG! The vertical element is about 7 ft high
and
>the horizontal radials are about 6 to 7 ft each, making the total diameter
the
>base about 13 ft. This antenna works well, particularly in the VHF low
band,
>and is worth putting up with the huge size. Too bad RS has discontinued
it.
>

How do theses beasts do on 800Mhz?.....I used to own one way back when, But the 800 band then was in its infancy.

3213. Re: TESLA everybody knows *that*!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Perry Locke <cpl@pe.net>
Message-ID: <36AE83DA.52678CB5@pe.net>
Source record: 129650
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,sci.engr.television.advanced,rec.radio.cb

Lets not leave out Natan B. Stubblefield, who demonstrated wireless transmission across the town square in Murray Kentucky prior to Marconi as well.

Scotty wrote:

> Matthew Davies <darkstars@earthlink.net> wrote in article
> <77ruq7$4cd$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >
> > Trust me, my mother the pidgeon told me so.
> >
> > Matthew
>
> Agreed.
>
> Nikola Tesla was the very first, by almost a decade, to invent radio.
> Initially, he used multi-frequency magnetic oscillators to remote-control
> motorized, battery-powered boats. This was in the 1890's! He also
> invented the wireless telegraph, so he invented radio communication.
>
> Marconi was NOT the inventor. He was a developer who got sponsors on-board
> and popularized the technology. Several years ago, the United Nations, at
> the behest of Tesla's surviving family members, issued a statement to the
> effect that Tesla, Not Marconi, was responsible for the invention of radio.
>
>
> Among the great inventors other achievements: Alternating Current, and
> Flourescent Lighting.
>
> --ScottyM
> scottymatthewsNOSPAM@usa.net

3214. Re: Filter help!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <36AE7AA0.60E2DD17@INVALID.com>
Source record: 129651

dolph wrote:

> Can someone tell me how to use newsgroup filters as I really don't give a
> -----
> Any responses appreciated!
> Randy

Step 1: Read the subject line before you click on the message.

Step 2: If the subject line indicates the message is about flames or
other
subjects you're not interested in, move on to the next
message subject
line.

Step 3: Repeat.

You're welcome.

3215. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlackjackElliot@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15798-36AE79D9-116@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 129652

As most scanner geeks do not know.... to get your scanner to transmit you'll need an antenna splitter (available at most cutlery shops) in order to compensate for the signal to wave ratio indifference. If you can not find a signal splitter at your local Cutlery R Us store, you can get the same effect simply by cutting your anntenna at the 1/2 way point. Keep in mind that transmitters do not use squelch, so be sure to remove your squelch components from inside the scanner being extremely careful not to inadvertantly touch any of the other compoments inside. Also make sure the squelch is turned all the way to the silent postition while performing this task. Most importanly of all.... be sure your scanner is in the ON position during this delicate but yet whorthwhile (to the rest of us) undertaking. One last thing of most importantce.... remember to transmit on your scanner is the opposite of which it was intended to do, so it is a must that you ververse polarity, easily accomplished by cutting your red and black wires in the battery compartment and reverversing them and then resoldering them in place of one another.

Let us know how far you are able to get out when you complete this, I'm sure the rest of us are anxious to know.

Blackjack Elliot
Las Vegas, NV

Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
www.BlackjackElliot.com

3216. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@uia.net>
Message-ID: <78m2bocmp1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129653
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well if you want to play you better be able to pay.I guess it just comes down to that.
In California there is no scanner laws(except cordless,cell and using a scanner in a crime,but that is just a enhancement to the crime that you committed).I talked to a F.C.C investigator and bottom line is if i didn't transmit and the radio was type excepted,they don't care and there is no law against having the radio.If I was to talk on it then it would have violated section 301.So they didn't care.

I have a lawyer and he has never seen a charge like this in 20 years and there is no case law on it.So we filled motion to supress.Even though radio capable to TX,there is no crime so no PC.I understand that the police might not like me to have the radio but I don't like child molesters and gangbangers.But thats life and I deal with it.So im gonna just deal with this.

I think that it was a shity why to bust me but thats ok i'll live.Thanks for the replys and i'll tell you guys what comes of this mess.
Next time no TX in radios and just listen.

radioman
>I was arrested about a year ago and now I'm being charged with California
>Penal Code 499c "Trade secrets-theft of."
>

3217. Re: Filter help!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <78m2ua$d9t$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129654

If you have MS Outlook Express, click "tools" then "NewsGroup Filters" then "Add" and then fill in the blanks.

Paul wrote in message ...
>That's funny! You made my day, Randy. I wish I could tell you how, but if
>you find out, let me know.
>I don't know who these two are, but there's too much of their names going
>around.
>
>
>Paul
>
>
>dolph wrote in message <36ad71fa.0@news.qnet.com>...
>>Can someone tell me how to use newsgroup filters as I really don't give a
>>shit if Mackey and Cheek Die!!!!!! I just want to learn about
>>Scanning!
>>Please Respond to e-mail dolph@qnet.com
>>Any responses appreciated!
>>Randy
>>
>>
>
>

3218. Re: CLA for 235xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <36AE928B.140C5357@fnal.gov>
Source record: 129655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:

> Isn't the post about 1/8" too long? I looked at this one during my
> lunch break and even though it fit the hole it stuck out too far.
>
> Mike
>
> On 25 Jan 1999 17:23:18 GMT, "Jon S. Van Allen"
> <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >I use the 270-031 cord for my Pro-90, which is actually a radar
> >detector cord, but it fits the BC-235/Pro-90, has the proper
> >1.3mm plug.
> >
> >Jon

I sticks out some, but it works fine. I haven't tried to full charge a
battery (I don't want to leave it plugged in for 15 hours), but it works
with or without a battery.

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov
BC100XLT
BC235XLT
Pro-2038
Pro-90 (waiting for it to arrive in the mail)

3219. Re: FS RS Pro-90 W/Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: JLP001@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15642-36AE8708-41@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 129656

Wow!!!! That sounds like a great deal!!!!

3220. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dale@urs2.net
Message-ID: <36AE95A2.F37B2278@urs2.net>
Source record: 129657
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If "M" has you in their sights, you are TOAST. There is a guy in L.A., his name is not important, but I'll call him Harold ????. He got busted by "M" for just about the same thing. He lost EVERYTHING. His father died of a heart attack fighting this and his mother lost her paid for house, the furniture, the bank accounts, all shop equipment, radios, car, everything, everything, everything!!!!!!!

The criminal charges are one thing, but the civil case broke him. It's not worth it. I think that your best bet is to make a deal, don't fight it and maybe they ("M") will leave you the shirt on your back.

Good Luck.

Radioman wrote:

> I was arrested about a year ago and now I'm being charged with California
> Penal Code 499c "Trade secrets-theft of."
>
> I'm alleged to have in my possession the following items.
> Astro Depot tool ver.03.04.00
> MTSX Depot tool ver.02.00.01
> Spectra Lab tool ver.05.03.00
> STX Labtool
> System Key Generator
> System Watch Codeplug Generator-FTR ver.02.00
>
> Well it seems that Motorola really doesn't like people having these items
> and wrote a letter stating this fact to a Sheriff's Dept.They say in the
> letter that "All of the items above are not for sell to the general
> public.Depot Tools and Labtools are product development and service
> aids,which are not given away or issued to anyone with the exception of
> Motorola-owned services facilities.All of these items should be considered
> proprietary to motorola.They contain trade secrets and are copyrighted."
>
> There as you can tell some part of the story missing but it doesn't really
> matter.It just matters about this section.After the case is over I will tell
> you how I got arrested.
>
> Well fellow trunkers and who ever else lets help me get a "NOT GUILTY" with
> my case so I DON"T have to go to state prison.
> This is the section that they are trying to nail me on.
> 499c. (a) As used in this section:
> 1) "Access" means to approach, a way or means of approaching,nearing,
> admittance to, including to instruct, communicate with,store information in,

> or retrieve information from a computer system or computer network.
> (2) "Article" means any object, material, device, or substance or copy
> thereof, including any writing, record, recording, drawing, sample,
> specimen, prototype, model, photograph, micro-organism,blueprint, map, or
> tangible representation of a computer program or information, including both
> human and computer readable informationand information while in transit.
> (3) "Benefit" means gain or advantage, or anything regarded by
> thebeneficiary as gain or advantage, including benefit to any other person
> or entity in whose welfare he or she is interested.
> (4) "Computer system" means a machine or collection of machines,one or more
> of which contain computer programs and information, that performs functions,
> including, but not limited to, logic, arithmetic,information storage and
> retrieval, communications, and control.
> (5) "Computer network" means an interconnection of two or more computer
> systems.
> (6) "Computer program" means an ordered set of instructions or statements,
> and related information that, when automatically executedin actual or
> modified form in a computer system, causes it to perform specified
> functions.
> (7) "Copy" means any facsimile, replica, photograph or other reproduction of
> an article, and any note, drawing or sketch made ofor from an article.
> (8) "Representing" means describing, depicting, containing, constituting,
> reflecting or recording.
> (9) "Trade secret" means information, including a formula, pattern,
> compilation, program, device, method, technique, or process, that:
> (A) Derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being
> generally known to the public or to other persons who can obtain economic
> value from its disclosure or use; and
> (B) Is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to
> maintain its secrecy.
> (b) Every person is guilty of theft who, with intent to deprive or withhold
> the control of a trade secret from its owner, or with an intent to
> appropriate a trade secret to his or her own use or to the use of another,
> does any of the following:
>
> (1) Steals, takes, carries away, or uses without authorization, a trade
> secret.
> (2) Fraudulently appropriates any article representing a trade secret
> entrusted to him or her.
> (3) Having unlawfully obtained access to the article, without authority
> makes or causes to be made a copy of any articlerepresenting a trade secret.
> (4) Having obtained access to the article through a relationship of trust
> and confidence, without authority and in breach of the obligations created
> by that relationship, makes or causes to be made, directly from and in the
> presence of the article, a copy of any article representing a trade secret.
> (c) Every person who promises, offers or gives, or conspires to promise or
> offer to give, to any present or former agent, employee orservant of
> another, a benefit as an inducement, bribe or reward for conveying,
> delivering or otherwise making available an articlerepresenting a trade
> secret owned by his or her present or former principal, employer or master,

> to any person not authorized by the owner to receive or acquire the trade
> secret and every present or former agent, employee, or servant, who
> solicits, accepts, receives or takes a benefit as an inducement, bribe or
> reward for conveying, delivering or otherwise making available an article
> representing a trade secret owned by his or her present or former principal,
> employer or master, to any person not authorized by the owner to receive or
> acquire the trade secret, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state
> prison, or in a county jail not exceeding one year, or by a fine not
> exceeding five thousand dollars ($5,000),or by both that fine and
> imprisonment.
>
> (d) In a prosecution for a violation of this section, it shall be no defense
> that the person returned or intended to return the article.
>
> radioman

3221. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Lin <j.lin@computer.org>
Message-ID: <36AE99C0.368668DD@computer.org>
Source record: 129658

Brian Varine wrote about a handheld to do mil-air:
> The BC3000XLT covers all of that plus it has 400 Channels and scans at a
> blazing 100cps. Triple Conversion, selectable attenuation, auto search
> and store, data-skip. I like it so much I have 2 of them......willing to
> trade one for a decent HF RCVR.........;)

Too bad all I've got to trade is a 235xlt :) (no scratches though!)
Everything that's been mentioned seems to be in the $300-400 range
except
the Sporty's JD-100. I get the impression that there's a quality
difference that goes along with the price difference. I guess I'll just
have to start saving...
Jim
--
James C. Lin
<j.lin at computer dot org>

3222. Mackey Exposed as LIAR Again (off topic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <wcxr2.205$BS2.692@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

Mackey you must have doctored them up. These aren't the same as the ones
that shoed up my server.

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78ltr5$ub0$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>> Show me one flame, that is also a forge, that has been proven to be
>> posted by me. One.
>
>No problem Blake! Here are the messages below, one legit & the other
>a forged flame posted by YOU as proven by your same IP address.
>(NNTP posting host/HTTP proxy 207.18.224.93) The messsages were even
>within 19 minutes of each other!
>
>
>
>**
>Subject: flake
>Author: ka0ssf <ka0ssf@hotmail.com>
>Date: 1999/01/24
>Forum: rec.radio.scanner
>Posted on: 1999/01/24
>Organization: Deja News - The Leader in Internet Discussion
>X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 24 21:33:50 1999 GMT
>X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x9.dejanews.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
>207.18.224.93
>
>From: bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers)
>Newsgroups: misc.emerg-services, alt.firefighters
>Subject: Re: IAFF endorses Gore
>Date: 24 Jan 1999 21:12:24 GMT
>Message-ID: <78g2bo$l1a$0@207.18.224.93>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.18.224.93
>
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3223. Re: I AM A REAL SICK PERSON. HELP ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <Ifxr2.206$BS2.607@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129660

John, we are trying to clean up this NG. Please refrain from you cursing or
we will be forced to contact @HOME about your violations of their TOS.

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78lst5$to6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "dolph" <dolph@qnet.com> wrote:
>> The voices are telling you to leave this group!
>
>And the voices are telling YOU to stick your head back
>in your ass where it belongs!
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

3224. Re: Arkansas Freqs? First Electric?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Harris <brianNmelody@buz.net>
Message-ID: <uqxr2.188$Jd2.1462@news13.ispnews.com>
Source record: 129661

The most reliable and comprehensive place I know of is the FCC's site. Wide variety of ways to search. Have fun!
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

Brian

SatMan wrote in message <36aa43b9.3892638@news3.newscene.com>...
>anyone out there collect freqs for Arkansas?
>
>I have been out of the scanning hobby for about 3 years....but when a
>storm blew through and knocked out the lights for 2 days....I decided
>it would be nice to have a scanner to listen to the electirc company.
>
>I would really like to have the freq. for First Electric. can anyone
>help?
>
>I would also appreciate comments (please be civil) about the pro 90.
>
>Thanks!
>
>it feels good to be back in the hobby!

3225. FCC website: Search US Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Harris <brianNmelody@buz.net>
Message-ID: <Fyxr2.189$Jd2.1643@news13.ispnews.com>
Source record: 129662

I have noticed several posts looking for frequencies here and there, so I thought I would share this link. It is the Federal Communication Commission website, and you can search for frequencies by State/County, reverse look-up (i.e. you have a frequency and you want to know who it belongs to), and several other search modes. It is my sole source now for frequency info.

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

Enjoy!

3226. Arizona Aviation Frequency list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <36AEAD6B.B1BFADD9@analon.com>
Source record: 129663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phx.general,alt.radio.scanner

```
January 26, 1999 / 11:04 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="a"&STATE="az")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 2
========== ==== ======================== ========================
40.1500ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
75.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½ATCA INC ï¿½MOBILE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
75.0000ï¿½AL ï¿½TRANS WORLD AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
108.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½MC DONNELL DOUGLAS ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
108.0500ï¿½AV ï¿½GATES LEARJET CORP ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
108.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½CUTTER AVIATION ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
108.1000ï¿½AL ï¿½TRANS WORLD AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
108.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½DOUGLAS NDB (OLS) ï¿½DOUGLAS ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
108.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FLAGSTAF (FLG) VOR CH 19 ï¿½FLAGSTAFF ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
108.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½NOGALES (OLS) VOR CH 19 ï¿½NOGALES ï¿½AZ2ï¿½SANTA CRUï¿½
108.3000ï¿½AV ï¿½PHOENIX LOCALIZER I-PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
108.3000ï¿½AV ï¿½YUMA INTL/MCAS ILS ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
108.5000ï¿½AV ï¿½TUCSON INTL ILS ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
108.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DOUGLAS VOR (DUG) ï¿½DOUGLAS/BISBEE ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
108.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½KINGMAN VOR (IGM) ï¿½KINGMAN ï¿½AZ0ï¿½MOHAVE ï¿½
108.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½CASA GRANDE LOCALIZER I-CZGï¿½CASA GRANDE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½PINAL ï¿½
110.3000ï¿½AV ï¿½INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
110.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½BUCKEYE VOR (BXK) ï¿½BUCKEYE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
111.3500ï¿½AV ï¿½ATCA INC ï¿½MOBILE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
111.5000ï¿½AV ï¿½SKY HARBOR ILS MARKER ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
111.5000ï¿½AV ï¿½PHOENIX LOCALIZER I-PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
111.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FT HUACHUCA NDB (FHU) ï¿½FT HUACHUCA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
112.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PEACH SPRINGS VOR (PGS) *ï¿½PEACH SPRINGS ï¿½AZ0ï¿½MOHAVE ï¿½
112.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ST JOHNS VOR (SIN) ï¿½ST JOHNS ï¿½AZ0ï¿½APACHE ï¿½
112.4500ï¿½AL ï¿½TRANS WORLD AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
112.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WINSLOW VOR (INW) ï¿½WINSLOW ï¿½AZ0ï¿½NAVAJO ï¿½
113.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½CHANDLER VOR (IWA) WLM GTWYï¿½CHANDLER/WILLIAMS ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
113.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GRAND CANYON (GCN) VOR ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
113.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIE/CHANDLER VOR (IWA) ï¿½CHANDLER/W AFB. ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
113.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIE (IWA) VOR CH 80 ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
114.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DRAKE (DRK) VOR CH 88 ï¿½DRAKE / PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
114.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PRESCOTT/DRAKE VOR (PRC) ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
114.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½CASA GRANDE VOR (CZG) ï¿½CASA GRANDE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½PINAL ï¿½
114.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½STANFIELD VOR (CZG) ï¿½STANFIELD/CASA GRAï¿½AZ1ï¿½PINAl ï¿½
115.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½NEEDLES VOR (EED) ï¿½NEEDLES ï¿½AZ0ï¿½MOHAVE ï¿½
115.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½SAN SIMON VOR (SSO) ï¿½SAN SIMON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
115.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX VOR (PXR) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
115.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½COCHISE VOR (CIE) ï¿½COCHISE/WILCOX ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
116.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON (TUS) VOR CH 107 ï¿½TUCSON INTL ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
116.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GILA BEND VOR (GBN) ï¿½GILA BEND ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
```

116.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½YUMA VOR (BZA) [BARD] ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
117.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON VOR (TUS) ï¿½TUCSON INTL ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
117.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PAGE (PGA) VOR ï¿½PAGE ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
117.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PARKER VOR (PKE) ï¿½PARKER ï¿½AZ0ï¿½LA PAZ ï¿½
118.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GLENDALE GROUND *ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
118.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½YUMA INTL/MCAS CLRNC 336.4ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
118.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½SPERRY CORPORATION ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
118.0500ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON /RYAN AWOS ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
118.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX CLEARANCE 269.2ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
118.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½RYAN FIELD GROUND ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
118.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON /RYAN GROUND ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
118.2500ï¿½ATC ï¿½FALCON ATIS *ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
118.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL TOWER 257.8ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
118.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL A/D 257.8ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
118.3500ï¿½ATC ï¿½GOODYEAR ATIS *ï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
118.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DEER VALLEY TOWER *ï¿½DEER VALLEY (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
118.4500ï¿½AV ï¿½USFS FIRE AIR OPS (170.5) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
118.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL A/D 318.1ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
118.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB A/D 318.1ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
118.5500ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR *ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
118.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ATIS *ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
118.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX TOWER 385.4ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
118.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½YUMA INTL/MCAS ATIS 273.2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:04 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="a"&STATE="az")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 3
========== ==== ========================= =========================
118.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GILA BEND AIR FORCE FLD *ï¿½GILA BEND ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
118.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FT HUACHUCA TOWER 229.6ï¿½FT HUACHUCA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
119.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½YUMA LAGUNA AAF RNG 248.4ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
119.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL TOWER *ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
119.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GRAND CANYON TOWER *ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
119.0250ï¿½ATC ï¿½LAKE HAVASU AWOS ï¿½LAKE HAVASU ï¿½AZ0ï¿½MOHAVE ï¿½
119.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB TOWER 289.6ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
119.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GLENDALE A/D (PHX) 379.8ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
119.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX A/D (N & E) 379.8ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
119.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FALCON A/D (PHOENIX) 379.8ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
119.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB A/D 269.6ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
119.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PINAL AIR PARK *ï¿½MARANA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½PINAL ï¿½
119.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½YUMA INTL/MCAS TOWER 382.8ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
119.6250ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 258.3ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
119.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FALCON TOWER *ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
119.7500ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX GROUND *ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
119.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½SCOTTSDALE TOWER *ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
120.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FLAGSTAF TOWER 226.3ï¿½FLAGSTAFF ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
120.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½YUMA LAGUNA AAF A/D 374.8ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
120.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½LITCHFIELD TOWER 239.3ï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
120.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LITCHFIELD/GOODYR.TWR 239.3ï¿½LITCHFIELD/GOODYEAï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
120.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GOODYEAR TOWER 239.3ï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
120.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DEER VALLEY TOWER *ï¿½DEER VALLEY (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
120.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX A/D 353.8ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½

120.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB A/D 353.8ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
120.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB A/D 301.5ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
120.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE TRACON 301.5ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
120.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB-GATEWAY 255.6ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
120.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS GATEWAY TWR *ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
120.6500ï¿½ATC ï¿½GRAND CANYON AIR-AIR ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
120.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB A/D 317.5ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
120.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX A/D N<5000' 239.0ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
120.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DEER VALLEY A/D (PHX) 239.0ï¿½DEER VALLEY (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
120.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX TOWER (SOUTH) 254.3ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GLENDALE TOWER *ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½GLENDALE, CITY OF ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½CHANDLER MUNICIPAL TWR *ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½SKY HARBOR ATIS ARRIVAL ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX ATIS Arrivial *ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FALCON FIELD GROUND *ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.3500ï¿½ATC ï¿½YUMA INTL/MCAS A/D 278.5ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
121.3500ï¿½ATC ï¿½LOS ANGELES CENTER 278.5ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
121.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB GROUND 335.8ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½SCOTTSDALE GROUND *ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½LITCHFIELD GROUND *ï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FT HUACHUCA GROUND 248.2ï¿½FT HUACHUCA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
121.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GOODYEAR GROUND *ï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PRESCOTT GROUND *ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
121.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DEER VALLEY GROUND *ï¿½DEER VALLEY (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB GROUND 275.8ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
121.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½YUMA LAGUNA AAF GND 229.4ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
121.8500ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX GROUND *ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX GROUND 254.3ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GRAND CANYON GROUND *ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
121.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FLAGSTAF GROUND *ï¿½FLAGSTAFF ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
121.9000ï¿½AV ï¿½SKY HARBOR GROUND 269.2ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
121.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½YUMA INTL/MCAS GROUND 340.2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
122.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PRESCOTT FLIGHT SERVICE ï¿½PRESCOTT/PHX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
122.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX FLIGHT WATCH ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FAA FSS FLT PLN FAST FILE ï¿½PRESCOTT/PHX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PRESCOTT RADIO 255.4ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
122.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DOUGLAS (PRESCOTT FSS) ï¿½DOUGLAS ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
122.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½DOUGLAS (PRESCOTT RADIO) ï¿½DOUGLAS/BISBEE ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:04 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="a"&STATE="az")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 4
========== ==== ======================== ========================
122.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½CASA GRANDE (CZG) UNICOM ï¿½CASA GRANDE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½PINAL ï¿½
122.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½CASA GRANDE UNICOM ï¿½CASA GRANDE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½PINAL ï¿½
122.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LAKE HAVASU UNICOM/CTAF ï¿½LAKE HAVASU ï¿½AZ0ï¿½MOHAVE ï¿½
122.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½SKYRANCH FLIGHT ASSOCIATIONï¿½CAREFREE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.7250ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRLINE TRAINING CENTER/AZ ï¿½MOBILE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.7500ï¿½AV ï¿½GRAND CANYON SAFETY NET ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
122.7500ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX EX. TMNNL UNICOM ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.7500ï¿½AV ï¿½COLORADO RIVER RAFTING ï¿½COLORADO RIVER ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½

122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½BULLHEAD CITY AIRPORT ï¿½BULLHEAD CITY ï¿½AZ0ï¿½MOHAVE ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½CHN ADVISORY FREQUENCY ï¿½STELLAR/CHNDLR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½CHN ADVISORY FREQUENCY ï¿½CHANDLER/STELLAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½CG ADVISORY FREQUENCY ï¿½CASA GRANDE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½PINAL ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FALCON FIELD UNICOM *ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PAGE MINICIPAL AIRPORT *ï¿½PAGE ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PAGE UNICOM ï¿½PAGE ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½PARKER UNICOM ï¿½PARKER ï¿½AZ0ï¿½LA PAZ ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PAYSON ADVISORY FREQUENCY *ï¿½PAYSON ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½NOGALES UNICOM ï¿½NOGALES ï¿½AZ2ï¿½SANTA CRUï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GB ADVISORY FREQUENCY *ï¿½GILA BEND ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GILA BEND AIRSTRIP *ï¿½GILA BEND ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GLENDALE AIRPT UNICOM *ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½KINGMAN UNICOM ï¿½KINGMAN ï¿½AZ3ï¿½MOHAVE ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LAKE HAVASU AIRPORT *ï¿½LAKE HAVASU ï¿½AZ0ï¿½MOHAVE ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WINDOW ROCK UNICOM ï¿½WINDOW ROCK ï¿½AZ0ï¿½NAVAJO ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WICKENBURG AIRPORT ï¿½WICKENBURG ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WINSLOW UNICOM ï¿½WINSLOW ï¿½AZ0ï¿½NAVAJO ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½SKY HARBOR AIRPORT UNICOM ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½ST JOHNS UNICOM ï¿½ST JOHNS ï¿½AZ3ï¿½APACHE ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½STELLAR AIR PARK (P19) CTAFï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½GILA BEND, TOWN OF ï¿½GILA BEND ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½CHAPARRAL AVIATION INC ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½METSGER, DONALD L ï¿½BUCKEYE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½WICKENBURG, TOWN OF ï¿½WICKENBURG ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8250ï¿½AV ï¿½WESTCOR AVIATION INC ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8500ï¿½AV ï¿½COCONINO NF CMP HELIPT ï¿½COCONINO NF ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
122.8500ï¿½AV ï¿½GRAND CANYON SAFETY NET ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
122.8500ï¿½AV ï¿½SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTï¿½TEMPE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8500ï¿½AV ï¿½CUTTER AVIATION ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8750ï¿½AV ï¿½ARIZONA WING & ROTOR INC ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.8750ï¿½AV ï¿½AMERIFLIGHT INC ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9000ï¿½AV ï¿½CIVIL AIR PATROL A/A ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9000ï¿½AV ï¿½MARICOPA SHERIFF AIR POSSE ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9000ï¿½AV ï¿½MARICOPA SHERIFF AIR POSSE ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9250ï¿½AV ï¿½TONTO NF FIRE AIR SUPPORT ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9250ï¿½AV ï¿½TONTO NF AIR FIRE FIGHTNG ï¿½TONTO NF (CENTRL Aï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½ATC ï¿½FLAGSTAFF UNICOM *ï¿½FLAGSTAFF ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½ATC ï¿½DEER VALLEY UNICOM ï¿½DEER VALLEY (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHX EXCUTIV TMNL UNICOM ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½AV ï¿½LITCHFIELD UNICOM ï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½ATC ï¿½FT HUACHUCA UNICOM ï¿½FT HUACHUCA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½AV ï¿½GRAND CANYON SAFETY NET ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½ATC ï¿½GRAND CANYON UNICOM *ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL UNICOM ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½ATC ï¿½YUMA INTL/MCAS UNICOM ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½ATC ï¿½SIERRA VISTA/FORT HUACHUCA ï¿½SIERRA VISTA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½ATC ï¿½SKY HARBOR AIRPORT UNICOM ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½AV ï¿½CORPORATE JETS ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½AV ï¿½JIMSAIR AVIATION SERVICES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½

122.9500ï¿½AV ï¿½GLENDALE UNICOM ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½AV ï¿½FALCON MESA UNICOM ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½AV ï¿½GOODYEAR UNICOM (OP BY PHX)ï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½AV ï¿½SCOTTSDALE, CITY OF ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
122.9500ï¿½AV ï¿½PHOENIX EXECUTIVE TERMINAL ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½

========== ==== ======================== ========================
122.9500ï¿½AV ï¿½PHOENIX UNICOM ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½CHANDLER, CITY OF AIRPORT ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.0000ï¿½AL ï¿½GRAND CANYON HELICOPTERS ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
123.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½LITCHFIELD UNICOM ï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½RYAN FLD UNICOM (1300-0100)ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
123.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½DOUGLAS UNICOM ï¿½DOUGLAS/BISBEE ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
123.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½CHANDLER MUNI UNICOM (P10) ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½CHANDLER MUNICIPAL TOWER *ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.0500ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR SERVICES INTERNATIONAL ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.0500ï¿½AL ï¿½GRAND CANYON HELICOPTERS ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
123.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½MARICOPA SHERIFF'S HELI ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½MARICOPA SHERIFF'S HELI ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½POLICE & MEDIA AIRCRAFT A/Aï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½PHOENIX PD & MEDIA AIRCRAFTï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½CIVIL AIR PATROL SRCH/RESCUï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½GATES LEARJET CORP ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
123.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½THUNDERBIRD AVIATION ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½MCDONNELL DOUGLAS HELICOPTEï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.2250ï¿½AV ï¿½SKY HARBOR MULTICOM ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.2500ï¿½AV ï¿½MC DONNELL DOUGLAS RADIO SVï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.3000ï¿½AL ï¿½SUNWEST (YUMA INTL/MCAS) ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
123.3000ï¿½AV ï¿½SUN WESTERN UNICOM ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
123.3500ï¿½AV ï¿½MCDONNELL DOUGLAS HELICOPTEï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.4500ï¿½AV ï¿½THUNDERBIRD AVIATION ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.4500ï¿½AV ï¿½SKY HARBOR MULTICOM ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.4500ï¿½AV ï¿½HONEYWELL INC ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.4750ï¿½AV ï¿½MC DONNELL DOUGLAS RADIO SVï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.5000ï¿½AV ï¿½PSA AIRLINE TRAINING CENTERï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½SCOTTSDALE UNICOM ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.5000ï¿½AV ï¿½CIVIL AIR PATRL (INSTRCTNL)ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.5000ï¿½AV ï¿½PHOENIX PD AIRCRAFT ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FLIGHT SERVICE ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
123.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX APP (VFR) 363.0ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
123.7500ï¿½ATC ï¿½GRAND CANYON ATIS *ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
123.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL ATIS 320.1ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
123.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FALCON FIELD GROUND *ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
124.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX A/D (W & S) 379.9ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
124.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½CHANDLER MUNI A/D(PHX)269.6ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
124.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB A/D 379.9ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
124.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS GATEWAY A/D 379.9ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
124.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½STELLAR A/D (PHX) 269.6ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
124.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GRAND CANYON A/D (LA) 343.6ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½

124.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PAGE A/D (DEN CN) 343.6ï¿½PAGE ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
124.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½SKY HARBOR ATIS DEPARTURE ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
124.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX ATIS Departure *ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
124.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL GROUND 348.6ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
124.4500ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB A/D *ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
124.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 306.2ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
124.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FALCON TOWER *ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
124.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½YUMA INTL/MCAS A/D 314.0ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
124.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½SCOTTSDALE CLEARANCE *ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
124.8500ï¿½ATC ï¿½LOS ANGELES CENTER 319.2ï¿½SELIGMAN ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
124.8500ï¿½ATC ï¿½GRAND CANYON A/D (LA) 319.2ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
124.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX APP (SE) 353.8ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
124.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB A/D 388.0ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
125.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX GROUND *ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
125.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB A/D 297.2ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
125.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½NOGALES A/D (TUC) 297.2ï¿½NOGALES ï¿½AZ2ï¿½SANTA CRUï¿½
125.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL A/D 297.2ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
125.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WINDOW RK A/D (ABQ C) 307.2ï¿½WINDOW ROCK ï¿½AZ0ï¿½NAVAJO ï¿½
125.2500ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 307.3ï¿½CHILDS PEAK, SW AZï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
125.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PRESCOTT TOWER 288.1ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
125.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½CASA GRANDE A/D (ABQ) 269.3ï¿½CASA GRANDE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½PINAL ï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:04 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="a"&STATE="az")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 6
========== ==== ======================== ========================
125.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 269.3ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
125.4500ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB APPROACH 301.5ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
125.4500ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB APPROACH ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
125.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½RYAN FIELD TOWER *ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
125.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FLAGSTAF ATIS *ï¿½FLAGSTAFF ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
125.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON /RYAN TWR ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
125.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½RYAN FIELD A/D 396.1ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
126.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB TOWER 253.5ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
126.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB TOWER 289.6ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
126.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GILA BEND AF AUX TWR 324.1ï¿½GILA BEND ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
126.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB TOWER 255.6ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
126.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½YUMA INTL/MCAS TOWER 352.5ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
126.4500ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 288.3ï¿½CHILDS PEAK, SW AZï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
126.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DEER VALLEY ATIS *ï¿½DEER VALLEY (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
126.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX FINAL APRCH 393.1ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
126.6500ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL CLRNC 326.2ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
126.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX APP (WEST) 256.9ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
126.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS GATEWAY A/D 255.6ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
127.0500ï¿½ATC ï¿½GRAND CANYON AIR-AIR ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
127.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PRESCOTT ATIS *ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
127.9500ï¿½ATC ï¿½FT HUACHUCA A/D (ABQ) 351.8ï¿½FT HUACHUCA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
127.9500ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 351.8ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
128.1250ï¿½ATC ï¿½DENVER CENTER 386.8ï¿½TUBA CITY ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
128.1500ï¿½AV ï¿½PARKER A/D (LA CN) 285.6ï¿½PARKER ï¿½AZ0ï¿½LA PAZ ï¿½
128.2500ï¿½ATC ï¿½FT HUACHUCA LIBBY OPS **ï¿½FT HUACHUCA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
128.2500ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB GROUND 275.8ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½

128.4500ï¿½ATC ï¿½PRESCOTT A/D (ALBQ CN)298.9ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
128.4500ï¿½ATC ï¿½FLAGSTAF A/D (ALBQ CN)289.9ï¿½FLAGSTAFF ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
128.4500ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 298.9ï¿½WINSLOW ï¿½AZ0ï¿½NAVAJO ï¿½
128.4500ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 298.9ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
128.4500ï¿½ATC ï¿½WINSLOW A/D (ABQ CN) 298.9ï¿½WINSLOW ï¿½AZ0ï¿½NAVAJO ï¿½
128.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½RYAN FIELD A/D *ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
128.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON /RYAN A/D 395.9ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
128.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL A/D *ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
128.6500ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX APP (NE) *ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
128.7500ï¿½ATC ï¿½PRESCOTT CTAF ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
128.8500ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR EVAC HELICOPTERS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
128.8500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
128.8750ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
128.8750ï¿½AL ï¿½CUTTER AVIATION ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
128.9000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
128.9250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) AMER WEST ï¿½MOBILE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
128.9750ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) AMER WEST ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
128.9750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST RAMP DEPARTUREï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.0000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.0250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.1250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.1500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) BA ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.1500ï¿½AL ï¿½BRITISH AIRWAYS OPS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.1750ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.2000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.2000ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICAN A/L RAMP/OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.2000ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICAN A/L RAMP/OPS TUC ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
129.2000ï¿½AL ï¿½DELTA A/L RAMP/OPS TUS ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
129.2250ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICAN A/L OPS/MNT SJC ï¿½SAN JOSE ï¿½AZ2ï¿½SANTA CLAï¿½
129.2500ï¿½AL ï¿½AIR NEVADA ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
129.2500ï¿½AL ï¿½SOUTHWEST A/L RAMP/OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.4000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) SOUTHWEST ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.4250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) UPS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.4250ï¿½AL ï¿½UPS CARGO OPS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.4750ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.5000ï¿½AL ï¿½UNITED A/L RAMP/OPS TUC ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
129.5000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:04 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="a"&STATE="az")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 7
========== ==== ======================== ========================
129.5500ï¿½AL ï¿½DELTA ATLANTA RADIO ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.5500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.5750ï¿½AL ï¿½CONTINENTAL RAMP/OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.6250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.6250ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST RAMP/OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.7000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.7750ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.8250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.8500ï¿½AL ï¿½AIR GRAND CANYON ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
129.8500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½

129.9250ï¿½AL ï¿½CONTINENTAL RAMP/OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.9250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) CONTINENTL ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
129.9750ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.0250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.1000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.1000ï¿½AL ï¿½DELTA A/L RAMP/OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.1000ï¿½AL ï¿½DELTA A/L RAMP/OPS TUS ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
130.1750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST A/L OPS GCN ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
130.1750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST RAMP/OPS TUC ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
130.1750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST RAMP/ MAINT ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.1750ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.2250ï¿½AL ï¿½TWA A/L RAMP/OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.2500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.3250ï¿½AL ï¿½TWA A/L RAMP/OPS TUC ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
130.3500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) NORTHWEST ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.3500ï¿½AL ï¿½NORTHWEST A/L RAMP/OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.4750ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½MOBILE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.5500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.5500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½SALOME ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.6250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½BUCKEYE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.6500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.7000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.7250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) AMER WEST ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.7250ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST RAMP/OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
130.9500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.0500ï¿½AL ï¿½AIR SEDONA A/L RAMP/OPS PHXï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.0500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.1500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.2000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ALASKA ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.2000ï¿½AL ï¿½ALASKA A/L RAMP/OPS TUC ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
131.2000ï¿½AL ï¿½ALASKA A/L RAMP/OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.2500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.3000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ3ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
131.4250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.4750ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.5250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.5500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.5700ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST RAMP/OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.6000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.6000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.6000ï¿½AL ï¿½SCENIC AIRLINES ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
131.6250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.7000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) (SW) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.7000ï¿½AL ï¿½SOUTHWEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.7500ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.7750ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) AMER WEST ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.7750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST RAMP ARRIVALS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.8000ï¿½AL ï¿½TWA A/L RAMP/OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.8000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.8250ï¿½AL ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRES RAMP ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½

131.8250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.8750ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.9000ï¿½AL ï¿½NORTHWEST A/L RAMP/OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:04 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="a"&STATE="az")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 8
========== ==== ======================== ========================
131.9250ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½SYSTEM WIDE ï¿½AZ0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
131.9250ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
131.9250ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
132.0000ï¿½AL ï¿½A/L OPS (ARINC) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
132.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DENVER CENTER 386.8ï¿½WINSLOW / TUBA CITï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
132.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DENVER CENTER 386.8ï¿½TUBA CITY ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
132.1750ï¿½ATC ï¿½CASA GRANDE AWOS ï¿½CASA GRANDE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½PINAL ï¿½
132.3250ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 370.9ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
132.3500ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 353.9ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
132.4500ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 371.9ï¿½CHILDS PEAK, SW AZï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
132.4500ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 371.9ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
132.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 312.0ï¿½WINSLOW ï¿½AZ0ï¿½NAVAJO ï¿½
132.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 312.0ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
132.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 312.0ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
132.9500ï¿½AV ï¿½US CUSTOMS AIRCRAFT VICTOR ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
133.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 281.5ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
133.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LOS ANGELES CENTER 282.3ï¿½SELIGMAN ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
133.4250ï¿½ATC ï¿½PAGE A/D (DEN CN) 363.05ï¿½PAGE ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
133.4250ï¿½ATC ï¿½DENVER CENTER 386.8ï¿½TUBA CITY ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
133.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS GATEWAY ATIS 271.8ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
133.5500ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX GROUND/TWR ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
134.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GOODYEAR A/D (LUKE AP)391.2ï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
134.1500ï¿½ATC ï¿½DENVER CENTER 343.7ï¿½TUBA CITY ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
134.3250ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR *ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
134.6500ï¿½ATC ï¿½LAKE HAVASU A/D (LA C)314.2ï¿½LAKE HAVASU ï¿½AZ0ï¿½MOHAVE ï¿½
134.7500ï¿½ATC ï¿½FT HUACHUCA MUNI/AAF ATIS ï¿½SIERRA VISTA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
134.7500ï¿½ATC ï¿½FT HUACHUCA ATIS 265.7ï¿½FT HUACHUCA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
134.8500ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX SKY HARBR(SPCL USE)ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
134.9250ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB ATIS 269.9ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
134.9500ï¿½ATC ï¿½LOS ANGELES CENTER 323.2ï¿½SELIGMAN ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
135.1500ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 350.2ï¿½CHILDS PEAK, SW AZï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
135.1500ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 350.2ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
135.3250ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 370.9ï¿½WINSLOW ï¿½AZ0ï¿½NAVAJO ï¿½
135.3250ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 370.9ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
135.3250ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 370.9ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
135.7250ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 339.8ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
135.7250ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 339.8ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
139.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB A10 CMD POST ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
140.0250ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB SPECIAL ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
140.1250ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB 944 TFG MNTC ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
140.1500ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB 944 TFG RES ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
140.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB 944 TFG RES. ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
140.2250ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB 944 TFG MNTC ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
142.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB A10 TRAINING ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½

143.1500ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR NTL GUARD SKY HARBOR ï¿½PHX/PAPAGO PARK ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
143.7500ï¿½AV ï¿½CIVIL AIR PATROL ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
143.9000ï¿½AV ï¿½CIVIL AIR PATROL ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
148.1200ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB OPERATIONS ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
148.1500ï¿½AV ï¿½CIVIL AIR PATROL (143.9) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
148.1750ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB A10 MAINT ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
148.1850ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB 358 TFTS MNT ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
148.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB MAINT (426) ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
148.2750ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
148.3000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB 355th CAM ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
148.4000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB MAINT (461) ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
148.4500ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB 944 TFG MNTC ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
148.4500ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB AIRCRAFT MAINT ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
148.4750ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB AIRCRAFT MAINT ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
148.4750ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
148.5300ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
148.5450ï¿½AV ï¿½DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB PD ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
148.5750ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
148.6500ï¿½AV ï¿½AZ AIR NTL GUARD SECURITY ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:04 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="a"&STATE="az")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 9
========== ==== ======================== ========================
148.9000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB MUNITNS TRANSPORT ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
148.9500ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB MAINT (550) ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.0250ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR NTL GUARD FIRE/CRASH ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.0500ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.1250ï¿½AV ï¿½ARIZONA NATIONAL GUARD ï¿½AZ/STATEWIDE ï¿½AZ0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
149.1250ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR NTL GUARD SECURITY ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.1750ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FIRE/CRASH CREWS ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
149.1750ï¿½AV ï¿½GB AIR FORCE GUN RNG FIRE ï¿½GILA BEND ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.2250ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR NTL GUARD SECURITY ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.2250ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB REFUELING POOL ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
149.3750ï¿½AV ï¿½GB AIR FORCE GUN RNG SECRTYï¿½GILA BEND ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.3750ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.4000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB MAINT (555) ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.4500ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.5250ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR NTL GUARD POLICE ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.5500ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB COMMANDERS NET ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
149.5600ï¿½AV ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB MOB TEL ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.8750ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
149.9250ï¿½AV ï¿½CIVIL AIR PATROL ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
150.1350ï¿½AV ï¿½GB AIR FORCE GUN RNG FIRE ï¿½GILA BEND ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
150.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB PD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
150.2250ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
150.2750ï¿½AV ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB PD/SECURITY ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
150.2850ï¿½AV ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB PD/SECURITY ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
150.3250ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB A/C GROUND EQUIPMNTï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
150.7250ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
151.6250ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST A/L OPS PGE ï¿½PAGE ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½

151.6850ï¿½AV ï¿½WESTWIND AVIATION ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
151.7750ï¿½AV ï¿½PHX AIRPORT SHUTTLE PARKINGï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
151.7750ï¿½AL ï¿½SCENIC AIRLINES ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
151.8050ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
151.8350ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR SERVICES INTERNATIONAL ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
151.8650ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
151.8950ï¿½AV ï¿½CUTTER AVIATION ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
151.8950ï¿½AV ï¿½UNICORN BALLOON CO ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
154.2800ï¿½AV ï¿½PHOENIX FD TO HELICOPTER ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
154.2850ï¿½AV ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB PD/SECURITY ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
154.5150ï¿½AL ï¿½SCENIC AIRLINES ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
154.5700ï¿½AV ï¿½STANDARD AERO INTERNATIONALï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
154.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRLINE TRAINING CENTER ARIï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
154.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRBORNE FREIGHT ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
154.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRBORNE FREIGHT ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
155.3250ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR-EVAC DISPATCH ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
155.7600ï¿½AV ï¿½PHX AIRPORT PD OPS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
155.7600ï¿½AV ï¿½GOODYEAR AIRPORT OPS ï¿½GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
155.7600ï¿½AV ï¿½GOODYEAR AIRPORT OPS ï¿½PHX/GOODYEAR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
155.7600ï¿½AV ï¿½SKY HARBOR (PHX) POLICE/OPSï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
157.6800ï¿½AL ï¿½GRAND CANYON HELICOPTERS ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
159.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRPORT SERVICES GROUP LTD ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
159.7800ï¿½AV ï¿½BURLINGTON AIR EXPRESS INC ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
163.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½DAVIS MONTHAN AFB RESCUE ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
163.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB SECURITY FLT LINE ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
163.1250ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB SECURITY ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
163.1750ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB SECURITY ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
163.4750ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB SECURITY ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
163.4875ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB SECURITY PD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
163.4875ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB PD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
163.5125ï¿½AV ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB FIRE/CRASH ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
163.5875ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB CIVIL ENGINEERS ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
164.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB SECURITY ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
164.5000ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR NTL GUARD ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
164.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR NTL GUARD FIRE/CRASH ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:04 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="a"&STATE="az")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 10
========== ==== ======================== ========================
164.9875ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB SECURITY PD ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
165.0875ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB LAW ENFRCMNT (PD) ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
165.1125ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB COMMAND POST ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
165.1125ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR NTL GUARD SECURITY ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
165.1375ï¿½AV ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB MAINT ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
165.1625ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB AMARC YARD OPS ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
165.1625ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB A/C MNT RES ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
165.1625ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB DISASTR PREP ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
165.1875ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AMARC ADMIN YARD ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
165.5000ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA AIRPORT SECURITY 1 ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
165.6625ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA AIRPORT SECURITY 2 ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
166.1750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA AIRPORT SECURITY 3 ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½

166.2250ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR NTL GUARD MEDICAL NET ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
171.3850ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
172.1250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½PIMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
172.1250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
172.1250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
172.1250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½SAN SIMON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
172.1250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½SAFFORD ï¿½AZ2ï¿½GRAHAM ï¿½
172.1250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½FLAGSTAFF ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
172.1250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½SAFORD/THATCHER ï¿½AZ2ï¿½GRAHAM ï¿½
172.1250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½COCHISE/DOUGLAS ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
172.1250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½COCHISE/DOUGLAS ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
172.1500ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
172.1750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
172.1750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½TEMPE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
172.1750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
172.1750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
172.1750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½AJO/PIMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
172.1750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½HUMBOLDT MTN ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
172.1750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA PHOENIX OPS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
172.8250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
172.9250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½COCHISE/WILCOX ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
172.9250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½FLAGSTAFF ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
172.9250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½SAFFORD ï¿½AZ2ï¿½GRAHAM ï¿½
172.9250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½COCHISE/DOUGLAS ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
172.9250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
172.9250ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½SAN SIMON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
172.9500ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½HUMBOLDT MTN ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
172.9750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½AJO/PIMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
172.9750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
172.9750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
172.9750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
172.9750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½HUMBOLDT MTN ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
172.9750ï¿½AV ï¿½FAA ï¿½PINAL MTN ï¿½AZ1ï¿½PINAL ï¿½
173.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB EXPLOSIV ORD DISPZï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
173.4250ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB SECURITY ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
173.4375ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB MOTOR POOL ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
173.4875ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB SECURITY PD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
173.5625ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB BASE HOSPITAL ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
173.5875ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB FIRE/CRASH ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
173.5875ï¿½AV ï¿½DAVIS MONTHAN AFB RESCUE ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
173.5875ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB FIRE/CRASH CREWS ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
226.3000ï¿½AV ï¿½ARMY HUGHES A/C HELI TEST ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
229.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FT HUACHUCA TOWER 118.9ï¿½FT HUACHUCA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
236.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DAVIS MONTHAN AFB TOWER ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
239.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX A/D N<5000' 120.7ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
239.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB FAA FAC ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
242.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½AIR FORCE TAC AIR CMAND *ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
248.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FT HUACHUCA GROUND 121.7ï¿½FT HUACHUCA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
252.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DAVIS MONTHAN AFB ANG OPS ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
253.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB TOWER 126.2ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½

253.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DAVIS MONTHAN AFB TOWER ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:04 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="a"&STATE="az")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 11
========== ==== ======================== ========================
254.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB NORAD ARIZ.PETE OPSï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
254.3000ï¿½AV ï¿½AZ AIR NTL GUARD TWR 120.9ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
254.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX TOWER (SOUTH) 120.9ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
255.4000ï¿½AV ï¿½TUCSON FLIGHT SERVICE ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
255.4000ï¿½AV ï¿½PHOENIX FLIGHT SVC ï¿½PRESCOTT/PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA/ï¿½
255.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PRESCOTT FLIGHT SERVICE ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
255.4000ï¿½AV ï¿½AZ AIR NTL GUARD A/D ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
255.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DOUGLAS FSS ï¿½COCHISE/BISBEE ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
255.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB FINAL APPRCH *ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
255.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB TOWER 126.2ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
256.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX A/D 126.8ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
256.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB A/D (PHX) 126.8ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
256.9000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
257.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FYAN FIELD A/D ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
257.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DAVIS MONTHAN AFB A/D ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
257.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL A/D 118.3ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
257.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL TOWER 118.3ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
258.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB A/D *ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
264.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FUZZY MOA (ABQ CNTR) ï¿½AZ/STATEWIDE ï¿½AZ0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
265.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½FORT HUACHUCA MUNI/AAF ATISï¿½SIERRA VISTA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½COCHISE ï¿½
266.4000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
267.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR *ï¿½HUMBOLT PEAK ï¿½AZ1ï¿½PIMA/MARIï¿½
269.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX GROUND/CLNC. 121.9ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
269.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 124.5ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
269.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB FLT OPS *ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
269.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX A/D (W & S) 124.1ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
269.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB A/D 119.2ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
269.9000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
269.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB ATIS 134.925ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
270.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB ATIS *ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
270.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M ARIZONA PETE OPS ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
271.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB FINAL APPROACH ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
272.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GILA BEND RANGE (LUKE AFB)*ï¿½GILA BEND ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
272.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½GB AIR FORCE AUX BOMB RNG ï¿½GILA BEND ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
272.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
273.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX ATIS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
273.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR *ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
275.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½MC DONNELL DOUGLAS ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
275.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB GROUND/DSPTCH 121.8ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
275.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS GATEWAY ATIS 133.5ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
276.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB AC/LAND BASE A-10 ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
276.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
276.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DAVIS MONTHAN AFB A-10 OPS ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
276.9000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
278.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LOS ANGELES CENTER 121.35ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
280.9000ï¿½AV ï¿½ARMY HUGHES A/C HELI TEST ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½

281.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 133.0ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
282.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LOS ANGELES CENTER 133.2ï¿½SELIGMAN ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
282.4250ï¿½AV ï¿½US CUSTOMS AIRCRFT BLUE ONEï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
283.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB AIR NATIONAL GUARD ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
286.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
286.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB MIL TRAFFIC ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
287.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½MORENCI MOA (ABQ CNTR) *ï¿½MORENCI ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
288.3000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
289.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB CLEARANCE ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
289.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB TOWER *ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
289.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
289.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB FINAL APPRCH 119.1ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
289.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB TOWER 119.1ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
289.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR AIR REFUELING ï¿½AZ/STATEWIDE ï¿½AZ0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
289.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR NTL GUARD (REFUELING) ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
289.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½AZ AIR NG AIR REFUELNG TUC ï¿½TUCSON INTL ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
292.5000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½

========== ==== ======================== ========================
293.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR AIR REFUELING ï¿½AZ/STATEWIDE ï¿½AZ0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
294.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB FAA TUCSON FSS ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
295.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB (REFUELING) ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
295.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½DAVIS MONTHAN AFB ANG A-A ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
296.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½AZ AIR NTL GUARD A/D ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
297.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL A/D 125.1ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
297.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB A/D ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
298.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
298.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB A/D (ABQ C) 128.45ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
298.9000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
298.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 128.45ï¿½PRESCOTT/WINSLOW ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI/Nï¿½
301.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB A/D 120.5ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
301.5000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FTR SQ 120.5ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
301.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FTR SQ 120.5ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
303.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
307.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 125.25ï¿½CHILDS PEAK, SW AZï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
308.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
311.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX AIR N. GUARD *ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
311.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½AZ AIR NTL GUARD A/D ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
311.3000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
312.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 132.9ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
312.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 132.9ï¿½PRESCOTT/WINSLOW ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI/Nï¿½
314.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½MC DONNELL DOUGLAS ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
315.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½YUMA INTL/MCAS GROUND ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
316.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
317.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX A/D (W & S) 124.1ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
317.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX A/D 120.7ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
317.5000ï¿½AV ï¿½AZ AIR NTL GUARD A/D 120.7ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
317.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB A/D 120.7ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
318.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL A/D 118.5ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½

318.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB A/D 118.5ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
319.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LOS ANGELES CENTER 124.85ï¿½SELIGMAN ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
319.5000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR AIR REFUELING ï¿½AZ/STATEWIDE ï¿½AZ0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
319.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR AIR REFUELING ï¿½AZ/STATEWIDE ï¿½AZ0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
320.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL ATIS 123.8ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
323.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LOS ANGELES CENTER 134.95ï¿½SELIGMAN ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
324.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GILA BEND AF AUX TWR 126.2ï¿½GILA BEND ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
324.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½GILA BEND MOA *ï¿½GILA BEND ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
324.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
326.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL CLRNC 126.65ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
330.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½MC DONNELL DOUGLAS ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
332.1500ï¿½AV ï¿½ATCA INC ï¿½MOBILE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
334.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½MC DONNELL DOUGLAS ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
334.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½GATES LEARJET CORP ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
334.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½EVERGREEN AIR CENTER ï¿½MARANA/TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
334.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½EVERGREEN AIR CENTER ï¿½TUCSON/MARANA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
334.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½CUTTER AVIATION ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
334.7000ï¿½AL ï¿½TRANS WORLD AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
335.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
335.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
335.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB GROUND 121.4ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
339.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
339.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 135.725ï¿½WINSLOW ï¿½AZ0ï¿½NAVAJO ï¿½
341.5000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB A10 RANGE OPS ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
344.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB METEOROLOGY ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
344.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB METEOROLOGY ï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
348.6000ï¿½ATC ï¿½TUCSON INTL GROUND 124.4ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
349.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
349.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB A/D *ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
350.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 135.15ï¿½CHILDS PEAK, SW AZï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
351.4000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
351.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 127.95ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
352.7000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB (REFUELING) ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:04 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="a"&STATE="az")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 13
========== ==== ======================== ========================
353.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX TRACON A/D 124.9ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
353.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX-WILIMS AFB 124.9ï¿½PHOENIX/CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
353.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 132.35ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
356.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR HOLBROOK AZ MOA *ï¿½HOLBROOK ï¿½AZ0ï¿½NAVAJO ï¿½
359.3000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB FAA EMERG APRCH ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
361.5000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB 358 TFTS OPS ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
363.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX A/D 123.7ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
363.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½AZ AIR NTL GUARD A/D 123.7ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
363.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB APPROACH ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
364.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB (NORAD AZ. PETE) ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
369.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
370.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 135.325ï¿½PRESCOTT ï¿½AZ1ï¿½YAVAPAI ï¿½
371.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 132.45ï¿½GLOBE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½GILA ï¿½
371.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR 132.45ï¿½CHILDS PEAK, SW AZï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½

372.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB TOWER ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
372.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB FLIGHT OPS ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
372.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB FLT OPS DISPATCH ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
373.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
375.2000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB METEOROLOGY ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
379.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB-GATEWAY A/D ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
379.4000ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
379.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½SELLS AZ MOA ï¿½AZ/STATEWIDE ï¿½AZ0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
379.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½AZ AIR NTL GUARD A/D 119.2ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
379.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB A/D (PHX) 124.1ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
379.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX A/D (N & E) 119.2ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
381.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½COAST GUARD AIR SUPPORT ï¿½PHOENIX/TUCSON ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
381.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½COAST GUARD PRI AIR SUPPORTï¿½PHOENIX/TUCSON ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
382.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½MC DONNELL DOUGLAS ï¿½YUMA ï¿½AZ2ï¿½YUMA ï¿½
383.9000ï¿½AV ï¿½COAST GUARD AIR SUPPORT ï¿½PHOENIX/TUCSON ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
385.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX TOWER 118.7ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
385.4000ï¿½ATC ï¿½SKY HARBOR TOWER 118.7ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
388.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½AZ AIR NTL GUARD A/D 124.9ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
388.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX A/D 124.9ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
388.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AFB A/D 124.9ï¿½CHANDLER (PHX) ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
389.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB F16 FIGHTER SQUAD ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
390.8000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB FAA FINAL APRCH ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
391.2000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB A/D 134.1ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
393.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½D-M AFB TOWER ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
393.1000ï¿½AV ï¿½AZ AIR NTL GUARD A/D 126.6ï¿½PHX SKY HARBOR ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
393.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½PHOENIX FINAL APRCH 126.6ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
395.0000ï¿½ATC ï¿½LUKE AFB CLEARANCE 119.8ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
396.1000ï¿½ATC ï¿½RYAN FIELD A/D ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
398.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½ALBQ CNTR *ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
398.9000ï¿½ATC ï¿½WILLIAMS AZ MOA (ABQ CNTR)ï¿½WILLIAMS ï¿½AZ0ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
407.3250ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
407.3500ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB VOICE PAGERS ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
407.3500ï¿½AV ï¿½LUKE AFB VOICE PAGERS ï¿½GLENDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
413.2750ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
451.2875ï¿½AV ï¿½MC DONNELL DOUGLAS RADIO SVï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
452.8000ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR CARGO TRANSIT ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
453.4500ï¿½AV ï¿½TUCSON INTL AIRPORT FD. ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
453.8750ï¿½AV ï¿½TUCSON AIRPORT OPS ï¿½TUCSON INTL ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
453.9250ï¿½AV ï¿½TUCSON AIRPORT OPS ï¿½TUCSON INTL ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
453.9750ï¿½AV ï¿½TUCSON AIRPORT OPS ï¿½TUCSON INTL ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
453.9750ï¿½AV ï¿½TUCSON AIRPORT SECURITY ï¿½TUCSON INTL ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
454.6750ï¿½AV ï¿½US WEST COMM ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
454.7750ï¿½AV ï¿½US WEST COMM ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
458.7750ï¿½AV ï¿½CIVIL AIR PATROL ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.6500ï¿½AL ï¿½EASTERN A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.6500ï¿½AL ï¿½CONTINENTAL OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.6500ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.6750ï¿½AL ï¿½TWA A/L OPS TUC ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
460.6750ï¿½AL ï¿½TWA A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:04 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="a"&STATE="az")

FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 14
========== ==== ======================== ========================
460.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½SAWYER AVIATION ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.7000ï¿½AL ï¿½US-AIR OPS TUC ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
460.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½DYNAIR TECH OF ARIZONA ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½GTA AVIATION SERVICES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½CORPORATE JETS ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.7000ï¿½AL ï¿½CONTINENTAL OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.7000ï¿½AL ï¿½DELTA A/L OPS PHX 3 ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.7250ï¿½AL ï¿½UNITED AIRLINES INC ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.7250ï¿½AL ï¿½UNITED A/L OPS TUC ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
460.7250ï¿½AL ï¿½UNITED A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.7250ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICAN A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.7500ï¿½AV ï¿½DELTA AIR LINES INC ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.7500ï¿½AL ï¿½DELTA A/L OPS TUS ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
460.7500ï¿½AL ï¿½DELTA A/L OPS PHX 1 ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.7750ï¿½AL ï¿½STATES WEST AIRLINES PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.7750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICAN AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.7750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICAN A/L OPS TUC ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
460.7750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICAN A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8000ï¿½AL ï¿½US-AIR OPS TUC ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
460.8000ï¿½AL ï¿½US-AIR OPS PHX (PSA) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8000ï¿½AL ï¿½DELTA A/L OPS PHX 2 ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8000ï¿½AL ï¿½REPUBLIC A/L OPS PHX (NW) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8250ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHONEIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8250ï¿½AL ï¿½REPUBLIC A/L OPS PHX (NW) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8250ï¿½AL ï¿½NORTHWEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8250ï¿½AL ï¿½DELTA A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8500ï¿½AL ï¿½NORTHWEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8500ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8500ï¿½AL ï¿½SOUTHWEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8500ï¿½AL ï¿½CONTINENTAL OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8500ï¿½AL ï¿½NORTHWEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8750ï¿½AL ï¿½NORTHWEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8750ï¿½AL ï¿½NORTHWEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8750ï¿½AL ï¿½REPUBLIC A/L OPS PHX (NW) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.8750ï¿½AL ï¿½DELTA A/L OPS PHX 4 ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
460.9000ï¿½AV ï¿½HONEYWELL INC ï¿½LITCHFIELD PARK ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
461.0375ï¿½AL ï¿½WINGS WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
461.1375ï¿½AV ï¿½MC DONNELL DOUGLAS RADIO SVï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
461.2500ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST SECURITY ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
461.3625ï¿½AV ï¿½HOST INTERNATIONAL ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
461.4000ï¿½AV ï¿½WJ FLYTE CORP ï¿½TEMPE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
461.4500ï¿½AV ï¿½FOX BALLOON ADVENTURES ï¿½TUCSON ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
461.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½MCNELEY AVIATION ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
461.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½AMERICAN HOT AIR BALLOONS ï¿½AVONDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
461.7125ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICAN AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
461.7875ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICAN AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
462.4250ï¿½AV ï¿½ORBITAL SCIENCES CORPORATIOï¿½CHANDLER ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
462.8250ï¿½AV ï¿½PHX AIRPORT PARKING ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½

463.6125ï¿½AL ï¿½SOUTHWEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
463.7250ï¿½AL ï¿½SOUTHWEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
463.9875ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICAN AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
464.3750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHONEIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
464.4750ï¿½AV ï¿½GARRETT ENGINE COMPANY ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
464.5125ï¿½AL ï¿½SCENIC AIRLINES ï¿½GRAND CANYON ï¿½AZ3ï¿½COCONINO ï¿½
464.5750ï¿½AV ï¿½CACTUS AVIATION INC ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
464.6000ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
464.6000ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
464.7750ï¿½AL ï¿½CONTINENTAL AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
464.8750ï¿½AL ï¿½CONTINENTAL AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
464.8750ï¿½AV ï¿½PHX AIRPORT MAINT ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
464.8875ï¿½AV ï¿½DYNAIR FUELING ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
464.9750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST A/L ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
464.9750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST SECURITY ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:04 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="a"&STATE="az")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 15
========== ==== ======================== ========================
465.6500ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
465.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½GTA AVIATION SERVICES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
465.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½DYNAIR TECH OF ARIZONA ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
465.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½CORPORATE JETS ï¿½SCOTTSDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
465.7500ï¿½AV ï¿½DELTA AIR LINES INC ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
465.8250ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
465.8500ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
465.8750ï¿½AL ï¿½NORTHWEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
466.3625ï¿½AV ï¿½HOST INTERNATIONAL ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
466.4000ï¿½AV ï¿½WJ FLYTE CORP ï¿½TEMPE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
466.4000ï¿½AV ï¿½WJ FLYTE CORP ï¿½TEMPE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
466.6000ï¿½AV ï¿½MCNELEY AVIATION ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
466.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½AMERICAN HOT AIR BALLOONS ï¿½AVONDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
466.7000ï¿½AV ï¿½AMERICAN HOT AIR BALLOONS ï¿½AVONDALE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
466.7125ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICAN AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
466.7875ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICAN AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
467.3000ï¿½AV ï¿½MC DONNELL DOUGLAS RADIO SVï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
467.8375ï¿½AV ï¿½AMERIJET INTERNATIONAL ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
467.8625ï¿½AV ï¿½AMERIJET INTERNATIONAL ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
468.4875ï¿½AL ï¿½CUTTER AVIATION ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
468.6125ï¿½AL ï¿½SOUTHWEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
468.9875ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICAN AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
469.3750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHONEIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
469.6125ï¿½AL ï¿½SOUTHWEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
469.6750ï¿½AL ï¿½CONTINENTAL AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
469.7750ï¿½AL ï¿½CONTINENTAL AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
469.8750ï¿½AL ï¿½CONTINENTAL AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
469.8875ï¿½AV ï¿½DYNAIR FUELING ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
469.9375ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR FORCE FLIGHT TRNG OPS ï¿½PHX/TUC ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
469.9750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST A/L ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
808.4375ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRBORNE FREIGHT CORPORATIOï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
809.5125ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRBORNE FREIGHT CORPORATIOï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½

809.6125ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
810.0875ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR CARGO TRANSIT INC ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
811.8625ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
813.8625ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATIONï¿½PICACHO ï¿½AZ1ï¿½PINAL ï¿½
814.8625ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
815.8625ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
852.0625ï¿½AV ï¿½PHX AIRPORT BUSSES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
852.7875ï¿½AL ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS OPS (CARGO)ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
852.7875ï¿½AL ï¿½FLYING TIGERS OPS (CARGO) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
852.8375ï¿½AL ï¿½US-AIR OPS PHX (PSA) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
852.8875ï¿½AV ï¿½D-M AFB AIRCRAFT MAINT ï¿½TUCSON (DM AFB) ï¿½AZ2ï¿½PIMA ï¿½
853.4375ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRBORNE FREIGHT CORPORATIOï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
853.4875ï¿½AV ï¿½AG AERO ï¿½ELOY ï¿½AZ1ï¿½PINAL ï¿½
854.5125ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRBORNE FREIGHT CORPORATIOï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
854.6125ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
854.9875ï¿½AV ï¿½PHX AIRPORT OPS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
855.0875ï¿½AV ï¿½AIR CARGO TRANSIT INC ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
856.3125ï¿½AV ï¿½MARRIOTT SKY CHIEF ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
856.3375ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRPORT LUGGAGE DLV SVC ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
856.8625ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
856.9875ï¿½AV ï¿½SKY HARBOR OPS (PHX) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
856.9875ï¿½AV ï¿½PHX AIRPORT OPS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
857.3125ï¿½AV ï¿½MARRIOTT SKY CHIEF ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
857.3375ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRPORT LUGGAGE DLV SVC ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
857.5625ï¿½AL ï¿½SOUTHWEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
857.8375ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
857.9875ï¿½AV ï¿½PHX AIRPORT OPS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
858.3125ï¿½AV ï¿½MARRIOTT SKY CHIEF ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
858.3375ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRPORT LUGGAGE DLV SVC ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
858.5625ï¿½AL ï¿½SOUTHWEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
858.8625ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATIONï¿½PICACHO ï¿½AZ1ï¿½PINAL ï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:04 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="a"&STATE="az")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 16
========== ==== ======================== ========================
858.9875ï¿½AV ï¿½PHX AIRPORT OPS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
859.3125ï¿½AV ï¿½MARRIOTT SKY CHIEF ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
859.3375ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRPORT LUGGAGE DLV SVC ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
859.5625ï¿½AL ï¿½SOUTHWEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
859.8375ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
859.8625ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½FOUNTAIN HILLS ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
859.9875ï¿½AV ï¿½PHX AIRPORT OPS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
859.9875ï¿½AV ï¿½SKY HARBOR OPS (PHX) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
860.3125ï¿½AV ï¿½MARRIOTT SKY CHIEF ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
860.3375ï¿½AV ï¿½AIRPORT LUGGAGE DLV SVC ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
860.5625ï¿½AL ï¿½SOUTHWEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
860.8375ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
860.8625ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
860.8625ï¿½AV ï¿½FEDERAL EXPRESS ï¿½MESA ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
860.9875ï¿½AV ï¿½SKY HARBOR OPS (PHX) ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
860.9875ï¿½AV ï¿½PHX AIRPORT OPS ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½

896.1375ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
896.1500ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
896.1625ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
896.1750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
896.1875ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
902.3750ï¿½AL ï¿½SOUTHWEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
935.1375ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
935.1500ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
935.1625ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
935.1750ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
935.1875ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
935.1875ï¿½AL ï¿½AMERICA WEST A/L OPS PHX ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
978.0000ï¿½AL ï¿½TRANS WORLD AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
979.0000ï¿½AL ï¿½TRANS WORLD AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
1030.0000ï¿½AL ï¿½TRANS WORLD AIRLINES ï¿½PHOENIX ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½
8500.0000ï¿½AV ï¿½PIERCE AVIATION ï¿½BUCKEYE ï¿½AZ1ï¿½MARICOPA ï¿½

3227. Re: Cal-Trans Freq list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <36AEAF11.DECD9BC0@analon.com>
Source record: 129664

```
January 26, 1999 / 11:12 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="hwy"&STATE="ca")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 2
========== ==== ======================== ========================
33.0200ï¿½HWY ï¿½LOS ANGELES STREET MAINT VLï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
33.0600ï¿½HWY ï¿½LOS ANGELES STREET MAINT SOï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
37.9000ï¿½HWY ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO STREETS ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
37.9200ï¿½HWY ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO STREETS ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
45.6800ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7 GREEN-2 ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
47.0200ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7 WHITE ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
47.0200ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 02 WHITE ï¿½REDDING ï¿½CA1ï¿½SHASTA ï¿½
47.0200ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 04 WHITE ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
47.0400ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 11 BLACK ï¿½SAN DIEGO ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
47.0400ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 04 BLACK I-80ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
47.0600ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 04 BROWN ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
47.0800ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 08 ORANGE ï¿½SAN BERNARDINO ï¿½CA8ï¿½SAN BERNAï¿½
47.0800ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7 ORANGE ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
47.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 11 YELLOW ï¿½SAN DIEGO ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
47.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 04 CARQUINZ Bï¿½VALLEJO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SOLANO ï¿½
47.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 02 YELLOW ï¿½REDDING ï¿½CA1ï¿½SHASTA ï¿½
47.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 10 YELLOW ï¿½STOCKTON ï¿½CA7ï¿½SAN JOAQUï¿½
47.1200ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7 BLUE ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
47.1600ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 03 GRAY ï¿½MARYSVILLE ï¿½CA2ï¿½YUBA ï¿½
47.1600ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 08 GRAY ï¿½SAN BERNARDINO ï¿½CA8ï¿½SAN BERNAï¿½
47.1800ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 05 PURPLE ï¿½SAN LUIS OBISPO ï¿½CA4ï¿½SAN LUIS ï¿½
47.2000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 01 RED ï¿½EUREKA ï¿½CA1ï¿½HUMBOLDT ï¿½
47.2400ï¿½HWY ï¿½SIG ALERTS/COMMUTER INFO ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
47.2400ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS SIG ALERTS/CMTR INï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
47.2400ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7 GREEN ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
150.9950ï¿½HWY ï¿½LOS ANGELES CO ROAD DEPT ï¿½LOS ANGELES COUNTYï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
151.0100ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS PORTABLES ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
151.0400ï¿½HWY ï¿½LOS ANGELES CO ROAD DEPT ï¿½LOS ANGELES COUNTYï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
151.0400ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS PORTABLES ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
151.0700ï¿½HWY ï¿½LOS ANGELES CO ROAD DEPT ï¿½LOS ANGELES COUNTYï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
151.0850ï¿½HWY ï¿½OC ROADS & ENVMNTH MNGMT ï¿½ORANGE COUNTY ï¿½CA5ï¿½ORANGE ï¿½
151.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½BAKERSFIELD ROADS/HWYS ï¿½BAKERSFIELD ï¿½CA7ï¿½KERN ï¿½
151.1150ï¿½HWY ï¿½GOLDEN GATE BR MAINT ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
153.7550ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS CALDECOTT TUNNEL ï¿½RICHMOND ï¿½CA3ï¿½CONTRA COï¿½
153.7600ï¿½HWY ï¿½BAKERSFIELD PARKS ï¿½BAKERSFIELD ï¿½CA7ï¿½KERN ï¿½
156.1200ï¿½HWY ï¿½SAN DIEGO CO HWY DPT 1 ï¿½SAN DIEGO COUNTY ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
156.1650ï¿½HWY ï¿½SAN DIEGO CO HWY DPT 3 ï¿½SAN DIEGO COUNTY ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
156.2250ï¿½HWY ï¿½SAN DIEGO CO HWY DPT 2 ï¿½SAN DIEGO COUNTY ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
156.2400ï¿½HWY ï¿½MARIN CNTY ROAD DPT ï¿½MARIN COUNTY ï¿½CA3ï¿½MARIN ï¿½
156.6500ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS FERRIES M. CH-13 ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
159.1200ï¿½HWY ï¿½SAN BERNARDINO CO HWY DEPT ï¿½SAN BERNARDINO ï¿½CA8ï¿½SAN BERNAï¿½
```

453.2500ï¿½HWY ï¿½LOS ANGELES STREET LIGHTINGï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
453.4000ï¿½HWY ï¿½LOS ANGELES TRAF SIGNL REPRï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
453.8500ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 04 BRIDGES ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
453.8500ï¿½HWY ï¿½LONG BEACH STREET DEPT ï¿½LONG BEACH ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
453.9000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 04 TOLL BRIDGï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
453.9000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CORONADO BAY BRIDGE OPS ï¿½SAN DIEGO ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
453.9000ï¿½HWY ï¿½VINCENT THOMAS BRIDGE ï¿½LONG BEACH ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
453.9500ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 04 RICHMND BRï¿½RICHMOND ï¿½CA3ï¿½CONTRA COï¿½
458.6000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 04 SIGNS ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
482.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½GOLDEN GATE BR D TOW TRUCKSï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
483.0125ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS CALDECOTT TUNNEL ï¿½RICHMOND ï¿½CA3ï¿½CONTRA COï¿½
506.3375ï¿½HWY ï¿½SANTA ANA STREET DEPT ï¿½SANTA ANA ï¿½CA5ï¿½ORANGE ï¿½
856.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-D) SB/RS ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
856.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 08 ï¿½SAN BERNARDINO ï¿½CA8ï¿½SAN BERNAï¿½
856.2625ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS SACRAMENTO SHUTTLEï¿½SACRAMENTO ï¿½CA2ï¿½SACRAMENTï¿½
856.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 11 A ï¿½SAN DIEGO ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
856.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 12-A ï¿½ORANGE COUNTY ï¿½CA5ï¿½ORANGE ï¿½
856.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 6-A ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½CA7ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½
856.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 9-A ï¿½BISHOP ï¿½CA7ï¿½INYO ï¿½
856.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-A) ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
856.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7-A ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
856.7625ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 4A ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:12 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="hwy"&STATE="ca")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 3
========== ==== ======================== ========================
856.7625ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-C) SF ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
856.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 9-B ï¿½BISHOP ï¿½CA7ï¿½INYO ï¿½
856.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 12-B ï¿½ORANGE COUNTY ï¿½CA5ï¿½ORANGE ï¿½
856.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-B) ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
856.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 6-B ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½CA7ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½
856.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7-B ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
857.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 08 ï¿½SAN BERNARDINO ï¿½CA8ï¿½SAN BERNAï¿½
857.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-D) SB/RS ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
857.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-A) ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
857.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 11 A ï¿½SAN DIEGO ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
857.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 9-A ï¿½BISHOP ï¿½CA7ï¿½INYO ï¿½
857.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 12-A ï¿½ORANGE COUNTY ï¿½CA5ï¿½ORANGE ï¿½
857.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 6-A ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½CA7ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½
857.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7-A ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
857.7625ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-C) SF ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
857.7625ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 4A ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
857.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-B) ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
857.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 11 B ï¿½SAN DIEGO ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
857.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 6-B ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½CA7ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½
857.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 9-B ï¿½BISHOP ï¿½CA7ï¿½INYO ï¿½
857.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7-B ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
857.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 12-B ï¿½ORANGE COUNTY ï¿½CA5ï¿½ORANGE ï¿½
858.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-D) SB/RS ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
858.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 08 ï¿½SAN BERNARDINO ï¿½CA8ï¿½SAN BERNAï¿½
858.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-A) ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½

858.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7-A ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
858.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 9-A ï¿½BISHOP ï¿½CA7ï¿½INYO ï¿½
858.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 12-A ï¿½ORANGE COUNTY ï¿½CA5ï¿½ORANGE ï¿½
858.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 11 A ï¿½SAN DIEGO ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
858.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 6-A ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½CA7ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½
858.7625ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-C) SF ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
858.7625ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 4A ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
858.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-B) ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
858.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7-B ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
858.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 12-B ï¿½ORANGE COUNTY ï¿½CA5ï¿½ORANGE ï¿½
858.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 9-B ï¿½BISHOP ï¿½CA7ï¿½INYO ï¿½
858.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 11 B ï¿½SAN DIEGO ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
858.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 6-B ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½CA7ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½
859.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 08 ï¿½SAN BERNARDINO ï¿½CA8ï¿½SAN BERNAï¿½
859.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-D) SB/RS ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
859.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 9-A ï¿½BISHOP ï¿½CA7ï¿½INYO ï¿½
859.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-A) ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
859.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7-A ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
859.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 6-A ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½CA7ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½
859.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 12-A ï¿½ORANGE COUNTY ï¿½CA5ï¿½ORANGE ï¿½
859.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 11 A ï¿½SAN DIEGO ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
859.7625ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-C) SF ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
859.7625ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 4A ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
859.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 6-B ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½CA7ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½
859.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7-B ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
859.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-B) ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
859.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 12-B ï¿½ORANGE COUNTY ï¿½CA5ï¿½ORANGE ï¿½
859.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 9-B ï¿½BISHOP ï¿½CA7ï¿½INYO ï¿½
859.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 11 B ï¿½SAN DIEGO ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
860.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-D) SB/RS ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
860.1000ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 08 ï¿½SAN BERNARDINO ï¿½CA8ï¿½SAN BERNAï¿½
860.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 9-A ï¿½BISHOP ï¿½CA7ï¿½INYO ï¿½
860.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 12-A ï¿½ORANGE COUNTY ï¿½CA5ï¿½ORANGE ï¿½
860.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 11 A ï¿½SAN DIEGO ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
860.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-A) ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
860.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7-A ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
860.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 6-A ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½CA7ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½
860.7375ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 4B ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
January 26, 1999 / 11:12 p.m.ï¿½NDX: FREQUENCY ,SRT: (SVC="hwy"&STATE="ca")
FREQUENCY SVC USER LOCATION / STATEï¿½ Pg. 4
========== ==== ======================== ========================
860.7625ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-C) SF ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
860.7625ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 4A ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
860.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 11 B ï¿½SAN DIEGO ï¿½CA6ï¿½SAN DIEGOï¿½
860.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 9-B ï¿½BISHOP ï¿½CA7ï¿½INYO ï¿½
860.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS (8-B) ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
860.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 7-B ï¿½LOS ANGELES ï¿½CA5ï¿½LOS ANGELï¿½
860.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 6-B ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½CA7ï¿½FRESNO ï¿½
860.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 4C ï¿½SAN FRANCISCO ï¿½CA3ï¿½SAN FRANCï¿½
860.9875ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS DIST 12-B ï¿½ORANGE COUNTY ï¿½CA5ï¿½ORANGE ï¿½

861.1500ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS 8-E ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
862.1500ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS 8-E ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
863.1500ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS 8-E ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
864.1500ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS 8-E ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½
865.1500ï¿½HWY ï¿½CALTRANS Unknown use ï¿½CA/STATEWIDE ï¿½CA0ï¿½-ST.WIDE-ï¿½

_____
TOTALS:Printed 140 of the 33,198 records.

Neil wrote:

> Does anyone know the freqs used by Cal-Trans crews when they are
> directing traffic around construction areas on California Highways?
> They use small hand-helds to talk to the flagman on the other end of
> the back-up.

--

> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
<
> Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(: MS-Windows):<
> Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
> if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
<
+++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They lose payments!, Say I didn't pay!

3228. CHP 39mhz & 42mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <36AEB2C2.3CB3C828@analon.com>
Source record: 129665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

To monitor the CGP, I used to search 42.00-43.00mhz.
Now, I search 39.00 -> 43.00

I drive I-10 between Phoenix and Southern California on a regular basis (every week) when I go visit my girlfriend who lives in the Glendale/Burbank area.

I've noticed that on the 39mhz frequencies, you usually hear both sides on the same frequency while on the 42mhz frequencies this is not usually the case. I generally set 42.44 as the priority channel since this is one of the Primary channels used by Indio dispatch which covers Riverside
county from the Arizona Border To the county Line with San Bernardino county.

In adition, both Riverside and San Bernardino county use 856-860 and 866-869mhz trunked systems. In the palm Springs area, there is also some traffic on the 460-460.6 frequencies

Through Arizona, it's generally search 460.000 to 460.600. This will cover the Arizona Highway Patrol and also the Maricopa County Sheriff and some ambulance frequencies. In La Paz county
(Quartzsite and Ehrenberg) I hav also monitored the Local PD/Sheriff on 155mhz frequencies

--

> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
<
> Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(: MS-Windows):<
> Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
> if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
<
+++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They lose payments!, Say I didn't pay!

3229. Re: 10-codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <JESUS_@_work_on_.me>
Message-ID: <36AE99E9.4256338F@_work_on_.me>
Source record: 129666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

EJStuart wrote:
>
> I know that I'm at the risk of beating a dead horse, because this question
> has been asked 231.5 times by now, I'm sure, but...
>
> What is the standardized list of 10 codes?
>
> I search the web and I get 5 different lists that tell me 5 different
> things....Is there just no nationwide standard because each law agency
> devises there own? If so, I'd appreciate the 10 codes for the west central
> region of Wisconsin, if it wouldn't bother anyone too much =)
>
> Thanks much!
>
> -EJStuart

Try http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/tencode.htm it is for Indiana but it is
the APCO 10 Code list hopefully it is the same as Wisconsin as both
states are usually in the same scanner book.
--

"73" from the cornfields of Indiana!

<'))))>< WW - KB9TMP <'))))><
email me at kb9tmp@usa.net
home page http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/

3230. Re: BC210XL - Schematic/Info Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blondeau <blondeau*nospam*@bewellnet.com>
Message-ID: <36AE8DAA.7B488A73@bewellnet.com>
Source record: 129667

jwengvall@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> In article <368be31f.233612726@news.sprynet.com>,
> jimmiew@sprynet.com (Jim West) wrote:
> > I need to get at the discriminator output of my Bearcat 210XL. Iï¿½ve
> > tried Bill Cheekï¿½s list of scanners/discriminator locations, but the
> > 210XL is not listed. Can anyone help me locate the correct IC and pin?
> > Or if you have a schematic, Iï¿½d be willing to pay for copying and
> > postage.
> >
> > Thanks for any help,
> >
> > Jim West
> > I received a BC 210XL from a friend - there was no manual, and I would like
> information on programming. Not like my BC 300 at all. Particular interest in
> entering range to scan ie. upper and lower limits.
>
> Thanks for any help on this matter
> >
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

If I may, let me tag this onto your post ... A couple of my similar posts went unanswered last week, so if it's okay, allow me to also ask about my BC210XL too - Anyone know about schematics or mods? It's really difficult to find anything out about this machine.

Jim, I do have a rudimentary manual that came with my scanner. It's one of those multi-fold jobbies, but if you would like, I'd be willing to copy it as best as possible and snail-mail it to you. It basically contains stuff any scanner person would know about frequency allocations, etc. Then the rest is operations manual and you might want that part. Lemme know.

Remove the spambot *nospam* part of my address to e-mail me.

Jeff

3231. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr_grumpy@cheerful.com
Message-ID: <36adf313.1167456@news.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 129668

>The earlier efforts of some companies to put a card in
>the PC case and expect it to have a decent S/N ratio
>was a joke. Pure lack of Engineering alertness regarding
>rf/emi forces at play and how it plays out on a wideband
>receiver. It was a degree of Engineering awareness that
>Icom decided on external positioning of the analogue
>portion of their radios. To put it inside the case would
>be disaster to anyone wanting anything other than FM radio!
>Basic knowledge here...

What do you reckon folks? Has he got an axe to grind or what? I reckon he works for Icom don't you. We had better contact Hauppage and tell them quickly before they produce too many more of those TV cards, and HP before they make too many more PC interfaces for their devices...

Mr Grumpy

3232. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr_grumpy@cheerful.com
Message-ID: <36ae0cc8.790663@news.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 129669

Wow, you must be fast worker. I thought the winradio 1500e had only
just been released before Christmas. When did you get yours? Where
is it now? How much did you get for it? If you were not happy, did
you tell the manufacturer or even the shop where you bought it?

>Personally, I think the entire crop of computer type receivers suck. They
>are all useless on the HF (except the TenTec RX-320 which rules in this
>area). I have owned (for a short while) both the PCR-1000 and the
>WinRadio 1500e. They just aren't there yet!

3233. Re: BC895XLT Computer cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlueXU@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36ad4f93.44965118@news.bna.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 129686

I dont understand.. What is the talk about using the BC895XLT with a computer? There is no pin connection in the back of my scaner..

On Fri, 22 Jan 1999 23:18:20 -0500, Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net> wrote:

>Rob Lang wrote:
>> Did Uniden change the connector? The BC895XLT has (or had?) a cutout
>> for card edge pins in the back of the radio, not a serial connector.
>> I built my cable but I'd be interested in any sources that sell them.
>> An acquaintance of mine who doesn't know which end of a soldering iron
>> to hold has asked me for a cable, and I'm not quite friendly enough
>> with him to bother building one for him!
>
>Go to my BC895 page and see the link for the schematic as well as
>the connector to buy for the radio end from Tech America:
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/bc895.htm
>
>Strong Signals,
>Rich Wells N2MCA
>http://www.strongsignals.net/

3234. Re: WinFLEX version 0.4 released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose Cuervo <jose512@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36AD556E.4365ACB4@flash.net>
Source record: 129687
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager

I e-mailed them. But no response in a week.

R Clark wrote:

> You can get a 4-Level FSK decoder from Texas 2-Way at (956)702-2481 or
> e-mail n5sim@aol.com.

--
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all unsolicited COMMERCIAL E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms

3235. Re: BC895XLT Computer cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Intac News <froggie@intac.com>
Message-ID: <WMcr2.278$cV.4549@news12.ispnews.com>
Source record: 129688

Its a slot with 5 pins that is labled remote.

Blue wrote in message <36ad4f93.44965118@news.bna.bellsouth.net>...
>I dont understand.. What is the talk about using the BC895XLT with a
>computer? There is no pin connection in the back of my scaner..
>
>On Fri, 22 Jan 1999 23:18:20 -0500, Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net> wrote:
>
>>Rob Lang wrote:
>>> Did Uniden change the connector? The BC895XLT has (or had?) a cutout
>>> for card edge pins in the back of the radio, not a serial connector.
>>> I built my cable but I'd be interested in any sources that sell them.
>>> An acquaintance of mine who doesn't know which end of a soldering iron
>>> to hold has asked me for a cable, and I'm not quite friendly enough
>>> with him to bother building one for him!
>>
>>Go to my BC895 page and see the link for the schematic as well as
>>the connector to buy for the radio end from Tech America:
>>
>> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/bc895.htm
>>
>>Strong Signals,
>>Rich Wells N2MCA
>>http://www.strongsignals.net/
>

3236. Re: medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <36ad529e.6598043@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 129689

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 09:43:25 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> ka0ssf@hotmail.com wrote:
>> All this talk about my medictions
>
>This was a forge done by Blake Bowers.
>

The poor spelling indicates that it was written by you, John. You
can't fool me.

3237. MDT Monitoring: Please help ID these wavs!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: root@j00tel.net
Message-ID: <36ad617f.0@news.intnet.net>
Source record: 129690

Hi. I'm attaching 2 WAV's to this post, please download them and help me identify them. Sorry about their size (about 600k each). mdt1.wav is a sample of some data I found on 852.6875, a very busy frequency (all this type of data). It seems like there is a response in the background after each transmission or something, that sounds weaker or distant. mdt2.wav is a sample of some data I found on 155.8500, also a busy frequency (about one burst every 5-10 seconds). I have not been able to identify either of these, hopefully you all can help! These samples were taken from Pinellas County, FL (Tampa/St. Pete). Thanks!

73's
KF4OJV
Dan

3238. Re: CLA for 235xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36ad6805.52266825@news.value.net>
Source record: 129691

Cool, I'll pick up a 270-031 tomorrow at lunch.

Now, anyone know the part number for an additional charger/battery and
power cord?

Someone in another thread that the Pro-90 comes with an NiMH battery?
Is this correct?

If so, will it work fine in the 235?

I suspect you will get additional off charger time and better battery
life.

Mike

On 26 Jan 1999 03:29:04 GMT, talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal) wrote:

>>From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
>
>>Isn't the post about 1/8" too long? I looked at this one during my
>>lunch break and even though it fit the hole it stuck out too far.
>
>Yes, the 270-031 cord sticks out about 1/8", but it works great. I put my 235
>in the coffee cup holder on the front dash and run the 270-031 cord to the
>cigarette lighter about 12" underneath the cup holder. I just wish that Uniden
>would have a switch that keeps the backlight lit when you use the lighter cord,
>that would be cool. (yes I know about the backlight mod, but that's not the
>same thing).
>
>talkyal

3239. Re: MDT Monitoring: Please help ID these wavs!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: baracuda@IntNet.net
Message-ID: <36ad716c.0@news.intnet.net>
Source record: 129692

Please download the WAV's from:

http://www.intnet.net/~baracuda/mdt1.wav

and

http://www.intnet.net/~baracuda/mdt2.wav

Thanks, sorry I couldn't get the attachments to you via NNTP.

73's
KF4OJV
Dan

Dan (root@j00tel.net) wrote:

: Hi. I'm attaching 2 WAV's to this post, please download them and help me
: identify them. Sorry about their size (about 600k each). mdt1.wav is a
: sample of some data I found on 852.6875, a very busy frequency (all this
: type of data). It seems like there is a response in the background after
: each transmission or something, that sounds weaker or distant. mdt2.wav
: is a sample of some data I found on 155.8500, also a busy frequency (about
: one burst every 5-10 seconds). I have not been able to identify either of
: these, hopefully you all can help! These samples were taken from Pinellas
: County, FL (Tampa/St. Pete). Thanks!

: 73's
: KF4OJV
: Dan

3240. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael.Lowenthal@usa.net
Message-ID: <36af71c6.30275043@news-server>
Source record: 129693

On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 21:19:50 GMT, lcw999@worldnet.att.net (Leonard Weldon) wrote:

>
>Ref: PCR1000 Icom vs Winradio....
>
>All the reviews I've seen on the net comparing the two
>the ICOM wins hands down. It is shielded better and the
>S/N ratio is superior.

Which Winradio are you talking about? The Winradio 1500e I have is shielded much better and has a much more robust construction than the PCR1000 which I tested thoroughly before I decided on the Winradio.

>I had bought the ICOM before seeing any tests, mainly
>because of ICOM's long term experience in the ham
>radio field as well as making top-of-the-line Short
>wave radios. I haven't been disappointed.

Sounds like an advertisement! :-) Brand name loyalty is indeed an admirable thing, as long is doesn't prevent one from considering new alternatives which may perform better or be better value for money. I personally feel that Icom has lost the plot ages ago, but I am still prepared to consider them again (or anyone else for that matter) if they come up with something new and good in the future.

>-----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeeds.com/ The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
>-----------== Over 66,000 Groups, Plus a Dedicated Binaries Server ==----------

3241. Re: remote control for Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Knut Otterbeck <otterbec@online.no>
Message-ID: <78k4uf$5e2$1@romeo.dax.net>
Source record: 129694

You will want to check the following link for more information
on Joe Montalbano's solution to this:

http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj/index.html

Please then check the link: PROgramit IRext (for remote control)

Regards,
Knut Otterbeck

chrisjay@camalott.com wrote in message <78j38o$agc@enews2.newsguy.com>...
>Does anyone know how to build a remote control device for a 2006. I
>read somewhere that Bill Cheek had designed one and published in a
>magazine some years back. The device would allow you to control
>searching without touching the unit.
>
>If you have the plans or know where to find them, I would greatly
>appreciate any information.
>
>Thanks a zillion!
>
>chrisjay@camalott.com
>

3242. Re: WinFLEX version 0.4 released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ingra-Beton@t-online.de
Message-ID: <36AD9D8C.9B1DB678@t-online.de>
Source record: 129695
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager

Jose Cuervo schrieb:
>
> I e-mailed them. But no response in a week.
>
> R Clark wrote:
>
> > You can get a 4-Level FSK decoder from Texas 2-Way at (956)702-2481 or
> > e-mail n5sim@aol.com.

You can find the circuit diagram, description ... and everything
else at:

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/4039/IINFO.HTM

for 2 and 4 level POCSAG/FLEX/GOLAY FSK interfaces.

Inclusive Input Buffer Gain, low pass filter, calibration description
and everything else.

Greetings,

3243. Re: BC210XL - Schematic/Info Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwengvall@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78ke3ilim1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129696

In article <368be31f.233612726@news.sprynet.com>,
jimmiew@sprynet.com (Jim West) wrote:
> I need to get at the discriminator output of my Bearcat 210XL. I've
> tried Bill Cheek's list of scanners/discriminator locations, but the
> 210XL is not listed. Can anyone help me locate the correct IC and pin?
> Or if you have a schematic, I'd be willing to pay for copying and
> postage.
>
> Thanks for any help,
>
> Jim West
> I received a BC 210XL from a friend - there was no manual, and I would like information on programming. Not like my BC 300 at all. Particular interest in entering range to scan ie. upper and lower limits.

Thanks for any help on this matter
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3244. Re: FEMA frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: donhark@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78kql8$10r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129697

Thank you very much, if you need anything for San Antonio Texas area let me know.

donhark

In article <78jhua$81c$1@news4.wt.net>,
"John Habbinga" <habbinga@wt.net> wrote:
> 128.225, 138.575, 139.825, 139.950, 140.025, 140.900, 140.925, 141.725,
> 142.375, 142.400, 142.925, 142.975, 143.625, 164.8625, 168.075, 168.100,
> 168.350, 168.400, 168.700, 169.600, 169.875, 170.200 MHz.
>
> donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
> <78jf03$t3b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >I am looking for frequencies for FEMA. If anyone knows what
> >frequencies they use can you tell me?
> >
> >donhark
> >
> >-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> >http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3245. Re: Looking for Cell Mod's for BC895xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: revRecluse@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10790-36AD9141-1@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 129698

There is no Hooters in north Phoenix. Only one ,in downtown Phoenix, and another in downtown Tempe. Neither is in the north end of town, nor by the interstate.

"Consider the lilies. While your`re doing that, i`ll be over here, looking through all your stuff". Jack Handey

3246. Re: Good God, I can't take anymore!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bpk@home.com
Message-ID: <36ADA574.646F03A5@home.com>
Source record: 129699

And this has to do with scanners in what way?I think you need a shrink.Maybe he can up your med dosage.Please John just let it go and join another newsgroup so we do not have to hear this crap anymore.Pretty please?

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > this newsgroup. Simple majority wins. Whaddya say Mackey, if
> > we vote you out, your butt is outta here, fair enough?
>
> NO! The popular thing is not always the right thing. For example, the way
> this country is dealing with the aggression & tactics of Iraq is not very
> popular, but many of us know it is the right thing to prevent that terrible
> evil from causing a disaster.
>
> Same thing here, several of us made a committment in summer 1996
> to protect this NG from aggression to ensure the future enjoyment
> for all radio hobbyists. I intend to stand up against agressers
> when they try to attack.
>
> Being a man of my word, that is all I can do.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3247. edmonton alberta mdt Freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Rattray <grattray@sprint.ca>
Message-ID: <QPhr2.1141$b8.558201@hme2.newscontent-01.sprint.ca>
Source record: 129700

Is anyone aware of any MDT freq's in Edmonton Alberta?

3248. FS: Alinco DJ580T Dual band HT, Rapid Charger and 5W pack - $250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bodoh@splitrocktel.net
Message-ID: <917350190.919411@nntpcache1.nortel.net>
Source record: 129701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

For sale: Alinco DJ580T dual band (2m/440) handheld transceiver. Also included is a Mastercharger I rapid charger and a 5 watt battery pack. Price is $250 firm,payment by Postal Money order, I pay your choice of UPS or USPS shipping. USA sales only, no trades, no COD.

Tom, N0YGT

3249. Re: MDT Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdtmon@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <78lh4l$k4u$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129702

In article <78i4ja$8nc$1@news-2.news.gte.net>,
"Dean, Greg" <N9NWO@GTE.net> wrote:
> Any web pages for MDT monitoring?
>
> What equipment and programs are needed?
>

Check out MDT Monitor for Windows at

http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646/index.html

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3250. Re: FL. NEXTEL USERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wildcat <wildcat@tp.net>
Message-ID: <jljr2.22$Jd2.170@news13.ispnews.com>
Source record: 129703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Hey AL, Ive just killfiled you. I suggest everyone else on the NG do the same thing. If you think Im going to look at your Nextel posting until Dec. 23, 1999, guess again.

--

Some people look at what is and ask why.....
Other people look at what isn't and ask why not.....

.
AL wrote in message ...
>If you'd enjoy chatting with other Florida Nextel users, as I have been
>doing,lets exchange ID information. I've met many nice people, thus far,
>with whom I chat daily via the NEXTEL DIRECT CONNECT (2 way radio) system.
>As a ham radio operator I can tell you that this system is really fun to
>use, is similar to ham radio, without the need for a license. Please read
>my webpage for more information.I look forward to hearing from FLORIDA
>users.
>http://www.geocities.com/SouthBeach/Coast/2863

3251. Re: Looking for Cell Mod's for BC895xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Goldman <ajgxii1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0Mjr2.2931$RK5.2293@news.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 129704

Actually he is right. There is a Hooters on Bell Road about a mile west of I-17. It is actually Northwest Phoenix if you want to be technical, but he is right.....

:>)

Kelly Mcconkey wrote in message
<10790-36AD9141-1@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>...
There is no Hooters in north Phoenix. Only one ,in downtown Phoenix, and another in downtown Tempe. Neither is in the north end of town, nor by the interstate.

"Consider the lilies. While your`re doing that, i`ll be over here, looking through all your stuff". Jack Handey

3252. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <78khmi$7ul$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 129705

Michael Lowenthal wrote in message <36af71c6.30275043@news-server>...
>Which Winradio are you talking about? The Winradio 1500e I have is
>shielded much better and has a much more robust construction than the
>PCR1000 which I tested thoroughly before I decided on the Winradio.

Oh come on. 'shielded much better'? What exactly makes an overlapping
aluminum case any different than an overlapping steel case? Same for 'more
robust construction'. You could pound nails with either one.

Quote performance if anything.

Clay

3253. Re: John Mackey FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolph <dolph@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <36ad8472.0@news.qnet.com>
Source record: 129706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Even Though I HATE this childish Cheek/ Mackey BULLSHIT!!!!!
I'd have to wholeheartedly agree that mackey hasn't been worth a shit all along! and if it wasn't scanners he'd be at alt.electric.toasteroven startin the same shit so I'm going to learn how to filter my newsgroup reading to the fullest extent!!!!!
Goodnight Friends!!!!! (don't post anymore on these idiots!!! All you're doing is giving them their 15 minutes of fame!!! so if you care about the interest of this entire group, just think before you post!!!)
Happy Scanning!!!!!
bpk@home.com wrote in message <36A9841A.65FDDF57@home.com>...
>I know Bill Cheek gave us some helpful information about scanners with
>his faq.John-where are your helpful hints about scanning? Oh that's
>right you know nothing about scanners and you just hang around here
>because you can't get the hint that most people want your pain in the
>ass ego out of here.Maybe your for answers to questions would be "how to
>be a pain in the ass"!

3254. Re: Automobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: ajmedia@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990126092620.28298.00003291@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 129707

>"Steve W." wrote:
>
>> Could be because in most US states that would be illegal for people without
>> a permit or ham ticket to have in a vehicle.

It was only illegal in one state that I lived in (NJ).. But that law was changed..

AJMedia@nospam.com

3255. Chicago area scanning club CARMA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990126092852.05428.00003061@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 129708

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association's newsletter has been converted to an e-mail list!

All list functions, help files, etc can be accessed through our host's web site:

http://www.qth.net

To subscribe manually send an e-mail message to:

majordomo@qth.net

In the body of the message type one of the below:

subscribe carma

-or-

subscribe carma-digest

(Whichever you prefer; the "subscribe carma-digest" command results in you receiving a compilation of messages, when ever the buffer is full - about 40k. The regular subscribe command results in you getting the individual messages as they are sent)

You will receive an authorization code and further instructions. Essentially, you just send the coded command back to majordomo@qth.net

Postings to the list go to:

carma@qth.net

You must send the subscribe commands, (all commands, in fact) from the same address you will use to receive the messages. Remember, commands go to majordomo@qth.net, postings go to carma@qth.net

You must be a subscriber to post to the list.

If you are a scanner buff in the chicago area you'll probably want to be a subscriber. We also hold regular meetings and sometimes tours in the Chicago area.

And don't forget our web page:

http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

(The FAQ for the list is posted there, as well as a great deal of useful hobby related information and links)

Or the BBS:

(630) 852-1292 8/n/1 ($15/yr for full membership)

Snail mail still goes to the PO Box, but we are no longer accepting new subscriptions to the printed version of the newsletter (or renewals). If ya got paper, send it to:

CARMA

PO BOX 2681

GLENVIEW IL 60025

Happy Scanning!

Ted Moran

CARMA List Admin

TandKMoran@AOL.COM

3256. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@wans.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1117815c1ed8e2e39897c5@news.wans.net>
Source record: 129709

I had a chance to briefly own both the PCR1000 and the WinRadio WR-1500e using them side by side. The WinRadio is clearly a much better made product as far as it's construction is concerned. I stated this in my review on the www.strongsignals.net site. However it is just as deaf and noisy below 30mhz. I have yet to see a radio competent below 30mhz that also does the vhf-uhf as well. Neither unit does as well or better than a similarly priced handheld however, and if you can live without the slick computer interface, there are much better choices.

3257. FA:Scope,Spectrum Analyzer,SW radio,Scanners, Kenwood Speaker, Scanner amps/tuners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kin Ho <bush143@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36ADD740.53E6@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner

Please check my auctions at Ebay. Most items are in original boxes with manuals. Here are a few item numbers (follow the Ebay link to see my long list of stuff) #60454794, 60332388, 60322103, 60454719, 60447898.

Here is a short list of some items: Kenwood speaker, spectrum display to turn any scope into a spectrum analyzer, NLS min-scope, Exact Sweep generator, digital Multi-meter, Sony SW stuff, Rare Phillips Al-999 SW, Panasonic Antenna tuner, scanners (hand held and base), and scanner amps and tuners. There will be more items listed by tomorrow.

Most of this stuff was purchased on impulse and have been sitting in my closet. Please e-mail with questions you may have regarding these items. Have a nice day.

3258. Re: BC895 discriminator tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bret <rf_freak65@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78km4vlsg1@news.iag.net>
Source record: 129711
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

John,
I went through this with my BC9000XLT.I Used a capacitor at the testpoint and the signal at the test point is very low level indeed. I was extremely frustrated with this for a while until I replaced a resistor in my data slicer with a lower value one. The resistor I replaced was the 3.3 k resistor from pin 3 of the LM741 to ground.I replaced this resistor with a 1 k and lo and behold my slicer worked perfectly.

I hope this helps you,
Bret

John McElroy wrote in message <01be481e$b07db640$822803c7@jjm.pr.mcs.net>...
>When tapping the discriminator on a BC895 using Greg Knox's tap point, as
>described at
>http://www.geocities.com/Area51/Hollow/4346/2003_bc895_tap.html
>is it necessary to use a capacitor to avoid the possibility of frying the
>scanner's discriminator? I'm under the impression that this tap point has
>the same general electrical characteristics as at pin 9 of the MC13371
>chip, such that I should attach the positive leg of a 2.2uF tantalum
>capacitor to the tap point and connect the other leg to the center of a
>female RCA jack. I've tried this set-up (with the appropriate grounding)
>using both 1uF and 10uF tantalum capacitors and have not gotten a usable
>signal.
>
>Can someone who has successfully tapped the discriminator at this
>particular point please make some specific suggestions about what
>components should be used.
>
>Thanks
>John
>

3259. Re: BC895 discriminator tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kf5oj@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <36ade261.3706255@news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 129712
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 25 Jan 1999 04:54:16 GMT, "John McElroy" <jjm@mcs.net> wrote:

>When tapping the discriminator on a BC895 using Greg Knox's tap point, as
>described at
>http://www.geocities.com/Area51/Hollow/4346/2003_bc895_tap.html
>is it necessary to use a capacitor to avoid the possibility of frying the
>scanner's discriminator? I'm under the impression that this tap point has

I used the tap point suggested by Greg Knox and it works Great. In
Trunker I get over 99% decode rate.

The coupling capacitor is for DC blocking and to prevent loading the
tap point.

I get the signal through a .1uf mono capacitor and a 1k resister in
series. I haven't measured the Voltage coming out of this tap point,
but it's obviousy enough.

Good Luck
Clifton Turner KF5OJ

3260. Re: Blake Bowers forging messages!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: TraumaRat <traumarat@mail.odyssey.net>
Message-ID: <36ADDE4D.42047F3@mail.odyssey.net>
Source record: 129713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services,alt.radio.scanner

Can we please take this little lover's spat somewhere else?

Thank you.

- Lee

3261. F.S.-Uniden BC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald R. Lloyd" <yaesu1@csrlink.net>
Message-ID: <78kp75$s7$1@pigpen.csrlink.net>
Source record: 129714

F.S. - BC9000XLT 500 ch scanner in good physical and operating condition with copy of manual- no box - 275.00 shipped -

3262. TrunkTracker vs Optocomm vs OptoTracker vs TrunkTrac

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dean, Greg" <N9NWO@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <78kq3c$465$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 129715
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Any experience with the TrunkTracker, Optoelectronics'
Optocomm and OptoTracker and the ScannMaster's
TrunkTrac?

I like the OptoTracker and TrunkTrac the best because
of being able to use my current equipment.

Any comments?

3263. Re: A Question for John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <W8mr2.159$BS2.390@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129716

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78jm68$2s7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...

>your comment sounds pretty lame coming from someone who is to chicken
>to post it using a legit ID & address!

So what is the address @HOME gave you John? They are your ISP right? Lame
and chicken....your patented trademarks Mackeyloon! Cluck cluck goes John.

3264. Re: Hey! Air Freeks! (Air Freqs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <78kqq0$g73@nntpa.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 129717

In article <36a8deb9.44065928@news.ntwrld.com>, Mike <nospam@spam.com> wrote:
>On 22 Jan 1999 14:18:42 GMT, tbone107@aol.com (Tbone107) wrote:
>
>>> Hey, tbone, where ya' been? The rest of the world has known about this
>>>site,
>>>like, forever, man.
>>>
>>
>>Hey, like, man...I keep seeing "where can I get airport frequency" posts every
>>few days, I'm terribly sorry if I wasted your time by posting this for those
>>who were not as worldly as you. Sorry also that I've only known about it for a
>>year, as opposed to forever. My head will forever hang in shame for not having
>>been born with http:// typed across my butt.
>
>
>GREAT FOLLOW-UP POST!! I know... This was the first time i've seen
>this site posted and I find it quite useful.. Thanks for the mention
>tbone!

yeah, mine too!

thanks

3265. Re: FEMA frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <JESUS_@_work_in_.me>
Message-ID: <36ADE64E.E7971BAF@_work_in_.me>
Source record: 129718

donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> I am looking for frequencies for FEMA. If anyone knows what
> frequencies they use can you tell me?
>
> donhark
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

==========
These are the FEMA listings I have.
138.575 139.450 139.825
139.950 140.025 142.350
142.375 142.425 142.975
All are in MHz
--

"73" from the cornfields of Indiana!

<'))>< WW - KB9TMP <'))><
email me at kb9tmp@usa.net
home page http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/

3266. Re: NNTP GENIUS MACKEY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <NTmr2.162$BS2.254@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129719

jmackey@usa.net wrote ...
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78he6u$90h$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>> >So then WHY are you using the NTR news server & not the one assigned to
>> >you?
>>
>> How can you even for a second think this is any of your business?
>
>Why are you avoiding giving an answer?

The question makes no sense. So what news server is assigned to me moron?
Don't advoid the question and not answer.

>Theft of service of new servers & internet access has beeen a prime concern
>of the members of this NG in the past.

Only when it came to you. Other then this lame attempt you are the only one
accused of suck misdeeds Mackeloon. I have never done ANY of the above. Onc
again Mackey smells himself.

>> Want me to send you a draft letter of that
>> complaint.

>Yes Steve please do write a draft complaint letter of your actions for
>me. thanks!

January 26, 1999

To Whom It May Concern,

This is to inform you that I am using your news server.
For some reason Mr. John E. Mackey feels I am stealing your services. Would
you set this boy straight. Thanks in advance.

Steve Daner

How's is that John, you friggin moron. If your anus was the same size as you
brain you would be constipated the rest of your life Mackey. Oh, you are.
Whoops.

3267. Re: medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <JVmr2.163$BS2.371@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129720

You are right again George!

Also John said Dejanews doesn't have forgeries.

George Vetterle wrote in message <36ad529e.6598043@news.thevision.net>...
>On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 09:43:25 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> ka0ssf@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> All this talk about my medictions
>>
>>This was a forge done by Blake Bowers.
>>
>
>The poor spelling indicates that it was written by you, John. You
>can't fool me.
>
>

3268. Re: IT WAS CHEEK-A-LIKES ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <70nr2.164$BS2.358@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129721

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78jo0v$49q$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>> How do you know there were problems if you were absent. LIAR!
>
>Go review the history of posts at www.dejanews.com, that shows

I did and posted some links showing your activities in a number of ham radio groups. Why did you choose to ignore that Liar-boy??????

>during my absenses!

^^^^^^^

What is your excuse here genius touch typist? You uneducated lout. East High didn't teach you anything did they Chief?

3269. Re: CLA for 235xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AE0041.5B6C16F5@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129722

mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:

> Cool, I'll pick up a 270-031 tomorrow at lunch.
>
> Now, anyone know the part number for an additional charger/battery and
> power cord?
>
> Someone in another thread that the Pro-90 comes with an NiMH battery?
> Is this correct?
>
> If so, will it work fine in the 235?

> I suspect you will get additional off charger time and better battery
> life.
>
> Mike

Mike,

The 270-031 is the recommended mobile power cord for the Pro-90,
and yes it does stick out a little too far, but it's the best one avaialable.

I am the one who posted the Pro-90 NiMh battery thing. What I said
is that I chose the Pro-90 because I prefer NiMh to Ni-Cad so I didn't
need the extra Ni-Cad battery that comes with the BC-235. The Pro-90
comes with the exact same Ni-Cad pack as the 235, except the 235 has
two of them and the charging tray.

It seems at the moment, the 235 is the better value anyway, available
for under $200 whereas the Pro-90 apparently isn't on sale.

Hope that helps,
Jon

3270. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: QESK41A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <78kvv8$2se0$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129723

What are the California laws regarding mobile radios capable of receiving public safety frequencies? If it is illegal, what rights does the law give the officers pertaining to seizure of that equipment?

Has this department been experiencing harmful interference, if so, there's your PC. If the harmful interference stopped after the seizure, the circumstantial evidence against you has just gotten worse.

I will assume that you have spoken to a lawyer. If the items were seized illegaly, your lawyer should have already filed motions to have the radios excluded as evidence and returned to you. If you haven't spoken to a lawyer, I suggest you do so immediately. Even a public defender is better than nothing.

Now come the big 2 questions:

Why were you going to the call? (photographer, reporter)

Why was the radio programmed to transmit when the software will allow you to program TX inhibit on a per channel basis?

Ed Burke

Radioman wrote:

>The facts are that I was going to a call that was dispatched over the radio
>and one of the officers heard the radio and asked what it was and I told him
>it was a radio.He took the radio and keyed it up and was talking to the
>dispatcher on it.The W.C. told the officer to take the radio and he did. I
>was contacted by a Det. and asked to come down to the station and ask some
>questions about the radio.They then searched my home and took my
>computers
>and radios.They then contacted Motorola and they few from FL. to CA to look
>at my computer.Motorola then sent a letter to the sheriff's Det. concerning
>the Lab and Depot software.They didn't care about the other software on the
>computer otherwise they would have brought it up.I told you that the other
>stuff wouldn't matter.

3271. Re: Regency Turbo Scan TS2 Manuel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsmtmn@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990126132623.29227.00003139@ng111.aol.com>
Source record: 129724

Hi Jerr...I copied the operators manual for you today. After reviewing the service manual though, I duscovered that pages 5-10 were missing and those are the service schematics. For now I will send you the Operators Manual and I've called Uniden to replace my service manual. As soon as that one comes in, I will send you a complete copy of that.

Dan
rsmtmn@aol.com

3272. Re: No Cussing Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack@sgi.net
Message-ID: <36AE389F.3E48@sgi.net>
Source record: 129725

If you think "hell" is cusing, you should read some other posts. With the amount of flaming on this newsgroup i'm suprised that you would allow your 6 yr. old to read them. The impression of this newsgroup coulden't get any lower than it is. By the way, hell is not one of the words in the forbidden word groups listed by the FCC, News Media, Motion Picture Industry. Your child hears worst than H E L L in school and at the movies.

By the way, I am NOT flaming your post. Just expressing my opinions, or is that against your policy also.

Trevor Harris wrote:
>
> This newsgroup is commonly read by New ham, and scanner blood. (ie. a 6
> year old General Ham in my group) Please lets not lower the impression
> of this newsgroup with that, or subjuct such young eyes or ears with
> cussing. Thank-You WB6SUB

3273. Optoelectronics Test Receiver only $699

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <opto@gate.net>
Message-ID: <78l3a9$1ip6$1@news.gate.net>
Source record: 129726

The Xplorer Test Receiver 14 day sale $699. Save $899.

Also check out the New Mini Scout $249.

3274. Sdane is a CHEEK-A-LIKE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdclampitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990126142117.18705.00003375@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 129727

>From: "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet>

>Only when it came to you. Other then this lame attempt you are the only one
>accused of suck misdeeds Mackeloon. I have never done ANY of the above.

No Steve, you have NOT,

Your only crime is to post GARBAGE at a rate near the record that other
Cheek-a-Like Butt Buddy of yours "Smalldik" has set in responding to BULLS**T
from mackey.

Be sure, Mackey is a GOON, but your neverending efforts to respond to EACH and
EVERY post of his puts you in the SAME CLASS AS HIM!

Must suck to be as bad, or worse than the guy you have devoted your life to
"One Upping" Too bad you sink lower with each post.

GET A LIFE, Cheek was in here just the other day telling all hi -a-likes that
they were on sale at Wal Mart. You must have messed the post.

Even YOU can afford one NOW!!!

Jed

3275. Re: IT WAS MACKEY ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya02348000260199113443000l@news.transport.com>
Source record: 129728

txjones@tyransportz.com (Tom Jones) wrote:
> > Bill Cheek has been gone for some time - and look at all the crap,
> > bullshit, off-topic posts, flames and canceled posts that currently exists

jmackey@usa.net whines:
> Yea, now all the Cheek-a-likes are attacking my in one big bully session
> to chicken to take me one individually!
> Now that what's his name is gone, the Cheek-a-likes are the problem!

Ohhh...poor lil' picked-on JohnnyMop "ToiletToy" Mackey! Cryin' and
a'whinin' about all the BIG BULLY's out to get him!

I think you missed the point, O'Dull One! These "Cheek-A-Likes", as you
deign to encumber them, are most probably *just* a little resentful that
because of YOUR bullshit and harrassment of Mr. Cheek, they now are forced
to forego the considerable value and quality of the content that he
regularly provided this and other newsgroups.

You stunk up the place with your rabid little unpleasantnesses, Cheek left
on that account, and we are left with ...? YOU? Bad deal - ask anyone!
All YOU can do is piss n' moan, complain and cry, blame others for your
shortcomings and foist POST after POST of off-topic drivel and snipe on an
unsuspecting 'public'.

Go 'way little boy - Yer Momma's callin' ya!

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

3276. Re: THIS GROUP IS FOR RADIO SCANNER RELATED TOPIC DISCUSSION...ALL OTHERS GO AWAY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya02348000260199144340001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 129729

Randal wrote:
> >Hey, you know who you are. Please stop littering this newsgroup with
> >your childish, off-topic nonsense.
> >We are here to talk about scanners and related topics.
> >- You are fucking this up for everyone who doesn't give a damn

Tell ToiletBoy. (Not that he'll listen). He'll just say you're ATTACKING him for NO GOOD REASON!

That's how all this got started, dontcha know? Someone asked him to knock it the fuck OFF! See what it led to?

That's what happens when ya tell a paranoid twit he's creating a problem!

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

3277. PRO-64 OWNERS MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: DCLIFF@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10271-36AE0E91-40@newsd-121.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 129730

Need a owners manual for a PRO-64 scanner. Anyone know a web site where
I can order one? thank's

{DENNIS IN GA.}☐ [@¿@]

3278. Re: Mackey Filter FAQ - Rec.Radio.Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480002601991149260001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 129731

In article <78idgv$c3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, ka0ssf_mackey@my-dejanews.com
wrote:

> The purpose of this FAQ is to inform the users of RRS of new Names being used
> by me to spoil the filters. I have a habit of changing my name becuase my
> audience shrinks rapidly. The names you should filter are;
>
> jmackey@usa.net kaossf@parg.org
> anyplace@nowhere.mil no-place@anywhere.com
> No-place@anywhere.org nowhere@anyplace.net
> jomackey@hotmail.com mackey@idiots.org
> nothing@anywhere.edu nowhere@anyplace.net
> no_adress@anywhere.com no.address@anywhere.gov
> No-address@No-where.com no_place@any-where.com
> no--place@any__where.com no-place@anywhere.net
> No--place@any-where.org no-where@no-place.com
> nothing@anywhere.edu
>
>
> More will be added as I lose my readership.
>
> Hey, I'm feeling better now that I am on my medications. My Dad called and
> said he doesn't hate me like he used to and the DNA results show I am an
> Uncle and a Father to the same child. Maybe someday she can have my children.

Johnny-Boy- You missed a few...

Starting with your MAIN addy, MyHeadIs@UpMyAss.org

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

3279. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480002601991207480001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 129732
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <78i0l4$s0e$1@remarQ.com>, "Radioman" <radioman@uia.net> wrote:

> I was arrested about a year ago and now I'm being charged with California
> Penal Code 499c "Trade secrets-theft of."
>
> I'm alleged to have in my possession the following items.
> Astro Depot tool ver.03.04.00
> MTSX Depot tool ver.02.00.01
> Spectra Lab tool ver.05.03.00
> STX Labtool
> System Key Generator
> System Watch Codeplug Generator-FTR ver.02.00
>
> Well it seems that Motorola really doesn't like people having these items
> and wrote a letter stating this fact to a Sheriff's Dept.They say in the
> letter that "All of the items above are not for sell to the general
> public.Depot Tools and Labtools are product development and service
> aids,which are not given away or issued to anyone with the exception of
> Motorola-owned services facilities.All of these items should be considered
> proprietary to motorola.They contain trade secrets and are copyrighted."
>
> There as you can tell some part of the story missing but it doesn't really
> matter.It just matters about this section.After the case is over I will tell
> you how I got arrested.
>...<snip>...

IMHO, the ONLY thing that MIGHT save your butt,(no joke intended - but it *is* State Prison, after all...) is HOW you got arrested. The Probable Cause, Warrant Specifics, Miranda status, Chain of Evidence and all of that BORING(yeah, right!) stuff. Were your rights violated? Dis the State use a 'reliable' Confidential Informant to set you up for Entrapment?

The facts of the case, i.e. that you possessed the implements would be sufficient probable cause that you used them, or intended to use them, and if you were found in possession of one or more System Keys, THAT ALONE would be enough to support a prima facie case against you. Depending on further evidence, you are probably going to have to stare down a Jury on this one.

Believe it or not, your best hope is probably that the evience is strong enough to make you overcome the natural disinclination to take the plea. See what you can get. If you don't rattle the system's cage, I'm betting they'll offer you 90 days, suspend the 9 months and 5 years probation plus costs. That'll net you County time instead of State time.

Take it... And next time don't tell anyone what you're up to... or what
you've got.

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

3280. Re: CLA for 235xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990126150555.27573.00002908@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 129733

The best place now to buy a BC235XLT is http://www.usascan.com

soemthing like $170 and free headphnoes



3281. Discriminator Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Papa <richard.papa@snet.net>
Message-ID: <36AE2916.C7E692BD@snet.net>
Source record: 129734

Is there available, a pre-etched discriminator interface board the one can purchase? I have come across several sites that have a circuit (based on LM741 chip and several diodes, resistors and a couple of capacitors) including an article in Monitoring Times (July 98) by B Cheek. I have web-searched but have come up empty handed.

3282. Re: 10-codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Gerald D. Payton" <gpayton@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36AE2CC5.AD478EDB@ibm.net>
Source record: 129735
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry, but to my knowledge, there isn't a "standard" 10 code list. Each department can and do modify them to their own usage. I suggest you go to the local Radio Shack and look in the back of the Police Call book there. It should have several versions there.
Jerry
==========

EJStuart wrote:

> I know that I'm at the risk of beating a dead horse, because this question
> has been asked 231.5 times by now, I'm sure, but...
>
> What is the standardized list of 10 codes?
>
> I search the web and I get 5 different lists that tell me 5 different
> things....Is there just no nationwide standard because each law agency
> devises there own? If so, I'd appreciate the 10 codes for the west central
> region of Wisconsin, if it wouldn't bother anyone too much =)
>
> Thanks much!
>
> -EJStuart

3283. Re: Good God, I can't take anymore!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: robd@vic.ozland.net.au
Message-ID: <MPG.11176cb4a714983e989681@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
Source record: 129746

In article <36ACAB09.E6E96B57@worldnet.att.net>, yonnie@worldnet.att.net
says...
>
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > Jesus H.....
> >
> > Even I can't stand this crap anymore. It's getting too ridiculous. John,
> > you've done it again. Congratulations on trashing the whole group.
> >
> > But then that's what filters are for.
> >
> > Goodbye for now, John. I may be back but I gotta take a break
> > PLONK!
> >
> > -Phil
>
> I have an idea. Let's have a vote on whether Mackay should leave
> this newsgroup. Simple majority wins. Whaddya say Mackey, if
> we vote you out, your butt is outta here, fair enough? If you win,
> we'll leave and you can have it all to yourself, you're the only one
> who will still be here. All in favor?
>
> Jon
>
>
You should all stick ya heads in the tiolet and flush ya selves.

3284. Re: WinFLEX version 0.4 released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: geezer2001@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78j250imu1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129747
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager

I couldn't get 0.3 to work, but this one works great! Looking forward to the enhancements.

Now, I'm ready to try building a 4 level interface to go with it. Which one to build?

geezer

In article <01be47f5$7b8313a0$dd464a0c@x.y.com>,
"beepman" <beepman@geocities.com> wrote:
> WinFLEX is a Windows application that allows you to monitor paging system
> messages using a radio scanner and data slicer circuit. This is still a
> BETA version and is not yet complete. A few features have been added since
> version 0.3 and more features will be added over the next few months. A
> Microsoft Word user's guide has been added to the zip file for detailed
> feature descriptions.
>
> The WinFLEX web site is...
>
> http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/9339/
>
> Check it out.
>
> beepman
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3285. Re: High Capacity AA batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd1my@banet.net
Message-ID: <78j4d2khb1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129748

Get some NiMh. These are far superior to Ni Cads.

In article <#aQuDqJS#GA.211@rpc1285.daytonoh.ncr.com>,
"GARR" <garr@apexmail.com> wrote:
> I am looking for AA battery that will last longer than the current NiCads do
> in the handheld Trunktracker radios.
>
> Any help on brand that you or others have used would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3286. Re: WinFLEX version 0.4 released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: chainsaw@softhome.net
Message-ID: <36ad1238.2000702@news.auracom.com>
Source record: 129749
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager

On Tue, 26 Jan 1999 00:27:22 GMT, geezer2001@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>I couldn't get 0.3 to work, but this one works great! Looking forward to the
>enhancements.
>
>Now, I'm ready to try building a 4 level interface to go with it. Which one
>to build?
>

How many are there,I thought there was only one(well two)?
I'm looking at the one on the german site or whatever
language that is.
Which is the best way to go?

>geezer
>
>In article <01be47f5$7b8313a0$dd464a0c@x.y.com>,
> "beepman" <beepman@geocities.com> wrote:
>> WinFLEX is a Windows application that allows you to monitor paging system
>> messages using a radio scanner and data slicer circuit. This is still a
>> BETA version and is not yet complete. A few features have been added since
>> version 0.3 and more features will be added over the next few months. A
>> Microsoft Word user's guide has been added to the zip file for detailed
>> feature descriptions.
>>
>> The WinFLEX web site is...
>>
>> http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/9339/
>>
>> Check it out.
>>
>> beepman
>>
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3287. Re: RS Scanner Antennas (20-014)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <78j9vv$p6v$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The RS 20-014 groundplane is BIG! The vertical element is about 7 ft high and the horizontal radials are about 6 to 7 ft each, making the total diameter the base about 13 ft. This antenna works well, particularly in the VHF low band, and is worth putting up with the huge size. Too bad RS has discontinued it.

In article <36aaed47.86661672@news.value.net>,
mikestuber@hotmail.com wrote:
> How big are these things?!
>
> On 23 Jan 1999 05:31:59 GMT, "Jon S. Van Allen"
> <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >HOUNDDOG wrote:
> >
> >> There are three left at the RS store on Union and Foxworthy in San Jose,
CA.
> >> The price is $6.97 on closeout.
> >
> >Helluva deal, I just picked up the last two in Salt Lake City.
> >In fact I'm putting one together now... Great fun..
> >
> >Jon
> >
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3288. Wacars problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AD07FB.1A7C9FBA@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129751

Is anyone using a Mitsuba 333 MHz Pentium II with the Wacars version 0.6

successfully? My sound card is a C-Media SB-16, can't get this dang
program
to do anything but show raw data.

I've tried everything, anyone using this computer with Wacars please
email me
with specifics. I think the soundcard has a compatability problem, but
can't nail
it down.

Thanks,
Jon

3289. Re: Where get info on DECT/WDCT for USA? (was Re: Not Secure: Panasonic 2.4GHz GigaRange cordless phones_

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdrevs@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78jatg$pr4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129752
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers.experiences,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

My local Office Depot has the Gigaset 2420 for $379 and add'l handset for
$129.

In article <QnMn2.9066$XY6.215347@news.san.rr.com>,
"Robert" <rciautomation@compuserve.com> wrote:
> Our company sells the Siemens Gigaset at a price less than Fry's. If you
> are not located in California, no sales tax will be applied either. Please
> see our website where
> there is very detailed information about the product. You can find it at:
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rciautomation/p31.htm
>
> If there are any questions that you have, please feel free to call us a
> 619-484-6307 or send us an e-mail.
>
> Regards,
>
> Robert
> RCI Automation
> rciautomation@csi.com
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rciautomation
>
> Mike Klein wrote in message ...
> >On 22-Dec-98 at 03:35, Gary Gapinski (glg@apk.net) wrote:
> >> Hello, Mike:
> >>
> >> Check out http://www.siemenscordless.com/cordless/cshop.html as well as
> >> the press releases at that site.
> >>
> >> Regards,
> >>
> >> Gary
> >>
> >> klein@chromatic.com wrote:
> >> <snip>
> >> > Where would I find availability and pricing info?
> >>
> >>It just so turns out that the local Fry's Electronics carries the Siemens
> >>Gigaset 2420! No discount, but there was no significant discount at any
> mail
> >>order or online places either.
> >>
> >>I bought the 2420 and an extra handset (total of 2) and they are excellent

> >quality. Sound quality is way superior to the Costco specials we've been
> >buying, and materials and build quality seems much better as well. Small
> >handset unit, very light.
> >
> > -Mike
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3290. Kentucky RIPOFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36AD0C08.D09CE58F@flash.net>
Source record: 129753

I was contacted by a reporter from WAVE3 television in Louisville.

She was very interested in having Mr. Kasey and the lack of local law enforcement action for the "sweeps week."

She and I talked at length about our favorite Internet shark, and she seemed genuinely interested. She had asked that I E-mail any information I might have accumulated, but I feel you are a better resource than I am. Although I told her I would send you E-mail address specifically, I think it would be much more beneficial if you were to call her yourself.

Her name is Julie Stuart, "Troubleshooter" for WAVE channel 3, her phone

number is 502.561.4147. The E-mail address she requested I send all information to is Troubleshooter@wave3tv.com

She didn't seem terribly computer literate, in respect to newsgroups and such.
I attempted to explain dejanews.com and Internet newsgroups, but I'm not sure she understood.She had asked for a website for Tom Kasey and I wasn't aware of one. I tried to explain the issue as best I could, but it seemed to become a long story. Maybe you can be more focused.

Please let me know what progress you make.

3291. Re: CLA for 235xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36ad1a39.1308753778@news.voicetek.com>
Source record: 129754
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Isn't the post about 1/8" too long? I looked at this one during my
lunch break and even though it fit the hole it stuck out too far.

Mike

On 25 Jan 1999 17:23:18 GMT, "Jon S. Van Allen"
<yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>I use the 270-031 cord for my Pro-90, which is actually a radar
>detector cord, but it fits the BC-235/Pro-90, has the proper
>1.3mm plug.
>
>Jon

3292. Pro-90 BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125205349.08761.00002916@ng97.aol.com>
Source record: 129755

Wich is really the better of the two? Except for the Ant button...i see no difference!

3293. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78j78d$18v$0@207.18.224.69>
Source record: 129756

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 20:26:51 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>> Well, more lies from Johnny. Bill Cheek has already given me the
>> information about his degree, and training, so I have held him to
>
>This info for you hero was to be provided to the NG of REC.RADIO.SCANNER,
>NOT to you for your review. As indicated in your post as recent as your
>forge's yesterday, you can not be trusted Blake BOwers!
>
Yet, you lie again. You told me, that you would provide me with the
information, if I held Bill Cheek to the same standard. I have done
that. Bill has provided me with that information, and you have
not, proving that John Mackey is a liar, and not a man of his word.

3294. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78j7bg$18v$1@207.18.224.69>
Source record: 129757

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 20:10:47 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>> Sheesh. In fact, the only "major" cause of the flames here is John
>> Mackey!
>
>Which have been PROVEN to be forges posted by YOU, BLake Bowers!
>
Show me one flame, that is also a forge, that has been proven to be
posted by me. One. You can't as you are a liar.

3295. remote control for Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: chrisjay@camalott.com
Message-ID: <78j38o$agc@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 129758

Does anyone know how to build a remote control device for a 2006. I read somewhere that Bill Cheek had designed one and published in a magazine some years back. The device would allow you to control searching without touching the unit.

If you have the plans or know where to find them, I would greatly appreciate any information.

Thanks a zillion!

chrisjay@camalott.com

3296. Re: A Question for John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78j7j0$18v$2@207.18.224.69>
Source record: 129759

On 25 Jan 1999 19:58:35 GMT, jdclampitt@aol.com (Jdclampitt) wrote:

>>From: "Phil Smalley"
>
>>Stop dancing around the issue John. I caught you in a lie, and you know it.
>
>THEN SHUT THE H*LL UP AND POST THE PROOF.
>
He has posted the proof, as many others have, showing
John to be a liar.

But, anyways... What kind of monitoring equipment do you use? I have
great luck with a Pro-2004, Motorola M1225, and IFR1200 s.

In the van, I use a Motorola GTX mobile for 800, Spectra for UHF,
and another Spectra for VHF. I also have a MR8100 scanner.

3297. Re: trouble with cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990125210905.28980.00003414@ng09.aol.com>
Source record: 129760

>From: barryo@fastlink.com.au (Barry O'Grady)

>A wiser man knows the last word should be to.

Thank you Barry.... I didn't say I was the wise man. I corrected the word.

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

3298. FEMA frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: donhark@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78jf03$t3b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129761

I am looking for frequencies for FEMA. If anyone knows what
frequencies they use can you tell me?

donhark

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3299. Re: John's drivel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78j8j6$500$0@207.18.224.69>
Source record: 129762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 20:20:23 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>> that he has added to further his campaign of hate.
>
>Blake, I have no hate for you. I simply posted the PROOF of your
>forged messages as me, per your request. If you don't like the
>attention, then don't forge any more messages under my ID.

No John, you have yet to prove anything except what a liar YOU are.

3300. Re: Pro-90 BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AD352A.352D97EA@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129763

Rabidfool wrote:

> Wich is really the better of the two? Except for the Ant button...i see no
> difference!

There is no difference, they are the same radio with slight cosmetic
variations.
Both of them in the newer versions have the attenuator button. Older version
doesn't. Bearcat comes with 2 batteries and a charger tray, RS doesn't.

RS version looks better in my opinion, small potatoes in the big picture.

Toss a coin, heads get a BC-235, tails get a Pro-90. I bought the Pro-90
because I went with NiMh batteries, so the extra battery didn't matter to me.
Anyone want an extra Ni-Cad pack for a BC-235/Pro-90 real cheap like?

Happy scanning,
Jon

3301. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125222323.18902.00003356@ng25.aol.com>
Source record: 129764

>From: "Radioman" <radioman@uia.net>

>They are saying that there are Trade secrets in LAB
>or DEPOT software.
>In what part of the software are there trade secrets?

OK, let's keep the discussion to software piracy laws. All corporate software is copyrighted, unless it is declared shareware and explicitly stated that it is offered for the public domain. Motorola, being a huge company, has, probably thousands of copyrights on all their software. The "trade secrets" that you are writing about is nothing more than Motorola copyrights on its software. Motorola probably spent millions of dollars in research & development to acquire unique software specifically and legally owned by Motorola. For you to possess this software, or extremely close variations of this specific software, (you are innocent until proven guilty and it is up to a Court of Law to decide whether you did), is a violation of software piracy laws.

For example, in this newsgroup, there is an individual creating an APCO-25 digital scanner capable of receiving Motorola ASTRO radio digital signals and converting them into analog signals.
To do this, he had to use software in his digital-to-analog convertor. In doing so, he probably has used algorithms and programming software which may come close to resembling the actual Motorola software used in the actual ASTRO radio. If this is true, then Motorola can take legal action against him.
Sorry, but in the United States, everything is copyrighted, and you've got to pay the owners lots of money to play with their toys. 8- (

talkyal

3302. Re: CLA for 235xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125222904.18902.00003360@ng25.aol.com>
Source record: 129765

>From: mikestuber@hotmail.com

>Isn't the post about 1/8" too long? I looked at this one during my
>lunch break and even though it fit the hole it stuck out too far.

Yes, the 270-031 cord sticks out about 1/8", but it works great. I put my 235 in the coffee cup holder on the front dash and run the 270-031 cord to the cigarette lighter about 12" underneath the cup holder. I just wish that Uniden would have a switch that keeps the backlight lit when you use the lighter cord, that would be cool. (yes I know about the backlight mod, but that's not the same thing).

talkyal

3303. Re: Pro-90 BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125223309.18902.00003365@ng25.aol.com>
Source record: 129766

>From: rabidfool@aol.com (Rabidfool)

>Wich is really the better of the two? Except for the Ant button...i see no
>difference!

Price: the BC235XLT can be found on the internet (www.usascan.com) for much lower price than the PRO-90 at Radio Shack.

Ergonomics: if you look closely at the PRO-90, it is a little smoother and more sculptured, more contoured than the BC235XLT, which is more squared-off.

talkyal

3304. Re: What is this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AD38FC.71C8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129767
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Rich,

You're hearing a Pager system.

Have a look at the following URL for info on how to decode it.

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/4039/

Bob

Richard Pierik wrote:
>
> I happen upon this type of transmission on a regular basis. I know
> a lot > of you know what it is. Could you explain for my benefit
> and the benefit of others. Any advise on decoding would be
> appreciated. thanks.
>
> click below
>
> <http://www3.ns.sympatico.ca/pierik-connors/temp/sound1.WAV>
>
> Rich

3305. Re: Good God, I can't take anymore!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: robd@vic.ozland.net.au
Message-ID: <36af38dd.1455855@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
Source record: 129768

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 12:38:05 -0700, "Choco Boco" <thumbs@meow.net>
wrote:

>Filters engaged. Game over. John Mackey - You Loose!
>
>Rob, you're there too.
>
>Big Smiles!
>
>
>Rob wrote in message ...
>>In article <36ACAB09.E6E96B57@worldnet.att.net>, yonnie@worldnet.att.net
>>says...
>>>
>>>
>>> Phil Smalley wrote:
>>>
>>> > Jesus H.....
>>> >
>>> > Even I can't stand this crap anymore. It's getting too ridiculous.
>John,
>>> > you've done it again. Congratulations on trashing the whole group.
>>> >
>>> > But then that's what filters are for.
>>> >
>>> > Goodbye for now, John. I may be back but I gotta take a break
>>> > PLONK!
>>> >
>>> > -Phil
>>>
>>> I have an idea. Let's have a vote on whether Mackay should leave
>>> this newsgroup. Simple majority wins. Whaddya say Mackey, if
>>> we vote you out, your butt is outta here, fair enough? If you win,
>>> we'll leave and you can have it all to yourself, you're the only one
>>> who will still be here. All in favor?
>>>
>>> Jon
>>>
>>>
>>You should all stick ya heads in the tiolet and flush ya selves.
>
I can't wait

3306. Re: Off Subject: CB group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kut7bh@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125224502.22198.00004083@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 129769

>Subject: Off Subject: CB group?
>From: "L.P." <fires@cjnetworks.com>
>Date: 1/25/99 8:59 AM Mountain Standard Time
>Message-id: <36AC94D7.35B586E@cjnetworks.com>
>
>Is there a group that deals with CBs.

Sure there is: add rec.radio.cb to your list of subscribed newsgroups. But be forewarned; there's a few looney toons in rec.radio.cb as well. 73.

3307. Re: CHP, new channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: PDWK66A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <78jdvf$1ahs$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129770

California Highway Patrol = CHP

1999-01-25 = 1999 Jan 25
yyyy-mm-dd = the date format of the future!

RANCHO CUCAMONGA is now the BEIGE-1, which is 39.880 MHz (BASE) and 42.08 (CAR). PL is 118.8 Hz.

RIVERSIDE is now the TURQUOISE-1, which is 39.680 MHz (BASE) and 42.02 MHz (CAR). PL is also 118.8 Hz.

JOHN

>>Does anyone have the new beige channel that was created in November?
>>It was created to split the Riverside & Rancho station which use to share >>the same frequency. Riverside is now on Turquoise.
>>

3308. Re: Computer Interface Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: hh357@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125225354.19505.00001420@ngol01.aol.com>
Source record: 129771

In article <36a6b314.0@news.oaktree.net>, "Dan" <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net> writes:

>
>>Q: What does the scanner "look like" after it has been modified to
>>interface with a computer? Is there a cable hanging off of the scanner, or
>>is there a plug on the scanner to accept a cable??
>>
>
>What kind of scanner and computer interface?
>
>If you're talking about an Opto board to use on a RS Pro scanner like the
>2006, the only visible sign is a 9 pin serial connector on the back of the
>scanner where the battery cover was originally located

Plus the real nice decal from Opto that goes on the top of the front panel.

H Higgins

| The price of freedom is eternal vigilance. |

3309. Uniden 144 XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: atukolm@xoommail.com
Message-ID: <36ad3667.495693@news.toolcity.net>
Source record: 129772

Anyone familier with the Uniden 144. a friend has one. It seems to
pickup alot of junk noise (static, hash). turning up the squelch only
keeps it from picking up weak signals. Locking out the offending
freq`s. only has all the channels eventually locked out.
Is this a junk unit?
thanks,
Mike

3310. Re: Automobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AD418A.6F7F3332@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129773

"Steve W." wrote:

> Could be because in most US states that would be illegal for people without
> a permit or ham ticket to have in a vehicle.

Not true. Very few US states have restrictions on scanners in vehicles.

3311. Only PHREAKS Transmit To McDonalds!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdclampitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125232839.28294.00003132@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 129774

>From: maggnum@webtel.net.au (Dave)

>>I was wondering if it was possible to transmit on the McDonalds drive
>>through headsets? I asked around before and I think someone told me to get a
..

LIFE maybe????

Geez, what kind of no life loser would want to talk to the chick at the
McDonald's window through the headset. DEFINATELY NOT the way to get laid.

She'd probably think you were stalking her and... well you get my point.

If you're trying to get a number, just grow some balls and go up and ask.

Next thing you know this phreak is going to want to jam on cordless fones...

3312. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdclampitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990125233002.28294.00003134@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 129775

>From: maggnum@webtel.net.au (Dave)

>Dream on!!, scanners don't have the circuits inside them to be able to
>make them transmit. If you want a wide band transmitter, go buy a duel
>band Ham radio, such as one of the Alinco's, and have it modified
>

This is the IDIOT who wants to stalk, I mean talk to McDonald's chicks on their headset.

Ha!

3313. Re: Good God, I can't take anymore!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78jl0i$20b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129776

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> you've done it again. Congratulations on trashing the whole group.

No really Phil, all thanks are owed to you!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3314. Re: NNTP GENIUS MACKEY!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78jl7u$22g$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129777

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78he6u$90h$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >So then WHY are you using the NTR news server & not the one assigned to
> >you?
>
> How can you even for a second think this is any of your business?

Why are you avoiding giving an answer?
Theft of service of new servers & internet access has beeen a prime concern
of the members of this NG in the past.

> Want me to send you a draft letter of that
> complaint.

Yes Steve please do write a draft complaint letter of your actions for
me. thanks!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3315. Re: A Question for John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78jleg$261$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129778

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I take it that they are INVALID
> BOGUS MADE UP id's for you.

Correct, they are invalid.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3316. Re: Good God, I can't take anymore!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78jm1p$2k1$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129779

"Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> this newsgroup. Simple majority wins. Whaddya say Mackey, if
> we vote you out, your butt is outta here, fair enough?

NO! The popular thing is not always the right thing. For example, the way this country is dealing with the aggression & tactics of Iraq is not very popular, but many of us know it is the right thing to prevent that terrible evil from causing a disaster.

Same thing here, several of us made a committment in summer 1996 to protect this NG from aggression to ensure the future enjoyment for all radio hobbyists. I intend to stand up against agressers when they try to attack.

Being a man of my word, that is all I can do.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3317. Re: A Question for John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78jm68$2s7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129780

> Tripp <gevcc@fxhm.arg> wrote:
> > ... Anyone who has read these scanner groups for more than a couple weeks
> > knows first hand what an idiot

your comment sounds pretty lame coming from someone who is to chicken
to post it using a legit ID & address!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3318. Re: Center freq/xmit locations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Magnus <ronm@vista.hevanet.com>
Message-ID: <78ji41$7pa$1@glisan.hevanet.com>
Source record: 129781
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In rec.aviation.piloting JStricker <jstricke@odsys.nospam.net> wrote:

Hello All.

Good question. Just call a FSDO. They have all that info.

Ron Magnus
Portland, OR

: John,

: That would be a long list. In my area, KC Center remotes off of a lot of
: spots. And you never know for sure when they are going to be active.

: area you're interested in. But it doesn't show the location of all the
: remote transmitters and even if it did you wouldn't know if it was active at
: any given time.

: John Stricker

: --
: Remove the "nosp.........." Oh hell, you folks know what to do and
: why I had to put it in. If one of you real humans wants to contact me:

: jstricke@odsys.net

: "I didn't spend all these years getting to the top of the food chain
: just to become a vegetarian"

: JA Moran wrote in message <36AC260E.7E960C06@analon.com>...
:>Anyone have a list of Center frequency and transmit locations.
:>
:>I was near San Bernardino and Redlands California and was able to monitor
: the
:>Los Angeles center frequency 133.75 as well as several other center and
: Socal
:>frequencies.
:>
:>Instead of just knowing the city or town, I's like either a
: latitude/longitude
:>or name of the hill or place the transmitter is located.
:>
:>JOHN
:>
:>--
:>--
: -----

```
:>
:>> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
:><
:>> Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(:
: MS-Windows ):<
:>> Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit
: bucket!<
:>> if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
:><
:>+++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They loose payments!, Say I didn't
: pay!
:>--------------------------------------------------------------------------
: -----
:>
:>
:>
```

3319. Re: W and W manufacturing ripped me off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78jna4$3i4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I had similar problems as described below!

About 3 or 4 years ago myself & 3 friends bought a shipment
of 4 batteries for Icom-W2AT ht's. They were having a really
good bargain sale so we all bought them at the same time to save on
shipping costs & the batteries were all the same model

Within 6 months 3 of the 4 batteries were broken on the area of
where the rails grip to the radio. I contacted W&W associates
since we made the purchase directly from them & NOT one of their
dealers. I explained the situation to a lady named "MaryAnne" who
told me I was being to rough on the batteries. I told her that
these 3 broken battteries were all on different radios owned/used
by different people. Her response was that we were all to rough
on them. I told her that was not true & that one of the guys takes
IMMACULATE care of his radio & it still looks like it is (was) brand new.
She became very bitchy & refused to do anything & eventually hung up
on me. Next I called AGAIN to the manager who said basically the same
as "MaryAnne" but did offer to re-case our battery packs. I no longer
remember the price he gave but I only remember that the cost of shipping
both ways PLUS the cost he was going to charge for the new battery
cases was nearly the cost of a new battery from a competitor. (W&W was
NOT willing to help or give us a break on the prices) The manager
was also very rude & bitchy. We bought new packs from another company.

The Dayton Hamvention was coming up very soon, so I found their booth
at the convention. I bitched as loud as I could so as many customers
could hear me to both (seperately) "MaryAnne" & the manager. I did
that every year for the next 2 or 3 years at Dayton hoping to warn
as many prospective customers as I could. Finally, After a few years
I heard that they had new ownership & management and that "MaryAnne" was
no longer there. I thought or hoped they had changed, but your
story sounds like it is still the same.

> > spamkill@interl.net wrote:
> > > I hate posting one of these messages to any kind of forum but these
> > > folks leave me no choice.
> > > Their representative hung up on my xyl when she was trying to resolve
> > > the matter to the satisfaction of myself and the company. Hence, I
> > > have no choice but to post it here. I hope it serves as a warning to
> > > others who might consider doing business with these people.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3320. Re: Looking for Cell Mod's for BC895xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78jnqs$40a$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129783

"Choco Boco" <**********@alliedsignal.com> wrote:
> To Mr. (???) John Mackey :
> Regarding your post that me and Phil Smalley are one and the same. I can
> tell you this, you horrid little worm - I am not Phil.... Come to Phoenix,
> and both Phil and I will meet you at the airport. It seems that Phil and I
> have a mutual friend. And our friend introduced us last night. We would
> be more then happy to prove to you that we are 2 different people.

Yea that would be nice as the weather is nice there & I used to live
in Phoenix for a short time. But at this time I have NO TIME for
traveling to SKY HARBOR or any other airport with 2 exceptions
due to family health of elders.

So instead you can post your name here or sent it to me in private
e-mail & I'll check it out!

Then perhaps someday in the future we can meet for a drink at HOOTER'S
on the north end of town a little east of the interstate!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3321. Re: IT WAS CHEEK-A-LIKES ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78jo0v$49q$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129784

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> How do you know there were problems if you were absent. LIAR!

Go review the history of posts at www.dejanews.com, that shows
the activity of the trouble makers before my arrival here &
during my absenses!

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3322. Re: IT WAS CHEEK-A-LIKES ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78jo6f$4ai$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129785

In article <78iidl$4tn$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>
> bpk@home.com wrote:
> > Precisely correct-besides Wackey there is no other person here who
> > causes and is disliked by posting off topic subjects as much as he
>
> The how do you explain all the problems that happned when I was
> absent from this NG?
>

Hey, Johnny Bluejeans,

I thought you was in the hospital. What did you do, sign your self out against medical advice? You know you ain't going to get better taking street drugs and drinking. What you really need to do is take your prescribed medications and get regular counseling and therapy.

I was hoping you would stay with the program this time but I guess losers is losers. Too bad.

/Sal

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3323. Help, Taking a trip and need Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimmi@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <jENr2.2045$EF.3474715@lwnws01.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 129486
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Getting on I-90w in Boston to I-84w. Taking I-84w in MA straight thru CT, NY and PA. In PA at the I-81s junction I'll be hoping off of I-84w and onto I-81s. Taking I-81s thru PA, MD, WV and VA. In VA at the I-77s junction I'll be hoping off of I-81s and onto I-77s. Taking I-77s thru VA into NC. In NC at the I-85s junction I'll be hopping off of I-77s and onto I-85s taking that thru NC, SC and GA right to my destination

I've looked at and have bookmarked a few sites that have some of the frequencies. The thing I'm in need of the most as well as frequencies though is State Police Station/Barrack designations. I'm looking for SP stations/barracks info as well as freqs for the area that I'll be driving through. I'd rather not program in SP stations/barracks for areas that I won't even be near. Any help in Station/Barrack info and/or Freq info for any part of my trip would be of great service. Thanks in advance.

PS. I already have the freqs for MA

Thanks again

James C. Martin
MediaOne Express
Technical Support Specialist
jimmi@mediaone.net
1-800-655-5501

****
Metro Traffic Control - Mobile 785
ComNet Radio - 785
Tac-7 Radio - 785
****

---
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/jimmi

MediaOne
This is Broadband!
This is the Way!

3324. FS: Scanner books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127184551.12021.00000060@ng100.aol.com>
Source record: 129487

I have the following for sale:

Bill Cheek's Scanner Modification Handbooks 1, 2 and 3. Excellent condition.
All three for $25.00 shipped in US

Scanners & Secret Frequencies
Military Monitoring by Steve Douglas
Both for $15.00 shipped in US

Thanks, Frank

3325. wtb: icom r10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: parker <maclean02@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <78oa48$81v$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 129488
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
icom r10 w/no gaps and pc cable
needs to be in mint condition
```

3326. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Augelli <someplace@anywhere.com>
Message-ID: <78oa4f$162$1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129489

tvsjr wrote in message <78npbg$1fn$1@juliana.sprynet.com>...
>Careful now, the guy really might be stupid enough to try this ;-)
>
>Blackjack Elliot wrote in message
><15798-36AE79D9-116@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
>As most scanner geeks do not know.... to get your scanner to transmit
>you'll need an antenna splitter (available at most cutlery shops)

Why can't he use a log splitter instead of an antenna splitter? I did with mine and it worked great.....Now I have 2 scanners, one that transmits and one that receives!

3327. Re: Only PHREAKS Transmit To McDonalds!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Augelli <someplace@anywhere.com>
Message-ID: <78oai2$4a3$1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129490

Rabidfool wrote in message <19990127190550.08309.00000120@ng148.aol.com>...
>I have seemed to set off a string of fireworks when posting this message. I
>just wanted to know so I could fool around with my friend that work there.
I
>would know like to know what is jamming? Is it when you sned a lot of noice
>onto a certain freq so it becomes un-useable?
>
>
Jamming is when you go to breakfast at McDonalds and tell the cute little
girl at the drive-up, you want to have it your way! Then you proceed to
smear her with jam and then have breakfast. I hope you like Jamming, too!
Bob Marley

3328. Pro 2004, 2005, 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <scanner@accessus.net>
Message-ID: <36AFDBEB.C76082C6@accessus.net>
Source record: 129491

Hello,
Can someone tell me the differences between the RS Pro 2004, 2005 ,
2006?
Thank You.
Jeff

3329. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78obk1$1uu$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129492

Jdclampitt wrote in message
<19990127181904.01573.00000029@ng-fq1.aol.com>...

>Don't worry, Phil is just trying to show off. What an IDIOT, if aren't sure of
>a fact, DON'T SAY IT!

This coming from the immature mind of someone who resorts to calling me "smalldik" because he can't come up with an original insult nor spell it properly.

Is that why you call yourself "Jed", so that no one questions why you sound more like an uneducated hick than an intelligent rational adult? How old are you anyway, 12? 13 perhaps?

I never once in my post stated that I knew for sure what the exact FCC reg's said. The post did what it was intended, it illicited responses from people who knew the regulations and could clarify the issue. Just in case you hadn't noticed, that's what a discussion group is intended to be used for, instead of these childish 3rd grade schoolyard pissing contests you and Mackey constantly try to start.

Talk about an idiot, how about someone who sole purpose in life is to piss people off just to get his jollies off of reading the reply? Well go on Jed, flame away. It's what you wanted right?

More than happy to oblige, pal.

-Phil

BTW: Why don't you repost that bit about being authorized to use deadly force because I posted to usenet? I got a really big laugh off of that one, that's hilarious. Further proof that you really are an uneducated pea-brain....ROTFL

3330. Re: Optoelectronics Test Receiver only $699

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: junglejim <junglejim@telix.com>
Message-ID: <36AFAA74.FEF00D71@telix.com>
Source record: 129493

Even if I can save almost $900 (yeh right) its still not worth it for
partial coverage!

Dean wrote:

> The Xplorer Test Receiver 14 day sale $699. Save $899.
>
> Also check out the New Mini Scout $249.

3331. Re: WTB LAS VEGAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Clark <jetguy1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36AF62C1.14E4C6F3@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 129494

Allen wrote:

> I just found out that I have got to be in Las Vegas in a couple of
> days. Can anyone send me a list of common Vegas frequencies. Normally
> I would do a search and not ask but I have a million and one things to
> take care of before I leave.
> Thanks,
> Allen

3332. monitoring pager vocal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: TopSecret 007 <scanbebe@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7KPr2.3619$6R.3300@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 129495

are what he/it exists a program (software) that permits of monitoring the vocal pagers only pager that I want to listen !!

a software that decodes and encode the vocal pagers

tank you / merci
je cherche un programme qui peut me permettre de monitorer seulement les pagettes vocale que je veut ecouter seulement sur des frequences pagette vocale

scanbebe@hotmail.com

3333. Re: Should I have waited for the BC245XLT?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andre Beaulieu <boldinf@cam.org>
Message-ID: <78ofjh$aq3@tandem.CAM.ORG>
Source record: 129496

No...

Curtis Bidulock wrote in message ...
>I've heard that the 235 has a computer interface is this true?

3334. Re: Goodyear Az (GYR) PD freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <simmont@extremezone.com>
Message-ID: <36AFBE44.C1D687B8@extremezone.com>
Source record: 129497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Goodyear PD Ch1 856.9625
Goodyear PD Ch2 855.4875 (Also used by City of Goodyear public works dept.)
Goodyear PD Ch3 855.9625 (old Fire frequency, Goodyear FD is now dispatched and operates
on the Phx FD radio system)

460.250 Supposed to be a tatical channel, unknown if it is actually used thou.

JA Moran wrote:

> anyone know the frequencies used by the Goodyear Police. I know they use an
> 800mhz
>
> I'm showing 855.4875, 856.9625 855.9625, 860.9625 and also 460.25
>
> are these current or does my list need updating?
>
> --
> ---
>
> > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
> <
> > Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(: MS-Windows):<
> > Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
> > if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
> <
> +++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They loose payments!, Say I didn't pay!
> ---

--

Charles Simmont - ICP509 ICQ# 19943355
http://www.extremezone.com/~simmont/
Incident Command Page of Arizona Dispatcher & National Coordinator
http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp.html

*** The views expressed here are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated.***

3335. FS: 2m HT 4.5W 140-150 TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE
Message-ID: <36af7913.4928889@news.sprint.ca>
Source record: 129498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

IC-T2A FM 2m handheld (136-174 RX including auto weather stations scanning feature). Transmits 140-150 MHz out of ham band. (Caution: It is illegal to transmit outside of non-type approved band...). You do not need battery case because battery attaches to the transceiver itself. Uses 8 batteries, 1W low 4.5 W high. Extra Radio Shack speaker mic and carrying case will be attached free.
Asking $150 Canadian or $105 US. Serious inquiry only. First come, first serve with price listed. Payment can be made by money order, bank draft, certified cheque or bank transfer. No personal cheque please. Please send me an e-mail if you are willing to take it "as listed" to: webmaster@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE
Please do not forget to remove ".PAS_DE_PUBLICITE" before replying.

Thanks.

3336. Re: FS: 2m HT 4.5W 140-150 TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE
Message-ID: <36af7cd5.5891286@news.sprint.ca>
Source record: 129499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Forgot to mention that product is in mint condition and will be
shipped in original box + manual.

>
>IC-T2A FM 2m handheld (136-174 RX including auto weather stations
>scanning feature). Transmits 140-150 MHz out of ham band. (Caution:
>It is illegal to transmit outside of non-type approved band...). You
>do not need battery case because battery attaches to the transceiver
>itself. Uses 8 batteries, 1W low 4.5 W high. Extra Radio Shack
>speaker mic and carrying case will be attached free.
>Asking $150 Canadian or $105 US. Serious inquiry only. First come,
>first serve with price listed. Payment can be made by money order,
>bank draft, certified cheque or bank transfer. No personal cheque
>please. Please send me an e-mail if you are willing to take it
>"as listed" to: webmaster@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE
>Please do not forget to remove ".PAS_DE_PUBLICITE" before replying.
>
>
>Thanks.
>
>

3337. WTB: Inexpensive Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Cook <timcook@erinet.com>
Message-ID: <78oiadnun1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 129500

looking for a cheap 10-20 channel programmable scanner either handheld or base. Only to be used in camp once in a great while, so not looking to spend a lot of money. Please email direct if you have something for sale.
Thanks
Tim

3338. Re: IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@wans.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11197836f6d86ad99897ce@news.wans.net>
Source record: 129501

Actually it runs rings around the 8000 and 8200 in the area of sensitivity. There are things I pick up nearly full strength on the R2 that the 8000 and 8200 don't even hear, so I wouldn't agree with that. In fact I would go so far as to say if you could live without sideband capability, the R2 rules. It is even more sensitive than the Yupiteru built Relm I also have, and that is quite a complement indeed. For $219.95 you just can't beat it.

3339. Re: Pro 2004, 2005, 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-2701992045040001@56-ip-245.theramp.net>
Source record: 129502

In article <36AFDBEB.C76082C6@accessus.net>, scanner@mail.accessus.net wrote:

> Hello,
> Can someone tell me the differences between the RS Pro 2004, 2005 ,
> 2006?
> Thank You.
> Jeff

2004(v1) 25-520, 760-1300 300 Channels (easily modded to 400) (Cell blocked 870-890, restorable) Metal case. Dimmer switch dims the backlight.

2004(v2) same as 2004(v1) but with updated cell ranges (about 869-894)

2005 Plastic case with very similar characteristics as 2004(v2). Dimmer switch dims the backlight.

2006(v1) Visually identical to 2005, similar limits, upgraded speed and minor updates in firmware. Dimmer switch dims the backlight.

2006(v2) Almost identical to 2006(v1) but the dimmer switch turns off the light.

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**********g3mtnw**********

3340. Import of Scanners - Commtel 510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John K. Parker" <jkparker@lanminds.net>
Message-ID: <36AFD2CC.4A516C37@lanminds.net>
Source record: 129503

If I order a Commtel 510 from Javiation, what are the chances it will be imported into the US to my home ? Also, what are the duty/import taxes going to be....

Thanks...

3341. Re: FS: 2m HT 4.5W 140-150 TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: firewave@REMOVE.videotron.ca
Message-ID: <firewave-2701992205560001@192.168.1.4>
Source record: 129504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <36af7913.4928889@news.sprint.ca>,
hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE wrote:

> IC-T2A FM 2m handheld (136-174 RX including auto weather stations
> scanning feature). Transmits 140-150 MHz out of ham band. (Caution:
> It is illegal to transmit outside of non-type approved band...). You
> do not need battery case because battery attaches to the transceiver
> itself. Uses 8 batteries, 1W low 4.5 W high. Extra Radio Shack
> speaker mic and carrying case will be attached free.
> Asking $150 Canadian or $105 US. Serious inquiry only. First come,
> first serve with price listed. Payment can be made by money order,
> bank draft, certified cheque or bank transfer. No personal cheque
> please. Please send me an e-mail if you are willing to take it
> "as listed" to: webmaster@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE
> Please do not forget to remove ".PAS_DE_PUBLICITE" before replying.
>
>
> Thanks

are you in montreal? and if so, is there discount? :-)

alex

3342. Re: Trunk Tracker or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Intac News <froggie@intac.com>
Message-ID: <%kRr2.81$14.657@news12.ispnews.com>
Source record: 129505

Depends on if your local/state police use a trunking system. Its much easier to follow conversations and my favorite this is that there is zero squelch tail :)

mryan wrote in message <78omar$5k5@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>...
>I live in a small town in MA. Most activity here is UHF and VHF, but there
>is some 800 Mhz.
>
>My question is, for non-metro areas, is trunk tracker worth it, or will a
>plain 800 Mhz do?
>
>As far as handhelds go, are the Bearcats a good bet? Any preferences?
>
>

3343. Re: MACKEY you're TOAST!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36AFE1AE.BA363ED8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129506

Remind me not to upset you partner...73's Friend
Trevor Harris, Former Sub Radioman.

N7qor wrote:

> An Open Letter to John Mackey
>
> Dear Mr. Mackey,
>
> I am writing to you today in my capacity as Co-Chair of PARGSUX, a Portland,
> Oregon based group that was formed approximately 4 years ago in an effort to
> address situations you are all too familiar with. Unlike you and your ilk, we
> have maintained an extremely low profile over the years, but that does not mean
> we have not had our eyes on you.
>
> Many of my collegues are still Portland based, and have maintained nearly 24
> hour surveillance of your activities for the duration of our existance.
>
> As you may have read in one of my collegue's recent posts to this group, we
> have LOT's of dirt on you. So much, that you really do not want to cross my
> path, for I have been granted sole authority on behalf of PARGSUX to control
> dissemination of the information we have gathered.
>
> Please keep in mind that even your recent hiring at a neo-govt. agency (BTW,
> congratulations) in Downtown Portland has not been missed. The fact that you
> park in the same lot each day is a dead giveaway.
>
> Additionally, we are aware of the new ISP you are using from your home.
>
> John, the time has come for us to come to terms. Given the sheer volume of
> evidence that has been gathered, directly linking you to multiple acts of
> malicious interference in the Portland area, not to mention the above mentioned
> tidbits, we are in a rather enviable bargaining position.
>
> Actually, there will be no bargaining. After your verbal attack on one of our
> members at a HAM swap meet (witnessed by a County Judge you FOOL, you do
> remember, don't you?), it became clear that, at the moment of reckoning, we
> will be rigid in our position. Remember, that attack included threats of
> PHYSICAL HARM, and a well respected County Judge stood right there and watched.
> Not only has the statute of limitations not passed, that member (who was the
> victim of your rage) has the re$ource$ to make your life HELL in civil
> proceedings, and you know this to be true.
>
> What, did you think he just FORGOT ABOUT IT?
>

> Although the US Attorney's office has placed your case on the back burner, some
> recent discoveries regarding the employment status of one of your ill famed
> comrades has stimulated renewed interest by that agency. Could it be they
> won't feel PARG members are qualified for employment with the US Government?
>
> We will attempt to make this very simple and very painless. Read very
> carefully, and chances are people will keep their jobs. This IS the desired
> outcome, right?
>
> First: Cease & Desist IMMEDIATELY any and all actions of malicious
> interference of radio communications in the Portland area. This includes, but
> is not limited to: Amateur Radio systems, Public Safety systems, Marine
> Communications systems, Cordless and Cellular Telephone systems. RPU's, (did I
> miss any?)
>
> Second: Cease & Desist IMMEDIATELY all of your antics in this newsgroup.
> Plainly speaking, if you are not speaking DIRECTLY to scanning related issues,
> STAY AWAY!!!!
>
> Please be clear of one thing. ANY violation of these terms will result in the
> following three immediate actions:
>
> 1. INSTANT posting of your employer's name, address, supervisors name, and
> main PBX telephone number.
>
> 2. INSTANT posting of your latest ISP. This is all that the "boys" in the
> group here will need. By our estimates you will have about 2 weeks of
> bandwidth left. Sure would suck to suffer the same demise as your former
> nemesis.
>
> 3. A joint letter signed by all of the members of PARGSUX will be forwarded to
> the Portland District office of the US Attorney, including the recent
> revelations relating to your partner in New Mexico (or was it Utah, either way,
> we have the name of the Agency involved, that's all that's really necessary
> now, isn't it?)
>
> BTW, we have the most recent e-mail address of the above referenced associate,
> and will send him a clearly worded memo outlining the info we have, and the
> fact that your failure to clean up your act now will result in the release of
> this new info, which will most CERTAINLY stimulate a renewed interest in the
> evidence that links your friend to these past misdeeds.
>
> Can you spell "p-e-e-r p-r-e-s-s-u-r-e?"
>
> If he lost his job over your crap, n**c would rip you to pieces, and you KNOW
> IT!
>
> **********
> **********
>

> DO NOT REPLY TO THIS MESSAGE! In fact, make yourself SCARCE!!!!!!
> This will be the first sign that you are interested in complying with our
> agreement.
>
> IF you reply to this message, ALL BETS ARE OFF!
>
> Now VAMOOSE!!!!!
>
> Co-Chair
> PARGSUX

3344. Re: Trunk Tracker or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36AFE05C.825EE4E6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129507

I highly recommend the trunk-tracker. Face it...the price difference between a trunktracker and conventional scanner in allmost nothing. If I were going to buy a scanner, I would keep in mind I might want to use it elsewhere or the fact the MA is going nuts over trunking...they love it.

mryan wrote:

> I live in a small town in MA. Most activity here is UHF and VHF, but there
> is some 800 Mhz.
>
> My question is, for non-metro areas, is trunk tracker worth it, or will a
> plain 800 Mhz do?
>
> As far as handhelds go, are the Bearcats a good bet? Any preferences?

3345. WTB.RS Pro 2004 or (2005,2006)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <scanner@accessus.net>
Message-ID: <36B01090.BCC5214@accessus.net>
Source record: 129508

Hello,
I'm interested in buying a Pro 2004 at a reasonable price, or a 2005 or
2006 if the price where reasonable enough. (doubt if that is possible)
Let me know your best price and the info on the scanner.
Thank you very much.
Jeff

3346. Great Airport info site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36AFE646.488D30DD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129509

Just wanted to let everyone know of a site I found that you can search
for info on Airport info;
VOR Freqs, Unicom, Towers, & and much more

http://www.airnav.com/airports/

3347. Re: CHP 39mhz & 42mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36AFE53A.68AE6F1F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What freqs do you need to know specifically? You have come to the right place. 73's

JA Moran wrote:

> To monitor the CGP, I used to search 42.00-43.00mhz.
> Now, I search 39.00 -> 43.00
>
> I drive I-10 between Phoenix and Southern California on a regular basis (every
> week) when I go visit my girlfriend who lives in the Glendale/Burbank area.
>
> I've noticed that on the 39mhz frequencies, you usually hear both sides on the
> same frequency while on the 42mhz frequencies this is not usually the case. I
> generally set 42.44 as the priority channel since this is one of the Primary
> channels used by Indio dispatch which covers Riverside
> county from the Arizona Border To the county Line with San Bernardino county.
>
> In adition, both Riverside and San Bernardino county use 856-860 and 866-869mhz
> trunked systems. In the palm Springs area, there is also some traffic on the
> 460-460.6 frequencies
>
> Through Arizona, it's generally search 460.000 to 460.600. This will cover the
> Arizona Highway Patrol and also the Maricopa County Sheriff and some ambulance
> frequencies. In La Paz county
> (Quartzsite and Ehrenberg) I hav also monitored the Local PD/Sheriff on 155mhz
> frequencies
>
> --
> --
>
> > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
> <
> > Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(: MS-Windows):<
> > Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
> > if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
> <
> +++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They lose payments!, Say I didn't pay!
> --

3348. Re: No Cussing Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36AFE796.5D591CA8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129511

No friend I wasn't referring to that four letter word. 73's

Jack@sgi.net wrote:

> If you think "hell" is cusing, you should read some other posts. With
> the amount of flaming on this newsgroup i'm suprised that you would
> allow your 6 yr. old to read them. The impression of this newsgroup
> coulden't get any lower than it is. By the way, hell is not one of the
> words in the forbidded word groups listed by the FCC, News Media, Motion
> Picture Industry. Your child hears worst than H E L L in school and at
> the movies.
>
> By the way, I am NOT flaming your post. Just expressing my opinions, or
> is that against your policy also.
>
> Trevor Harris wrote:
> >
> > This newsgroup is commonly read by New ham, and scanner blood. (ie. a 6
> > year old General Ham in my group) Please lets not lower the impression
> > of this newsgroup with that, or subjuct such young eyes or ears with
> > cussing. Thank-You WB6SUB

3349. Re: Wanted UBC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: rock56@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9916-36AFE87B-59@newsd-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 129512

BC9000XLT can be found at www.grove-ent.com
The last time I checked it sold for 359.00 Its a real good scanner
for the price range. I'll never buy another scanner without the ALPHA
display. Sometimes grove has used scanners also. Goodluck.

3350. Re: Question About 900 Mhz Cordless Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Hicks <cindyken@whistlernet.com>
Message-ID: <01be4a89$a0fc0b00$a65ff4cc@my-486>
Source record: 129513

Robert Barile <rbarile@bbn.com> wrote in article <36AF50DC.6A12@bbn.com>...
> Hi all: I recently bought a Sony 900 Mhz Spread Spectrum Cordless Phone.
> I'm pretty happy with it but I was wondering if it can be scanned with a
> scanner that picks up >900 Mhz.
>
Yes Yes & yes!!

3351. Re: PRO-90 Antenna - what to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russ Freeman <jrf9949@garnet.acns.fsu.edu>
Message-ID: <36AF34E0.D1E2C2C0@garnet.acns.fsu.edu>
Source record: 129536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have the RatShak glass-mount antenna currently running to both a trunk-tracker and a conventional scanner in my car. Inside I use the scanner antenna design found in the RatShak Antenna Handbook. Both of them I have found to be perfectly adequate.
Russ, KF4ZFS

Carolyn wrote:

> My first ever scanner, a PRO-90 is about 2 weeks old now!! I'm
> hooked!! Now I want a more powerful antenna than what came with the
> unit. I'd like something for the car and the house. Can anyone make
> some recommendations? I would like something portable for the house as
> well, as I tend to listen when I'm downstairs, and I listen while lying
> in bed reading!.
>
> I'd appreciate any suggestions as to tips and tricks, what I should look
> for, specifications or even a model that I might get at the local Radio
> Shack.
>
> Thanks
>
> Carolyn

3352. Re: FEMA frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: alf sauve <alf.sauve@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <78nd2j$as8$1@camel25.mindspring.com>
Source record: 129537

What is it about 143.625? I already had that programmed in for something
else.
Anybody have any idea who/what else might use the freq? MIR? ISS?

Alf

John Habbinga wrote in message <78jhua$81c$1@news4.wt.net>...
>128.225, 138.575, 139.825, 139.950, 140.025, 140.900, 140.925, 141.725,
>142.375, 142.400, 142.925, 142.975, 143.625, 164.8625, 168.075, 168.100,
>168.350, 168.400, 168.700, 169.600, 169.875, 170.200 MHz.
>
>donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
><78jf03$t3b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>>I am looking for frequencies for FEMA. If anyone knows what
>>frequencies they use can you tell me?
>>
>>donhark
>>
>>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

3353. Re: Coast Guard VHF frequ needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russ Freeman <jrf9949@garnet.acns.fsu.edu>
Message-ID: <36AF39E0.34E0C844@garnet.acns.fsu.edu>
Source record: 129538

I agree. If it's worth encrypting, it's worth sending via SATCOM digital or HF one-time pad.
Russ, KF4ZFS

JTWard01 wrote:

> >Primary ones are 157.05, 157.100, and 157.150, and 156.800.
> >
> >But CG can and does pop up anywhere, most of their comms are
> >encrypted when not talking to 'citizens'.
> >
>
> Such bullshit. The frequencies are correct, but the USCG rarely uses encrypted
> voice comms except on UHF and HF. I listen to Group St. Petersburg and Air
> Station Clearwater all day long and in three years I've never heard them
> encrypt traffic on VHF marine freqs. The vast majority of secure comms are sent
> via SATCOM and encrypted fax, with some digital cellphones used for near shore
> communications.
> There are VHF freqs in the 173.000 range designated for law enforcement,
> intelligence and such and these are encrypted frequently.
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA

3354. Re: POCSAG TX MODEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ham <racd@racd.net>
Message-ID: <36AF17BD.1E66@racd.net>
Source record: 129539

Many thanks for this info,

Is it possible to send me some diagram of the units ?

I have used the PE modem, but having trouble with the Kenwood TRX.
Getting lots of errors with POCSAG on 1200 baud.

So i will try a dif modem when possible.

Any advice welcome.

> Try these. One is a pic 16c84 miccontroler circuit that you can carry with
> you. The other is a encoder software from England that you use your
> PC with. Also a article was written Electronics Now for Feb1998. This
> is a small circuit board that can be built for about $15.00 with new parts
> cheaper if you have a junk box. Also the is a program called
> pocdec25.zip that will ENCODE & DECODE pocsag messages and
> it's "FREE". I also have a early version of the pe encoder that can be
> used to it full advantage with out being registered. If interested
> I'll email it to you. Here are the web sites for some of the differant
> programs I have used that "WORK:. Also a web site that ypu can get
> the PCB for the Electronics Now for Feb1998 project. Hope this
> helps.
>
> Have Fun
> Rich
>
> http://www.cl.ais.net/farcir/
>
> ftp://ftp.simtel.net/pub/simtelnet/msdos/commprog/pocdec25.zip
>
> http://www.users.interport.net/~carlott/
>
> http://www.cylexinc.com/rm100.htm
>
> http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/6063/
>
> http://www.whatpage.com/clive/pocsag.htm
>
> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/4039/
>
> http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/9339/

--
==========
NOSPAM PLEASE REMOVE XXX TO SEND REMAIL NOSPAM

3355. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Varine <Witch**Dr@usa.noobulkemail.net>
Message-ID: <36AF3C33.4285@usa.noobulkemail.net>
Source record: 129540
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Robert wrote:
>
> Don,
> Appreciate the fast response. Could you please tell me the model
> number, MSRP, who sells it, and battery life expectations.

Panasonic makes it and they are easy to spot, just look for the
GIGArange logo on the box......Just remember..these GIGArange phones
also X-mit an ANALOG 900MHz signal so anyone with a scanner will have a
snap of a time intercepting your transmission.

3356. Re: Can I take my HF radio on a plane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127112401.00821.00001339@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 129541

>From: "Kirk Harnack" <k+++harnack@deltaradio.net>

>I've taken a handheld Magellan GPS (general aviation version with airport
>database) on several commercial flights.

There is a finalization, as I write, to provide a phase-arrayed satellite antenna, with satellite modem, to the top of the fuselage of commercial airliners, using a constellation of satellites partially funded by the airline industry, providing in-flight commercial internet access for every passenger seat on the plane. The University of Michigan Electrical Engineering Department was a consultant on that program.

talkyal

3357. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan & Dee <vulkster@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <36AF4029.7550CF23@cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 129542
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Robert,

I have used several different phones in my LARGE warehouse. The only
one I have been happy with is the Cobra. My husband forgot to leave the
phone when he went to the mechanics (1.5 blocks) and got a phone call
there and it was clear as a bell.

Robert wrote:
>
> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
>
> If I have posted this to the wrong group, please for give me and point me in
> the right direction.
>
> --
> Bob (in Maryland)

3358. Re: VHF Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russ Freeman <jrf9949@garnet.acns.fsu.edu>
Message-ID: <36AF3D0E.152357AB@garnet.acns.fsu.edu>
Source record: 129543

Your understanding is partially correct. Operation on 50-54, 144-148, and 220-224mHz requires a Technician-class Amateur Radio license. Those relatively cheap "No-License" radios that you a referring to are low-power, one or two-channel business-band VHF or UHF radios or "Family Service" radios (FSR's). FSR's operate on the new citizens band UHF frequencies. If you want a marine radio, get one specifically designed for marine use and programmed for the marine band frequencies. Uniden makes pretty good ones.
Russ, KF4ZFS

Charlie Brookhart wrote:

> I am considering getting a VHF radio and I wanted to get some information on
> a few things. It is my understanding that currently there is no requirement
> for licensing unless it is being used for commercial purposes. Is there a
> possibility that this licensing rule would be changed in the future? Can
> anybody recommend a good brand? Can the new motorola talkabout radios be
> used for marine VHF communications?
>
> Charlie

3359. FS: Radio Shack PRO-90 trunk tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chet Hogue <cheth@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01be4a16$e9a82780$29148e88@chethogu>
Source record: 129544

I have a pro-90 that I got and never used. I think I had batteries in it
for a day. brand new in the box. made by uniden for rad shack
sell for best offer

Chet
N3BK@scientist.com

3360. GSM decoding equipment?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Olive <olive@2.sbbs.se>
Message-ID: <36AF4984.65B4EDD6@2.sbbs.se>
Source record: 129545

Scanner and decoder equipment to decode cellular phones (GSM)
and digital cordless phones into voice audio WANTED.
If anyone know were this equipment can be bought,please mail.

3361. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: glennwisbey@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <78ni14$cg6$1@camel29.mindspring.com>
Source record: 129546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

Just a quick point to all: It is possible to have more than one e-mail/newsgroup provider while connected to your local ISP. This would give you two e-mail addresses, but at the same IP address.

Please take your argument someplace else so that we can discuss more important topics. Thank you for your cooperation.

Glenn

3362. Re: Cal-Trans Freq list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: neilxxbell@value.net
Message-ID: <36af4b17.2205399@news.value.net>
Source record: 129547

JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com> wrote:

The unix file format isn't very useful for those of us not using
unix...

3363. Question About 900 Mhz Cordless Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Barile <rbarile@bbn.com>
Message-ID: <36AF50DC.6A12@bbn.com>
Source record: 129548

Hi all: I recently bought a Sony 900 Mhz Spread Spectrum Cordless Phone. I'm pretty happy with it but I was wondering if it can be scanned with a scanner that picks up >900 Mhz.

3364. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnc@diana.law.yale.edu
Message-ID: <78nla6$f1q$1@news.ycc.yale.edu>
Source record: 129549
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Robert <mtplus@nospam.erols.com> wrote:
> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.

While we're at it, I am looking for a decent 900Mhz or 2.4Ghz phone with caller ID but without answering machine. Speakerphone would be okay. For some reason this combination of features seems hard to find.

miguel

3365. Re: Pro-90 Light Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: rkondrak@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127135820.00911.00003898@ng137.aol.com>
Source record: 129550

I have just purcshed a pro 90, could you contact me so I Could install this mod. rkondrak@aol.com

3366. Glendale AZ Trunked System update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78nnabolk1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129551

This just in - Last night I heard a new talkgroup on the Glendale system, 100-1. This is now being used by vice instead of 300-5 and 300-6 for undercover and surveillance operations.

For those who did not have it, here is a current list:

City of Glendale
Frequencies:
856.4375 856.7125 857.4375 857.7125 858.4375
858.7125 859.4375 859.7125 860.4375 860.7125
Fleet Map: E1-P3 (NOT P4, www.trunkracker.com is wrong)

Glendale Police
300-1 A Patrol
300-2 B Alternate Patrol Channel/info
300-3 C Information (when B is used for dispatch)
300-4 D Car-to-Car
300-5 E Tactical
300-6 F Tactical
100-1 Narcotics/Vice/Surveillance

PD MDT's: 856.2125, MDC-4800. MDTMonitor for windows works great on this system.

Enjoy,
-Phil

3367. cloning astro digital radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Murray <mschultz@4-12.ca>
Message-ID: <01be4a26$bfb586b0$7101a8c0@test>
Source record: 129552

Just wondering if astro radios could be cloned using the info obtained from trunktracker , something like cloning a cell phone only you would have 2 identical astro radios with the cloned one behaving just like the original. you would most likely have to disable the cloned TX otherwise the trunk controler would see the same info on different sites which could pose a big problem! This might be useful, say for instance, if you wanted to monitor digital communications not that anyone would of course! would like to here any other input on this subject.

3368. Re: Pro-90 Light Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127143439.20425.00003838@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 129553

Below is the link for the mod. I also have the Pro-90 and did the mod. On thing though, he gives you the option of adding a swtich. If you dont want to drill there is no real easy place to put the switch. The battery area he is descibing is the BC235XLT, wich is slightly different than the Pro-90. I could not find a good place to put the switch, (i didn't want to drill into the case) so i just used one wire and no switch. The wire runs from one leg of the transitor to the other, and thae cases fit back together nicely. You will see what I mean. Please let me know how this turns out! Also you could conntact the author with questions, he was great to deal with and had great response time!

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/stuff/light.txt

Have Fun!



3369. Prison freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ezwriter@netcom.com
Message-ID: <ezwriterF68H0A.4Bo@netcom.com>
Source record: 129554

What freqs are prison radios usually on? like in SoCal and AZ? tia

--

****
"Anyone here in Washington that has NOT had a sexual affair, please
remain seated. Hey!... Hello???..."
ezwriter@netcom.com

3370. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <78npbg$1fn$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 129555

Careful now, the guy really might be stupid enough to try this ;-)

Blackjack Elliot wrote in message
<15798-36AE79D9-116@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
As most scanner geeks do not know.... to get your scanner to transmit
you'll need an antenna splitter (available at most cutlery shops) in
order to compensate for the signal to wave ratio indifference. If you
can not find a signal splitter at your local Cutlery R Us store, you can
get the same effect simply by cutting your anntenna at the 1/2 way
point. Keep in mind that transmitters do not use squelch, so be sure to
remove your squelch components from inside the scanner being extremely
careful not to inadvertantly touch any of the other compoments inside.
Also make sure the squelch is turned all the way to the silent postition
while performing this task. Most importanly of all.... be sure your
scanner is in the ON position during this delicate but yet whorthwhile
(to the rest of us) undertaking. One last thing of most importantce....
remember to transmit on your scanner is the opposite of which it was
intended to do, so it is a must that you ververse polarity, easily
accomplished by cutting your red and black wires in the battery
compartment and reverversing them and then resoldering them in place of
one another.

Let us know how far you are able to get out when you complete this, I'm
sure the rest of us are anxious to know.

Blackjack Elliot
Las Vegas, NV

Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
www.BlackjackElliot.com

3371. Re: PRO-64 OWNERS MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tvsjr <tvsjr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <78npri$1l0$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 129556

Instead of making shitty comments, how about giving him some USEFUL
information. Going to www.radioshack.com means you have to dig through
RatCrap's menus instead of going straight to the article.

To the original poster, this link will take you to the "table of contents"
for the 64's user manual
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/36549.htm

Jcksnpat wrote in message <19990126192310.05406.00003498@ng118.aol.com>...
>Try radioshack.com DUH

3372. please visit, site updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duez Sam <McLeod1455@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <36AF6692.6F21D4E1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 129557

Please visit the Frequency Bookmark
NEW URL http://www.fortunecity.com/meltingpot/salash/186/

I thank all the persons who help me.

http://www.fortunecity.com/meltingpot/salash/186/

3373. Re: Mission Viejo vacinity freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: sjosvai <sjosvai@leland.stanford.edu>
Message-ID: <78nqijlid1@nntp.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 129558

Requesting (trunked) freq for Mission Viejo and vicinity..ie police, fire,
medics, etc
Thanks>

3374. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KD4FRU <NOSPAMtracklwl@sgi.net>
Message-ID: <TlKr2.231$Af5.81084@news.sgi.net>
Source record: 129559
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Question;

Those 2.4 geg phones are useing 2.4 for just one leg of the trip, and 900 for the other.
When they say three times as far as 900Mhz, isn't that saying the system is as good as the worse link?
What happens when you have a three times as far system, but the 900 mhz side runs out of string?

Or am I totally balmy and are there rigs that use 2.4 for both legs.

I'm not sarcastic, I really would like to know. Right now, I have a 900 analog from sony, but it gets me upset with the drop everytime it wants to change channels. I bought a digital for my family, and it ALSO has that nagging half second drop to change channels. I can be 2 feet from the base and it changes.

I'm looking for something better.

KD4FRU

3375. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adrian Brandt <abrandt@americasm01.nt.com>
Message-ID: <36AF6F74.EC69871D@americasm01.nt.com>
Source record: 129560
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Miguel Cruz wrote:
> I am looking for a decent 900Mhz or 2.4Ghz phone with
> caller ID but without answering machine. Speakerphone would be okay. For
> some reason this combination of features seems hard to find.

VTech (www.vtechphones.com) has a few phones matching this description.
Try to find a store near you that carries them. Around where I live, it's
much cheaper to buy the phones from stores than off the VTech web site.

--

Adrian Brandt
(408) 565-7291 / abrandt@nortelnetworks.com

3376. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: For_Email@ddress.see.below_plea.se
Message-ID: <MPG.11191e532aed62c69897bb@ispnews.walltech.com>
Source record: 129561
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

In article <TlKr2.231$Af5.81084@news.sgi.net>, NOSPAMtracklwl@sgi.net writes...
> Question;
>
> Those 2.4 geg phones are useing 2.4 for just one leg of the trip, and 900
> for the other.
> When they say three times as far as 900Mhz, isn't that saying the system is
> as good as the worse link?
> What happens when you have a three times as far system, but the 900 mhz side
> runs out of string?
>
> Or am I totally balmy and are there rigs that use 2.4 for both legs.
>

And don't forget 2.4 Ghz is smack-dab in the middle of the frequency range
your microwave oven uses to cook food.

Don't turn on the microwave while you're on a call, and keep that antenna
away from yer head.. :-)

Phil

--
Philip J. Koenig The Electric Kahuna Organization [see below]
-----------------Computers & Communications for the New Millenium-------------
References to my email address in this message have been modified to foil
address-collection robots. If you wish to send email, use the following
address by removing numbers and spaces: pjkunet64 @ ekahuna27 . c o m

3377. Re: A Question for John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dunc@worldmailer.com
Message-ID: <36af75f4.374229@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
Source record: 129562

On Tue, 26 Jan 1999 14:03:35 GMT, gevcc@fxhm.arg (Tripp) wrote:

>On Tue, 26 Jan 1999 06:09:17 GMT, jmackey@usa.net hastily scratched
>out the following adumbration:
>
>>
>>
>>> Tripp <gevcc@fxhm.arg> wrote:
>>> > ... Anyone who has read these scanner groups for more than a couple weeks
>>> > knows first hand what an idiot
>>
>>your comment sounds pretty lame coming from someone who is to chicken
>>to post it using a legit ID & address!
>
>
>No,, it is YOU who are lame. My address is legit and most ANY DUMBASS
>(besides you of course) that's been around Usenet for more than a few
>days can tell by looking at -- gevcc@fxhm.arg -- and that if you are
>resourceful enough, and your brain functions in its normal capacity,
>when you decode it with ROT13, you get tripp@skuz.net which is a
>legitimate email address. Let me take a second to explain ROT13 to an
>idiot like yourself Mackey.
>Simply put, ROT13 means ROTATE each letter 13 places. DUH !
>
>Now,, someone with your ever-dwindling brain functions might ask why
>does Tripp encode a legitimate email address with ROT13 instead of
>posting it in the clear ???
>
>If you cannot answer that question yourself CLOWNBOY,, then you really
>are completely out of it.
>
>Now go away Mackey, time for you to allow the next one in line to
>dress you up like Bozo the Clown.
I see we are stil at it *girls*

3378. bearcat 800xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: lagattadj@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127151900.17022.00003872@ng104.aol.com>
Source record: 129563

Why can't I receive cell transmissions on my 800xlt or is there a mod to fix the problem? Thanks in advance

lagattadj@aol.com

3379. FA: Spectrum display unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127155316.20000.00003748@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 129564

You can use this with any scope to see upto a 12 MHZ window of your received signal. This unit is in very nice condition. See item # 60332388. It has a low reserve. Please also see my other radio/scanner related auctions at Ebay.

3380. TRADE: PRO-90 trunktracker for other

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8ppz73@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127155424.05217.00004156@ng37.aol.com>
Source record: 129565

I have a nearly new PRO-90. I am getting into aircraft monitoring and it just isn't getting the job done.

Would like to trade it for

either a PR0-43 with cell,
ICOM R-10
or
Sony ICF-SC1PC

Thanks,

Grego
Grego, N8PPZ
N8PPZ73@aol.com

3381. FS: Tube and Non Tube Radio Gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldgear@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127154434.13621.00003346@ng18.aol.com>
Source record: 129566

I have the following Radio, and electronic equipment for sale. Will consider trades for Pentium computer EQ. All working last time tested unless noted. Shipping is extra.
Thanks

Tempo-1: Tube type Ham Transceive with Power Supply.Covers 80-10 meters. Looks good and works well. $200

Pearce Simpson: Guardian 23- All tube CB Transceiver/with mobil power cord and mounting bracket. Looks and works Good. $100

Lafayette: HB444/25A Looks and works great. This is an all tube 25 channel base unit with a nice chrome trim all around. would rate an 8 in looks. I think the mobil mounting bracket is also included, with nice heavy cast alum mic. $125

Channel Master: Tube Stereo Receiver (Model 6612) and matching amp (Model 6601) Looks good and plays on FM only. AM not working. $125

HW-32: 20 mtr single band xcvr,mbl mounting bracket, and HW-13A mbl power supply with connecting power cord. Will also work with the Heath AC power supply. looks good works well on AC power have not tried it mobil, $125

Non Tube Items:

MFJ-1224 computer interface: lets you use your IBM compatible or Commodore 64/128 computer as a full featured RTTY, ASCII,CW station for transmitting and receiving with your HF or VHF rig. Copies all RTTY shifts and speeds. Copies on both mark and space. Sharp 8 pole active filter for 170 Hz shift and CW. Built-in tuning indicator for all modes. Normal/Reverse switch eliminates retuning for inverted RTTY. Plugs between your rig and computer. 8 x 1ï¿½ x 6 inches. Use 12-15 VDC or 110VAC with MFJ-1312. Have interface cable and manual, but no software. $50

AR Inc: Stereo Amp, All solid state. $100

Traynor: Group Two B- Audio Amp Head. High/ Low mic. in puts. Master volume, volume, bass, treble and tone shaper controls. All solid state $125

Swan 14X DC Power Supply Module: No way to test but was told it was working OK. As is $50.

Swan Phone patch: with hook up cords. This unit looks real good, but is also untested. As is$35.

MFJ Antenna Tuners: Have 2. One is 941B and the other is 941C. Both rated a 300 Watts. $40 each.

Siltronix Model 90 VFO: Excellent condition with three position crystal switch.

PRO 2003 Scanner: Radio Shack 50 channel(30-512 Mhz.) digital Scanner with FM broadcast band included. Have two, one is working the other is a complete non working unit. $200 for both.

Bearcat 250 Scanner: Have two. One working and one for parts. $75 for both.

Bearcat 210XL and 220 Scanner: One of each complete, but not working. $25 each.

Kenwood MC 50 Mic: Works OK and comes complete with cable and input jack. $50

3382. FS: RS 2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Perge <perge@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36AF7C14.6720@ibm.net>
Source record: 129567

FS: Radio Shack 2026 Scanner with cell coverage.Excellent condition-$125. Original buyer refused to pay COD. Thanks,Joe,WB8HWF plus shipping-postal money order.

3383. IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tork <tork@cts.com>
Message-ID: <917473589.500939@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 129568

Anyone have any experence with the IC-R2? It has receive from 500khz ti 1.3 Ghz (minus cell of course). I saw an ad for it and checked out the web site http://www.icomamerica.com/new/
Just wanted to see if anyone has one and if they like it.

David
KF6DGN

3384. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carey Gregory <cgregory@gw-tech.com>
Message-ID: <36AF8A5D.CE15802E@gw-tech.com>
Source record: 129569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> > Show me one flame, that is also a forge, that has been proven to be
> > posted by me. One.
>
> No problem Blake! Here are the messages below, one legit & the other
> *SNIP*

PLONK

And all of you idiots just got killfiled.

Can't you read a subject line? Okay, I guess not. So here's a hint: No one gives a damn. No one wants to hear it. So if you're all such usenet experts, then figure it out. This entire thread is unwelcome on any of the newsgroups it's being posted to, and by continuing you're just pissing people off.

Now learn some damn manners, shut up, and go away.

--
Carey Gregory

3385. Pro 2006 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KEITH PIWCZYNSKI <keith.piwczynski@snet.net>
Message-ID: <36AF8023.F8704636@snet.net>
Source record: 129570

I have a used 2006 and the batt light and beep keep comming on I reset
the changed the battery and reset the rario but it still keeps beeping

thanks in advance

3386. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnc@diana.law.yale.edu
Message-ID: <78o2fdrkv1@news.ycc.yale.edu>
Source record: 129571
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

KD4FRU <NOSPAMtracklwl@sgi.net> wrote:
> Those 2.4 geg phones are useing 2.4 for just one leg of the trip, and 900
> for the other. When they say three times as far as 900Mhz, isn't that
> saying the system is as good as the worse link?

I would guess that one end of the connection (handset or base) has some sort of natural advantage in transmitting and so doesn't need the edge provided by the 2.4Ghz's additional range. Perhaps the base has more power available, so it has better reach at 900? Or maybe the handset doesn't have to worry about some sort if interference factor.

miguel

3387. Re: bearcat 800xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: asrok@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127170431.19449.00000002@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 129572

>Why can't I receive cell transmissions on my 800xlt or is there a mod to fix
>the problem? Thanks in advance
>

Were exactly are you looking? If the radio is working properly and the antenna is hooked up you should hear Cellullar in the 869-894 range. The 800 searches in 12.5 steps so it may be slightly off the desired frequency but you should be close enough. Hope this helps a little anyway.

3388. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bucrgNOSPAM@PLEASE.diversicomm.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11194f571827ad5098970f@news.diversicomm.com>
Source record: 129573
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

In article <78na07ebi1@winter.news.rcn.net>, mtplus@erols.com says...
> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
>
> If I have posted this to the wrong group, please for give me and point me in
> the right direction.
>
> --
> Bob (in Maryland)
>
>
>

39.00 ~ 44.00 at Best Products and Other stores..

it's a Uniden... 900mhz Extend A Phone.
It's samll, it's lite, no fancy frills to raise the price (ones you don't
use anyway) and clearer then corded.. (not really but said for effect)
It's a GREAT cordless. We've tried Lucent(sucks) and others and the side
tone was always horrible on all of them and some reception problems. The
Uniden (Extend A Phone) was gREAT... another uniden didn't fare as
well... and the best part... it's not pricey.
--

StoneCry
#sawhorse On Undernet.org IRC
bucpoNOSPAM@PLEASEdiversicomm.com
http://www.diversicomm.com/bucpo/index.html

3389. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36af7369.6489097@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 129574
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net> wrote:

>Get a 2.4 ghz phone instead. You get at least 3 times the range on it. I can
>go over 300 feet on my panasonic

Nonsense. I get far more than 300 feet on a 900 MHz Sanyo Spread
Spectrum.

>Robert wrote:
>
>> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
>> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
>> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.

I have never run down the battery on my Sanyo. It should run for
several days if just on standby. I've talked on it for two hours
straight with no problem. I believe the power is adjusted to
compensate for distance from the base unit, so talk life will vary in
that case.

>> If I have posted this to the wrong group, please for give me and point me in
>> the right direction.
>>
>> --
>> Bob (in Maryland)

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3390. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36b07458.6728770@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 129575
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Brian Varine <Witch**Dr@usa.noobulkemail.net> wrote:

>Robert wrote:
>>
>> Don,
>> Appreciate the fast response. Could you please tell me the model
>> number, MSRP, who sells it, and battery life expectations.
>
>Panasonic makes it and they are easy to spot, just look for the
>GIGArange logo on the box......Just remember..these GIGArange phones
>also X-mit an ANALOG 900MHz signal so anyone with a scanner will have a
>snap of a time intercepting your transmission.

Also, it's highly unlikely that you'll get three times the range of a
900 MHz phone, all things being equal.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3391. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bucrgNOSPAM@PLEASE.diversicomm.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11194ff3af61cd90989710@news.diversicomm.com>
Source record: 129576
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

In article <78nla6$f1q$1@news.ycc.yale.edu>, mnc@diana.law.yale.edu
says...
> While we're at it, I am looking for a decent 900Mhz or 2.4Ghz phone with
> caller ID but without answering machine. Speakerphone would be okay. For
> some reason this combination of features seems hard to find.
>
>

Uniden's Extend A Phone has a big brother that has that installed. it's
more then 44.00 like the basic phone with 10 memories but then, do you
really use caller ID?

--

StoneCry
#sawhorse On Undernet.org IRC
bucpoNOSPAM@PLEASEdiversicomm.com
http://www.diversicomm.com/bucpo/index.html

3392. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AF9E00.7203D5A1@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129577
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:

> Nonsense. I get far more than 300 feet on a 900 MHz Sanyo Spread
> Spectrum.
>
> I have never run down the battery on my Sanyo. It should run for
> several days if just on standby. I've talked on it for two hours
> straight with no problem. I believe the power is adjusted to
> compensate for distance from the base unit, so talk life will vary in
> that case.
>

I'll second Glen's observations, I used my Sanyo approx 1/4 mile away.
Lessee, that's about 1320 feet, and it was clear as a bell.

Jon

3393. Re: THIS GROUP IS FOR RADIO SCANNER RELATED TOPIC DISCUSSION...ALL OTHERS GO AWAY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: rand.knx@noway.com
Message-ID: <36af934f.387682@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129578

Sorry about the language. I'm just fed up with this crap.

"Choco Boco" <thumbs@meow.net> wrote:

>
>Randal wrote in message <36abb455.2297453@netnews.worldnet.att.net>...
>>Hey, you know who you are. Please stop littering this newsgroup with
>>your childish, off-topic nonsense.
>>
>>We are here to talk about scanners and related topics.
>>
>>- You are fucking this up for everyone who doesn't give a damn
>
>SNNNNIPPPP!
>
>Hey, watch yer mouth mister. But, I do aggree. It is time for the childish
>b.s. to stop, so if John Wackeyoffinthecorner would just sign off forever,
>the problem will cease to exist.
>
>Chco
>
>

3394. Re: Only PHREAKS Transmit To McDonalds!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdclampitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127181459.01573.00000025@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 129579

>Subject: Re: Only PHREAKS Transmit To McDonalds!
>From: "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>

>Oh, but that's what he WANTS, just like his hero and neighbor in Portland.
>He wants you to get mad and reply
>
>Watch, he'll either reply or send me email because heaven forbid I should
>have the last word. That shows what a petty jerk-of this clown is.
>

This is where Smalley and all the Cheek-a-Likes have it WRONG. Never assume, Phil... and read the post, I indicated Oregon was my HOME state and, if you look at the map (do you know how?) You will see that Portland is a very small portion of that state.

And yes, it IS an armpit that is home to SCUM like MACKEY and his ilk.

You have additionally erred in assuming that I am an associate of MACKEY.

OH NO!!! Be sure of ONE THING, that guy is SCUM, and living near him in his 'heyday' I know better than all of you.

Like tying up local PD freqs with his garbage, linking PD to ARES nets. Running up autopatch bills (on someone ELSES patch) calling 900 sex numbers.

This stuff was pinned to him VERY thouroughly. The FCC must have a file a foot thick. Why they didn't prosecute him and his fellow PARG assholes is beyond me.

TRUST ME, there were quite a few of us providing HARD, FAST EVIDENCE to the US Attorney's office.

They must have seen more of a return pro$ecuting drug cases, 'cuz despite the sheer VOLUME of evidence, we were NEVER called to the Grand Jury as we were promised. In fact it was NEVER convened.

NO SIR, do not EVER associate me with that fool, you simply can't stand the fact that I nailed you FIRST! After all, from your previous record of responding to his crap you made yourself one worse than him, and when an 'AOLoser' like me pointed it out, well you get my drift.

Best part is, MACKEY will be going away REAL SOON.

See I been holdin' the dirt on that BAST**D, and I may just let it rip. Like the fact that he recently got a NEW JOB that, if they knew of his antics here, would fire him in a HOT SECOND,

OH YES, be SURE of that. And it's a GOOD PAYIN' job TOO (groups like he work for are pretty generous, even to ASSHOLES like him)

Like I say, the moment I let loose of the e-mail address of his net admin, you guys'll SINK HIM!!!!!!

And if he doesn't believe me, I can always post a surv photo of him walking into his NEW OFFICE in downtow Portland.

But I'm sure he get's my point now, so I'll just leave it at that.

He would want to be taking a clue from BillyBoy Cheek, who ceased his antics ONLY when he saw it was hitting him right where it hurts, namely his pocketbook.

Well when Mackey get's called into the Sup's office to answer to the 1000's of garbage messages he's left here, they're going to have some REAL concern's about a freak like that on their staff.

Incidentally, it was some of Mackey's other reputed antics that caused me to say FREAKS jam cordless. And MAGNUM, if it wasn't you, then DON'T TAKE IT PERSONAL, a response like that makes you look real guilty or real insecure.

Jed

3395. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdclampitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127181904.01573.00000029@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 129580

>Subject: Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case
>From: thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the REAL one!))
>Date: 1/26/99 5:49 PM Pacific Standard Time
>Message-id: <36af7073.163877156@proxye1.san.rr.com>
>
>On Tue, 26 Jan 1999 14:25:34 -0700, "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>I could be mistaken, but I do believe that the FCC has enacted laws
>>prohibiting owning transceiver equipment without first obtaining the
>>appropriate license.
>
>I think you're wrong on that, but the rest is just plain common sense,
>even IF there weren't laws involved.
>
>

Don't worry, Phil is just trying to show off. What an IDIOT, if aren't sure of a fact, DON'T SAY IT!

3396. Looking for used scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ari Margules <theace@inter.net.il>
Message-ID: <36AF7238.1B054599@inter.net.il>
Source record: 129581
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello,

I am looking for an unblocked scanner up to 1.3Ghz or more.
I'll be coming to the states in a month from now.

I used to have an iCOM R-1 but it got stolen from my car.

Please reply only through email theace@inter.net.il

Thanks.

3397. Looking for call mods for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: JOE & JIM <US2INCT@NTPLX.COM>
Message-ID: <ObNr2.468$J4.9227@news.ntplx.net>
Source record: 129582

looking for cell mods for any of the following scanners: BC80XLT; BCT7;
BC148XLT-1; OR THE BC235XLT.

--
Thanks Joe

3398. PL TONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: lamano@webtv.net
Message-ID: <424-36AF9B33-35@newsd-222.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 129583

DOES ANY BODY KNOW THE PL TONES USED IN SAN ANTONIO,TEX.FOR ANY
AMBULANCE AGENCY. THANK YOU JUAN

3399. Re: Pro 2006 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <78o6no$b51@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 129584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <36AF8023.F8704636@snet.net>,
KEITH PIWCZYNSKI <keith.piwczynski@snet.net> wrote:

>I have a used 2006 and the batt light and beep keep comming on I reset
>the changed the battery and reset the rario but it still keeps beeping
>
>thanks in advance

Has this PRO-2006 ever been:

1. altered or repaired (not speaking about clipping the cell
band diode)?

2. connected to an external power source?

The answers may help us in diagnosing the cause of the problem.
--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3400. Re: Help Me Win... THE MORAL OF THE STORY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdclampitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127183303.01573.00000040@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 129585

And THIS, boys and girls, is the REAL issue at hand.

This is not about some fool who, in an effort to imitate MACKEY, insists on driving around town with a toy that will JAM just 'cuz he "can" (go look at your DOG, John, what do you see him doing for no other reason than... well, you get my point)

No this is about the fact that, ONCE AGAIN, just like with the CELL PHONES, the big "M" (Which stands for "Mo' Money fo Moneyrola") has SOLD US a BIG, EXPENSIVE BILL OF GOODS with "Trunk" radio.

And we're talkin' BILLION$ folks. Now they have EGG all over their face 'cuz idiots like the little Mackey wanna-be's have more of a jump than they thought.

Yes, you've DONE IT NOW. BEND over, like what you see?

GET USED TO IT, 'cuz just like the man said, it ain't the criminal charges that'll sink you. You'll be lucky if all you have left is that smelly ass of yours.

Jed

>Subject: Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case
>From: dale@urs2.net

>
>If "M" has you in their sights, you are TOAST. There is a guy in L.A., his
>name
>is not important, but I'll call him Harold ????. He got busted by "M" for
>just
>about the same thing. He lost EVERYTHING. His father died of a heart attack
>fighting this and his mother lost her paid for house, the furniture, the bank
>accounts, all shop equipment, radios, car, everything, everything,
>everything!!!!!!!
>
>The criminal charges are one thing, but the civil case broke him. It's not
>worth
>it. I think that your best bet is to make a deal, don't fight it and maybe
>they
>("M") will leave you the shirt on your back.
>
>Good Luck.

3401. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose Cuervo <jose512@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36AE8453.8F8727C0@flash.net>
Source record: 129586
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Where is the original post, telling exactly what you did? I wanna read it.

Radioman wrote:

> Well if you want to play you better be able to pay.I guess it just comes
> down to that.
> In California there is no scanner laws(except cordless,cell and using a
> scanner in a crime,but that is just a enhancement to the crime that you
> committed).I talked to a F.C.C investigator and bottom line is if i didn't
> transmit and the radio was type excepted,they don't care and there is no law
> against having the radio.If I was to talk on it then it would have violated
> section 301.So they didn't care.
>
> I have a lawyer and he has never seen a charge like this in 20 years and
> there is no case law on it.So we filled motion to supress.Even though radio
> capable to TX,there is no crime so no PC.I understand that the police might
> not like me to have the radio but I don't like child molesters and
> gangbangers.But thats life and I deal with it.So im gonna just deal with
> this.
>
> I think that it was a shity why to bust me but thats ok i'll live.Thanks for
> the replys and i'll tell you guys what comes of this mess.
> Next time no TX in radios and just listen.
>
> radioman
> >I was arrested about a year ago and now I'm being charged with California
> >Penal Code 499c "Trade secrets-theft of."
> >

--
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all unsolicited COMMERCIAL E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms

3402. Re: Race scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted <atlscuba@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <36AE7C66.5D4761FF@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 129587

If you get the freq's for nascar for 1999, please let me
know and I will do the same for you

JTWard01 wrote:
>
> All,
>
> If you're into monitoring the drivers and pit crews at auto races please let
> me know by e-mail. I've got some questions for the upcoming race season.
>
> John Ward

3403. College of William & Mary in Williamsburg, VA Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu>
Message-ID: <EXvr2.1650$007.3749395@firenze.visi.net>
Source record: 129588

I know the frequencies for the College of William & Mary in Williamsburg, VA. They used a trunked system with these frequencies:

856.8125
857.8125
858.8125
859.8125
860.8125

I'm interested in the users of this system and the talkgroup id's. I'm also interested in any other general information about the system. Thank you.

Dave
dtscho@wm.edu

3404. FS Q7A and ADI AT200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <19990126225209.29642.00003385@ng110.aol.com>
Source record: 129589

Q7A and AT200 for sale.
Both in excellent condition.
$150.00 for the Q7A with RT Systems programming software, NO cable.
$125.00 for the AT200

Greg
ghs521@aol.com

3405. Re: CLA for 235xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AE9660.E6194C36@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129590
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Rick Colombo wrote:

> I sticks out some, but it works fine. I haven't tried to full charge a
> battery (I don't want to leave it plugged in for 15 hours), but it works
> with or without a battery.

Rick,

It won't hurt a thing to leave it plugged in for a full charge, the scanner controls charging current. I use mine all the time to recharge the battery pack in my Pro-90, works great.

Jon

3406. Re: FL. NEXTEL USERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2701990116180001@pm62-26.magicnet.net>
Source record: 129591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

> AL wrote in message ...
> >If you'd enjoy chatting with other Florida Nextel users, as I have been
> >doing,lets exchange ID information. I've met many nice people, thus far,
> >with whom I chat daily via the NEXTEL DIRECT CONNECT (2 way radio) system.
> >As a ham radio operator I can tell you that this system is really fun to
> >use, is similar to ham radio, without the need for a license. Please read
> >my webpage for more information.I look forward to hearing from FLORIDA
> >users.
> >http://www.geocities.com/SouthBeach/Coast/2863

Except with Ham radio, one is NOT charged a per minite charge like in
Nextel's 2 way radio DORK!!!!!! You are really stupid Al. And it takes a
lot for me to say that to someone in a news group.

--
If only we knew that money is only an idea. There is no scarcity or loss connected to it.
Nothing cost anything.

3407. RD-LAP sound sample!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: root@j00tel.net
Message-ID: <36ae9eb2.0@news.intnet.net>
Source record: 129592

I really need a sound sample of the RD-LAP protocol! Thanks.

73's
KF4OJV
Dan

reply via email if you like, baracuda@intnet.net

3408. Re: John's drivel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tclark <Nospam@Myhouse.com>
Message-ID: <bjxr2.6697$PJ.5258@server1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 129593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

DID NOT!
DID TO!
DID NOT!
DID TO!
OUCH! STOP POKING ME!
I'M NOT TOUCHING YOU!
MOMMY, BLAKE KEEPS TOUCHING ME!
DID NOT!
DID TO!
DID NOT!
DID TO!
STOP IT!
NO YOU STOP IT!
WAAAAAAAA!!!!
WAAAAAAAAAA!!!
WAAAAAAAA!!!
MOMMY WHERE IS MY BAN'KY?
JOHN MADE A STINKY IN HIS DIAPER!
DID NOT!
DID TO!
DID NOT!
DID TO!

Does someone have a cyber-pacifier?
T Clark

>No Blake, YOU have PROVEN yourself to be a liar AGAIN! Just within
>the last day you said:
>
>Author: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
>Date: 1999/01/25
>Forums: rec.radio.scanner, misc.emerg-services, alt.firefighters,
>alt.radio.scanner
>*My apologies folks, I did not mean to cross post my reply
>-SNIP - to preserve bandwidth
>*It won't happen again.
>
>But we see that the VERY NEXT DAY (today) you DID INDEED
>make a multiple cross post just as yiou said you WOULD NOT DO!
>You have PROVEN YOURSELF a liar AGAIN, Blake Bowers!

3409. Re: Blake caught in MORE lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dingbat@the.beach
Message-ID: <78m7pn$d3s@nnrp1.farm.idt.net>
Source record: 129594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

When are you two guys gonna get it on? I'll pay for the room.
Enough already, get it done and over with, if you're gonna make a public spectacle, make a public spectacle!

While you're sharing each other's private company, be sure to
not install any baby monitors so's people can listen to you two on their scanners. :)

3410. Re: FEMA frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78m832omb0@207.18.224.148>
Source record: 129595

On Tue, 26 Jan 1999 04:06:33 GMT, donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>I am looking for frequencies for FEMA. If anyone knows what
>frequencies they use can you tell me?
>

Although others have posted frequencies, don't overlook your
local channels during a FEMA emergency. Fema often times just
uses local equipment for the smaller activations, on the local
frequencies.

3411. Re: CHP, new channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMmailmeNOSPAM@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <36b0aae8.259915743@news.uia.net>
Source record: 129596

On 23 Jan 1999 08:59:37 PST, "Aaron" <no@way.net> wrote:

>Does anyone have the new beige channel that was created in November? It was
>created to split the Riverside & Rancho station which use to share the same
>frequency. Riverside is now on Turquoise.

Here's what I've got...

Rancho Cucamonga Beige-1 39.88B 42.08M
Riverside Turquoise-1 39.68B 42.02M
San Bernardino Copper-1 39.26B 42.74M

3412. Bearcat's Little UHF Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tyler@NOSPAM.nightowl.net
Message-ID: <36aeb23d.94542437@news2.nightowl.net>
Source record: 129597

A while back a guy was looking for the little UHF antenna that comes on the back of the 800xlt, i told him i had one and would ship it, but in the process of my wife cleaning the shack she threw away the piece of paper his address is written on. If anyone here knows who he is or if he is actually still reading the newsgroups, i would be more than happy to still send that antenna if i could get the address again.

Todd

3413. Re: medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78mefn$bf1$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129598

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> Also John said Dejanews doesn't have forgeries.

I still say it too. The forgeries were under similar names as me,
but NONE were under THIS ID!

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3414. Re: IT WAS CHEEK-A-LIKES ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78menabil1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129599

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> I did and posted some links showing your activities in a number of ham ra

Then you missed the obvious. Here is a typical example of the problems
here (WELL) before my presence.

****
Subject: Re: More Cheek antic :(
From: "E.H." <teh@HiWAAY.net>
Date: 1996/04/12
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Bill Cheek wrote:

> Then consider the eviction *permanent*. :-))
>
> Food for thought for all those who sit on their duffs, I'd say.
> You got a beef, all right. You much prefer the anarchy of the
> Internet where you can snivel, whine, stammer, and bellow in public.
>
> So have at it! Unload! Drop your britches and cut loose! Take
> advantantage! Run me off, Mister Duff! Or get off your duff and run!

Now, now children.

Seems like I'm in the third grade here instead the scanner newsgroup.

Cheek, you lower yourself lower than your "opponent" by your remarks.
Don't know either of you, nor what this childlike pitter-patter is
about, but please don't try to impress us with your null attempt at wit
by snide remarks. In doing so you've just made his point.

If you both were lawyers you would've just rested Duff's case.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3415. Re: Take it elsewhere!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew <ablawat@gdex.net>
Message-ID: <ddzr2.329$yD3.11985@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 129600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

I'm tired of it too!!

Andy

Tclark <Nospam@Myhouse.com> wrote in message
news:bjxr2.6697$PJ.5258@server1.news.adelphia.net...
>DID NOT!
> DID TO!
>DID NOT!
>DID TO!
>OUCH! STOP POKING ME!
>I'M NOT TOUCHING YOU!
>MOMMY, BLAKE KEEPS TOUCHING ME!
>DID NOT!
>DID TO!
>DID NOT!
>DID TO!
>STOP IT!
>NO YOU STOP IT!
>WAAAAAAAA!!!!
>WAAAAAAAAAA!!!
>WAAAAAAAA!!!
>MOMMY WHERE IS MY BAN'KY?
>JOHN MADE A STINKY IN HIS DIAPER!
>DID NOT!
>DID TO!
>DID NOT!
>DID TO!
>
>Does someone have a cyber-pacifier?
>T Clark
>
>
>
>>No Blake, YOU have PROVEN yourself to be a liar AGAIN! Just within
>>the last day you said:
>>
>>Author: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
>>Date: 1999/01/25
>>Forums: rec.radio.scanner, misc.emerg-services, alt.firefighters,
>>alt.radio.scanner
>>*My apologies folks, I did not mean to cross post my reply
>>-SNIP - to preserve bandwidth
>>*It won't happen again.

>>
>>But we see that the VERY NEXT DAY (today) you DID INDEED
>>make a multiple cross post just as yiou said you WOULD NOT DO!
>>You have PROVEN YOURSELF a liar AGAIN, Blake Bowers!
>
>
>

3416. Re: Blake Bowers forging messages!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew <ablawat@gdex.net>
Message-ID: <kfzr2.330$yD3.8550@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 129601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services,alt.radio.scanner

I see this crap for one more day on misc.emer-services and I'm going to talk to somebody about crossposting.

Andy

TraumaRat <traumarat@mail.odyssey.net> wrote in message news:36ADDE4D.42047F3@mail.odyssey.net...
>Can we please take this little lover's spat somewhere else?
>
>Thank you.
>
>
>- Lee
>

3417. Re: IT WAS CHEEK-A-LIKES ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78mf2kbss1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129602

txjones@tyransportz.com (Tom Jones) wrote:
> These "Cheek-A-Likes", as you
> deign to encumber them, are most probably *just* a little resentful that
> because of YOUR bullshit and harrassment of Mr. Cheek, they now are forced
> to forego the considerable value and quality of the content that he
> regularly provided this and other newsgroups.

Yea right, here is a statement below from Hugh Duff describing just how he &
other group members felt of his "considerable value and quality of the
content that he regularly provided this and other newsgroups" See below!

****
Subject: Scanner FAQ from Bill Cheek
From: <hduff@hcol.humberc.on.ca>
Date: 1996/07/14
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

The following addresses Bill Cheek only;

Look what the wind blew in...

Whats the matter, is business getting slow back on Fido ? Finding that
you need to diversify your ADVERTISING mediums or did you "drop by just
to keep the boys inline" ? That was an excerp taken from a FIDO message
(about 2 months ago) to a fellow who asks if you frequent the Internet !
Your typical pompus arrogant attitude...Must give you quite an ego trip
eh Bill ? Running the show...laying the law and twisting the rules to suit
your business back on FIDO. (My favorite..."Zero Tolerance" ! :)
Pretty good number you have going over there but I'm sure it will fizzle !

Are you here to push "CHEEK-4...Regurgitated Material I -The Book" ?
Don't bother answering that, things are nice and quiet with a good flow of
intelligent traffic here on this newsgroup. Plenty of veteran scanner
users and techies willing to offer their experience and knowledge for free.
No underhanded advertising or book-pushing. No "FAQ" messages whos
underlying agenda is to sucker someone into lining YOUR pocket.
Please don't spoil it by dragging your FIDO-boss attitude back here.
Go enjoy your dictatorship world with the rest of your FIDO followers and
leave well enough alone.

Hugh Duff VA3TO Toronto

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3418. Re: NNTP GENIUS MACKEY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78mf97bus1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129603

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote ...
> >Theft of service of new servers & internet access has beeen a prime concern
> >of the members of this NG in the past.

> Only when it came to you.

Are you saying that the rules & expectations that apply to other don't apply
to you?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3419. Re: THIS GROUP IS FOR RADIO SCANNER RELATED TOPIC DISCUSSION...ALL OTHERS GO AWAY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78mfei$c12$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129604

txjones@tyransportz.com (Tom Jones) wrote:
> That's what happens when ya tell a paranoid twit he's creating a problem!

You are a prime example of that!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3420. Re: No Cussing Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78mfj3$c8g$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129605

Jack@sgi.net wrote:
> If you think "hell" is cusing, you should read some other posts.
SNIP
> coulden't get any lower than it is. By the way, hell is not one of the
> words in the forbidded word groups listed by the FCC, News Media, Motion
> Picture Industry. Your child hears worst than H E L L in school and at
SNIP

HELL is a minister's favorite word & subject. You can't go to sunday school
or a sermon without hearing about it repeatedly.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3421. Re: Mackey Exposed as LIAR Again (off topic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mike (EMT-Cardiac)" <Etlaesium@thenetco.com>
Message-ID: <LRzr2.19962$un6.3570323@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 129606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

The only thing that should be the same is the message ID. These are
Globally Unique Identifiers. All other information is subject to change
through out the serving of messages on UseNET. But, a common IP only shows
that two messages were posted by someone from the same ISP. Unfortunately,
this is not likely to be two seperate people if the posts came in within 19
minutes of each other.

By the way, your ISP is UUNET!

Steve wrote in message ...
>Mackey you must have doctored them up. These aren't the same as the ones
>that shoed up my server.
>
>
>
>jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78ltr5$ub0$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>>
>>
>> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>>> Show me one flame, that is also a forge, that has been proven to be
>>> posted by me. One.
>>
>>No problem Blake! Here are the messages below, one legit & the other
>>a forged flame posted by YOU as proven by your same IP address.
>>(NNTP posting host/HTTP proxy 207.18.224.93) The messsages were even
>>within 19 minutes of each other!
>>
>>
>>
>>**
>>Subject: flake
>>Author: ka0ssf <ka0ssf@hotmail.com>
>>Date: 1999/01/24
>>Forum: rec.radio.scanner
>>Posted on: 1999/01/24
>>Organization: Deja News - The Leader in Internet Discussion
>>X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 24 21:33:50 1999 GMT
>>X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x9.dejanews.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
>>207.18.224.93
>>
>>From: bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers)
>>Newsgroups: misc.emerg-services, alt.firefighters
>>Subject: Re: IAFF endorses Gore
>>Date: 24 Jan 1999 21:12:24 GMT

>>Message-ID: <78g2bo$l1a$0@207.18.224.93>
>>NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.18.224.93
>>
>>
>>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

3422. Re: John's drivel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mike (EMT-Cardiac)" <Etlaesium@thenetco.com>
Message-ID: <23Ar2.19979$un6.3575236@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 129607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Why is anyone worried about it?! IF you do not have UUNET as your
ISP, then you have nothing to worry about (unless Blake knows how to
IP spoof).

Ugh... Anyway, if you wan to ensure that he cannot do this again, I
suggest that you pick up PGP and digitally sign your messages. That
way, anyone can ensure that it was from you.

If you are so worried about security, there are many FREE programs (ie
PGP) that will help you.

"Officer I was robbed today. The man just walked right into my house
through my wide open door after I yelled to my neighbor that I was
going to be at the store for at least an hour! I can't believe this
happened!!!"
-Stupid Idiot

Anyway, protect your self if you are worried about it!!!!

Mike
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGPfreeware 5.5.5 for non-commercial use <http://www.nai.com>

iQA/AwUBNq7NaDj19pL+Kua7EQI6lQCfe737Ou0sOHjrUuvkwIO7hqKReT4AniFt
XKah+qlDo6jTkQQFx5e0/BUf
=XT66
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

3423. Re: John Mackey show your gradiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@mail.blinx.de>
Message-ID: <36AED6D3.70438614@mail.blinx.de>
Source record: 129608

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> odo <odo@mail.blinx.de> wrote:
> > Ask our wellknown John Mackey. He will tell you how to do the mods. He
> > is a highgrade technician.
>
> Thank you for the compliment

No compliment -simply your own words....

> & support, but I can not assist with what
> you are asking as trying to use a receiver as a practical
> transmitter is not possible & any attempt would violate FCC type
> acceptance.

Hey John, whats about your gradiation??????
Tell us the little mods that are neccessary -or can`t you?????
FCC doesn`t matter over here in Europe!!!!!!!!!

odo

3424. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: seraph2@ibm.net
Message-ID: <36aed1d1.3017861@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 129609

On Tue, 26 Jan 1999 20:58:40 -0500, "Clay Schneider"
<clayschn@fast.net> wrote:

>
>Michael Lowenthal wrote in message <36af71c6.30275043@news-server>...
>>Which Winradio are you talking about? The Winradio 1500e I have is
>>shielded much better and has a much more robust construction than the
>>PCR1000 which I tested thoroughly before I decided on the Winradio.
>
>
>Oh come on. 'shielded much better'? What exactly makes an overlapping
>aluminum case any different than an overlapping steel case? Same for 'more
>robust construction'. You could pound nails with either one.
>
>Clay
>
>

Actually, there might be a difference, Clay. The Winradio has an
overlapping thick steel case INSIDE an overlapping heavy-duty
aluminium case. You could probably run the Winradio receiver over with
a car and it wouldn't make a dent. It is also beautifully coated. I am
not sure how much difference this makes to the performance, but in my
opinion, the earlier post stating that the Icom unit (which,
incidentally, I also own) was better shielded is not quite correct.

My 2c worth...

Chris

3425. Re: NNTP GENIUS MACKEY!!! (off topic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <ERAr2.267$BS2.548@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129610

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78mf97bus1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
>
>> jmackey@usa.net wrote ...
>> >Theft of service of new servers & internet access has beeen a prime
concern
>> >of the members of this NG in the past.
>
>> Only when it came to you.
>
>Are you saying that the rules & expectations that apply to other don't
apply
>to you?

On this NG YOU were the only one that was considered of the character to
steal service.

(insert your typical denial here)

In the same respect the laws for murder and assault don't apply to me. You
are just too stupid to figure it out.

(insert you blowing in the breeze response here)

Why have you ignored the questions and request concerning @Home.net?

(Ignore or deny the truth? I vote ignore)

Take you meds John.

3426. John wrong again (off topic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <ETAr2.268$BS2.640@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129611

No he's not John. Wrong again FOOL!

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78mfei$c12$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> txjones@tyransportz.com (Tom Jones) wrote:
>> That's what happens when ya tell a paranoid twit he's creating a problem!
>
>You are a prime example of that!
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3427. Re: medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <36aed4d4.5053235@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 129612

On Wed, 27 Jan 1999 02:50:25 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> geovet@thevision.net (George Vetterle) wrote:
>
>> On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 09:43:25 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>> >This was a forge done by Blake Bowers.
>
>> The poor spelling indicates that it was written by you, John. You
>> can't fool me.
>
>What was wrong with my spelling George? It all looked fine to me!

The post in dispute had spelling errors. You frequently misspell
words. Proof positive that you made the post. Further argument is
futile.

3428. Re: I AM A REAL SICK PERSON. HELP ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <36aed7d5.5822415@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 129613

On Wed, 27 Jan 1999 02:16:12 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> "dolph" <dolph@qnet.com> wrote:
>> The voices are telling you to leave this group!
>
>And the voices are telling YOU to stick your head back
>in your ass where it belongs!
>
John, it is not necessary for you to curse to get your point across.
You should learn to be more articulate and less profane.

3429. Re: medications (off topic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <UWAr2.269$BS2.533@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129614

The decision is final, Mackey is the Forger.

George doesn't lie and once again calls Mackey for what he is!

John loses again.....is wrong again.

score is now

NG - 238 Mackey - 0 -

George Vetterle wrote in message <36aed4d4.5053235@news.thevision.net>...
>On Wed, 27 Jan 1999 02:50:25 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> geovet@thevision.net (George Vetterle) wrote:
>>
>>> On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 09:43:25 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>>> >This was a forge done by Blake Bowers.
>>
>>> The poor spelling indicates that it was written by you, John. You
>>> can't fool me.
>>
>>What was wrong with my spelling George? It all looked fine to me!
>
>The post in dispute had spelling errors. You frequently misspell
>words. Proof positive that you made the post. Further argument is
>futile.
>

3430. Re: I AM A REAL SICK PERSON. HELP ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <Sdaner@usa.wet>
Message-ID: <9%Ar2.270$BS2.618@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 129615

We all have been asking John to tone down his foul mouth for a couple of years now. He has been like this since being kicked off of most of the Fido Echos. This is his last refuge. Nobody else will take him or wants him. PARG is even trying to get rid of him.

George Vetterle wrote in message <36aed7d5.5822415@news.thevision.net>...
>On Wed, 27 Jan 1999 02:16:12 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> "dolph" <dolph@qnet.com> wrote:
>>> The voices are telling you to leave this group!
>>
>>And the voices are telling YOU to stick your head back
>>in your ass where it belongs!
>>
> John, it is not necessary for you to curse to get your point across.
>You should learn to be more articulate and less profane.
>

3431. Re: FIGHTER JETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990127052103.01146.00003107@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 129616

Jim,

Look for a used Pro-60. It's a great scanner and covers milair. Also, the new Sony SC-1 is only about $269.00 (non-PC version) and it covers all from 25-1300 except cells.
The Sporty's radio works OK, but it doesn't have channel lockout (you have to delete a problem frequency) and is very prone to intermod. Also, only 20 channels.

John

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

3432. Re: 10-codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78n2cbgme1@207.18.224.123>
Source record: 129617
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 22:11:28 -0600, "EJStuart" <stuart@tomah.com>
wrote:

>What is the standardized list of 10 codes?
>
By now, you have seen the myriad of replys
that state that there is no "standard" 10 code,
and they are correct.

One other thing to look for, is many agencies are going to
"plain language" in their communications, doing away
with 10 codes completely.

Makes working with other agencies a bunch easier.

3433. Re: FL. NEXTEL USERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wildcat <wildcat@tp.net>
Message-ID: <geEr2.15$7z.192@news13.ispnews.com>
Source record: 129618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

There is no charge for Nextel two way radio service. Only when you use the Nextel transceiver as a cellular telephone you get charged. All other two way communication is free.

Nextel sucks here in New England. The calls are delayed, the disconnection rate is high, and the interference is terrible. I haven't met one satisfied Nextel customer up here in the Northeast.

--

Some people look at what is and ask why.....
Other people look at what isn't and ask why not.....

.
zeno@magicnet.net wrote in message ...
>
>> AL wrote in message ...
>> >If you'd enjoy chatting with other Florida Nextel users, as I have been
>> >doing,lets exchange ID information. I've met many nice people, thus far,
>> >with whom I chat daily via the NEXTEL DIRECT CONNECT (2 way radio)
system.
>> >As a ham radio operator I can tell you that this system is really fun to
>> >use, is similar to ham radio, without the need for a license. Please
read
>> >my webpage for more information.I look forward to hearing from FLORIDA
>> >users.
>> >http://www.geocities.com/SouthBeach/Coast/2863
>
>Except with Ham radio, one is NOT charged a per minite charge like in
>Nextel's 2 way radio DORK!!!!!! You are really stupid Al. And it takes a
>lot for me to say that to someone in a news group.
>
>--
>If only we knew that money is only an idea. There is no scarcity or loss
connected to it. Nothing cost anything.

3434. Re: W and W manufacturing ripped me off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Wittich <jwittich@nortel.com>
Message-ID: <36AF087B.72FC2081@nortel.com>
Source record: 129619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

spamkill@interl.net wrote:
>
> Hello folks,
>
> I hate posting one of these messages to any kind of forum but these
> folks leave me no choice.
> Their representative hung up on my xyl when she was trying to resolve
> the matter to the satisfaction of myself and the company. Hence, I
> have no choice but to post it here. I hope it serves as a warning to
> others who might consider doing business with these people.

Dude! Slow down a minute... Did you try the charger, or are you
just having a fit because the terminal arrangement is different
and the ebp-28n is not in the list of batteries that came with
the charger?

Just looking in the catalog, it looks like the only difference
between the ebp-18n and the ebp-28n is the capacity. They are
both 12 volt batteries, so if the charger does the ebp-18n, is
should also do the ebp-28n but will take about 15 percent longer.

Now about the other terminal... do you even know what is is for?
Probably, it's not needed and the charger you have will work
just fine... but it sounds like you've already made your mind
up to unload it.

Jeff

3435. Re: FCC website: Search US Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78n27kgme0@207.18.224.123>
Source record: 129620

On Tue, 26 Jan 1999 23:25:57 -0600, "Brian Harris"
<brianNmelody@buz.net> wrote:

>http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

It is a great site. I have not purchased a PERCON in a year now,
and do all my lookups at the FCC site.

3436. radio zine update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9vjg19-nospam@idt.net
Message-ID: <n9vjg19-nospam-2701990810320001@ppp-30.ts-9.nyc.idt.net>
Source record: 129621

We have another handful of valuable and refreshing articles and updates all over the FBOM Magazine Online web site. http://www.n-lemma.com/FBOM/

Why Too Kay and You! Is the Y2K threat something that your personal computer should be concerned with? Remember, many repeaters are controlled with computers--often old ones at that.
http://www.n-lemma.com/FBOM/y2k/y2k.html

Your letters and emails:
http://www.n-lemma.com/FBOM/input.html

New RF Horoscopes:
http://www.n-lemma.com/FBOM/horo/horo.html

Truly Hidden Antennas:
http://www.n-lemma.com/FBOM/stuff/stuff.html

And of course, our latest MESSY RADIO ROOM contest winner!
http://n-lemma.com/FBOM/contest.html

3437. Re: POCSAG TX MODEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka8ded@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127084436.14197.00004110@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 129622

Try these. One is a pic 16c84 miccontroler circuit that you can carry with you. The other is a encoder software from England that you use your PC with. Also a article was written Electronics Now for Feb1998. This is a small circuit board that can be built for about $15.00 with new parts cheaper if you have a junk box. Also the is a program called pocdec25.zip that will ENCODE & DECODE pocsag messages and it's "FREE". I also have a early version of the pe encoder that can be used to it full advantage with out being registered. If interested I'll email it to you. Here are the web sites for some of the differant programs I have used that "WORK:. Also a web site that ypu can get the PCB for the Electronics Now for Feb1998 project. Hope this helps.

Have Fun
Rich

http://www.cl.ais.net/farcir/

ftp://ftp.simtel.net/pub/simtelnet/msdos/commprog/pocdec25.zip

http://www.users.interport.net/~carlott/

http://www.cylexinc.com/rm100.htm

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/6063/

http://www.whatpage.com/clive/pocsag.htm

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/4039/

http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/9339/

3438. FS: JRC-525

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lewitzky <johnl@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <36AF1AC0.56BC5956@ptd.net>
Source record: 129623

JRC 515 Receiver in excellent condition; includes the following:

CMK-165 VHF/UHF Converter 34-60, 114-174, 423-456 All Mode
CFL-218A 1800Hz Filter
CMH-532 Computer Control Board
Serial cable from board to radio w/JRC connector
Original box and manual
Scancat radio control software

$ 625 including shipping CONUS

John, N3ZQJ

3439. Re: BC210XL - Schematic/Info Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <78n5op$4at@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 129624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <36AE8DAA.7B488A73@bewellnet.com>,
Blondeau <Remove, *nospam*, to, reply> wrote:

>... A couple of my similar posts went
>unanswered last week, so if it's okay, allow me to also ask
>about my BC210XL too - Anyone know about schematics or mods?
> It's really difficult to find anything out about this machine...

I've not tried to connect a baseband audio tap to the
BC210XL.

Both Electra/Bearcat BC210XL and BC-220 use the same FM IF
and detector IC (type B531). It's designated IC-2 in the
BC-220 and IC-1 in the BC-210XL.

Here's a breakdown of some of the pins for the B531:

1 - oscillator input
2 - buffer/oscillator
3 - mixer output
5 - second IF input
7 - phase shift network (for FM demodulator)
8 - phase shift network (for FM demodulator)
9 - audio output
10 - input to noise amplifier
11 - output from noise amplifier
13 - signal present
14 - audio switch (controlled internal by squelch logic)
16 - IF input

Happy experimenting :-)
--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3440. Philadelphia,PA Public Works and SEPTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dasflies@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127091559.10690.00003926@ng43.aol.com>
Source record: 129625

Does anyone have or know where I can get Freq's for Phila. Public works or SEPTA?
If so respond or E-MAIL me at DASFlies@AOL.com

3441. FS: AR-8000 Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lewitzky <johnl@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <36AF2134.D2137F73@ptd.net>
Source record: 129626

AR-8000 Scanner--full coverage from 500kHz to 1900mHz. Excellent condition with original box, instructions, AC adapter and NiCads. Also includes Opto Scout connector, ScannerWare software and computer interface with cable. $ 485 include shipping CONUS.

John
N3ZQJ

3442. bc8500 display light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Sujkowski <ronalds@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <36AF2258.A1BFACD2@concentric.net>
Source record: 129627

scanner display is going almost not useable. Hard to see the display.
What part# is it so I can replace it.
Thanks.

--
E-Mail address for Ron Sujkowski
Ronalds@concentric.net

3443. AOR AR3000 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Allen <FAllen-IDC@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01be4a01$d70b0940$18a64b0c@default>
Source record: 129628

Hi,

I have a AOR AR 3000 for sale. Covers 100khz-2036mhz, (no gaps), FM narrow, FM wide, LSB,USB, and AM. This is a base unit and in excellent condition. Has box, and manual $635.00.

Thanks,
Fred

3444. Re: FS RS Pro-90 W/Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127094418.23380.00005260@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 129629

being a little sarcastic?



3445. WTB LAS VEGAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: mirage33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36af2791.50402254@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 129630

I just found out that I have got to be in Las Vegas in a couple of
days. Can anyone send me a list of common Vegas frequencies. Normally
I would do a search and not ask but I have a million and one things to
take care of before I leave.
Thanks,
Allen

3446. KASEY IS NOT IN JAIL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiocall@usa.net
Message-ID: <78o7nt$t89$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129631

The posting that Tom Kasey is in jail posted by someone normanl@iname com. is FAKE. IT IS ANOTHER KASEY'S TRICK. I had contact with the authorities about this subject. KASEY IS NOT IN JAIL. HE IS AROUND LOOKING FOR HIS NEXT VICTIM.

Stay safe!
Beware of normanl@iname.com - possibly new kaseys address

Tom Jefferson
radiocall@usa.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3447. 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <mtplus@erols.com>
Message-ID: <78na07ebi1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129632
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.

If I have posted this to the wrong group, please for give me and point me in the right direction.

--
Bob (in Maryland)

3448. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36AF1FDE.F97F2DE@flash.net>
Source record: 129633
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Get a 2.4 ghz phone instead. You get at least 3 times the range on it. I can go over 300 feet on my panasonic

Robert wrote:

> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
>
> If I have posted this to the wrong group, please for give me and point me in
> the right direction.
>
> --
> Bob (in Maryland)

3449. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <mtplus@erols.com>
Message-ID: <78nalbhgr1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129634
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Don,
Appreciate the fast response. Could you please tell me the model
number, MSRP, who sells it, and battery life expectations.

Regards,
Bob

Don Bertone wrote in message <36AF1FDE.F97F2DE@flash.net>...
>Get a 2.4 ghz phone instead. You get at least 3 times the range on it. I
can
>go over 300 feet on my panasonic
>
>Robert wrote:
>
>> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
>> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
>> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
>>
>> If I have posted this to the wrong group, please for give me and point me
in
>> the right direction.
>>
>> --
>> Bob (in Maryland)
>

3450. GREAT IDEA Re. NG filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Haas <c10250@email.mot.com>
Message-ID: <78n7ms$rb61@nntp.cig.mot.com>
Source record: 129635

I am suggesting that EVERYONE filter out those individuals posting AND REPLYING to the garbage that is posted on this NG. It makes reading this NG a pleasure when you do not have flame wars to contend with.

In Outlook Express, under tools, go to newsgroup filters and follow the directions.

DO NOT FORGET TO FILTER THOSE INDIVIDUALS REPLYING TO GARBAGE POSTS!!!!!!!!!!!

Happy scanning,

Ken

3451. Imarstat reception was Re: 1.3GHz Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <78llimnmf1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129670

I saw the article about IMARSTAT in MT. I already have a receiver that goes up to 1.6 GHz What type of antenna and preamp are needed to hear these weak signals? Is there any way to get Imarstat without spending hundreds of dollars for the pacages listed in the MT article?

In article <78i39s$sd1$1@news-2.news.gte.net>,
"Dean, Greg" <N9NWO@GTE.net> wrote:
> :Hello,
> : I saw the Bearcat 3000xl, and was wonderin who in god's name broadcasts
> :at anywhere's near 1.3GHz? is it worth even scanning these signals? thanks
> :for the help
> :
>
> Inmarsat is at 1540 Mhz. There is one over the Pacific and one
> over the Altantic that we can hear here in the US. You will get
> lots of ship traffic (talking to customs, port authorities). Also
> Inmarsat is used by drug dealers, gun runners and others because
> they think it is secure. BTW, our generals used in Saudi and
> Bosnia.
>
> See the article in Monitoring Times, Nov '98 p. 22
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3452. Re: I AM A REAL SICK PERSON. HELP ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78lst5$to6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129671

"dolph" <dolph@qnet.com> wrote:
> The voices are telling you to leave this group!

And the voices are telling YOU to stick your head back
in your ass where it belongs!

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3453. Re: WHO CARES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ltr5$ub0$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> Show me one flame, that is also a forge, that has been proven to be
> posted by me. One.

No problem Blake! Here are the messages below, one legit & the other
a forged flame posted by YOU as proven by your same IP address.
(NNTP posting host/HTTP proxy 207.18.224.93) The messsages were even
within 19 minutes of each other!

****
Subject: flake
Author: ka0ssf <ka0ssf@hotmail.com>
Date: 1999/01/24
Forum: rec.radio.scanner
Posted on: 1999/01/24
Organization: Deja News - The Leader in Internet Discussion
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 24 21:33:50 1999 GMT
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x9.dejanews.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
207.18.224.93

From: bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers)
Newsgroups: misc.emerg-services, alt.firefighters
Subject: Re: IAFF endorses Gore
Date: 24 Jan 1999 21:12:24 GMT
Message-ID: <78g2bo$l1a$0@207.18.224.93>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.18.224.93

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3454. Re: John's drivel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78lufl$up0$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> No John, you have yet to prove anything except what a liar YOU are.

No Blake, YOU have PROVEN yourself to be a liar AGAIN! Just within
the last day you said:

Author: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Date: 1999/01/25
Forums: rec.radio.scanner, misc.emerg-services, alt.firefighters,
alt.radio.scanner
*My apologies folks, I did not mean to cross post my reply
-SNIP - to preserve bandwidth
*It won't happen again.

But we see that the VERY NEXT DAY (today) you DID INDEED
make a multiple cross post just as yiou said you WOULD NOT DO!
You have PROVEN YOURSELF a liar AGAIN, Blake Bowers!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3455. Re: IT WAS CHEEK-A-LIKES ALL ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78luq8$v4h$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129674

sgambino@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> I thought you was in the hospital. What did you do, sign your self
> out against medical advice? You know you ain't going to get better

No, the only time I was in the hospital (as a patient) in my adult life was for surgery on my elbow of the left arm. I certainly didn't leave AMA! Thanks for your concern, but it was aboout 2 years ago I had the surgery & Blake Bowers even sent me best wishes on fidonet mail!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3456. Re: medications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78lutf$v6f$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129675

geovet@thevision.net (George Vetterle) wrote:

> On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 09:43:25 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >This was a forge done by Blake Bowers.

> The poor spelling indicates that it was written by you, John. You
> can't fool me.

What was wrong with my spelling George? It all looked fine to me!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3457. Re: Good God, I can't take anymore!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78lv1q$v7c$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129676

bpk@home.com wrote:
> And this has to do with scanners in what way?I think you need a
> shrink.Maybe he can up your med dosage.Please John just let it go and
> join another newsgroup so we do not have to hear this crap

bpk, you would do well to follow your own advice!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3458. Re: Coincidence or ??????? (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78m204$1k4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129677

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:

> On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 20:26:51 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >This info for you hero was to be provided to the NG of REC.RADIO.SCANNER,
> >NOT to you for your review. As indicated in your post SNIP

> Yet, you lie again. You told me, that you would provide me with the
> information, if I held Bill Cheek to the same standard. I have done
> that. Bill has provided me with that information, and you have

WRONG again Blake!

That information was asked to for by members of this NG back WELL
BEFORE I ARRIVED on this NG in early 1996. Below you will see
two messages calling upon Bill to provide proof of his degree
to members of this NG. Those people being Hugh Duff & Scott
Gustafson. Certainly there are more, but 2 are enough to show
that other have been asking for this info for a LONG TIME
from your hero LONG before you started asking for mine So if you
have your hero's info like you say then post it. It it is legit
then I will post all that you want!

It's so simple even YOU should understand, Blake!

****
Re: Scanner FAQ from Bill Cheek
Author: Scott Gustafson <scott@minervasys.com>
Date: 1996/07/24
Forum: rec.radio.scanner

<hduff@hcol.humberc.on.ca> wrote:
>Why don't you you just answer YES or NO...Are you a Engineer ?
>I don't care to hear that you have X number of years in Engineering
>environments so that qualifies you as one in your own mind.
>YES or NO ???

After reading the above post by Bill Cheek, I would be amazed if he has any
Degree from any University whatsoever. Anyone with an ounce of education
would not resort to name calling and the behavior that you exhibit here in
this forum. If Bill, you in fact have a degree, please send me the name of
the University from which it was awarded. But as I said, I would really be
amazed if you had a B.S., M.S, M.E., or above.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3459. AC Adapter for Yupi MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: erikd@icehouse.net
Message-ID: <78mbvp$9bl$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129678

Does anyone use a radio shack wall adapter to run their 7100 on? I want to make sure I get the right one. I do have an adapter with switchable voltage, and I do know the connector is supposed to be tip positive. I just want to make sure it is OK to use this adapter to avoid causing damage to my scanner. If the switchable one is not good, what 12V adapter should I use then?

Thanks in advance!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3460. Re: Sdane is a CHEEK-A-LIKE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78mg6jcmr1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129679

In article <19990126142117.18705.00003375@ng33.aol.com>,
jdclampitt@aol.com (Jdclampitt) wrote:
> >From: "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet>
>
> >Only when it came to you. Other then this lame attempt you are the only one
> >accused of suck misdeeds Mackeloon. I have never done ANY of the above.
>
> No Steve, you have NOT,
>
> Your only crime is to post GARBAGE at a rate near the record that other
> Cheek-a-Like Butt Buddy of yours "Smalldik" has set in responding to BULLS**T
> from mackey.
>
> Be sure, Mackey is a GOON, but your neverending efforts to respond to EACH and
> EVERY post of his puts you in the SAME CLASS AS HIM!
>
> Must suck to be as bad, or worse than the guy you have devoted your life to
> "One Upping" Too bad you sink lower with each post.
>
> GET A LIFE, Cheek was in here just the other day telling all hi -a-likes that
> they were on sale at Wal Mart. You must have messed the post.
>
> Even YOU can afford one NOW!!!
>
> Jed
>

Jed,

You kinda remind me of that Nick guy, aka OBFD, that was raising
hell here last year. You ain't him are you?

/Sal

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3461. Re: PRO-64 OWNERS MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcksnpat@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990126192310.05406.00003498@ng118.aol.com>
Source record: 129680

Try radioshack.com DUH

3462. FS AOR 1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8ewq@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990126193201.01084.00000127@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 129681

1000 channel handheld with full cell
make offer
Tony

3463. Salt Lake County Sheriff trunking info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AE5ECC.9EE09FF6@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The SL County Sheriff's Office has created a trunked system within an existing system the last few days. There are four talkgroups in use so far,
it looks like they are moving onto the system a bit at a time. One new talkgroup was added today for Admin/Narcs/Vice. This talkgroup was not active yesterday.

Until last week, they were testing on the County's existing system which

is licensed for 20 frequencies (but only using 7). It appears they decided
to take the remaining frequencies and establish a new system, the talkgroup
ID's are no longer active on the old system. Meanwhile, new ID's are appearing on the new system.

For now, I'm trying to figure out what frequencies belong to each system,
so I duplicated the entire list of 20 in two separate banks so I can monitor
both systems simultaneously and sort it all out.

Email me for specifics if you're interested.

Jon

3464. Re: Orem, Utah PD on 800 MHz trunked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AE619C.862C60D3@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129683

Trevor Harris wrote:

> "Orem City Motorola Type II analog Frequencies:
> 866.225, 866.625, 866.8375, 867.0875, 867.2875, 868.2875. Trunktracker
> Information: 16 - Link to UHP Statewide, 4816 - PD Dispatch, 4848 -
> PD Ch.2, 4880 - PD Ch.3, 4944 - Fire Linked to 154.145"
>
> The site I found it at was: http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/ut.html
> This guy has it on his web-page but www.trunktracker.com/ doesn't...go
> figure
>

Again, the posted info is not complete - only six freqs are listed.
There are ten freqs in use.

I sent the correct freqs to trunktracker.com with a bunch of others
a long time ago, but nothing has been updated yet. Not sure why.

Thanks,
Jon

3465. Internet MP3 JAMMING Repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36AE5F64.AE4E7616@flash.net>
Source record: 129684

440.175 K7IJ in San Francisco is now online with live audio. It is not on fulltime yet so just keep trying.

Northern California's biggest animal house box!

Go to www.n6ysa.com for more info

440.475 KK6AN (me) will soon be 2 way interactive once we get a phoneline and ISP set up for it.

3466. Re: CHP, new channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: PDWK66A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <78lrrj$99lq$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129685

The 39 MHz frequency is the BASE "output" transmission which repeats the CAR "input" signal. If you listen on the 39 MHz frequency, you'll hear both sides. If you listen on the "CAR" frequency, you'll only hear the unit's transmission, and then only if you're pretty close to the unit.

73 de JOHN, KC6NWJ

>From what I understood Beige was only one frequency. It would seem that >way when listening to Rancho. A dispatcher made the comment that she >likes it now, because now the units can't talk about them like they use to do >on the other frequency.

3467. Re: Relm MS 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd4ykv2@fuse.net
Message-ID: <36b45607.230018320@nntp.fuse.net>
Source record: 129336

On Fri, 29 Jan 1999 03:11:23 GMT, XXnospamXXezra@cscg.com (Ezra)
wrote:

>Does anyone have any experience with the Relm MS 200? (the mobile one not the
>hand held) Seems like a cool unit having pl and all. I have a pro-2026 and
>the intermod kills me here in LA ... the relm seems like a good replacement
>but I've never heard anything about it.

If you know which CTCSS tone to use with the frequencies you want to
listen to, it's OK. It's an intermod sponge if you try to search with
it. Lots of cell images in the "legal" part of 800Mhz.......... With
my unit.

Randy True
kd4ykv2@fuse.net
KOI Tri-State Scanner Page
http://home.fuse.net/rwtrue00
Amateur Call KD4YKV

3468. Re: Help Me Win... THE MORAL OF THE STORY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jr2@usaor.net
Message-ID: <36B0DE4F.38A3@usaor.net>
Source record: 129386

This is NOT alt.law newsgroup, thus the subject is off topic.

Call a Lawyer.

3469. Re: Mackey Exposed as LIAR Again (off topic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya02348000280199113040001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 129387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

In article <78ot77est1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> "Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> > Mackey you must have doctored them up. These aren't the same as the ones
> > that shoed up my server.
>
> Really!! NO ONE else but YOU has thought that! Why don't you post the
> one's you found on your server?
>
>
> BTW - What do you think of a Regency MX3000 for a scanner?

HEY LOOK FOLKS!
Mackey's trying to legitimize his posts!
He'll probably try and count this as one of his 7 on-topic efforts of the
past three years!

Regency MX3000...? Well, umm, uh, yeah! Oh, yeah - MIGHTY fine equipment,
yessiree! <mumble,mumble...> Uh, how LOUD does it go, Johnny?

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

3470. Re: No Cussing Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480002801991124320001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 129388

Well, SOMEBODY should be flaming his post! Surely, you don't think
(unless, of course, you're some kind of evangelical christian type, a
Four-Square-er, or Bible Church-er, or something like that) that you're
doiing these kids any favors by covering their ears, and shush-shushing
people around them - in effect, leaving them TOTALLY unprepared for the
realities they must someday face as they become adults?

That's what this society IS, fer cryin' out loud!!!
Expose them to the evils - TEACH them that it's evil and let it become a
learning experience, but what you are doing by making this a "hidden",
forbidden thing, is making it(yes, even simple profanity!) something that
they will seek out and REVEL IN, when at long last,(in their view), they
are FREE! of your cloistering, protecting, smothering, "Good Intentions".
Don't you people ever learn? Look what teaching nakedness is evil, sex
should be done in the dark - never talked about - has done for your kids!
The simple truth is that because of THAT, EVERY high-school boy knows that
the easiest and most likely place to get layed is in church! (It's because
the girls who are the most repressed by their parents sexually, are the
most free with their favors, when left to their own devices.) It's simple
psychology.

So get off this kick before you ruin another generation, will ya?

wb6sub@earthlink.net wrote:
> No friend I wasn't referring to that four letter word. 73's
>
> Jack@sgi.net wrote:
>
> > If you think "hell" is cusing, you should read some other posts. With
> > the amount of flaming on this newsgroup i'm suprised that you would
> > allow your 6 yr. old to read them. The impression of this newsgroup
> > coulden't get any lower than it is. By the way, hell is not one of the
> > words in the forbidded word groups listed by the FCC, News Media, Motion
> > Picture Industry. Your child hears worst than H E L L in school and at
> > the movies.
> >
> > By the way, I am NOT flaming your post. Just expressing my opinions, or
> > is that against your policy also.
> >
> > Trevor Harris wrote:
> > >
> > > This newsgroup is commonly read by New ham, and scanner blood. (ie. a 6
> > > year old General Ham in my group) Please lets not lower the impression
> > > of this newsgroup with that, or subjuct such young eyes or ears with
> > > cussing. Thank-You WB6SUB

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

3471. Re: Blake caught in MORE lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Baker <firecaptain@tbcnet.com>
Message-ID: <78qelc$7m$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

Old Dewd wrote in message <78m7pn$d3s@nnrp1.farm.idt.net>...
> When are you two guys gonna get it on? I'll pay for the room.
>Enough already, get it done and over with, if you're gonna make a public
>spectacle, make a public spectacle!
>
> While you're sharing each other's private company, be sure to
>not install any baby monitors so's people can listen to you two on their
>scanners. :)
>

My official position: ROTFLMAO! I couldn't have said it better myself!

Jim "On the lighter side of things" Baker

3472. Re: Two-Way Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <36B0BFC0.6F382707@mich.com>
Source record: 129390

tracey12 wrote:

> I just bought a two-way pager for a very good price, and it has all the
> instructions etc.
> However, I don't know the first thing about it.

RTFM, YDSOB!

> So, this is a BellSouth 2-way pager
> that has a small keyboard and screen, but the whole unit is not much
> larger than a regular
> pager.
>
> Do you know what frequency this thing works on?

Yes.

> Is it traceable in that the system it transmits to keeps track of which
> site is responding?

Yes.

>
> Thus, could there be a report created that indicates where the pager was
> located at a
> paticular time of day?

Yes.

> What else do you happen to know about these devices?

Lots of stufff that a reasonably intelligent prson could figure out by
perusing the manual, the tech specs in the flyer & posted on their web page...

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

3473. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@wans.net
Message-ID: <MPG.111a70f93e9d104a9897d0@news.wans.net>
Source record: 129391

Personally, I think the entire crop of computer type receivers suck. They are all useless on the HF (except the TenTec RX-320 which rules in this area). I have owned (for a short while) both the PCR-1000 and the WinRadio 1500e. They just aren't there yet!

3474. Re: PRO-90 Antenna - what to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B0C725.15BC21B8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

brantdw@rocketmail.com wrote:

>
> The best portable antenna that I have found is the Radio Shack amplified
> antenna. Runs on 2 AA and pulls is the signals quite well. You will have to
> adapt the connector to a BNC. Catalog # is 15-1607. Definatley worth the
> bucks, compared to a fixed outside antenna.
>
> -Brant

Great idea, and you can adjust the antenna length and orientation to maximize signals. I bet it would be dandy for travel, camping, etc. Thanks Brant!

Jon

3475. Re: IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: firewave@REMOVE.videotron.ca
Message-ID: <firewave-2801991544570001@192.168.1.4>
Source record: 129393

is there a way to power this radio or the ic-q7 by the wall power plug?

alex

3476. Alinco DJ-X10 Full cell no gaps on e-bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dshatzer1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990128160842.22454.00000511@ng03.aol.com>
Source record: 129394

Go Here

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=61341152

3477. Re: IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF6AGt0.6HD@netcom.com>
Source record: 129395

In article <firewave-28019915445700001@192.168.1.4>,
Alex <firewave@REMOVE.videotron.ca> wrote:

>is there a way to power this radio or the ic-q7 by the wall power plug?

No, at least there aren't any obvious ways.

However, the AA batteries last quite a long time and the later Q7 models
come with nicads and a battery charger.

I was concerned at first but they last so long it isn't worth worrying
about. (at least in my case)

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

3478. Re: WTB: Inexpensive Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990128162945.01112.00000117@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 129396

How does $25 dollaers sound for a Pro-50?

3479. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <78qmjk$i3v$2@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 129397
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

meer possession is not enough, the question is are you the "legal" owner of
both the software and the hardware?....in short have you got "receipts" for
everything indicating you are, in fact, entitled to ownership of the hardware
and legally entitled to "use" of the software according to the license. Also
are you the "registered" (with the manufacturer) user of the software....these
will be the basis in court for the prosecutions case. Face it Motorola has
you by the short hairs.....

In article <78i0l4$s0e$1@remarQ.com>, "Radioman" <radioman@uia.net> wrote:
>I was arrested about a year ago and now I'm being charged with California
>Penal Code 499c "Trade secrets-theft of."
>
>I'm alleged to have in my possession the following items.
>Astro Depot tool ver.03.04.00
>MTSX Depot tool ver.02.00.01
>Spectra Lab tool ver.05.03.00
>STX Labtool
>System Key Generator
>System Watch Codeplug Generator-FTR ver.02.00
>
>Well it seems that Motorola really doesn't like people having these items
>and wrote a letter stating this fact to a Sheriff's Dept.They say in the
>letter that "All of the items above are not for sell to the general
>public.Depot Tools and Labtools are product development and service
>aids,which are not given away or issued to anyone with the exception of
>Motorola-owned services facilities.All of these items should be considered
>proprietary to motorola.They contain trade secrets and are copyrighted."
>
>There as you can tell some part of the story missing but it doesn't really
>matter.It just matters about this section.After the case is over I will tell
>you how I got arrested.
>
>Well fellow trunkers and who ever else lets help me get a "NOT GUILTY" with
>my case so I DON"T have to go to state prison.
>This is the section that they are trying to nail me on.
>499c. (a) As used in this section:
>1) "Access" means to approach, a way or means of approaching,nearing,
>admittance to, including to instruct, communicate with,store information in,
>or retrieve information from a computer system or computer network.
>(2) "Article" means any object, material, device, or substance or copy
>thereof, including any writing, record, recording, drawing, sample,
>specimen, prototype, model, photograph, micro-organism,blueprint, map, or
>tangible representation of a computer program or information, including both

>human and computer readable informationand information while in transit.
>(3) "Benefit" means gain or advantage, or anything regarded by
>thebeneficiary as gain or advantage, including benefit to any other person
>or entity in whose welfare he or she is interested.
>(4) "Computer system" means a machine or collection of machines,one or more
>of which contain computer programs and information, that performs functions,
>including, but not limited to, logic, arithmetic,information storage and
>retrieval, communications, and control.
>(5) "Computer network" means an interconnection of two or more computer
>systems.
>(6) "Computer program" means an ordered set of instructions or statements,
>and related information that, when automatically executedin actual or
>modified form in a computer system, causes it to perform specified
>functions.
>(7) "Copy" means any facsimile, replica, photograph or other reproduction of
>an article, and any note, drawing or sketch made ofor from an article.
>(8) "Representing" means describing, depicting, containing, constituting,
>reflecting or recording.
>(9) "Trade secret" means information, including a formula, pattern,
>compilation, program, device, method, technique, or process, that:
>(A) Derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being
>generally known to the public or to other persons who can obtain economic
>value from its disclosure or use; and
>(B) Is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to
>maintain its secrecy.
>(b) Every person is guilty of theft who, with intent to deprive or withhold
>the control of a trade secret from its owner, or with an intent to
>appropriate a trade secret to his or her own use or to the use of another,
>does any of the following:
>
>(1) Steals, takes, carries away, or uses without authorization, a trade
>secret.
>(2) Fraudulently appropriates any article representing a trade secret
>entrusted to him or her.
>(3) Having unlawfully obtained access to the article, without authority
>makes or causes to be made a copy of any articlerepresenting a trade secret.
>(4) Having obtained access to the article through a relationship of trust
>and confidence, without authority and in breach of the obligations created
>by that relationship, makes or causes to be made, directly from and in the
>presence of the article, a copy of any article representing a trade secret.
>(c) Every person who promises, offers or gives, or conspires to promise or
>offer to give, to any present or former agent, employee orservant of
>another, a benefit as an inducement, bribe or reward for conveying,
>delivering or otherwise making available an articlerepresenting a trade
>secret owned by his or her present or former principal, employer or master,
>to any person not authorized by the owner to receive or acquire the trade
>secret and every present or former agent, employee, or servant, who
>solicits, accepts, receives or takes a benefit as an inducement, bribe or
>reward for conveying, delivering or otherwise making available an article
>representing a trade secret owned by his or her present or former principal,

>employer or master, to any person not authorized by the owner to receive or
>acquire the trade secret, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state
>prison, or in a county jail not exceeding one year, or by a fine not
>exceeding five thousand dollars ($5,000),or by both that fine and
>imprisonment.
>
>(d) In a prosecution for a violation of this section, it shall be no defense
>that the person returned or intended to return the article.
>
> radioman
>
>

3480. Re: FCC website: Search US Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <78qm7p$i3v$1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 129398

In article <78pedlsok1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>> It is a great site. I have not purchased a PERCON in a year now,
>> and do all my lookups at the FCC site.
>
>Blake, PREPARE YOURSELF!!! I agree with you!!!!!!!!
>
>I've been using the the FCC site for the same things with
>good results.
>
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

ditto....the best part is once you locate a transmission tower in your area,
transfer the LAT/LONG to the Lat/Long/Service query click radius and select
about 3 to 5 miles and EVERYTHING registered in that area shows up regardless
to whome it is registered to.......AND there isn't any "copyright" BS to put
up with since it is PUBLIC info.....enjoy.....

3481. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36bcdb62.12129172@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 129399
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

"Robert" <mtplus@erols.com> wrote:

>Glen,
> I would appreciate it if you would name some of the phones that you
>consider to be good quality.

I don't have that much experience with them, and they'll change with
different models anyway. I have a Sanyo, and I like it. It has a
battery backup in the base unit, so you can use it when the power goes
out. I had an early V-Tech, and it was OK, but not nearly as good as
the Sanyo. I bought an AT&T for my daughter which is mediocre in
quality. I'd never buy anything by Uniden if I had a choice.

A friend has a Sony, and likes it, although I haven't had a chance to
see it yet.

That's about all I can tell you that you can't find out from looking
at the phones themselves.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3482. Re: Optocom trunking problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B0E367.BA208607@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129400

"James R. Bryant" wrote:

> Right Click on the bank name in the tactical display . Go to the line
> (Bottom) that reads "ID open mode" and left click.

Hi James,

The right click did the trick, thank you.

New item:
There is one system that does not show the Talkgroup ID's the same as my Pro-90 shows them. This is the Salt Lake County Sheriff's Office system that just came on the air the last couple of weeks. TrakkStar/Optocom adds 16 to all ID's, i.e., Pro-90 shows 224, Optocom shows 240.

All other systems the Pro-90 and Optocom agree on ID's.

One Deputy I talked to said the Comm director told him this is a new system, supposedly a Smartzone III or Smartnet III. This is news to me, anyone heard of this?

Thanks,
Jon

3483. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA SCANNERS READ!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMchuckNOSPAM@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <36b0ded3.404300683@news.uia.net>
Source record: 129401

I have created a mailing list for scanner information that is specific to those of us in Southern California. To subscribe to the list send an e-mail to "listserv@rizzap.com" and in the body of the message type "SUBSCRIBE SOCALSCAN Your Name". You can leave the subject blank and please omit the quotation marks.

This list was designed to help find information about scanning in Southern California, (particularly L.A., Orange, San Bernardino and Riverside Counties) without sorting through all of the SPAM, FLAMES and out of the area freq requests/responses seen in the newsgroups.

If you decide to join the list you will be immediately e-mailed information on how to "unscubscribe" from the group if you so decide.

Thank You!

Chuck Rowe
NOSPAMchuck@la-radio.com
Remove the "NOSPAM" to reply via e-mail

3484. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA SCANNERS READ!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMchuckNOSPAM@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <36b1dedf.404312064@news.uia.net>
Source record: 129402

I have created a mailing list for scanner information that is specific to those of us in Southern California. To subscribe to the list send an e-mail to "listserv@rizzap.com" and in the body of the message type "SUBSCRIBE SOCALSCAN Your Name". You can leave the subject blank and please omit the quotation marks.

This list was designed to help find information about scanning in Southern California, (particularly L.A., Orange, San Bernardino and Riverside Counties) without sorting through all of the SPAM, FLAMES and out of the area freq requests/responses seen in the newsgroups.

If you decide to join the list you will be immediately e-mailed information on how to "unscubscribe" from the group if you so decide.

Thank You!

Chuck Rowe
NOSPAMchuck@la-radio.com
Remove the "NOSPAM" to reply via e-mail

3485. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@mail.blinx.de>
Message-ID: <36B0E563.A8F670C2@mail.blinx.de>
Source record: 129403

tvsjr wrote:

> As most scanner geeks do not know....

snip....

> Let us know how far you are able to get out when you complete this, I'm
> sure the rest of us are anxious to know.
>
> Blackjack Elliot
> Las Vegas, NV
>
> Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com

But it seems that our highgrade technician J.Mackey doesn`t know it.. :-(
he seems more to be a lowgrade howling wolf :-))
Responding on FCC-rules is NO answer on technical questions :-((
..and in case it can`t be done (but for sure it CAN!!) he should explain why
NOT...

regards
odo

3486. Lake County, Il Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Kroth <m.g.kroth@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <78qpqg$cdp@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129404

Frequencies I listen to in Lake County, Il.

http://home.att.net/~m.g.kroth

3487. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfutrell@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <36b0e259.772946287@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129405
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

On 27 Jan 1999 18:18:46 GMT, mnc@diana.law.yale.edu (Miguel Cruz)
wrote:

>Robert <mtplus@nospam.erols.com> wrote:
>> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
>> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
>> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
>
>While we're at it, I am looking for a decent 900Mhz or 2.4Ghz phone with
>caller ID but without answering machine. Speakerphone would be okay. For
>some reason this combination of features seems hard to find.

I have a 900Mhz VTech w/ Caller ID, no speakerphone, no answering
machine. So far (a year and a half) it has been teriffic, great
range, perfect sound. We're so hooked we don't use our corded phones
anymore =)

This address is unread due to spam.
Please post all replies.

3488. Re: No Cussing Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <78qrc6$dj1$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 129406

Yup, I whole - heartedly agree with what you said here, Tom. I have seen this happen ! We had a guy in our town was a cop, so he was always preaching about the evils of drugs and such around his house. So what happens ? His KID winds up DEALING DRUGS ! What happens is, you give the kid a candy - ass view of the world, and when he grows up a little , and finds out it just ain`t so, he never listens to you again ! As far as he is concerned, YOU were full of crap !

We got another one in town here, her mother is a minister, and prejudice as hell, (I live pretty far up in the boonies) and her daughter winds up marrying a black guy , just to plunk Mom`s twanger !

Go ahead ! Give the kid a cream - of - wheat view of the world ! He or she will "thank" you for it later, IN SPADES!

Tom Jones wrote in message ...
>Well, SOMEBODY should be flaming his post! Surely, you don't think
>(unless, of course, you're some kind of evangelical christian type, a
>Four-Square-er, or Bible Church-er, or something like that) that you're
>doiing these kids any favors by covering their ears, and shush-shushing
>people around them - in effect, leaving them TOTALLY unprepared for the
>realities they must someday face as they become adults?

3489. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990128184204.01055.00000198@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 129407

I suppose a scanner could be modified to transmit, if you had the technical knowledge and the hardware to pretty much redesign the entire insides. After all, you've already got a housing, power supply, keypad, display, antenna, audio amp and speaker, and all the receive circuitry. What the heck, you could buy one of those little postage-stamp size radio "bugs," mount it in the case, install a PTT button in the side of the case, hook it to the power supply and antenna leads, and install a relay to quiet the receiver when the PTT button is pushed.
Now, I know this really isn't what the original poster had in mind, but you WOULD be transmitting with a scanner.
It could be done, but the better question is, why, and at what cost? There's plenty of used two-way equipment on the market to let you operate on just about any band you want (legally or not).

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

3490. BC60XLT-1 Limit Search

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>
Message-ID: <36B0DD1D.FBD98413@tidalwave.net>
Source record: 129408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

How do I do a limit search with this scanner?

--
Check Out My WebCam!!

http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html

ICQ me 8309550

Free one dollar bills! Please send $4.95 to cover postage & handling.
(Limit $1 per order)

.

3491. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bucrgNOSPAM@PLEASE.diversicomm.com
Message-ID: <MPG.111abef12812113f98971a@news.diversicomm.com>
Source record: 129409
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

In article <36b0e259.772946287@news.earthlink.net>, rfutrell@my-
dejanews.com says...
> I have a 900Mhz VTech w/ Caller ID, no speakerphone, no answering
> machine. So far (a year and a half) it has been teriffic, great
> range, perfect sound. We're so hooked we don't use our corded phones
> anymore =)
>
>

corded phone? wuts that?
he he he ... :)
we have one... think it's an antique. :)
we have a Uniden Extend A Phone...
only one we've found with great side tone...
(ie you hearing your voice and it sounding right)
--

StoneCry
#sawhorse On Undernet.org IRC
bucpoNOSPAM@PLEASEdiversicomm.com
http://www.diversicomm.com/bucpo/index.html

3492. Re: No Cussing Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: JLP001@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29302-36B10494-31@newsd-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 129410

Ok tom, whatever.

3493. Re: FS RS Pro-90 W/Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: JLP001@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29302-36B10534-32@newsd-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 129411

Yes, Yes I was I mean no offense.

3494. Re: Fairly new and kinda curious!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36B11297.12629276@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129412
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You are most likely hearing a image frequency.
find out one way by adding your scanner if frequency with the frequency you are listening to, I bet it will fall into the cell phone range.

"Bruce A. Travers, Jr." wrote:

> i was searching the 800Mhz range on my BC235 and heard many cell phone calls
> in the 850-860 range.....
>
> i know they we all cell phone calls by the way everyone was talking and
> messages when no one answered. so i took my cell phone in my car and dialed
> a number and sure enough, i was hearing my call too. so i'm curious as to
> why this is since i thought new scanners shouldn't pick up any cell calls.
>
> these signals are clear and strong mostly, yet the do seem to break out for
> no apparent reason, yet the come right back in. other times i can hear two
> or three calls on the same freq.
>
> i'm figuring i just live real close to a cell and these signals just push
> their way in (i know that's not real technical, but that's why i'm
> asking)????
>
> thanks
>
> bruce

3495. Re: how do i get 49.XX4Mhz on PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36B11389.8EF9C42B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129413

Most likely the frequency is a typo. It will allmost all the time fall into a 5, 12.5,20,25,30 kilohertz split. If you have a pro-2006....Push manual then press step till you see a little 5 appear in the window...press in the frequency in 5 khz steps,. it will work., anyway 49XX4 would be in the bandwith anyway. Hope this helps. 73's

fairwind@paulbunyan.net wrote:

> hello- i have a set of transmitters i want to use for Fox Hunt with my kids..
> but they transmit on oddball multiples of 49.XX4Mhz. i can recieve the
> signal on 49.XX0 but it isn't ideal. i've tried changing the step to get to
> those frequencies but i can't get there. any suggestions?
>
> this is on a PRO-2006. i'm willing to dig into the box to change something if
> that helps.
>
> a direct cc. reply would be appreciated in addition to posting to the group.
>
> thanks!
> dan fairwind@paulbunyan.net
>
> ------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3496. scanners f.s.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: george <george@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <78r59s$mhb@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 129414
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> I have the following scanners f.s.......
> bc200xlt....like new in box.......225.00
> bc760xlt....like new in box.......225.00 this unit can be base or mobile
>
> bc700a no box have manuel...175.00 this includes shipping for this
> scanner
> bc800xlt ....225.00 this is a base unit receives
> complete 800mhz band.....
> the scanners listed above will receive the complete 860-895mhz with no
> gaps
> bc235xlt trunk tracker.......225.00
> bc8500xlt..........................350.00 this is a base unit
> bc230xlt...like new in box...200.00.........
> I will ship c.o.d. by fedex only with shipping 35.00 payed in advance
> .....thanks
> e-mail.. mackbolan@execpc.com

3497. Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmahar@ctol.net
Message-ID: <dmahar.23.00160AFA@ctol.net>
Source record: 129415

I am looking for a basic scanner, preferably a tabletop, with search, that someone has outgrown. Let me make you an offer, or tell me what you want. Dick Mahar.

3498. Discriminator question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "feilerm@iea.no-junk.com" <feilerm@iea.com>
Message-ID: <36B1256C.D09AC86D@iea.com>
Source record: 129416

I am attempting to install a discriminator tap into my AR-1000 scanner. According to Bill Cheeks FAQ I should:
Connect the (+) leg of a 2.2-uF to 10-uF tantalum capacitor to the Discriminator output Pin. (Capacitor should be tantalum, but can vary in value from 1.0-uF to 10-uF at a voltage rating of 16-35 vdc.)
When I tried to buy this capacitor from Radio Shack they sold me a 10 MFD tantalum capacitor, (16WVDC maximum), part # 272-1436A and told me it was the same thing. Is this the same thing? Are "uF" and "MFD" the same thing? and finally, what is the purpose of this capacitor and why can't I just tap straight to the discriminator output pin?

PS: I did hook it up using the aforementioned RS capacitor but it doesn't seem to be working, How would I test it? Should I hear audio using headphones?

--
Remove "no-junk" from e-mail address to respond.

3499. Re: Looking for call mods for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: K J Ward <WARDKJ@msn.com>
Message-ID: <#m3Vx0zS#GA.224@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 129417

as far as I know there are no cell mods for the BC80. I have been looking
for some time. lots of cell images from 894-910, though (but dion't listen)
Kevin

JOE & JIM wrote in message ...
>looking for cell mods for any of the following scanners: BC80XLT; BCT7;
>BC148XLT-1; OR THE BC235XLT.
>
>
>--
>Thanks Joe
>
>

3500. Re: BC200XLT Clear code key combination

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: willy <willyNOSPAM@cgocable.net>
Message-ID: <36B15FC9.F5B7FDD6@cgocable.net>
Source record: 129418

Also if you hold down SCAN 29 it will enter default freqs.

George White wrote:

> Hamwatch wrote:
>
> > I used to have a BC200XLT, I believe it was hold down 2, 9, and manual turn it
> > off and back on. I hope this helps. Darrell S.
> > Binary Files, Gun Files
>
> Works also my my BC 220 XLT
>
> --Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
> --Central Control STS-11

3501. Re: FS: Pro-39 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: wannarace@usa.net
Message-ID: <36c3b8c8.1145697@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129419

I also have a Pro-39 in the same or better shape. New in box with all paperwork. Rarely used! $200.00 obo
wannarace@usa.net

3502. Re: KASEY IS NOT IN JAIL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <78rdp7gmg1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 129420

Well ! I sure am glad that we got that cleared up ! It was keeping me up nights !

radiocall@usa.net wrote in message <78o7nt$t89$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>The posting that Tom Kasey is in jail posted by someone normanl@iname com. is
>FAKE. IT IS ANOTHER KASEY'S TRICK. I had contact with the authorities about
>this subject. KASEY IS NOT IN JAIL. HE IS AROUND LOOKING FOR HIS NEXT VICTIM.
>

3503. Re: cloning astro digital radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike frye <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <36B14444.7C645929@pacbell.net>
Source record: 129421

Howdy, didn't catch the original post so I'll take a gamble here....
In the Los Angeles area all the Saber Astro geeks program their radios from a code plug sucked out of a current LAPD radio. Most of the radios I have seen decode the seldom used Astro digital mode when the officers go secure because the radios have the "key" from the sucked off radio. Unlike DES or DVP encryption that needs an external key loader that cannot be hacked once loaded, the Astro radios have software keys. Hope that helped whatever the original question was. M!

Talkyal wrote:

> >From: "Murray" <mschultz@4-12.ca>
>
> >Just wondering if astro radios could be cloned using the info obtained
> ....
>
> ....>This might be useful, say for instance, if you wanted to monitor
> >digital communications not that anyone would of course!
>
> Slow down, good buddy. Let's get a decent Astro Digital Scanner on the market,
> first, see how it performs, then we'll determine if we have to "go to more
> extreme measures." ;-->
>
> talkyal

3504. Border Patrol Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Big Dog <rocker686@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78rgaj$pf7@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 129422

Anybody have a list of Border Patrol frequencies used in the So Cal area???
I have yet to find them published.

3505. Re: Silent Key List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Newkirk <wnewkirk@iu.net>
Message-ID: <78rgl6fjl8@east42.supernews.com>
Source record: 129423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bogus answer below...how would the FCC know if a license expired due to death or disinterest?

W3LAP wrote in message <36A8DF48.D38A79F@qsl.net>...
>Check out http://www.fcc.org/all_silent_keys/1928-1999

3506. Re: Anyway to unlock cell freq's on AOR-8200B?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray House <ray@scanner-outlet.com>
Message-ID: <36B14D68.B5B8BC59@scanner-outlet.com>
Source record: 129424

Victor Healey wrote:

> But can you upload a copy of the USA bandplan to an European AR8200?
>
> If so then this is the way to have the best of both worlds, unblocked and
> easy to use preset parameters.
>
> ki4je

surch between 1362.000 to 1390.0000

3507. Re: COMMENTS on AOR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray House <ray@scanner-outlet.com>
Message-ID: <36B14DC4.1B6D3953@scanner-outlet.com>
Source record: 129425

Wayne Montague wrote:

> Hello -
>
> I am contemplating purchase of the above. At one time I owned an AOR8000
> and found its performance above 30 MHz to be very impressive. On HF
> however, it was less than satisfactory on AM and SSB.
>
> I would appreciate comments from users of the 8200 on how well this
> scanner performs on HF on SSB and AM.
>
> Thanks -
>
> Wayne

look between 1362.0000 & 1390.0000

3508. Pro-90 no Trunk at 400Mhz???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Jenkins <tjenkins@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <36b1316e.0@news.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 129426

What's up with this? I tried to get a line on some trunked frequencies at 400Mhz, and no go - I get an 'error'. I read the manual and it indicates that this unit *only* tracks trunked frequencies at 800Mhz???

Is this true???

--Tom

3509. Re: Arizona Aviation Frequency list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: macp <sjosvai@leland.Stanford.EDU>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.990128215353.16103B-100000@treex.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 129427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phx.general,alt.radio.scanner

Need trunked freqs for California, namely Mission Viejo and vicinity,
medics, police fire etc. Will the 800 mhz scanners also received 46XXXXXX
freqs?
Thanks Suiz in SF

3510. Re: Mission Viejo vacinity freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: macp <sjosvai@leland.Stanford.EDU>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.990128221729.16103D-100000@treex.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 129428

Would like near by Mission Viejo military base freq (can't think of its name), police, fire, medics, city, utilities, hospitals, helicoptors or whatever you might have

Thanks
Suiz

3511. Re: Prison freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: macp <sjosvai@leland.Stanford.EDU>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.990128221908.16103E-100000@treex.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 129429

I would like Northern and So CA prison/jail freqs
Thanks Sam

3512. Re: 900Mhz Phones & Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: macp <sjosvai@leland.Stanford.EDU>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.990128222009.16103F-100000@treex.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 129430

Enlighten me..
Can the newer 800 mhz scanners pick up conversation s from 900 mhz
cordless phones. From what I am reading, it sounds like this is so.
If 800 mhz is 800 mhz, how would it pick up 900 mhz...
Thanks Suzi

3513. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tfoselsi@aval.net
Message-ID: <MPG.111ae0cb91002cb989718@news.lava.net>
Source record: 129431
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

In article <36b1454b.2975323@news>, none@home.com says...
> On Thu, 28 Jan 1999 23:01:35 GMT, rfutrell@my-dejanews.com (Robin)
> wrote:
>
> >On 27 Jan 1999 18:18:46 GMT, mnc@diana.law.yale.edu (Miguel Cruz)
> >wrote:
> >
> >>Robert <mtplus@nospam.erols.com> wrote:
> >>> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
> >>> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
> >>> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
> >>
> >>While we're at it, I am looking for a decent 900Mhz or 2.4Ghz phone with
> >>caller ID but without answering machine. Speakerphone would be okay. For
> >>some reason this combination of features seems hard to find.
> >
> >I have a 900Mhz VTech w/ Caller ID, no speakerphone, no answering
> >machine. So far (a year and a half) it has been teriffic, great
> >range, perfect sound. We're so hooked we don't use our corded phones
> >anymore =)
> >
> >
> I have a VTECH VT1900 and it works fine except that pressing a
> button works about one in five times. It's almost impossible to dial
> on. Other than that it works great.
>
Mine did the EXACT same thing after about 2 years. I finally had to buy
another one.,..

Great phone except for the early keyboard failure..

--

__**__
**
Jim Dompier
http://www.islandshades.com

3514. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tfoselsi@aval.net
Message-ID: <MPG.111ae5242fd97b0398971b@news.lava.net>
Source record: 129432
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

In article <78rje2$j5e$1@news.ycc.yale.edu>, mnc@diana.law.yale.edu
says...
> Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote:
> > A friend has a Sony, and likes it, although I haven't had a chance to
> > see it yet.
>
> Some friends of mine have a Sony, and I've used it when staying at their
> house. It's scratchy like sandpaper underwear. More static than a dryer full
> of dacron socks. Noisier than Gauss after a fifth of gin.
>
> I think we need to come up with some sort of statistical reckoning to put
> together all these anecdotal responses. So far there is no brand of phone
> that has not been both lambasted and highly praised.
>
> miguel
>
Thats because they are ALL stamped out of the same molds from the same
factories...:)

--

__**__
**
Jim Dompier
http://www.islandshades.com

3515. Re: Looking for a BC-70 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Sujkowski <ronalds@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <36B15E3A.636782B4@concentric.net>
Source record: 129433

Although I do still have a working BC 70, not for sale, I DO have an
extra charging unit for it !
Selling it at cost of shipping is all!
One time I sent the radio in for repair without the original charger.
They sent a new one upon return.
Tried it out only once just to make sure it works.
MINT CONDITION.

I also have the bc70 manual scanned in about 6 .jpg files if needed that
I could send ya.

JDer8745 wrote:

> ???
>
> Jack
>
> Jder8745@aol.com

--
E-Mail address for Ron Sujkowski
Ronalds@concentric.net

3516. Join the Scanner Links Mailing List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duez Sam <McLeod1455@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <36B0E6D5.3D36F114@hotmail.com>
Source record: 129434
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Join my mailing list at
http://www.fortunecity.com/meltingpot/salash/186/

3517. !!! Indiana Scanner Frequencies !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <JESUS_@_work_on_.me>
Message-ID: <36B0AB79.F56EB2D4@_work_on_.me>
Source record: 129435

Orange & Lawrence Counties scanner frequencies @
http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/scanner.htm I also have printer friendly
Indiana State Police Signal list @
http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/ispsignal.htm and 10 Codes @
http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/tencode.htm !
--

"73" from the cornfields of Indiana!

<')))>< WW - KB9TMP <')))><
email me at kb9tmp<AT>usa.net
home page http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/

3518. Re: IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Brunetti <brunetti@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <nZQr2.3596$oi.3878101@news3.mia>
Source record: 129436

Also, if your looking for the non-cellular blocked version, I recommend htttp://www.com-west.com in Canada. Same price as in the US.

Mike

Lee Rothman wrote in message ...
>Actually it runs rings around the 8000 and 8200 in the area of
>sensitivity. There are things I pick up nearly full strength on the R2
>that the 8000 and 8200 don't even hear, so I wouldn't agree with that. In
>fact I would go so far as to say if you could live without sideband
>capability, the R2 rules. It is even more sensitive than the Yupiteru
>built Relm I also have, and that is quite a complement indeed. For
>$219.95 you just can't beat it.

3519. Looking for a BC-70 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jder8745@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127231846.08226.00000210@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 129437

???

Jack

Jder8745@aol.com

3520. FA: DSP-40 with built-in speaker MIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127231927.14886.00000185@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 129438

Please see my Ebay item # 60384302. It has a low reserve and not many bids yet. It could sell cheap. I also have a list of 20 other items. All posted items have pictures.

3521. Fresno area frequenices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe8617@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127232621.07401.00000244@ng149.aol.com>
Source record: 129439

Does anyone have a listing of Fresno area frequencies they wouldn't mind sharing? Thanks. Joe. "joe8617@aol.com"

3522. Macky??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: seichorn@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127233600.23593.00000341@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 129440

I am new here so who is J Mackey???

3523. Optocom trunking problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AFEAA3.11DFAB74@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I cannot get my Optocom to work in the trunking mode with the supplied TrakkStar software. I load all the frequencies for 3 different systems, but nada. I duplicate them in my Pro-90 to verify activity which works fine.

I can get certain trunkgroup ID's to show if I manually pause on a frequency that happens to be in use, but once I go back to trunked scanning there's nothing.

Conventional scanning works like gangbusters, it scans my scanlists with no problems, lockups or glitches.

I have entered Type-II into all channels, and can't think of any other pre-requisites causing the problem. When I disconnect the radio from the computer and close the software, it defaults to one certain scanlist.

I called Signal Intelligence for technical help, but they were "too busy" to stay on line an help me troubleshoot the problem. (on my dime no less!) So much for customer support, huh?

Any ideas would be greatly appreciated.

Jon

3524. Re: Macky??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberrys@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <36aff201.2450204@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129442

On 28 Jan 1999 04:36:00 GMT, seichorn@aol.com (S Eichorn) wrote:

>I am new here so who is J Mackey???
>

Please see "ALT.FAN.JOHN.MACKEY" this newsgroup is for scanner related
posting only

3525. Re: FCC's U.S. frequency database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AFF67E.78D7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129443
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Brian Harris wrote:
>
> I have noticed several posts looking for frequencies here and there, so I
> thought I would share this link. It is the Federal Communication Commission
> website, and you can search for frequencies by State/County, reverse look-up
> (i.e. you have a frequency and you want to know who it belongs to), and
> several other search modes. It is my sole source now for frequency info.
>
> http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts
>
> Enjoy!

Here's some additional sources that you can search:

State Index and National Frequency Database

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/links.html
http://www.panix.com/~clay/scanning/frequencies/states/
http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/
http://www.911scanner.8m.com/

Trunked Radio Systems in the US

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/trs.html
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/data8.html
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm

PerCon Frequency Database

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm

ScanWare Associate's FCC Frequency Retrieval System

http://www.oz.net/~gmcavoy/sw_frqsmap.html
http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html

Frequency lists for radio systems in Canada

http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/tafl/tafl-e/tafledat.html

3526. Re: Mackey Exposed as LIAR Again (off topic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ot77est1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> Mackey you must have doctored them up. These aren't the same as the ones
> that shoed up my server.

Really!! NO ONE else but YOU has thought that! Why don't you post the
one's you found on your server?

BTW - What do you think of a Regency MX3000 for a scanner?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3527. Re: Wanted Fire Pager-CORRECTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospamrlbishop@txcyber.com
Message-ID: <36aff1bb.31702646@news.txcyber.com>
Source record: 129445

Correction on Command Communication phone # it is
409-694-2082 and fax # is 409-696-7438. They can get you most brands
of fire pager-new and used as well as large variety of new/used
radios, light bars and other emergency equipment. Tell them I sent
you!

3528. Re: Fresno area frequenices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36AFF998.6320@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129446

Joe 8617 wrote:
>
> Does anyone have a listing of Fresno area frequencies they wouldn't mind
> sharing? Thanks. Joe. "joe8617@aol.com"

Check out the following URL:

http://bizweb.lightspeed.net/~ham/scanner.htm

3529. Re: Trunk Tracker or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <36AFF380.BB3@compuserve.com>
Source record: 129447

mryan wrote:
>
> I live in a small town in MA. Most activity here is UHF and VHF, but there
> is some 800 Mhz.
>
> My question is, for non-metro areas, is trunk tracker worth it, or will a
> plain 800 Mhz do?
>
> As far as handhelds go, are the Bearcats a good bet? Any preferences?

For beginners, the Uniden Bearcat 235XLT handheld is pretty much the
standard. If you want to wait a bit, the 245XLT is coming out and will
do even more. Uniden manufactures the newest Radio Shack scanners too;
the Radio Shack PRO-90 and PRO-91 have features similar to the 235XLT.

3530. Re: PRO-90 Antenna - what to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <36AFEFC5.37C2@compuserve.com>
Source record: 129448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Russ Freeman wrote:
>
> I have the RatShak glass-mount antenna currently running to both a
> trunk-tracker and a conventional scanner in my car. Inside I use the scanner
> antenna design found in the RatShak Antenna Handbook. Both of them I have
> found to be perfectly adequate.
> Russ, KF4ZFS

So why then, if you like their product in this case, do you call them
"RatShak"? Seems like a rotten thing to do. Would you be happier if
the chain didn't exist?

3531. Re: medications (off topic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78ou5l$fi3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129449

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:
> The decision is final, Mackey is the Forger.

Final only for you!

So What do you use to listen to the Aircraft band?
I use the Icom A-20, have you tried it?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3532. Re: I AM A REAL SICK PERSON. HELP ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78oudufre1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129450

"Steve" <Sdaner@usa.wet> wrote:o
> PARG
> is even trying to get rid of him.

Strange, PARG just appointed me to be the chair of the scanning committee!

Obviously you are joking!

Would anyone here like to koin the PARG Scanning committee to share
scanning info? If so, I will send a application for membership!

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3533. Re: Help, Taking a trip and need Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B00395.9AE@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129451
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

James C. Martin wrote:
>
> Getting on I-90w in Boston to I-84w. Taking I-84w in MA straight thru CT, NY
> and PA. In PA at the I-81s junction Iï¿½ll be hoping off of I-84w and onto
> I-81s. Taking I-81s thru PA, MD, WV and VA. In VA at the I-77s junction
> Iï¿½ll be hoping off of I-81s and onto I-77s. Taking I-77s thru VA into NC.
> In NC at the I-85s junction Iï¿½ll be hopping off of I-77s and onto I-85s taking
> that thru NC, SC and GA right to my destination
>
> Iï¿½ve looked at and have bookmarked a few sites that have some of the
> frequencies. The thing Iï¿½m in need of the most as well as frequencies though
> is State Police Station/Barrack designations. Iï¿½m looking for SP
> stations/barracks info as well as freqs for the area that Iï¿½ll be driving
> through. Iï¿½d rather not program in SP stations/barracks for areas that I
> wonï¿½t even be near. Any help in Station/Barrack info and/or Freq info for
> any part of my trip would be of great service. Thanks in advance.
>
> PS. I already have the freqs for MA
>

Check out the following URL for the MD State Police:

http://www.arrowweb.com/RMM/msp.htm

Check out the following URL for the VA State Police:

http://www.geocities.com/BourbonStreet/Bayou/2208/vsp.html

Check out the following URL for the WV State Police:

http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/wvscans/index.htm

Check out the following URL for the NC State Police:

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/travel/gtsmokey.html

Check out the following URL for the GA State Police:

http://www.angelfire.com/ga/pdscanner/gsp.html

> Thanks again
>
> James C. Martin
> MediaOne Express
> Technical Support Specialist
> jimmi@mediaone.net
> 1-800-655-5501

>

3534. have pro-90 looking to trade for similar scanner-Edmonton, Alberta

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis Bidulock <bidulock@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dyUr2.605$_Y2.3321@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 129452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Curtis James Bidulock.vcf

I have a pro90 and am looking for something similar with min. 300ch and a computer interface. Contact me by email at bidulock@hotmail.com or on newsgroup reply.

--
Later Curtis Bidulock
Web Site: http:\\www.geocitites.com\area51\3522\
ICQ#:6431823

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Curtis James Bidulock.vcf]

3535. Re: Only PHREAKS Transmit To McDonalds!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracey12 <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <u0M#I4oS#GA.242@upnetnews05>
Source record: 129453

Jdclampitt wrote in message
<19990125232839.28294.00003132@ng-fr1.aol.com>...
>>From: maggnum@webtel.net.au (Dave)
>
>>>I was wondering if it was possible to transmit on the McDonalds drive
>>>through headsets? I asked around before and I think someone told me to
get a
>..
>
>LIFE maybe????
>
>Geez, what kind of no life loser would want to talk to the chick at the
>McDonald's window through the headset. DEFINATELY NOT the way to get laid.
>
>She'd probably think you were stalking her and... well you get my point.
>
>If you're trying to get a number, just grow some balls and go up and ask.
>
>Next thing you know this phreak is going to want to jam on cordless
fones...

Then why on earth are you listening to her, dumbass?

Mark

3536. BC80 XLT - lemon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Melancholy <adrya@chickmail.com>
Message-ID: <zgUr2.388$QI.586739@news1.atl>
Source record: 129454

Most of the governent channels are of the trunking variety, so that leaves me with 29-950+ of nothing - or does it? Yesterday I picked up a couple broad band antennas from radio shack(20-013, 20-014), and I haven't noticed any substantial improvement over the stock rubber duckie. The antenna is on the third floor in a sunroom. I am not allowed to post antennas in my living situation, so I have to make do with inside units for now.

Is there any hope for making use of my low-end non-trunking scanner, or did I buy a lemon?

michael

3537. Two-Way Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracey12 <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <uahon9oS#GA.185@upnetnews05>
Source record: 129455

I just bought a two-way pager for a very good price, and it has all the instructions etc.
However, I don't know the first thing about it. So, this is a BellSouth 2-way pager
that has a small keyboard and screen, but the whole unit is not much larger than a regular
pager.

Do you know what frequency this thing works on?
Is it traceable in that the system it transmits to keeps track of which site is responding?
Thus, could there be a report created that indicates where the pager was located at a
paticular time of day?
What else do you happen to know about these devices?

Thanks a lot for the info!

Mark

3538. test, please ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: roby2 <rgarbutt@vic.ozland.net.au>
Message-ID: <36B028EF.C42B1943@vic.ozland.net.au>
Source record: 129456

Please Ignore,
just setting up a news server for our radio addicts :-)
and need to test this group

3539. Re: Macky??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@mail.blinx.de>
Message-ID: <36B0393A.218E7169@mail.blinx.de>
Source record: 129457

S Eichorn wrote:

> I am new here so who is J Mackey???

Do yourself a favour and ignore all this.

odo

3540. South Africa frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: valerie65@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78qg29qjv1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129458

Anyone know any? Or any website with them?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3541. Seek freq tips: Anchorage, Alaska (AK)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis <dennis3@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <36B04DF5.9F7DBFD@alaska.net>
Source record: 129459

Hi. I just recently moved to Anchorage and I'm interested in any
tips on frequencies in the area. I'm particularly interested interested
in federal frequencies including military and national parks and
forests.
Thanks.

Dennis
Anchorage, Alaska

3542. Re: FS: 2m HT 4.5W 140-150 TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: hugs@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE
Message-ID: <36b002cc.606595@news.sprint.ca>
Source record: 129460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

De Wed, 27 Jan 1999 22:05:56 -0500,
<firewave-2701992205560001@192.168.1.4>,
firewave@REMOVE.videotron.ca (Alex)
a écrit dans le message (Re: FS: 2m HT 4.5W 140-150 TX)...

==========

>> IC-T2A FM 2m handheld (136-174 RX including auto weather stations
>> scanning feature). Transmits 140-150 MHz out of ham band. (Caution:
>> It is illegal to transmit outside of non-type approved band...). You
>> do not need battery case because battery attaches to the transceiver
>> itself. Uses 8 batteries, 1W low 4.5 W high. Extra Radio Shack
>> speaker mic and carrying case will be attached free.
>> Asking $150 Canadian or $105 US. Serious inquiry only. First come,
>> first serve with price listed. Payment can be made by money order,
>> bank draft, certified cheque or bank transfer. No personal cheque
>> please. Please send me an e-mail if you are willing to take it
>> "as listed" to: webmaster@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_PUBLICITE
>> Please do not forget to remove ".PAS_DE_PUBLICITE" before replying.
>> Thanks

>are you in montreal? and if so, is there discount? :-)
>alex

Hi. I can give you a CAN$15 discount if you can pick up.

3543. FS: Alinco DJ580T Dual band HT, Rapid Charger and 5W pack - $250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bodoh@splitrocktel.net
Message-ID: <917522851.202411@nntpcache1.nortel.net>
Source record: 129461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

For sale: Alinco DJ580T dual band (2m/440) handheld transceiver. Also included is a Mastercharger I rapid charger and a 5 watt battery pack. Price is $250 firm, payment by Postal Money order, I pay your choice of UPS or USPS shipping. USA sales only, no trades, no COD.

Tom, N0YGT

3544. DuPage County Illiois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Goodfella99@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20923-36B03D06-2@newsd-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 129462

I'm looking for "sensitive" frequencies whether they are encrypted or not would love to have them like D.U.M.E.G or B.A.T.T.L.E would appreciate any help to be forwrded.

Keep Listening !

3545. Re: Clearing out a uniden scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Goodfella99@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20922-36B03DA7-2@newsd-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 129463

maybe 2,9,enter?

3546. Motorola System ID index

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <3D4B45C9022F5807.51B6A53E6DA0B1A8.FDC5BC027A9E36C8@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 129464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello Everyone,

I have finally made some much needed changes to the Motorola System ID index to remove duplicate entires, and to try to clean up some of the inconsistant information on this index especially regarding Nextel systems.

You can see the updated System ID list at:
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/sysid.txt

Many thanks to Joe (MCH) for working with me to get this list cleaned up. Also many thanks to everyone who has submitted information for this list. It has become an invaluable source of information for folks out there....or so I am told!

As always...changes and additions are welcome!

-LB

Lindsay C. Blanton
lblant1@airmail.net
See the Southeast US Trunked Radio Information Homepage!
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm

3547. Re: Pro 2004, 2005, 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: xhduff@ica.net
Message-ID: <36b0623f.0@lightning.ica.net>
Source record: 129465

Functionally...Nothing except for speed.
Technically, the 2004 uses mostly leaded components, the
2005 and 2006 went to mostly surface mount parts.
Each one has a progressively faster microprocessor/clock.
The 2004 has a sheet metal cover, the 2005/6 use plastic.
The 2004 is a little larger than the 2005/6.
The 2004 has a membrane keypad, the 5/6 have actual rubber keys.

Hugh, Toronto

Jeff <scanner@accessus.net> wrote:
>Hello,
>Can someone tell me the differences between the RS Pro 2004, 2005 ,
>2006?
>Thank You.
>Jeff

3548. Re: Help, Taking a trip and need Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: watnny@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990128083354.09541.00000465@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 129466

Taking I-84w in MA straight thru CT, NY
and PA.

NY State Police are the only ones who patrol I-84, and the freq will be either
453.425 or 453.525 -- and it may switch back and forth along the highway.

Mike

3549. Re: Optocom trunking problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: lodrunner@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36B07450.C8DFCD86@hotmail.com>
Source record: 129467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,"Jon,S.,Van,Allen",<yonnie@worldnet.att.net>

It sucks the way that Signal Intelligence published Trak Star with the Optocom so you will call them and they tell you to buy their other shit. That alone makes me stay away from it. I hear that there are some better programs out there and I want to buy the one for EDACS that TrakStar can not follow. I had the same problem with support. Leave a message and call back so they can tell you the problem is you dont know how the software works. No Shit. The documentation is flawed and they are not going to correct that problem. Instead they suggest you buy their Scan Star software. Fuck them. Optoelectronics wont help either. I hear Trunker works like a champ but it is a DOS program. Anyway, regarding your question:

Try this. Right click on the trunk group name when you are scanning. Run the system in the " Closed" mode. You have to have manually entered the talk groups in using the data manager first, then the system runs quietly and tracks only the talk groups entered. If you run in the open mode, the scanner will break squelch on every transmission and display the talk group.

3550. Bolingbrook, IL police codes needed...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michelle DeVries <shell@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <36B07CC6.C62DCD7B@mediaone.net>
Source record: 129468

Can't find them in Police Call or any links.... TIA

--
****
View my current auctions at:
http://209.201.11.38/auction_cgi/index.cgi?justdisp&Shell
****
ICQ # 19791327
mailto:shell@mediaone.net
****
Looking to lose weight?
http://metabolife123.com
****

3551. BC200XLT BATTERY REGENARATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Inciter <inciter@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78pvmd$72v$1@nnrp2.crl.com>
Source record: 129469

I have three BC200XLT's FOR PORTABLE USE. I lost as you all may know one BATT pack a while ago and stuffed it into the desk drawer. It's been about 2 years now and the other day I took it out while testing my Mobile 200 that I use only in the truck. My Mobile was hooked up to an empty batt pack with the DC power supply so it worked fine for that use.

After redoing the antenna solder routine in the house I figured hook up the old pack that went bad. To my wodenment it is now working fine and holding an 8 hour charge again?

Weird but non the less it appears maybe a memory block that killed it last time was discharged and now its working again?

In case you have that dust collector in your pile of old computer and radio eqip. you may want to take a look.

It's been two weeks now and I am still getting 8 to 9 hours use out of it.... And it was very very dead when I put it into the drawer.

FYI

3552. Which scanner is best ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dave thomas <dethomas@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <36B092A4.4819CA14@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 129470

Hello,

Looking for the best scanner that includes the mil air frequencies.

and also what is the best overall scanner ?

Thanks very much,

Dave

3553. Re: Bolingbrook, IL police freq needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-2801991051500001@56-ip-149.theramp.net>
Source record: 129471

In article <36B07C0E.988766A7@mediaone.net>, Michelle DeVries
<shell@mediaone.net> wrote:

> I've checked out a lot of Illinois links but can't find Bolingbrook or
> Will county... I also have Police Call and it's not in there either..
> TIA
>
> --
> **
> Looking to lose weight?
> http://metabolife123.com
> **

You must be missing page 41 of the 1999 (and 1998 for that matter) Volume
4 Police Call for Bolingbrook. They use 155.250 for Dispatch, just as
listed in PC.

An entire column of Will County can be found on page 96, including the new
trunked system and the old low band.

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
*****************************g3mtnw*********************************

3554. Re: Which scanner is best ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: MT <avi@avi-panoramic.com>
Message-ID: <78q47f$6it$1@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 129472

I like the Icom R-10 (although slow scanning) and the AR-8200

dave thomas wrote in message <36B092A4.4819CA14@hiwaay.net>...
>Hello,
>
>Looking for the best scanner that includes the mil air frequencies.
>
>and also what is the best overall scanner ?
>
>
>Thanks very much,
>
>Dave
>

3555. Re: Wanted UBC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: MT <avi@avi-panoramic.com>
Message-ID: <78q4b6jgg1@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 129473

Try www.bander.com

Justin wrote in message <6CQr2.9251$t%.1239@news.flash.net>...
>Looking to buy a UBC9000XLT, anyone know where I can get one and for how
>much?
>
>

3556. Re: IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: MT <avi@avi-panoramic.com>
Message-ID: <78q4e4erq1@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 129474

Great for travel.

tork wrote in message <917473589.500939@wagasa.cts.com>...
>Anyone have any experence with the IC-R2? It has receive from 500khz ti
1.3
>Ghz (minus cell of course). I saw an ad for it and checked out the web
site
>http://www.icomamerica.com/new/
>Just wanted to see if anyone has one and if they like it.
>
>David
>KF6DGN
>
>

3557. Re: Which scanner is best ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990128122002.01111.00000059@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 129475

>Looking for the best scanner that includes >the mil air frequencies, and also
what is the >best overall scanner ?
>
Best handheld?
Best table model?
Best with TrunkTracking?
Best under $500.
Best at any price?

Which "best" are you interested it?

Best handheld? - AOR AR8200
Best table model? - ICOM R8500
Best with TrunkTracking? - BC895XLT
Best under $500. - BC9000XLT

Others may have differing opinions, of course, based on their own experience.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

3558. Bolingbrook, Il. Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Ortscheid <mortsche@tellabs.com>
Message-ID: <36B09C6E.D6E22C8C@tellabs.com>
Source record: 129476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looking for Bolingbrook/Romeoville freqs, fire police, etc
--
Mark Ortscheid
Tellabs Operations, Inc.
1000 Remington Blvd. Bolingbrook, IL. 60440
Voice: 630-378-8635 Fax: 630-679-3461

3559. Re: WTB LAS VEGAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mirage33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36b0a0b8.5607751@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 129477

On Wed, 27 Jan 1999 14:50:34 GMT, mirage33@hotmail.com (Allen) wrote:

>I just found out that I have got to be in Las Vegas in a couple of
>days. Can anyone send me a list of common Vegas frequencies. Normally
>I would do a search and not ask but I have a million and one things to
>take care of before I leave.
>Thanks,
>Allen
>
Thanks, guys. You have been more than helful.
help-ful (help'fuhl) adj.
1. giving or rendering aid or assistance; of
service: helpful comments; a helpful
person.
Allen

3560. Re: FS: 2m HT 4.5W 140-150 TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: firewave@REMOVE.videotron.ca
Message-ID: <firewave-2801991229210001@192.168.1.4>
Source record: 129478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> >are you in montreal? and if so, is there discount? :-)
> >alex
>
>
>
> Hi. I can give you a CAN$15 discount if you can pick up.

ok well i ll ask my friends to see if someone is interested!

thanks,
alex

3561. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <mtplus@erols.com>
Message-ID: <78q8oe$s04$1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129479
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Dee,
Which phones did you use that you did not like?
Thanks,
Bob

Alan & Dee wrote in message <36AF4029.7550CF23@cyberhighway.net>...
>Hi Robert,
>
>I have used several different phones in my LARGE warehouse. The only
>one I have been happy with is the Cobra. My husband forgot to leave the
>phone when he went to the mechanics (1.5 blocks) and got a phone call
>there and it was clear as a bell.
>
>Robert wrote:
>>
>> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
>> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
>> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
>>
>> If I have posted this to the wrong group, please for give me and point me
in
>> the right direction.
>>
>> --
>> Bob (in Maryland)

3562. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <mtplus@erols.com>
Message-ID: <78q926$1t$1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129480
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Glen,
I would appreciate it if you would name some of the phones that you
consider to be good quality.
Regards,
Bob

>
>Go with a good quality Spread Spectrum phone. Try to purchase from a
>store that will let you exchange or return it if it's unsatisfactory.
>A decent 900 MHz SS phone will run you in the vicinity of $100 or
>more, but prices are dropping.
>
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>WB7DOW
>
>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3563. Re: Which scanner is best ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B0AF8F.E26A6283@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129481

JTWard01 wrote:

> >Looking for the best scanner that includes >the mil air frequencies, and also
> what is the >best overall scanner ?
> >
> Best handheld?
> Best table model?
> Best with TrunkTracking?
> Best under $500.
> Best at any price?
>
> Which "best" are you interested it?
>
> Best handheld? - AOR AR8200
> Best table model? - ICOM R8500
> Best with TrunkTracking? - BC895XLT
> Best under $500. - BC9000XLT
>
> Others may have differing opinions, of course, based on their own experience.
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA

Good answer, as usual, John!

I suggested to Dave the Sony ICF SC1PC because I think it performs better in the Mil-air band than those "do everything" DC to daylight handhelds. Of course, that's just my opinion. Although I've not tried the 8200, it may be better than the R10 and DJ-X10, which scan too slowly to be useful in the Mil-air band. I did have an AR8000, which was disappointing.

As for base/mobile, I'm hearing things on my Optocom in the Mil-air band that I never heard on my Pro-2006 or BC-9000. The 9000 gets clobbered with cellular images above 360 Mhz, right where I don't need it. But, the 9000 is an excellent rig anway. Decisions, decisions..

Regards,
Jon

PS. Wanna trade your 895 for my Pro-2006?
(somehow I doubt you are interested...)

3564. Fairly new and kinda curious!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bruce A. Travers, Jr." <btravers@techline.com>
Message-ID: <78qai3$6sj$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129482
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

i was searching the 800Mhz range on my BC235 and heard many cell phone calls in the 850-860 range.....

i know they we all cell phone calls by the way everyone was talking and messages when no one answered. so i took my cell phone in my car and dialed a number and sure enough, i was hearing my call too. so i'm curious as to why this is since i thought new scanners shouldn't pick up any cell calls.

these signals are clear and strong mostly, yet the do seem to break out for no apparent reason, yet the come right back in. other times i can hear two or three calls on the same freq.

i'm figuring i just live real close to a cell and these signals just push their way in (i know that's not real technical, but that's why i'm asking)????

thanks

bruce

3565. Re: Programming Trunk Groups on BC235XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990128134532.01185.00000149@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 129483

Hi! Maybe I can help with your problem. I had a similar situation with mine and after contacting the factory, learned that you MUST be in the TRUNKED mode when programming all the freqs. Simply push and hold the TRUNKED button for two seconds and when it shows trunked-then you can reenter them. They may be programmed in the conventional mode presently.
Then you have to enter the talkgroups separately. E-mail me with further questions.

>Subject: Programming Trunk Groups on BC235XLT?
>From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
>Date: 1/24/99 8:13 PM Pacific Standard Time
>Message-id: <36abcae0.143392126@news.value.net>
>
>I feel really stupid now. Why does my 235 scan the banks I have set
>for Trunk Tracking when in normal scan mode?
>
>I can't find anything about this in the manual or online!
>
>Am I supposed to lock out every freq. in my trunking banks?
>
>Thanks,
>Mike
>

Radio911 - Laine S. Herman

3566. Re: PRO-90 Antenna - what to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990128133837.01185.00000146@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 129484

Hi! Re: antenna-I use the Radio Shack cat. #20-006. It is better than the stock antenna. However, an exterior antenna on your house is the best! Good luck-happy monitoring and e-mail me with any other questions!

>Subject: PRO-90 Antenna - what to buy??
>From: Carolyn <cmclelan@fox.nstn.ca>
>Date: 1/22/99 10:18 AM Pacific Standard Time
>Message-id: <36A8C136.D22BCD63@fox.nstn.ca>
>
>My first ever scanner, a PRO-90 is about 2 weeks old now!! I'm
>hooked!! Now I want a more powerful antenna than what came with the
>unit. I'd like something for the car and the house. Can anyone make
>some recommendations? I would like something portable for the house as
>well, as I tend to listen when I'm downstairs, and I listen while lying
>in bed reading!.
>
>I'd appreciate any suggestions as to tips and tricks, what I should look
>for, specifications or even a model that I might get at the local Radio
>Shack.
>

Radio911 - Laine S. Herman

3567. Re: Bolingbrook, Il. Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <78qang$ho@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 129485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Per the FCC online database,

Village of Bolingbrook "PP" KJK731: 155.37, 155.25, 156.15, 158.895

WNNB544 ("POLICE DEPT THOMAS WOLFE"): 854.6375 R

KQN451 PF ("FIRE DEPT CHARLES PETERSON CHIEF"): 154.40, 154.265, 154.25,
465.5875,

There are a number of other freqs licensed to the village in various
services.

Harry Marnell, N6URU

http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

Mark Ortscheid wrote in message <36B09C6E.D6E22C8C@tellabs.com>...
>Looking for Bolingbrook/Romeoville freqs, fire police, etc

3568. OFF TOPIC POSTING (do not reply to this)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78oau1$76$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129514
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

PLEASE TO NOT REPLY TO THIS MESSAGE UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCE

This message is to inform everyone that wishes to continue this flame war in the SCANNER newsgroups to Please take this to the appropriate newsgroup for this subject. This newsgroup is "ALT.FAN.JOHN.MACKEY". I even took the liberty to place the first posting for you to reply to.

There is no need to reply to this message or continue this flame war in the scanner newsgroups.

Sorry for posting this but there is a place for this war so please due us all a favor and take it to "ALT.FAN.JOHN.MACKEY" so the rest of us can get on with scanner related posts ONLY.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3569. Re: PRO-90 Antenna - what to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: brantdw@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <78p7ekniq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <36A8C136.D22BCD63@fox.nstn.ca>,
Carolyn <cmclelan@fox.nstn.ca> wrote:
> My first ever scanner, a PRO-90 is about 2 weeks old now!! I'm
> hooked!! Now I want a more powerful antenna than what came with the
> unit. I'd like something for the car and the house. Can anyone make
> some recommendations? I would like something portable for the house as
> well, as I tend to listen when I'm downstairs, and I listen while lying
> in bed reading!.
>
> I'd appreciate any suggestions as to tips and tricks, what I should look
> for, specifications or even a model that I might get at the local Radio
> Shack.
>
> Thanks
>
> Carolyn
>

The best portable antenna that I have found is the Radio Shack amplified antenna. Runs on 2 AA and pulls is the signals quite well. You will have to adapt the connector to a BNC. Catalog # is 15-1607. Definatley worth the bucks, compared to a fixed outside antenna.

-Brant

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3570. Re: FCC website: Search US Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78pedlsok1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129516

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
> It is a great site. I have not purchased a PERCON in a year now,
> and do all my lookups at the FCC site.

Blake, PREPARE YOURSELF!!! I agree with you!!!!!!!!

I've been using the the FCC site for the same things with
good results.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3571. Re: Only PHREAKS Transmit To McDonalds!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127190550.08309.00000120@ng148.aol.com>
Source record: 129517

I have seemed to set off a string of fireworks when posting this message. I just wanted to know so I could fool around with my friend that work there. I would know like to know what is jamming? Is it when you sned a lot of noice onto a certain freq so it becomes un-useable?

3572. Re: Looking for call mods for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127190741.08309.00000123@ng148.aol.com>
Source record: 129518

I can let you know that the BC235XLT is not going to give you what you are looking for. No possible way to modify for cell

3573. Frequency Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: QESK41A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <78oac3$43ai$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129519

A few weeks ago, I posted a request for frequencies for Med Express Ambulance in Philly. Since then I have learned that the name they do business under is Mercy Ambulance. Any help is appreciated.

Ed Burke

3574. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36bea2fa.18071057@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 129520
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Adrian Brandt <abrandt@americasm01.nt.com> wrote:

>Miguel Cruz wrote:
>> I am looking for a decent 900Mhz or 2.4Ghz phone with
>> caller ID but without answering machine. Speakerphone would be okay. For
>> some reason this combination of features seems hard to find.
>
>VTech (www.vtechphones.com) has a few phones matching this description.
>Try to find a store near you that carries them. Around where I live, it's
>much cheaper to buy the phones from stores than off the VTech web site.

I had an early V-Tech. I prefer other brands. Also, most V-Tech
phones now are analog, and this is not very obvious by reading the
display ads.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3575. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36bda218.17844878@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 129521
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

"KD4FRU" <NOSPAMtracklwl@sgi.net> wrote:

>Question;
>
>Those 2.4 geg phones are useing 2.4 for just one leg of the trip, and 900
>for the other.
>When they say three times as far as 900Mhz, isn't that saying the system is
>as good as the worse link?
>What happens when you have a three times as far system, but the 900 mhz side
>runs out of string?
>
>Or am I totally balmy and are there rigs that use 2.4 for both legs.

The advantage is in the fact that the two separate bands allow for
less desensitization of the receiver, so you can get more sensitivity
before the transmitter interferes with the receiver. The two bands
have different propagation characteristics, but that effect will vary
in ways that won't be easy to predict.

>I'm not sarcastic, I really would like to know. Right now, I have a 900
>analog from sony, but it gets me upset with the drop everytime it wants to
>change channels. I bought a digital for my family, and it ALSO has that
>nagging half second drop to change channels. I can be 2 feet from the base
>and it changes.
>
>I'm looking for something better.

Go with a good quality Spread Spectrum phone. Try to purchase from a
store that will let you exchange or return it if it's unsatisfactory.
A decent 900 MHz SS phone will run you in the vicinity of $100 or
more, but prices are dropping.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3576. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36AFADF4.FA72EBD6@flash.net>
Source record: 129522
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Panasonic phones use 2.4 ghz TX, 900 mhz RX on the base unit and the handset transmits 900 mhz. and receives 2.4 ghz There are 2 antennas on the base, one for each band. The duck on the handset is a dualband antenna. Best of both worlds. You get 2.4 ghz signal but you don't get fried having 2.4 near your head. The battery lasts for at least a couple weeks on standby as they are fairly large. I don't use the phone that much so it's hard to say how long it would last in use. Probably for a long time since they are still new. I notice an echo when the volume on the handset is all the way up. I guess the mike is sensitve and hears the RX audio. I don't know of any other brands for this type phone. I see tons of 900 brands out there but only Panasonic on this one.

Robert wrote:

> Don,
> Appreciate the fast response. Could you please tell me the model
> number, MSRP, who sells it, and battery life expectations.
>
> Regards,
> Bob
>
> Don Bertone wrote in message <36AF1FDE.F97F2DE@flash.net>...
> >Get a 2.4 ghz phone instead. You get at least 3 times the range on it. I
> can
> >go over 300 feet on my panasonic
> >
> >Robert wrote:
> >
> >> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
> >> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
> >> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
> >>
> >> If I have posted this to the wrong group, please for give me and point me
> in
> >> the right direction.
> >>
> >> --
> >> Bob (in Maryland)
> >

3577. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36AFAEB5.50EF9D5B@flash.net>
Source record: 129523
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

I put the handset in my car and rove 3 city blocks while I was listening to the time (POPCORN) I lost audio after $350 feet or so. I can only go 5o feet with my lowband phone and 1 block (about 125 feet or so) with my 900 phone

KD4FRU wrote:

> Question;
>
> Those 2.4 geg phones are useing 2.4 for just one leg of the trip, and 900
> for the other.
> When they say three times as far as 900Mhz, isn't that saying the system is
> as good as the worse link?
> What happens when you have a three times as far system, but the 900 mhz side
> runs out of string?
>
> Or am I totally balmy and are there rigs that use 2.4 for both legs.
>
> I'm not sarcastic, I really would like to know. Right now, I have a 900
> analog from sony, but it gets me upset with the drop everytime it wants to
> change channels. I bought a digital for my family, and it ALSO has that
> nagging half second drop to change channels. I can be 2 feet from the base
> and it changes.
>
> I'm looking for something better.
>
> KD4FRU

3578. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36AFAF20.D273911A@flash.net>
Source record: 129524
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

My 2.4 phone is mounted about 20 feet off the ground when I did my test. I was in my car with the handset

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:

> Brian Varine <Witch**Dr@usa.noobulkemail.net> wrote:
>
> >Robert wrote:
> >>
> >> Don,
> >> Appreciate the fast response. Could you please tell me the model
> >> number, MSRP, who sells it, and battery life expectations.
> >>
> >Panasonic makes it and they are easy to spot, just look for the
> >GIGArange logo on the box......Just remember..these GIGArange phones
> >also X-mit an ANALOG 900MHz signal so anyone with a scanner will have a
> >snap of a time intercepting your transmission.
>
> Also, it's highly unlikely that you'll get three times the range of a
> 900 MHz phone, all things being equal.
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3579. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <36AFAF89.6FDCA9AA@flash.net>
Source record: 129525
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Fryes has about 25 different brands of 900 phones but only the Panasonic for
2.4.
Does anyone else make a phone on this band?

Adrian Brandt wrote:

> Miguel Cruz wrote:
> > I am looking for a decent 900Mhz or 2.4Ghz phone with
> > caller ID but without answering machine. Speakerphone would be okay. For
> > some reason this combination of features seems hard to find.
>
> VTech (www.vtechphones.com) has a few phones matching this description.
> Try to find a store near you that carries them. Around where I live, it's
> much cheaper to buy the phones from stores than off the VTech web site.
>
> --
>
> Adrian Brandt
> (408) 565-7291 / abrandt@nortelnetworks.com

3580. Re: Palisades Center frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkozinnNOT.FOR.SPAM@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <MPG.1119924394dfcb2d9896ad@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129526
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

[This followup was posted to alt.radio.scanner and a copy was sent to the cited author.]

In article <bVtr2.855$WR1.7322@nntp1.nac.net>, robertlang@nac.net says...
> I'm looking for any frequencies for Palisades Center mall, security,
> maintenance etc.
Assuming that you're talking about the one in Nyack, NY, USA (don't forget that these groups get worldwide distribution), try 452.45, 457.45, and 457.1. In the parking lot last week, I hear what appeared to be mall security on the first on there.
--
David Kozinn
Remove upper case stuff for email replies

3581. Re: IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: douglas@netcom.com
Message-ID: <douglasF68v2E.JIJ@netcom.com>
Source record: 129527

In article <917473589.500939@wagasa.cts.com>, tork <tork@cts.com> wrote:

>Anyone have any experence with the IC-R2? It has receive from 500khz ti 1.3
>Ghz (minus cell of course). I saw an ad for it and checked out the web site
>http://www.icomamerica.com/new/
>Just wanted to see if anyone has one and if they like it.

Yes, I like it so much I bought two of them! If you were an R-1 fan, you
will like the R2. It doesn't compete with the Ar8000 or the "full size"
type handhelds that have alpha tagging and the like, but for a small, easy
to use and sensitive unit, it's a great value at $225.

--Doug

--
Doug Mason, Consulting Messaging Czar (douglas@netcom.com)
1998 Honda RS250, AMA#154, WSMC#154, CCS#154, GLRRA#54, AFM#154r

3582. Re: Filter help!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickl@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78oh60$558$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129528

In article <36ad71fa.0@news.qnet.com>,
"dolph" <dolph@qnet.com> wrote:
> Can someone tell me how to use newsgroup filters as I really don't give a
> shit if Mackey and Cheek Die!!!!!! I just want to learn about
> Scanning!
> Please Respond to e-mail dolph@qnet.com
> Any responses appreciated!
> Randy
>
>

Rick Larson
Rationale minds would filter all Cheek-a-likes and enjoy less eye strain.
Relatively simple concept that Phil can't seem to master.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3583. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdclampitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127204600.07476.00000167@ng149.aol.com>
Source record: 129529

>From: "Phil Smalley"

>This coming from the immature mind of someone who resorts to calling me
>"smalldik" because he can't come up with an original insult nor spell it
>properly.

Yes, but YOUR reply comes from the guy who SAID he was going to "Filter" me.

Just couldn't stay away, huh?

Jed

3584. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36d7c933.27857664@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 129530
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net> wrote:

>My 2.4 phone is mounted about 20 feet off the ground when I did my test. I
>was in my car with the handset

>Glen Quarnstrom wrote:

>> Also, it's highly unlikely that you'll get three times the range of a
>> 900 MHz phone, all things being equal.

OK, if we're going to get in a contest, my base set is about 5 feet
above ground level, and I went behind a concrete and steel convenience
store building that's a block from my home, and even right up next to
the concrete wall, I got dial tone loud and clear. Which proves
nothing, of course. Using a cordless phone inside a metal car is a
lousy way to check range.

The primary thing to remember is to look for Spread Spectrum on both
units, for a variety of very good reasons, including privacy, power
levels, and resistance to multi-path distortions.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3585. Wanted UBC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Justin <jbrunner@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6CQr2.9251$t%.1239@news.flash.net>
Source record: 129531

Looking to buy a UBC9000XLT, anyone know where I can get one and for how much?

3586. how do i get 49.XX4Mhz on PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: fairwind@paulbunyan.net
Message-ID: <78psu5$8ms$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129532

hello- i have a set of transmitters i want to use for Fox Hunt with my kids.. but they transmit on oddball multiples of 49.XX4Mhz. i can recieve the signal on 49.XX0 but it isn't ideal. i've tried changing the step to get to those frequencies but i can't get there. any suggestions?

this is on a PRO-2006. i'm willing to dig into the box to change something if that helps.

a direct cc. reply would be appreciated in addition to posting to the group.

thanks!
dan fairwind@paulbunyan.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3587. Re: FS: 2m HT 4.5W 140-150 TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <78onub$hfm@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 129533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Huggy <hugs@radiotelephone.net PASS_THE_SPAM> wrote:
>scanning feature). Transmits 140-150 MHz out of ham band. (Caution:
>It is illegal to transmit outside of non-type approved band...). You

But just two years ago you were asking us how you could modify your
2m HT so you could say hello to the police and tell them what a fine
job you thought they were doing...

3588. Sony SC1-PC and pro-2035 scanner w/800 converter.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990127224052.15087.00000183@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 129534

Please see my Ebay items, start with # 60315945, and follow their link to my other items. There is something for everyone. Several items have NO RESERVE.

All items are posted with pictures. Thanks for reading. Have a nice day!

3589. Trunk Tracker or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mryan <nospam@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <78omar$5k5@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129535

I live in a small town in MA. Most activity here is UHF and VHF, but there is some 800 Mhz.

My question is, for non-metro areas, is trunk tracker worth it, or will a plain 800 Mhz do?

As far as handhelds go, are the Bearcats a good bet? Any preferences?

3590. Re: Pro-90 no Trunk at 400Mhz???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B1D896.AF536142@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129286

Michael Derryberry wrote:

> As far as I know, there is no scanner made that will follow 400mhz trunked
> systems.
>
> Mike

Sure there is, Optocom (among other tracking programs
and hardware)

See Optocom at:
http://www.optoelectronics.com or
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/halligan/optocom.html

Jon

3591. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmorris@nemonet.com
Message-ID: <36b2db89.72712605@news.nemonet.com>
Source record: 129287
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

>A decent 900 MHz SS phone will run you in the vicinity of $100 or
>more, but prices are dropping.

This is what I am in market for.... a good spread
spectrum 900 mhz phone that is SECURE from
scanners.

Does spread spectrum make it almost impossible to
scan?? IOW... can I rest assured that no one can
hear my phone conversations if it is spread
spectrum??

I am looking at the GE 911 SST which is 900 mhz
and spread spectrum and costs abt $90. Good
choice for a cheap phone??

3592. Re: I AM A REAL SICK PERSON. HELP ME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78sn3cffk1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129288

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78oudufre1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Would anyone here like to koin the PARG Scanning committee to share
>scanning info? If so, I will send a application for membership!

Sure, John. I'll sign up.

Send details to my email address.

-Phil

3593. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Choco Boco <**********@alliedsignal.com>
Message-ID: <78sotc$g25$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radioman wrote in message <78i0l4$s0e$1@remarQ.com>...
>I was arrested about a year ago and now I'm being charged with California
>Penal Code 499c "Trade secrets-theft of."
>
>I'm alleged to have in my possession the following items.
>Astro Depot tool ver.03.04.00
>MTSX Depot tool ver.02.00.01
>Spectra Lab tool ver.05.03.00
>STX Labtool
>System Key Generator
>System Watch Codeplug Generator-FTR ver.02.00
>
CRRASH! (OOPS, I mean RRRRRRRRRRRRIIIIIIIIIIIIPPPPPPPPP!)
Radioman,

It is people like you who ruin it for everyone else. I own 3 Motorola Sabers and 1 ASTRO unit. All 4 radios have one thing in common - they have ALL been programmed to not be able toi transmit. What were you thinking, going to a call with a transmit capable radio? I know several officers here in Phoenix that would have arrested you for obstruction, right there on the spot (whether or not the arrest would stick is another story, but, regardless). I call chase quite regularly here, and have even been asked for help, had my videotapes used as evidence, and the like. But if officers do not want me at a particular scene, they tell me I have to leave. I also leave my "M" radios in the car, and carry my scanner with me. Use some common sense once and a while and you might not be faced with the current doom that is looming over your head.

BTW - I hope you get the book thrown at you and that you do loose everything. It is stupid antics like what you pulled that get scanners blocked, restricted, and even banned. So, I hope that you are proud of yourself and the stupid crap you haved pulled.

The only advice I can give you is GET JOHNNY COCHRIN! He is the only one who could possible save your butt. Otherwise, don't bend over for the soap.

Choco

3594. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78spbm$g29$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 129290

Jdclampitt wrote in message <19990127204600.07476.00000167@ng149.aol.com>...
>>From: "Phil Smalley"
>
>>This coming from the immature mind of someone who resorts to calling me
>>"smalldik" because he can't come up with an original insult nor spell it
>>properly.
>
>Yes, but YOUR reply comes from the guy who SAID he was going to "Filter"
me.
>
>Just couldn't stay away, huh?

Uh huh. I sure did. Now I've UN filtered you. Gee nothing gets by you does
it?

See, I noticed that my filters were a bit TOO broad and I was missing some
on-topic posts. So I decided I would just turn them off (as I had said I
might, if you even bother to remember, genius).

Not to worry though, I've no desire to participate in your little flame war,
though. You replied to an on topic message, so I replied back. The End.

-Phil

3595. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bucrgNOSPAM@PLEASE.diversicomm.com
Message-ID: <MPG.111bad3c494d6e3398971e@news.diversicomm.com>
Source record: 129291
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

In article <MPG.111ae0cb91002cb989718@news.lava.net>, tfoselsi@aval.net
says...
> Mine did the EXACT same thing after about 2 years. I finally had to buy
> another one.,..
>
>

hum.... do we see a trend of consumers replacing junk with junk or did
you go to an new brand?
:)
--

StoneCry
#sawhorse On Undernet.org IRC
bucpoNOSPAM@PLEASEdiversicomm.com
http://www.diversicomm.com/bucpo/index.html

3596. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bucrgNOSPAM@PLEASE.diversicomm.com
Message-ID: <MPG.111baccd51921a0d98971d@news.diversicomm.com>
Source record: 129292
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

In article <36b1454b.2975323@news>, none@home.com says...
> machine. So far (a year and a half) it has been teriffic, great
> >range, perfect sound. We're so hooked we don't use our corded phones
> >anymore =)
> >
> >
> I have a VTECH VT1900 and it works fine except that pressing a
> button works about one in five times. It's almost impossible to dial
> on. Other than that it works great.
>

in other words, it's junk.
;)
--

StoneCry
#sawhorse On Undernet.org IRC
bucpoNOSPAM@PLEASEdiversicomm.com
http://www.diversicomm.com/bucpo/index.html

3597. question from the dumb tree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeremy <waltja02@holmes.ipfw.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.HPX.4.05.9901291408020.2657-100000@holmes.ipfw.edu>
Source record: 129293

does anyone know where i can get scanner frequencies for my area. i kive
in the northeastern paret of Indiana.

all help is appriciated
thanks
TREE

The winds of change may blow the tree away but,
the roots remain, then, now, and until the end!!
-C.D.-

People treat me like a mushroom, they
feed me bull and keep me in the blind!!
-T.T.-

3598. Third-world scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: valerie65@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78t5p5$5jp$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129294

Sites listing frequencies/scanning tips seem to be ubiquitous for N. America and much of Europe, but I can find nothing for most of Asia, Africa and/or Latin-America. Anyone with frequency information for these areas?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3599. Re: John's drivel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Baker <firecaptain@tbcnet.com>
Message-ID: <78t43s$be1$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

Please stop... I'm still laughing so hard I have tears in my eyes!!!!!
ROTFLMAO!

Is this copyrighted? Or may I freely distribute this to anyone I know who
happens to have multiple offspring under the age of 10??? <VEG>

Jim Baker

Tclark wrote in message ...
>DID NOT!
> DID TO!
>DID NOT!
>DID TO!
>OUCH! STOP POKING ME!
>I'M NOT TOUCHING YOU!
>MOMMY, BLAKE KEEPS TOUCHING ME!
>DID NOT!
>DID TO!
>DID NOT!
>DID TO!
>STOP IT!
>NO YOU STOP IT!
>WAAAAAAAA!!!!
>WAAAAAAAAA!!!
>WAAAAAAAA!!!
>MOMMY WHERE IS MY BAN'KY?
>JOHN MADE A STINKY IN HIS DIAPER!
>DID NOT!
>DID TO!
>DID NOT!
>DID TO!
>
>Does someone have a cyber-pacifier?
>T Clark
>
>

3600. Re: What is the fastest search speed scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Benji@vsti.net
Message-ID: <36b21a14.458885@news.suomi.net>
Source record: 129296

On 20 Jan 1999 23:30:46 GMT, "David Hitchner"
<hitchner@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Have you tried computer controlled scanning? Under computer control you
>have your scanner search and log frequencies while your gone. Also under
>computer control I get about 60+ channels per second out of my PRO-2006
>and Opto-456 combination. Also I can dump any found frequencies off to a
>second scanner and allow the first scanner to continue searching. One other
>thing that computer control scanning allows is the use of multiple search
>edges at the same time.
>

Do you need a program for computer controlled scanning and where can
I find one. Howabout conncting cables, are they commercially
available. I am using AOR2002.

3601. Re: New Website...Low prices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikestuber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36b208b4.147542705@news.voicetek.com>
Source record: 129297

Your kidding right?

http://www.usascan.com is a hell of a lot cheaper! and you get a free
headset to boot.

Mike

On 29 Jan 1999 07:12:06 -0600, "Backhoe" <backhoe@rocketmail.com>
wrote:

>Come check out this new website. Scanners, cbs, meters, antennas....ect.Low
>Prices.Online ordering.http://tcelectronics.locallink.net
>

3602. Re: AC Adapter for Yupi MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <78t9ha$92g$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129298

I use a switchable one rated for up to 300 mA and it works fine. The Yupi needs 12 V DC and 200 mA, so this adaptor should work. Since the adaptor is designed for higher current loads than the Yupi, the voltage might go slightly above 12V, but most scanners are forgiving.

In article <78mbvp$9bl$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
erikd@icehouse.net wrote:
> Does anyone use a radio shack wall adapter to run their 7100 on? I want to
> make sure I get the right one. I do have an adapter with switchable voltage,
> and I do know the connector is supposed to be tip positive. I just want to
> make sure it is OK to use this adapter to avoid causing damage to my scanner.
> If the switchable one is not good, what 12V adapter should I use then?
>
> Thanks in advance!!
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3603. WTB: Icom CT-17 Computer Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: malloy@earth.execpc.com
Message-ID: <78t6qh$hg7@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 129299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for an Icom CT-17 computer interface for my IC-7100.
Email if you have one for sale.

3604. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: hoover.64@pop.service.ohio-state.edu
Message-ID: <hoover.64-2901991551430001@ts18-15.homenet.ohio-state.edu>
Source record: 129300
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Lucent 900 Digital spread spectrum. I had battery problems-ran out too fast and the low battery sound was driving me nuts. I got a new battery and "trained" it by letting it run down twice before charging and it has been fine since except I get now low battery warning, it just stops working.

I bought one for a Christmas gift and that one has had the same problems. Maybe the battery it comes with is inferior.
JH

3605. WTD: Pace 208/308

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: vda3531@janics.com
Message-ID: <78td62clc1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129301

Hello,

As a collector I am trying to locate an earlier crystal type scanner made by Pace (Pathcom)..Silver Springs. MD. It is the model 208 but would also consider a model 308.Anyone have one in v.good working condition? Dennis

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3606. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36b213b1.5742067@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 129302
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

jmorris@nemonet.com (John Morris) wrote:

>>A decent 900 MHz SS phone will run you in the vicinity of $100 or
>>more, but prices are dropping.
>
>
>This is what I am in market for.... a good spread
>spectrum 900 mhz phone that is SECURE from
>scanners.
>
>Does spread spectrum make it almost impossible to

It'll be about as secure as you're going to get, yes.

>scan?? IOW... can I rest assured that no one can
>hear my phone conversations if it is spread
>spectrum??

You can't. Somebody can still tap your wireline connection, just as on
a corded phone.

>I am looking at the GE 911 SST which is 900 mhz
>and spread spectrum and costs abt $90. Good
>choice for a cheap phone??

Perhaps. I suspect most of those phones use the same or similar
circuitry, and the major differences is in the bells and whistles,
ergonomics, and how well the thing is put together.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3607. WTT FOR A OPTO DC-440**********

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990129170537.28490.00001203@ng09.aol.com>
Source record: 129303

LOOKING FOR A USED DC-440 IN NICE CONDITION, I HAVE A MINT CONDITION OPTO MINI SCOUT I WOULD LIKE TO TRADE.....PLEASE REPLY

KEVIN

3608. WTB PLECTRON R-8000 LOW-BAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990129170744.28490.00001205@ng09.aol.com>
Source record: 129304

LOOKING FOR A PLECTRON R-8000 SERIES IN LOW-BAND, ITS THE ONE WITH 3 BUTTONS
AND 2 KNOBS ON THE FRONT AND ITS BLACK IN COLOR
THANKS ALL.....KEVIN

3609. Lets hear some more John Mackey, Blake Bowers, Peter Boe and Phil Smalley crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbrambo@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78te2c$da8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129305

And here I thought this was a SCANNER newsgroup. I didnt know it was the MackeySmalleyBowersBoe fan club online.

"WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
"I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3610. Re: John's drivel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tclark <Nospam@Myhouse.com>
Message-ID: <H7rs2.414$Po2.830@server1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 129306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

No copyright - I just hope John&Blake get to read it.

Jim Baker <firecaptain@tbcnet.com> wrote in message
news:78t43s$be1$1@remarQ.com...
>Please stop... I'm still laughing so hard I have tears in my eyes!!!!!
>ROTFLMAO!
>
>Is this copyrighted? Or may I freely distribute this to anyone I know who
>happens to have multiple offspring under the age of 10??? <VEG>
>
>Jim Baker
>
>Tclark wrote in message ...
>>DID NOT!
>> DID TO!
>>DID NOT!
>>DID TO!
>>OUCH! STOP POKING ME!
>>I'M NOT TOUCHING YOU!
>>MOMMY, BLAKE KEEPS TOUCHING ME!
>>DID NOT!
>>DID TO!
>>DID NOT!
>>DID TO!
>>STOP IT!
>>NO YOU STOP IT!
>>WAAAAAAAA!!!!
>>WAAAAAAAAA!!!
>>WAAAAAAAA!!!
>>MOMMY WHERE IS MY BAN'KY?
>>JOHN MADE A STINKY IN HIS DIAPER!
>>DID NOT!
>>DID TO!
>>DID NOT!
>>DID TO!
>>
>>Does someone have a cyber-pacifier?
>>T Clark
>>
>>
>
>
>

3611. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <78teto$q3s$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 129307

I used a hair splitter on mine..... Worked slicker`n a cuppa custard
....
tvsjr wrote in message <78npbg$1fn$1@juliana.sprynet.com>...
>Careful now, the guy really might be stupid enough to try this ;-)
>
>Blackjack Elliot wrote in message
><15798-36AE79D9-116@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
>As most scanner geeks do not know.... to get your scanner to transmit
>you'll need an antenna splitter (available at most cutlery shops) in
>order to compensate for the signal to wave ratio indifference. If you
>can not find a signal splitter at your local Cutlery R Us store, you can
>get the same effect simply by cutting your anntenna at the 1/2 way
>point. Keep in mind that transmitters do not use squelch, so be sure to
>remove your squelch components from inside the scanner being extremely
>careful not to inadvertantly touch any of the other compoments inside.
>Also make sure the squelch is turned all the way to the silent postition
>while performing this task. Most importanly of all.... be sure your
>scanner is in the ON position during this delicate but yet whorthwhile
>(to the rest of us) undertaking. One last thing of most importantce....
>remember to transmit on your scanner is the opposite of which it was
>intended to do, so it is a must that you ververse polarity, easily
>accomplished by cutting your red and black wires in the battery
>compartment and reverversing them and then resoldering them in place of
>one another.
>
>Let us know how far you are able to get out when you complete this, I'm
>sure the rest of us are anxious to know.
>
>Blackjack Elliot
>Las Vegas, NV
>
>Blackjack@BlackjackElliot.com
>www.BlackjackElliot.com
>
>
>

3612. Re: Looking for a more HF (SW) related site. Cannot FInd. Any sugg's ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990129182446.14048.00000974@ng21.aol.com>
Source record: 129308

well, you could try rec.radio.shortwave

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

3613. Re: Third-world scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990129182643.11849.00001014@ng153.aol.com>
Source record: 129309

>From: valerie65@my-dejanews.com

> but I can find nothing for most of Asia, Africa and/or
>Latin-America. Anyone with frequency information for these areas?

Foreign Trunked Radio Systems can be found at this URL:
http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/for_trs.html

talkyal

3614. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: look@sig.com
Message-ID: <78tgipbsr0@207.18.94.27>
Source record: 129310
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

In article <MPG.111ae0cb91002cb989718@news.lava.net>, tfoselsi@aval.net
says...
> In article <36b1454b.2975323@news>, none@home.com says...
> > On Thu, 28 Jan 1999 23:01:35 GMT, rfutrell@my-dejanews.com (Robin)
> > wrote:
> >
> > >On 27 Jan 1999 18:18:46 GMT, mnc@diana.law.yale.edu (Miguel Cruz)
> > >wrote:
> > >
> > >>Robert <mtplus@nospam.erols.com> wrote:
> > >>> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
> > >>> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
> > >>> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
> > >>
> > >>While we're at it, I am looking for a decent 900Mhz or 2.4Ghz phone with
> > >>caller ID but without answering machine. Speakerphone would be okay. For
> > >>some reason this combination of features seems hard to find.
> > >
> > >I have a 900Mhz VTech w/ Caller ID, no speakerphone, no answering
> > >machine. So far (a year and a half) it has been teriffic, great
> > >range, perfect sound. We're so hooked we don't use our corded phones
> > >anymore =)
> > >
> > >
> > I have a VTECH VT1900 and it works fine except that pressing a
> > button works about one in five times. It's almost impossible to dial
> > on. Other than that it works great.
> >
> Mine did the EXACT same thing after about 2 years. I finally had to buy
> another one.,..
>
> Great phone except for the early keyboard failure..

My Vtech NDX900 also did that. All I did was take apart the handset and
clean the rubber keypad and the contact pads on the pc board with
alcohol. Worked perfect after that. Took about 15 minutes, that's all.

I don't have that phone anymore though...My mom liked it so I gave it to
her. I bought a Sony SPP-SS965 and LOVE it!

--
I am: colossus <at> ccia <dot> com
Jerry Wayne Agudio "Open Minds Will Dominate."

Please send spam to...
heg@nb.net
rogera2@technologist.com
remove@truewealth.com

3615. Re: question from the dumb tree

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <78thmt$cqm@news.hsonline.net>
Source record: 129311

Jeremy,

This is the sight I use for Indiana. Andy has done a GREAT job on it &
I`m sure he`d like to hear what you think too.
http://members.iquest.net/~aeverhart/index.html

Good Luck & Happy Scanning,
Charlie

Jeremy <waltja02@holmes.ipfw.edu> wrote in article
<Pine.HPX.4.05.9901291408020.2657-100000@holmes.ipfw.edu>...
> does anyone know where i can get scanner frequencies for my area. i kive
> in the northeastern paret of Indiana.

3616. Re: Orem, Utah PD on 800 MHz trunked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <78tk20$mu9@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 129312

Well, the so called published freqs came from me (I recognize the list of IDs). When I found the original frequencies when the system first came on line, it was just by luck and there was no way to know if I had them all. A few days after I uploaded the freqs to the web, the control channel went away and I discovered that I had missed a frequency.

Since then I found the full listing in the PerCon Spectrum CD. They are all licensed under Utah County which makes me believe that all the agencies will eventually switch over to the system. There are a set of frequencies listed for northern country and a bunch more in Spanish Fork or something like that. Do you have any more details?

I have programmed in all the freqs but it seems that traffic is only on 7 of them.

Can you send me the list of freqs that you have confirmed voice traffic on?

--
Brett - N7OLQ
96 - XR400, 90 - DR350
American Fork
http://www.grundlesoftware.com/xr400
brett(dot)miller(at)intel(dot)com

Trevor Harris wrote in message <36AE5ED7.7BA50EDE@earthlink.net>...
>
> "Orem City Motorola Type II analog Frequencies:
>866.225, 866.625, 866.8375, 867.0875, 867.2875, 868.2875. Trunktracker
> Information: 16 - Link to UHP Statewide, 4816 - PD Dispatch, 4848 -
>PD Ch.2, 4880 - PD Ch.3, 4944 - Fire Linked to 154.145"
>
>The site I found it at was: http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/ut.html
>This guy has it on his web-page but www.trunktracker.com/ doesn't...go
>figure
>
>
>"Jon S. Van Allen" wrote:
>
>> Hi all,
>>
>> This morning at around 0800, the Orem PD had a helluva chase going
>> with a stolen car, the thief was shooting at the cops and then carjacked
>>
>> another car when the first one blew it's radiator. It was an action
>> packed
>> chase, that's for sure.

>>
>> If anyone can't hear Orem PD trunked system because the published
>> freqs are incomplete, let me know because I found the rest of the freqs
>> in use including the data channel. Signal strength is excellent, I hear
>> them
>> fine on my Pro-90 (even in my basement) with stock rubber ducky at my
>> house 30 miles north of Orem.
>>
>> Regards,
>> Jon
>
>

3617. SOME ONE FAQ THE 900mhz Ph Question!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Inciter <inciter@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78tmo6$7ek$1@nnrp2.crl.com>
Source record: 129313

Shoot that message has more messages to it than the whole news group!

For the Lame it's the one post that wanted to know what is the best 900 MHz phone to buy.

3618. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Inciter <inciter@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78tn54$7ff$1@nnrp2.crl.com>
Source record: 129314
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

WELL mtplus

I hope you would understand your final choice would be greatly excepted as a single post to this Newsgroup.

You have gotten many professional replies for your post.. Good luck on your choose! I am using a 9110 Lucent Tech with secure connection. (PS) The X-files can always get your calls intercepted.

Robert <mtplus@erols.com> wrote in message news:78na07ebi1@winter.news.rcn.net...
> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
>
> If I have posted this to the wrong group, please for give me and point me in
> the right direction.
>
> --
> Bob (in Maryland)
>
>

3619. FS: Startek 1.3GHz Freq Counter w/ 2 antennas $75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <36B26485.D1FC5E03@bv.net>
Source record: 129315
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur

I have a Startek Int'l 1.3GHz frequency counter FS. 3 gate speeds, hold function. W/ charger/adapter, 1 flexible vhf antenna
and Optoelectronics DB32 mini antenna. Also includes Direct Coupling cable assy. Sell entire lot for $75.00

Recently purchased, need to get mini scout soon.

3620. Re: FCC website: Search US Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Inciter <inciter@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78tnv1$7nj$1@nnrp2.crl.com>
Source record: 129316

Okay I agree with the FCC site... Only D/F is that its slow! For me at least... T1...FRM CA But non the less upto date is the FCC. Percons search site that is free is faster. SO! What I do is get to the FCC site and do a county/state search and use all... Bandwidth buster I am not. Then search the CallSigns I want to know about 99% of the time the freqs from summer 98 that Percon has available is correct still. I have yet to find a differant or new freq assinment from the FCC DB that did not come up on percon.

As you know the FCC base does not show your freq right off, on a county/state search. You have to click site then freq to pull the freq from the FCC DB. Boring, BUT! if your looking for exact info they rule. I have Percons free site down pat! and can compile a list for a county in an hour or so! I set it up into MS EXCEL then port it into ACCESS and I have a more serachable DB.

My 2 Cents.....

Brian Harris <brianNmelody@buz.net> wrote in message news:Fyxr2.189$Jd2.1643@news13.ispnews.com...
> I have noticed several posts looking for frequencies here and there, so I
> thought I would share this link. It is the Federal Communication Commission
> website, and you can search for frequencies by State/County, reverse look-up
> (i.e. you have a frequency and you want to know who it belongs to), and
> several other search modes. It is my sole source now for frequency info.
>
> http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts
>
> Enjoy!
>
>

3621. Re: HEY PHIL SMALLEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Inciter <inciter@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <78tobi$7ss$1@nnrp2.crl.com>
Source record: 129317

Are you real serious about the QUOTE "LICK ME WHERE I PEE, BOY!"? This is not something I would want another of the same sex to do to me. But hey it's a free world..... Good luck

Sticks and Stones.......

<cbrambo@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message news:78tm9q$kd2$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> Your an idiot. You and John Mackey, Peter Boe, Blake Bowers, and the rest of
> you lossers. LICK ME WHERE I PEE, BOY!
>
> "WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
> "I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3622. Re: Looking for a more HF (SW) related site. Cannot FInd. Any sugg's ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@wans.net
Message-ID: <MPG.111c171f42fa923a9897da@news.wans.net>
Source record: 129318

Try rec.radio.shortwave for starters.

3623. IC-R2 vx5 vx1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: james <mixer@usa.net>
Message-ID: <78tq80rqc1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 129319

Visit :
http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/icomr2
To subscribe to the ICOM R2 mail list
so far 97 users strong!
Or:
http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/YAESUVX5
To join the Yaesu Vx5r Mailing list
sofar 147 users strong!

Don't forget to visit one of the Best scanning LINKS pages in the world at:
http://www.primenet.com/~confused/scan.html
73 de W6JHC James

3624. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@uia.net>
Message-ID: <78tpvu$ce5$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well that was nice advice that you have given me.Tell me this,did you buy
the software from Motorola?Is there a law against having the radio capable
of TX'ing?Do the police officers make it a habit of arresting people for no
reason?If you would have read the other post I stated that I F***** up.So im
paying the price and what ever happens to me happens.
radioman
Choco Boco <**********@alliedsignal.com> wrote in message
news:78sotc$g25$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
>>
>It is people like you who ruin it for everyone else. I own 3 Motorola
>Sabers and 1 ASTRO unit. All 4 radios have one thing in common - they have
>ALL been programmed to not be able toi transmit. What were you thinking,
>going to a call with a transmit capable radio? I know several officers
here
>in Phoenix that would have arrested you for obstruction, right there on the
>spot (whether or not the arrest would stick is another story, but,
>regardless). I call chase quite regularly here, and have even been asked
>for help, had my videotapes used as evidence, and the like. But if
officers
>do not want me at a particular scene, they tell me I have to leave. I also
>leave my "M" radios in the car, and carry my scanner with me. Use some
>common sense once and a while and you might not be faced with the current
>doom that is looming over your head.
>
>BTW - I hope you get the book thrown at you and that you do loose
>everything. It is stupid antics like what you pulled that get scanners
>blocked, restricted, and even banned. So, I hope that you are proud of
>yourself and the stupid crap you haved pulled.
>
>The only advice I can give you is GET JOHNNY COCHRIN! He is the only one
>who could possible save your butt. Otherwise, don't bend over for the
soap.
>
>Choco
>
>

3625. Re: Pro max Scanner antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@wans.net
Message-ID: <MPG.111c1f8721033a4f9897de@news.wans.net>
Source record: 129321
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try this one before you waste your money. Cut a 5 foot hunk of 16 or 18ga speaker wire. When using a telescopic antenna you can terminate the wire at one end with a large alligator clip and the for frequencies below 100mhz place the clip below the insulator on the antenna (like on the knurled part) or above 100mhz clip above the insulator. I have found this to boost the low vhf at least 50% and above 100mhz over that. Total cost maybe 2 bucks. The wire tends to do the best job when you just crimp the other end and hang it on as vertical a plane as possible, or even sometimes just moving it around until you achieve the best signal. Happy hunting.

3626. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@wans.net
Message-ID: <MPG.111c201c7124e1269897e0@news.wans.net>
Source record: 129322

I guess I was stubborn enough to think that perhaps there was an exception to some rule. There wasn't and now I am happy with a scanner for the vhf-uhf stuff and a separate little high performance shortwave for the road.

3627. Re: manual for Bearcat 200 (old scanner!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>
Message-ID: <36B278F1.198DB496@tidalwave.net>
Source record: 129323

Scotty Carpenter wrote:

> Wondering if anyone out there has a manual for an OLD bearcat 200 that
> they could photocopy and post to me. I have a freind who was given one
> of these for nothing but its a little difficult for HF ppl like us to
> work out! 8-)
>
> Scott
>
> smc@mail.ix.net.au

Do you mean the BC200XLT? If yes E-mail me and I will send you the manual
in .pdf format

--
Check Out My WebCam!!

http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html

ICQ me 8309550

If a word in the dictionary were misspelled, how would we know?

.

3628. Re: Transmitt To McDonalds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren North <northd@cvn.net>
Message-ID: <36B2285B.BEF13F2C@cvn.net>
Source record: 129324

Rabidfool wrote:

> I was wondering if it was possible to transmitt on the McDonalds drive through
> headsets? I asked around before and I think someone told me to get a ...i
> think....blue dot transcevier from rs? would that work?
> -rabidfool@aol.com <----main e-mail
> -rabidfool@yahoo.com
> -rabidfool@hotmail.com
> -rabidfool@ignmail.com

Yes, you can transmit to them. Locally, they all use 154.57 mhz to talk from headset to headset and from the drive-thru mic to the headsets. They talk on a 33 mhz frequency from the headset out to the drive-thru window. You'll need the correct PL tones, however, if you want them to be able to hear you. Are you intending on placing your order via 2-way radio or something? Just wondering why in the hell anyone would want to be able to talk to the people that work at McDonalds!

3629. Re: Relm MS 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren North <northd@cvn.net>
Message-ID: <36B23011.21DC2443@cvn.net>
Source record: 129325

Ezra wrote:

> Does anyone have any experience with the Relm MS 200? (the mobile one not the
> hand held) Seems like a cool unit having pl and all. I have a pro-2026 and
> the intermod kills me here in LA ... the relm seems like a good replacement
> but I've never heard anything about it.

It sucks. If intermod is a problem with a 2026, then you'll really have problems with the Relm. Also, the computer control stuff is a real pain in the ass. I still can't get it to work. Other people haven't had any problems, but there are also other people, like me, who can not get it to work. Also, the documentation for the computer control is almost nothing at all. It's OK in my house, but there is way too much noise to use it in a mobile application. Yeah, the DPL and PL are nice features. Hopefully the next version will also be able to decode these tones as well, like the BC 895 can. Hope this helps!

3630. Download new data slicer circuit and radio programmers !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Craig-N5XNQ <cpclark@pca.net>
Message-ID: <6A1C848987BF6E04.359D87C45A078E5F.FECE97D6C3C6A8F3@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 129326

You can now download a new data slicer circuit that I have designed,I also have drawings for programmers, Kenwood, Uniden and Johnson 2-way radios. I also have a drawing for a Emwins MSK demodulator circuit.

Craig Clark - N5XNQ

http://www.GeoCities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hall/3252

3631. Re: FCC website: Dial-Up Direct

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <78u8m7jpq1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 129327

You can dial into the FCC direct...and bypass any "internet" slowdowns, at
(800) 844-2784

I have it as an alternate dial-up network selection. Use your browser and
the same "gullfoss" url once you connect...no password is needed

Harry Marnell, N6URU

http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

Inciter wrote in message <78tnv1$7nj$1@nnrp2.crl.com>...
>Okay I agree with the FCC site... Only D/F is that its slow! For me at
>least... T1...FRM CA But non the less upto date is the FCC. Percons search
>site that is free is faster. SO! What I do is get to the FCC site and do a
>county/state search and use all... Bandwidth buster I am not. Then search
>the CallSigns I want to know about 99% of the time the freqs from summer 98
>that Percon has available is correct still. I have yet to find a differant
>or new freq assinment from the FCC DB that did not come up on percon.
>
>As you know the FCC base does not show your freq right off, on a
>county/state search. You have to click site then freq to pull the freq from
>the FCC DB. Boring, BUT! if your looking for exact info they rule. I have
>Percons free site down pat! and can compile a list for a county in an hour
>or so! I set it up into MS EXCEL then port it into ACCESS and I have a more
>serachable DB.
>
>My 2 Cents.....
>
>
>
>Brian Harris <brianNmelody@buz.net> wrote in message
>news:Fyxr2.189$Jd2.1643@news13.ispnews.com...
>> I have noticed several posts looking for frequencies here and there, so I
>> thought I would share this link. It is the Federal Communication
>Commission
>> website, and you can search for frequencies by State/County, reverse
>look-up
>> (i.e. you have a frequency and you want to know who it belongs to), and
>> several other search modes. It is my sole source now for frequency info.
>>
>> http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts
>>
>> Enjoy!
>>
>>

>
>

3632. Re: FCC On line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <78u8opjvn1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 129328

You can dial into the FCC direct...and bypass any "internet" slowdowns, at (800) 844-2784

I have it as an alternate dial-up network selection. Use your browser and the same "gullfoss" url once you connect...no password is needed

Harry Marnell, N6URU

http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

3633. Re: CHP 39mhz & 42mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Pekarek <ericpekarek@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <78ua2i$g25$2@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

San Bernardino chp use 39.26 mobile 42.60 base because of the mountains
and 39.26 is new it has problems the car might use 42.74 or simulcast
Trevor Harris wrote in message <36AFE53A.68AE6F1F@earthlink.net>...
>What freqs do you need to know specifically? You have come to the right
place. 73's
>
>JA Moran wrote:
>
>> To monitor the CGP, I used to search 42.00-43.00mhz.
>> Now, I search 39.00 -> 43.00
>>
>> I drive I-10 between Phoenix and Southern California on a regular basis
(every
>> week) when I go visit my girlfriend who lives in the Glendale/Burbank
area.
>>
>> I've noticed that on the 39mhz frequencies, you usually hear both sides
on the
>> same frequency while on the 42mhz frequencies this is not usually the
case. I
>> generally set 42.44 as the priority channel since this is one of the
Primary
>> channels used by Indio dispatch which covers Riverside
>> county from the Arizona Border To the county Line with San Bernardino
county.
>>
>> In adition, both Riverside and San Bernardino county use 856-860 and
866-869mhz
>> trunked systems. In the palm Springs area, there is also some traffic on
the
>> 460-460.6 frequencies
>>
>> Through Arizona, it's generally search 460.000 to 460.600. This will
cover the
>> Arizona Highway Patrol and also the Maricopa County Sheriff and some
ambulance
>> frequencies. In La Paz county
>> (Quartzsite and Ehrenberg) I hav also monitored the Local PD/Sheriff on
155mhz
>> frequencies
>>
>> --
>> ---

```
-------
>>
>> > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
>> <
>> > Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(:
MS-Windows ):<
>> > Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit
bucket!<
>> > if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the
group
>> <
>> +++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They lose payments!, Say I didn't
pay!
>> ------------------------------------------------------------------------
-------
>
>
```

3634. Re: Border Patrol Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Pekarek <ericpekarek@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <78ua2h$g25$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129330

riverside ca is the main center for the inland empire 163.775 and 154.920
to contact the highway patrol or san bernardino so
Big Dog wrote in message <78rgaj$pf7@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>...
>Anybody have a list of Border Patrol frequencies used in the So Cal area???
>I have yet to find them published.
>
>

3635. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@uia.net>
Message-ID: <78ubheqoc1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129331
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Why would you take the radios with you and then leave them in the car?Are
you doing something wrong or are you just to chicken ****
to keep something that is legal to own with you?Or maybe it is that you have
lab software or some software that you don't want to be caught with.bottom
line is i think that you are an a**hole or wish such a thing on me.Maybe I
should pay if found guilty but not 3 years in state prison.Why don't you
think about what you say.Even more this was a radio and not a scanner,where
did i ever say anything about a scanner.
Choco Boco <**********@alliedsignal.com> wrote in message
news:78sotc$g25$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
>
>Radioman wrote in message <78i0l4$s0e$1@remarQ.com>...
>>I was arrested about a year ago and now I'm being charged with California
>>Penal Code 499c "Trade secrets-theft of."
>>
>>I'm alleged to have in my possession the following items.
>>Astro Depot tool ver.03.04.00
>>MTSX Depot tool ver.02.00.01
>>Spectra Lab tool ver.05.03.00
>>STX Labtool
>>System Key Generator
>>System Watch Codeplug Generator-FTR ver.02.00
>>
>CRRASH! (OOPS, I mean RRRRRRRRRRRRIIIIIIIIIIIIPPPPPPPPP!)
>Radioman,
>
>It is people like you who ruin it for everyone else. I own 3 Motorola
>Sabers and 1 ASTRO unit. All 4 radios have one thing in common - they have
>ALL been programmed to not be able toi transmit. What were you thinking,
>going to a call with a transmit capable radio? I know several officers
here
>in Phoenix that would have arrested you for obstruction, right there on the
>spot (whether or not the arrest would stick is another story, but,
>regardless). I call chase quite regularly here, and have even been asked
>for help, had my videotapes used as evidence, and the like. But if
officers
>do not want me at a particular scene, they tell me I have to leave. I also
>leave my "M" radios in the car, and carry my scanner with me. Use some
>common sense once and a while and you might not be faced with the current
>doom that is looming over your head.
>
>BTW - I hope you get the book thrown at you and that you do loose
>everything. It is stupid antics like what you pulled that get scanners

>blocked, restricted, and even banned. So, I hope that you are proud of
>yourself and the stupid crap you haved pulled.
>
>The only advice I can give you is GET JOHNNY COCHRIN! He is the only one
>who could possible save your butt. Otherwise, don't bend over for the
soap.
>
>Choco
>
>

3636. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: tfoselsi@aval.net
Message-ID: <MPG.111c395f28a1d1ea98971e@news.lava.net>
Source record: 129332
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

In article <MPG.111bad3c494d6e3398971e@news.diversicomm.com>,
bucrgNOSPAM@PLEASE.diversicomm.com says...
> In article <MPG.111ae0cb91002cb989718@news.lava.net>, tfoselsi@aval.net
> says...
> > Mine did the EXACT same thing after about 2 years. I finally had to buy
> > another one.,..
> >
> >
>
> hum.... do we see a trend of consumers replacing junk with junk or did
> you go to an new brand?
> :)
>
I *Did* buy another V-Tech because the phone is really good and
everything else I tried was shitty. Ezpecially the Sony's.

--

__**__
**
Jim Dompier
http://www.islandshades.com

3637. FS: Pro-2022 200ch Base w/full 800mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Monfeli <rmonfeli@dconn.com>
Message-ID: <78uc4s$av0$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Very good condition, comes with box, manual, antenna. $185.00 includes shipping.
Ron

3638. [FS]: JRC-NRD525

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kye Won Ho <whkye@alpha3.paichai.ac.kr>
Message-ID: <36B1F45F.72769D5E@alpha3.paichai.ac.kr>
Source record: 129334
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: whkye.vcf

I would like to sell Clean and complete equipement
NRD-525 for suitable price...

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

3639. Re: Wacars problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: fletchet@cadvision.com
Message-ID: <78r40k$c9u$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129337

In article <36AD07FB.1A7C9FBA@worldnet.att.net>, "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net> wrote: Go and try a Creative 16 bit sound card - There are cards which wacars will not work with , you mighrt have one !! Tony .Calgary

> Is anyone using a Mitsuba 333 MHz Pentium II with the Wacars version 0.6
>
> successfully? My sound card is a C-Media SB-16, can't get this dang
> program
> to do anything but show raw data.
>
> I've tried everything, anyone using this computer with Wacars please
> email me
> with specifics. I think the soundcard has a compatability problem, but
> can't nail
> it down.
>
> Thanks,
> Jon
>
>

Tony Fletcher
fletchet@cadvision.com
Calgary,Alberta.Canada
N51.06.944 W114.03.217

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3640. Re: WACARS???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: fletchet@cadvision.com
Message-ID: <78r4ab$cm8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129338

In article <78732d$qj1$1@news.inc.net>, "SHAWN" <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote: Hi Shawn , It's all to do with your sound card - You might have to goto a Creative 16 bit card or try some other card which some one you known is using - I freind of mine have the same problem until he changed the card . Tony. Calgary

> Why does the wacars program keep crashing my computer,i keep getting a error
> message if i let it run for more than 10 min.my computer locks up and i must
> restart the computer.
>
>

Tony Fletcher
fletchet@cadvision.com
Calgary,Alberta.Canada
N51.06.944 W114.03.217

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3641. Relm hs 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: lcahill <lcahill2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a17s2.4551$QU6.49283969@c01read10.service.talkway.com>
Source record: 129339

Does anyone know if this scanner can be modified to pick up
the frequencies that are blocked?
--
Surf Usenet at home, on the road, and by email -- always at Talkway.
http://www.talkway.com

3642. Relm hs 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: lcahill <lcahill2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <P17s2.4552$QU6.49284160@c01read10.service.talkway.com>
Source record: 129340

Does anyone know if this scanner can be modified to pick up
the frequencies that are blocked?
--
Surf Usenet at home, on the road, and by email -- always at Talkway.
http://www.talkway.com

3643. Relm hs 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: lcahill <lcahill2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <_17s2.4554$QU6.49284218@c01read10.service.talkway.com>
Source record: 129341

Does anyone know if this scanner can be modified to pick up
the frequencies that are blocked?
--
Surf Usenet at home, on the road, and by email -- always at Talkway.
http://www.talkway.com

3644. Re: Relm hs 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: ##aheely##@##downcity##.net
Message-ID: <36b0fe8c.25082539@news.downcity.net>
Source record: 129342

I know.

They can not.

Art

On Fri, 29 Jan 1999 00:12:31 GMT, "lcahill" <lcahill2@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>Does anyone know if this scanner can be modified to pick up
>the frequencies that are blocked?

3645. Re: cloning astro digital radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990128195604.20269.00000751@ng154.aol.com>
Source record: 129343

>From: "Murray" <mschultz@4-12.ca>

>Just wondering if astro radios could be cloned using the info obtained
....

.....>This might be useful, say for instance, if you wanted to monitor
>digital communications not that anyone would of course!

Slow down, good buddy. Let's get a decent Astro Digital Scanner on the market, first, see how it performs, then we'll determine if we have to "go to more extreme measures." ;-->

talkyal

3646. F.S.- BC9000XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald R. Lloyd" <yaesu1@csrlink.net>
Message-ID: <78r377mmv1@pigpen.csrlink.net>
Source record: 129344

Uniden 500 ch. Scanner - Nice condition with manual - no orig box - 275.00 shipped

3647. Re: BC200XLT BATTERY REGENARATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990128202943.28637.00000739@ng08.aol.com>
Source record: 129345

>Subject: BC200XLT BATTERY REGENARATION
>From: "Inciter" <inciter@hotmail.com>
>It's been two weeks now and I am still getting 8 to 9 hours use out of
>it.... And it was very very dead when I put it into the drawer.
>

Thanks for sharing that. BC200XLT owners like myself appreciate the info.

Another scanner information web page
Including cell mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

3648. FS: Radio Shack Pro-43, cellular enabled, $225.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: skent@nospam.ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <78r4jg$qum@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 129346

I have a Radio Shack Pro-43 200 channel handheld triple conversion scanner with cellular. This radio has had the frequency expansion mods prefessionally done. The unit's FCC id is AA020-300. Frequency coverage is as follows:
30-88 Mhz
118-174 Mhz
220-512 Mhz
806-999.9875 Mhz

Included with the radio is the rubber duck antenna, battery case, belt clip and original user's manual. Unit is in good, clean and working condition. Unit will ship immediately upon reciept of payment by US Postal service money order only. Buyer pays actual shipping and insurance.

It is not illegal to own a scanner that has the capability of listening to cellular and cordless telephone frequencies. Thanks to our wonderful legislature, the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (ECPA) of 1986 makes it illegal to listen to cellular and cordless telephone frequencies. Please use your best judgement.

Please email me if you are interested.
skent@no_spam.ix.netcom.com <- Remove no_spam to reply.

3649. Re: Fresno area frequenices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospamstuf@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990128212040.01196.00000295@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 129347

>Joe 8617 wrote:
>>
>> Does anyone have a listing of Fresno area frequencies they wouldn't mind
>> sharing? Thanks. Joe. "joe8617@aol.com"
>
>
>Check out the following URL:
>
> http://bizweb.lightspeed.net/~ham/scanner.htm
>

That site covers mostly Kern County and the freqs that it does have for Fresno County are outdated. Try this site for more updated info.
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/6298/index.html

3650. Re: FCC website: Search US Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36b42066.168382409@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 129348

On Thu, 28 Jan 1999 10:33:25 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>> It is a great site. I have not purchased a PERCON in a year now,
>> and do all my lookups at the FCC site.
>
>Blake, PREPARE YOURSELF!!! I agree with you!!!!!!!!
>
>I've been using the the FCC site for the same things with
>good results.

It's not surprising, the percon cd sucks! Now they want $20 a month to
add errors in a more timely manner.

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

3651. Re: Two-Way Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36b62131.168586292@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 129349

On Thu, 28 Jan 1999 01:19:24 -0600, "tracey12" <tracey12@hotbot.com>
wrote:

>
> I just bought a two-way pager for a very good price, and it has all the
>instructions etc.
> However, I don't know the first thing about it. So, this is a BellSouth
>2-way pager
> that has a small keyboard and screen, but the whole unit is not much
>larger than a regular
> pager.
>
> Do you know what frequency this thing works on?
> Is it traceable in that the system it transmits to keeps track of which
>site is responding?
> Thus, could there be a report created that indicates where the pager was
>located at a
> paticular time of day?
> What else do you happen to know about these devices?
>
> Thanks a lot for the info!
>
> Mark
>

What is the frequency and capcode on back of it? Is it a Motorola
product?

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

3652. Re: Two-Way Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36b721ad.168710250@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 129350

On Thu, 28 Jan 1999 14:51:29 -0500, Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> wrote:

>> I just bought a two-way pager for a very good price, and it has all the
>> instructions etc.
>> However, I don't know the first thing about it.
>
> RTFM, YDSOB!

Yup..

>> So, this is a BellSouth 2-way pager
>> that has a small keyboard and screen, but the whole unit is not much
>> larger than a regular
>> pager.
>>
>> Do you know what frequency this thing works on?
>
> Yes.

Well? Some of us want to know. Is it a new REFLEX channel out there
besides Skytel?
>> Is it traceable in that the system it transmits to keeps track of which
>> site is responding?

> Yes.

That sucks, wonder if the RSS has some cool functions for internal
pager settings, you have it or seen it?

>> Thus, could there be a report created that indicates where the pager was
>> located at a
>> paticular time of day?
>
> Yes.
>
>> What else do you happen to know about these devices?
>
> Lots of stufff that a reasonably intelligent prson could figure out by
>perusing the manual, the tech specs in the flyer & posted on their web page...
'
Guess it's off to Mother M for a look, this should be fun!

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

3653. Relm MS 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: XXnospamXXezra@cscg.com
Message-ID: <RE9s2.4444$eh6.3581@cynws01.we.mediaone.net>
Source record: 129351

Does anyone have any experience with the Relm MS 200? (the mobile one not the hand held) Seems like a cool unit having pl and all. I have a pro-2026 and the intermod kills me here in LA ... the relm seems like a good replacement but I've never heard anything about it.

3654. n7qor and mackie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@some.com
Message-ID: <78r9eu$v5r$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 129352

all these e-mails from n7qor threatening mackie are pretty funny since they are good buddies and hang out together at every ham fest in the area

they look a lot alike and i heard they are half-brothers or cousins or something like that

this jdclampitt guy is funny for a day or so then he becomes a disgrace on buddy ebson and the famous tv show since he is to chicken to give his real id the real jed clampitt was not scared of anything

of course jdclampitt and n7qor showed up at the same time both from aol (a$$hole$ online) so it is obviously more spam to stir up the crap on this newsgroup

3655. Re: Relm MS 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <78r9vh$t2a@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 129353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <RE9s2.4444$eh6.3581@cynws01.we.mediaone.net>,
Ezra <XXnospamXXezra@cscg.com> wrote:

>Does anyone have any experience with the Relm MS 200?
>.... I have a pro-2026 and the intermod kills me here in LA
> the relm seems like a good replacement
>but I've never heard anything about it.

Several folks wrote that their MS-200s suffer miserably from
intermod. That was certainly true of the one
I tested and reviewed in Monitoring Times magazine.

Otherwise, it was a very capable scanner with
good features.

From my FAQ article SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS:

[...]

MS200: 1998 vintage. Made in USA. 200 channel mobile with
alpha labels. CTCSS and DCS decoding squelch. Programmable
via PC using supplied software. Low contrast, LCD display.
Keypad backlit for night programming. Rear panel tape audio
jack. Test unit had lots of intermod on VHF-high band. IFs
are 280 and 0.45 MHz. See "The RELM MS-200 Scanner," by Bob
Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1998, for a review
which includes quantitative test results.

[...]

Reprints of articles from Monitoring Times are available
for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from:

Grove Enterprises, P.O. Box 98, Brasstown,
NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200.
Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155.

Note: I don't get any part of the $3.00.
--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3656. Re: Post the Proof Johnny Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@some.com
Message-ID: <78rah4$v5r$2@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 129354

this is really funny why would mackie tell a goon like bowers anything?
especially after bowers was caught forging those messages from dejanews?

In article <78gr1v$cl4$1@207.18.224.237>, bbowers@townsqr.com says...
>
>As you have stated, you are willing to prove anything you say...
>
>Provide proof what degree you have, what Motorola schools you
>have attended, and what experience you have in the field
>of professional two way radio.
>
>And post your girlfriends names, addresses, and phone numbers,
>or just their name, and escort agency they work for.

3657. Re: AC Adapter for Yupi MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: willy <willyNOSPAM@cgocable.net>
Message-ID: <36B16B14.4F5C04D9@cgocable.net>
Source record: 129355

You are right the tip is POS. also you should not exceed 300 ma. as it might over charge
the batts. But that current should enough to run the radio as well

Bill

http://www.cgocable.net/~willy/radio/BWRADIO.HTM

erikd@icehouse.net wrote:

> Does anyone use a radio shack wall adapter to run their 7100 on? I want to
> make sure I get the right one. I do have an adapter with switchable voltage,
> and I do know the connector is supposed to be tip positive. I just want to
> make sure it is OK to use this adapter to avoid causing damage to my scanner.
> If the switchable one is not good, what 12V adapter should I use then?
>
> Thanks in advance!!
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3658. Re: No Cussing Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcm1168@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990129001423.01111.00000775@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 129356

cuss you

3659. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: none@home.com
Message-ID: <36b1454b.2975323@news>
Source record: 129357
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 28 Jan 1999 23:01:35 GMT, rfutrell@my-dejanews.com (Robin)
wrote:

>On 27 Jan 1999 18:18:46 GMT, mnc@diana.law.yale.edu (Miguel Cruz)
>wrote:
>
>>Robert <mtplus@nospam.erols.com> wrote:
>>> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
>>> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
>>> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
>>
>>While we're at it, I am looking for a decent 900Mhz or 2.4Ghz phone with
>>caller ID but without answering machine. Speakerphone would be okay. For
>>some reason this combination of features seems hard to find.
>
>I have a 900Mhz VTech w/ Caller ID, no speakerphone, no answering
>machine. So far (a year and a half) it has been teriffic, great
>range, perfect sound. We're so hooked we don't use our corded phones
>anymore =)
>
>
I have a VTECH VT1900 and it works fine except that pressing a
button works about one in five times. It's almost impossible to dial
on. Other than that it works great.

3660. Bigear Type-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: iw2kwc@amsat.org
Message-ID: <78s2b7$5cn$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129358

Hi,
I have a Bigear Type-1 2M PLL FM-USB-LSB-CW Transceiver, that I bought
used a few days ago without user's manual.
I would like to know more about it, so I'm searching for information.
I just know that it passed the inspection on oct, 26 1978.
Do you ever hear about it? In particular:

1) power source is only 100-250 Vac or it can be directly feeded with 13.8 Vcc
also?
2) possible mods?
3) where could I find complete information about it?

Thank you and regards
73 de Claudio IW2KWC

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3661. Re: BC200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: willy <willyNOSPAM@cgocable.net>
Message-ID: <36B1717A.195CC893@cgocable.net>
Source record: 129359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Saw your web cam and it looks great... I'll show mine now It a Bird cam .....

Sorry every body I know this not about scanners....
I won't let it happen again...
Bill
http://www.geocities.com/Petsburgh/Farm/1181/

By the way what JAva scip are you useing for to post the last 9 pix..
Thanks
Trevor wrote:

> NOT wrote:
>
> > the light work with a ciruit that runs the delay function and scan rate. so
> > to do this you would also change this. they are however a way you can mod.
> > so the light either stays on all the time or when the squelch is broken
> >
> > Trevor wrote in message <36A1483C.BC023405@tidalwave.net>...
> > >Is there way to keep the light on longer?
> > >
>
> can you post more info on the light mod please....
>
> --
> Check Out My WebCam!!
>
> http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html
>
> ICQ me 8309550
> ---
> I don't suffer from insanity - I enjoy every minute of it.
> ---

3662. Re: Optocom trunking problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mbrown7321@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990129003047.13846.00000836@ng142.aol.com>
Source record: 129360

If it makes you feel better I am not having much luck either. E-TRAX soft ware works pretty good .

3663. FYEYE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Maurice Roy <mroy1@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36B14DD2.44B9D712@ibm.net>
Source record: 129361

If you wish to write back to me,
Out of our English 26, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Remember that if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

--

GO LEAFS GO !!!!!
mroy1@ibm.net

Happiness is nothing more than good health and a bad memory.

"The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away",

I USED TO BE INDISISIVE,BUT NOW I AM NOT SURE

PROFANITY IS NO SIGN OF INTELLIGENCE.....

3664. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mnc@diana.law.yale.edu
Message-ID: <78rje2$j5e$1@news.ycc.yale.edu>
Source record: 129362
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote:
> A friend has a Sony, and likes it, although I haven't had a chance to
> see it yet.

Some friends of mine have a Sony, and I've used it when staying at their house. It's scratchy like sandpaper underwear. More static than a dryer full of dacron socks. Noisier than Gauss after a fifth of gin.

I think we need to come up with some sort of statistical reckoning to put together all these anecdotal responses. So far there is no brand of phone that has not been both lambasted and highly praised.

miguel

3665. Re: edmonton alberta mdt Freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: trent@compusmart.ab.ca
Message-ID: <36b33fdd.1433394@news.compusmart.ab.ca>
Source record: 129363

On Tue, 26 Jan 1999 04:36:38 -0700, "Glenn Rattray"
<grattray@sprint.ca> wrote:

>Is anyone aware of any MDT freq's in Edmonton Alberta?
>
>

Try 859.2625 and 859.5125

3666. Discriminator Tap for SC-150???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dylan A. Reinhold" <dylan@home.com>
Message-ID: <36B156CD.65AA0E8@home.com>
Source record: 129364

Can someone please send me the pin-outs for the sc-150's
discriminator.......

Thanks
Dylan

3667. Re: Enough of the crap!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew <ablawat@gdex.net>
Message-ID: <ZEcs2.460$R54.15515@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 129365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

Will you take this shit elsewhere? I'm tired of reading about this crap!!

Andy

Jim Baker <firecaptain@tbcnet.com> wrote in message
news:78qelc$7m$1@remarQ.com...
>
>Old Dewd wrote in message <78m7pn$d3s@nnrp1.farm.idt.net>...
>> When are you two guys gonna get it on? I'll pay for the room.
>>Enough already, get it done and over with, if you're gonna make a public
>>spectacle, make a public spectacle!
>>
>> While you're sharing each other's private company, be sure to
>>not install any baby monitors so's people can listen to you two on their
>>scanners. :)
>>
>
>My official position: ROTFLMAO! I couldn't have said it better myself!
>
>Jim "On the lighter side of things" Baker
>
>

3668. Re: Two-Way Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <36B156C2.2D17C89D@mich.com>
Source record: 129366

Jack wrote:

> On Thu, 28 Jan 1999 14:51:29 -0500, Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> wrote:
>
> >> So, this is a BellSouth 2-way pager
> >> that has a small keyboard and screen, but the whole unit is not much
> >> larger than a regular
> >> pager.
> >>
> >> Do you know what frequency this thing works on?
> >
> > Yes.
>
> Well? Some of us want to know. Is it a new REFLEX channel out there
> besides Skytel?

The pagers transmit (with 2 watts!!) in the 897/898MHz range.

>
> >> Is it traceable in that the system it transmits to keeps track of which
> >> site is responding?
>
> > Yes.
>
> That sucks, wonder if the RSS has some cool functions for internal
> pager settings, you have it or seen it?

You can set it to not allow it to be polled.

>
> >> What else do you happen to know about these devices?
> >
> > Lots of stufff that a reasonably intelligent prson could figure out by
> >perusing the manual, the tech specs in the flyer & posted on their web page...
> '
> Guess it's off to Mother M for a look, this should be fun!

Nope, believe it or not, it is a very nice pager, not built by M! They're made
in Canada by RIM.

The web page to look at is http://www.bellsouthwd.com/

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

3669. Re: cloning astro digital radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990129020518.09494.00003789@ng156.aol.com>
Source record: 129367

>From: mike frye <km6ab@pacbell.net>

>In the Los Angeles area all the Saber Astro geeks program their radios from a
>code
>plug sucked out of a current LAPD radio.

I know, I fear that it will ultimately happen, here, in Michigan: One guy
will get a hold of a Michigan State Police Astro Digital and pull the software
from it, put it on CD-RW, and Astro programming CD's will find their way all
throughout Michigan. It won't be long until Michigan Motorola radio stores
suddenly start having mysterious increases in Astro Digital radio sales. But,
they better not "accidently" have the MSP software available at the
point-of-sale,
and they better not instruct the public on how to program an Astro Digital.
All they can do is sell the Astro Digital. It will be up to you to secretly
find the software (which I don't condone). I would rather see the production
of a legal Astro Digital Scanner by UNIDEN, with support for it from the MSP,
themselves.

talkyal

3670. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36f5677b.48034718@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 129368
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

mnc@diana.law.yale.edu (Miguel Cruz) wrote:

>Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote:
>> A friend has a Sony, and likes it, although I haven't had a chance to
>> see it yet.
>
>Some friends of mine have a Sony, and I've used it when staying at their
>house. It's scratchy like sandpaper underwear. More static than a dryer full
>of dacron socks. Noisier than Gauss after a fifth of gin.
>
>I think we need to come up with some sort of statistical reckoning to put
>together all these anecdotal responses. So far there is no brand of phone
>that has not been both lambasted and highly praised.

They all make different models. The cheap ones are likely to be, well...
Cheap.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3671. local freqs. needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB5DMT@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <36b27952.12602857@news.gmi.net>
Source record: 129369

I need some help finding a up to date listing of the local freqs. for
North West Miss. and Memphis , TN

thanks ,

Lee H.

KB5DMT@bigfoot.com

I C Q # 12887371

3672. Southern Oregon Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mhzinger <mhzinger@cdsnet.net>
Message-ID: <36B17E1F.6DB975C2@cdsnet.net>
Source record: 129370

Southern Oregon Scanner Page
Grants Pass, Oregon
http://home.cdsnet.net/~mhzinger/Sosp.html

3673. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Levine <jlevine@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B1896C.17B1C2B9@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129371
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

I've had several Sony's and found them to be unreliable. They also ate batteries. I have a Panasonic 900 now for 2 1/2 years and it's great. I had a problem with it once and had to send it back to a warranty center (when it was out of warranty). The turnaround was two days, they fixed it and installed a new battery at no charge. No problem since. I also have a Lucent 900 for about 1 1/2 years. No problems at all and sounds great.

Miguel Cruz wrote:

> Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote:
> > A friend has a Sony, and likes it, although I haven't had a chance to
> > see it yet.
>
> Some friends of mine have a Sony, and I've used it when staying at their
> house. It's scratchy like sandpaper underwear. More static than a dryer full
> of dacron socks. Noisier than Gauss after a fifth of gin.
>
> I think we need to come up with some sort of statistical reckoning to put
> together all these anecdotal responses. So far there is no brand of phone
> that has not been both lambasted and highly praised.
>
> miguel

3674. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: es8m@holmes.acc.Virginia.EDU
Message-ID: <78s3dg$6u4$1@murdoch.acc.Virginia.EDU>
Source record: 129372
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

I just bought a Lucent 9400 Digital Spread Spectrum phone
for $89.00 @ Sears and it seems fine. Quality seems normal
for a Chinese made, consumer grade product.

Anyone else have any experience with this phone??

Ed Strauss

3675. Re: Pro-90 no Trunk at 400Mhz???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <mjgs2.534$R54.16619@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 129373

As far as I know, there is no scanner made that will follow 400mhz trunked systems.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

mderryberry@netxn.com
Tom Jenkins wrote in message <36b1316e.0@news.hawaii.rr.com>...
>What's up with this? I tried to get a line on some trunked frequencies at
>400Mhz, and no go - I get an 'error'. I read the manual and it indicates
>that this unit *only* tracks trunked frequencies at 800Mhz???
>
>Is this true???
>
>--Tom
>
>
>

3676. Re: Trunk Tracker or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mike (EMT-Cardiac)" <Etlaesium@thenetco.com>
Message-ID: <XIgs2.28964$un6.5001063@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 129374

Are there any conversions for the TrunkTracker? I am trying to find a way to get the off-bands. Any ideas would be helpful.

Thanks,

Mike

3677. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mike (EMT-Cardiac)" <Etlaesium@thenetco.com>
Message-ID: <hQgs2.28975$un6.5003558@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 129375

Speaking of used radios, anyone know of any used VHF (portable or mobile) for sale??? My only requirements are decent range and 16+ channels (pref. 32+).

Let me know, k?

Mike

JTWard01 wrote in message <19990128184204.01055.00000198@ng-cr1.aol.com>...
>There's
>plenty of used two-way equipment on the market to let you operate on just about
>any band you want (legally or not).
>
>John T. Ward
>Brandon, Florida
>USA

3678. Re: I need a bit (lot) of help with my discriminator mod attempt please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@NULL>
Message-ID: <78s7cthbi1@dns.ktb.net>
Source record: 129376

> RS sells two tantalum capacitors:
> #1 - 1.0uF 35WVDC
> #2 - 10uF 16WVDC
> Which one is preferred?
What value (uF) is called for?

> >My directions say next " "Break-apart" pinline plugs/sockets are available from
> >Mouser, DigiKey, and Hosfelt." :
These are US outlets. I don't think RS carries these.

> plug/socket I will need. (I will be hooking to an Optolinx if it
> matters - it says it uses 3.5 mm mono jacks) so that means a 1/8"
> plug? I have a smaller adaper that fits on one of the 3.5mm jacks if
> that helps in choosing the jack to buy.
Use 2-conductor 3.5mm for the optolinx side.
The scanner side of the cable is up to you. Use any plug and jack that
is cheap and looks good to you. Just be sure that the cable is wired
correctly. Shield to shield on both sides.

> Big question here, I found the IC and see Pin 9 BUT I am told to look
> for " MC-3361 - IC-2 - pin 9 (TP5)". Are pin 9 and TP5 two
> different items? I see pin 9 easily but beside it is a little leg or
> pin sticking up with TP5 written beside it. It would obviously be
> easier for me to solder to that pin/leg than trying to get to the pin
> 9 on the IC. Can I use that pin/leg that is labeled TP5 or do I have
> to solder to the actual pin 9 on IC?
Use TP5. It is easier and they are both connected.

> Ummm, which leg is negative on the capacitor? :) the one with the
> dash near it? My distance will be ~3 inches so what is the RS #/name
> for single unshielded hookup wire?
It will have a dash by the pin or an arrow and dash.

> >5. Connect the shield of the cable at this end to a nearby PCB ground
> > trace or spot.
> I have NO idea what a PCB ground trace or spot is.
I don't have the schematic but any metal hardware (screws, metal plates)
should work. Someone else could pinpoint something closer.

3679. Re: Discriminator question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: alf sauve <alf.sauve@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <78s8t0$oq4$1@samsara0.mindspring.com>
Source record: 129377

The "u" in uF is really the Greek symbol "mu" and is used as a prefix for "micro" meaning one millionth. The letter "MFD are also used to indicate one millionth Farad. So they should be the same.

The discriminator output is very low and it won't drive headphones. You will have to feed this to an amplifier before it would be a useful signal. A very sensitive ac voltmeter should be able to measure the voltage out your tap. It will be high when there is NO signal and should drop when there is a signal present.

Just FYI. Some capacitors are sold in whole Farad units. These are really LARGE capacitors and are used in power smoothing applications such as car stereo systems. The other prefix used in capacitors is a lower case "p" (not Greek rho) and it stands for "pico" meaning one millionth of one millionth (10 raised to the minus 12). So those capacitor would be designated pFD.

Alf

feilerm@iea.no-junk.com wrote in message <36B1256C.D09AC86D@iea.com>...
>I am attempting to install a discriminator tap into my AR-1000 scanner.
>According to Bill Cheeks FAQ I should:
>Connect the (+) leg of a 2.2-uF to 10-uF tantalum capacitor to the
>Discriminator output Pin. (Capacitor should be tantalum, but can vary in
>value from 1.0-uF to 10-uF at a voltage rating of 16-35 vdc.)
>When I tried to buy this capacitor from Radio Shack they sold me a 10
>MFD tantalum capacitor, (16WVDC maximum), part # 272-1436A and told me
>it was the same thing. Is this the same thing? Are "uF" and "MFD" the
>same thing? and finally, what is the purpose of this capacitor and why
>can't I just tap straight to the discriminator output pin?
>
>PS: I did hook it up using the aforementioned RS capacitor but it
>doesn't seem to be working, How would I test it? Should I hear audio
>using headphones?
>
>
>
>--
>Remove "no-junk" from e-mail address to respond.
>
>

3680. New Website...Low prices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Backhoe <backhoe@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <01be4b28$03360700$31a78ecf@scott-brown>
Source record: 129378

Come check out this new website. Scanners, cbs, meters, antennas....ect.Low Prices.Online ordering.http://tcelectronics.locallink.net

3681. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Len <email.address@bottom.com>
Message-ID: <36B1C2A4.AFB69108@bottom.com>
Source record: 129379
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
>
> Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net> wrote:
>
> >Get a 2.4 ghz phone instead. You get at least 3 times the range on it. I can
> >go over 300 feet on my panasonic
>
> Nonsense. I get far more than 300 feet on a 900 MHz Sanyo Spread
> Spectrum.

Don't forget that the range varies considerably based on conditions.
Big differences between city and country range. Line of site
obstacles will cut down range a lot.

On a smaller town city block lines with houses I get about 400-500 ft
range on my Toshiba (rebranded VTech) digital (not DSS) phone.
I once tested a Escort DSS phone and it only went about 100 ft
further.

> >Robert wrote:
> >
> >> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
> >> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
> >> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
>
> I have never run down the battery on my Sanyo. It should run for
> several days if just on standby. I've talked on it for two hours
> straight with no problem. I believe the power is adjusted to
> compensate for distance from the base unit, so talk life will vary in
> that case.

I can keep my Toshiba (rebranded VTech) off the base and in use for
up to 5 days before the battery drains. I consider that really good
battery performance.

--
Len
Please reply to newsgroup so everyone may benefit.
Anti-spam notice: Send any email to: q42@iname.com

3682. Re: modifing a scanner to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990129093725.09494.00003815@ng156.aol.com>
Source record: 129380

Mike,

that sort of stuff is available all the time on rec.radio.swap

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA

3683. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@wans.net
Message-ID: <MPG.111b77a7da34a6389897d5@news.wans.net>
Source record: 129381

I got the first unit that Digital Systems in St. Louis acquired back in early December. Yes I informed both WinRadio's technical and customer service departments as well as Digital Systems about my disappointment. WinRadio even emailed me several times and reinforced the fact that they not only didn't think I would be happy about the HF performance, but flat out don't recommend it for that purpose. They openly admitted that below 30mhz, it is not much to talk about. Pretty much the same was said by the folks at Digital Systems. The crop of "WideBand PC Receivers" out there are simply way too compromised below 30mhz and I couldn't recommend any. The only exception to the rule (and quite an exception indeed) is another unit I tried and actually kept. The TenTec RX-320 is an amazing performer and will outperform any and all receivers below the level of the Drake R8B ($1200.00). With it's numerous filters and bandwidths as well as superior sensitivity and utter lack of PC noise, it is by far the very best thing out there for HF listening at this time. For only $295.00 it is a no brainer and a best buy by far. Check out their web site at www.tentec.com.

3684. FA: Sony SC1-PC hand held scanner at ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990129100109.14090.00000835@ng17.aol.com>
Source record: 129382

See the picture of this and my other scanners at ebay. Thsi item # is 60454719.
This is Sony's current top of the line model, and comes with the CD PC computer programming software which has some 3 million frequencies searchable by City or State, computer cable, and everything else as it came from Sony.

Don't forget to check out my other items at Ebay. Thanks for stopping by!

3685. FA: Pro-2035 MIB + "GRE" 800 converter at ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990129095749.14090.00000834@ng17.aol.com>
Source record: 129383

Please see the picture at item # 60315945. Its mint and complete in the box, and comes with the 800 converter. Bids are close to my low reserve price for the entire package. Don't pass up the opportunity to pick up this nice 1000 channel scanner with full 800 coverage.

3686. TRADE: PRO-43 & Cash ----> Yupiteru MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: tony@bright.net
Message-ID: <78sjamfka1@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 129384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

I have a Realistic PRO-43 with full 800 MHz coverage available for trade. The PRO-43 is in "like-new" condition, and I will include a set of NiCad batteries and a Realistic lapel speaker in any trade deal. I would be interested in swapping the PRO-43 and cash for a Yupiteru MVT-7100 in like condition.

Interested? Please reply via e-mail.

Thanks & 73,

Tony N8SNC

3687. Re: cloning astro digital radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Varine <Witch**Dr@usa.noobulkemail.net>
Message-ID: <36B1D5A3.268@usa.noobulkemail.net>
Source record: 129385

Talkyal wrote:
> It will be up to you to secretly
> find the software (which I don't condone). I would rather see the production
> of a legal Astro Digital Scanner by UNIDEN, with support for it from the MSP,
> themselves.

I look at it this way, the taxpayers paid dearly for the system, they
should have a right to listen to it. Find the software anyway you can.
Who cares if you get it from someone other than Motorola. It's not like
you making and selling competing radios.

3688. Re: getting blasted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B3850E.1F57612A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129136

khaas wrote:

> I recently installed a discone antenna in my attic and placed an wide-band
> 10-17dB amplifier at the front end. I ran about 25 feet of shielded 50 ohm
> coax through my wall and into my bedroom. Upon listening I noticed that I
> was picking up some background noise through a wide frequency range. My
> reception was terrible anywhere from 118 to 300 MHz. I turned down my
> squelch and listened for a while and picked up the call-sign of the
> transmitting station. I then went to the FCC web page and found out that
> this transmitter is a 6kW station located about 1.5 miles from my house and
> transmitting at 95.3 MHz. How do I solve this problem? My first thought
> was to remove the amplifier at the front end, but a lot of the freq's I
> listen to are 800 MHz and would be seriously attenuated by running them
> through 25 feet of coax. Any other recommendations?
>
> Thanks,
> Kevin

Try an FM trap first, then you might have to try a different pre-amp with a cutoff below 108 MHz or use a variable gain amp, or maybe even a different scanner that doesn't get clobbered by intermod. What scanner are you using? Is it CTCSS capable? In lieu of the variable gain amp, you could also try a variable attenuator. I'm sure other folks will have more ideas.

Good luck,
Jon

3689. FS:BC-12 Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: swldd@proaxis.com
Message-ID: <36B383B0.56EB@proaxis.com>
Source record: 129137

I have an extra Bearcat BC-12 for sale. If you are interested
e-mail me direct and maybe we can deal. Tnx---Doug

3690. direction of the signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Forlano <Richard.Forlano@DOL.NET>
Message-ID: <36B38778.3E65@DOL.NET>
Source record: 129138

Is it possible to make a small antenna with which I can determine from what direction a transmission is coming? I would like it for about 46mHz. Dick

3691. Re: Info on antennas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <36b3b147.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 129139

.>Anyone know of a good website that has scanner ant. info?
>I want to put up a decent ant for my handheld 235xlt when im home...
>Had a tech at a ham store tell me to use a discone , someone else told me
to
>stay away from them , so id like to read up on the best choice overall...

I'd say it depends on what you plan on listening to. If you're going to only be scanning a certain band, then a ground plane or vertical antenna cut (tuned) for that band would be the obvious choice. If you plan on scanning several bands, then a discone would be better suited for the job. Discones are very broadbanded (25-1300mhz) and are good performers for multi-band scanners. I've used both and had acceptable results.

3692. FAQ for 4-Level FSK Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@cts.com
Message-ID: <36b38623.140186750@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 129140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
Jan 30, 1999 4LFSK.FAQ 01/30/1999

This article/file is for the benefit of all.

(c) 1999 (All Rights Reserved) by:
Bill Cheek and -COMMtronics Engineering-

This file is for personal use only and may NOT be placed on
a CD-ROM nor any other media that conveys, stores, or
transports for any monetary cost including shipping &
handling, without expressed permission of the author. This
file can only be given away, absolutely free of charge, no
strings attached. If given away, it MUST be conveyed intact,
word-for-word with no editing, additions or deletions,
except as may be authorized in writing by the author.

-Bill Cheek-
Author

NOTE: This Frequently-Asked-Questions (FAQ) file or article
is one of a series of FAQs that I regularly publish.
The complete list of FAQs is given below. If this one
doesn't answer your questions, try one or more of the
following additional FAQs:

1. Scanner Modifications FAQ: SCANMODS.FAQ
2. Scanner Data Decoder FAQ: SCANDATA.FAQ
3. 4-Level FSK Decoder FAQ: 4LFSK.FAQ <--- This FAQ
4. Scanner Performance FAQ: SCANPERF.FAQ
5. Scanner S-Meter FAQ: SCANSMTR.FAQ
6. Scanner Radios FAQ: SCANRADS.FAQ
7. Administrative FAQ: ADMIN.FAQ

You can grab the latest of any of my FAQs directly from my
Web site as follows:

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek/faqs.htm

================================================
Bill Cheek's 4-Level FSK Data Decoder Interface
Frequently Asked Questions File
================================================

Contents
=========
```

01: What do I have to do to get into 4-Level FSK decoding?
02: How do I go about getting into 4LFSK on my own?
03: What help is available for getting into 4LFSK decoding?

Questions and Answers

==========
01: What do I have to do to get into 4-Level FSK decoding?

The very first step is to install and test the NFM Discriminator tap or "Baseband Audio" Mod in your scanner using a 2-Level FSK Data Decoder Interface. This is a two-part process, fairly elementary, that is fully described in another of my FAQs called SCANDATA.FAQ, freely available at:

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek/scandata.txt

So to answer your question specifically:

A. Install a "baseband audio tap" in your scanner, and
B. Build the simple 2-Level FSK Data Decoder Interface
C. Test it with a freeware program called TRUNKER, now in version 3.7 or so.

After you've completed those first three steps, then:

D. Decide whether you want to have a go at the 4LFSKDDI completely on your own, or if you need varying degrees of outside assistance.

==========
02: How do I go about getting into 4LFSK on my own?

Cool! That's the spirit! Except this FAQ ain't the place. There's not a lot to decoding 4LFSK signals, but you do have to have the right hardware and software.

The software is a "no-brainer" for the most part, but you do have to build the 4LFSK Data Decoder Interface. This puppy is just a little too "hairy" to put into a simple ASCII drawing like I did for the 2LFSKDDI in my SCANDATA.FAQ file.

So, you have to launch the adventure on your own, but I can help you get started. Fire up your Web browser and check out the following sites:

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/6063/

http://www.qsl.net/pa3eik/4lev_fsk.htm

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/4039/

http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/9339/

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/4levelm.gif

Each of the above sites supports 4LFSK to some extent, be it hardware, software, or both. If you are going to go it alone, on your own, then exit here and start researching those support sites.

==========
03: What help is available for getting into 4LFSK decoding?

First, no matter what, you should investigate the Web sites given in Q&A #2 above. The information at those sites is helpful to some extent. If you are technically inclined, those sites may be all you need or want in terms of help.

If you are not technically inclined, or if those Web sites are so much "Greek" to you, leaving you with a feeling of helplessness. Relax.....

If you aren't comfortable taking on a 4-8 hour technical project on your own, or if you're a working stiff with spare time about as plentiful as gold, then maybe I can help to some extent.

In fact, I can help in several ways, each designed for a specialized budget of time and resources.

A. I developed a detailed high-resolution laser printed Instruction Set that has 8-pages of text, tables, charts, drawings, references, parts lists and photos to guide the casual (or busy) hobbyist to success at decoding 4LFSK signals.

If all you need is an A-to-Z guide that dispenses with technical jargon and just efficiently guides you from nowhere to success, then this Instruction Set might be all you need. The price is right.

4LFSKDDI Instruction Set; you provide all materials and parts, and build the hardware and set up the freely downloadable software per my guidance.

Price: $5, ppd USA. $7, ppd, foreign
==========

B. I can also provide a Kit of essential parts along with the Instruction Set in (3-A) above. The Kit Parts List is given below along with other lists of probably needed or wanted materials that are not provided in the basic Kit.

4LFSKDDI Instruction Set plus Kit of essential parts; you provide other optional materials and parts; build the hardware and set up the freely downloadable software per my guidance.

Price: $20 + $8 S&H USA. $20 + $15 S&H, foreign

Parts List (Stuff Provided in Kit)

Qty Description
=== ======================
1 Instruction Set (See 03-A above)
1 LM324 Quad Op-amp
1 LM339 Quad Comparator
3 capacitor; 2.2-uf/25v; tantalum
1 capacitor; 0.01-uF/50v; monolithic
2 capacitor; 0.1uF/50v; monolithic
2 diode; 1N914/1N4148
1 resistor; 1-M
1 resistor; 2.2k
2 resistor; 15k
2 resistor; 100
2 resistor; 22k
2 resistor; 47k
3 resistor; 2.7k
1 RCA Jack
1 DB9 Jack (female)
1 Perfboard; 18 holes x 15 holes
2 Trimmer pot; 10k; mini
or, at our option, two 4.7k fixed resistors

Parts List (Probably needed or wanted; not supplied)

Qty Description
=== ======================
1 Enclosure (Project box)
3ft Shielded audio cable (RCA plug on one end
with plug on other end to match the
baseband audio jack on the scanner.)
6ft Shielded serial cable (DB9 female on one end
to mate to 4LFSKDDI output and a DB9 male
or DB25 male on the other end to mate to
the selected COMport on the PC.
2 IC socket; 14 pin DIP
? Small quan of 22-ga uninsulated solid copper wire
? Small quan of 22-ga stranded, insulated,
color-coded hookup wires.
? Small supply of "break apart" pinline sockets:
Digi-Key: p/n A208-ND (800) 344-4539
Hosfelt: p/n 21-274 (800) 524-6464
Mouser: p/n 151-5520/5530 (800) 346-6873
? LEDs, switches, etc.
1 Dual polarity power supply, +/-12.6 volts max
Can be as low as +/-5v, but 9 to 12v ideal.
Can be as simple as two 9v batteries or two
9v wall-warts wired as follows:

```
o----------> +9v on 4LFSKDDI Board
+ |
-----
--- 9v battery or
----- DC adapter
---
-----
---
_ |
o----------> Ground on 4LFSKDDI Board
+ |
-----
--- 9v battery or
----- DC adapter
---
-----
---
_ |
o----------> -9v on 4LFSKDDI Board
```

OTHER NECESSITIES (Not provided)

Qty	Description
1	PC (Pentium 133+) (486 computers, maybe)
1	Scanner with 800-960 MHz (cellular not required); (must have NFM baseband audio mod)
?	Soldering equipment and basic electronic tools

==========

C. I am working on ways to provide Assembled and Tested 4LFSKDDI boards, including enclosures, power supplies and basically everything in a package.

For now, all I can supply are prototype Assembled and Tested 4LFSKDDI boards with RCA and DB9 jacks attached....essentially ready for you to install in an enclosure of choice and connect the +/- power supply of choice.

Price: $100 + $8 S&H USA. $100 + $? S&H, foreign

NOTE: This price includes only the Assembled/Tested 4LFSKDDI board and jacks, as described above, as well as the Instruction Set. Power supply and enclosure not included.

==========

D. Assembled/Tested 4LFSKDDI built into a suitable enclosure, with Instruction Set. You provide the external +/- power supply.

Price: TBA (Not available yet) (or inquire for custom
pricing)

E. Assembled/Tested 4LFSKDDI built into a suitable
enclosure, with Instruction Set and power supply

Price: TBA (Not available yet) (or inquire for custom
pricing)

OTHER INFORMATION
=================

TO ORDER: Use e-mail, fax, or postal mail per the
information in my signature box below.
MC/VISA or M.O. preferred. ($10 minimum
credit card order) Personal and company
checks okay, but shipment delayed until bank
clearance. No COD's and no shipments to PO
Boxes or mail drops. Alaska, Hawaii and
foreign shipping extra.

TERMS: All sales are final; no returns-no refunds.
No warranty on Kits.

==
That's it for now. I will keep this FAQ updated. If you
see things that need to be added to it, by all means, let me
know. If this FAQ fails to address your question(s), then
hit me with 'em, but be specific. And be detailed with
symptoms and observations if you need tech support on mods
or troubleshooting and diagnosis. Use e-mail: bcheek@cts.com

Copyrighted (c) 1999 (All rights reserved) Revised 01/30/99

-- --
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \ /
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 \ /
Voice: 619-578-9247 (1:30-5:30pm, PST, weekdays \/
Fax: 619-578-9247 (any time) /\
E-mail: bcheek@cts.com / \
ftp://ftp.cts.com/pub/bcheek / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- --

========================END OF FILE============================

3693. Re: LICK ME WHERE I PEE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbrambo@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <79043b$i4n$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129141

In article <78voa3$8m8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>
> cbrambo@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> gibberish snipped.
>
> Do you think that Radio Inc, Global Internet, & the Dejanews management
> would be very happy with your activities here?

Mr. Pot, meet Mr. Kettle.

There are 1814 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net:
(numbers may be slightly skewed by cross-postings)

Number of Messages Forum

1394 rec.radio.scanner
152 alt.radio.scanner
116 rec.radio.amateur.misc
39 rec.radio.cb
31 news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
21 rec.radio.amateur.policy
16 rec.radio.swap
8 rec.radio.amateur.equipment
7 alt.fan.john.mackey
5 alt.fan.letterman
4 pdx.forsale
3 news.test_messages
1 alt.comp.acad-freedom.talk
1 alt.engineering.electrical
1 alt.flame
1 alt.ham-radio.flame
1 alt.tv.x-files
1 rec.radio.scanne
1 rec.radio.shortwave

1814 MESSAGES? God John GET A LIFE!

"WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
"I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3694. Re: Lets hear some more John Mackey, Blake Bowers, Peter Boe and Phil Smalley crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbrambo@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <79049ciea1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129142

In article <36bd59f1.1873310@news.vic.ozland.net.au>,
dunc@worldmailer.com (Rob) wrote:
> On Fri, 29 Jan 1999 22:51:59 GMT, cbrambo@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> >And here I thought this was a SCANNER newsgroup. I didnt know it was the
> >MackeySmalleyBowersBoe fan club online.
> >
> >"WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
> > "I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"
> >
> >-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> >http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
> Try "alt.fan.john.mackey"

I just ran a check on this guy. 1814 MESSAGES! He really needs to GET A LIFE!

"WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
"I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3695. Re: John's drivel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbrambo@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7904ce$ig6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129143

In article <36b35741.1185311@news.vic.ozland.net.au>,
dunc@worldmailer.com (Rob) wrote:

> I think you should be at "alt.fan.john.mackey"

There are 1814 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net:
(numbers may be slightly skewed by cross-postings)

Number of Messages Forum

1394 rec.radio.scanner
152 alt.radio.scanner
116 rec.radio.amateur.misc
39 rec.radio.cb
31 news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
21 rec.radio.amateur.policy
16 rec.radio.swap
8 rec.radio.amateur.equipment
7 alt.fan.john.mackey
5 alt.fan.letterman
4 pdx.forsale
3 news.test_messages
1 alt.comp.acad-freedom.talk
1 alt.engineering.electrical
1 alt.flame
1 alt.ham-radio.flame
1 alt.tv.x-files
1 rec.radio.scanne
1 rec.radio.shortwave

"WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
"I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3696. Re: THE CHEEK-A-LIKE WARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbrambo@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7904h7iic1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129144

In article <78vg8f$m9a@news.hsonline.net>,
"Charlie Dwenger" <cdwenger@hsonline.net> wrote:
> Alfred,
> First, I`m not on Bill Cheek`s payroll.
> Second, I don`t like the "niose" Mackey made here.
> Third, Mackey Mackey couldn`t find his own ass with both hands, let
> alone get anyone kicked off here.

There are 1814 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net:
(numbers may be slightly skewed by cross-postings)

Number of Messages Forum

1394 rec.radio.scanner
152 alt.radio.scanner
116 rec.radio.amateur.misc
39 rec.radio.cb
31 news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
21 rec.radio.amateur.policy
16 rec.radio.swap
8 rec.radio.amateur.equipment
7 alt.fan.john.mackey
5 alt.fan.letterman
4 pdx.forsale
3 news.test_messages
1 alt.comp.acad-freedom.talk
1 alt.engineering.electrical
1 alt.flame
1 alt.ham-radio.flame
1 alt.tv.x-files
1 rec.radio.scanne
1 rec.radio.shortwave

"WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
"I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3697. FS: KENWOOD TH78a - FULLY LOADED & MODDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jg <jgom@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <36B3A157.80E4A414@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 129145

KENWOOD TH78A Dual Band Transciever.
Extended RX & TX (full 800).

Has optional Expanded Memory Board installed for extra channel capacity.

Unit is 4 yrs old - Shows some signs of wear but no nicks, major scratches, damage or dents, all buttons perfect, no worn DTMF pads or keys..Display is perfect

Included in this deal along with radio:

Owners manual
Standard stock antenna
Kenwood soft case & carry strap
Metal belt clip
Standard PB-13 NiCad Battery
Kenwood Alkaline battery pack (allows you to use power from 6 AA's)
Kenwood DC cig lighter adapter
Kenwood fused DC power cord for hard-wiring to car battery or DC pwr supply.
Kenwood SMC-32 mini Spkr Mic w/ earphone jack (very cool).
Diamond RH77CA whip antenna (very good for extra RX).
Commercial 450MHZ band stubby antenna w/BNC
Mag Mount mobile Antenna base for use in vehicle.

Asking $325 for ALL - s/h extra.

Located in Bay Area California (Fremont) , local cash & carry preferred.

(you're welcome to come by & test it/try it out first).

Prepay certified funds only - no COD / no trades.

Net refs avail.

This is an excellent radio, my first REAL ham radio I ever bought, I never got into hamming much so it has sat dormant most of the time or in my glove box in its case.
Selling because I don't use it at all (& I now have a VX-1 which hardly use as well).
Paid alot more for all the above than the asking price.
Bought everything from HRO.

Inquire to: jgom@bigfoot.com

3698. Pro-2005-Reset channels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Augelli <someplace@anywhere.com>
Message-ID: <7908s5dka1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129146
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know of a fast and easy way to reset all the frequencies in the RS Pro 2005?
Keyboard combo maybe?
(besides unplugging the scanner and removing the 9V Battery)

Doug

3699. Re Border Patrol Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tork <tork@cts.com>
Message-ID: <917745985.450238@wagasa.cts.com>
Source record: 129147

Try These:

163.6250, 163.7750, 163.6500, 165.9000, 163.6750, 163.7250, 163.0500,
163.3750, 163.7000

Happy scanning
--
David Torkelson
KF6DGN
33.205 N 117.353 W
Grid Square DM13
Oceanside, Ca. United States

3700. KS/MO Column 0299

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <andyb@qni.com>
Message-ID: <36B3B168.C4198AFA@qni.com>
Source record: 129148

Part 1 of 4
KS/MO Column February 1999

First things first: Thanks to Rodger (Big Rog, Big Mac, etc. Those of you that know him understand the nicknames.) for starting up the new KC-Scanning mailing list. It is a system where you "subscribe" to the list, and every message you send gets forwarded to each member on the list. The name KC-Scanning sums up what it is all about, which is scanning in the Kansas City Metro area. With about one month of activity, there has already been a wealth of information posted. If you haven't subscribed yet, you can do so by going to:
http://www.onelist.com
Type "KC-Scanning" in the search window and the rest is self-explanatory. Do it now.
Nextï¿½did you notice a different title for our column? Anyone object to changing it to the KS/MO column? More MO folks are getting involved, and perhaps including MO in the name might draw more attention from the Show Me folks.
With all the formalities out of the way, let's see what is in store for February.

1. KHP dispatch in Chanute fading away.
2. Leavenworth County/City radio numbers.
3. You wanted Osage County stuffï¿½you got Osage County stuff!
4. Lawrence Trunking?
5. 800 MHz mutual aid in Kansas
6. KS 800 MHz towers and coordinates
7. Details on Lone Jack MO area frequencies
8. Liberty MO frequency setup

---

1. Mike writes to notify us of the demise of a dispatch shift for KHP. "The Kansas Highway Patrol Div 4 office in Chanute has terminated dispatching on the midnight shift. I believe that ran from 12 midnight to 8 am. Dispatchers still work the day and evening shift, unknown for how long."
Mike, have you listened much to the new midnight dispatchers out of Salina? I'm curious how they sound. Do they appear to know where the heck their troopers are, or do they seem "blind" to the area?
Thanks, Mike. Keep us posted.

---

2. Here is what Bob has amassed about his local units in the Leavenworth vicinity.
"Of the Sheriff's units, individual officers seem to have three digit

numbers in the L-4xx series. Then the "beat" numbers seem to be:
L-30 Patrol, north end of the county.
L-40 Patrol, middle part of the county.
L-50 Patrol, south end (incl. Tonganoxie, Linwood) of the county.
K-xxx State units on LV Co. system.
5xx Lansing PD units.
6xx Tonganoxie city PD and fire units.
7xx Bashor PD units.
12xx KWAP (state) units.
14xx EOC units including weather spotters.
18xx Tonganoxie township fire units.
21xx Reno township fire units.
91xx EMS units, county ambulances."
"I'm sure that someone more experienced with monitoring Leavenworth
County systems than I am can improve on this."
Thanks, Bob. (I think we will be hard pressed to find someone with more
scanning experience than Bob. Frequencies and related info have the
same chance getting past Bob as a fly passing by a hungry frog.
ZAP!ï¿½and it is snagged before it knows what happened to it.) For
frequencies for Leavenworth, go to Dr. Sm0ke's site and check out the
November 1998 edition of the KS Column that is archived there.
(http://www.crcltd.com/sm0ke/scanner/)

---
3. Mark is a volunteer firefighter/scanner buff from the Osage County
area. On a personal note, I "tip my hat" to all volunteer
firefighters. When I worked for Johnson County fire/ems dispatch, the
old timers there (Hi George and Dwight) told me that a bunch of
volunteers could put out a fire that lots of paid firefighters wouldn't
try to extinguish. For the paids, it is a jobï¿½for the volunteers it is
an adventure. No one wants to risk life and limb on the job, but they
will gladly do so to have fun. Go figure! Anyway, there would be lots
of houses that would have burned to the ground if it weren't for
volunteers.
On with the show. Mark says, "Osage County is actually really
unorganized as far as communications are concerned. There is really
only the one UHF system (460.150 & 465.150) for all law enforcement and
other services in the county. That is changing though this spring with
the addition of two more county UHF channels (one for law enforcement
and one for fire departments). The frequency they use currently is
supposed to become a mutual aid and car to car channel."
"Supposedly the 911 center (at the Sheriff Office in Lyndon) is also
adding some sort of 800 MHz capability to talk on the new state system.
Radio signals are weak at times, I was told that most of the repeaters
here are 25 and 40 watt systems."
Sheriff Lowband (very little use) 39.48 /39.58 / 39.70
Osage County Paging (normally data) 154.265
Osage County UHF Channel 460.150/465.150 TPL 151.4
American Medical Response Osage Disp 462.975/467.975 TPL 88.5
Osage City Police & Fire Repeater 460.550/465.550 TPL 103.5

Lyndon Fire Department Repeater 460.660/465.660 TPL 186.2
Coffey County Law Repeaters 453.300/458.300 TPL 146.2
Coffey County Fire Repeaters 453.675/458.675 TPL 123.0
Lyon County Sheriff 453.400/458.400 ??????
Franklin County EMS / Ottawa Fire 460.525/465.525 TPL 156.7
Reading Fire Department Repeater 453.350/458.350 TPL 67.0
Franklin County Emergency Preparedness 453.250/458.250 TPL 94.8
Osage County Fireground (Simplex) 453.150/ ??????
City of Scranton 460.425/465.425 TPL 88.5
Harveyville Fire Department 460.575/465.575 ??????
City of Lebo (Simplex) 460.225
Coffey County EMS 462.950/467.950 TPL 131.8
City of Overbrook 453.875/458.875 ??????
"I talked with the radio vendor on the new Osage County system and he
gave me the new freqs they just received from the FCC. The system won't
be fully online until late March or early April but they will be
installing towers and repeaters soon to work the bugs out."
County-wide Fire/Rescue Disp 453.425/458.425
County-wide Law Enforcement Disp 453.950/458.950
No CTCSS assigned as of yet....
"The county recently reorganized all the radio numbers."
Carbondale Police and Fire 100-199
Osage City Police and Fire 200-299
Melvern Police and Fire 300-399
Overbrook Police and Fire 400-499
Lyndon Police and Fire 500-599
Burlingame Police and Fire 600-699
Quenemo Fire 700-799
Scranton Police and Fire 800-899
County Sheriff 900
Undersheriff 901
Others thru 999
"Police Chiefs are 110, 220, 330, 440 and so on.
Fire Chiefs are 101, 201, 301, 401 and so on.
City base stations are 100,200,300,400 and so on. (except at 900 as
that is the Sheriff's own number)"

---
4. In an open and obvious example of theft, I stole this next bit from
Rodger's KC-Scanning list. It came from one of the Bobs.
"The new "Police Call" directory lists a 5 freq. State of Kansas
trunking system for Lawrence."
866.8125
867.0875
867.8000
868.0750
868.9875
"The last frequency is interesting for two reasons. (1) I had read on
one of the lists that 868.9875 was to be used nationwide as a fire dept.
intersystem channel. Apparently that is not so. (2) Many scanners will

not cover 868.9875. Uniden, in its infinite wisdom, stops coverage on
several of its radios with 868.9375. How about the "trunk-trackers"?
Do they cover all the way to the top of the band?" (I checked my BC235,
and it took 868.9875ï¿½Andy.)
"I expect this system is either for KU usage or is the first stage of
extending the KDOT/KHP's 800 system to trunking. I've heard nothing so
far but haven't been listening there long."
Bill B. added this to the conversation, which should help answer more
questions:
"Your are correct. However the county is buying the system. It will
be used by the City, County, Public works, PD, and KU Campus (as well as
the KHP). Following that will be a remodeling of the dispatch center
with new dispatch consoles. The fixed end equipment will be installed
near the north end of the KU campus. They are expected to sign a
contract this week. The system will be a 5-channel analog site with
future T1 links to the dispatch consoles."
Thanks, guys.

---
5. Another theft hereï¿½from KC-Scanning again. Sorry Rodger!
Don provided the following list of known Kansas State licenses for the
five 800 MHz channels assigned as nationwide mutual aid channels.
"The state 800 plan has the mutual aid calling channel 866.0125
available to all. The tactical channels are assigned by troop:"
866.5125 Troops A,B,F (KC/Topeka and Wichita)
867.0125 Troops C,E (Salina, Garden City)
867.5125 Troops D,H (Norton, Chanute)
868.0125 Unassigned
Bob offered this to further explain their use.
"I think individual jurisdictions normally license any transmitters they
intend to use on these 'tac' and 'calling' freqs. Leavenworth County
licenses 60 mobile units on the *input* freqs. only. They obviously
intend to rely on repeaters in Wyandotte and/or Johnson Co. as they
haven't licensed any of the outputs for repeaters of their own."
"The two lowest frequencies, 866.0125 and 866.5125, are active in the
Kansas City area and occasional transmissions are heard there.
Sometimes these have been surveillances and sometimes Overland Park (and
Johnson Countyï¿½Andy) seems to use these freqs. when their system
crashes. Keep 'em plugged in. PL (CTCSS) tone of 156.7 Hz"
Dwight chimed in with this tidbit.
"The repeaters for these two NPSPAC channels (866.0125 and 866.5125)
were at the tower at our center (ECCï¿½Fire/EMS dispatch for JOCOï¿½Andy) in
Mission for awhile. One of them is still here and the other has been
moved to Olathe where it can serve as a backup to the Johnson County
trunked system. The calling channel repeater is still on the tower in
Mission. Johnson County put these two repeaters up for use by whoever
needs them. Our dispatchers are instructed to monitor these channels
all the time - but they almost never talk."
"I carry my Motorola trunked portable when I travel around the
country. It's surprising to me where I find NPSPAC repeaters and where

I don't. San Diego has them, Washington DC doesn't, etc."
Dale mentioned that "Also have noticed quite a increase on the
nationwide law freq of 155.475 out here in western KS." Maybe not
everyone is going to be relying on 800 MHz.

---
6. Don went nuts on us and got all this information on Kansas State 800
MHz sites. He personally drove to each site, and using a GPS system,
plotted the exact coordinates for each one. Then, with a frequency
counter, he sat at each site until all frequencies were logged. ï¿½Would
you believe he actually got the information from the FCC files?
Regardless, he did lots of work crunching all these numbers. He
deserves our thanks.
The first column has a color code. Each color has a group of
frequencies assigned to it. The second and third columns are cities and
counties. The next two are longitude and latitude. Last is an address
or similar location of the tower. The original list from Don had the
elevation and antenna height, but I omitted them so the thing would fit
in the width of the column.
The long. and lat. columns are neat, because there are several web
sites and programs that allow you to enter the coordinates, and you are
then beamed directly to the point on the map.
There is a particularly interesting site that may intrigue many of
you. It is: http://www.terraserver.microsoft.com/. It gives you black
and white satellite photos of many places on earth. You can search by
address or lat. and long. Most of the photos were taken in the early
1990s, so I doubt you'd find any pictures of state towers, but the land
is still there. You might try entering the coordinates Don provided and
see what you get. I found my house, and luckily it wasn't taken while I
was outside taking a leak! The pictures don't have that much detail,
anyway, but I'll bet you could see a person if it was taken at the right
time and you knew what to look for. The main page is
http://www.terraserver.microsoft.com/
Check out this page for a picture of my house: (It is a long one, but
it is correct.)

http://www.terraserver.microsoft.com/GetTileByClick.asp?XId=7863&YId=3579&SrcId=1&ImgDate=
10/12/1991&ImgSize=0&DSize=0&W=225&H=150&ClickAt=?184,54

To the right of our house you can see my black Suburban parked at an
angle. See all the antennas on it? If you want to poke in coordinates
for the sites, go to this page:

http://terraserver.microsoft.com/terra_findaspot_coordinate.htm

Freq City Co. Long. Lat. Address
***** Altoona WL 373204N 0953929W 401 E 17th
Green Aulne MN 381627N 0970728W 4km W
Green Beloit MC 392056N 0980728W 12km S on k14
Red Blue Rapids MS 395244N 0963839W 6.4km N on US77, .26km E
Blue Bonner Springs WY 390555N 0945242W 244m E 14km S K7/KTA

Violet Buffalo WL 374206N 0953543W 8km E on K39 1km N
***** Chanute NO 373954N 0952734W 16th & Garfield
Yellow Clay Center CY 392139N 0970121W 8km E on US24
Brown Concordia CD 392545N 0974004W 13km S on US81
Brown Corning NM 394309N 0960203W 6km N on Hwy 63
Yellow Cottonwood FallsCS 381822N 0963525W 3km S, 5km W
Brown Dexter CW 371435N 0963949W 7.6km NNE
Red Dillon DK 384429N 0970851W 5km N
Gray Dwight MR 385024N 0963331W 3km E
Gray Ellsworth EW 385049N 0981120W 12km N on K14, 3km E
Blue Eskridge WB 385213N 0960806W 2km W on K4
Green Fellsburg ED 374906N 0991032W .8km N
***** Fort Scott BB 374900N 0944230W 2202 S Main
***** Garnett AN 381724N 0951503W US59/K31
Red Great Bend BT 382653N 0984609W 7.24km N on US281
Violet Haddam WS 394735N 0971626W 7km S on K22, 2km E
Yellow Huron AT 393821N 0952328W 3km W
Green Independence MG 371349N 0955025W 6km W US160/US75, 1km N
***** Independence MG 371335N 0954455W .8km W US160.US75
***** Iola AL 375618N 0952434W Hwy 169 @ N City Limits
Violet Lawrence DG 385758N 0952123W 4km W on US40, .81km S
Yellow Lyons RC 382204N 0980841W 4.6km NW on US56
Green Mayfield SU 371624N 0973354W .8kmN, 1.6km W
Brown McPherson MP 382747N 0973131W 11km N, 10km E
Red Montrose JW 394703N 0980709W Hwy 36/14
Gray Paola MI 383540N 0950005W 6km W, 2km N
Yellow Parker LN 381812N 0945735W .2km SE
Blue Partridge RN 375348N 0980541W 7.4km S
***** Pittsburg CF 372435N 0944359W K126 W City Limits
Brown Preston PR 374548N 0983418W .8km W
Brown Reading LY 383028N 0960535W 2km SE of K99/K170
Blue Rush Center RH 382617N 0991826W 2.4km S on US183
***** Salina SA 383729N 0973441W 2025 E Iron (Dispatch)
***** Sedan CQ 370717N 0961053W US166 S City Limits
Yellow Sedan CQ 371444N 0961531W 14km N on K99
Gray Severy GW 373904N 0961612W 2km W of K99/K96
Gray Sharon BA 372214N 0982408W 13km NNE
Green St. George PT 391545N 0962815W 7.2km N, 3.7km W
Orange Topeka SN 390358N 0954320W 220 Gage Blvd
***** Topeka SN 385746N 0955203W 5700 SW Valencia Rd
Gray Troy DP 394943N 0950415W 3km N
Red Virgil GW 375931N 0960358W 5km W, .2km S
Green Waverly CF 382236N 0953803W 2km S, 4km W
Brown West Mineral CK 371909N 0945519W 3km N
***** Wichita SG 374047N 0971118W I35 & US54
Red Wilmore CM 371715N 0991303W 4.8km S
Blue enia BB 375613N 0945954W 6km S, .8km W
Red Olathe JO 385152N 0944827W 1220 S. Enterprise
Blue Bennington OT 385837N 0973642W 6km S on US81, 2km W
Brown GL

Gray HM
Red MT
Violet SC
Red LE
Brown NS
Blue FI
Yellow HG
Violet FO
Green GY
Gray ME
Blue CA
Brown SW
Yellow GT
BU
DC
RA
SD
LG
GO
WA
GH
TR
EL
RS
OB
PL
SG
851.3625 Beloit MC Youth Center
851.8375 Atchison AT Youth Center
851.8375 Larned PN Correctional Facility
854.9875 Larned PN Correctional Facility
854.9625 Hutchinson RN KLETC
855.4875 Hutchinson RN KLETC
866.0625 Osawatomie MI Correctional Facility
866.225 Wichita SG WSU
868.9 KBI ALL Portable Repeater
855.9625 KDOT/KHP ALL Car to Car, CP repeater
Check out this list of frequencies by color:
BROWN 866.1250 STATEWIDE EMS
BROWN 867.2375 UNASSIGNED FUTURE
BROWN 867.6375 UNASSIGNED FUTURE
BROWN 868.5875 STATEWIDE KDOT
BROWN 868.9250 STATEWIDE KHP

RED 866.1000 STATEWIDE KDOT
RED 866.6875 STATEWIDE KHP
RED 867.1125 UNASSIGNED FUTURE
RED 867.4500 UNASSIGNED FUTURE
RED 867.9125 STATEWIDE EMS

YELLOW 866.1750 STATEWIDE KDOT
YELLOW 866.7125 STATEWIDE KHP
YELLOW 867.1375 UNASSIGNED FUTURE
YELLOW 867.4875 UNASSIGNED FUTURE
YELLOW 868.4250 STATEWIDE EMS

GREEN 867.2000 STATEWIDE KDOT
GREEN 867.6000 UNASSIGNED FUTURE
GREEN 867.9375 STATEWIDE KHP
GREEN 868.6500 STATEWIDE EMS
GREEN 868.9875 UNASSIGNED FUTURE

BLUE 866.3000 WOODSON,RUSSELL,MORRIS FUTURE
BLUE 867.1625 STATEWIDE EMS
BLUE 867.5500 UNASSIGNED FUTURE
BLUE 868.1750 STATEWIDE KDOT
BLUE 868.6750 STATEWIDE KHP

VIOLET 866.1500 STATEWIDE EMS
VIOLET 867.2625 UNASSIGNED FUTURE
VIOLET 867.6750 UNASSIGNED FUTURE
VIOLET 868.4000 STATEWIDE KDOT
VIOLET 868.8625 STATEWIDE KHP

GRAY 866.9625 UNASSIGNED KDOT
GRAY 867.3625 UNASSIGNED EMS
GRAY 867.7625 UNASSIGNED FUTURE
GRAY 868.6125 STATEWIDE KHP
GRAY 868.9500 UNASSIGNED FUTURE
Mark sent a note with an update for a couple of new towers. The
coordinates are close to those that Don found, but not exactly. I don't
know enough about cartography to know if the differences are great or
small. You guys tell me.
Rush County- N38 26 324 W99 18 510.
Great Bend- N 38 26 882 W 98 46 176
"In ref to KHP radio coverage in the Ottawa Area. There are no state
towers in Franklin County. Depending on location either the Waverly,
Lawrence, or Paola tower is used. In fact, downtown Ottawa is a clearly
documented 800 MHz dead zone in the state system (both portables and
mobiles.)"
Thanks, Mark.
Several versions of maps with this info are being floated around, and
I'm working on one myself. Soon we'll surely come up with a complete
one that will soon be ready for "publication."

---
7. Craig is familiar with the Jackson County MO vicinity, and provided
this for us all.
"The City of Lone Jack uses the Jackson county sheriff network and
radio system. Lone Jack officers are 700 series and the chief is 701
and then the trickle down theory for the rest. The sheriff is of course
on 155.79 and the cars are on 155.97."

"The Fire dept is volunteer and they work closely with other fire districts including Prairie Township, Central Jack(son county), Lotawana, and Lee's Summit. Cass county is involved too, but just when they are south of here, around Pleasant Hill. I have not been able to pin point the freqs used at this time but I know a lot of it was mutual aid."
"The city of Lone Jack annexed land west of the city proper and the city limit appears to be all the way west to the Buckner-Tarsney Road. (About two to three miles west) Now I tell you all this because if you go to the Lake and come down 50 Highway, watch your speed. They spend a lot of time patrolling it and we enjoy spending out of towners' money. The kids going to CMSU (Central MO State University) pass the information on to everyone else on campus about this speed trap area and they say it is one of the heaviest patrolled on 50 highway."
"The kc-scanning and kc-milcom list seem to be growing and freq lists are increasing in length all the time and much enjoyed. My problem is I enjoy watching them fly over because they are so low approaching Whiteman, that I forget to turn on my scanner, I'm busy running outside."
Good info, Craig. I'll watch the speedometer when I'm out that way!
I used to live in Omaha when Offutt AFB was the headquarters for SAC, and all the B-52 aircraft were stationed there. I would sit on the deck of my apartment when the fleet would come in and get a great close-up view of the bellies of the B-52s. It was an impressive sight. That was back in my formative scanning years when I was unaware that a person could monitor them. (There wasn't any such thing as a programmable scanner then, anyway.)
---
8. LIBERTY POLICE MOBILE RADIO FREQUENCIES

This, too, was swiped right from the KC-Scanning list. A fellow calling himself Argentium G. Tiger sent it in. I ain't proudï¿½if it will make the column look good, I'll do it.

Channel 1 Liberty Police 154.800 PL 162.2
Channel 2 Sheriff's Dept. 155.730 PL 162.2
Channel 3 Police Mutual Aid 155.475 PL 162.2
Channel 4 Local Govern. 155.085 PL 162.2
Channel 5 Point to Point 155.370 RCV ONLY
Channel 6 County Private 155.700 RCV ONLY
Channel 7 Claycomo PD 155.595 RCV ONLY
Channel 8 Liberty Fire 154.400 PL 162.2
Channel 9 Excel Springs 155.010 RCV ONLY
Channel 10 Weather 162.550 RCV ONLY
Channel 11 Jackson County 155.790 RCV ONLY
CHANNELS 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 8 ARE TRANSMIT AND RECEIVE, ALL OTHERS ARE RECEIVE ONLY.

I believe that is enough for this month. Maybe you get tired of hearing this, but I'll repeat it again. You guys seem to always come through

and we end up with a very impressive column each month. The other 48 states ought to be jealous! Thanks again.

Andy the Barber

3701. What's your Radio worth? New Ads

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sparkie <sparkie@xxx_pobox.com>
Message-ID: <790db2$8nv$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129149

Hello all,

Not sure what that radio you're buying or selling is worth? It might be
listed at The Virtual Hamfest - "Recent Sale Prices" at

http://www.vhamfest.com/prices.html

Also featuring:

Ads - Organized by Classification http://www.vhamfest.com/class.html
Separate FS and WTB pages
Direct clickable email links

Hamfest Calendar
VE Testing Calendar
Vendor Tables (Links)
Stolen Equipment List

Check back often - sales reported within hours if priced right!

Get email notices when the page is updated, or subscribe and get the ads
right in your mailbox.

73's

Steve K, WB8HNC/7
The Virtual Hamfest
http://www.vhamfest.com

3702. Re: Pro max Scanner antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36B3C126.51787D4E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129150
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FYI: I have found one of the best scanner antennas next to a Diamond Discone with Low Band VHF Whip on it is a MON-58 (Antenna Specialist Part #). If I were using a Base monitor, I would use a tuned antenna or a Discone with Low Band, But If I am mobile, I use MON-58.

Lee Rothman wrote:

> Try this one before you waste your money. Cut a 5 foot hunk of 16 or 18ga
> speaker wire. When using a telescopic antenna you can terminate the wire
> at one end with a large alligator clip and the for frequencies below
> 100mhz place the clip below the insulator on the antenna (like on the
> knurled part) or above 100mhz clip above the insulator. I have found this
> to boost the low vhf at least 50% and above 100mhz over that. Total cost
> maybe 2 bucks. The wire tends to do the best job when you just crimp the
> other end and hang it on as vertical a plane as possible, or even
> sometimes just moving it around until you achieve the best signal. Happy
> hunting.

3703. Re: Border Patrol Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36B3BF69.4B9B39A0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129151

Where do you live, there are many boarder patrol frequencies used, it depends where you are ?
For instance;
There are multiple areas Calexico, Chula "Wana" Vista, El Centro, Los Angeles, San Clamente, San Diego, Temecula offices.

Big Dog wrote:

> Anybody have a list of Border Patrol frequencies used in the So Cal area???
> I have yet to find them published.

3704. Re: getting blasted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36B3C2D0.99DCB7EE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129152

What I would do is buy a trap that is will pass everything but the FM Broadcast band, and place it directly after the Discone before that Amp. You can find them in any scanner magazine or sometimes at HRO. E-Mail if you have any other questions.

khaas wrote:

> I recently installed a discone antenna in my attic and placed an wide-band
> 10-17dB amplifier at the front end. I ran about 25 feet of shielded 50 ohm
> coax through my wall and into my bedroom. Upon listening I noticed that I
> was picking up some background noise through a wide frequency range. My
> reception was terrible anywhere from 118 to 300 MHz. I turned down my
> squelch and listened for a while and picked up the call-sign of the
> transmitting station. I then went to the FCC web page and found out that
> this transmitter is a 6kW station located about 1.5 miles from my house and
> transmitting at 95.3 MHz. How do I solve this problem? My first thought
> was to remove the amplifier at the front end, but a lot of the freq's I
> listen to are 800 MHz and would be seriously attenuated by running them
> through 25 feet of coax. Any other recommendations?
>
> Thanks,
> Kevin

3705. Re: LICK ME WHERE I PEE JOHN MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <36B3C1A7.5EB3A6B6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 129153

I'm not taking sides but please use e-mail people, there is a time and place for everything. Please don't be offended by this just a respectfull request.

cbrambo@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> John Mackey your a losser. Bill Cheeks was right. You are lower than pond
> scum. Hit the road and take Blake Bowers and Phil Smalley with you. Peter Boe
> too. You suck John Mackey
>
> "WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
> "I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3706. Re: FS:BC-12 Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmahar@ctol.net
Message-ID: <dmahar.26.0016CFD2@ctol.net>
Source record: 129154

In article <36B383B0.56EB@proaxis.com> swldd@proaxis.com writes:
>From: swldd@proaxis.com
>Subject: FS:BC-12 Bearcat
>Date: Sat, 30 Jan 1999 14:12:00 -0800

>I have an extra Bearcat BC-12 for sale. If you are interested
>e-mail me direct and maybe we can deal. Tnx---Doug

I'm looking for a basic scanner. What is a BC-12, does it have search,
manuals, and a power supply of some sort?

3707. Looking for an Optoelectronics R20 Interceptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: tornado@midusa.net
Message-ID: <36b5d840.6085303@news1.newscene.com>
Source record: 129155

I am trying to locate an Optoelectronics R-20 interceptor.
It is basicly a RF signal strength detector used to locate/listen to
AM radio broadcasts from various sources.

TIA for any information on sources.

--
tornado

3708. KYW3, WPVI6, WCAU10 - Philly Area Fequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Todd C. Jones" <TCJones@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <790q85$f7i@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 129156
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have the frequencies for the stations listed below?

KYW3
WPVI6
WCAU10

Or any others....

Any infor would be greatly appreciated!

3709. Re: Los Angeles Airport Police and Fire??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7911o5jmn1@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 129157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anon...i replied to imaketv@hotmail.com, but it came back
undeliverable...have a better address>

Anonomoose wrote in message <78vq7n$88t$1@news-1.news.gte.net>...
>Does anyone have the frequencies for the LAX Airport Police and Fire Depts.
>
>I appreciate your answer in advance.
>
>Thank you.
>
>Please e-mail to:
>
>imaketv@hotmail.com
>
>

3710. Re: who can buy unblocked in US ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Delta Com Systems <deltacom@bwn.net>
Message-ID: <36B39FAB.7500F74F@bwn.net>
Source record: 129158

You must be a government agency, not just an employee, there
is a list of approved agencies

parker wrote:
>
> who can buy unblocked scanners in the us ?
> what government employees fit the qualifications?

3711. ANYONE HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: lars <lars@westco.net>
Message-ID: <36B3024D.FCED9F23@westco.net>
Source record: 129159

Does anyone know how to make alterations to a scanner so it will pick up blocked frequencies such as 900mhz phones, cellular phones, etc, anything? Or of any publications that specialize in this endeavor?

3712. Maine scanner post is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dbbadge@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990130102614.13673.00001268@ng23.aol.com>
Source record: 129186

SCANME is name of new post- free to all

3713. Pro-2035 scanner & "GRE" 800 converter at Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kin Ho <bush143@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B3278F.7DDB@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

This item only has two more days left, and its getting closer and closer
to my low reserve price for the entire package. Please see the picture
of this and my other scanners a Ebay, item #60315945

3714. Re: Third-world scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: valerie65@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78vbseuhn1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129188

In article <19990129182643.11849.00001014@ng153.aol.com>,
talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal) wrote:
> >From: valerie65@my-dejanews.com
>
> > but I can find nothing for most of Asia, Africa and/or
> >Latin-America. Anyone with frequency information for these areas?
>
> Foreign Trunked Radio Systems can be found at this URL:
> http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/for_trs.html
>
> talkyal
>

COOL! Thank you! This is a good website....

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3715. Sony SC1-PC hand held scanner MIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kin Ho <bush143@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B3285F.28C1@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

See it at Ebay, item # 60454719. It comes complete in the box with computer CD programming software, computer cable, manual.....

3716. Wanted: ScanStar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: n1chu@home.com
Message-ID: <78vckl$v8n$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129190

Looking to buy a working copy of "ScanStar SE" or "ScanStar Plus" or "ScanStar Plus with Digital Audio". Please E-mail me at n1chu@home.com

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3717. Policescanner Site in Sweden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: FAM Gr&#65533;ndevik <ac.grandevik@svenljunga.mail.telia.com>
Message-ID: <36b32c1a.0@d2o35.telia.com>
Source record: 129191

Christer
http://w1.332.telia.com/~u33206204/hemsida.htm

3718. Re: Transmitt To McDonalds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990130110431.21281.00000068@ng98.aol.com>
Source record: 129192

I used to work there and alot of my friends still do. I just want to park in the parking lot and say hi and scare them and stupid things like that.

3719. Re: FS: Icom 8500 super scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: GinYoWine <GinYoWine@cwix.com>
Message-ID: <36B32E8D.61FDFC53@cwix.com>
Source record: 129193

I would like to trade for an Emperor TS-5010 with matching power supply, plus have other scanners, 2 D104 Silver & Black Eagle Power Mic's. I have antron power supplys SWR meters. Plus I have a 17" monitor Acer 76e Brand news with manual & Box. I will trade all for your scanner !, Let me know whats up..

Let Talk !

Joe Gregg wrote:

> I have a like new Icom 8500 scanner for sale. Original box, manual, etc.
>
> Covers dc-blue light. (well, 100Khz to 2Ghz anyway.)
>
> All modes, great hf specs and performance and terrific vhf/uhf too.
>
> 1000 memories, search and store, search banks, etc. Very fast scan speed.
>
> Go to Icom's web page for details.
>
> I also have the Icom computer control software package.
>
> $1350 plus shipping
>
> Consider trades on computer stuff, video stuff or ham/scanner stuff
>
> This is the best scanner you'll ever use.
>
> Joe

3720. getting blasted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: khaas <khaas@FFFFFFflashnet.com>
Message-ID: <DqGs2.267$Au1.697@news.flash.net>
Source record: 129194

I recently installed a discone antenna in my attic and placed an wide-band 10-17dB amplifier at the front end. I ran about 25 feet of shielded 50 ohm coax through my wall and into my bedroom. Upon listening I noticed that I was picking up some background noise through a wide frequency range. My reception was terrible anywhere from 118 to 300 MHz. I turned down my squelch and listened for a while and picked up the call-sign of the transmitting station. I then went to the FCC web page and found out that this transmitter is a 6kW station located about 1.5 miles from my house and transmitting at 95.3 MHz. How do I solve this problem? My first thought was to remove the amplifier at the front end, but a lot of the freq's I listen to are 800 MHz and would be seriously attenuated by running them through 25 feet of coax. Any other recommendations?

Thanks,
Kevin

3721. Re: getting blasted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@NULL>
Message-ID: <78vdgg$7hb$1@dns.ktb.net>
Source record: 129195

Sounds like you are overloading the scanner. If it is an adjustable amp
try starting with the gain low. If you are using good coax and good
connectors 25' is not very long. You may not need the amp.

khaas wrote:
>
> I recently installed a discone antenna in my attic and placed an wide-band
> 10-17dB amplifier at the front end. I ran about 25 feet of shielded 50 ohm
> coax through my wall and into my bedroom. Upon listening I noticed that I
> was picking up some background noise through a wide frequency range. My
> reception was terrible anywhere from 118 to 300 MHz. I turned down my
> squelch and listened for a while and picked up the call-sign of the
> transmitting station. I then went to the FCC web page and found out that
> this transmitter is a 6kW station located about 1.5 miles from my house and
> transmitting at 95.3 MHz. How do I solve this problem? My first thought
> was to remove the amplifier at the front end, but a lot of the freq's I
> listen to are 800 MHz and would be seriously attenuated by running them
> through 25 feet of coax. Any other recommendations?
>
> Thanks,
> Kevin

--

Remove NULL from email address to reply.

3722. Frequency data base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken81145@rockisland.com
Message-ID: <78vgnb$2f4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129196

Looking for Frequency Data base to keep track of scanner and shortwave frequencies.If anyone can help I would appreciate it. It can be a database or a spreadsheet program.

Thank You,

Ken MacLeod

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3723. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@wans.net
Message-ID: <MPG.111ceba92bf4d18c9897e3@news.wans.net>
Source record: 129197

Sorry Leonard, but again I have to disagree with you on this one. I have a TenTec RX-320 that I placed right out of the box from the factory with the built in preamp antenna connected that I can set less than a foot from my laptop with absolutely no noise whatsoever. I placed a PCR100O, grounded, with the use of ferrite chokes on the ends of the cables, and it sucked on HF period. The WR-1500e I used in kind was as deaf as a post. After 35 years of playing with this stuff from one end of the price spectrum to the top of the line stuff, I stand on my belief that these wideband pc run units have a ways to go before they can equal even some of the least expensive dedicated shortwave receivers PERIOD! I darn sure would never recommend one to a "friend". As "toys" they are fine, but if you are a "serious" hobbyist, one's money can definitely be better spent.

3724. AOR 1000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: usgriz@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990130122142.01193.00000979@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 129198

Does anyone know where I can get simplified shortcuts on programing the AOR 1000?This scsnner is so complicated it takes the fun out of using it.

3725. Re: Pro 2004, 2005, 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <78vfla$m9a@news.hsonline.net>
Source record: 129199

The 2005 & 2006 came from the factory with 400 ch, while the 2004 came out with only 300ch`s. The 2004 can easily be made into a 400ch scanner. I like the 2004 best. The metal case cuts intermod better & you just can`t beat the sloped keypad.

Happy Scanning,
Charlie

Rich Carlson <n9jig@theramp.net> wrote in article
<n9jig-300199001532000l@56-ip-90.theramp.net>...
> In article <36bc51f5.246617772@news.mia.bellsouth.net>,
> kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote:
>
> > On Wed, 27 Jan 1999 19:39:25 -0800, Jeff <scanner@accessus.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Hello,
> > >Can someone tell me the differences between the RS Pro 2004, 2005 ,
> > >2006?
> >
> > My 2004 has a metal case that is larger then the 2005/2006 with a
> > sloped face and membrane keyboard. My 2005 and 2006 look identical and
> > perform identical with a plastic case and flat front plastic button
> > keyboard. The keypad programming is the same for all three.
> > I've read in the newsgroups the 2006 is the best performer with the
> > best CPU, but of my units the 2004 is a bit more deaf (not by much)
> > and scans slower then the 2005 and 2006 which seem to perform
> > identically.
> >
> >
> >
> > Fort Lauderdale, FL
> > 26.218640/-080.237551
> > http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/
>
> I noticed that my 2005 was more sensitive on Highband than any of the
> others, and that as a rule the 2006 was more sensitive on 800. The 2004
> seems less affected by intermod and other weirdness (on account the metal
> case I'm sure...) but less sensitive than the others.
>
> --
> **
> * Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
> * Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
> ***************************g3mtnw*********************************
>

3726. Re: cloning astro digital radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <36B33CCE.39F3@compuserve.com>
Source record: 129200

Brian Varine wrote:
>
> Talkyal wrote:
> > It will be up to you to secretly
> > find the software (which I don't condone). I would rather see the production
> > of a legal Astro Digital Scanner by UNIDEN, with support for it from the MSP,
> > themselves.
>
> I look at it this way, the taxpayers paid dearly for the system, they
> should have a right to listen to it. Find the software anyway you can.
> Who cares if you get it from someone other than Motorola. It's not like
> you making and selling competing radios.

Let me see if I understand you correctly, Brian. Do you believe that all federal, state, and local government communications should be able to be intercepted and decoded by all taxpayers? Does this include taxpayers who happen also to be agents for foreign governments hostile to the United States? Does this include taxpayers who are wanted by the police, and who would fire on police officers to protect themselves?

What if I turn the argument around: Say you run your own business, a retail shop, and you've made a lot of money over the years. Now you've used that money to experiment and develop a prototype of an invention that you think will make you a lot of money, and put you at a competitive advantage. Do you have the right to "secretly" transmit the plans for this invention by fax over a cellphone to your lawyer without it being intercepted by your competitor down the street? By your logic, all the people who shopped at your store and provided you the profits to develop that invention, buy your fax machine, and buy your cellphone, should have the right to intercept any of your communications, because they "paid dearly" for your invention and the equipment you use to communicate.

If I'm wrong here, let's discuss it. I'd be interested in hearing your justifications, beyond the general statement that "I paid for it, so I deserve to listen to it."

3727. Re: Trunk Tracker or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <36B33FF7.D0B@compuserve.com>
Source record: 129201

Mike (EMT-Cardiac) wrote:
>
> Are there any conversions for the TrunkTracker? I am trying to find a way
> to get the off-bands. Any ideas would be helpful.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Mike

The TrunkTrackers (Uniden 235XLT, Radio Shack PRO-90 and PRO-91) are manufactured according to U.S. law which requires them to not include the cellular phone bands, and to be impossible or difficult to modify. This law was passed to protect the interests of cellphone manufacturers, who want to be able to assure the public that their personal cellphone communications won't be overheard.

So, even receiving the unavailable bands other than cellphone bands is likely to be impossible on those radios unless you have the resources of Uniden's engineering labs at your disposal.

There may be more expensive variants of these models from other manufacturers that allow you to access non-cellular phone bands; check the FAQ's.

3728. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <not@home.here>
Message-ID: <36B34134.78BE@home.here>
Source record: 129202
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Jim Dompier wrote:
> Thats because they are ALL stamped out of the same molds from the same
> factories...:)
>
Thats probably truer than most people think. A friend's VTech and my
AT&T 9100 (both about 2 years old) started having problems with the
pushbutton contacts about the same time. When we disassembled them we
found they shared not only the same problem but the internal circuitry
and layout were virtually identical.

3729. Re: THE CHEEK-A-LIKE WARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <78vg8f$m9a@news.hsonline.net>
Source record: 129203

Alfred,
First, I`m not on Bill Cheek`s payroll.
Second, I don`t like the "niose" Mackey made here.
Third, Mackey Mackey couldn`t find his own ass with both hands, let
alone get anyone kicked off here.
Fourth, I havn`t read a post made by YOU that relates too scanning.

Fifth & most important, If you don`t like it here, YOU leave!

Take a hike,
Charlie

<plonk>

alfred5056@my-dejanews.com wrote in article
<78tnnhleh1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> ALL THESE BILL CHEEK PEOPLE ARE TRYING TO START PROBLEMS.
>
> YOU GUYS JUST GET THE HECK OUT OF HERE!
>
> YOU ARE SO MAD THAT MACKAY KICKED CHEEK OUT OF HERE THAT YOU HAVE TO
ATTACK
> MACKAY EVERY CHANCE YOU GET.
>
> JUST DROP IT.

3730. aor 1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: usgriz@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990130124641.01197.00001322@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 129204

Need simplified short cuts for programing the AOR1000.Can anyone help me?

3731. Help-lost posting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: usgriz@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990130125021.01197.00001324@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 129205

Posting messages to this newsgroup and they are not showing up or only being posted for a few minutes.Anyone know what the problem is?

3732. pro 2008

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Clingan <clingan@network-one.com>
Message-ID: <36B34A51.9B9FA333@network-one.com>
Source record: 129206

Hi,
I'm looking for info on an old Radio Shack scanner, it's a pro 2008. Appears to be a 30 to 512 mhz, number of channels unknown. I would like to have any info avalible for it and even a picture if possible. I have gone out to the Radio Shack site, but alas if you don't have a cataloge number, as though we all walk around with a set of those, you can't find alot out there about the older stuff. Any help would be appreciatted, I have one that was given to me, but was taken apart when I got it. Want to get it back together and see if I can revive it.

Thanks
J.C.

3733. san diego radio signal codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "bongo@adnc.com" <bongo@adnc.com>
Message-ID: <36B34B97.151C666@adnc.com>
Source record: 129207

Hi all,

I'm a total newbie, and I just got a BC235XLT scanner last night. It's all charged now and ready to go, but I don't have any radio signal codes for San Diego. If anyone has any, please post them here or e-mail me.

Or, if you know of a website address, that would be good too. All I've been able to find for SoCal is LAPD.

Thanks for helping a newbie!

3734. Re: getting blasted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <7uls2.1288$q_.3372@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 129208

Try getting a filter to block frequency of the local high-power station.

Bill Crocker

3735. Re: Only PHREAKS Transmit To McDonalds!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78vnhb$85v$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129209

jdclampitt@aol.com (Jdclampitt) wrote:

> Like tying up local PD freqs with his garbage, linking PD to ARES nets.
> Running up autopatch bills (on someone ELSES patch) calling 900 sex numbers.
>
> This stuff was pinned to him VERY thouroughly.

Then how come I have NEVER been CHARGED? (Let alone convicted?)

> the fact that he recently got a NEW JOB that, SNIP
> OH YES, be SURE of that. And it's a GOOD PAYIN' job TOO (groups like he work

What's the matter, JEALOUS?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3736. Re: John's drivel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dunc@worldmailer.com
Message-ID: <36b35741.1185311@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
Source record: 129210

On Sat, 30 Jan 1999 06:46:51 GMT, "Mike (EMT-Cardiac)"
<Etlaesium@thenetco.com> wrote:

>I'm sure they did... this goes to enough NGs!!! 4 if I'm not mistaken.
>
>>>Tclark wrote in message ...
>>>>DID NOT!
>>>> DID TO!
>>>>DID NOT!
>>>>DID TO!
>>>>OUCH! STOP POKING ME!
>>>>I'M NOT TOUCHING YOU!
>>>>MOMMY, BLAKE KEEPS TOUCHING ME!
>>>>DID NOT!
>>>>DID TO!
>>>>DID NOT!
>>>>DID TO!
>>>>STOP IT!
>>>>NO YOU STOP IT!
>>>>WAAAAAAAA!!!!
>>>>WAAAAAAAAAA!!!
>>>>WAAAAAAAA!!!
>>>>MOMMY WHERE IS MY BAN'KY?
>>>>JOHN MADE A STINKY IN HIS DIAPER!
>>>>DID NOT!
>>>>DID TO!
>>>>DID NOT!
>>>>DID TO!
>>>>
>>>>Does someone have a cyber-pacifier?
>>>>T Clark
>
>
I think you should be at "alt.fan.john.mackey"

3737. Re: LICK ME WHERE I PEE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78voa3$8m8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129211

cbrambo@my-dejanews.com wrote:
gibberish snipped.

Do you think that Radio Inc, Global Internet, & the Dejanews management would be very happy with your activities here?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3738. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <78vogm$919$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129212

rjrems@aol.comnospam (RJR EMS) wrote:
> >Is there a law against having the radio capable
> >of TX'ing?
>
> In fact there is. Unless you have a license for a freq or written
SNIP

WRONG!

THere is NO requirement to be licensed to OWN transmitters. However,
there are various requirements of licensing to transmit

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3739. Re: John's drivel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dunc@worldmailer.com
Message-ID: <36bc5975.1749311@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
Source record: 129213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 29 Jan 1999 13:59:52 -0600, "Jim Baker"
<firecaptain@tbcnet.com> wrote:

>Please stop... I'm still laughing so hard I have tears in my eyes!!!!!
>ROTFLMAO!
>
>Is this copyrighted? Or may I freely distribute this to anyone I know who
>happens to have multiple offspring under the age of 10??? <VEG>
>
>Jim Baker
>
>Tclark wrote in message ...
>>DID NOT!
>> DID TO!
>>DID NOT!
>>DID TO!
>>OUCH! STOP POKING ME!
>>I'M NOT TOUCHING YOU!
>>MOMMY, BLAKE KEEPS TOUCHING ME!
>>DID NOT!
>>DID TO!
>>DID NOT!
>>DID TO!
>>STOP IT!
>>NO YOU STOP IT!
>>WAAAAAAAA!!!!
>>WAAAAAAAAAA!!!
>>WAAAAAAAA!!!
>>MOMMY WHERE IS MY BAN'KY?
>>JOHN MADE A STINKY IN HIS DIAPER!
>>DID NOT!
>>DID TO!
>>DID NOT!
>>DID TO!
>>
>>Does someone have a cyber-pacifier?
>>T Clark
>>
>>
>
>
This should be at "alt.fan.john.mackey"

3740. Re: Lets hear some more John Mackey, Blake Bowers, Peter Boe and Phil Smalley crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dunc@worldmailer.com
Message-ID: <36bd59f1.1873310@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
Source record: 129214

On Fri, 29 Jan 1999 22:51:59 GMT, cbrambo@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>And here I thought this was a SCANNER newsgroup. I didnt know it was the
>MackeySmalleyBowersBoe fan club online.
>
>"WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
> "I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

Try "alt.fan.john.mackey"

3741. Re: LICK ME WHERE I PEE JOHN MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dunc@worldmailer.com
Message-ID: <36bf5a8a.2026534@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
Source record: 129215

On Sat, 30 Jan 1999 01:16:33 GMT, cbrambo@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>John Mackey your a losser. Bill Cheeks was right. You are lower than pond
>scum. Hit the road and take Blake Bowers and Phil Smalley with you. Peter Boe
>too. You suck John Mackey
>
>"WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
> "I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

Try- "alt.fan.john.mackey"

3742. Re: cloning astro digital radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: talkyal@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990130142007.10088.00001556@ng136.aol.com>
Source record: 129216

>From: lblant1@airmail.net (Lindsay C. Blanton)

>Your only choice would be to have a copy of the Motorola RSS, and a
>friend in the Police Department who is willing to let you grab a copy
>of the codeplug from his radio.

If I had a friend in the PD, I wouldn't want him to lose his job over it.
Besides, I have no problem with tactical encryption for legitimate purposes.
But, I do have a problem with 100% encryption; with 100% encryption, the police
department could easily over-step boundaries and easily get out-of-control,
since the public (and news) would not be able to monitor ALL police
communication. I simply do not trust the lives of the entire civilian
population to one police internal affairs unit. And, can you see the
impossible time and effort it would take for an internal affairs unit to
monitor and evaluate every encrypted transmission made, if the PD used 100%
encryption?

> I suspect that when the time comes
>for Uniden to release an APCO-25 capable scanner, police departments
>will start enabling software encryption in their radios, effectively
>making an APCO-25 capable scanner useless.

It may become illegal for the police to go 100% digital encrypted. I am no
lawyer or lawyer-wannabe,
but I suspect that if every PD in the 21st century started going 100% digital
encrypted,
there would be at least one federal court hearing on the legitimacy and need
for that.

talkyal

3743. FS: ICOM IC-R1 UNBLOCKED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Andrew P. Scott" <apscott@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36B35BDB.4255AB7B@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 129217
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FS: ICOM IC-R1 UNBLOCKED

Icom IC-R1 with box, manuals, charger, battery pack BP-90, leatherette
case.
Full coverage, celluar unblocked. Mint- shape (9).
$375 + FedEx COD.

apscott@ix.netcom.com

3744. Diamond D 707 E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bwolford@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <36b35d6f.8595432@news.magicnet.net>
Source record: 129218

Is anybody out there useing a Diamond D 707E scanner antenna? I'd like to hear any pro's or con's about it. I'm thinking about mounting it off the side of a 60' tower.

3745. Re: No Cussing, SCANNING Please ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack@sgi.net
Message-ID: <36B38A0B.30AE@sgi.net>
Source record: 129219

JCM1168 wrote:
>
> cuss you

OH, that made sense.....NOW back to S C A N N I N G.....

3746. Re: san diego radio signal codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Big Dog <rocker686@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <78vn4e$9ik@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 129220

Go down to Radio Shack, buy a copy of "Police Call" for $11.95, and you'll have everything you need.

bongo@adnc.com wrote in message <36B34B97.151C666@adnc.com>...
> Hi all,
>
>I'm a total newbie, and I just got a BC235XLT scanner last night. It's
>all charged now and ready to go, but I don't have any radio signal codes
>for San Diego. If anyone has any, please post them here or e-mail me.
>
>Or, if you know of a website address, that would be good too. All I've
>been able to find for SoCal is LAPD.
>
>Thanks for helping a newbie!
>

3747. Re: THE CHEEK-A-LIKE WARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack@sgi.net
Message-ID: <36B38ADE.2D7C@sgi.net>
Source record: 129221

Your the person that started this new flame thread. Why not start a thread on scanning, or is that below you intelligence level?

alfred5056@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> ALL THESE BILL CHEEK PEOPLE ARE TRYING TO START PROBLEMS.
>
> JUST DROP IT.

3748. Re: Help-lost posting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken81145@rockisland.com
Message-ID: <78vr0s$av6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129222

In article <19990130125021.01197.00001324@ng-fq1.aol.com>,
usgriz@aol.com (Usgriz) wrote:
> Posting messages to this newsgroup and they are not showing up or only being
> posted for a few minutes.Anyone know what the problem is?
>

Give it a chance as it takes 6 hours or more to show any post.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3749. who can buy unblocked in US ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parker <maclean02@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <78vnqh$l8l$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 129223

who can buy unblocked scanners in the us ?
what government employees fit the qualifications?

3750. Re: who can buy unblocked in US ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <bwJs2.1290$q_.3203@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 129224

Bill Clinton.

parker wrote in message <78vnqh$l8l$1@juliana.sprynet.com>...
>who can buy unblocked scanners in the us ?
>what government employees fit the qualifications?
>
>

3751. Los Angeles Airport Police and Fire??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonomoose <nospam@gte.net>
Message-ID: <78vq7n$88t$1@news-1.news.gte.net>
Source record: 129225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have the frequencies for the LAX Airport Police and Fire Depts.

I appreciate your answer in advance.

Thank you.

Please e-mail to:

imaketv@hotmail.com

3752. Re: AOR 1000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjalex007@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990130154609.01117.00001701@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 129226

> usgriz@aol.com (Usgriz)
>Date: 1/30/99 12:21 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <19990130122142.01193.00000979@ng-fq1.aol.com>
>
>Does anyone know where I can get simplified shortcuts on programing the AOR
>1000?This scsnner is so complicated it takes the fun out of using it.
>
>
>

There's a Guide to the AOR1000 on Ebay right now that is much better than the manual... I'm not selling it--just passing the info along.
MJ Alex

3753. NEWSGROUP how do I?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: melv956@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990130155035.24906.00001742@ng04.aol.com>
Source record: 129227

I want to have scanner newsgroup messages sent to me so I can read them offline
anyone got any Ideas?
Thanks in advance
Melv

3754. FS: Scanner-related Books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjalex007@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990130155350.01117.00001706@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 129228

FS: Four Books of Interest to Most Scanner Users:

Dictionary of Scanner Terms, Slang and Abbreviations by Les Mattson
Tune in on Telephone Calls by Tom Kneital
How to get Anything on Anybody, Book II by Lee Lapin
The Hidden Signals of Satellite TV by Thomas Harrington

All four are in pristine, like-new condition. Selling them as a package, only. Price is $20 plus $3.20 shipping for USPS priority mail. The Harrington book alone sells for $20 or so.

Please reply via e-mail.

MJ Alex

3755. Info on antennas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: S <shoover@nospam.columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <DsKs2.1836$fz.5969668@storm.twcol.com>
Source record: 129229

Anyone know of a good website that has scanner ant. info?
I want to put up a decent ant for my handheld 235xlt when im home...
Had a tech at a ham store tell me to use a discone , someone else told me to stay away from them , so id like to read up on the best choice overall...

TIA,
Steve

3756. In-line power meter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Harris <brianNmelody@buz.net>
Message-ID: <9AKs2.71$0E3.463@news15.ispnews.com>
Source record: 129230
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know how to build an in-line power meter (signal strength)? I am thinking of something I can plug into the antenna jack on the back of my scanner, and then plug the antenna cable into the meter. I have a bearcat 350A that has no meter on it, and I don't want to go spend the money on a watt meter.
thanks,
Brian

3757. Service manual wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: alex_r@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78vva1$ec8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129231

Looking for a service manual for the scanner which appears to
be "Uniden
144xl " I have a main board and keyboard PCB
with some regulatirs and would
like to fix it.

If anyone has the abovementioned service manual in
Electronic form,
I mean pdf or other file, and would like to help me, I will
try to return
the favor, for example I can send you almost any service manual
from
Panasonic, or I might have something you need.

Thanks.
Alex.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3758. Re: Lets hear some more John Mackey, Blake Bowers, Peter Boe and Phil Smalley crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dunc@worldmailer.com
Message-ID: <36b477f2.3336210@news.vic.ozland.net.au>
Source record: 129232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

On 30 Jan 1999 00:23:56 GMT, orasberrys@my-dejanews.com (orasberrys)
wrote:

>Please reply to this in "ALT.FAN.JOHN.MACKEY" and remove any reference
>to the scanner newsgroups for re-posting.
>
>
>On Fri, 29 Jan 1999 22:51:59 GMT, cbrambo@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
>>And here I thought this was a SCANNER newsgroup. I didnt know it was the
>>MackeySmalleyBowersBoe fan club online.
>>
>>"WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
>> "I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"
>>
>>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
Let em roll!!!!

3759. Re: AOR 1000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: asrok@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990130165722.09532.00002073@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 129233

>Does anyone know where I can get simplified shortcuts on programing the AOR
>1000?This scsnner is so complicated it takes the fun out of using it.
>

The book I have is "Gude to the AR1000" by Howard Bornstein. I had to learn how to use this one myself before I had this book, and it was my first "real" scanner! It takes a little time to get on to the programming but it is well worth the time. In it's day it was top dog in my book and it is still a very good radio. I use mine every day.

3760. Re: getting blasted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <36b3accc.0@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 129234

>transmitting at 95.3 MHz. How do I solve this problem? My first thought
>was to remove the amplifier at the front end, but a lot of the freq's I
>listen to are 800 MHz and would be seriously attenuated by running them
>through 25 feet of coax. Any other recommendations?

The amp is obviously causing overload problems on your scanner. I would take the amp offline and make sure you are using quality coax like Belden 9913, and not the el-cheapo Radio Shaft RG-58. A 25 foot run of decent coax shouldn't hurt you on 800 megs.

3761. req freq for Durham NC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Grainger <adg@herald-sun.com>
Message-ID: <36B38376.6C4@herald-sun.com>
Source record: 129235

Does anyone have a list of frequencies for Durham NC

3762. Re: What is the fastest search speed scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjalex007@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990129192246.01115.00001102@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 129236

The fastest? Uniden BC3000 (or UBC3000) in Turbo mode.
MJ Alex

3763. Re: Lets hear some more John Mackey, Blake Bowers, Peter Boe and Phil Smalley crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberrys@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <36b2506d.157717711@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Please reply to this in "ALT.FAN.JOHN.MACKEY" and remove any reference
to the scanner newsgroups for re-posting.

On Fri, 29 Jan 1999 22:51:59 GMT, cbrambo@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>And here I thought this was a SCANNER newsgroup. I didnt know it was the
>MackeySmalleyBowersBoe fan club online.
>
>"WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
> "I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3764. Re: Pro 2004, 2005, 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36bc51f5.246617772@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 129238

On Wed, 27 Jan 1999 19:39:25 -0800, Jeff <scanner@accessus.net> wrote:

>Hello,
>Can someone tell me the differences between the RS Pro 2004, 2005 ,
>2006?

My 2004 has a metal case that is larger then the 2005/2006 with a
sloped face and membrane keyboard. My 2005 and 2006 look identical and
perform identical with a plastic case and flat front plastic button
keyboard. The keypad programming is the same for all three.
I've read in the newsgroups the 2006 is the best performer with the
best CPU, but of my units the 2004 is a bit more deaf (not by much)
and scans slower then the 2005 and 2006 which seem to perform
identically.

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

3765. THE CHEEK-A-LIKE WARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: alfred5056@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78tnnhleh1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129239

ALL THESE BILL CHEEK PEOPLE ARE TRYING TO START PROBLEMS.

YOU GUYS JUST GET THE HECK OUT OF HERE!

YOU ARE SO MAD THAT MACKAY KICKED CHEEK OUT OF HERE THAT YOU HAVE TO ATTACK MACKAY EVERY CHANCE YOU GET.

JUST DROP IT.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3766. q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven A Klooster <steve@gaia.arc.nasa.gov>
Message-ID: <78tl2d$6f2$1@sun500.nas.nasa.gov>
Source record: 129240

--

Steven A Klooster, Sr Res Scientist | MS 242-4, NASA Ames Res Center
Tel 650 604-1063 Fax 650 604-4680 | Moffett Field, CA. 94035-1000
Email: sklooster@gaia.arc.nasa.gov | Calif State Univ. Monterey Bay
---
Check out the CASA biosphere model@ http://geo.arc.nasa.gov/sge/casa
or http://george.arc.nasa.gov/dx/basket/pressrelease/98_70AR.html
---

3767. Re: What is the fastest search speed scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <78to3flqh1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129241

Most of the high end Uniden Bearcat and Uniden made Radio Shack scanners have speeds of up to 100 channels/second in most bands and 300 steps/second in bands with 5khz step spacing.

In article <36b21a14.458885@news.suomi.net>,
Benji@vsti.net wrote:
> On 20 Jan 1999 23:30:46 GMT, "David Hitchner"
> <hitchner@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >Have you tried computer controlled scanning? Under computer control you
> >have your scanner search and log frequencies while your gone. Also under
> >computer control I get about 60+ channels per second out of my PRO-2006
> >and Opto-456 combination. Also I can dump any found frequencies off to a
> >second scanner and allow the first scanner to continue searching. One other
> >thing that computer control scanning allows is the use of multiple search
> >edges at the same time.
> >
>
> Do you need a program for computer controlled scanning and where can
> I find one. Howabout connecting cables, are they commercially
> available. I am using AOR2002.
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3768. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <78tkdn$dp6@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 129242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed January 5, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase
most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other

radio hobbyists.

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine.

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in

plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to

cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered from your local Radio Shack store or from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Electra Corporation stocks crystals for the Bearcat scanners:

Electra Corporation
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229
Phone 317-894-3229

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.
tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 941-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3769. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <78tkdt$dp7@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 129243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: January 5, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most

recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229.

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553. You can also download an electronic copy of the user manual for recent Bearcat models from the Uniden web site:

http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/support.htm

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and

2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Matt Roberds fixed a BC220 which experienced memory loss if power was removed by resoldering the positive wire to 2-AA battery holder.

As built, the BC220 logic board is grounded only through its mounting screws. In the BC220, Matt recommends adding real wire ground jumpers from the main board to the feature (logic) board to fix grounding problems.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal chassis. See March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine for BC800XLT capacitor locations and repair information.

The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Jim Craig replaced a 22uF/35V C98 capacitor to repair his BC210XW.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This condition is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of

a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Matt Roberds repaired the Montgomery Wards version of a BC220 and contributed these insights:

"I did add some heat-sinks, but you have to be careful what you do with the TIP29 on the logic board. I added a long, flat heatsink to it and immediately created a whine in the audio. I didn't use an insulator, as this was a temporary first-try. As far as I can tell, the heatsink was radiating noise from the logic board into the front end on the radio board, which ended up being just below the heatsink. Bypassing the TIP29 (a voltage regulator) with disc ceramics didn't work; using a smaller heatsink and an insulator fixed the problem."

"There is also a 220 ohm 1/4 watt resistor on the logic board. It feeds the TIP29 from the main +16v supply. It looked a little crispy. I pulled one end and measured the current through it and it was about 30-35 mA. This works out to right at 0.2-0.25 watt dissipation. I didn't have a higher-wattage resistor, so I substituted 2 470-ohm resistors in parallel."

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to

switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

Replacement Bulbs for BC760XLT

The Uniden BC760XLT's SCAN, MAN, PRI, and HOLD buttons are illuminated by "grain of wheat" type incandescent bulbs. Gary Saffer reports that the bulbs and buttons are located on a small printed circuit board which sits behind the radio's face plate. Gary unsoldered the burned out bulbs in his radio and replaced them with 12 volt Radio Shack bulbs (#272-1092c). They should last a long time when powered by the 8 VDC supplied by the BC760XLT.

Open Resistor in BC140

Robert Casey fixed his deaf, 16 channel Bearcat BC140 scanner. A 10 ohm resistor had opened that fed a circuit that generates 22V for the varactor diode tuner circuits. Without the 22V, there was no tuning of the local oscillator and front end, and no reception. What he did was compare voltages to a second working BC140.

Sources for Replacement NiCd Battery Packs

Replacement NiCd battery packs and inserts for the BC100XLT, BC200XLT, BC2500XLT, BC3000XLT, and other scanners are available from Uniden. I've purchased them at lower cost from:

1. Mr. NiCd - E. H. Yost and Company, 2211-D Parview Rd., Middleton, WI 53562. tel. (608)831-3443. email ehyost@midplains.net

2. Battery-Tech Central, 2818 Southland Street SW, Cedar Rapids, IA 52404-4141. tel. (800)267-3087 or (319)364-0855. email rlassoc@inav.net

Batteries Plus has stores across they country and some have rebuilt Uniden NiCd packs for under $20.

--

==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3770. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <78tkdh$dp4@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 129244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed May 27, 1998

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?"
This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and

larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's book, Monitor America, published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's book has been collected from listeners over the years. It

is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM for $49 instead.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists. You can look up civilian aero frequencies for specific airports at: http://www.airnav.com/airports/.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'. (See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio,

or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at

the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was

permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band

You can often determine a transmitter's frequency band by the size and type of antenna used.

The Police Call Plus book contains sketches of antennas. Antenna catalogs are crammed full with antenna pictures and specifications and they are usually free. Contact Antenna Specialists (216-349-8400), Cushcraft (603-627-7877), Tessco (800-472-7373), Maxrad, Larsen Electronics (800-426-1656), and other companies in the land mobile equipment business.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and

store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi

antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the "instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the customer training center for a large computer manufacturer. The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities to accommodate students from out of state. As a convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their transmissions properly, making it more difficult for listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (828)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--
==========

Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3771. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <78tkdb$dp3@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 129245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: January 5, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire
engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains,

taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a presidential candidate discuss strategy with his advisor from a 415 MHz radiophone in Air Force 1, or a team of G-men protect him while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip

using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902.

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some of the newer models, like the Uniden SportCat and Radio Shack PRO-2046, allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $2000 Icom R8500 and AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT (a/k/a BC205XLT), BC220XLT, BC230XLT, and Radio Shack PRO-43 and PRO-60 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well and are my current favorites.

Looking for value? At $180 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT base is a good value and available at most Wal-Mart stores. The RELM HS200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $250 street price.

Considered by many as the best scanner ever made, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2006 was replaced by the 1000 channel PRO-2035, which was replaced by the PRO-2042. The portable Radio Shack PRO-64 and PRO-60 are good performers. All five models employ up conversion and are made for Radio Shack by GRE (General Research Electronics).

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS (Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas. The Austin Condor is available from Grove Enterprises.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for

extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A (available from Amateur Electronic Supply) or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove-ent.com

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is http://www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is http://www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. By federal regulation, new scanner models cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/hamradio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then

copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

For information on "virtual downconverter" modifications, see Steve Donnell's article in January 1995 RCMA Scanner Journal or February 1996 Monitoring Times. Reprints of articles from Monitoring Times are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from:

Grove Enterprises,
7540 Highway 64 West,
Brasstown,
NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200.
Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155.

I don't get any part of this reprint fee.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins

Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain abbreviated business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 828-837-9200, www.grove.net) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. Early issues disappointed experienced scanner hobbyists, but it now has a good column on scanner modifications and has greatly improved its coverage of east coast frequencies since merging with NESN (North East Scanner News). The best scanner frequency

lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM for $100. In the 1995 edition, fields are different than PerCon's Spectrum CDROM but the data appears to be of the same vintage. The licensee name and licensee city are used instead of the DBA (doing business as) name and transmitter city which makes query results confusing.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the

scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Peter Laws administers an electronic mail list read by over 700 hobbyists devoted to scanners and scanning. It's called "scan-l" and for more information, read:

http://www.wildstar.net/~plaws/scan/scan-l.txt

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

All Ohio Scanner Club

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about 4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published in the CARMA newsletter, published 6 times a year. You can obtain an electronic subscription to the CARMA Newsletter. For more info, see:

http://www.TheRamp.net/shabec/carma.htm

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

All 1999 meetings (except August) will be held on Saturdays at Phillies in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83 and 53rd St. in Willowbrook,

The 1999 meeting schedule is: Febuary 6, April 3, June 5, August 7 (at Argonne National Labs), October 2, and December 4.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3772. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <78tke8$dp9@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 129246
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 1999 - NORTHERN ILLINOIS
last updated January 26, 1999 |

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

JANUARY 1999

Jan 3 (Sun), Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer show (see below). Dupage County Fairgrounds.

Jan 10 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). orland Park Civic Center.

Jan 24 (Sun) - Villa Park, IL - Wheaton Community Radio Amateurs Mid Winter Hamfest - '98 at the Odeum Exposition Center 1033 N. Villa Ave., Villa Park, IL. Info: Pat Byrne, K9JAU, 414 North Park Blvd., Glen Ellyn, IL 60137. 630-545-9950. E-mail: k9jau@juno.com, http://www.w9ccu.org (Held inside and is usually crowded. - AJ9S)

Jan 31 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). orland Park Civic Center.

FEBRUARY 1999

Feb 7 (Sun), Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer show (see below). Dupage County Fairgrounds.

Feb 14 (Sun), Rock Island, IL - Davenport ARC. QCCA Expo Center, 2621-4th Ave., Rock Island. 7:30 AM - 3:30 PM. Talk in 146.88 and 146.64 MHz. info: Kent Williams, K9UQI, 4245 10th St., East Moline, IL 61244-4154. tel. 309-796-0718. Fax: 309-796-0629. E-mail: k9uqi@arcsupport.com. http://www.arcsupport.com/drac

Feb 14 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). orland Park Civic Center.

MARCH 1999

Mar 7 (Sun), Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer show (see below). | Dupage County Fairgrounds. |

Mar 7 (Sun) - Waukesha, WI. SEWFARS Swapfest. Info: Mary J. Adams, KB9IFF, tel. 414-358-1003. E-mail: mjadams@execpc.com.

March 14 (Sun): Sterling-Rock Falls ARS, Sterling, IL info: | Lloyd Sherman, KB9APW, PO Box 521, Sterling, IL 61081-0521. tel. 815-336-2434, E-mail: lsherman@essexl.com

March 22 (Sun): Grayslake, IL - LAMARS hamfest, Lake County fairgrounds. All indoors. Admission $5 at gate, free parking. Setup at 6 AM, doors open at 8 AM. Info: Dave Gudewicz, KB9KDA, 5 Brigantine Lane, Grayslake, IL 60030. tel. 847-223-1088. (Small fest, but usually interesting - AJ9S.)

APRIL 1999

April 11 (Sun): Middleton, WI. Madison Area Repeater Association. 8 AM - 1 PM Middleton Conference Center, 1313 John Q. Hammons Drive Middleton, WI 53562. The Middleton Conference Center is located in Middleton, WI, near the west side of Madison, WI. From the intersection of Interstate 90 and Highways 12 and 18 near Madison's South-East corner, take Highway 12 West. Stay on Highway 12 until you reach Greenway Blvd. Exit to Greenway Blvd. and turn left. Then turn left on John Q. Hammons Drive. The Conference Center is attached to the Marriot which is clearly visible from the intersection of Highway 12 and Greenway Blvd. Talk-in Frequency: 147.75/.15. Info: Paul Toussaint, N9VWH, MARA President, prt@execpc.com. The MARA telephone voice mail (608)245-8890 . http://skywarn.ssec.wisc.edu/mara/swapfest

April 25 (Sun): Galva, IL. Area Amateur Radio Operators | Hamfest. When: 8 am until 2pm, April 25, 1999. Where: The | National Guard Armory Tickets: Advance tickets $5 double | stubs, at the door $5 single stub, No advance sales after | March 25th. Outside flea market space available. Electri- | city, available on a first come first serve basis. Bring | your own extension cords. VE Testing will be available by | appointment only. Talk in 145.4900 - 88.5 PL / 146.460 | simplex. Breakfast and Lunch will be available and served | by the National Guard Relief Members. For set up times, | advance ticket sales or other information, contact: (1) Bill | Anderson WB9TEW, 103 SE 2nd Ave. Galva, Illinois 61434-1854. | tel. 309-932-3023, bill@inw.net. (2) Tom Davis N9OSP, 802 | Rose Street, Kewanee, Illinois 61443. Tdn9osp@cin.net |

April ?? (Sun): Stickney, IL. Dupage Amateur Radio Club, Hawthorne Race Course. Hours 8 AM - 2 PM. Setup 6 AM.

AUGUST 1999

Aug 29 (Sun), Woodstock, IL. Tri-County Radio Group. McHenry County fairgrounds. Talk-in: 146.52 Simplex. info:

Bob Grosse, N9KXG, PO Box 3107, Skokie, IL 60077-6107 E-mail: tcrg@hotmail.com, http://quality-enterprises.com/tcrg/. Tickets: Advance With Double Stub $4.00 - At Gate $5.00 Single Stub - $6.00 With Double Stub . Exhibit Building: 8:00 A.M. - 3:00 P.M. Table Rental: $14.00 For 8' Table. Flea Market: 6:00 A.M. - 3:00 P.M. No Additional Charge For Flea Market Space Info (708) 944-0500. Fax (847) 658-1678. (fairly good, small to mid sized ham-fest - AJ9S).

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30 AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies, games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors, diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables, expansion boards, services, and anything else in the domain of computers! Lake County Fairgrounds, Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet of everything Computer! For a show map, exhibitor info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at http://www.ccxpo.com.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3773. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <78tke2$dp8@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 129247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: November 30, 1998

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance
squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled,
transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers.
They have now been replaced by battery operated portable
pagers, and the desktop models are often available at
hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough
condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better
suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are
excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies --
a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400
channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models
which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper
mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron
700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than
any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image
rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when
aligned properly.

Models and Frequency Coverage

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band
receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron
or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz

band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the 700 and R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal

scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

30-54 R8190 R8150
148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Crystals

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

The best source for crystals is:

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

You need not bother with calculating the crystal fundamental frequency. Merely supply International with the exact receiver model number, printed on the rear label,

and the frequency you want to monitor. They will perform
the necessary calculations.

Crystals for Plectrons

The Plectron P1 series contain two crystals. The second
local oscillator crystal is 11.155 MHz regardless of band.
On VHF high band (148 - 175 MHz), the P1 series employ this
formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) + 10.7) / 4

or

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) - 10.7) / 4

On VHF low band (25 - 54 MHz), the P1 series employ this
formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) + 10.7)

or

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) - 10.7)

There are two crystals (Y1, Y2) used in the Plectron R700
series, one for each local oscillator. The crystal
formulas are:

RF Range (MHz) Y1 (MHz) Y2 (MHz)
_____
25-29 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
29-32.6 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
32.5-35 (f-10.7)/2 10.245
35-41 (f-10.7)/2 11.155
41-47 (f-10.7)/2 11.155 (use 10.245 MHz
for Y2 on 44.62 MHz)
47-54 (f-10.7)/3 11.155
148-158 (f-10.7)/9 11.155
158-175 (f-10.7)/9 10.245
450-470 (f-10.7)/18 11.155

The economy Plectron 500 series use this scheme for the
first local oscillator crystal:

RF Range (MHz) Crystal (MHz)
_____
25 - 35 (f+10.7)
35 - 54 (f-10.7)
148 - 174 (f-10.7)/4

Most Plectron 500s use an 11.155 MHz second local
oscillator crystal. However, a 10.245 MHz crystal is used

instead for receivers in these ranges: 33.4 - 33.78, 44.62, and 158.78 - 158.82 MHz.

Power Cords

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. I published the pinout for both Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor receivers in June 1998 Monitoring Times magazine.

You can buy the proper replacement power cords but it's much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and solder on a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

Squelch and Minimum Volume Setting

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down, then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

Tone Alert Feature

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on

the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain.

Repair and Replacement Parts

Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Weber Electronics
PO Box 212
5138 Laurel Ln.
Broad Run, VA 20137
telephone (540) 347-7760
email: weber@citizen.infi.net

You can also obtain Plectron repairs and parts from:

Northeast Communications
4 Oak Ridge Rd
Newfoundland NJ 07435
201-697-8804

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)972-3226.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model UHF VHF-high VHF-low

SM-301 X X X
SM-302 X X
SM-303 X X
SM-304 X X

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from the crystals used in the conventional single channel Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) /
10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

Plectron Alternatives

Too bad Plectron is out of business. If you wish to
purchase a new receiver with "Plectron-like" signaling
capabilities, contact Reach Electronics:

Reach Electronics
1311 West Pacific
Lexington, NE 68850
(308) 324-6661
(800) 445-0007
FAX: (308) 324-4985

Reach sells tone & voice pagers, Alert monitors, and
ENCODERS. They also do contract manufacturing.

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors
for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3774. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <78tkee$dpa@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 129248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed June 11, 1998

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent to return to its original value when the cause of the change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching. The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a 1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis? Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping" the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003, PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet.

4. If space permits, you can replace the stock resistor with a higher value variable resistor ("trimpot") and adjust to suit.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers		
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14

	of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197 47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148 47K remove R148
	or replace with 220K.
	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2006	R152 33K try 100K or higher.
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner --
How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob
Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3775. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <78tkek$dpf@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 129249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: January 5, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners sold through mid-1997 may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

Parts lists for recent and many older models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)442-2425 or (817)870-5600, or through your local Radio Shack store

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

PRO-2004: Dead

If your PRO-2004 is completely dead, check R235, a 1 ohm, 1 watt resistor mounted vertically on the main board.

PRO-7A: Channels 1 - 4 or 5 - 8 Dead

If the first or last 4 channels in your 8 channel PRO-7A crystal scanner are not working and the lamps for those channels won't light, replace IC4 or IC5 (type 7400A).

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3776. FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <78tkeq$dpg@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 129250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed January 27, 1999 |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article contains product review summaries for more than 70 old and new scanner radios and monitor receivers.

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor receivers of various brands in my collection. This article describes a few of the FM receivers in my collection, and other models I've tested. It is not meant to be complete.

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass, Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass, Monitoring Times, May 1995.

I have published full length reviews and modifications of these scanner models in Monitoring Times magazine:

Mar 1986 - Uniden/Bearcat BC800XLT
Mar 1987 - Radio Shack PRO-2004

Oct 1990 - Radio Shack PRO-2006
Jan 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2035 vs. Radio Shack PRO-2006
Feb 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-62 Portable
Mar 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Apr 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT Portable
May 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT
Jun 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT & Radio Shack PRO-2036
Jul 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2037
Aug 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT
Sep 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable
Oct 1995 - AOR AR-2700 Portable
Nov 1995 - selected AOR AR-8000 measurements
Dec 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2040
Jan 1996 - Plectron & Motorola Alert Monitors
Feb 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2042
Mar 1996 - Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners
May 1996 - Compendium of Scanner IFs
Apr 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT, BC230XLT Portable
Jun 1996 - modifications & tips for the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Jul 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT-10 mobile
Aug 1996 - BMI Nitelogger II tape recorder activator
Sep 1996 - PRO-2022 modifications
PRO-2042 baseband audio tap
Oct 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2046 mobile
Nov 1996 - Build a wooden mobile radio mount
Dec 1996 - AOR AR5000
Jan 1997 - ICOM R8500
Feb 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-2045
Mar 1997 - ICOM IC-R10
Apr 1997 - RELM HS-200 portable
May 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual downconversion mod.
Jun 1997 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1970s
Jul 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC235XLT portable Trunktracker
Aug 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-64 portable
Sep 1997 - Stridsberg Engineering receiver multicoupler,
G/Wiz board for EDACS trunked scanning
Oct 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-67
Nov 1997 - Sporty's JD-100 Air Scan
Dec 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT Trunktracker
Jan 1998 - Test Equipment Bargains
Feb 1998 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1980s and Beyond
Mar 1998 - RELM MS-200 mobile
Apr 1998 - RCA RP-6150 portable
May 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-2050 base Trunktracker
Jun 1998 - Opto DC442 CTCSS/DCS/DTMF display
Jul 1998 - Stub and Par Electronics intermod rejection filters
Aug 1998 - Sony SC1PC portable
Sep 1998 - Orange Book of Scanner Repair
Tone Out Testing (2-tone generators)
Monitoring ACSB (amplitude compandored side band)

Oct 1998 - AOR AR8200 portable
Nov 1998 - Alinco DJ-X10T portable
Dec 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-91 portable Trunktracker
Jan 1999 - AOR AR7000
Feb 1999 - Radio Shack PRO-2066 mobile Trunktracker

Note: Reprints of Monitoring Times articles are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902, tel. (800)438-8155. I don't receive any part of this reprint fee.

ALINCO |

DJ-X10T: 1998 vintage. Rugged construction - metal back. | Multimode frequency coverage 0.1 to 2000 MHz (minus cellular | bands). 20 selectable step sizes from 0.05 to 500 kHz. | Knob atop the radio clicks when rotated and serves as a VFO | knob, channel selector, and menu navigation control. | Several battery pack options for NiCd and alkaline battery | cases. Furnished with an EBP-37N 4.8 V, 700 mAH NiCd pack | and a sturdy 11 hour drop in charging stand. Loaded with | firmware features and complicated to use. Two VFOs and 1200 | channels in 30 banks of 40 channels each. Memory banks are | cryptically designated, though you can scan combinations of | banks. Each memory channel can be programmed with the | frequency, an 8 character label, attenuator, a skip | (lockout) flag, and mode (WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW, AUTO). | Very slow 6 ch/sec scan rate. Rescan delay is about 1 | second and cannot be disabled or changed. Auto Memory Write | stores up to 40 frequencies but does not check for dupes. | 20 linkable search banks with labels. Up to 50 frequencies | per search bank can be locked out. Digital clock with | on/off timer. Battery saver can be disabled. Ability to | scan or search for signals above a selectable threshold or | channels with a specific mode. Band scope 7 or 40 steps | wide. In short, 30 - 1000 MHz sensitivity is good, few | birdies and little intermod. WFM broadcast reception very | good. The DJ-X10T squelch can be set to one of 10 levels. | We had to keep the squelch set to SQ3 or higher, otherwise | it opens at inappropriate times, especially when the battery | saver is active. The DJ-X10T instruction manual does not | specify the IF (intermediate frequency) scheme. Filters | inside the DJ-X10T imply 0.455, 10.7, and 45 MHz IFs. Our | tests indicate a first IF of 736.25 or 275.45 MHz, depending | on the frequency to which the DJ-X10T is tuned. Our DJ-X10T | hears images of cellular phone signals clearly in the 1419.9 | - 1444.9 MHz range, 550.9 MHz above their actual frequency. | For a full review, including technical test results, see | Monitoring Times, November 1998. |

AOR

AR2700: 1995 vintage. 500 channel portable covering 500 kHz to 1300 MHz. Lots of good features, e.g., 10 linkable search banks, lighted keypad, per-channel attenuator, S-meter, battery meter, optional voice recorder and speech inversion descrambler. Global rescan and pause delays, but no auto store, data skip, nor battery saver. Internally generated noise results in broad birdies on AR2700 tested. Insensitive carrier operated (vs. noise operated) squelch stays closed on signals unless they are moderately strong, causing scanner to skip transmissions of interest. IFs are 287.55/749.25, 58.05, 10.7/0.455 MHz. See "The AOR AR-2700 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October and November 1995.

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW, FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity, attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector. Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400 MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio, otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

AR8200: 1998 vintage. S/N 550004 tested. Portable, high end "super" scanner covers 0.1 - 2040 MHz (minus cellular in USA version). Not a scanner for beginners. Many of the settings are made through the use of menus. Lots of features. 4 AA batteries, steel belt clip, plug-in AM antenna. 1,000 conventional channels in 20 banks, 10 temporary channels, one priority channel. Each memory channel may be programmed with frequency, label, mode, step, step-adjust, offset, attenuator enable, noise limiter, AFC, and write protect. Multi bank scanning. You can enable a 0 - 9 second rescan delay with each scan group, but not per channel. 40 pairs of search limits with labels. Offset capability for monitoring repeater input frequency. LCD display easy to read. Display and keypad backlit using green LEDs. The band scope. Shortwave reception stone deaf on shortwave when fitted with the supplied antenna or a 19" whip. Seriously overloaded on shortwave by a 132 foot long dipole unless we use the built-in attenuator. Supplied "dorsal fin" antenna for AM BCB. Scans slowly (14 channels/s). Requires more current. Audio quality is

slightly above other portable scanners. Computer port. One slot for a single plug-in option: voice inversion descrambler, CTCSS search, 256 frequency tone eliminator, voice recorder and playback, or extended (slow) memory. See AOR AR8200 review by Bob Parnass,in Monitoring Times, October 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

ICOM

R-10: late 1996 vintage (serial number 01048 tested). Shirt pocket size multimode is powered by 4 AA penlight cells. Covers 0.5 to 1300 MHz (minus cellular). NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, AM, and CW detectors included. IF bandwidths are fixed. No 30 kHz bandwidth for satellite or FM military monitoring. Battery saver circuit, with selectable duty cycle. Single VFO and 1000 memory channels. There are 900 memory channels divided into 18 banks of 50 channels each. Another bank of 100 channels used to hold unique frequencies found during an auto store operation. 20 pairs of search limits. Up to 100 frequencies may be locked out during a search and stored in a final bank of 100 channels which is cleared before subsequent auto store searches. Each channel can be programmed with the detector mode (e.g., AM, USB), a tuning step size, an attenuator enable flag, and an 8 character label. CI-V computer interface requires extra cost hardware. 7 segment S-meter. Noise blanker. Good sensitivity. Lighted keypad and display, but keystroke sequences are cumbersome.

Good radio hardware in a handy sized package, but scanning performance unimpressive. Very slow memory scanning at only 6 channels/second. Searches at about 16 steps/second, with little or no worthwhile improvement using the "Signal Navigator" feature in the R10 I tested. Rescan delay cannot be disabled. Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a combination of banks. No squelch for SSB or CW modes. See "ICOM IC-R10 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1997, for review which includes quantitative test results.

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new

frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100 channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety-clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the schematic.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks. Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion, First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band. Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

UNIDEN/BEARCAT AND ELECTRA/BEARCAT

Bearcat III (Electra): 1976 vintage. 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Requires optional front-end circuit board for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands, but only 2 boards can be installed within the radio at the same time. Two crystal filters. No aircraft band coverage nor rescan delay. Front mount speaker sounds good. Strong local oscillator radiation often causes interference with other scanners in the same house.

Bearcat IV (Electra): 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Newer version of Bearcat III but contains front-end circuitry for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. Better selectivity than Bearcat III or 12 due to having three instead of two 10.8 MHz IF filters. No aircraft band coverage. Front mount speaker sounds good. No rescan delay.

Bearcat 5 (Electra): 1979 vintage. Eight channel economy base model covering VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. No track tuning. Black plastic cabinet.

Bearcat 6 (Electra): Six channel VHF-low and VHF-high bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 8 (Electra): Eight channel VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 12 (Electra): 1979 vintage. One of the last decent crystal controlled scanners. 10 channels. Variable scan speed up to 20 ch/sec. Single delay on/off switch. Front mount speaker sounds good. Manual contains schematic. Single conversion, 10.8 MHz IF. Selectivity is poorer than programmable models, like the 300, allowing adjacent channel interference. Covers VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands but no aircraft band coverage. Crystal positions must be arranged by band.

Bearcat 15 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Actually made for Electra by competitor GRE (General Research Electronics). The only Bearcat crystal controlled base/mobile scanner offering 118 - 136 MHz AM aircraft band. 10 channels.

BC101 (Electra): 1975 vintage. First Bearcat synthesized unit. 16 channels, no priority. Frequency programmed in binary by setting toggle switches on front panel after looking up code in code book. No frequency readout. Uses custom IC for CPU, now discontinued, so factory authorized service is no longer available. I have four of these units. DC operation requires optional mobile power supply.

BC100 (Electra): First programmable portable scanner. Be prepared for at least one repair in the first year. Early units, with threaded antenna connector, have high frequency

of repair, particularly LCD readout, keyboard, and battery holder. No battery backup. Poor case design in early units caused battery to disconnect from radio, resetting microprocessor and clearing memories. No priority channel or aircraft band. Some people swear by the BC100, others swear at them.

BC100XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Very good 100 channel portable with 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Generous coverage of conventional bands, including commercial aircraft, but no 800 MHz. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on 550 mAH NiCd battery pack.

BC200XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Also sold as BC205XLT through Scanner World. Very good 200 channel version of BC100XLT portable scanner but includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on NiCd battery pack.

BC210 (Electra): 1979 vintage. First Bearcat scanner with a keyboard and numeric display. LED readout. See US patents 4,092,594, granted 5/30/78 and 4,114,103, granted 9/12/78 for further insight.

BC220 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 20 channels. Reasonable number of features but 20 channels doesn't seem enough. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC220XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Very good 200 portable scanner which is a smaller successor to the BC200XLT. Includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Service Search, including WX. Up to 10 frequencies can be locked out during conventional search, 20 frequencies from Service Search. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs, with images 21.6 MHz away. 4 cell BP-120 internal NiCd battery pack. Marketed as BC230XLT with two BP-120 battery packs and CRX-120 dual charger. See "The BC220XLT/BC230XLT Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1996.

BC20/20 (Electra): 1981 vintage. Similar to BC220 but with 40 channels and different CPU circuitry. A maximum of 20 channels can be scanned at one time. Reasonable number of features. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC230XLT (Uniden): See BC220XLT.

BC235XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Portable with 300 channels in 10 banks. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Very fast scan and search rates. Scans 64 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Service search. Data skip. Triple conversion, 254.4, 10.85, 0.45 MHz IFs. Excellent image rejection and intermod immunity. Fairly good audio. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, July 1997 or an on-line version at http://www.grove-ent.com for a review which includes quantitative test results.

BC250 (Electra): 1976 vintage. 50 channel model, rich in features, but lacks aircraft band and 144-146 MHz. Search and Store feature extremely useful for finding federal frequencies. Clock. High frequency of repair. Power transistors not heat sinked adequately, causing heat damage to surrounding components and circuit board. Failure of Q204 on the feature board known to cause odd display readings. Digital circuitry very sensitive to glitches caused by static and AC line spikes. Avoid 1978 or earlier vintage units. All BC250s use custom ICs (e.g., IC6, a divider chip, mfd. by Exar), which are now discontinued, so factory service is no longer available from Uniden.

BC260 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Super heavy duty metal cabinetry and lit controls, aimed at mobile use for firemen, police, etc. Few frills, only 16 channels, no aircraft, but generous coverage of federal bands omitted in the older Bearcat scanners. Good sensitivity. Lots of audio. Good internal construction. Backlit keyboard allows operation in the dark, but the keyboards on some units require high pressure to operate. Brightness control for display and keyboard, but multiplexor circuitry for vacuum fluorescent display produces audible whine which may be annoying in a quiet room. Backlighting may fail in some units due to poor contact on connector used to fasten light panel to front circuit board. 9 volt regulator transistor Q28 (TIP29) may fail, causing blank display while leaving audio intact. Method of connecting an external speaker is awkward.

BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100

components changed between earliest and later units. Preset squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board, misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent 4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight.

BC350 (Electra): 1981 vintage. 50 channels in 5 banks. Includes aircraft. Used to be Bearcat's top of the line, overpriced scanner but never very popular. Dual use keyboard and display allowed 8 text characters to be associated with each channel, a feature clumsily implemented, and awkward to use. Units plagued with various hardware problems including bad memory ICs and short life power transformers. Firmware bugs without cures. The BC300 is a much better scanner than the BC350, and at a lower price.

BC760XLT (Uniden): Also sold as BC950XLT through Scanner World. Small, mobile scanner supplied with mounting bracket and wall wart AC power supply. Some of the keys are backlit. 100 channels in 5 banks. Service search. Earlier version has Motorola type antenna jack and AA battery backup. Later version has BNC antenna jack and internal lithium battery. Can be fitted with optional CTCSS decoder. Hyper sensitive and easily overloaded by strong signals, especially when used with outdoor antenna in base applications. Conventional 10.8 MHz IF prone to images. Television audio heard in some places.

BC800XLT (Uniden): 1985 vintage. 40 channels in two banks. Covers 806-912 MHz, as well as of vhf, uhf, and aircraft bands. Receives 10 meter fm and all of 6 meters, as well as federal portions of vhf and uhf bands. Fewer birdies on vhf-lo band than other scanners. Scans and searches very fast. Clean, robust audio output. Extremely sensitive, but very prone to overload by strong signals when connected to outdoor antenna. Too much play (hysteresis) in squelch adjustment - can be improved by changing one resistor. Positive terminal in memory backup battery holder installed backwards in early units, allowing memory loss when scanner unplugged from AC outlet. Tunes in increments of 12.5 KHz on 800 MHz band, whereas cellular telephones are on 30 KHz channels. See "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

BC860XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. 100 channel table top unit. Can lock out 10 channels during search. Good performer except for images. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC860XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, May 1995.

BC890XLT (Uniden): Same as Radio Shack PRO-2036. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Scans and searches fast. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IF -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

BC895XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Base with 300 channels in 10 banks. Covers military air band, but other frequency coverage is limited compared with the BC9000XLT. Predetermined AM and NFM modes not selectable. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. Weather alert siren. Built-in CTCSS decoder and tone finder. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Test unit scans 85 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Triple conversion, 380.8 or 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz IFs. 800 MHz images in commercial and military air bands attenuated over 35 dB. For technical test results and a review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1997.

BC9000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. High quality base using up-conversion. 500 channels in twenty banks. Up to 250 channels can be programmed with alpha labels. Tuning knob. Selectable AM, NFM, WFM modes. Optional CTCSS decoder. Very fast scan and search. Can lock out 50 channels during search. Delay and attenuator independently programmable for each channel. Effective Auto Store feature stores unique frequencies. Good RF section, but not as robust as PRO-2004/5/6. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, 0.455 MHz. One urban user, located within a couple of blocks of several high power transmitters, reports severe desense problems. For a full review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1995. For tips and modification information, see my column in June 1996 Monitoring Times. See US patents 4,947,456, granted 8/7/90, 5,163,161, granted 11/10/92 and 5,212,817, granted 5/18/93 for further insights into fast scanning and searching implementation.

BC2500XLT (Uniden): 400 channel portable with tuning knob. Plagued by intermod and images. Early units drained batteries quickly even while turned off.

BC3000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Excellent 400 channel portable with 20 banks. Up conversion. Very fast scan,

search, and intelligent Auto Store. Mode, attenuator, and delay settings programmable for each channel. 50 frequencies can be locked out during search. Larger speaker than PRO-26 produces better, crisper audio. NiCd pack provides about 5 hours between charges. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1995 and "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

REGENCY

TMR series: First generation crystal scanners. Come in all varieties of band coverage. Models with both UHF and VHF bands must use separate antennas for each band (disadvantage in mobile installations, but can be overcome by connecting two front ends via a capacitor). Not sensitive enough to cover the entire 30 - 50 MHz range in a single model. There three versions of VHF-low band coverage depending on the part of the 30 - 50 MHz band covered (LL, LM. and LH). TMR scanners use unsocketed incandescent bulbs for channel indicators which require periodic replacement. Easy to crystal: Radio Shack crystals work well. TMRs usually $2 and up at hamfests, often in poor condition. Don't pay more than $50, even if mint. Front ends must be tuned for selected portions within the bands for best sensitivity. Wide IF selectivity troublesome in urban/suburban areas. Primitive digital scanning circuitry may become confused at times. but power off/on restores sanity. Replace aging capacitors in the scanning circuits. You can find a TMR8H eight channel high band unit most often.

TME series: The base versions of the TMR models. Both the TME and TMR models share many common circuits but the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common TME model is the TME8 H/LM eight channel dual band scanner in a metal cabinet with wood coloring.

ACTR series: Replaced the Regency TMR units. By the time Regency made the ACTR units, they no longer offered different models for different parts of the 30 - 50 MHz band. Look for the later ACT series, e.g., ACTR-106 (10 channel tri band) or ACTR20/6 (20 channel tri band), used light emitting diodes for channel indicators instead of incandescent bulbs. Available in 1 to 20 channel models - most are multi band receivers. Somewhat wide selectivity. Not all that bad a deal if cheap.

ACTE series: The base versions of the ACTR series. The ACTE and ACTR circuitry is similar, although the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common ACTE model is the ACTE8 H/L eight channel dual band

scanner in a black metal cabinet.

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel. User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units. Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature. Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

M400: 30 channel replacement for K500. Service Search, but no aircraft. Easily programmable out of band. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Backlit keyboard good for night viewing and mobile use but generates RFI into nearby SW receivers. A favorite.

MX3000: 30 channel replacement for M400, but basic features only. Nice lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers. Easily programmable out of band, but no aircraft. All 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Good first scanner.

M100: 10 channel unit. Same as MX3000 except different color and fewer channels. Nicely lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers.

HX1000: Good, fairly rugged, 30 channel handheld synthesized unit. Generous out of band coverage but no AM aircraft coverage. Built by Azden. Very sensitive on UHF, but annoying audio hiss leaks through speaker when squelched. Belt clip chintzy, but can be directly replaced with better clip from Kenwood TR2600A. Like the MX3000, all 30 channels

are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Low discount price makes this best choice for programmable portable.

HX650/H604: 6 channel crystal portable. Likely made by Sanyo. Same as Fannon and Bearcat Thin Scan units, (except that Bearcat has 10.8 MHz IF frequency, and is harder to get crystals for), but scans faster. Small size and common crystals (available at Radio Shack), make this 1st choice for bare bones portable scanner.

Z60: base/mobile sporting 60 channels, but 10 of them are for FM broadcast only. Built in alarm clock, priority, and memory which requires no battery backup. Covers 30 - 50, 88 -108, 118-136, 144-174, 440-512 MHz. Notice that it's not spec'd to cover some important federal bands: 136 - 144 and 406 - 440.

RCA

RP-6150: 1997 vintage. No frills, good performing portable. 200 channels in 10 banks. No military aircraft frequency coverage. Triple conversion and a flexible battery arrangement. Lacks duplicate channel detection, search skip, and empty channel lockout features of newer designs. Our sample isn't super sensitive at 470 - 512 MHz and has some intermod around the VHF railroad channels but is generally more resistant to image problems than the BC230XLT. For technical test results and a review, see "The RCA RP-6150 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1998.

RELM

HS200: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel portable. 10 banks. Built-in CTCSS and DCS decoder. Lighted keypad. Duplicate channel detection. 100 frequencies can be locked out from search. Very good audio and strong front end. Excellent internal shielding. Global rescan delay. Covers CB. Fixed modes and step sizes. IFs are 280.2 and 0.45 MHz, and perhaps one in between. See "The RELM HS-200 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

MS200: 1998 vintage. Made in USA. 200 channel mobile with alpha labels. CTCSS and DCS decoding squelch. Programmable via PC using supplied software. Low contrast, LCD display. Keypad backlit for night programming. Rear panel tape audio jack. Test unit had lots of intermod on VHF-high band. IFs are 280 and 0.45 MHz. See "The RELM MS-200 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1998, for a review

which includes quantitative test results.

RADIO SHACK

PRO-24: 1983 vintage. Only 4 channels in this crystal controlled portable. Covers the three basic bands, but no aircraft. Easy to obtain batteries and crystals. Characteristic Radio Shack squelch problem, fixable by changing one resistor. All-plastic case larger than Bearcat Thin Scan and clones. See "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

PRO-26: 1995 vintage. (Not to be confused with a simple 1985 crystal controlled portable bearing the same model number.) Very good portable made by for Radio Shack by Uniden. 200 channels, very fast scan/search. Good display. Covers all TV channels, but spectrum adjacent to cellular phone band is censored which prevents monitoring parts of some trunked systems (e.g., IL State Police district 4). Up to 50 frequencies can be skipped during search. Limited image rejection causes 929 MHz paging interference in 170 MHz range. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, and 5.5/0.455 MHz. Audio suffers from small speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

PRO-30: 16 channel programmable portable with aircraft band. Good frequency coverage. Extra controls on top allow control of SCAN, MANUAL, and PRIORITY functions while worn on belt. Good belt clip. Low audio output. Plastic case prone to break at BNC antenna connector under severe use, vs. metal frame in Regency HX1000. High price, no discounts or sales yet. I had 6 or 7 PRO30s, having to return them several times during the 1 year warranty, although other owners have had little or no trouble. Troubles included oscillation in IF stage, no UHF band reception, case broken around base of antenna connector, etc.

PRO-34: Portable scanner with 200 channels and 800 MHz coverage. Ten "monitor" channels. Operates from AA cells. Slow scanning, low audio output, and chintzy plastic case detract from an otherwise good performance. No decent leather case available from Radio Shack. If you need a portable with 800 MHz, get a Bearcat 200XLT. If you can't get a 200XLT, get a PRO-34.

PRO-43: Very good portable made by GRE. First Radio Shack portable with up conversion, and 222 MHz ham and 225 - 400 MHz military air band coverage. 200 channels, single search range. Small, convenient size. Muffled audio. Speakers in early units known to fail and more powerful speakers were

used in later units. 800 MHz band coverage fully restorable in early units by removing diode. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. IF frequencies are specified at 608.005 - 611.2 MHz, 48.5 MHz, and 455 kHz.

PRO-52: 8 channel VHF-Lo/Hi base unit. No UHF band or provision for mobile operation. Good little scanner despite limited frequency coverage and Spartan lack of frills. Crisp squelch action and good audio, helped by a front mounted, vertical speaker.

PRO-60: 1995 vintage. Very good portable made by GRE. 200 channels, single search range. Similar features and same IFs as the PRO-43 it replaced but the PRO-60 has wider frequency coverage and crisper audio. Covers 75 MHz and commercial FM broadcast bands. Improved Direct search can be stepped manually in single steps. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Railfans take note -- the PRO-60 and PRO-43 are subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. Draws 100 mA while scanning. See "The Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, September 1995.

PRO-62: 1994 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Similar to PRO-43 but no military air band, different upper frequency limit, and slightly different IFs. Selectable AM/NFM modes. Better intermod immunity than PRO-43. See "The Radio Shack PRO-62," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1995.

PRO-64: 1997 vintage. Good portable 400 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-62 and has many more features. Selectable AM/NFM modes but fixed step sizes. 10 search banks which can be cascaded. Auto store saves unique frequencies found during a search. Frequencies can be loaded using a computer but optional interface kit not yet available. Can recharge Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, but not AA cells within the scanner. IFs are 257.5, 21.4, and 0.455 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-64," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-67: 1997 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-51 and has better image rejection and super fast scanning. Service Search lacks police frequencies. Fixed AM/NFM modes and step sizes. Can lock out up to 20 frequencies during a search. Can recharge AA cells within the scanner. Frequencies adjacent to the cellular phone bands censored so our PRO-67 will not receive 868.9625 or 823.9625 MHz. Intermediate Frequencies are 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-67,"

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-91: 1998 vintage. Portable made by Uniden for Radio Shack. 150 channels in 5 banks. Can selectively follow conversations in 800 MHz Motorola trunked radio systems. Censors 823.975 - 823.95 and 894.0125 - 896.1 MHz covered by the BC235XLT. Powered by four individual AA alkaline or NiCd batteries. Power save feature. Program key. One priority channel/bank. One search bank. Direct search. Service search omits many police freqs (inc. 460 - 460.5 MHz). While connected to an outdoor antenna, our PRO-91 experiences intermodulation interference in the 161 MHz range from a paging transmitter on 158.1 MHz. IFs near 380.7, 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. See PRO-91 review by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

PRO-2001: Early, single bank 16 channel programmable. Reasonable coverage of the 3 traditional bands, minus aircraft band. LED digital display as well as an LED per channel. Mechanical lockout switch for each channel. Delay is either on or off for all channels at a time. High synthesizer noise level. Troublesome plated through holes on digital board in some units renders radio virtually unfixable. Could never get mine to work more than a few days in a row; always another bad connection. Some owners have no trouble.

PRO-2003: Radio Shack's 1986 top of line. 50 channels + 10 FM commercial broadcast band channels. Includes aircraft. Good frequency coverage and functionality, but at a high price. Poor human engineering: difficult to read keyboard makes the PRO-2003 hard to operate unless in a well lit room. Keyboard label coloring improved on newer units. Rather slow scan rate and high price. Although there are provisions for 12VDC operation, the cabinet shape and lack of mounting bracket makes mobile operation impractical. Scan rate only 8 channels/sec vs. 15/sec in Regency and Bearcat. Causes RFI: Plastic case permits scanner to radiate signals into nearby receivers.

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan.

Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

PRO-2005: 1989 vintage. Essentially a size reduced PRO-2004 with surface mount components and 400 channels. Some people think the small knobs, smaller display, and plastic cabinet are a setback from the 2004. The smaller size, real rubber keyboard, and vertical front panel make it easer to use mobile, although the keyboard is not backlit. More sensitive than the PRO-2004 but 800 MHz signals leak through into the commercial aero band. Highly recommended. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2005 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, June 1989, and RCMA Journal, August 1989.

PRO-2006: 1990 vintage. Essentially the same as PRO-2005 but scans faster. Probably the best scanner made to date. Highly recommended. See "The Realistic PRO-2006," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1990.

PRO-2021: Base/mobile scanner. 200 channels in 10 banks, LCD display and raised rubber keys. Lots of memory but scans too slowly and lacks 800 MHz. Ten "monitor" channels. Radio Shack seemed to have an overstock of 2021s as they were on sale for such a long time. Close out price dipped to about $200, which made it a nice scanner for beginners.

PRO-2022: Base/mobile scanner. Like the PRO-2021 but includes the 800 MHz band. Somewhat prone to intermod in the 460 MHz range. Cellular images throughout the 847.6 - 869 MHz range. See September 1996 Monitoring Times for modifications.

PRO-2035: 1995 vintage wide coverage base made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Poor dynamic range. 10 linkable search banks. Dumb Auto Store feature stores the same frequencies over and over. Wastes time scanning empty channels. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2035 - How Does It Compare With the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, January 1995.

PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The

Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2037: 1994 vintage. Triple conversion all plastic base scanner made by GRE. Home version of the PRO-62 portable but with more intermod. 200 channels in 10 banks, one search bank, selectable AM and FM. Uses up conversion circuitry but inadequate front end filtering still allows images, though not as bad as in 10.7 or 10.8 MHz IF circuits. Crisp, respectable audio given the top mounted speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2037," by Bob Parnass, in July 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2040: late 1995 vintage 100 channel base made by Uniden. Fair performance, but minimal image rejection. Local oscillator harmonics account for spurious responses, e.g., reception of cellular telephone calls in the 460 MHz UHF-high band. Scans over 40 channels/sec. Can lock out up to 50 channels from search. "Soft" on/off power switching via keypad. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2040," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1995.

PRO-2042: 1996 version of the wide coverage PRO-2035 base with improved firmware and amber display. Made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. NFM selectivity is still a problem but improved over PRO-2035. 10 linkable search banks. Smart Auto Store feature stores the unique frequencies. Can lock out up to 200 frequencies from search. Query facility indicates if and in what channel a frequency is programmed. Scan skips over empty channels automatically. Can sort memories by frequency within a given bank. IFs are 609 - 612, 58.05, and 10.7/0.455 MHz. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Overall, a top grade scanner and second only to Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2042," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1996.

PRO-2045: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel base/mobile made for Radio Shack by Uniden. Tuning/channel selector knob. 10 banks, selectable attenuator, and rescan delay. CTCSS-capable with optional board. Great Auto Store. Weather alert. Scans and searches fast. Can lock out 50 frequencies during search. Step sizes fixed but AM and NFM modes are selectable. IFs are 380.7, 10.7, and 0.455 MHz. Images on VHF-high band despite high 1st IF. Some intermod on review unit. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2045," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2046: 1996 vintage 100 channel mobile made by Uniden with fairly good performance. Descendent of the BC760XLT but no CTCSS option available. Ten banks of ten channels.

Scans memory at about 34 channels/sec. Service search, direct search, and band search. Preset search limits by band and user cannot program the search limits. Image rejection is minimal, as one would expect from a scanner with IFs of 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. 10 Monitor memory channels. Can lock out up to 20 channels from a search. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2046," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2050: 1998 vintage 300 channel Motorola trunk system tracking base made by Uniden. Tight front end. Excellent performance. Chassis-less, plastic construction. Squelch and volume knobs too close and Manual key is hard to find. IFs are 380.7 (approx.), 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. AM and NFM modes are factory set and not selectable. 300 channels in 10 banks and a 2 second rescan delay may be programmed on a per channel basis. Query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Our PRO-2050 scans at 78 channels/sec., skipping over empty channels. 10 priority channels. Up to 50 frequencies may be locked out from limit search. A single pair of limits may be programmed for a limit search. No Direct key or direct search facility. Factory preprogrammed frequencies for police, fire/emergency, commercial air, public service, and weather, but not marine. See May 1998 Monitoring Times for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SONY

SC1PC: 1998 vintage. Good RF and audio performance. Covers 25 - 1300 MHz, minus cellular phone. Selectable step size and mode. Computer control and downloading with software and PC cable provided. Powered by ordinary AA batteries. Provided CDROM includes both the scanner control program and the multi million record PerCon FCC license database. 10 banks ("pages" in SONY parlance) of 30 channels each. Per-channel 2 second rescan delay. Unlike the ICOM IC-R10, you can scan any combination of memory banks. You can lock out ("skip") memory channels from scanning. The frequency stored in a skipped channel will also be skipped during searches. One priority channel checked every 5 seconds. SONY's limit search is restricted to one pair of search limits, though computer control expands this to 20 linkable pairs. Band search lets you search through 17 bands programmed at the factory. Service search. A cache, termed Intelligent Memory, stores the last 10 unique frequencies that were active for longer than 5 seconds each. IFs (in MHz) are 600 (below 370 MHz), 232.5 (above 370 MHz), 45.0, and 0.455. See "The SONY SC1PC," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1998, for a review which includes

quantitative test results.

SPORTYS

JD-100: 1997 vintage. Limited 20 channel scanner which covers civil and military air bands only. Good audio. Large display shows frequency only out to 2 digits. Slow scanning and odd keystroke sequences. No key press confirmation beep. Cannot lock out channels from memory scan. Powered by AA batteries. Battery saver circuit. See "Sportys JD-100 Air Scan," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, November 1997.

CRAIG (division of PIONEER)

4530: Japanese 10 channel crystal controlled 3 band unit. Also available under Plectron name but in different cabinet. No aircraft band. Deluxe features like priority, trimmer capacitors for netting each channel, front panel speaker, and rugged metal cabinet make this unit a winner. Channel lockout slide switches have finite life. Replacing burned out incandescent channel lamps not fun. Grab a 4530 if you find one in good condition.

SONAR

Sonar made several crystal controlled scanners and channelized monitor receivers which shared the same RF circuitry. Each radio was housed in the same size metal case and worked on both 120 VAC and 12 VDC. The models differed in the number of channels, the band coverage, and whether individual channels could be locked out.

Although they used a 10.7 MHz first IF, Sonar radios require parallel resonant crystals different from the common series resonant crystals used in Regency and Radio Shack scanners. Some Radio Shack crystals will work in Sonar units, but more often they oscillate a few kHz off frequency and are unsuitable unless changes are made to the oscillator circuitry. Therefore, beginners should avoid these radios. Typical Sonar squelch has long time constant causing a long noise burst at the end of each transmission.

FR-104, FR-105: 6 channel monitor receivers covering 25 - 50 MHz or 150 - 175 MHz. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning. Early models are identified by an 11 pin connector on the rear and they could be used with an optional NiCd battery pack and charger which bolted to the rear panel. Later models used Cinch Jones connectors with flat contacts. Optional tone decoder board.

FR-2512, FR-2513: 24 channel versions of the FR-104 and FR-105 monitor receivers. Manual channel selection, i.e., no

scanning.

FR-2514, FR-2515: 8 channel scanners. Channel 1 priority. Recommend you avoid these models as there is no way to lockout a channel from the scan sequence.

FR-2526, FR-2526, FR-2528: 10 channel scanners covering various combinations of 3 bands. Each channel could be locked out. Channel 1 priority.

Sonar Model	#chan- nels	VHF low	VHF high	UHF scans?	lock- outs?
FR104	6	X		no	
FR105	6	X		no	
FR2512	24	X		no	
FR2513	24	X		no	
FR2514	8	X		yes	no
FR2515	8	X		yes	no
FR2516	10	X		yes	yes
FR2517	10	X		yes	yes
FR2525	10	X		yes	yes
FR2526	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2527	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2528	10	X	X	yes	yes

Table 1. Summary of Sonar base/mobile monitor and scanner receivers

TENNELEC

Manufactured the first synthesized scanners. See US patents 3,961,261 and 3,962,644, granted 6/1/76 and 6/8/76 respectively. Company went out of business several years ago. Schematics and parts difficult to obtain. Radios reputed to be poor performers. Got my MS-2 and MCP-1 basket cases for free and sometimes regret taking them. Not worth fixing unless you have access to DTL/RTL chips and circuit diagrams.

Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their transistor Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by portable pagers, and are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola Alert crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel

programmable scanner. These radios are fixed channel units and do not scan. They can be powered form 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord.

The audio quality on a Plectron P1 or 700 series is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity and intermod immunity is outstanding if aligned properly. Better image rejection than most scanners (see article by Bob Parnass in Monitoring Times, January 1996 for comparison). The Plectron 500 series is less desirable. The Motorola Alert monitors are pretty good, although the audio lacks bass.

No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, and there were versions optimized for each portion of the band. There were different "split" models to cover segments of VHF-hi band, too. Although UHF versions were made, they are somewhat rare.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency. Too, the P1 and R700 require different crystals.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Repair service for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Woodson Electronics
Plectron Place
P.O. Box 960
Imboden, Arkansas 72434

telephone 1-(501)869-7985

Some replacement parts are currently available from Federal Signal in University Park, IL, phone (800)524-3021. For more information, see "Add a Crystal Receiver to Your Arsenal," by Bob Parnass, January 1996 Monitoring Times.

Custom Color Map & Report
of Transmitter Sites Near You

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state,

and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $4.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central

time. For a brochure, send SASE.

How to Obtain Copies of Patents

Printed copies of US patents may be purchased for $3 each, but you must know the patent number. Credit card orders are taken over the telephone by the Assistant Commissioner for Patents at (703)305-4350. Alternatively, you can mail a check or money order, made payable to "The Assistant Commissioner for Patents" to

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

For more information about the US Patent and Trademark Office, call their automated information message system toll-free at (800)786-9199.

There are various patent servers on the world wide web, including IBM's server:

http://patent.womplex.ibm.com

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3777. Pro2030,2026 and 35

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: photocode3@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990129200436.09539.00001642@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 129251

FOR SALE...ALL IN MINT CONDITION:

2 Pro2030 80channel, 8x10, base-mobile
1 Pro2026 100 channel, 5x20, mobile
1 Pro35 100 channel, 10x10, hand held

MAKE OFFER.....can also accept V/MC threw my company.

3778. Pro max Scanner antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: TKD <rt12@montrose.net>
Message-ID: <01be4bec$b6545de0$53c385cc@default>
Source record: 129252
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This antenna is compact and outperforms even most
discone outdoor type.
see
http://flashdistributor.com
order online.

3779. HEY PHIL SMALLEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbrambo@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78tm9q$kd2$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129253

Your an idiot. You and John Mackey, Peter Boe, Blake Bowers, and the rest of you lossers. LICK ME WHERE I PEE, BOY!

"WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
"I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3780. LICK ME WHERE I PEE JOHN MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbrambo@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <78tmhakgp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129254

John Mackey your a losser. Bill Cheeks was right. You are lower than pond scum. Hit the road and take Blake Bowers and Phil Smalley with you. Peter Boe too. You suck John Mackey

"WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
"I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3781. manual for Bearcat 200 (old scanner!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: smc@ix.net.au
Message-ID: <36b25afb.2278069@news.ix.net.au>
Source record: 129255

Wondering if anyone out there has a manual for an OLD bearcat 200 that they could photocopy and post to me. I have a freind who was given one of these for nothing but its a little difficult for HF ppl like us to work out! 8-)

Scott

smc@mail.ix.net.au

3782. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: seraph2@ibm.net
Message-ID: <36b26530.2894804@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 129256

On Fri, 29 Jan 1999 07:46:21 -0700, dcisive@wans.net (Lee Rothman)
wrote:

>I got the first unit that Digital Systems in St. Louis acquired back in
>early December. Yes I informed both WinRadio's technical and customer
>service departments as well as Digital Systems about my disappointment.
>WinRadio even emailed me several times and reinforced the fact that they
>not only didn't think I would be happy about the HF performance, but flat
>out don't recommend it for that purpose. They openly admitted that below
>30mhz, it is not much to talk about. Pretty much the same was said by the
>folks at Digital Systems. The crop of "WideBand PC Receivers" out there
>are simply way too compromised below 30mhz and I couldn't recommend any.
>The only exception to the rule (and quite an exception indeed) is another
>unit I tried and actually kept. The TenTec RX-320 is an amazing performer
>and will outperform any and all receivers below the level of the Drake
>R8B ($1200.00). With it's numerous filters and bandwidths as well as
>superior sensitivity and utter lack of PC noise, it is by far the very
>best thing out there for HF listening at this time. For only $295.00 it
>is a no brainer and a best buy by far. Check out their web site at
>www.tentec.com.

It's quite amazing to hear that despite the fact that you have been
warned (apparently several times) by experienced salesmen that buying
a VHF/UHF scanner is not such a good idea for your HF needs, you still
went ahead and did it. And then you complained about the performance.
And now you say that HF radios are the way to go. You could have saved
yourself the trouble. If you want a shortwave radio, buy a shortwave
radio....

:-)

Chris

3783. Re: Pro max Scanner antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B26DF4.EB2AEB3A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129257
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

TKD wrote:

> This antenna is compact and outperforms even most
> discone outdoor type.
> see
> http://flashdistributor.com
> order online.

Well by all means, let's have some specs and technical info on it.

I ain't buying anything that doesn't explain how it outperforms
"even most discone outdoor type" unless you explain just how
it does it, backed up by actual field test data.

Jon

3784. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: seraph2@ibm.net
Message-ID: <36b2669b.3257861@news-s01.ny.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 129258

On 27 Jan 1999 15:28:10 GMT, lcw999@worldnet.att.net (Leonard Weldon)
wrote:

<snip>

>The key to quietness on a computer controlled receiver is:
>
> 1. A good quiet power supply on your PC...the FCC
> specs should be adhered to. Some of the stuff
> coming out of the Pacific rim is still noisy...radiates
> noise like crazy. The good stuff has some neat little
> ferrite loops in them...well planned to be rf quiet.
> (All computer equipment should adhere to FCC
> section B, article 15 standards..these are
> minimal) The good stuff exceeds this.
>
>2. Make sure your case has some RF shielding...to
> hold back the D/A converter squeal that soundcards
> and some modems put out.
>
>3. Some of the later boards have Bios controlled functions
> to assist in making the board noise a bit quieter. Look up
> things called "Spread Spectrum Modulated", cuts
> down on board generated EMI. Quieten down things
> in certain frequency bands.
>
>4. Probably the worse radio noise offender is the CRT.
> Look closely at the NEC series...these babies are
> shielded like none other. I played around experimenting
> in this area and I can just about tell you how bad you
> noise will be on certain bands, depending on the
> type monitor you have. They all scream with rf output,
> NEC has worked on this...selling a lot of monitors to
> companies needing quiet crts in proximity to two-way
> radios. They cost a bit more...but, inside one finds metal
> frame shields and ferrites, some mu-metal in key spots,
> the whole works.
>
>5. Make sure you have a good healthy ground to an
> individual ground outside. RS has ground rods from
> 4 to 8 ft. The feed wire needs to be around 10guage.
> Strange how this will quieten down a receiver under
> certain conditions.

All very good points and very well put together.

>The earlier efforts of some companies to put a card in
>the PC case and expect it to have a decent S/N ratio
>was a joke. Pure lack of Engineering alertness regarding
>rf/emi forces at play and how it plays out on a wideband
>receiver. It was a degree of Engineering awareness that
>Icom decided on external positioning of the analogue
>portion of their radios. To put it inside the case would
>be disaster to anyone wanting anything other than FM radio!
>Basic knowledge here...

Do you really think that guys who can design and manufacture
a complex PC-based receiver would not be aware of RFI inside a PC? :-)
This is the first thing everyone thinks of. BTW, it was not Icom who
(oh so wisely) put a radio into a box. As far as I remember, radios
have been in external boxes all along. As to the first
computer-controlled radio in a box, this was Softwave, developed and
made in the U.S. by a company called Comfocus (I think it was in
1995? - correct me if I am wrong - in any case well ahead of their
time.) Rockwell-Collins also makes one (for about $8000), which also
predates Icom .

Winradio are pioneers in many respects and so not everyone understands
their products. As to their card-based radios, they do have some
advantages for multi-channel monitoring and especially the high-end
WR-3100 are great for this. A friend of mine works for a US govt.
department which uses them and they are extremely happy with them.
There are also other US companies (such as Avcom) who make high-end
receivers based on internal cards (especially for the VME bus), but
you don't hear about them, because they are *very* expensive and only
used by the government for defence and surveillance use.

Chris

3785. Radio Shack sale: Pro 2046 - $49.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: asdf <itac@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <xRus2.309$%r3.895733@news1.atl>
Source record: 129259

Just picked up a Pro 2046 from Radio Shack today for $49.99! They are on clearance, hence the price. No trunking, but it is a good basic radio(I am a beginner, so I figured this would be a good buy).
michael

3786. Pro-90 Reset

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Yantachka <myantach@home.com.no.spam>
Message-ID: <Afvs2.1246$xJ.1120@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 129260
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have just relocated, and would like to reset the scanner's memory banks.
(Having very many freqs., this would be a very tedious job to do manually.)
I know that there is a reset key sequence on Radio Shack's 150 channel trunk
tracker. Does anyone know of the reset "command" on the Pro-90?

Thanks ahead!

-Mark
myantach@home.com.no.spam
N1RII

Please respond by removing ".no.spam" from the end of my e-mail address!
Thanks! :)

3787. FS: Alinco DJ-X10 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4tjk@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990129225203.03746.00001165@ng106.aol.com>
Source record: 129261

Alinco DJ-X10 handheld scanner
.1mhz - 2000mhz (CELLULAR BLOCKED)
Receives CW,LSB,USB,AM,FM,WFM
1200 Memories
2 Nicad battery packs
1 Drycell AA pack
Drop in charger
Mint with box and manual
$325 Shipped

Thanks K4TJK

3788. FS: AOR AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4tjk@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990129230039.11466.00001276@ng107.aol.com>
Source record: 129262

```
AOR AR8000 Handheld Scanner
.5 mhz - 1900 mhz (CELLULAR BLOCKED)
1000 memory channels
Receives CW,LSB,USB,AM,FM,WFM
Mint with box and manual
$330 shipped

Thanks K4TJK
```

3789. FS: AOR AR8200 (FULL COVERAGE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4tjk@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990129230856.22577.00001270@ng108.aol.com>
Source record: 129263

AOR AR8200 Handheld Scanner
.5 mhz- 2000 mhz (UNBLOCKED WITH FULL COVERAGE)
1000 memory channels
All mode receiver
Mint with box and manual
$560 shipped

Thanks K4TJK

3790. WTB: Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: malloy@execpc.com
Message-ID: <78u0s3$c1b@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 129264

I am interested in purchasing an Opto Scout. Please email with what you have and your asking price.

3791. Re: Relm MS 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ##aheely##@##downcity##.net
Message-ID: <36b296e2.45805774@news.downcity.net>
Source record: 129265

As far as intermod goes the MS-200 is rather poor. What saves it is the PL/DCS. But they have the craziest PL I've ever seen. The squelch won't open with out the proper PL/DCS but once the squelch is open and the PL drops out if there is still a signal present, as in intermod, the squelch stays open until it's gone,

Having said that I like the scanner for the money. It don't have a lot of bells and whistles but it works out great for me listening to a lot of local stuff that I don't want to tie up the better radios for.

Art

On Fri, 29 Jan 1999 03:11:23 GMT, XXnospamXXezra@cscg.com (Ezra) wrote:

>Does anyone have any experience with the Relm MS 200? (the mobile one not the >hand held) Seems like a cool unit having pl and all. I have a pro-2026 and >the intermod kills me here in LA ... the relm seems like a good replacement >but I've never heard anything about it.

3792. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjrems@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990130003129.28927.00001080@ng101.aol.com>
Source record: 129266

>Is there a law against having the radio capable
>of TX'ing?

In fact there is. Unless you have a license for a freq or written permssion from holder of a license to transmit on his freq and that holder has enough room ie hes not maxed out on his radios per his license it is illegal to have a radio capable to transmit on any freq. The only exceptions being FRS and CB.

RJREMS

3793. Re: Two-Way Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36b49f49.8298593@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 129267

On Fri, 29 Jan 1999 01:35:47 -0500, Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> wrote:

>> Well? Some of us want to know. Is it a new REFLEX channel out there
>> besides Skytel?
>
> The pagers transmit (with 2 watts!!) in the 897/898MHz range.

Haha, might make a nice pocket warmer on a cold day..:)

>> >> Is it traceable in that the system it transmits to keeps track of which
>> >> site is responding?

> Nope, believe it or not, it is a very nice pager, not built by M! They're made
>in Canada by RIM.
>
> The web page to look at is http://www.bellsouthwd.com/

Thanks for the heads up on this cool new device!

Fort Lauderdale, FL
26.218640/-080.237551
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

3794. Re: Pro-90 Reset

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Yantachka <myantach@home.com.no.spam>
Message-ID: <urxs2.1386$xJ.1262@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 129268
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for the replies! I got the answer!

-Mark

Mark Yantachka wrote in message ...
>I have just relocated, and would like to reset the scanner's memory banks.
>(Having very many freqs., this would be a very tedious job to do manually.)
>I know that there is a reset key sequence on Radio Shack's 150 channel
trunk
>tracker. Does anyone know of the reset "command" on the Pro-90?
>
>Thanks ahead!
>
>-Mark
>myantach@home.com.no.spam
>N1RII
>
>Please respond by removing ".no.spam" from the end of my e-mail address!
>Thanks! :)
>
>
>
>

3795. Re: Pro 2004, 2005, 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-3001990015320001@56-ip-90.theramp.net>
Source record: 129269

In article <36bc51f5.246617772@news.mia.bellsouth.net>,
kryppy@bellsouth.net wrote:

> On Wed, 27 Jan 1999 19:39:25 -0800, Jeff <scanner@accessus.net> wrote:
>
> >Hello,
> >Can someone tell me the differences between the RS Pro 2004, 2005 ,
> >2006?
>
> My 2004 has a metal case that is larger then the 2005/2006 with a
> sloped face and membrane keyboard. My 2005 and 2006 look identical and
> perform identical with a plastic case and flat front plastic button
> keyboard. The keypad programming is the same for all three.
> I've read in the newsgroups the 2006 is the best performer with the
> best CPU, but of my units the 2004 is a bit more deaf (not by much)
> and scans slower then the 2005 and 2006 which seem to perform
> identically.
>
>
>
> Fort Lauderdale, FL
> 26.218640/-080.237551
> http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Network/8916/

I noticed that my 2005 was more sensitive on Highband than any of the
others, and that as a rule the 2006 was more sensitive on 800. The 2004
seems less affected by intermod and other weirdness (on account the metal
case I'm sure...) but less sensitive than the others.

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**************************g3mtnw*********************************

3796. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <36e4b01a.45775278@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 129270

rjrems@aol.comnospam (RJR EMS) wrote:

>>Is there a law against having the radio capable
>>of TX'ing?
>
>In fact there is. Unless you have a license for a freq or written permssion
>from holder of a license to transmit on his freq and that holder has enough
>room ie hes not maxed out on his radios per his license it is illegal to have a
>radio capable to transmit on any freq. The only exceptions being FRS and CB.

Oh, bullshit.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3797. WTT: Alinco 6 meter for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <36B2BC5A.3385@usa.net>
Source record: 129271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looking to trade my Alinco DR-M06TH 6 meter 20 watt mobile for a good *USED* scanner like a:

Alinco DJ-X10
AOR AR8000
Icom PRC-1000 or 100
BC9000XLT
Yupiteru MVT9000
Yupiteru MVT7100
Weltz WS1000

Must be in very good to mint condition! Doesn't matter if its cell blocked!

My Alinco is in very good condition....never used mobile and has been taken very good care of. I have the box, manual and the plastic is still on the display.

I am only looking for one of the above scanners so please don't email asking to trade for a 10 channel radio shack scanner or some junk like that. If I can't find a scanner that I want, then I will hang on to the Alinco.

3798. REWARD FOR TOM KASEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: deadkasey@newmail.net
Message-ID: <78ui1u$b4k$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129272

This is not a joke. I will respond to serious enquiries only. I am willing to give reward of $ 10,000,00 (TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS) to the individual or group of people who will provide me with : a) OFFICIAL POLICE RECORD (abstract from the book of events of the local PD) stating that TOM KASEY IV - KD4DYE, resident of Louisville KY was attacked and seriously injured. b) OFFICIAL HOSPITAL RECORD describing the injuries of TOM KASEY IV. ATTENTION : If you are able to provide me with an OFFICIAL death certificate of the above person I will double my reward to $ 20,000.00 (TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS).Contact me directly for more information. I will reply to seriously interested people only, others do not to contact me.

L.K.
Switzerland
Europe
CONTACT ADDRESS : deadkasey@newmail.net

I am using on purpose the email service that Kasey is using on frauding people (newmail.net) because I have found that they do not ask for personal info.
The above operation is and must remain STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.
PGP encryption key is REQUIRED for all the email communication.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3799. REWARD FOR TOM KASEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: deadkasey@newmail.net
Message-ID: <78uid3bfc1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129273

This is not a joke. I will respond to serious enquiries only. I am willing to give reward of $ 10,000,00 (TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS) to the individual or group of people who will provide me with : a) OFFICIAL POLICE RECORD (abstract from the book of events of the local PD) stating that TOM KASEY IV - KD4DYE, resident of Louisville KY was attacked and seriously injured. b) OFFICIAL HOSPITAL RECORD describing the injuries of TOM KASEY IV. ATTENTION : If you are able to provide me with an OFFICIAL death certificate of the above person I will double my reward to $ 20,000.00 (TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS).Contact me directly for more information. I will reply to seriously interested people only, others do not to contact me.

L.K.
Switzerland
Europe
CONTACT ADDRESS : deadkasey@newmail.net

I am using on purpose the email service that Kasey is using on frauding people (newmail.net) because I have found that they do not ask for personal info.
The above operation is and must remain STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.
PGP encryption key is REQUIRED for all the email communication.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3800. Yaesu FRG-9600 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <36B2CB9E.341@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 129274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Yaesu FRG-9600 Receiver. 60-905MHz all mode like new $375.

3801. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mike (EMT-Cardiac)" <Etlaesium@thenetco.com>
Message-ID: <IwAs2.31114$un6.5642762@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 129275
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

To end this thing out (hopefully),

There is no law saying that you cannot OWN a transmitter, but when confronted with the law (in court), it is commonly viewed that by owning a transmitter, you intend to use it to transmit.

Now, on that route, you can leave them with the burden of proving that you had actually transmitted. Questionable?

This seems like a case that you apologize for, turn over your equipment and do 1000 hrs of community service.

If you actually want to fight this, get yourself a good lawyer and plead that you have never transmitted on any frequency that you were not licensed to use.

If there is any encryption on these radios and you stole the radios, then they may be able to slam you with "Theft of trade secrets" if you were listening in on an encrypted, cell, or other private-use frequency. Only when a person takes steps to NOT have their conversation intercepted, can they cry illegalities (i.e. If you are scanning through freq.s on your scanner and pick up the US Gov't saying how they are going to bomb Iraq tomorrow, that is not illegal).

You must remember that these laws are pretty young and not often enforced. The plaintiff (prosecutor) has a large burden of proof in cases like these.

Again, I advise you to seek legal counsel. No one here can give you what you need. If you wish, you can do some research and find cases that are pertinent to your situation, then turn these over to your lawyer for interpretation.

From "Law & Order" to "NYPD Blue",

Mike

>>Radioman wrote in message <78i0l4$s0e$1@remarQ.com>...
>>>I was arrested about a year ago and now I'm being charged with California
>>>Penal Code 499c "Trade secrets-theft of."
>>>
>>>I'm alleged to have in my possession the following items.
>>>Astro Depot tool ver.03.04.00
>>>MTSX Depot tool ver.02.00.01
>>>Spectra Lab tool ver.05.03.00
>>>STX Labtool
>>>System Key Generator

>>>System Watch Codeplug Generator-FTR ver.02.00
>>>
>>CRRASH! (OOPS, I mean RRRRRRRRRRRRIIIIIIIIIIIIPPPPPPPPP!)
>>Radioman,
>>

3802. Re: manual for Bearcat 200 (old scanner!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: asrok@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990130053317.01208.00001453@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 129276

>Wondering if anyone out there has a manual for an OLD bearcat 200 that

I heard somewhere that Uniden has all of thier manuals on-line at thier web site. You could try there.

3803. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <mtplus@erols.com>
Message-ID: <78uulnscb1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129277
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, but unfortunately no consensus.

Regards,
Bob

Inciter wrote in message <78tn54$7ff$1@nnrp2.crl.com>...
>WELL mtplus
>

>You have gotten many professional replies for your post.. Good luck on your
>choose! I am using a 9110 Lucent Tech with secure connection. (PS) The
>X-files can always get your calls intercepted.
>
>
>Robert <mtplus@erols.com> wrote in message
>news:78na07ebi1@winter.news.rcn.net...
>> I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
>> reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
>> expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
>>
>> If I have posted this to the wrong group, please for give me and point me
>in
>> the right direction.
>>
>> --
>> Bob (in Maryland)
>>
>>
>
>

3804. FS: Alinco DJ580T Dual band HT, Rapid Charger and 5W pack - $245

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bodoh@splitrocktel.net
Message-ID: <917700298.649886@nntpcache2.nortel.net>
Source record: 129278

Hello,

For sale: Alinco DJ580T dual band (2m/440) handheld transceiver. Also included is a Mastercharger I rapid charger and a 5 watt battery pack. Price is $245 firm, payment by Postal Money order, I pay your choice of UPS or USPS shipping. USA sales only, no trades, no COD.

Tom, N0YGT

3805. FS: Alinco DJ580T Dual band HT, Rapid Charger and 5W pack - $245

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bodoh@splitrocktel.net
Message-ID: <917700148.887327@nntpcache2.nortel.net>
Source record: 129279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

For sale: Alinco DJ580T dual band (2m/440) handheld transceiver. Also included is a Mastercharger I rapid charger and a 5 watt battery pack. Price is $245 firm, payment by Postal Money order, I pay your choice of UPS or USPS shipping. USA sales only, no trades, no COD.

Tom, N0YGT

3806. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <78v407$pc1$0@207.18.224.119>
Source record: 129280

On 30 Jan 1999 05:31:29 GMT, rjrems@aol.comnospam (RJR EMS) wrote:

>>Is there a law against having the radio capable
>>of TX'ing?
>
>In fact there is. Unless you have a license for a freq or written permssion
>from holder of a license to transmit on his freq and that holder has enough
>room ie hes not maxed out on his radios per his license it is illegal to have a
>radio capable to transmit on any freq. The only exceptions being FRS and CB.

No, in fact there is not. There is no law concerning a non-licensed
person having a radio with transmit capability if they are not
licensed.

3807. Re: REWARD FOR TOM KASEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <78v47e$2jb$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 129281

Wow ! this operation is really "cloak - and - dagger" , considering that you posted it to a WORLDWIDE NEWS GROUP..... Have you taken out an ad in the National News services for this "covert" operation ?

>The above operation is and must remain STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.
>PGP encryption key is REQUIRED for all the email communication.
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3808. Need Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: okrent@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <36b31c92.0@nemo.idirect.com>
Source record: 129282

Hello,

I am thinking of purchasing a scanner and need some information. I want the scanner to monitor taxi cab dispatches in the Toronto area, are these frequencies available? Is there a list or guide of available frequencies for specific areas? I would like a handheld scanner, which ones should I look at and what should I stay away from?

Thanks in advance for any information given.

3809. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfutrell@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <36b31abd.918454507@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129283
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

On 30 Jan 1999 06:55:45 GMT, mnc@diana.law.yale.edu (Miguel Cruz)
wrote:

>Speaking of ergonomics... I was looking at the phones at Best Buy tonight,
>and they had the Vtech spread spectrum for around $80. But the handset was
>incredibly heavy. I can't imagine having to hold the thing up to my head for
>a long call. What gives?

I wouldn't say heavy, but it is rather *big*, which I *love*! Much
easier to hold between my shoulder and my ear than my wimpy corded
phone. Much more like (in size and shape) the basic "princess" style
phone.

A friend of mine had a cordless with a flip-mouthpiece, similar to a
cell phone. *Hello*! Those things are impossible to hold up w/ a
shoulder.

This address is unread due to spam.
Please post all replies.

3810. Re: cloning astro digital radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <D28F23AEDB25BE3E.B376381EFFB83F50.AEC06ADA0A29E3B6@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 129284

On 29 Jan 1999 07:05:18 GMT, talkyal@aol.com (Talkyal) wrote:

Keep in mind, IF and WHEN Uniden develops an APCO-25 capable scanner,
the scanner will not be able to monitor ASTRO transmissions that have
the software encryption feature enabled in the radio......

Most ASTRO radios have this feature by default as an ASTRO feature.
All the radio programmers have to do is to enable this function with a
one-time software key, and any scanner listener is up the creek.

Your only choice would be to have a copy of the Motorola RSS, and a
friend in the Police Department who is willing to let you grab a copy
of the codeplug from his radio. I suspect that when the time comes
for Uniden to release an APCO-25 capable scanner, police departments
will start enabling software encryption in their radios, effectively
making an APCO-25 capable scanner useless.

-Lb

Lindsay C. Blanton
lblant1@airmail.net
See the Southeast US Trunked Radio Information Homepage!
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm

>>From: mike frye <km6ab@pacbell.net>
>
>>In the Los Angeles area all the Saber Astro geeks program their radios from a
>>code
>>plug sucked out of a current LAPD radio.
>
>I know, I fear that it will ultimately happen, here, in Michigan: One guy
>will get a hold of a Michigan State Police Astro Digital and pull the software
>from it, put it on CD-RW, and Astro programming CD's will find their way all
>throughout Michigan. It won't be long until Michigan Motorola radio stores
>suddenly start having mysterious increases in Astro Digital radio sales. But,
>they better not "accidently" have the MSP software available at the
>point-of-sale,
>and they better not instruct the public on how to program an Astro Digital.
>All they can do is sell the Astro Digital. It will be up to you to secretly
>find the software (which I don't condone). I would rather see the production
>of a legal Astro Digital Scanner by UNIDEN, with support for it from the MSP,
>themselves.
>
>talkyal

3811. New Maine/NE scanner post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dbbadge@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990130102353.13673.00001265@ng23.aol.com>
Source record: 129285

Go to http://www.onelist.com for your frequency need while in New England/
Maine. For sale radios, etc

3812. Ham radio vacation in Crete Grece.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: MANOS <pelamare@her.forthnet.gr>
Message-ID: <78tb7t$436$1@medousa.forthnet.gr>
Source record: 129335

Dear OM,
You might like to have a look at:
http://www.freeyellow.com/members3/pelamare/
tnx de sv9anj

3813. Re: getting blasted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@mail.blinx.de>
Message-ID: <36B4D9CE.27EB4C54@mail.blinx.de>
Source record: 129036

You can get very pricey FM-filters (87-108 Mhz) to prevent overload in all other ranges or you can (let) create a more expensive special "notch"-filter for this special frequency. They can work aktive or passive and you should put it into the line before amplifying.

regards
odo

3814. 860XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Earl Buice <webuice@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#L9zV5WT#GA.304@upnetnews05>
Source record: 129037

Can BC860XLT be cell modified?

3815. Nova Scotia Canada Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Cyples <cyples@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <36B4E350.64095975@ns.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 129038
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: cyples.vcf

Anyone interested in exchanging frequencies, I have been an avid montor
for over fifteen years and have lots of frequencies. Always looking for
new ones.
Al

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

3816. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: fastdraw7@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27108-36B4E03E-82@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 129039

I had a good Lucent cordless telephone, but I moved on to a really good Uniden Extendaphone. It is clear and cheap, with answering system/speaker phone. Only thing wrong is it doesn't have a mute button!

3817. Dade County Fire and Rescue Real Audio feed at the Super Bowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B4E70A.1759@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dade County Fire and Rescue Real Audio feed for the Super Bowl

http://www.broadcast.com/sports/football/nfl/superbowlxxxiii/extended_coverage/dadecounty/

3818. FS: Radio Shack PRO 64 / 2041 scanner <-> computer programing cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg <n3oyr@REMOVEhome.net>
Message-ID: <36B4E61C.B8C@REMOVEhome.net>
Source record: 129041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Program your Radio Shack Pro 64 or PRO 2041 Scanner directly from your computer. This cable plugs into your scanner's earphone jack and into your computer's COM port. Store and catalog your frequencies on your computer, then upload them to your scanner at will.

$25.oo shipped in the US. I'll also include some freeware upon request.
-Greg
\|||/
(0-0)
----------------------oOOO--(_)--OOOo--
My Email address is altered due to
the prevalence of Spamers. Remove the
REMOVE from my return .oooO
address to reply! ( ) Oooo.
------------------------\ (----( )----
_)) /
(_/

3819. Re: who can buy unblocked in US ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Polothon <polothon@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <792pn0jah1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129042

Oh, I thought he was also qualified to buy cuban cigars, and he also likes scanner anntennas, hehe!

--

Regards,
Polothon
polothon@bigfoot.com
==========
"Hey Mel, why don't you get one of them first class tickets to da resurrection."-Al Pacino
==========
Check out my website! http://www.geocities.com/hollywood/hills/2222/
Bill Crocker wrote in message ...
>Bill Clinton.
>
>
>parker wrote in message <78vnqh$l8l$1@juliana.sprynet.com>...
>>who can buy unblocked scanners in the us ?
>>what government employees fit the qualifications?
>>
>>
>
>

3820. Any Prison Frequencies For CONCORD, MA??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Polothon <polothon@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <792q26kur1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129043

Does anybody have any idea what the prison frequencies are in Concord Massachuesetts? The Concord Jail, is the name. PLEASE EMAIL ME THEM, THANK YOU! :-)

--

Regards,
Polothon
polothon@bigfoot.com
==========
"Hey Mel, why don't you get one of them first class tickets to da resurrection."-Al Pacino
==========
Check out my website! http://www.geocities.com/hollywood/hills/2222/
macp wrote in message ...
>I would like Northern and So CA prison/jail freqs
>Thanks Sam
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3821. Re: Border Patrol Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: gsal <gsal@icsi.net>
Message-ID: <36B4ECFE.2A2D@icsi.net>
Source record: 129044

Trevor Harris wrote:
>
> Where do you live, there are many boarder patrol frequencies used, it depends
> where you are ?
> For instance;
> There are multiple areas Calexico, Chula "Wana" Vista, El Centro, Los Angeles,
> San Clamente, San Diego, Temecula offices.
>
> Big Dog wrote:
>
> > Anybody have a list of Border Patrol frequencies used in the So Cal area???
> > I have yet to find them published.

They are the same nationwide, but they are digitally
encrypted(Motorola Astro, Spectrum), and there is no feasible way to
decode them. They will make a scanner break squelch and they sound
like static. Not useful to the general public. :(

Info from my best friend who is a BP Agent.

3822. Re: Airline's Private Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: tony <no.spam@no.spam.no.spam>
Message-ID: <792u6bbpj1@camel21.mindspring.com>
Source record: 129045
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

I have a question. I've been thinking for a long time about buying a scanner to take with me on my frequent planespotting trips to LAX. My questions is, I live about 10 miles from LAX, will I also be able to monitor the frequencies from the comfort of my own home?

And also how much does a scanner cost, and what's the best place to get them? Online? In real stores?

Thanks.

JA Moran wrote in message <36B41AC4.F09E0701@analon.com>...
>I have a message that I posted earlier that gives all the known
communications
>frequencies on 108-136, 460, 800mhz, and also the ARINC VHF and HF
frequencies
>for Los Angeles (LAX)
>I bet that these same frequencies may be active in your area also
>+++
>According to my Aeronautical Frequency Directory, and also from spending
>lots of time at LAX with my scanner in hand, here are some frequequencies
>to monitor
>
>Sometimes, you can hear weather and other information on the VOR
frequencies
>(108-118mhz. The Blythe VOR is 117.4. I often hear weather broadcast on
this
>frequency when I am near there. The Beacon is not actually at the airport
but a
>
>mile south of the airport on the south side of I-10.
>
>When a pilot is given routing instructions or a clearance such as "Speed
Bird
>2081, Cleared direct
>Thermal" This means the Pilots will be tuning in the beacon TRM (116.2) and
>start tracking towards it in a straight line from their present position.
>
>You also can hear area/regional information on the LAX (Los Angeles) 113.6,
>OCN (Oceanside) 115.3,
>SXC (Santa Catalina) 111.4,
>SMO (Santa Monica) 110.8,
>VNY (Van Nuys) 113.1,
>CMA (Camarillo) 115.8,
>RZS (San marcus) 114.9 ,

>VTU (Ventura) 108.2,
>PSP (Palm Springs) 115.5
>PDZ (Paradise) 112.4,
>POM (Pomona) 112.2,
>GMN (Gorman) 116.1,
>RAL (Riverside) 112.4,
>NZJ El Toro)117.2,
>SLI (Seal Beach) 115.7
>TNP (Twentynine Palms) 114.2,
>TRM (Thermal) 116.2
>
>In Arizona. PXR (Phoenix) 115.6,
>IWA (Willie) 113.6,
>TFD (Stanfield) 114.8,
>BXK (Buckeye) 110.6,
>GBN (Gila Bend) 116.6,
>TUS (Tucson) 116.0,
>DRK (Drake/Prescott 114.1)
>INW (Winslow) 112.6,
>SJN (St Johns) 112.4,
>ZUN (Zuni) 113.4
>
>airway shuttle vans 936.1, 935.1
>search/rescue 122.9, 123.10
>lockheed corp: 3.444, 3.4430, 6.5514, 6.5500
>8.8234, 8.8220, 11.3704, 11.3060, 13.3134, 13.3120, 17.9654, 17.9640,
>21.9324, 21.9310, 125.25, 123.325, 123.425
>LAX Approach 124.5, 381.6 (heading 225-044)
>LAX Approach 124.9, 269.0 (090-224)
>LAX Approach 128.5, 360.7 (045-089)
>LAX ATIS arrivial 133.8
>LAX ATIS departures 135.65
>lax clearance 121.4, 327.0
>Coast Guard 381.8, 383.9
>LAX Departure 124.3, 363.2 (045-248)
>LAX Departure 125.2, 385.4 (249-044)
>LAX ground 121.65, 327.0 North Complex
>LAX ground 121.75, 327.0 South Complex
> (Between 11pm and 530am All Tower Services: Clearance, Ground, and tower
>are usually on 120.95 and UHF 379.1
>LAX operations 372.2
>LAX tower 119.8, 120.35
>LAX tower 120.95, 379.1 (South Complex and nights everything)
>LAX tower 133.9 239.3 (North Complex)
>LAX Unicom 122.95
>LAX vfr corridor 122.75
>Rochwell international 3.0054, 3.0040, 3.444, 3.4430, 5.5724, 5.5710,
>10.0464, 10.0450, 17.9654, 17.9640,
>LAX flight service (Hawthorne) 122.0, 122.1, 122.2, 122.5, 255.4
>

>LAX airport operations
>460.525, 460.10, 453.375 Police
>Operations 460.55
>
>for Phoenix, it;s 118..1 (clearance) 121.85, 119.75, 132.55, 121.9(Ground)
> 118.7, 120.9 (tower) 124.1, 119.2 (departure) 128.65, 126.8, 126.6,
120.7,
>123.7 (Approach) 121.2 (ATIS)
>
>Ceneter frequencies I've monitored include
>Denver center 132.425, 126.15, 132.1, 134.15 (Over Northern Arizona)
>Albuquerque Center 125.25, 135.15,132.9,125.4, 124.5, 133.0, 135.8 (Eastern
and
>Central Az)
>Los Angeles Center 133.2, 125.8, 132.6, 134.95, 124.85. 133.4,
>135.75,135.45, 134.75, 133.55 (Western Arizona, Califronia,
>Nevada)
>Oakland Center 128.7, 134.15, 127.45, 127.95 (California from SLO N to
about
>Ukiah)
>Seattle Center 124.85, 135.15 (Northern California/Southern Oregon)
>
>The center frequencies can be interesting to monitor on a Sunday. Some
>controllers and pilots will
>keep each other abreast of the footbll or basketball scores too
>
>
>
>
>The following frequencies are licensed or owned by Aeronautical Radio Inc
>but are used by various airlines for ground operations and "company"
>frequencies
>460.65, 460.775, 460.800 460.85 (Continental ramp/maint), 460.875 (UNited
>Maintenance, 460.775
>Trunked system, shared by several airlines:
>856.7875, 856.8875, 857.7875, 857.8875, 858.7875, 858.8875, 859.7875,
>859.8875, 860.7875, 860.8875 (Delta, Mexicana, and a few others have been
>heard here)
>
>460.70 (American), 465.775 (ARCO communications), 460.85 (Continental),
>460.70 (Continental), 460.65, (Delta) 460.75 (Delta), 460.775 (Delta),
>460.825 (Delta), 460.85 (Delta), 460.825 flying tiger/Federal Express),
>460.70 (MGM Grand), 460.70 (Northwest), 4690.825 (Quantis), 460.85
>(Quantis), 460.675 (TWA), 460.725 (United), 460.875 (United), 460.725
>(Virgin Atlantic), 460.85 (World Airways).
>
>Aeronautical Radio (ARINC) HF/Shortwve frequencies.
>5.5754, 5.5740 (HF ramp check)
>2.8704, 2.8690, 3.0144, 3.0130, 3.4144, 3.4130, 3.4954, 3.4940, 5.5304,
>5.5290, 5.5484, 5.5470, 6.6024, 6.6040, 6.6384, 6.6370, 6.6414, 6.69400,

>6.6744, 6.6730, 8.8444, 8.8430, 8.8504, 8.8490, 8.9344, 8.9330, 10.0344,
>10.0330, 10.0584, 10.0570, 11.2834, 11.2820, 11.3434, 11.3480, 13.3494,
>13.3480, 17.9054, 17.9040, 17.9264, 17.9400, 21.9264, 21.9250
>
>Evergreen 125.825
>128.875, 128.925 intl airlines
>american eagle 128.95
>mgm grand LACSA 128.975
>air france 129.025
>Scandavian SAS 129.075
>Nippon 129.10
>ACARS digital data 129.125Air new Zealand, Canadian, China air 129.15
>mexicana 129.175
>American ramp 129.225
>Southwest ramp 125.25
>United 129.30
>United operations 129.40
>UPs 129.425, 129.475
>United ramp 129.50
>Delta Atlanta Dispatch 129.55 (used Nationwide)
>Northwest 129.60, 129.65
>United Express 129.675
>TACA 129.725
>Lufthansa 129.875
>Aero Mexico, Korean air 129.90
>Alpha air 129.95
>Us Air Maint 130.00
>ACARS Digital Data 130.025, 130.05
>Delta Operations 130.10
>United Maintenance 130.225
>America West 130.25
>KLM 130.275
>British Airways 130.375
>Air Canada 130.475
>Continental 130.50
>Delta maintenance 130.525, 130.55
>El-al 130.6
>Northwest Minneapolis Dispatch 130.70
>TWA load control 130.775
>DElta Atlanta dispatch 130.775
>ARINC on ground frequency 130.80, 130.825
>asiana 130.95
>American maintenance 131.025
>131.15 us air operations
>131.20 Alaska
>Arinc On ground 131.30
>Hudson General Services 131.325
>Philippine 131.375
>Japan air 131.40
>Aerolinas Argentina 131.425

>Delta Ramp Tower (T-4, 5,6) 131.45
>Acars Digital Data 131.55
>Mercury Aviation 131.60
>DHL 131.625
>Iberia 131.675
>Hilltop Aviation 131.70
>Skywest 131.75
>TWA Ramp-maintenance 131.80
>Federal Express 131.825, 131.875, 131.925
>ARINC pacific frequency 131.950
>air la 131.975
>goodyear blimp 132.0
>
>malibu mountain rescue 121.85, 122.90
>
>I've found quite a bit of stuff also in the 935-940mhz frequencies.
>America West in Phoenix uses 935.755 and 935.1875. Don't know if they use
>these sam frequencies elsewhere. I looked at the antennas on their radios
>then did a little detective work, from there , I sat down with my scanner
>and began searching until what I heard through the scanner matched what I
>was hearing out the America West radios being carried around the terminal.
>
>328.6-335.4 ar ILS Glidepath frequencies
>960-1215 are allocated for Navaids..transponders, I think are 1050mhz.
>Transponders can also be in the 1300-1350mhz range.
>Airport Radars use 2700-2900mhz frequencies!
>
>hope this helps!!
>let me know if you find something I don't have listed.
>I have also monitored many Cellulatr calls while near LAX and also the Air
>fones in the 849-850 and 869mhz area. I believe these are digital signals.
>
>
>+++
>
>Rich Wells wrote:
>
>> ssacntrl1000@mindspring.com wrote:
>> > Does anyone know what the frequencies are that the airlines use to
>> > talk to their hub facilities? These frequencies are not for tower
>> > communications.
>>
>> They typically use the ARINC frequencies licensed between
>> 128.825 and 132.000
>>
>> Strong Signals,
>> Rich Wells N2MCA
>> http://www.strongsignals.net/
>
>

>
>--
>---

>
>> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
><
>> Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(:
MS-Windows):<
>> Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit
bucket!<
>> if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
><
>+++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They lose payments!, Say I didn't pay!
>---

>
>
>

3823. Re: FS:BC-12 Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: swldd@proaxis.com
Message-ID: <36B4FB74.7353@proaxis.com>
Source record: 129046

Dick Mahar wrote:
>
> In article <36B383B0.56EB@proaxis.com> swldd@proaxis.com writes:
> >From: swldd@proaxis.com
> >Subject: FS:BC-12 Bearcat
> >Date: Sat, 30 Jan 1999 14:12:00 -0800
>
> >I have an extra Bearcat BC-12 for sale. If you are interested
> >e-mail me direct and maybe we can deal. Tnx---Doug
>
> I'm looking for a basic scanner. What is a BC-12, does it have search,
> manuals, and a power supply of some sort?

Sorry Bob (KB8GNF) your e-mail won't work!!
Doug

3824. Re: MDT frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480003101991702520001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 129047

In article <36B48752.A9E92286@worldnet.att.net>, Barun Gupta
<barun@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Is there a frequency guide somewhere that will identify the frequencies
> used by different cities etc that will also indicate which ones are MDT
> freqs?

Get the NPSTS Frequency Guide that ships w the BC235XLT and LISTEN to the
freqs, stepping thru them in manual mode. The ones that sound like
BUZZZEXLSHXITH...? Those are probably the MDT freqs.

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Of Twenty-Six, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Know if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

3825. Re: FS 3M Double Sticky Foam Tape $10/roll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480003101991709020001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 129048

In article <36b59980.1077026@news.atl.bellsouth.net>, jeepguy@bellsouth.net
wrote:

> 1/2 x 36 yds per roll. retails $19.99 per roll..3 ROLLS FOR $10.00
> THX
> Tom

WHY???

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Of Twenty-Six, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Know if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

3826. Re: REMOVAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: txjones@tyransportz.com
Message-ID: <txjones-ya023480003101991711120001@news.transport.com>
Source record: 129049

ken81145@rockisland.com wrote:

> <78VGNB$2F4$1@DEJANEWS.COM
>
> WHY PUT IN A REQUEST WHEN IT WON'T BE LISTED.

Do you mean something, or just talking? In other words:

What the FUCK are you talking about?

--
If you wish to write back to me,
Of Twenty-Six, remove the last three.
And if this code you cannot crack,
Know if morons could fly,
The sky would be black!

3827. FS: MINT AOR AR8000 Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Monfeli <rmonfeli@dconn.com>
Message-ID: <79304pcil1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129050
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

As it says, you wouldn't know the difference if you purchased one new and set them next to each other. Has box, manual, dc adapter, ac adapter, 4 nicads and I will add a case to keep it looking new. Asking $450.00 This receiver was used for 10 hours aprox and put back in box.
Ron

3828. Re: Airline's Private Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: dave smith <c>
Message-ID: <36b5097c.0@news.cgocable.net>
Source record: 129051
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

alternatively, you could get a life

--

tony wrote in message <792u6bbpj1@camel21.mindspring.com>...
|I have a question. I've been thinking for a long time about buying a
|scanner to take with me on my frequent planespotting trips to LAX. My
|questions is, I live about 10 miles from LAX, will I also be able to
monitor
|the frequencies from the comfort of my own home?
|
|And also how much does a scanner cost, and what's the best place to get
|them? Online? In real stores?
|
|Thanks.
|
|JA Moran wrote in message <36B41AC4.F09E0701@analon.com>...
|>I have a message that I posted earlier that gives all the known
|communications
|>frequencies on 108-136, 460, 800mhz, and also the ARINC VHF and HF
|frequencies
|>for Los Angeles (LAX)
|>I bet that these same frequencies may be active in your area also
|>+++
|>According to my Aeronautical Frequency Directory, and also from spending
|>lots of time at LAX with my scanner in hand, here are some frequequencies
|>to monitor
|>
|>Sometimes, you can hear weather and other information on the VOR
|frequencies
|>(108-118mhz. The Blythe VOR is 117.4. I often hear weather broadcast on
|this
|>frequency when I am near there. The Beacon is not actually at the airport
|but a
|>
|>mile south of the airport on the south side of I-10.
|>
|>When a pilot is given routing instructions or a clearance such as "Speed
|Bird
|>2081, Cleared direct
|>Thermal" This means the Pilots will be tuning in the beacon TRM (116.2)
and
|>start tracking towards it in a straight line from their present position.
|>

|>You also can hear area/regional information on the LAX (Los Angeles)
113.6,
|>OCN (Oceanside) 115.3,
|>SXC (Santa Catalina) 111.4,
|>SMO (Santa Monica) 110.8,
|>VNY (Van Nuys) 113.1,
|>CMA (Camarillo) 115.8,
|>RZS (San marcus) 114.9 ,
|>VTU (Ventura) 108.2,
|>PSP (Palm Springs) 115.5
|>PDZ (Paradise) 112.4,
|>POM (Pomona) 112.2,
|>GMN (Gorman) 116.1,
|>RAL (Riverside) 112.4,
|>NZJ El Toro)117.2,
|>SLI (Seal Beach) 115.7
|>TNP (Twentynine Palms) 114.2,
|>TRM (Thermal) 116.2
|>
|>In Arizona. PXR (Phoenix) 115.6,
|>IWA (Willie) 113.6,
|>TFD (Stanfield) 114.8,
|>BXK (Buckeye) 110.6,
|>GBN (Gila Bend) 116.6,
|>TUS (Tucson) 116.0,
|>DRK (Drake/Prescott 114.1)
|>INW (Winslow) 112.6,
|>SJN (St Johns) 112.4,
|>ZUN (Zuni) 113.4
|>
|>airway shuttle vans 936.1, 935.1
|>search/rescue 122.9, 123.10
|>lockheed corp: 3.444, 3.4430, 6.5514, 6.5500
|>8.8234, 8.8220, 11.3704, 11.3060, 13.3134, 13.3120, 17.9654, 17.9640,
|>21.9324, 21.9310, 125.25, 123.325, 123.425
|>LAX Approach 124.5, 381.6 (heading 225-044)
|>LAX Approach 124.9, 269.0 (090-224)
|>LAX Approach 128.5, 360.7 (045-089)
|>LAX ATIS arrivial 133.8
|>LAX ATIS departures 135.65
|>lax clearance 121.4, 327.0
|>Coast Guard 381.8, 383.9
|>LAX Departure 124.3, 363.2 (045-248)
|>LAX Departure 125.2, 385.4 (249-044)
|>LAX ground 121.65, 327.0 North Complex
|>LAX ground 121.75, 327.0 South Complex
|> (Between 11pm and 530am All Tower Services: Clearance, Ground, and tower
|>are usually on 120.95 and UHF 379.1
|>LAX operations 372.2
|>LAX tower 119.8, 120.35

|>LAX tower 120.95, 379.1 (South Complex and nights everything)
|>LAX tower 133.9 239.3 (North Complex)
|>LAX Unicom 122.95
|>LAX vfr corridor 122.75
|>Rochwell international 3.0054, 3.0040, 3.444, 3.4430, 5.5724, 5.5710,
|>10.0464, 10.0450, 17.9654, 17.9640,
|>LAX flight service (Hawthorne) 122.0, 122.1, 122.2, 122.5, 255.4
|>
|>LAX airport operations
|>460.525, 460.10, 453.375 Police
|>Operations 460.55
|>
|>for Phoenix, it;s 118..1 (clearance) 121.85, 119.75, 132.55, 121.9(Ground)
|> 118.7, 120.9 (tower) 124.1, 119.2 (departure) 128.65, 126.8, 126.6,
|120.7,
|>123.7 (Approach) 121.2 (ATIS)
|>
|>Ceneter frequencies I've monitored include
|>Denver center 132.425, 126.15, 132.1, 134.15 (Over Northern Arizona)
|>Albuquerque Center 125.25, 135.15,132.9,125.4, 124.5, 133.0, 135.8
(Eastern
|and
|>Central Az)
|>Los Angeles Center 133.2, 125.8, 132.6, 134.95, 124.85. 133.4,
|>135.75,135.45, 134.75, 133.55 (Western Arizona, Califronia,
|>Nevada)
|>Oakland Center 128.7, 134.15, 127.45, 127.95 (California from SLO N to
|about
|>Ukiah)
|>Seattle Center 124.85, 135.15 (Northern California/Southern Oregon)
|>
|>The center frequencies can be interesting to monitor on a Sunday. Some
|>controllers and pilots will
|>keep each other abreast of the footbll or basketball scores too
|>
|>
|>
|>
|>The following frequencies are licensed or owned by Aeronautical Radio Inc
|>but are used by various airlines for ground operations and "company"
|>frequencies
|>460.65, 460.775, 460.800 460.85 (Continental ramp/maint), 460.875 (UNited
|>Maintenance, 460.775
|>Trunked system, shared by several airlines:
|>856.7875, 856.8875, 857.7875, 857.8875, 858.7875, 858.8875, 859.7875,
|>859.8875, 860.7875, 860.8875 (Delta, Mexicana, and a few others have been
|>heard here)
|>
|>460.70 (American), 465.775 (ARCO communications), 460.85 (Continental),
|>460.70 (Continental), 460.65, (Delta) 460.75 (Delta), 460.775 (Delta),

|>460.825 (Delta), 460.85 (Delta), 460.825 flying tiger/Federal Express),
|>460.70 (MGM Grand), 460.70 (Northwest), 4690.825 (Quantis), 460.85
|>(Quantis), 460.675 (TWA), 460.725 (United), 460.875 (United), 460.725
|>(Virgin Atlantic), 460.85 (World Airways).
|>
|>Aeronautical Radio (ARINC) HF/Shortwve frequencies.
|>5.5754, 5.5740 (HF ramp check)
|>2.8704, 2.8690, 3.0144, 3.0130, 3.4144, 3.4130, 3.4954, 3.4940, 5.5304,
|>5.5290, 5.5484, 5.5470, 6.6024, 6.6040, 6.6384, 6.6370, 6.6414, 6.69400,
|>6.6744, 6.6730, 8.8444, 8.8430, 8.8504, 8.8490, 8.9344, 8.9330, 10.0344,
|>10.0330, 10.0584, 10.0570, 11.2834, 11.2820, 11.3434, 11.3480, 13.3494,
|>13.3480, 17.9054, 17.9040, 17.9264, 17.9400, 21.9264, 21.9250
|>
|>Evergreen 125.825
|>128.875, 128.925 intl airlines
|>american eagle 128.95
|>mgm grand LACSA 128.975
|>air france 129.025
|>Scandavian SAS 129.075
|>Nippon 129.10
|>ACARS digital data 129.125Air new Zealand, Canadian, China air 129.15
|>mexicana 129.175
|>American ramp 129.225
|>Southwest ramp 125.25
|>United 129.30
|>United operations 129.40
|>UPs 129.425, 129.475
|>United ramp 129.50
|>Delta Atlanta Dispatch 129.55 (used Nationwide)
|>Northwest 129.60, 129.65
|>United Express 129.675
|>TACA 129.725
|>Lufthansa 129.875
|>Aero Mexico, Korean air 129.90
|>Alpha air 129.95
|>Us Air Maint 130.00
|>ACARS Digital Data 130.025, 130.05
|>Delta Operations 130.10
|>United Maintenance 130.225
|>America West 130.25
|>KLM 130.275
|>British Airways 130.375
|>Air Canada 130.475
|>Continental 130.50
|>Delta maintenance 130.525, 130.55
|>El-al 130.6
|>Northwest Minneapolis Dispatch 130.70
|>TWA load control 130.775
|>DElta Atlanta dispatch 130.775
|>ARINC on ground frequency 130.80, 130.825

|>asiana 130.95
|>American maintenance 131.025
|>131.15 us air operations
|>131.20 Alaska
|>Arinc On ground 131.30
|>Hudson General Services 131.325
|>Philippine 131.375
|>Japan air 131.40
|>Aerolinas Argentina 131.425
|>Delta Ramp Tower (T-4, 5,6) 131.45
|>Acars Digital Data 131.55
|>Mercury Aviation 131.60
|>DHL 131.625
|>Iberia 131.675
|>Hilltop Aviation 131.70
|>Skywest 131.75
|>TWA Ramp-maintenance 131.80
|>Federal Express 131.825, 131.875, 131.925
|>ARINC pacific frequency 131.950
|>air la 131.975
|>goodyear blimp 132.0
|>
|>malibu mountain rescue 121.85, 122.90
|>
|>I've found quite a bit of stuff also in the 935-940mhz frequencies.
|>America West in Phoenix uses 935.755 and 935.1875. Don't know if they use
|>these sam frequencies elsewhere. I looked at the antennas on their radios
|>then did a little detective work, from there , I sat down with my scanner
|>and began searching until what I heard through the scanner matched what I
|>was hearing out the America West radios being carried around the terminal.
|>
|>328.6-335.4 ar ILS Glidepath frequencies
|>960-1215 are allocated for Navaids..transponders, I think are 1050mhz.
|>Transponders can also be in the 1300-1350mhz range.
|>Airport Radars use 2700-2900mhz frequencies!
|>
|>hope this helps!!
|>let me know if you find something I don't have listed.
|>I have also monitored many Cellulatr calls while near LAX and also the Air
|>fones in the 849-850 and 869mhz area. I believe these are digital signals.
|>
|>
|>+++
|>
|>Rich Wells wrote:
|>
|>> ssacntrl1000@mindspring.com wrote:
|>> > Does anyone know what the frequencies are that the airlines use to
|>> > talk to their hub facilities? These frequencies are not for tower
|>> > communications.

```
|>>
|>> They typically use the ARINC frequencies licensed between
|>> 128.825 and 132.000
|>>
|>> Strong Signals,
|>> Rich Wells N2MCA
|>> http://www.strongsignals.net/
|>
|>
|>
|>--
|>-------------------------------------------------------------------------
-
|-----
|>
|>> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
|><
|>> Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(:
| MS-Windows ):<
|>> Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit
|bucket!<
|>> if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the
group
|><
|>+++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They lose payments!, Say I didn't
pay!
|>-------------------------------------------------------------------------
-
|-----
|>
|>
|>
|
|
```

3829. Re: Airline's Private Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: n.arky@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <36B50E87.44DAC913@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 129052
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

In case you didn't notice, this is either the alt.radio.scanner & rec.radio.scanner newsgroup. The subject matter happens to be scanning, and therefore my fine feathered friend, Tony had a very valid question. If we're going to start casting aspersions about a persons "life," perhaps we should start with you and anyone else who spends any amount of their time browsing ng's.

dave smith wrote:

> alternatively, you could get a life
>
> --
>
> tony wrote in message <792u6bbpj1@camel21.mindspring.com>...
> |I have a question. I've been thinking for a long time about buying a
> |scanner to take with me on my frequent planespotting trips to LAX. My
> |questions is, I live about 10 miles from LAX, will I also be able to
> monitor
> |the frequencies from the comfort of my own home?
> |
> |And also how much does a scanner cost, and what's the best place to get
> |them? Online? In real stores?
> |
> |Thanks.
> |
> |JA Moran wrote in message <36B41AC4.F09E0701@analon.com>...
> |>I have a message that I posted earlier that gives all the known
> |communications
> |>frequencies on 108-136, 460, 800mhz, and also the ARINC VHF and HF
> |frequencies
> |>for Los Angeles (LAX)
> |>I bet that these same frequencies may be active in your area also
> |>+++
> |>According to my Aeronautical Frequency Directory, and also from spending
> |>lots of time at LAX with my scanner in hand, here are some frequequencies
> |>to monitor
> |>
> |>Sometimes, you can hear weather and other information on the VOR
> |frequencies
> |>(108-118mhz. The Blythe VOR is 117.4. I often hear weather broadcast on
> |this
> |>frequency when I am near there. The Beacon is not actually at the airport
> |but a
> |>

> |>mile south of the airport on the south side of I-10.
> |>
> |>When a pilot is given routing instructions or a clearance such as "Speed
> |Bird
> |>2081, Cleared direct
> |>Thermal" This means the Pilots will be tuning in the beacon TRM (116.2)
> and
> |>start tracking towards it in a straight line from their present position.
> |>
> |>You also can hear area/regional information on the LAX (Los Angeles)
> 113.6,
> |>OCN (Oceanside) 115.3,
> |>SXC (Santa Catalina) 111.4,
> |>SMO (Santa Monica) 110.8,
> |>VNY (Van Nuys) 113.1,
> |>CMA (Camarillo) 115.8,
> |>RZS (San marcus) 114.9 ,
> |>VTU (Ventura) 108.2,
> |>PSP (Palm Springs) 115.5
> |>PDZ (Paradise) 112.4,
> |>POM (Pomona) 112.2,
> |>GMN (Gorman) 116.1,
> |>RAL (Riverside) 112.4,
> |>NZJ El Toro)117.2,
> |>SLI (Seal Beach) 115.7
> |>TNP (Twentynine Palms) 114.2,
> |>TRM (Thermal) 116.2
> |>
> |>In Arizona. PXR (Phoenix) 115.6,
> |>IWA (Willie) 113.6,
> |>TFD (Stanfield) 114.8,
> |>BXK (Buckeye) 110.6,
> |>GBN (Gila Bend) 116.6,
> |>TUS (Tucson) 116.0,
> |>DRK (Drake/Prescott 114.1)
> |>INW (Winslow) 112.6,
> |>SJN (St Johns) 112.4,
> |>ZUN (Zuni) 113.4
> |>
> |>airway shuttle vans 936.1, 935.1
> |>search/rescue 122.9, 123.10
> |>lockheed corp: 3.444, 3.4430, 6.5514, 6.5500
> |>8.8234, 8.8220, 11.3704, 11.3060, 13.3134, 13.3120, 17.9654, 17.9640,
> |>21.9324, 21.9310, 125.25, 123.325, 123.425
> |>LAX Approach 124.5, 381.6 (heading 225-044)
> |>LAX Approach 124.9, 269.0 (090-224)
> |>LAX Approach 128.5, 360.7 (045-089)
> |>LAX ATIS arrivial 133.8
> |>LAX ATIS departures 135.65
> |>lax clearance 121.4, 327.0

> |>Coast Guard 381.8, 383.9
> |>LAX Departure 124.3, 363.2 (045-248)
> |>LAX Departure 125.2, 385.4 (249-044)
> |>LAX ground 121.65, 327.0 North Complex
> |>LAX ground 121.75, 327.0 South Complex
> |> (Between 11pm and 530am All Tower Services: Clearance, Ground, and tower
> |>are usually on 120.95 and UHF 379.1
> |>LAX operations 372.2
> |>LAX tower 119.8, 120.35
> |>LAX tower 120.95, 379.1 (South Complex and nights everything)
> |>LAX tower 133.9 239.3 (North Complex)
> |>LAX Unicom 122.95
> |>LAX vfr corridor 122.75
> |>Rochwell international 3.0054, 3.0040, 3.444, 3.4430, 5.5724, 5.5710,
> |>10.0464, 10.0450, 17.9654, 17.9640,
> |>LAX flight service (Hawthorne) 122.0, 122.1, 122.2, 122.5, 255.4
> |>
> |>LAX airport operations
> |>460.525, 460.10, 453.375 Police
> |>Operations 460.55
> |>
> |>for Phoenix, it;s 118..1 (clearance) 121.85, 119.75, 132.55, 121.9(Ground)
> |> 118.7, 120.9 (tower) 124.1, 119.2 (departure) 128.65, 126.8, 126.6,
> |120.7,
> |>123.7 (Approach) 121.2 (ATIS)
> |>
> |>Ceneter frequencies I've monitored include
> |>Denver center 132.425, 126.15, 132.1, 134.15 (Over Northern Arizona)
> |>Albuquerque Center 125.25, 135.15,132.9,125.4, 124.5, 133.0, 135.8
> (Eastern
> |and
> |>Central Az)
> |>Los Angeles Center 133.2, 125.8, 132.6, 134.95, 124.85. 133.4,
> |>135.75,135.45, 134.75, 133.55 (Western Arizona, Califronia,
> |>Nevada)
> |>Oakland Center 128.7, 134.15, 127.45, 127.95 (California from SLO N to
> |about
> |>Ukiah)
> |>Seattle Center 124.85, 135.15 (Northern California/Southern Oregon)
> |>
> |>The center frequencies can be interesting to monitor on a Sunday. Some
> |>controllers and pilots will
> |>keep each other abreast of the footbll or basketball scores too
> |>
> |>
> |>
> |>
> |>The following frequencies are licensed or owned by Aeronautical Radio Inc
> |>but are used by various airlines for ground operations and "company"
> |>frequencies

> |>460.65, 460.775, 460.800 460.85 (Continental ramp/maint), 460.875 (UNited
> |>Maintenance, 460.775
> |>Trunked system, shared by several airlines:
> |>856.7875, 856.8875, 857.7875, 857.8875, 858.7875, 858.8875, 859.7875,
> |>859.8875, 860.7875, 860.8875 (Delta, Mexicana, and a few others have been
> |>heard here)
> |>
> |>460.70 (American), 465.775 (ARCO communications), 460.85 (Continental),
> |>460.70 (Continental), 460.65, (Delta) 460.75 (Delta), 460.775 (Delta),
> |>460.825 (Delta), 460.85 (Delta), 460.825 flying tiger/Federal Express),
> |>460.70 (MGM Grand), 460.70 (Northwest), 4690.825 (Quantis), 460.85
> |>(Quantis), 460.675 (TWA), 460.725 (United), 460.875 (United), 460.725
> |>(Virgin Atlantic), 460.85 (World Airways).
> |>
> |>Aeronautical Radio (ARINC) HF/Shortwve frequencies.
> |>5.5754, 5.5740 (HF ramp check)
> |>2.8704, 2.8690, 3.0144, 3.0130, 3.4144, 3.4130, 3.4954, 3.4940, 5.5304,
> |>5.5290, 5.5484, 5.5470, 6.6024, 6.6040, 6.6384, 6.6370, 6.6414, 6.69400,
> |>6.6744, 6.6730, 8.8444, 8.8430, 8.8504, 8.8490, 8.9344, 8.9330, 10.0344,
> |>10.0330, 10.0584, 10.0570, 11.2834, 11.2820, 11.3434, 11.3480, 13.3494,
> |>13.3480, 17.9054, 17.9040, 17.9264, 17.9400, 21.9264, 21.9250
> |>
> |>Evergreen 125.825
> |>128.875, 128.925 intl airlines
> |>american eagle 128.95
> |>mgm grand LACSA 128.975
> |>air france 129.025
> |>Scandavian SAS 129.075
> |>Nippon 129.10
> |>ACARS digital data 129.125Air new Zealand, Canadian, China air 129.15
> |>mexicana 129.175
> |>American ramp 129.225
> |>Southwest ramp 125.25
> |>United 129.30
> |>United operations 129.40
> |>UPs 129.425, 129.475
> |>United ramp 129.50
> |>Delta Atlanta Dispatch 129.55 (used Nationwide)
> |>Northwest 129.60, 129.65
> |>United Express 129.675
> |>TACA 129.725
> |>Lufthansa 129.875
> |>Aero Mexico, Korean air 129.90
> |>Alpha air 129.95
> |>Us Air Maint 130.00
> |>ACARS Digital Data 130.025, 130.05
> |>Delta Operations 130.10
> |>United Maintenance 130.225
> |>America West 130.25
> |>KLM 130.275

> |>British Airways 130.375
> |>Air Canada 130.475
> |>Continental 130.50
> |>Delta maintenance 130.525, 130.55
> |>El-al 130.6
> |>Northwest Minneapolis Dispatch 130.70
> |>TWA load control 130.775
> |>DElta Atlanta dispatch 130.775
> |>ARINC on ground frequency 130.80, 130.825
> |>asiana 130.95
> |>American maintenance 131.025
> |>131.15 us air operations
> |>131.20 Alaska
> |>Arinc On ground 131.30
> |>Hudson General Services 131.325
> |>Philippine 131.375
> |>Japan air 131.40
> |>Aerolinas Argentina 131.425
> |>Delta Ramp Tower (T-4, 5,6) 131.45
> |>Acars Digital Data 131.55
> |>Mercury Aviation 131.60
> |>DHL 131.625
> |>Iberia 131.675
> |>Hilltop Aviation 131.70
> |>Skywest 131.75
> |>TWA Ramp-maintenance 131.80
> |>Federal Express 131.825, 131.875, 131.925
> |>ARINC pacific frequency 131.950
> |>air la 131.975
> |>goodyear blimp 132.0
> |>
> |>malibu mountain rescue 121.85, 122.90
> |>
> |>I've found quite a bit of stuff also in the 935-940mhz frequencies.
> |>America West in Phoenix uses 935.755 and 935.1875. Don't know if they use
> |>these sam frequencies elsewhere. I looked at the antennas on their radios
> |>then did a little detective work, from there , I sat down with my scanner
> |>and began searching until what I heard through the scanner matched what I
> |>was hearing out the America West radios being carried around the terminal.
> |>
> |>328.6-335.4 ar ILS Glidepath frequencies
> |>960-1215 are allocated for Navaids..transponders, I think are 1050mhz.
> |>Transponders can also be in the 1300-1350mhz range.
> |>Airport Radars use 2700-2900mhz frequencies!
> |>
> |>hope this helps!!
> |>let me know if you find something I don't have listed.
> |>I have also monitored many Cellulatr calls while near LAX and also the Air
> |>fones in the 849-850 and 869mhz area. I believe these are digital signals.
> |>

> |>
> |>+++
> |>
> |>Rich Wells wrote:
> |>
> |>> ssacntrl1000@mindspring.com wrote:
> |>> > Does anyone know what the frequencies are that the airlines use to
> |>> > talk to their hub facilities? These frequencies are not for tower
> |>> > communications.
> |>>
> |>> They typically use the ARINC frequencies licensed between
> |>> 128.825 and 132.000
> |>>
> |>> Strong Signals,
> |>> Rich Wells N2MCA
> |>> http://www.strongsignals.net/
> |>
> |>
> |>
> |>--
> |>---
> -
> |-----
> |>
> |>> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
> |><
> |>> Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(:
> | MS-Windows):<
> |>> Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit
> |bucket!<
> |>> if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the
> group
> |><
> |>+++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They lose payments!, Say I didn't
> pay!
> |>---
> -
> |-----
> |>
> |>
> |>
> |
> |

3830. Re: Any Prison Frequencies For CONCORD, MA??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mullaney <john@minutemancomm.com>
Message-ID: <36B50E03.662951F6@minutemancomm.com>
Source record: 129053

The Prision is called "MCI Concord"

Massachusetts Corectional Institute Concord
They have a repeater on 453.650 PL 151.4

Polothon wrote:

> Does anybody have any idea what the prison frequencies are in Concord
> Massachuesetts? The Concord Jail, is the name. PLEASE EMAIL ME THEM, THANK
> YOU! :-)
>
> --
>
> Regards,
> Polothon
> polothon@bigfoot.com
> ==
> "Hey Mel, why don't you get one of them first class tickets to da
> resurrection."-Al Pacino
> ==
> Check out my website! http://www.geocities.com/hollywood/hills/2222/
> macp wrote in message ...
> >I would like Northern and So CA prison/jail freqs
> >Thanks Sam
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

3831. WTB Uniden scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: C W <CW4EVER@penn.com>
Message-ID: <36B5425E.6DE352BA@penn.com>
Source record: 129054

I am looking for a BC-400XLT.

Walt.

3832. BC235 XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: africa <dinizulu@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <793bf0$452$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129055

Does anybody know if the BC 235 can pick CB frequencies (26.965 - 27.405)
??? Mine doesn't seem to be able to.
Thanks

3833. Dressler ARA2000??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike frye <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <36B53754.5BB1C4FC@pacbell.net>
Source record: 129056

Howdy,
Anybody use a Dressler ARA2000 or 2000HDX? How do ya like it, is the HDX worth the extra money, and where is the best (cheapest) place to buy one? Thanks in advance, Mike.

3834. El Paso Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles D. Barden" <dimndbk@elp.rr.com>
Message-ID: <36B54F1E.FBB52355@elp.rr.com>
Source record: 129057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anybody give me a web page where I can get Police and Fire Freqs for the El Paso, Tx area?

3835. Re: WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr_grumpy@pissed_off.com
Message-ID: <36b43ad3.1944533@news.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 129058

>Sorry Leonard, but again I have to disagree with you on this one. I have
>a TenTec RX-320 that I placed right out of the box from the factory with
>the built in preamp antenna connected that I can set less than a foot
>from my laptop with absolutely no noise whatsoever. I placed a PCR100O,
>grounded, with the use of ferrite chokes on the ends of the cables, and
>it sucked on HF period. The WR-1500e I used in kind was as deaf as a
>post. After 35 years of playing with this stuff from one end of the price
>spectrum to the top of the line stuff, I stand on my belief that these
>wideband pc run units have a ways to go before they can equal even some
>of the least expensive dedicated shortwave receivers PERIOD! I darn sure
>would never recommend one to a "friend". As "toys" they are fine, but if
>you are a "serious" hobbyist, one's money can definitely be better spent.

Sorry Lee, no PCs or PC controlled radios 35 years ago. Argument #1
stopped.

Ever heard about being too sensitive? My radar detector has a Highway
and City mode because it is too sensitive in Highway mode whilst in
the City. I guess (with some intelligence) that winradio and the icom
are suffering the same problem. Argument #2 stopped.

Serious non professional users (different from amateurs - think about
it) don't use sub $1000 devices and expect the world from it. In their
defence, at least winradio is making some high end radios for serious
applications. Go see a government installation and count the number
of "cheap off the shelf" radios there. I reckon ZERO. So, what I am
saying is that YES, these are toys. What are hobbies all about? If
you need to save lives, predict storm weather or eaves-drop on the
President, go buy the stuff dedicated or desgined for the job.
However, if you want FUN, and to get another use from your PC, why not
try one of the new style of receivers. I would not be surprised if
some one wasn't already working on a PC based transceiver. Perhaps
the kenwood or yaesu reps reading this can answer..... Argument #3
stopped.

Got any more...I'm enjoying this.

3836. Re: FAQ for 4-Level FSK Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: news1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <3E306EE8BEA58B6D.7969CA6924ABC0AB.D83BB3EAD56AD438@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 129086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

How well does it work? Which software program(s) are you using with
it?
Terry

>Ouch that's kind of expensive. I got one from Texas 2-Way for $59.99 and it
>only requires a single polarity power supply (like $12 at radio shack) and
>it has all the jack inputs and a DB9 connector. There phone number is
>(956)702-2481
>
>
>
>

3837. Re: Cloning Digital Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Groves <Jeffrey_Groves@email.msn.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <uAFitRRT#GA.154@upnetnews03>
Source record: 129087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

QualComm's CDMA technology (that Sprint PCS and others use) uses spread spectrum technology which I believe is quite secure digital communications.

Any comments?

iceberg@nxco.com wrote in message <77rqb1$o7f$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> Actualy Phil is only part correct, you can grab and use digital phone data,
>however it is VERY hard and few people can do it. You have almost no fears
>as long as you are in the Digital spectrum using the encrypted data. If you
>are concerned about this there are 2 steps you can take, make sure your phone
>will allow you to set it to DIGITAL only,this way you never transmit in
>analoge. Second contact yur provider to make sure you are using encrypted
>digital (not sure how wide spread this is yet I just recently read about it).
>Analoge cellular is very susepatble to cloaning, and you can for around 100
>bux build a DDI (used by cloaners to steal your phones information remotly)
>and a device to re-code a phone. Best answere for security, stay DIGITAL,
>with the new phones comming wich will implement GPS data transmit for 911
>use, tracking cloaners will be easy and make cellular communicatons a little
>safer.
>
>
>
>In article <77lg6q$1bn$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>,
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Posted to R.R.S. and CC:ed to private email
>>
>> Chuck wrote in message <369e3e2a.209845038@news.visitus.net>...
>> >Hello Everyone,
>> >
>> >I picked up a Nokia Model 2180 Digital Cellphone, and I have Ameritech
>> >Clearpath Cellphone service, Now what I wanna know, is this phone
>> >"clone"-able??? In other words, is it possible for someone to listen
>> >in on my conversations and/or grab my ESN and clone the phone.
>>
>> Depends on the type of service provider you connect to. If you connect to a
>> digital network such as PCS, then nope, you're fine. But if you go to an
>> analog network, you are susceptible to being "cloned" just like anyone else.
>> Best advice is to watch your bill closely, and keep a journal of your own
>> calls for personal verification.
>>
>> -Phil
>>
>>
>

>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3838. FS: IC-Q7A, VHF portables/ Video Cams & Monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <36B44E45.80F08720@bv.net>
Source record: 129088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.readio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.radio.scanner

I have the items below for sale:

1 - Icom IC-Q7A, 10/10 condition with software (no cable) to extend RX range from .5-2GHz, with box & manual/antenna. Great
radio. Sell $170 obo

2 - (1 pair) Regency RPH410/Wilson HS-410 VHF keyboard programmable VHF radios. Units have one battery between them, battery
is bead, sorry, no chargers or BNC antennas. Both have same controls and LCD display, I was told these are 16 channel direct
entry, NO WAY TO TEST, sold as is, make me an offer for both. They are TECH specials, last buyer cancelled

Uniden/Bearcat Desl model # INF5XL scanner, preprogrammed by state type, with whip antenna, no power supply, tested fine with
mine. Buttons for POLICE, FIRE, MEDICAL, STATE, WEATHER, DELETE & HOLD, PLUS SQUELCH/VOL. Best offer takes this off my
hands..

PRO-34 (cat # 20-139/9135) SERVICE MANUAL ONLY - $10.00

VIDEO STUFF

4" Black & White LCD Video Monitor on stand, with power supply unit, RCA video input jack, extra 12V DC output from supply to
drive CCD camera (one below if wanted) Monitor $75.00

1 - Color CMOS Egg shaped CCD camera, adjustable focus, 12VDC input/NTSC video output via RCA jack - $75.00

1 - Black / White CCD board camera with single element lens, adjustable, uses 12V input, standard Video output via RCA jack -
$45.00

1 - Commodore Model 1702 COLOR video monitor - $40.00

E-mail me at:

mailto:mcguyver@bv.net

Postal MO prepayment or POSTAL COD req'd. Thanks

3839. Re: Pro-2005-Reset channels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <791jj3fsr1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 129089
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The RESET button on the back of the scanner might be a good idea
....

Doug Augelli wrote in message <7908s5dka1@winter.news.rcn.net>...
>Anyone know of a fast and easy way to reset all the frequencies in the RS
>Pro 2005?
>Keyboard combo maybe?
>(besides unplugging the scanner and removing the 9V Battery)
>
>Doug
>
>

3840. Re: 1.3GHz Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Groves <Jeffrey_Groves@email.msn.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <eIXLmqRT#GA.117@upnetnews03>
Source record: 129090

Sprint PCS phones use the 1.9GHz range; however, they use CDMA which implements spread spectrum which makes it very difficult to monitor.

Ben wrote in message <785ca6$8sa@news1.snet.net>...
>Hello,
> I saw the Bearcat 3000xl, and was wonderin who in god's name broadcasts
>at anywhere's near 1.3GHz? is it worth even scanning these signals? thanks
>for the help
>
>-ben
>
>

3841. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Groves <Jeffrey_Groves@email.msn.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <OmkYMyRT#GA.209@upnetnews03>
Source record: 129091

Miguel Cruz wrote in message <78rje2$j5e$1@news.ycc.yale.edu>...
>together all these anecdotal responses. So far there is no brand of phone
>that has not been both lambasted and highly praised.

Welcome to the world of newsgroups.... The place where the word "agreement"
does not exist.

3842. Re: LICK ME WHERE I PEE JOHN MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <791m1koku1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129092

In article <78tmhakgp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
cbrambo@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> John Mackey your a losser. Bill Cheeks was right. You are lower than pond
> scum. Hit the road and take Blake Bowers and Phil Smalley with you. Peter Boe
> too. You suck John Mackey
>
> "WHERE'S THAT BIG OLD CB RAMBO?"
> "I WANNA HEAR HIM TALK TOUGH!"
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

DO US ALL A FAVOR AND TAKE THIS TO JOHNS PERSONAL NEWSGROUP.

Please reply to this in "ALT.FAN.JOHN.MACKEY" and remove any reference
to the scanner newsgroups for re-posting.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3843. ALT.FAN.JOHN.MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <791m9h$ov8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129093

DO US ALL A FAVOR AND TAKE THIS TO JOHNS PERSONAL NEWSGROUP.

Please reply to this in "ALT.FAN.JOHN.MACKEY" and remove any reference
to the scanner newsgroups for re-posting.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3844. see repost of "John Mackey fan Club"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: orasberries@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <791qm7$s62$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129094

You got to see this repost of "The John Mackey Fan Club"

go to "alt.fan.john.mackey"

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3845. PD freqs-Miami-Dade County?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: alan@netrox.net
Message-ID: <alan-3101990917420001@ppp-04.mia-tc-3.netrox.net>
Source record: 129095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

There are many trunked freqs listed for public safety in Miami Dade county, Florida. I need specifically the trunked police freqs for the Miami-Dade (metro-dade) police dept. Please send those to me via email if you have those freqs. Thanks

3846. Re: Coast Guard VHF frequ needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: alan@netrox.net
Message-ID: <alan-3101990925150001@ppp-04.mia-tc-3.netrox.net>
Source record: 129096

I S. Fl the CG is using Nextel 2 way radios in addition to their secure radios.
In article <36aede6d.70334239@proxye1.san.rr.com>, thekit@san.rr.com (Bud
(the REAL one!)) wrote:

> On Sun, 24 Jan 1999 23:10:07 GMT, donhark@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> >I am looking for freques that the coast guard use, in the VHF band.
> >It anyone can help please email me or reply to this message.
>
> Primary ones are 157.05, 157.100, and 157.150, and 156.800.
>
> But CG can and does pop up anywhere, most of their comms are
> encrypted when not talking to 'citizens'.
>
>
> To foil spammers, the addrress in this post is disquised.
> TO reply via e-mail, change the I in the address to an A.
> CA antispam laws went into effect Jan 1, 1999.
> Violators may be liable for both fines AND jail time for spamming this
account.

3847. Re: 900Mhz Phones & Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <mjscommunications@erols.com>
Message-ID: <791q3j$3q4$1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129097

A scanner which labels itself as an 800 mhz scanner is able to receive 800 mhz and above.

macp wrote in message ...
>Enlighten me..
>Can the newer 800 mhz scanners pick up conversation s from 900 mhz
>cordless phones. From what I am reading, it sounds like this is so.
>If 800 mhz is 800 mhz, how would it pick up 900 mhz...
>Thanks Suzi
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3848. Navtex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: pstmg@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <36b45cb4.289832@news.telepac.pt>
Source record: 129098

Hi !

How can I receive Navtex stations with hamcomm modem ? Pse, send also some frequencies and time (utc)

73's

3849. Re: Question About 900 Mhz Cordless Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <mjscommunications@erols.com>
Message-ID: <791q71$4ei$1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129099

It certainly can.

Robert Barile wrote in message <36AF50DC.6A12@bbn.com>...
>Hi all: I recently bought a Sony 900 Mhz Spread Spectrum Cordless Phone.
>I'm pretty happy with it but I was wondering if it can be scanned with a
>scanner that picks up >900 Mhz.

3850. Wanted SWL REC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnboy2@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <791t9quca1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129100

Wanted SWL Recevier Digital With BFO

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3851. Re: Diamond D 707 E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: alf sauve <alf.sauve@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <791rmbveh1@camel19.mindspring.com>
Source record: 129101

Bill,

I can't tell you specifically about that model, but I have the D130J discone and I was very impressed. It seems ruggedly built and very sturdy. The connector is well protected against weather and the assembly was very professional. It appear to give me good coverage and I've noted no cases of reception less than I would expect for this type of antenna. I also have a Diamond dual band mobile antenna on my truck and it has given me good service and good coverage.

Just for reference, I have also owned, assembled and used antennas from Cushcraft, Antenna Specialist, Hustler, Radio Shack, Phelps Dodge, Larson and KLM. All in the VHF/UHF frequency range. Though not a scientific, side by side comparison, I think that the Diamonds have, to date, been the best.

I know others will have a different opinion and different experience but that's my 2 cents worth.
Let us know what you do and how you like it.

Alf - W4ADS

Bill Wolford wrote in message <36b35d6f.8595432@news.magicnet.net>...
>Is anybody out there useing a Diamond D 707E scanner antenna? I'd like
>to hear any pro's or con's about it. I'm thinking about mounting it
>off the side of a 60' tower.

3852. Re: MIAMI REPEATER FREQUENCIES NEEDED?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William G. Harrison" <wgharrison@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36b472e9.0@news1.ibm.net>
Source record: 129102

Ira Sachs <irasachs@wwa.com> wrote in message
news:36B4054A.3F01472@wwa.com...
>I need several reliable repeater frequencies to use
>during the hamfest next week. Would like to pre-
>program a radio.
>
>I will be staying at 69th and Collins in Miami Beach
>and would like to make contacts into Miami near the
>airport.
>
>Can anyone suggest reliable 440 repeaters to do this?
>2 meters will work if necessary.
>What p.l. encode or decode tones will be necessary?
> Can I do this with a 5 watt talkie?
>
>Tnx de Ira WB9HaveNoVices
>
>reply directly to: irasachs@wwa,com,
>
>
>
Ira - In the absence of a more specific response from
someone located in the vacinity of the Hamfest,
a complete listing for South Florida repeaters may be found
at the following site:
http://home1.gte.net/k4lk/frc/dblist.htm

Hope this helps - Bill
KK4XO

3853. Re: PD freqs-Miami-Dade County?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B47B0E.5104@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129103
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

AL wrote:
>
> There are many trunked freqs listed for public safety in Miami Dade
> county, Florida. I need specifically the trunked police freqs for the
> Miami-Dade (metro-dade) police dept. Please send those to me via email if
> you have those freqs. Thanks

Check out the following URL:

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/fl/dade.htm

3854. Re: PD freqs-Miami-Dade County?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <26D7B67DB9D115A6.36F7E5A1EACBAD0A.61FE3ED42AEA4EFC@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 129104
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Miami-Dade County uses an Ericsson EDACS system for public safety communications. I've got complete system information including EDACS talkgroup and freq info on the Dade Ericsson EDACS system at :

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/fl.htm

There is also detailed information for other Miami area systems there as well.

Hope this helps!
-LB

Lindsay C. Blanton
lblant1@airmail.net
See the Southeast US Trunked Radio Information Homepage!
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm

On Sun, 31 Jan 1999 09:17:42 -0500, alan@netrox.net (AL) wrote:

>There are many trunked freqs listed for public safety in Miami Dade
>county, Florida. I need specifically the trunked police freqs for the
>Miami-Dade (metro-dade) police dept. Please send those to me via email if
>you have those freqs. Thanks

3855. United kingdom Phone freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: dpfaloona@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990131111954.22504.00005764@ng39.aol.com>
Source record: 129105

Can anyone help with UK phone freqs?

3856. Corpus Chrisi Ericsson EDACS system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <D91E1773A8A12EB9.C74670171F6A0301.02045C845570A5F2@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 129106
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello everyone!

I am looking for detailed information on the Corpus Christi Ericsson
EDACS system.

Looking for frequency and group/talkgroup information on this system
for posting to my Texas Trunking page at:

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/texas.htm

Many thanks to anyone that can help!

-Lb

Lindsay C. Blanton
lblant1@airmail.net
See the Southeast US Trunked Radio Information Homepage!
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm

3857. Re: Yupiteru MVT 9000 poor reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: dpfaloona@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990131112401.22504.00005768@ng39.aol.com>
Source record: 129107

I've just bought a 9000, I'll try the ant.
Rgards, D.

3858. Re: Navtex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B48343.539CE89C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129108

Martins,

NAVTEX is usually on 518 KHz. There are fixed stations around the world that generally operate on a time schedule. The mode is Sitor-B. So, depending on your location, you need to find the schedule of the NAVTEX station that is close to you. I assume you have an HF radio that at least has SSB capability and perhaps RTTY. Anyway, depending on your setup, you would need to tune either 518 KHz or an offset, and then feed the signal from a tape rec output through your Hamcomm interface to a serial port on your PC. You would also need software such as RadioRaft or Hamcomm. To find the time schedules for NAVTEX, there is a web site that is the authority on this. The URL is:
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/HFFAX/

Martins wrote:
>
> Hi !
>
> How can I receive Navtex stations with hamcomm modem ? Pse, send also
> some frequencies and time (utc)
>
> 73's

3859. Pro-2035 & "GRE" 800 converter - one more day at Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990131112715.14589.00002167@ng31.aol.com>
Source record: 129109

Please check out the picture of this item, like new in the box, item # 60315945.
The bids are getting much closer to my low reserve price for the entire package. Come and bid on this nice package before the Superbowl game starts today!!!!!!.

Be sure to browse my other radio related items at Ebay!

3860. Sony SC1-PC scanner MIB at ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990131113108.14589.00002170@ng31.aol.com>
Source record: 129110

A complete package on this nice top-of-the-line hand held scanner from Sony.
It comes with everything including the CD programming software and computer
cable.

Come and check out the picture and put in your bid before the Superbowl today.

All of my radio related items are at Ebay, start with item # 60454719.
All items are in excellent to mint condition, most have manuals and boxes, and
several items have NO RESERVE. All ads are posted with pictures!

3861. Re: cloning astro digital radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Varine <Witch**Dr@usa.noobulkemail.net>
Message-ID: <36B484B9.2F47@usa.noobulkemail.net>
Source record: 129111

Wayne Farmer wrote:
>
> Brian Varine wrote:
> >
> > Talkyal wrote:
> > > It will be up to you to secretly
> > > find the software (which I don't condone). I would rather see the production
> > > of a legal Astro Digital Scanner by UNIDEN, with support for it from the MSP,
> > > themselves.
> >
> > I look at it this way, the taxpayers paid dearly for the system, they
> > should have a right to listen to it. Find the software anyway you can.
> > Who cares if you get it from someone other than Motorola. It's not like
> > you making and selling competing radios.
>
> Let me see if I understand you correctly, Brian. Do you believe that
> all federal, state, and local government communications should be able
> to be intercepted and decoded by all taxpayers? Does this include
> taxpayers who happen also to be agents for foreign governments hostile
> to the United States? Does this include taxpayers who are wanted by the
> police, and who would fire on police officers to protect themselves?

I have no problem with encryption when it's needed but I do have a
problem with blanket securing of police transmissions. Theres no need
for it. If agencies want to go to digital thats fine with me but let's
face it, those agencies are getting raped by Motorola on price. If
someone wants to monitor only and use some software to do it, Motorola
should have to choice of offering it to the public (for a price of
course) or having someone else do it. If someone builds a ASTRO decoder
for monitoring, Motorola isn't going to lose a dime of money. Maybe if
we had enough decent people in office, part of the deal for radios would
be to make it an open system, unfortunately they don't.

Luckily with the Internet, someone will release the code and Motorola
won't be able to do much about it. Personnally I think that's great.

3862. Re: LICK ME WHERE * ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7920oh$k5q@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 129112

In <19990130232520.01116.00001493@ng-cd1.aol.com>
jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) writes:
>
>CB Rambo,
>
> A subject line like LICK ME WHERE I PEE this has no place on this
newsgroup
>where it can be seen by women and children, and worse, it's a
disappointment.
>We've become used to a more creative level of insult from you, and
this just
>pulls you down to the Mackey level. I can only assume that you were
>particularly ticked off about something when you started this topic.
> Folks, let this one die here. Start a new topic if you must, but
one that's
>in better taste, OK?
>
>JW

does your mommy know you
answered her post JW...?

where are you living at?
Or in?

"where it can be seen by women and children?"

hmmm. i guess they don't PEE.

So what is your point.
question mark.

gee, another fallow mind thinking that
women need him to fend off unthinkable
words....

children: let's see " JW, go right
now into that bathroom, lift the seat
and go PEE".

bill conduit
etc.

3863. Re: United kingdom Phone freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B485D8.7119@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129113

DP Faloona wrote:
>
> Can anyone help with UK phone freqs?

Cordless Phones in the UK are allocated to the following frequencies:

Channel Pairs Base Unit TX Mhz Handset Tx Mhz
1 A 1.642 47.45625
4 B 1.702 47.49375
2 A 1.662 47.46875
5 B 1.772 47.50625
3 A 1.682 47.48125
6 B 1.742 47.51875
4 A 1.702 47.49375
7 B 1.762 47.53125
5 A 1.722 47.50625
8 B 1.782 47.54375

Channel Base TX Handset TX
1 31.0375 39.9375
2 31.0625 39.9625
3 31.0875 39.9875
4 31.1125 40.0125
5 31.1375 40.0375
6 31.1625 40.0625
7 31.1875 40.0875
8 31.2125 40.1125

3864. MDT frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36B48752.A9E92286@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129114

Is there a frequency guide somewhere that will identify the frequencies used by different cities etc that will also indicate which ones are MDT freqs?

3865. WTB SSB Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: hunta <hunta@wans.net>
Message-ID: <7922ae$bh7$1@server2.wans.net>
Source record: 129115

Hi:
I'am looking to buy a SBB Radio that picks up LSB, ISB, and USB.
Can anybody help me.
If you can help me here is my Email address:
ahunt8@hotmail.com

Thanks

Allen

3866. Re: Imarstat reception was Re: 1.3GHz Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@NULL>
Message-ID: <792445$m32$1@dns.ktb.net>
Source record: 129116

Someone suggested before to look in the ARRL manual for helical
antennas.

hurst_m@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> I saw the article about IMARSTAT in MT. I already have a receiver that goes
> up to 1.6 GHz What type of antenna and preamp are needed to hear these weak
> signals? Is there any way to get Imarstat without spending hundreds of
> dollars for the pacages listed in the MT article?
>
> In article <78i39s$sd1$1@news-2.news.gte.net>,
> "Dean, Greg" <N9NWO@GTE.net> wrote:
> > :Hello,
> > : I saw the Bearcat 3000xl, and was wonderin who in god's name broadcasts
> > :at anywhere's near 1.3GHz? is it worth even scanning these signals? thanks
> > :for the help
> > :
> >
> > Inmarsat is at 1540 Mhz. There is one over the Pacific and one
> > over the Altantic that we can hear here in the US. You will get
> > lots of ship traffic (talking to customs, port authorities). Also
> > Inmarsat is used by drug dealers, gun runners and others because
> > they think it is secure. BTW, our generals used in Saudi and
> > Bosnia.
> >
> > See the article in Monitoring Times, Nov '98 p. 22
> >
> >
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

--

Remove NULL from email address to reply.

3867. Re: cloning astro digital radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7924fq$c07$0@208.207.68.29>
Source record: 129117

On Sun, 31 Jan 1999 08:28:41 -0800, Brian Varine
<Witch**Dr@usa.noobulkemail.net> wrote:

>for it. If agencies want to go to digital thats fine with me but let's
>face it, those agencies are getting raped by Motorola on price. If
>someone wants to monitor only and use some software to do it, Motorola
>should have to choice of offering it to the public (for a price of

Brian, did Motorola turn you down for a job or something?

You seem to have a fixation with Motorola when it comes
to this subject, but you should know that Ericsson, and
Transcrypt both make digital radios also. Ericsson sells
just about as much as Motorola! With a cost that is
about the same as the others.

And do you have any firm concrete evidence, about
the engineering costs, production costs, etc for any
of those manufacturers to produce the digital
radios, or is the line about "getting raped" just
supposition on your part?

This is not intended as a flame, please don't take it
as such.

3868. FS 3M Double Sticky Foam Tape $10/roll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeepguy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <36b59980.1077026@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 129118

1/2 x 36 yds per roll. retails $19.99 per roll..3 ROLLS FOR $10.00
THX
Tom

3869. REMOVAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken81145@rockisland.com
Message-ID: <7929e1$85i$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129119

<78VGNB$2F4$1@DEJANEWS.COM

WHY PUT IN A REQUEST WHEN IT WON'T BE LISTED.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3870. Intermod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Xenon <krypton@argon.org>
Message-ID: <59A6A423FDFD93ED.576EAACBC676500F.754F88BB217BDACC@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 129120

I live SouthWest of Dallas,Tx.
Seems like everytime I tune in a good station, I get some damned mexican station overlayed on top of it.
The mexican station is always the same station, and I can't hear it unless something else is tuned in.
If I tune in to code (CW) in AM while the coder is sending in SSB, then I hear the mexican station
everytime his hits his code key, making for an interesting chopped sound.
Is there a problem with my tuner or is this intermod?
I thought intermod was continous, not everytime a signal from somewhere else is present.
BTW, we have alot of mexicans living around me (next door and across the street even).
By chance, could I be picking up my mexican neighbors radio receiver next door (since my antenna is within 20 feet
of his receiver or is this a real intermod problem from a mexican radio station transmitter?

3871. Ground loop problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Xenon <krypton@argon.org>
Message-ID: <A75F573497020AE1.845B89640FCDD16F.52015F8DF6B3D3A1@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 129121

I have my PCR-1000 connected to a home stereo amplifier input.
When I plug my soundcard's input or output to my amp's monitor inputs
and outputs, I get alot of hum.
I cannot get my soundcard and my PCR-1000 connected to the same amplifier
without causing hum.
Either I have to disconnect my PCR-1000 or my soundcard.
Do I have a groundloop problem?
If so, how do I fix it?

3872. FA on Ebay: Radio Shack PRO-51 (11A3 Date Code)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: ks2xbl@flash.net
Message-ID: <792adh$92r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.raqdio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=62295275

Auction Ends 02/07/99 11:00AM PST

Radio Shack PRO-51 Scanner (11A3 Date Code)

200 memory channels, Direct Entry Frequency Selection, Hyper Scan 50 Channels per second, Ten 20-Channel memory banks, Separate 10-Channel Monitor bank

Frequency Coverage: 29-54MHz, 108-136.975Mhz, 137-174MHz, 406-512MHz, 806-823.9375MHz, 851-868.9375MHz, 896.1125-956MHz

Includes the following Accessories:
• Radio Shack Pro-51 Service Manual

Mint Condition (you can mistake this unit as NEW), Original Owner, Includes all packaging and documentation.

Unmodified. Fully functional. No known problems. Guaranteed operational. No factory warrantee included.

For low resolution 57K picture go to http://www.flash.net/~ks2xbl/RIM00049.JPG For high resolution 630K picture go to http://www.flash.net/~ks2xbl/RIM00044.JPG

Email for any additional questions.

Money Order/Cashiers Check COD only
Buyer pays 2-day FedEx COD shipping
Domestic sales only, No international sales

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3873. Re: KYW3, WPVI6, WCAU10 - Philly Area Fequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <uigtQNUT#GA.234@nih2naaf.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 129123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Todd C. Jones" <TCJones@concentric.net> asked for:
> ... the frequencies for the stations listed below?
> KYW3 WPVI6 WCAU10

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on ftp://oak.oakland.edu
as /pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scanner.faf

Television [73.603]

Ch Range Video Audio

2 54 - 60 55.250 59.750
3 60 - 66 61.250 65.750
4 66 - 72 67.250 71.750
5 76 - 82 77.250 81.750
6 82 - 88 83.250 87.750
7 174 - 180 175.250 179.750
8 180 - 186 181.250 185.750
9 186 - 192 187.250 191.750
10 192 - 198 193.250 197.750
11 198 - 204 199.250 203.750
12 204 - 210 205.250 209.750
13 210 - 216 211.250 215.750
14 470 - 476 471.250 475.750 }
15 476 - 482 477.250 481.750 } Range for Ch. N
.. ... - ... . } Low = 386 + 6*N
68 794 - 800 795.250 799.750 } High = 392 + 6*N
69 800 - 806 801.250 805.750 }

Note the gaps between chs 4/5, 6/7, and 13/14.
Freqs in the 470-512 MHz range (Ch 14-20) may be assigned to
Public Service mobile use in major metro areas. No transmitters
are allowed on 608-614 MHz (Ch 37).
Each TV channel is 6 MHz wide. The video carrier is 1.25 MHz
above the start of the range. The audio carrier is 0.25 MHz below
the end of the range. Television audio is wideband FM.
Some TV stations may be offset +/- 10 kHz.

3874. CNN News to Beeper Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: PAW <73457.650@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <u9YybTUT#GA.251@nih2naad.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 129124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

I noticed a new Flex motorola watch beeper which received CNN
News and Wx updates without needing to subscribe to any service.
Anyone have details on what would be needed to pick these off and
where on 900mhz?

--
PAW
(W9JMN@Compuserve.com) Check our site for mikes, ham, video, etc
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/w9jmn

3875. Re: BC60XLT-1 Limit Search

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Evans <SPAM.evansm@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36b4aaa6@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 129125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Per the manual on page 23, it says to do the following:
- Hit SLCT
- Enter lower end for freq search, hit E
- Enter upper end for freq search, hit E
- Hit SRCH to begin search within those limits
- Hit the up or down triangle "hold" keys to halt the search, SRCH to resume.

Trevor wrote in message <36B0DD1D.FBD98413@tidalwave.net>...
>How do I do a limit search with this scanner?
>
>--
>Check Out My WebCam!!
>
>http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html
>
>
>ICQ me 8309550
>---
>Free one dollar bills! Please send $4.95 to cover postage & handling.
>(Limit $1 per order)
>---
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>.
>
>

3876. Uniden BC9000XLT Sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald R. Lloyd" <yaesu1@csrlink.net>
Message-ID: <792b3m$ql6$1@pigpen.csrlink.net>
Source record: 129126

Thanks to all that replied - it's sold to Eric - 73, Don.

3877. Re: THE CHEEK-A-LIKE WARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <792eahcec1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129127

cbrambo@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> There are 1814 unique messages by jmackey@usa.net:

Several of the messages in your summary were NOT posted by me!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3878. Re: Lets hear some more John Mackey, Blake Bowers, Peter Boe and Phil Smalley crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <792efk$cf5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129128

cbrambo@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> I just ran a check on this guy. 1814 MESSAGES! He really needs to GET A LIFE!

You've posted them same pathetic message about 4 times in ONE day on ONE NG!!!!!

SEVERAL of the messages you reference were NOT posted by me.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3879. Re: cloning astro digital radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Varine <Witch**Dr@usa.noobulkemail.net>
Message-ID: <36B4BB97.6FD@usa.noobulkemail.net>
Source record: 129129

Blake Bowers wrote:
> You seem to have a fixation with Motorola when it comes
> to this subject, but you should know that Ericsson, and
> Transcrypt both make digital radios also. Ericsson sells
> just about as much as Motorola! With a cost that is
> about the same as the others.

You're right, I should have included them as well/

> And do you have any firm concrete evidence, about
> the engineering costs, production costs, etc for any
> of those manufacturers to produce the digital
> radios, or is the line about "getting raped" just
> supposition on your part?

Well, I find it very hard to understand how an analog HT can cost $3200.
The problem with these systems is that once you buy them, you are forced
to buy their stuff for the lifetime of the system so there is very
little incentive for the companies to lower their price. With an Analog
system, I can always seek out a cheaper vendor. Digital radios are
astronomically priced (hence the ASTRO name on Motorola's stuff;)

Then we get into these companies selling systems that never end up
working right. And they then charge us even more $$$ to fix the
problems. It's like the cities are buying beta versions of radio
systems.

3880. Re: 900 MHz phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: ftessle1@nycap.rr.com
Message-ID: <ftessle1-3101991600280001@toshiba-190-18.nycap.rr.com>
Source record: 129130
Cross-posted to: alt.consumers,alt.radio.scanner,misc.consumers,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.scanner

In article <78na07ebi1@winter.news.rcn.net>, "Robert"
<mtplus@nospam.erols.com> wrote:

>I am looking to buy a good quality 900MHz cordless phone. I want good
>reception, range, and battery life (what kind of battery life can I
>expect?). I would appreciate any recommendations.
>
>If I have posted this to the wrong group, please for give me and point me in
>the right direction.
>
>--
>Bob (in Maryland)

Just bought my second 900 MHz Uniden (EXS9600, Digital Spread Spectrum,
call waiting/caller ID)... it's been great so far. I've not found battery
life to be a problem, since it's in the cradle every night.

-Franklin

3881. Take it to a chat room someplace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Dockery <jdockery@erols.com>
Message-ID: <792gfu$g5q$1@winter.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 129131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services,alt.firefighters,alt.radio.scanner

Take it to a chatroom someplace and save our bandwidth...

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <78lufl$up0$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>> No John, you have yet to prove anything except what a liar YOU are.
>
>No Blake, YOU have PROVEN yourself to be a liar AGAIN! Just within
>the last day you said:
>
>Author: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
>Date: 1999/01/25
>Forums: rec.radio.scanner, misc.emerg-services, alt.firefighters,
>alt.radio.scanner
>*My apologies folks, I did not mean to cross post my reply
>-SNIP - to preserve bandwidth
>*It won't happen again.
>
>But we see that the VERY NEXT DAY (today) you DID INDEED
>make a multiple cross post just as yiou said you WOULD NOT DO!
>You have PROVEN YOURSELF a liar AGAIN, Blake Bowers!
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3882. R7100 SW mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Perge <perge@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <36B4C694.2FC1@ibm.net>
Source record: 129132

Anyone have the shortwave mod for the R7100 such as one that was introduced in the UK quite awhile back? Is it still available in the UK or USA? Thanks,Joe

3883. F/S RS PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeffrey Darche <jdarche@wsii.com>
Message-ID: <36B4CD82.640FEC4A@wsii.com>
Source record: 129133

For Sale:
Radio Shack PRO-2006 scanner, excellent condition with manual. Full frequency coverage including cellular. This is a great radio for the price.

$280

--
Jeff :->
Rhode Island, USA

3884. Sony "PC" -whassup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: ltgc <ltgc@cris.com>
Message-ID: <792jio$evg@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 129134

Lots of "ads" -- Any field reports ?

Thanks !

lt

3885. Re: BC60XLT-1 Limit Search

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor <ironace@tidalwave.net>
Message-ID: <36B4CC27.55841D4@tidalwave.net>
Source record: 129135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Matt Evans wrote:

> Per the manual on page 23, it says to do the following:
> - Hit SLCT
> - Enter lower end for freq search, hit E
> - Enter upper end for freq search, hit E
> - Hit SRCH to begin search within those limits
> - Hit the up or down triangle "hold" keys to halt the search, SRCH to
> resume.
>
> Trevor wrote in message <36B0DD1D.FBD98413@tidalwave.net>...
> >How do I do a limit search with this scanner?
>

Thanks Matt

--
Check Out My WebCam!!

http://tidalwave.net/~ironace/webcam.html

ICQ me 8309550

Stock up and save. Limit: one

.

3886. FS: PRO-90 & Alinco DJ-580

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chet Hogue <cheth@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01be4cab$80f040a0$15148e88@chethogu>
Source record: 129160

I have a brand new PRO-90 for sale/ best offer
Also have a 2M/440M HT with expanded cellular and air receive
with manuals, case, batteries, charger, antenna....Best offer

They both must go asap....

Chet
N3BK@scientist.com

3887. F.S. Pro 2004 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: loonie@butterfly.net
Message-ID: <7zNs2.943$Af5.245364@news.sgi.net>
Source record: 129161

Bought at hamfest.Was modified so it gets 400 channels instead of original 300.

Asking $230.00 (Includes shipping via U.P.S)

I can be reached at ootman@usaor.net

3888. Re: pro 2008

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <790bnd$o4t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129162

For information on any radio shack product, try their website at
www.radioshack.com Follow one of the links (I think it's called "support")
for individual product information, catalog numbers, and specifications.

In article <36B34A51.9B9FA333@network-one.com>,
Jeff Clingan <clingan@network-one.com> wrote:
> Hi,
> I'm looking for info on an old Radio Shack scanner, it's a pro 2008.
> Appears to be a 30 to 512 mhz, number of channels unknown. I would like
> to have any info avalible for it and even a picture if possible. I have
> gone out to the Radio Shack site, but alas if you don't have a cataloge
> number, as though we all walk around with a set of those, you can't find
> alot out there about the older stuff. Any help would be appreciatted, I
> have one that was given to me, but was taken apart when I got it. Want
> to get it back together and see if I can revive it.
>
> Thanks
> J.C.
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3889. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA SCANNERS READ!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMchuckNOSPAM@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <36b3abd8.163065251@news.uia.net>
Source record: 129163

I have created a mailing list for scanner information that is specific to those of us in Southern California. To subscribe to the list send an e-mail to "listserv@rizzap.com" and in the body of the message type "SUBSCRIBE SOCALSCAN Your Name". You can leave the subject blank and please omit the quotation marks.

This list was designed to help find information about scanning in Southern California, (particularly L.A., Orange, San Bernardino and Riverside Counties) without sorting through all of the SPAM, FLAMES and out of the area freq requests/responses seen in the newsgroups.

If you decide to join the list you will be immediately e-mailed information on how to "unscubscribe" from the group if you so decide.

Thank You!

Chuck Rowe
NOSPAMchuck@la-radio.com
Remove the "NOSPAM" to reply via e-mail

3890. Re: san diego radio signal codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
Message-ID: <36B3AE0C.CD6015CB@home.com>
Source record: 129164

http://24.4.72.154/

Socal 911 good site for sd

bongo@adnc.com wrote:
>
> Hi all,
>
> I'm a total newbie, and I just got a BC235XLT scanner last night. It's
> all charged now and ready to go, but I don't have any radio signal codes
> for San Diego. If anyone has any, please post them here or e-mail me.
>
> Or, if you know of a website address, that would be good too. All I've
> been able to find for SoCal is LAPD.
>
> Thanks for helping a newbie!

3891. Pro-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: robnanne@proaxis.com
Message-ID: <790ae4$j21$2@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129165

Hi all,

I have a pro 2006 for sale. I no longer have the manual or orignal box, and I replaced the original antenna with a longer one from RS that connects to the back. Other than that, the unit is in excellent condition. I have not done the simple cellular mod yet, I figure the buyer can take care of that.

Price: $275 plus shipping.

I would much prefer to sell via this newsgroup than by Ebay, but I'll just have to see if I get any responses here.

Thanks,

Rob Pugliese

3892. Re: Frequency data base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: tyler@NOSPAM.nightowl.net
Message-ID: <36b3b9dc.50565735@news2.nightowl.net>
Source record: 129166

Check out http://www.prodatabase.com

Todd

On Sat, 30 Jan 1999 17:49:34 GMT, ken81145@rockisland.com wrote:

>Looking for Frequency Data base to keep track of scanner and shortwave
>frequencies.If anyone can help I would appreciate it. It can be a database or
>a spreadsheet program.
>
> Thank You,
>
> Ken MacLeod
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3893. Re: WTB.RS Pro 2004 or (2005,2006)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Crites <crites@iname.com>
Message-ID: <36B3C0E7.E92AC8A4@iname.com>
Source record: 129167

I've got a RS2006 with a OPTO456 board. What are they going for these days?
--

Tom Crites
critesNOSPAM@iname.com

Thomas A. Crites
RSA Key ID: 0x328F8C89
RSA Fingerprint: 67D1 4A55 8B64 E661 D867 E3EC C084 CA94

Thomas Alan Crites
DH/DSS Key ID: 0xFC5ABE52
Fingerprint: 9FEC 4279 3AC4 F1A7 FE64 7CA9 F119 4A0A FC5A BE52

3894. FA on Ebay : ICOM IC-R1 Scanner (Continous, No Block, Mint Condition)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: ks2xbl@flash.net
Message-ID: <790i6i$t6j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 129168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=62104805

Auction Ends 02/06/99 at 19:00 PST

ICOM IC-R1 Scanner (No Blocking)

100 kHz ~ 1300 MHz (Continuos coverage, No blocking), 100 memory channels, Internal Lithium Rechargeable Battery, Rotary or Direct Entry Frequency Selection, Scan and Store, Clock and Sleep Timer, Ultra Compact.
Model IC-R1

Frequency Coverage (100kHz - 1300MHz), Tuning Steps (0.5, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12.5, 15, 20, 25, 30, 50kHz), 100 memory channels, Modes (AM, FM, Wide FM), Audio (built-in speaker, lineout and earphone jacks).

Includes the following Accessories:
- ICOM BP-84 Battery Pack
- W&W Associates BP-84 Battery Pack (New)
- Mignonzelle Alkaline/Nicad 6-Cell Battery Holder
- ICOM BC-74A 115vAC Transformer (Also recharges attached batteries)
- ICOM FA-4B VHF/UHF wide band flexible antenna
- ICOM carrying strap, belt clip

This is a very small and compact scanner, rotary or direct entry tuning, scan and store specified frequency ranges, automatic timer and clock to turn on/off at specified times

Mint Condition (you can mistake this unit as NEW), Original Owner, Includes all packaging and documentation.

Fully functional. No known problems. Guaranteed operational. No factory warrantee included.

For low resolution 57K picture go to http://www.flash.net/~ks2xbl/RIM00037.JPG For high resolution 630K picture go to http://www.flash.net/~ks2xbl/RIM00032.JPG

Email for any additional questions.

Money Order/Cashiers Check COD only
Buyer pays 2-day FedEx COD shipping
Domestic sales only, No international sales

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3895. Re: bearcat 800xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: kut7bh@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990130215624.09541.00002330@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 129169

>Subject: bearcat 800xlt question
>From: lagattadj@aol.com (LaGattaDJ)
>Date: 1/27/99 1:19 PM Mountain Standard Time
>Message-id: <19990127151900.17022.00003872@ng104.aol.com>
>
>Why can't I receive cell transmissions on my 800xlt or is there a mod to fix
>the problem? Thanks in advance

If you're not receiving cell transmissions on a BC800XLT scanner, then I'd say the radio might need to be sent to a repair shop. All BC800XLT's were manufactured without "cell block."
You might try using an antenna which is specifically designed to receive 800 MHz signals with your BC800XLT. Depending on the area in which you live, the signals from the nearest cell tower may be too weak for your scanner to "hear" with an all-band scanner antenna. To receive cell transmissions on my BC800XLT, I use the $9.95 Rad Shack telescoping whip with a Motorola plug, and cell signals come in loud and clear. However, there are two or three cell towers within five miles of my home.
Another suggestion: if you're using an outdoor antenna with your BC800XLT, make sure you're using RG8 coaxial cable for distances of more than 50 feet between the antenna and your scanner. At the higher frequencies (above 400 MHz), most of the signal from the antenna will be lost as it travels down the coax if you're using RG58 for runs of more than 50 feet. Hope this information helps.

3896. Re: Trunk Tracker or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: mryan <nospam@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <790jfb$n68@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129170
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Intac News wrote in message
>Depends on if your local/state police use a trunking system. Its much
easier
>to follow conversations and my favorite this is that there is zero squelch
>tail :)
>
>
>mryan wrote in message <78omar$5k5@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>...
>>I live in a small town in MA. Most activity here is UHF and VHF, but there
>>is some 800 Mhz.

>>As far as handhelds go, are the Bearcats a good bet? Any preferences?
>>

Are there other Handheld scanners (Sony, Icom, etc) that can track trunks?
Perhaps I should be looking outside of Uniden?

3897. Uniden BC-9000XLT Scanner 250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald R. Lloyd" <yaesu1@csrlink.net>
Message-ID: <790kur$5fp$1@pigpen.csrlink.net>
Source record: 129171

```
Price reduced to $250.00 Firm includes shipping -
```

3898. Re: LICK ME WHERE * ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990130232520.01116.00001493@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 129172

CB Rambo,

A subject line like LICK ME WHERE I PEE this has no place on this newsgroup where it can be seen by women and children, and worse, it's a disappointment. We've become used to a more creative level of insult from you, and this just pulls you down to the Mackey level. I can only assume that you were particularly ticked off about something when you started this topic. Folks, let this one die here. Start a new topic if you must, but one that's in better taste, OK?

JW

3899. Re: bearcat 800xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <790lkc$r2c@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 129173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <19990127151900.17022.00003872@ng104.aol.com>,
LaGattaDJ <lagattadj@aol.com> wrote:

>Why can't I receive cell transmissions on my 800xlt
>or is there a mod to fix
>the problem? Thanks in advance

The BC800XLT employs two separate antennas and has two
antenna jacks on the rear panel. One of them is for
800 MHz band reception.

Make sure you have an antenna plugged into the 800 MHz
antenna jack.
--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3900. 4-Level FSK Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: chainsaw@softhome.net
Message-ID: <36b3e74f.4691825@news.auracom.com>
Source record: 129174

Hi,built the 4-level FSK interface and I'm not getting the +2-3 v
and -2-3 v from my peak detectors.
I'v egone over the circuit for errors but all looks fine.
Any ideas?
I'm getting + 10-12 volts on the positive detector and no reading on
the negative detector.

3901. Re: Radio Shack sale: Pro 2046 - $49.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <orionpax@ddaccess.com>
Message-ID: <790ol6$7nj$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 129175

Thanks Michael - Sounds like a good deal. I'll check it out.

3902. Re: FAQ for 4-Level FSK Decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: R Clark <rcclark@nospam.tenet.edu>
Message-ID: <790tha$4fk$1@mercury.hiline.net>
Source record: 129176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> For now, all I can supply are prototype Assembled
> and Tested 4LFSKDDI boards with RCA and DB9 jacks
> attached....essentially ready for you to install in
> an enclosure of choice and connect the +/- power
> supply of choice.
>
>
> Price: $100 + $8 S&H USA. $100 + $? S&H, foreign

Ouch that's kind of expensive. I got one from Texas 2-Way for $59.99 and it only requires a single polarity power supply (like $12 at radio shack) and it has all the jack inputs and a DB9 connector. There phone number is (956)702-2481

3903. Re: Help Me Win My Legal Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: gknox@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36b3fdc5.15141980@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 129177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 25 Jan 1999 07:04:54 -0800, "Radioman" <radioman@uia.net> wrote:

>I was arrested about a year ago and now I'm being charged with California
>Penal Code 499c "Trade secrets-theft of."
>etc. snipped for brevity

You might take a look at "United States Vs. Larry Nathan Gass 95-CR-55-C".

He was in a similiar situation in Tulsa a few years back. Took his radios,
wanted to put him in jail, got Motorola experts to testify etc. In the end
he won and the decision seems to have expanded our right to listen to
Public Safety sytems by some considerable amount.

Greg Knox

3904. MIAMI REPEATER FREQUENCIES NEEDED?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ira Sachs <irasachs@wwa.com>
Message-ID: <36B4054A.3F01472@wwa.com>
Source record: 129178

I need several reliable repeater frequencies to use
during the hamfest next week. Would like to pre-
program a radio.

I will be staying at 69th and Collins in Miami Beach
and would like to make contacts into Miami near the
airport.

Can anyone suggest reliable 440 repeaters to do this?
2 meters will work if necessary.
What p.l. encode or decode tones will be necessary?
Can I do this with a 5 watt talkie?

Tnx de Ira WB9HaveNoVices

reply directly to: irasachs@wwa,com,

3905. From Mobile Alabama.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne and Gail Davis <wdavisjr@dibbs.net>
Message-ID: <7913v6$75i$1@198.79.30.65>
Source record: 129179

Hello...

I received a RS Pro-90 for Christmas and have found that my scanning interest is coming back strong. I've made contact with one person locally who is into scanning, and am now looking for others that may be reading the news groups, hence this message.

If you live in Mobile Alabama, or the surrounding area, then drop me a line or two. I can be reached at "wdavisjr@dibbs.net.nospam". Just knockoff the "nospam" part.

Thanks...

Wayne
N4SZY

3906. Re: Airline's Private Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <36B41AC4.F09E0701@analon.com>
Source record: 129180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.travel.air

I have a message that I posted earlier that gives all the known communications
frequencies on 108-136, 460, 800mhz, and also the ARINC VHF and HF frequencies
for Los Angeles (LAX)
I bet that these same frequencies may be active in your area also
+++
According to my Aeronautical Frequency Directory, and also from spending
lots of time at LAX with my scanner in hand, here are some frequequencies
to monitor

Sometimes, you can hear weather and other information on the VOR frequencies
(108-118mhz. The Blythe VOR is 117.4. I often hear weather broadcast on this
frequency when I am near there. The Beacon is not actually at the airport but a

mile south of the airport on the south side of I-10.

When a pilot is given routing instructions or a clearance such as "Speed Bird
2081, Cleared direct
Thermal" This means the Pilots will be tuning in the beacon TRM (116.2) and
start tracking towards it in a straight line from their present position.

You also can hear area/regional information on the LAX (Los Angeles) 113.6,
OCN (Oceanside) 115.3,
SXC (Santa Catalina) 111.4,
SMO (Santa Monica) 110.8,
VNY (Van Nuys) 113.1,
CMA (Camarillo) 115.8,
RZS (San marcus) 114.9 ,
VTU (Ventura) 108.2,
PSP (Palm Springs) 115.5
PDZ (Paradise) 112.4,
POM (Pomona) 112.2,
GMN (Gorman) 116.1,
RAL (Riverside) 112.4,
NZJ El Toro)117.2,
SLI (Seal Beach) 115.7
TNP (Twentynine Palms) 114.2,
TRM (Thermal) 116.2

In Arizona. PXR (Phoenix) 115.6,
IWA (Willie) 113.6,
TFD (Stanfield) 114.8,
BXK (Buckeye) 110.6,
GBN (Gila Bend) 116.6,
TUS (Tucson) 116.0,
DRK (Drake/Prescott 114.1)

INW (Winslow) 112.6,
SJN (St Johns) 112.4,
ZUN (Zuni) 113.4

airway shuttle vans 936.1, 935.1
search/rescue 122.9, 123.10
lockheed corp: 3.444, 3.4430, 6.5514, 6.5500
8.8234, 8.8220, 11.3704, 11.3060, 13.3134, 13.3120, 17.9654, 17.9640,
21.9324, 21.9310, 125.25, 123.325, 123.425
LAX Approach 124.5, 381.6 (heading 225-044)
LAX Approach 124.9, 269.0 (090-224)
LAX Approach 128.5, 360.7 (045-089)
LAX ATIS arrivial 133.8
LAX ATIS departures 135.65
lax clearance 121.4, 327.0
Coast Guard 381.8, 383.9
LAX Departure 124.3, 363.2 (045-248)
LAX Departure 125.2, 385.4 (249-044)
LAX ground 121.65, 327.0 North Complex
LAX ground 121.75, 327.0 South Complex
(Between 11pm and 530am All Tower Services: Clearance, Ground, and tower
are usually on 120.95 and UHF 379.1
LAX operations 372.2
LAX tower 119.8, 120.35
LAX tower 120.95, 379.1 (South Complex and nights everything)
LAX tower 133.9 239.3 (North Complex)
LAX Unicom 122.95
LAX vfr corridor 122.75
Rochwell international 3.0054, 3.0040, 3.444, 3.4430, 5.5724, 5.5710,
10.0464, 10.0450, 17.9654, 17.9640,
LAX flight service (Hawthorne) 122.0, 122.1, 122.2, 122.5, 255.4

LAX airport operations
460.525, 460.10, 453.375 Police
Operations 460.55

for Phoenix, it;s 118..1 (clearance) 121.85, 119.75, 132.55, 121.9(Ground)
118.7, 120.9 (tower) 124.1, 119.2 (departure) 128.65, 126.8, 126.6, 120.7,
123.7 (Approach) 121.2 (ATIS)

Ceneter frequencies I've monitored include
Denver center 132.425, 126.15, 132.1, 134.15 (Over Northern Arizona)
Albuquerque Center 125.25, 135.15,132.9,125.4, 124.5, 133.0, 135.8 (Eastern and
Central Az)
Los Angeles Center 133.2, 125.8, 132.6, 134.95, 124.85. 133.4,
135.75,135.45, 134.75, 133.55 (Western Arizona, Califronia,
Nevada)
Oakland Center 128.7, 134.15, 127.45, 127.95 (California from SLO N to about
Ukiah)
Seattle Center 124.85, 135.15 (Northern California/Southern Oregon)

The center frequencies can be interesting to monitor on a Sunday. Some controllers and pilots will
keep each other abreast of the footbll or basketball scores too

The following frequencies are licensed or owned by Aeronautical Radio Inc but are used by various airlines for ground operations and "company" frequencies
460.65, 460.775, 460.800 460.85 (Continental ramp/maint), 460.875 (UNited Maintenance, 460.775
Trunked system, shared by several airlines:
856.7875, 856.8875, 857.7875, 857.8875, 858.7875, 858.8875, 859.7875, 859.8875, 860.7875, 860.8875 (Delta, Mexicana, and a few others have been heard here)

460.70 (American), 465.775 (ARCO communications), 460.85 (Continental), 460.70 (Continental), 460.65, (Delta) 460.75 (Delta), 460.775 (Delta), 460.825 (Delta), 460.85 (Delta), 460.825 flying tiger/Federal Express), 460.70 (MGM Grand), 460.70 (Northwest), 4690.825 (Quantis), 460.85 (Quantis), 460.675 (TWA), 460.725 (United), 460.875 (United), 460.725 (Virgin Atlantic), 460.85 (World Airways).

Aeronautical Radio (ARINC) HF/Shortwve frequencies.
5.5754, 5.5740 (HF ramp check)
2.8704, 2.8690, 3.0144, 3.0130, 3.4144, 3.4130, 3.4954, 3.4940, 5.5304, 5.5290, 5.5484, 5.5470, 6.6024, 6.6040, 6.6384, 6.6370, 6.6414, 6.69400, 6.6744, 6.6730, 8.8444, 8.8430, 8.8504, 8.8490, 8.9344, 8.9330, 10.0344, 10.0330, 10.0584, 10.0570, 11.2834, 11.2820, 11.3434, 11.3480, 13.3494, 13.3480, 17.9054, 17.9040, 17.9264, 17.9400, 21.9264, 21.9250

Evergreen 125.825
128.875, 128.925 intl airlines
american eagle 128.95
mgm grand LACSA 128.975
air france 129.025
Scandavian SAS 129.075
Nippon 129.10
ACARS digital data 129.125Air new Zealand, Canadian, China air 129.15
mexicana 129.175
American ramp 129.225
Southwest ramp 125.25
United 129.30
United operations 129.40
UPs 129.425, 129.475
United ramp 129.50
Delta Atlanta Dispatch 129.55 (used Nationwide)
Northwest 129.60, 129.65
United Express 129.675
TACA 129.725
Lufthansa 129.875
Aero Mexico, Korean air 129.90
Alpha air 129.95
Us Air Maint 130.00

ACARS Digital Data 130.025, 130.05
Delta Operations 130.10
United Maintenance 130.225
America West 130.25
KLM 130.275
British Airways 130.375
Air Canada 130.475
Continental 130.50
Delta maintenance 130.525, 130.55
El-al 130.6
Northwest Minneapolis Dispatch 130.70
TWA load control 130.775
DElta Atlanta dispatch 130.775
ARINC on ground frequency 130.80, 130.825
asiana 130.95
American maintenance 131.025
131.15 us air operations
131.20 Alaska
Arinc On ground 131.30
Hudson General Services 131.325
Philippine 131.375
Japan air 131.40
Aerolinas Argentina 131.425
Delta Ramp Tower (T-4, 5,6) 131.45
Acars Digital Data 131.55
Mercury Aviation 131.60
DHL 131.625
Iberia 131.675
Hilltop Aviation 131.70
Skywest 131.75
TWA Ramp-maintenance 131.80
Federal Express 131.825, 131.875, 131.925
ARINC pacific frequency 131.950
air la 131.975
goodyear blimp 132.0

malibu mountain rescue 121.85, 122.90

I've found quite a bit of stuff also in the 935-940mhz frequencies.
America West in Phoenix uses 935.755 and 935.1875. Don't know if they use
these sam frequencies elsewhere. I looked at the antennas on their radios
then did a little detective work, from there , I sat down with my scanner
and began searching until what I heard through the scanner matched what I
was hearing out the America West radios being carried around the terminal.

328.6-335.4 ar ILS Glidepath frequencies
960-1215 are allocated for Navaids..transponders, I think are 1050mhz.
Transponders can also be in the 1300-1350mhz range.
Airport Radars use 2700-2900mhz frequencies!

hope this helps!!
let me know if you find something I don't have listed.
I have also monitored many Cellulatr calls while near LAX and also the Air fones in the 849-850 and 869mhz area. I believe these are digital signals.

+++

Rich Wells wrote:

> ssacntrl1000@mindspring.com wrote:
> > Does anyone know what the frequencies are that the airlines use to
> > talk to their hub facilities? These frequencies are not for tower
> > communications.
>
> They typically use the ARINC frequencies licensed between
> 128.825 and 132.000
>
> Strong Signals,
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

--

> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
<
> Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(: MS-Windows):<
> Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
> if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group
<
+++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They lose payments!, Say I didn't pay!

3907. scanners f.s.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: george <george@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7917ld$hs1@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 129181
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

scanners available are for sale
bc200xlt the best uniden made will receive the cell phone band
like new in box.....225.00

bc760xlt this unit can be base or mobile.....225.00

bc700a like the 300 only receives 800mhz....sorry no box have the manuel
200.00 this includes shipping by fedex overnite

bc800xlt this is the one for your 800mhz band......225.00

all scanners above will receive the complete cell phone band....

bc235xlt trunk tracker.......like new 225.00

bc8500xlt.......base unit...325.00

bc230xlt...like new still in box 220.00

I will ship c.o.d.by fedex with shipping payed in advance 35.00
leave... e-mail..... george@execpc.com

3908. Re: REWARD FOR TOM KASEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mike (EMT-Cardiac)" <Etlaesium@thenetco.com>
Message-ID: <kPVs2.32657$un6.6241458@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 129182

That's alright... I replied to him via email. He hasn't gotten back to me.
I'm just waiting for the FBI to knock down my door one of these nights.
(Wait a minute... I forgot, I run all my email through a ton of proxies).
Oh well....

Nah, he probably just wants to see how far he can go before the police knock
on his door. I guess he's sick of working and wants three squares and and
an iron supplement. :)

Zombie Wolf wrote in message <78v47e$2jb$1@garnet.mint.net>...
>Wow ! this operation is really "cloak - and - dagger" , considering that
you
>posted it to a WORLDWIDE NEWS GROUP........ Have you taken out an ad in the
>National News services for this "covert" operation ?
>
>
>>The above operation is and must remain STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.
>>PGP encryption key is REQUIRED for all the email communication.
>>
>>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

3909. antenna formula I/C

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <36B427F5.B6607E20@analon.com>
Source record: 129183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

antenna formula from Physics
wavelength is frequency divided by speed of light
if freq is is mhz then length will be in meters

300mhz = 1 meter wave length

900mhz = 1/3 meter

300

900mhz

300/150 = 2 meters

get the picture

THuynh6969 wrote:

> I trying to make a 800 Mhz antenna, but don't know the length
> for the whip. I think it's about 3 to 5 inccches, I can't be sure though.
> Doesn't it also depends on the the metal I use for the whip ? I would greatly
> appreciate if I could get the formula for the figuring out the length, for all
> metals . Thanks a lot !

--

> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry <
> Unix :) 310-714-7404 vi..my favorite text editor(: MS-Windows):<
> Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
> if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check the group <
+++Sprint-PCS Mobile service stinks! They lose payments!, Say I didn't pay!

3910. Re: Trunk Tracker or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mike (EMT-Cardiac)" <Etlaesium@thenetco.com>
Message-ID: <kVVs2.32664$un6.6243312@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 129184

Thanks, I will.

Wayne Farmer wrote in message <36B33FF7.D0B@compuserve.com>...
>Mike (EMT-Cardiac) wrote:
>>
>> Are there any conversions for the TrunkTracker? I am trying to find a
way
>> to get the off-bands. Any ideas would be helpful.
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Mike
>
>The TrunkTrackers (Uniden 235XLT, Radio Shack PRO-90 and PRO-91) are
>manufactured according to U.S. law which requires them to not include
>the cellular phone bands, and to be impossible or difficult to modify.
>This law was passed to protect the interests of cellphone manufacturers,
>who want to be able to assure the public that their personal cellphone
>communications won't be overheard.
>
>So, even receiving the unavailable bands other than cellphone bands is
>likely to be impossible on those radios unless you have the resources of
>Uniden's engineering labs at your disposal.
>
>There may be more expensive variants of these models from other
>manufacturers that allow you to access non-cellular phone bands; check
>the FAQ's.

3911. >> RADIO FREQUENCY LIST (in Itlay) Where i can find it ? <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "++++ A.G. ++++" <fsplvy.044@tellnet.pesaro.ps.it>
Message-ID: <36b42a68.0@linux5.provincia.ps.it>
Source record: 129185

Hallo;
I need a little big help. I've just bought an ICOM IC-R2 (Scanner receiver from 429 khz up to 1.309 Ghz). I'd like to know how (and where) i can find a radio frequency list to be able to listen every radio communication here in Italy. Is there anybody who knows how to find it ???
Thank you anyway...

P.s.
Sorry for my bad english :-(